∂ Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

FENOMA Study: Achieving Full Control in Patients with Severe Allergic Asthma

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Journal of Asthma and Allergy

Sheila Cabrejos¹ Ana Moreira² Andreina Ramirez² Santiago Quirce 10³ Gregorio Soto Campos 10⁴ Ignacio Dávila 10⁵ Paloma Campo⁶

¹Allergy Service, Hospital Rafael Mendez de Lorca, Murcia, Spain; ²Novartis Farmacéutica, Barcelona, Spain; ³Department of Allergy, Hospital La Paz Institute for Health Research (IdiPAZ), Madrid, Spain, CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, CIBERES, Madrid, Spain; ⁴Pneumology and Allergy Unit, Hospital Universitario de Jerez, Cádiz, Spain; ⁵Allergy Service, University Hospital of Salamanca and Institute for Biomedical Research of Salamanca (IBSAL), Salamanca, Spain, Biomedical and Diagnosis Science Department, Salamanca University School of Medicine, Salamanca, Spain; ⁶Allergy Unit, IBIMA-Regional University Hospital of Málaga, ARADyAL, Málaga, Spain

Correspondence: Ignacio Dávila Allergy Service, University Hospital of Salamanca and Institute for Biomedical Research of Salamanca (IBSAL), Paseo de San Vicente, 58-182, Salamanca 37007, Spain Tel +34923291100 (55373) Email idg@usal.es



Introduction: A Spanish real-world study in patients with severe persistent asthma who achieved asthma control after a one-year treatment with omalizumab highlighted the pheno-typic heterogeneity of these patients (FENOMA study). In this subanalysis, we describe the clinical improvement in patients with severe allergic asthma in this study (positive skin test and IgE level 30–1500 IU/mL); n=240.

Patients and Methods: FENOMA was an observational, multicentre, retrospective study in 345 patients achieving asthma control according to Spanish guidelines (GEMA). Baseline demographic and asthma-related characteristics were collected. Outcomes analyzed were those included in asthma control definition plus changes in background treatments and in blood eosinophil count (%) and exhaled nitric oxide fraction [FeNO].

Results: At baseline, patients were aged 45.4 ± 15.0 years; 67% were women. Median (Q1;Q3) IgE levels were 302.5 (154.0; 553.5) IU/mL. After one-year treatment with omalizumab: 43.3% of patients had daytime symptoms vs 97.7% before treatment and 49.6% stopped taking oral corticosteroids. FEV₁ increased a median of 12.0 (4.0; 23.0)%; P <0.0001. The number of non-severe asthma exacerbations decreased a median of -4.0 (-7.0; 2.0); P <0.0001. Median unplanned visits to primary care or specialists and days of school/workplace absenteeism decreased from 4.9 (2.0; 6.0), 1.0 (0.0; 3.0) and 0.0 (0.0; 14.0) to 0.0 (0.0; 1.0), 0.0 (0.0; 0.0) and 0.0 (0.0; 0.0), respectively. Median eosinophil blood count and FeNO decreased from 5.0 (3:0; 8.0)% to 3.0 (2.0; 5.5)% and from 36.0 (23:0; 53.0) ppb to 20.0 (13.0; 34.0) ppb, respectively.

Conclusion: This study highlights the asthma control achieved by patients with severe allergic asthma treated with omalizumab, with relevant benefits on the burden of the disease both on patients and the healthcare system.

Keywords: asthma, omalizumab, allergy, exacerbations, IgE

Introduction

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease for which recognizable clusters of demographic, clinical and/or pathophysiological characteristics – often called "phenotypes" or "endotypes"- have been defined.^{1,2} The treatment goal is achieving asthma control (i.e. good control of symptoms and minimization of the risk of exacerbations).^{1,3} However, despite treatment guidelines, asthma remains uncontrolled in many patients.⁴ Asthma severity is assessed according to the level of treatment required to achieve and maintain asthma control. However, the definition of severe or therapy-refractory asthma is not straightforward and has not been consistent across studies.⁵ Nowadays, the most accepted definition of severe asthma is that requiring high-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) plus a second controller and/or systemic corticosteroids

Journal of Asthma and Allergy 2020:13 159-166

© 2020 Cabrejos et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission form Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

159

to prevent it from becoming "uncontrolled" or which remains "uncontrolled" despite this therapy (refractory).^{1,3} Patients with severe asthma are at risk of severe exacerbations and even death.¹ Severe asthma has a profound personal, clinical and economic impact.^{1,3,6}

Allergic asthma accounts for most cases of asthma, with immunoglobulin E (IgE) being integral to its physiopathology.^{7,8} Allergic asthma is associated with increased levels of circulating total and specific IgE, with a clear involvement both at the onset of the disease and during its chronic phase.⁷ Omalizumab, the first treatment addressing the allergic component of moderate-to-severe allergic asthma,9 is an anti-IgE monoclonal antibody that selectively binds to human IgE and prevents its binding to high-affinity IgE receptors.¹⁰ In the randomized, Phase III trial INNOVATE,¹¹ omalizumab significantly reduced the rate of clinically significant and severe asthma exacerbations and emergency visits and significantly improved asthma-related quality of life, morning peak expiratory flow and asthma symptom scores vs. placebo in patients with severe persistent asthma, with a good safety profile.¹¹ Omalizumab is indicated in Europe as an add-on therapy to improve asthma control only in patients with severe persistent allergic asthma.¹⁰ A metaanalysis of 25 non-controlled studies published up to 2015 and a recent systematic review (2018) that updates and extends this review up 42 of such studies confirm the effectiveness of omalizumab in the real-life setting.^{12,13}

An observational, multicentre, retrospective study was conducted between 2015 and 2016 in Spain in 345 adult patients with severe persistent asthma who had achieved total disease control as soon as after one-year treatment with omalizumab (FENOMA study). This study underlined the heterogeneity of patients achieving this treatment goal with omalizumab in the real-life clinical setting.¹⁴ In the current study, we describe the clinical improvement of patients with allergic asthma (Immunoglobulin [Ig] E-mediated asthma) –i.e. in whom omalizumab was strictly administered following therapeutic indication¹⁰- who participated in the FENOMA study. Treatment-response biomarkers such as total IgE, peripheral blood eosinophil count and exhaled nitric oxide fraction (FeNO)^{8,15} were also assessed.

