PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is an author's version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/91371

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2022-08-25 and may be subject to change.

Macro-invertebrate response to phosphorus levels in inland waters

2 Struijs^{1,*}, J, De Zwart¹, D., Posthuma¹, L., Leuven², R.S.E.W. and Huijbregts², M.A.J

3

- ⁴ ¹ RIVM, Laboratory for Ecological Risk Assessment (LER), The Netherlands;
- ⁵ ² Department of Environmental Science, Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Faculty of
- 6 Science, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- 7 * corresponding author: jaap.struijs@rivm.nl
- 8 This is an earlier version of the following article: Struijs J., De Zwart D., Posthuma L.,
- 9 Leuven R.S.E.W., Huijbregts M.A.J. 2011. Macro-invertebrate response to phosphorus
- 10 levels in inland waters. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management 7(2): 280-
- 11 **286, which has been published in final form at**
- 12 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ieam.141/abstract.

13

1 Abstract

- 2 The magnitude of ecological damage caused by elevated phosphorus concentrations in Dutch inland waters was
- 3 evaluated and expressed as the fraction of disappeared genera. We used national abundance data stored in the
- 4 Limnodata Neerlandica from 1980 until 2005. We derived the presence or absence in the concentration range
- 5 between 0.001 and 40 mg/l total phosphorus for 867 macro-invertebrate genera. At concentrations above 0.1 mg/l,
- 6 which is considered a signal of nutrient enrichment of freshwater, the fraction of disappeared macroinvertebrate
- 7 genera (DF) can be written as a logistic function of the phosphorus concentration (C_P), i.e. DF = 1/(1 + 4.07 \cdot C_P^{-1.11}).
- 8 This implies that half of the macro-invertebrate genera that potentially occur in freshwater in the Netherlands would
- 9 disappear at a phosphorus concentration of 3.5 mg/l. This field-based impact expression resembles the cumulative
- 10 sensitivity distribution function for a toxic substance, based on the Species Sensitivity Distribution (SSD) concept
- 11 and artificial exposure test data. While the SSD for a compound relies on laboratory sensitivity data for a small
- 12 number of species, the fraction of disappeared macro-invertebrate genera is here derived from field observations of
- 13 many macroinvertebrate genera at numerous phosphorus concentration intervals. By applying the new damage
- 14 function to measured phosphorus concentrations over the period 1975-2005 in the rivers Rhine, Meuse and Scheldt,
- 15 we found that the observed change in phosphorus concentrations would imply a loss of macro-invertebrates of 20-30
- 16 % initially to 5-10 % in 2005. The cumulative sensitivity distribution function for phosphorus from national
- 17 freshwater monitoring data can be applied in various environmental screening systems such as multi-stress impact
- 18 assessment of surface waters and in life cycle impact assessment of products.
- 19
- 20 Keywords: freshwater eutrophication, total phosphorus concentration, macro-invertebrate
- 21 sensitivity distribution, Limnodata Neerlandica
- 22

1 1 Introduction

2

3 The natural phosphorus cycle is the predominant source of phosphorus for organisms. For inland waters in temperate zones, phosphorus is most often the limiting nutrient (Crouzet et al., 1999) 4 5 and its natural availability regulates algae and macrophyte growth. In large regions, however, 6 industrial and agricultural sources exceed natural inputs by far. As a result, undesirable growth of 7 phytoplankton and floating plants may locally occur, with a chain of subsequent adverse 8 ecological effects such as oxygen depletion, production of biotoxins and decrease of habitat 9 diversity (Kristensen and Hansen, 1994). In densely populated industrial areas, eutrophication is 10 one of the major factors causing ecological impairments in inland waters: nutrient enrichment 11 accounts for about half of the ecologically impaired lake areas and 60% of the impaired river 12 reaches in the United States (Carpenter et al., 1998). When evaluated on a national scale, 13 phosphorus enrichment in the Dutch situation ranks higher as cause of ecological impairments in 14 surface waters than exposure to toxic substances (RIVM, 2000). 15 The unbalanced growth of phytoplankton and macrophytes causes freshwater to become 16 obfuscated and depleted from oxygen to an extent that life of macro-invertebrates (and fishes) has 17 become virtually impossible. Quantitative description of ecological impacts and analysis of their 18 probable causes in extensive water bodies is rather difficult, because the magnitude of the 19 impairments not only depends on nutrient enrichments, but also on local factors like topography and the physical and chemical nature of water bodies, as well as on earlier community responses 20 21 to all these factors. Moreover, when conditions such as nutrient loading approach a certain 22 critical level, the response pattern may not be a continuous increase of impact magnitudes but is 23 rather an unexpectedly strong change (Scheffer et al. 2001). As an example, phosphorus 24 enrichment may push the aquatic ecosystem over an apparent edge and may cause a shift to