Methods

Study Design and Subjects

FENOMA ([FENOtipos de asMA], Asthma Phenotypes) was an observational, retrospective, real-life study, carried out in 69 Spanish centres. Design of this study has been

previously published.¹⁴ Briefly, patients included where those ≥ 18 years, with severe persistent asthma (steps 5 or 6 according to the Spanish Guidelines on the Management of Asthma 2009),¹⁶ having started treatment with omalizumab (as per usual practice) between 1st January 2010 and 31st December 2013, and who had achieved control of the disease after finishing the first year of treatment with omalizumab. Asthma control was defined according to Spanish reference guidelines,¹⁶ which include fulfilling all of the following criteria: no daytime asthma symptoms or asthma symptoms \leq twice a week, no limitation in activities of daily living, no night-time asthma symptoms/awakenings, no need for rescue medication or \leq twice a week, normal pulmonary function (forced expiratory volume in 1 s $[FEV_1] \ge 80\%$ of the predicted value/peak expiratory flow [PEF] >80% of their personal best) and no severe or clinically significant exacerbations. The only exclusion criterion was having participated in a clinical trial during the period of analysis. Of the 345 patients included in the FENOMA study, 240 (69.6%) had allergic asthma (i.e. positive skin prick test or specific IgE and symptomatology associated with exposure), and should have serum IgE level between 30 and 1500 IU/mL. This population was included in the present study. A positive skin prick test was defined as an immediate hypersensitivity to commonly tested environmental aeroallergens. These are likely to have slightly varied among centers.

The study was conducted according to Good Clinical Practice (International Conference of Harmonization) guidelines and local regulation and was approved by the Independent Ethic Committee of the Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona, Spain. All participants provided written informed consent.

Information Collected

Medical records were reviewed between February 2015 and June 2016. Data collected before treatment (baseline) included: demographics and anthropometrics, smoking habit (never, former (\geq 1 year), current), clinical history including asthma-related co-morbidities (allergic rhinitis, sinus polyposis, atopic dermatitis), family history (atopy and mental/psychosocial disorders), total serum IgE level. Data collected before and after one-year treatment with omalizumab included: anthropometrics, severity and control of the disease (including data from the Spanish validated version of the Asthma Control Test [ACT]^{17,18} when available), pulmonary function (FEV₁), the percentage of blood eosinophil count, FeNO, the number of non-severe exacerbations and the use of

healthcare resources due to these episodes. The need for rescue medication and the treatment with ICS and oral corticosteroids (OCS) were also captured. OCS intake before receiving treatment with omalizumab was defined either as having a daily maintenance dose or a short course of treatment.

Study Outcomes

Primary outcome of this analysis was to describe the clinical and pulmonary improvement of patients that had achieved control disease after one-year treatment with omalizumab by means of i) incidence of daytime symptoms, ii) changes in the use of ICS or OCS, iii) need of rescue therapy, iv) pulmonary function (FEV₁), iv) number of non-severe exacerbations and vi) use of healthcare resources: nonplaned visits to primary care or specialists and the number of days of school or workplace absenteeism due to asthma worsening. Non-severe asthma exacerbations were defined as those that did not require OCS, emergency assistance or hospitalization. Secondary outcomes include the assessment of the level of asthma control by means of the ACT questionnaire and the percentage of blood eosinophil blood count before and after treatment and FeNO.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are presented as mean, standard deviation (SD) and 95% confidence interval (CI), or median and quartile 1 (Q1) and 3 (Q3), as applicable. Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and percentages. Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic and clinical characteristics. For statistical comparisons, the Wilcoxon signedrank was used as applicable. All tests were 2-sided. A P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All analyses were performed with the SAS statistical package (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Patients Included and Baseline Characteristics

Demographic, anthropometric and clinical characteristics of patients who had achieved total control after one-year treatment with omalizumab are shown in Table 1. Mean (SD) age of patients was 45.4 (15.0) years. Sixty-seven percent (n=161) were female. Most patients (81.7%) had never smoked. Median time (Q1;Q3) between asthma and severe persistent asthma diagnoses was 9.8 (3.3;19.1) years. Median (Q1;Q3) time since diagnosis of severe persistent asthma was 5.6 (4.2;7.4) years. Forty percent of patients had

Table I Demographic, Anthropometric and Clinical Characteristics	
at Baseline	

Variable	N=240
Age, years, mean (SD)	45.4 (15.0)
Sex, female, n (%)	161 (67.1)
Smoking Status ^a , n (%)	
Never smoked	196 (81.7)
Former (≥ I year)	36 (15.0)
Time since have quitted, years, mean (SD)	11.7 (5.9)
Current smoker	8 (3.3)
Packages/year, mean (SD) smoking, years, mean (SD)	7.1 (12.8)
BMI (kg/m ²) ^b , mean (SD)	27.1 (5.6)
Time Since Diagnosis of*:	
Asthma, years, median (Q1, Q3)	16.3 (9.8; 26.3)
Severe persistent asthma, years, median (Q1, Q3)	5.6 (4.2; 7.4)
Family history of atopy ^c , n (%)	96 (40.0)
Family history of mental/psychosocial disorders	5 (2.1)
Comorbidities, n (%)	
Allergic rhinitis	185 (77.1)
Sinonasal polyposis	45 (18.7)
Chronic sinusitis	27 (11.2)
Atopic dermatitis	27 (11.2)
Gastroesophageal reflux ^d	21 (8.7)
Sleep apnea syndrome	9 (3.7)
Depression	16 (6.7)
lgE levels, IU/mL, median (Q1;Q3)	302.5 (154.0; 553.5)

Notes: ^an=233; ^bn=235; ^cn=236; ^dn=239 Percentages are given for valid population. *Before starting treatment with omalizumab. **Abbreviation:** BMI, body mass index.

a familial history of atopy. The most frequent comorbidity was allergic rhinitis (77.1%). Nearly half of patients (48.5%) showed hyper-reactivity to mites and 26.7% to pollens.

Asthma characteristics in the year before starting treatment with omalizumab are shown in Table 2. Almost all patients (98%; n=235) had daytime symptoms, with nearly half (48.7%; n=117) having daily symptoms and 17.1% (n=41) continuous symptoms. Also, almost all patients (92.3%; n=220) had night-time symptoms, with 35.8% (n=86) being more than once a week and 25.4% (n=61) frequents.

Nearly 60% of patients (n=142) and 12.9% (n=31) had quite and much limitations in the activity of daily living, respectively. Ninety-seven percent (n=233) needed rescue medication, with 60.0% (n=144) needing medication everyday/several times a day. Median FEV₁ was 71.5%. Median (Q1; Q3) number of non-severe asthma episodes and of severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year was 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) and 3.0 (2.0; 4.0),

Daytime Symptoms, n (%) No symptoms or symptoms \leq twice a week5 (2.1) 77 (32.1) 117 (48.7) 41 (17.1)Daily symptoms Continuous symptoms (several times a day)112 (59.2) 2 (0.8) 60 (25.0)No Quite142 (59.2) 2 (0.8) 60 (25.0)No Much31 (12.9)Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%) M symptoms18 (7.6) 2 6 (10.9)Strice a month \leq twice a month26 (10.9) 2 (0.9)> twice a month \leq twice a month47 (19.7) 8 6 (36.1) FrequentsNo or \leq 2 days a week Several times a day7 (2.9) 89 (37.1) 110 (45.8) 32 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) FEV1, % Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1: Q3) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) fits to emergency units ^d 10 (0.2) No. days of admissions ^f 10 (3.7) 110 (45.8) 32.0 (2.0; 4.0)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) ICS Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) CCS ⁶ Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) CCS ⁶ 239 (99.6) 139 (58.1) 7.7 (0.2) 30.0) 239 (99.6)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) ICS Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) CCS ⁶ 239 (99.6) 139 (58.1) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) 209 (87.1) LABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline Inmunotherapy On demand SABA C29 (95.4) Theophylline Inmunotherapy20 (8.3) 60 (25.4) 20 (8.3)	Variables	N=240	
No symptoms or symptoms ≤ twice a week5 (2.1)> Twice a week77 (32.1)Daily symptoms117 (48.7)Continuous symptoms (several times a day)41 (17.1)Limitation in Activities of Daily Living ⁸ , n (%)142 (59.2)Quite142 (59.2)No2 (0.8)Some60 (25.0)Much31 (12.9)Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%)18 (7.6)No symptoms18 (7.6)≤ twice a month26 (10.9)> twice a month47 (19.7)> once a week86 (36.1)Frequents61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)7No or ≤ 2 days a week7 (2.9)≥ 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Several times a day7.1.5 (61.0; 84.0)So (3.0; 8.5)3.0 (2.0; 4.0)previous year, median (Q1; Q3)'s3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ⁶ 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admissions ⁶ 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admissions ⁶ 239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)120 (3.3)ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (77.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4) <td>Daytime Symptoms, n (%)</td> <td></td>	Daytime Symptoms, n (%)		
> Twice a week77 (32.1)Daily symptoms117 (48.7)Continuous symptoms (several times a day)41 (17.1)Limitation in Activities of Daily Living ⁸ , n (%)142 (59.2)Quite142 (59.2)No2 (0.8)Some60 (25.0)Much31 (12.9)Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%)18 (7.6)No symptoms18 (7.6)> twice a month26 (10.9)> twice a month47 (19.7)> once a week86 (36.1)Frequents61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day41 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)50 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 7.1.5 (61.0; 84.0)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)No. days of admissions ^g 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admissions ^g 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admissions ^g 2.39 (99.6)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)239 (99.6)ICS239 (99.6)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)OCS ^a 139 (58.1)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)OCS ^a 139 (58.1)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.3; 30.0)OCS ^a 139 (58.1)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)OCS ^a 139 (58.1)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)	No symptoms or symptoms \leq twice a week	5 (2.1)	
Daily symptoms 117 (48.7) Continuous symptoms (several times a day) 41 (17.1) Limitation in Activities of Daily Living ⁸ , n (%) 142 (59.2) Quite 142 (59.2) No 20.8) Some 60 (25.0) Much 31 (12.9) Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%) 18 (7.6) No symptoms 18 (7.6) 5 twice a month 26 (10.9) > twice a month 47 (19.7) > once a week 86 (36.1) Frequents 61 (25.6) Need for Rescue Medication, n (%) No or ≤ 2 days a week Non or ≤ 2 days a week (but not everyday) 89 (37.1) Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) FEV ₁ , % 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Several times a day 2.6 (3.9) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) No. days of admission ⁶ 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ⁶ 2.37 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 23	> Twice a week	77 (32.1)	
Continuous symptoms (several times a day)41 (17.1)Limitation in Activities of Daily Living ⁸ , n (%)142 (59.2)Quite142 (59.2)No2 (0.8)Some60 (25.0)Much31 (12.9)Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%)18 (7.6)No symptoms18 (7.6) \leq twice a month26 (10.9)> twice a month26 (10.9)> twice a month47 (19.7)> once a week86 (36.1)Frequents61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)No or \leq 2 days a week (but not everyday)No or \leq 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)50 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3)c2.6 (3.9)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or0.0 (0.2)Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)Visits to emergency units ^d Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)No. days of admission ⁶ 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ⁶ 239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.3.00)OCS ^a 199 (58.1)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.3.0.0)OCS ^a 139 (58.1)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.3.0.0)OCS ^a 139 (58.1)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.3.0.0)OCS ^a 139 (58.1)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.3.0.0) <td>Daily symptoms</td> <td>117 (48.7)</td>	Daily symptoms	117 (48.7)	
Limitation in Activities of Daily Living ⁸ , n (%)I 42 (59.2)Quite142 (59.2)No2 (0.8)Some60 (25.0)Much31 (12.9)Night-Time Symptoms ⁶ , n (%)INo symptoms18 (7.6) \leq twice a month26 (10.9)> twice a month47 (19.7)> once a week86 (36.1)Frequents61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)89 (37.1)No or \leq 2 days a week7 (2.9)> 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ⁶ 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ⁶ 2.2 (239 (99.6)No. days of admission ⁶ 2.2 (239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones20 (0.8)	Continuous symptoms (several times a day)	41 (17.1)	
Quite 142 (59.2) No 2 (0.8) Some 60 (25.0) Much 31 (12.9) Night-Time Symptoms ⁵ , n (%) 18 (7.6) No symptoms 18 (7.6) \leq twice a month 26 (10.9) > twice a month 47 (19.7) > once a week 86 (36.1) Frequents 61 (25.6) Need for Rescue Medication, n (%) No or \leq 2 days a week No or \leq 2 days a week (but not everyday) 89 (37.1) Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 34 (14.2) Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) FEV ₁ , % 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 11.5 (61.0; 84.0) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) No. days of admissions ^e 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admissions ^f 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 1239 (99.6)	Limitation in Activities of Daily Living ^a , n (%)		
No 2 (0.8) Some 60 (25.0) Much 31 (12.9) Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%) 18 (7.6) No symptoms 18 (7.6) ≤ twice a month 26 (10.9) > twice a month 47 (19.7) > once a week 86 (36.1) Frequents 61 (25.6) Need for Rescue Medication, n (%) B9 (37.1) Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 34 (14.2) Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Several times a day 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3)' 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9) No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^f 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (97.6) Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonis	Quite	142 (59.2)	
Some 60 (25.0) 31 (12.9) Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%) No symptoms 18 (7.6) 26 (10.9) > twice a month 26 (10.9) > twice a month 47 (19.7) > once a week 86 (36.1) Frequents 61 (25.6) Need for Rescue Medication, n (%) No or ≤ 2 days a week 7 (2.9) > 2 days a week (but not everyday) 89 (37.1) Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 34 (14.2) Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) FEV ₁ , % 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) So (2.0; 4.0) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) 3.0 (2.0; 4.0) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year; median (Q1; Q3) ^c 7.4 (3.7) Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) 2.6 (3.9) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) No. days of admission ⁶ 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ⁶ 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor anta	No	2 (0.8)	
Much 31 (12.9) Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%) IB (7.6) No symptoms 18 (7.6) \leq twice a month 26 (10.9) > twice a month 47 (19.7) > once a week 86 (36.1) Frequents 61 (25.6) Need for Rescue Medication, n (%) No or \leq 2 days a week No or \leq 2 days a week (but not everyday) 89 (37.1) Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 34 (14.2) Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) FEV ₁ , % 7.1.5 (61.0; 84.0) Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year; median (Q1; Q3) ^c 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9) No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)	Some	60 (25.0)	
Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n (%) I8 No symptoms 18 (7.6) \leq twice a month 26 (10.9) > twice a month 47 (19.7) > once a week 86 (36.1) Frequents 61 (25.6) Need for Rescue Medication, n (%) 89 (37.1) No or \leq 2 days a week 7 (2.9) > 2 days a week (but not everyday) 89 (37.1) Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.4 (0.9) No. days of admission ^f 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) [CS [CS 239 (99.6) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4)	Much	31 (12.9)	