another metastable state, with a completely different species composition and associated physico chemical consequences.

3 Several attempts have been made to assess ecological impairment quantitatively. As examples, 4 Smith et al. (2007) established a nutrient biotic index in order to score thresholds and 5 corresponding nutrient concentrations, above which invertebrate communities show impairment 6 due to increased stream water nutrient concentrations. Solheim and Gulati (2008) made attempts 7 to describe the relationships between ecological and chemical status, two key concepts in the EU-8 Water Framework Directive. According to these authors, knowledge of quantitative associations 9 is needed for a successful implementation of the Framework Directive. 10 Many studies of this kind however, have a short reach of application within the wide diversity of 11 aquatic ecosystems, due to focus on a limited range of water bodies, for example shallow or 12 oligotrophic lakes with specific characteristics (Leuven et al. 1987; Leuven, 1988), or water 13 systems that are under heavy anthropogenic pressure like ditches and streams in agricultural areas 14 (Chambers, et al. 2008) and urban drainage systems (Vermonden et al., 2009). This was also the 15 conclusion of Nijboer and Verdonschot (2004) who stated that the sensitivity of a stream to 16 eutrophication depends on local stream characteristics and that this aspect should be included in a 17 generally applicable model. 18 Protective and curative practical management decisions are currently served by, tools, such as life

19 cycle assessment of products and multi-stress ecological risk assessment of economic activities 20 (Kapo et al, 2009; De Zwart et al., 2006; De Zwart et al. 2009). There is a need for a quantitative 21 approach to assess the damage due to anthropogenic emissions on aquatic ecosystems in a way 22 that is scientifically justifiable, sufficiently robust and applicable to generic problems (like in 23 LCA) and for local assessments.

1	Multi-stress analysis of freshwater ecosystems implies the need to evaluate not only the
2	impairment due to nutrient enrichment, but also due to toxic stress, habitat destruction and a suite
3	of other stress factors. This was shown by, e.g., De Zwart et al. (2006), who conducted a
4	diagnostic analysis using freshwater (bio)monitoring data of natural waters in the State of Ohio,
5	USA. They derived, amongst others, multivariate empirical relationships between stressor
6	variables and fish species occurrences and abundances. As shown by De Zwart et al. (2009), such
7	explicit stressor-specific field-based concentration-response functions can be helpful in the
8	context of multi-stress analyses and the derivation of river basin management plans.
9	Concentration-response relationships, also known as Species Sensitivity Distributions (SSDs)
10	have been practically used for the risk assessment of toxic chemicals in the freshwater
11	environment since the 80-ties of the last century (Posthuma et al., 2002; De Vries et al., 2008),
12	but also for assessing the impacts of physical factors like suspended clays, sediment burial, and
13	grain size change in the marine environment (Smit et al., 2008), and for analysing the probable
14	impacts of acid deposition on European forests (Van Zelm et al., 2006). A quantitative
15	description of the concentration-response relationship between ambient phosphorus
16	concentrations and the occurrence of species for freshwater systems is however, still lacking.
17	For fill this gap, we propose a method to disclose the relationships between stressor variables and
18	species occurrences that are concealed in (bio)monitoring data, by analysing macro-invertebrate
19	occurrence and total phosphorus concentrations for freshwater systems (e.g., rivers, lakes and
20	ditches) in the Netherlands. Evidently, the same method can be applied to other stressors, and to
21	subsets of the data, or to larger geographies. In this paper we relate the disappearance of macro-
22	invertebrate genera from natural surface waters to the total phosphorus concentration in Dutch
23	inland waters.