No symptoms18 (7.6) \leq twice a month26 (10.9)> twice a month47 (19.7)> once a week86 (36.1)Frequents61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)89 (37.1)No or \leq 2 days a week7 (2.9)> 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or2.6 (3.9)Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)2.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)10ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	Night-Time Symptoms ^b , n. (%)		
S twice a month26 (10.9)> twice a month47 (19.7)> once a week86 (36.1)Frequents61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)7 (2.9)No or ≤ 2 days a week7 (2.9)> 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or2.6 (3.9)Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)2.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)10ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	No symptoms	18 (7.6)	
InstrumentInstrument> twice a month47 (19.7)> once a week86 (36.1)Frequents61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)61 (25.6)No or ≤ 2 days a week7 (2.9)> 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^{fh} 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)239 (99.6)ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	\leq twice a month	26 (10.9)	
> once a week96 (36.1) 61 (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)61 (25.6)No or ≤ 2 days a week7 (2.9)> 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3)'5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3)'2.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency unitsd2.6 (3.9)No. days of admissions0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	> twice a month	47 (19.7)	
FrequentsEt (25.6)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)61 (25.6)No or ≤ 2 days a week7 (2.9) ≥ 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or7.4 (3.7)Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)9.4 (0.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)120ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	> once a week	86 (36.1)	
Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)If (2.9)Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)7 (2.9)> 2 days a week7 (2.9)> 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)71.5 (61.0; 84.0)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or7.4 (3.7)Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)9.4 (0.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admission ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)120ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	Frequents	61 (25.6)	
Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)7 (2.9)No or ≤ 2 days a week7 (2.9) ≥ 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or2.6 (3.9)Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)2.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)239 (99.6)ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)			
No or ≤ 2 days a week7 (2.9)> 2 days a week (but not everyday)89 (37.1)Everyday110 (45.8)Several times a day34 (14.2)Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or2.6 (3.9)Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)2.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)239 (99.6)ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	Need for Rescue Medication, n (%)		
> 2 days a week (but not everyday) 89 (37.1) Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 34 (14.2) Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) FEV ₁ , % 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0) Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9) No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	No or ≤ 2 days a week	7 (2.9)	
Everyday 110 (45.8) Several times a day 34 (14.2) Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) FEV ₁ , % 71.5 (61.0; 84.0) Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3) 5.0 (3.0; 8.5) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0) Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9) No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	> 2 days a week (but not everyday)	89 (37.1)	
Several times a day $34 (14.2)$ Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3) FEV1, % $71.5 (61.0; 84.0)$ Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3) Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c $5.0 (3.0; 8.5)$ Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) Visits to emergency units ^d $2.6 (3.9)$ No. days of admissions ^e $0.4 (0.9)$ No. days of admission ^f $0.0 (0.2)$ No. days of admission ^{fh} $5.7 (3.8)$ Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) LCS $239 (99.6)$ Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) $7.7 (0.0; 30.0)$ Leukotriene receptor antagonist $209 (87.1)$ LABA $225 (97.9)$ On demand SABA $229 (95.4)$ Theophylline $20 (8.3)$ Immunotherapy $61 (25.4)$ Chromones $2 (0.8)$ Other $53 (22.1)$	Everyday	110 (45.8)	
Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)71.5 (61.0; 84.0)FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)	Several times a day	34 (14.2)	
FEV1, %71.5 (61.0; 84.0)Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)2.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)239 (99.6)ICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)960 (640.0; 1200.0)OCS ^e 139 (58.1)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	Pulmonary Function, Median (Q1; Q3)		
Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)5.0 (3.0; 8.5)Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) 0.0 (0.2)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) ICS239 (99.6) 960 (640.0; 1200.0)OCS ^e 139 (58.1) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1) 235 (97.9)LABA235 (97.9) 0.0 demand SABA229 (95.4) 219 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3) 61 (25.4) 2 (0.8) Other53 (22.1)	FEV ₁ , %	71.5 (61.0; 84.0)	
Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c 3.0 (2.0; 4.0)Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) 0.4 (0.9) 7.4 (3.7) 0.0 (0.2) 5.7 (3.8)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) 0.0 (0.2) 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) ICS239 (99.6) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) 0CS ^e Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0) 219 (58.1) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist LABA235 (97.9) 209 (87.1) 215 (97.9) On demand SABATheophylline Immunotherapy61 (25.4) 61 (25.4) 2 (0.8) 61 (25.4)	Non-severe asthma episodes, median (Q1; Q3)	5.0 (3.0; 8.5)	
previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD) Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) 0.4 (0.9) 7.4 (3.7) 1.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^f No. days of admission f0.4 (0.9) 7.4 (3.7) 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) ICS239 (99.6) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) 139 (58.1) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist LABADose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0) 229 (95.4) Theophylline ImmunotherapyOn demand SABA Chromones229 (95.4) 210.21)Immunotherapy Chromones61 (25.4) 2 (0.8) 2 (0.8)	Severe or clinically significant exacerbations in the	3.0 (2.0; 4.0)	
Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)Z.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)960 (640.0; 1200.0)OCS ^e 139 (58.1)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	previous year, median (Q1; Q3) ^c		
Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)2.6 (3.9)Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9)Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9)No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7)Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2)No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8)Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%)1CSICS239 (99.6)Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)960 (640.0; 1200.0)OCS ^e 139 (58.1)Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)7.7 (0.0; 30.0)Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	Use of Healthcare Resources Due to Severe or		
Visits to emergency units ^d 2.6 (3.9) Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9) No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Clinically Significant Exacerbations, Mean (SD)		
Hospital admissions ^e 0.4 (0.9) No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, μg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Visits to emergency units ^d	2.6 (3.9)	
No. days of admission ^f 7.4 (3.7) Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, μg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Hospital admissions ^e	0.4 (0.9)	
Intensive care units admissions ^g 0.0 (0.2) No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	No. days of admission ^f	7.4 (3.7)	
No. days of admission ^h 5.7 (3.8) Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Intensive care units admissions ^g	0.0 (0.2)	
Background Treatments for Asthma, n (%) 239 (99.6) ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, μg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	No. days of admission ^h	5.7 (3.8)	
ICS 239 (99.6) Dose, μg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Background Treatments for Asthma. n (%)		
Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3) 960 (640.0; 1200.0) OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	ICS	239 (99.6)	
OCS ^e 139 (58.1) Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Dose, µg, median (Q1; Q3)	960 (640.0; 1200.0)	
Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3) 7.7 (0.0; 30.0) Leukotriene receptor antagonist 209 (87.1) LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	OCS ^e	139 (58.1)	
Leukotriene receptor antagonist209 (87.1)LABA235 (97.9)On demand SABA229 (95.4)Theophylline20 (8.3)Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	Dose, mg, median (Q1; Q3)	7.7 (0.0; 30.0)	
LABA 235 (97.9) On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Leukotriene receptor antagonist	209 (87.1)	
On demand SABA 229 (95.4) Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	LABA	235 (97.9)	
Theophylline 20 (8.3) Immunotherapy 61 (25.4) Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	On demand SABA	229 (95.4)	
Immunotherapy61 (25.4)Chromones2 (0.8)Other53 (22.1)	Theophylline	20 (8.3)	
Chromones 2 (0.8) Other 53 (22.1)	Immunotherapy	61 (25.4)	
Other 53 (22.1)	Chromones	2 (0.8)	
	Other	53 (22.1)	