24 The aims of this study were to:

1	1. Develop and describe a model to quantify ecological impacts as a function of the level of an		
2	ambient stressor variable, based on (bio)monitoring data (in this case concerning aquatic		
3	macro-invertebrate diversity affected by enhanced phosphorus exposure in temperate zones,		
4	using data from Dutch inland waters collected between 1980 and 2005);		
5	2. Illustrate its usefulness in model development, adapted to aquatic macro-invertebrate		
6	diversity affected by enhanced phosphorus exposure in temperate zones.		
7	3. Indicate the possibility to apply this model generically in decision support systems like		
8	environmental risk assessment and Life Cycle Impact Assessment.		
9	We note that the method can equally be used for selected water bodies.		
10			
11	1 2 Methodology		
12			
13	2.1 General concept		
14	We used field data stored in the Limnodata Neerlandica (STOWA, 2006) to analyze the		
15	abundance of macro-invertebrate genera in relation to the variability of phosphorus (P)		
16	concentrations. The diversity of macro-invertebrates is often considered a suitable and sensitive		
17	parameter to characterize the ecological condition of water, because of their relatively high		
18	abundance, high diversity and an often high but species-dependent sensitivity to changing		
19	environmental conditions (e.g. Metcalfe, 1989). Moreover, they are included in standard		
20	monitoring programs of water boards in the Netherlands and elsewhere.		
21	A sensitivity distribution at the species level could have been used to analyze trends in ecological		
22	impacts. For practical reasons however (e.g. taxonomic resolution of the available database and		
23	impossibility to identify all sampled invertebrates to species level) and considering arguments of		

Lenat & Resh (2001) regarding the effect of the taxonomic level on biological assessment, we
 used genera diversity as a starting point for modeling.

3

An empirical relationship between the number of "occurring genera" and the total phosphorus 4 5 concentration (Ptot) was derived from field data. Abundance data of macro-invertebrate genera are 6 useful provided these data were collected on locations where the concentration of P_{tot} is known. 7 Therefore we converted a large data set containing the concentration of P_{tot} and the abundance of 8 macro-invertebrate genera into a matrix of a binary variable, representing the presence or absence 9 of a specific genus in a Ptot concentration interval. The predictor or independent variable is the 10 Ptot concentration and the dependent variable is the dichotomous value for the macroinvertebrate 11 genera. This approach allows for an evaluation of the number of potentially occurring 12 macroinvertebrate genera, distributed over the Ptot concentration. Thus, whether a certain genus is 13 "occurring" (1) or "not occurring" (0) at a P_{tot} concentration depends on the presence of an 14 abundance number. Key questions are whether the number of occurring genera (N) displays a trend with Ptot 15 16 concentration and whether N has a single optimum (N_{max}). Conceivably, the latter maximum 17 would represent a genera richness that occurs under optimal conditions (minimal disturbance) for 18 all stressors: phosphorus is – at these sites – not the factor reducing genera diversity. In general, 19 that situation indicates the apparent lowest pressure of a suite of stressors on the ecosystems due 20 to human activities. 21

Apart from eutrophication, lower P levels may indicate oligotrophication, which may imply
 nutrient deficiency, reflected by a diminished diversity of macro-invertebrate genera at lower P
 concentrations. For assessing these data however, it should be taken into consideration that a low

diversity of macro-invertebrate genera at low P levels may also be due to anthropogenic stress
factors such as acidification. Nevertheless, a phosphorus concentration higher than the optimal
level is associated with nutrient enrichment, which may cause a diminished number of occurring
genera. Phosphorus concentrations higher than 0.1 mg/l are usually of anthropogenic origin
(Smith et al., 2003).