 Table 2 Asthma History in the Year Before Starting Treatment

 with Omalizumab

Notes: ${}^{a}n=235$; ${}^{b}n=238$; ${}^{c}n=236$; ${}^{d}n=231$; ${}^{e}n=239$; ${}^{f}n=53$; ${}^{g}n=238$; ${}^{h}n=3$. Percentages are given for valid population.

Abbreviations: FEV $_1$, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; ICS, inhaled corticosteroids; LABA, long-acting inhaled β 2-agonists; OCS, oral corticosteroids; SABA, short-acting inhaled β 2-agonists.

respectively. The number of visits to emergency rooms, admission days to hospital or intensive care units due to these exacerbations is shown in Table 2. Median (Q1; Q3) total serum IgE levels were 302.5 (154.0; 553.5) IU/mL. Background treatments for asthma during the previous year are shown in Table 2. Mean (SD) initial dose of omalizumab was 325.9 (119.8) mg.

Outcomes After One-Year Treatment

More than half (56.7%, n=136) of patients had no daytime symptoms, while 35.0% (n=84) and 8.3% (n=20) had symptoms 1 and 2 days per week, respectively. Up to 49.6% (n=119) of the total population evaluated stopped taking OCS, only 8.3% (n = 20) of the patients continued to receive them after one year of treatment with omalizumab (P <0.0001). Of the patients with available information about dose modification, the reduction was not significant (P=0.3077). The proportion of patients taking ICS decreased significantly (from 99.6% to 90.2%; P <0.0001). The dose of ICS was reduced in 58.7% (n=141) patients (P=0.9062) and maintained in 37.5% (n=90) patients. More than half (55.4%, n=133) of patients needed no rescue medication, while 35.0% (n=84) and 9.6% (n=23) needed medication 1 and 2 days per week, respectively. FEV₁ increased a median (Q1; Q3) of 12.0% (4.0; 23.0) (P < 0.0001) (Table 3).

The number of non-severe asthma exacerbations decreased a median (Q1; Q3) of -4.0 (-7.0; -2.0); P <0.0001. The number of unplanned visits to primary care or specialists, or days of school or workplace absenteeism before treatment is shown in Table 3. After one-year treatment with omalizumab, none of these took place (P <0.0001 vs. before treatment for school or workplace absenteeism).

Data regarding the ACT questionnaires were available in 101 (42.1%) and 117 (48.8%) patients before and after one-year treatment with omalizumab, respectively. Median score (Q1; Q3) was 14.0 (11.0; 16.0) before treatment and 22.0 (20.0; 24.0) after one-year treatment (change: 7.0 [5.0;10.0]).

The percentage of blood eosinophil count was available in 205 (85.4%) patients before treatment and in 132 (55.0%) after one-year treatment. Median (Q1; Q3) was 5.0% (3:0; 8.0) and 3.0 (2.0; 5.5)%, respectively (change: -1.0[-3.0;0.0]). FeNO values were available in 98 (40.8%) patients before treatment and in 109 (45.4%) after one-year

Table 3 Non-Severe Exacerbations, Pulmonary Function andUse of Healthcare Resources/Absenteeism Before and AfterOne-Year Treatment with Omalizumab (n=240)

Variables	Before Treatment	After Treatment	P-value*		
Non-severe exacerbations, median (Q1; Q3)	5.0 (3.0; 8.5) ^a	0.0 (0.0; 2.0) ^b			
Change		-4.0 (-7.0; -2.0)	<0.0001		
Pulmonary function (FEV ₁ %), median (Q1; Q3)	71.5 (61.0; 84.0)	84.0 (78.0; 94.0) ^c			
Change		12.0 (4.0; 23.0)	<0.0001		
Use of Healthcare Resources/Absenteeism Due to Non-Severe Exacerbations, Median (Q1; Q3)					
Unplanned visits to Primary care	4.0 (2.0; 6.0) ^d	0.0 (0.0; 1.0) ^e			
Change		-3.0 (-5.0; -1.0)	<0.0001		
Unplanned visits to specialists	1.0 (0.0; 3.0) ^e	0.0 (0.0; 0.0) ^f			
Change		-1.0 (-2.0; 0.0)	<0.0001		
School or workplace absenteeism, days	0.0 (0.0; 14.0) ^g	0.0 (0.0; 0.0) ^h			
Change		0.0 (-11.0; 0.0)	<0.0001		

Notes: ${}^{a}n=224$; ${}^{b}n=234$; ${}^{c}n=238$; ${}^{d}n=209$; ${}^{e}n=226$; ${}^{f}n=233$; ${}^{g}n=180$; ${}^{h}n=217$. *Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

treatment. Median (Q1; Q3) was 36.0 (23.0; 53.0) ppb and 20.0 (13.0; 34.0) ppb, respectively (change: -14.5 [-26.0; 0.0]).

Discussion

The results of our study illustrate the clinical improvement of patients with severe persistent allergic asthma who achieved full asthma control as soon as after one-year treatment with omalizumab in a real-life setting. This achievement is relevant given the difficulty in optimally controlling asthma, especially severe asthma.^{19,20} It also adds evidence to the benefits of omalizumab as an add-on therapy to improve asthma control in patients with severe persistent allergic asthma under conditions of heterogeneity in patients, clinicians, sites, and treatment patterns, with results being consistent with those of other studies in the real-life setting.^{12,13}

Several clinical indicators related to disease control according to reference guidelines – both objective and subjective – improved after one-year treatment with omalizumab. The incidence of daytime symptoms was greatly reduced, with nearly 57% of patients having no daytime symptoms compared to 2% having no symptoms or \leq twice a week before starting treatment. Nearly 50% of patients needed no OCS, which is in agreement with other studies analyzing the effectiveness of omalizumab in patients with severe persistent allergic asthma in the reallife setting.¹² The meta-analysis gathering 25 of these studies commented above estimated a relative risk (RR) reduction of 0.46 in the requirement of OCS after 12 months of omalizumab therapy, and reported significant and small-to-moderate reductions in ICS intake.¹² Changes in the intake of OCS or ICS were not analyzed in the INNOVATE study.¹¹ The use of rescue medication was also greatly reduced, with 55% of patients needing no rescue medication compared to 3% of patients needing no rescue medication or \leq twice a week before starting treatment. The reduction in the proportion of patients needing rescue medication was not analyzed in the INNOVATE study nor in the meta-analysis of real-life evidence. However, a reduction of 0.5 puffs/day compared to placebo was reported in the INNOVATE study, although this was not statistically significant.¹¹ FEV₁ significantly improved in a magnitude similar to that reported in 11 of the 42 real-life studies (n=1814) that analyzed this clinical parameter after 1-year treatment (average of 12.4%).¹³

After one-year treatment with omalizumab, the number of non-severe exacerbations significantly decreased by five-fold (from a median [Q1; Q3] of 5.0 [3.0; 8.5] to 0.0 [0.0; 2.0]). Accordingly, the number of unplanned visits to primary or specialized care and of school or workplace absenteeism also decreased. Our results are in agreement with the reductions in healthcare resource and asthma-related absences from work/school reported in a long-term (up to 10-year) follow-up study in the reallife setting.²¹ This latter study also corroborated the overall safety profile of omalizumab,²¹ which was not analyzed in our study.

The ACT questionnaire revealed good asthma control, as assessed by both the physician and patient, with a change from 14 to 22 points in a scale from 5 to 25. This is in agreement with the favorable evaluation of treatment effectiveness by both, patients and investigators, in the INNOVATE study.¹¹ Similarly, on average 73% of patients achieved a good or excellent rating in the "Global evaluation of treatment effectiveness" at 12 months treatment with omalizumab in the meta-analysis of studies in the real-life setting.¹²

163

It is worth noting that patients having achieved full asthma control after one-year treatment with omalizumab presented increased peripheral blood eosinophil percentage (5%) before starting treatment, which is quite frequent in patients with asthma.²² This observation is in agreement with the hypothesis that IgE would be the cause of allergic asthma, as it is involved early in the inflammatory cascade activating mast cells, basophils, eosinophils and dendritic cells, while eosinophilia would be the consequence of the whole process.²² A post hoc analysis of the INNOVATE study showed that omalizumab produced a greater reduction in exacerbation rate (primary outcome) in patients with higher ($\geq 300 \text{ cells/}\mu\text{L}$) vs. lower baseline eosinophil count, and even more when IgE levels were also high (>75 IU/mL).²³ In a pooled analysis of seven randomized controlled omalizumab trials, patients with pre-treatment total IgE ≥76 IU/mL were also more likely to experience clinically meaningful benefit to omalizumab and more patients had clinically meaningful responses.²⁴ However, large studies in the real-life setting have shown that omalizumab response in patients with severe allergic asthma do not vary in patients with high or low (\leq 300 cells/µL) blood eosinophil count, with this finding being independent of the eosinophil cut-offs and of response definitions used.^{25,26} It should be noted that in our study eosinophil blood count was recorded as percentage, which limits its interpretation. A cut-off point of 2% has recently shown to be a strong predictor of eosinophilia (sensitivity of 87%; a specificity of 86%, P < 0.001), in smokers with asthma having well-controlled disease.²⁷ Median IgE was 302.5 IU/mL in our series of wellcontrolled patients compared to 150 IU/mL in the INNOVATE study.¹¹