6

7 2.2 Data pre-treatment

8

9 **Raw data**. Monitoring data on (pelagic) aquatic species and chemical and physical parameters of 10 Dutch surface waters between June 1980 and March 2005 are stored in the Limnodata 11 Neerlandica (STOWA, 2006). From this database abundance data of macroinvertebrate and 12 concentrations of P_{tot} were selected. Each record, identified by a unique combination of location 13 and time, contains the observed abundance of a single macro-invertebrate taxon, or a single 14 abiotic parameter value (P_{tot}). 15 A set of 1 056 194 records with 2 790 different macrofauna taxa was combined with a set of 265 16 959 records of total phosphorus concentrations. The measured phosphorus concentrations were 17 log-normally distributed in the range of $0.0015 - 40 \text{ mg P}_{tot}/1$ (see Figure 1). Only one 18 concentration (244 mg/l) falls outside this range and because it is probably due to an artefact 19 (incidental spill or erroneous data input) it was discarded. 20 21 Aggregation of total phosphorus concentrations. If the monitoring frequency at a location was 22 higher than once per month, we calculated the monthly average of P_{tot}. This operation provided a 23 dataset that is suitable for comparison with the abundance data of macrofauna taxa as these data

- 25 dataset that is suitable for comparison with the abundance data of macrofauna taxa as these
- 24 were also aggregated on a monthly basis.

1 The concentration of P_{tot} was subdivided into intervals of one tenth of log units. The intervals 2 (denoted as log C_P with C_P in mg/l) used in the analysis range from -2.8 to 1.6 (1.6 µg/l to 39.8 3 mg/l). Because on the extreme sides some log C_P intervals were missing (at the low concentration 4 range -2.4, -2.2 and -2.1, and at the high concentration range 1.4 and 1.5) there were 40 different 5 log C_P intervals. After this step, 10 024 records identified by location, year and month and linked 6 to a log C_P interval remained. These records are distributed according to Figure 1.





Figure **1** Distribution of 348 658 data on occurrence of macroinvertebrate genera that all share a phosphorus concentration



1

2	Different taxa (1746) were aggregated to 867 different genera by taking the sum of abundances
3	of different taxa within one genus. These steps resulted in 348 903 genera abundance records,
4	distributed over log C _P intervals according to Figure S1 (Supporting Information). The set of
5	abundance records with attributes location, year, month and C _P interval (0.1 log units) occupies 4
6	% of the elements in a matrix of 867 genera records and 10 024 phosphorus records. Every record
7	out of 10 024 records of total phosphorus concentration was linked to at least one abundance
8	number with similar location, year and month.
9	Table S1 (Supporting Information) gives background information on the number of genera that
10	were counted over 8 different abundance ranges (for example observed abundance numbers
11	between 100 and 300) per C _P interval.
12	
13	Combining abundances that share a C_P interval. For each C_P interval the abundance data were
14	combined. Here "combined" has the meaning of counting genera with an abundance number in a
15	log C _P interval. A considerable number of genera (303 of the 867) appeared rare as these genera
16	occurred less than 10 times in the whole database (see Figure S2 in Supporting Information for

17 the number of genera per abundance range). This implies that in at least 30 out of 40 C_P intervals

18 a genus with this rate of occurrence is absent. In contrast, for example, 147 genera were found

19 more than 500 times.

For each genus and for each C_P interval an abundance number (one or higher) was converted into one (presence), while zero was assigned if no abundance number was recorded (absence). The matrix of 867 genera and 40 Cp intervals contains 12 954 ones and 21 726 zeros from which the "number of occurring genera" (N) was evaluated for every C_p interval simply by summing up. The result is the number of occurring genera for each C_p interval. These data were analysed using
the quantitative model.