The effect of omalizumab on inflammatory cells mediated by the reduction of the allergen-specific proliferative response of T-helper 2 cells (including the production of IL)²² may explain the reduction from 5% to 3% in eosinophil count observed after one-year treatment with omalizumab in our series. A similar reduction was also reported in the long-term follow-up study in the real-life setting commented above.²¹ These observations support the adequacy of targeting the cause of allergic asthma (IgE) instead of the consequence (eosinophilia) when treating severe allergic asthma.²² Novel therapies targeting IL-5 or its receptor are emerging for the treatment of severe eosinophilic asthma. However, their use is limited to patients with high blood eosinophil count $(\geq 300 \text{ cells}/\mu\text{L})$ over 12 months.²⁸ There is no blood eosinophil count threshold for the use of omalizumab.¹⁰

Similar to sputum and blood eosinophils, elevated FeNO is predictive of asthma exacerbations and asthma severity.⁸ In fact, FeNO levels before treatment were above the threshold of 25 ppb (FeNO levels <25 ppb are considered normal in adults)¹⁵ (median of 36.0 [23.0; 53.0] ppb). This observation is in agreement with the findings of a prospective, randomized, placebocontrolled study showing that patients with severe asthma and higher baseline FeNO had a greater reduction in exacerbation rate with omalizumab vs. patients with low baseline FeNO.²¹ After one-year treatment with omalizumab, FeNO levels decreased to levels below 25 ppb (20.0 [13.0; 34.0]) ppm. A similar reduction was also reported in the above-mentioned long-term study in the real-life setting.²⁶ Nevertheless, the effectiveness of omalizumab has shown to be independent of baseline FeNO levels. It should be also considered that the reduction in exacerbation rate is not the only variable defining asthma control. Summing up, this study represents a unique real-life experience of omalizumab in patients who achieved total asthma control as soon as within 1 year, which provides information on the effectiveness of this drug in severe allergic persistent asthma. However, some limitations should be acknowledged. These include its single-arm retrospective nature, which implies relying on the accuracy and completeness of information included in the clinical records. This has especially affected biomarkers such as FeNO, which was not routinely assessed in the clinical practice at the time of the study, and the ACT questionnaire. The lack of a control group prevents corroborating findings about predictors of response.

The results of our study in patients with severe persistent allergic asthma who achieved disease control after one-year treatment with omalizumab highlight the clinical benefits achieved by these patients after this period, including the decrease of the use of medications with damaging side effects. They also support the effect of omalizumab on the reduction of the burden on healthcare systems.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Beatriz Viejo, Ph.D. for providing medical writing support and editorial assistance that were funded by Novartis Pharmaceuticals, S.A. in accordance with Good Publication Practice guidelines. They also thank all the researchers participating in this study, all of them from Spanish hospitals: Ada Luz Andreu Rodríguez, Adalberto Pacheco Galván, Adolfo Baloira Villar, Aizea Mardones Charroalde, Alberto Levy Nahon, Alicia Padilla Galo, Ana Gómez-Bastero Fernández, Ana Montoro de Francisco, Ángel Blasco Sarramian, Ángel Ferrer Torres, Antonio León Jiménez, Antonio Moreno Fernández, Antonio Pablo Arenas Vacas, Astrid Crespo Lessmann, Beatriz Huertas Barbudo, Beatriz Rodríguez Jiménez, Carlos Almonacid Sánchez, Carlos Martínez Rivera, Carlos Sanjuas Benito, Celia Pinedo Sierra, Consuelo Fernández Rodríguez, Enrique Macias Fernández, Eva Martínez Moragón, Fernando Ruiz Mori, Francisco Javier Guerra, Gemma Jorro Martínez, Gerardo Pérez Chica, Irene de Lorenzo García, Isabel María Flores Martín, Isabel Molero Sancho, Jacinto Ramos González, Joan Serra Batlles, Joaquín Quiralte Enríquez, José Angel Carretero, José Antonio Gullón Blanco, José Carlos Orta Cuevas, Jose Fernando Florido López, Juan Guallar Ballester, Lucía Gimeno Casanova, Luis Carazo Fernández, Luis Mateos Caballero, María José Torres Jaén, Manuel Agustín Sojo González, Manuel García Marron, Mar Mosteiro Añon, María Angeles Peña, María Jesús Rodríguez Nieto, Maria Purificación Jiménez, Marta Reche Frutos, Mercedes Cimarra Alvarez, Miguel Angel Díaz Palacios, Miguel Angel Tejedor Alonso, Patricia Mata Calderón, Pedro Cabrera-Navarro, Pilar Cebollero Rivas, Pilar Serrano Delgado, Rafael Llatser Oliva, Ramón Rodríguez Pacheco, Rosa Irigay Canals, Ruperto González Pérez, Sandra Dorado Arenas, Teodoro Montemayor Rubio, Vanesa Vicens Zygmunt, Héctor Manuel González Expósito, Marina Blanco Aparicio.

Funding

This study was funded by the Novartis Farmacéutica, S.A.

Disclosure

S Cabrejos reports personal fees from Novartis, GSK, ALK Abelló, Stallergenes, HAL Allergy, Astra Zeneca, Inmunotek, and Diater collaborations. A Moreira is currently a Medical Advisor at Novartis Farmacéutica S.A. A Ramirez is currently a Medical Communication Advisor at Novartis Farmacéutica S.A. S Quirce reports personal fees and nonfinancial supports from ALK, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GSK, Mundipharma, Novartis, Sanofi, and Teva (for the organization of educational events, advisory boards, and received speakers' honoraria). G Soto Campos reports personal fees (advisory boards and speakers' honoraria) from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, GSK, Chiesi, Bial and Menarini. I Dávila reports payment for lectures including service on speakers' bureaus from ALK-Abelló, Astra-Zeneca, Chiesi, Diater, GSK, Leti, Novartis, Sanofi, Stallergenes, Teva; consultancy from Allergy therapeutics, ALK-Abello, Astra-Zeneca, GSK, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi, and Stallergenes; grants from Merck and Thermo Fisher diagnostics. P Campo reports speaker fees from Bial and Novartis; advisory boards for Astra-Zeneca and GSK. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention 2019. Available from: https://ginasthma.org. Accessed October 18, 2019.
- Lotvall J, Akdis CA, Bacharier LB, et al. Asthma endotypes: a new approach to classification of disease entities within the asthma syndrome. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;127:355–360. doi:10.1016/ j.jaci.2010.11.037
- Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J.* 2014;43(2):343–373. doi:10.1183/09031936.00202013
- 4. Murphy KR, Meltzer EO, Blaiss MS, Nathan RA, Stoloff SW, Doherty DE. Asthma management and control in the United States: results of the 2009 asthma insight and management survey. *Allergy Asthma Proc.* 2012;33(1):54–64. doi:10.2500/aap.2011.32.3518
- 5. Lombardi C, Savi E, Ridolo E, Passalacqua G, Canonica GW. Is allergic sensitization relevant in severe asthma? Which allergens may be culprit? *World Allergy Organ J.* 2017;10(1):2. doi:10.1186/ s40413-016-0138-8
- Antonicelli L, Bucca C, Neri M, et al. Asthma severity and medical resource utilisation. *Eur Resp J.* 2004;23(5):723–729. doi:10.1183/ 09031936.04.00004904
- Palomares O, Sanchez-Ramon S, Davila I, et al. dIvergEnt: how IgE axis contributes to the continuum of allergic asthma and anti-IgE therapies. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2017;18:6. doi:10.3390/ijms18061328
- Tabatabaian F, Ledford DK. Omalizumab for severe asthma: toward personalized treatment based on biomarker profile and clinical history. J Asthma Allergy. 2018;11:53–61. doi:10.2147/JAA.S107982
- Corren J, Kavati A, Ortiz B, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in moderate-to-severe allergic asthmatics treated with omalizumab: a systematic literature review of randomized controlled trials. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2018;34(1):65–80. doi:10.1080/03007995.2017.1395734
- Xolair (Omalizumab). Summary of product characteristics. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/xolair. Accessed October 18, 2019..
- Humbert M, Beasley R, Ayres J, et al. Benefits of omalizumab as add-on therapy in patients with severe persistent asthma who are inadequately controlled despite best available therapy (GINA 2002 step 4 treatment): INNOVATE. *Allergy*. 2005;60(3):309–316. doi:10.1111/j.1398-9995.2004.00772.x
- Alhossan A, Lee CS, MacDonald K, Abraham I. "Real-life" effectiveness studies of omalizumab in adult patients with severe allergic asthma: meta-analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2017;5 (5):1362–1370 e2. doi:10.1016/j.jaip.2017.02.002
- MacDonald KM, Kavati A, Ortiz B, Alhossan A, Lee CS, Abraham I. Short- and long-term real-world effectiveness of omalizumab in severe allergic asthma: systematic review of 42 studies published 2008–2018. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2019;15(5):553–569. doi:10.1080/1744666X.2019.1574571

- Campo Mozo P, Soto-Campos JG, Blanco Aparicio M, et al. Severe asthma phenotypes in patients controlled with omalizumab: a real-world study. *Respir Med.* 2019;159:105804. doi:10.1016/j. rmed.2019.105804
- 15. Hanania NA, Wenzel S, Rosen K, et al. Exploring the effects of omalizumab in allergic asthma: an analysis of biomarkers in the EXTRA study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013;187(8):804–811. doi:10.1164/rccm.201208-1414OC
- Executive Committee GEMA 2009. GEMA 2009 (Spanish guidelines on the management of asthma). J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2010;20(Suppl 1):1–59.
- Juniper EF, O'Byrne PM, Guyatt GH, Ferrie PJ, King DR. Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure asthma control. *Eur Respir* J. 1999;14(4):902–907. doi:10.1034/j.1399-3003.1999.14d29.x
- Vega JM, Badia X, Badiola C, et al. Validation of the Spanish version of the Asthma Control Test (ACT). J Asthma. 2007;44(10):867–872. doi:10.1080/02770900701752615
- Cazzoletti L, Marcon A, Janson C, et al. Asthma control in Europe: a real-world evaluation based on an international population-based study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2007;120(6):1360–1367. doi:10.1016/j. jaci.2007.09.019
- 20. de Marco R, Cazzoletti L, Cerveri I, et al. Are the asthma guideline goals achieved in daily practice? A population-based study on treatment adequacy and the control of asthma. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* 2005;138(3):225–234. doi:10.1159/000088723
- Mansur AH, Srivastava S, Mitchell V, Sullivan J, Kasujee I. Longterm clinical outcomes of omalizumab therapy in severe allergic asthma: study of efficacy and safety. *Respir Med.* 2017;124:36–43. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2017.01.008

- Matucci A, Vultaggio A, Maggi E, Kasujee I. Is IgE or eosinophils the key player in allergic asthma pathogenesis? Are we asking the right question? *Respir Res.* 2018;19(1):113. doi:10.1186/s12931-018-0813-0
- 23. Manga V, Humbert M, Djukanovic R, et al. Blood Eosinophils and serum IgE predict response to omalizumab in patients with severe allergic asthma: innovate trial post-hoc analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;137(2):AB16. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2015.12.051
- 24. Bousquet J, Wenzel S, Holgate S, Lumry W, Freeman P, Fox H. Predicting response to omalizumab, an anti-IgE antibody, in patients with allergic asthma. *Chest.* 2004;125(4):1378–1386. doi:10.1378/ chest.125.4.1378
- 25. Humbert M, Taillé C, Mala L, Le Gros V, Just J, Molimard M. Omalizumab effectiveness in patients with severe allergic asthma according to blood eosinophil count: the STELLAIR study. *Eur Resp J.* 2018;51(5):1702523. doi:10.1183/13993003.02523-2017
- Casale TB, Luskin AT, Busse W, et al. Omalizumab effectiveness by biomarker status in patients with asthma: evidence from PROSPERO, a prospective real-world study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2019;7 (1):156–164 e1. doi:10.1016/j.jaip.2018.04.043
- 27. Papathanasiou E, Bakakos P, Hillas G, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of T2 biomarkers for the prediction of airway eosinophilia in treated smoking asthmatic patients with loss of asthma control. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020;8(1):385–377.
- Buhl R, Humbert M, Bjermer L, et al. Severe eosinophilic asthma: a roadmap to consensus. *Eur Respir J.* 2017;49(5):1700634. doi:10. 1183/13993003.00634-2017

Journal of Asthma and Allergy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Asthma and Allergy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials and commentaries on the following topics: Asthma; Pulmonary physiology; Asthma related clinical health; Clinical immunology and the immunological basis of disease; Pharmacological interventions and

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-asthma-and-allergy-journal

new therapies. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php

to read real quotes from published authors.