3

4 2.3 Quantitative model for P in freshwater

5 To analyse the association between a (logarithmic) concentration interval of P_{tot} (hereafter denoted as C_P) and the number of genera, we applied the concept of Species Sensitivity 6 7 Distributions (SSD), developed in ecotoxicology (see Posthuma et al., 2002). This concept is 8 commonly used to describe a sigmoïdal association between a toxicant concentration in a 9 laboratory toxicity test, and the so-called Potentially Affected Fraction (PAF) of species (or 10 genera), based on a suite of test data for various taxa. This concept has been adapted to account 11 for essentiality of various (essential) metals, by taking into account the natural background 12 concentration of these metals, associated with a naturally affected fraction of species (Struijs et 13 al., 1996). Analogously, we apply this concept to the available (bio)monitoring data by equating 14 the maximum number of genera (N_{max}) with 100 % genera richness and the ratio N/N_{max} as a 15 measure of Disappeared Fraction (DF) which is a function of C_{P} :

16

17
$$DF = 1 - \frac{N(C_P)}{N_{\text{max}}}$$
 Equation 1

18

Obviously, N_{max} is lower than the total number of macroinvertebrate genera (N_{tot}) in the data set,
because genera neither do all co-occur in some P_{tot} interval nor in a natural water body. Some
genera require extreme oligotrophic conditions and others are opportunistic and are able to
survive severe levels of nutrient enrichment. We do not consider N_{tot} but rather N_{max} zero stress,

comparable to the procedure proposed in deriving risk limits for toxic but naturally occurring
 essential metals.

3

We postulate that the function DF has a sigmoïd shape on the eutrophication part on the log C_P axis, analogously to the cumulative log-normal (or log-logistic) representation of the distribution of sensitivity of species for toxic substances (Posthuma et al., 2002). Here we verify if a logistic model is appropriate to predict DF by testing the linearity of the logit function of DF to the data using linear regression. This would yield the parameters *a* and *b* that describe this logistic relationship:

10

11
$$\log it DF = \log \left[\frac{DF}{1 - DF} \right] = a + b \cdot \log C_P$$
 Equation 2

- 12
- 13
- 14 **3 Results**

15

16 *3.1. Model derivation*

Figure 2 displays the number of genera related to each of the 10 024 records but grouped in 40 log C_P intervals. Across Cp-intervals, there appears to be a dominant pattern in the number of genera, which is peaking near 0.1 mg/l with reduced highest diversity numbers towards the tails. Furthermore, the number of genera is often highly variable within specific C_p -intervals. For instance, the frame in Figure 2 encloses as many as 754 dots that all share $C_P = 0.1$ mg/l. Each dot represents a number of genera varying between 1 and 90. Apparently, low genera richness can be found at any trophic level, a high richness, however, only at C_P values around 0.1 mg/l. 1 Between 0.03 and 0.3 mg Ptot/l freshwater may accommodate any number of macroinvertebra 2 genera between 1 and 100. The latter reflects optimal environmental conditions and the absence 3 of environmental stressors, whereas the occurrence of only one genus indicates a great influence 4 of all other possible stressors and natural limiting environmental factors, such as low 5 temperature. Outside the 0.03 - 0.3 mg/l 'window', genera diversity declines, both at de- and 6 increasing phosphorus concentrations. At the lowest phosphorus concentrations, the declining 7 number of invertebrate genera may be a consequence of phosphorus limitation or a response to 8 other stress factors.





Figure **2** Distribution of 10 024 records of total phosphorus concentration (mg/L) subdivided into intervals of 0.1 ¹⁰log units

10

11 At the very high phosphorus concentrations (when C_P exceeds 3 mg/l) macroinvertebrate

- 12 diversity is considerably reduced, even if all other conditions would be optimal.
- 13 The analysis of 868 genera showed that there is no phosphorus concentration interval where they
- 14 all occur. There is however, an optimal concentration range between approximately 0.1 and 0.3

1 mg/l where approximately 600 different genera were counted (Figure 3). We consider this 2 number the reference diversity (N_{max}), with the absolute maximum in the bin occurring at a 3 phosphorus concentration of 0.1 mg/l. The decrease of the number of genera on the right side of 4 the curve reflects ecological damage associated to phosphorus enrichment, either directly and 5 solely, or as combination of phosphorus enrichments with other stressors (amongst which other 6 nutrients).

7



Figure **3** Number of genera plotted as 10 024 data points versus the logarithm of the phosphorus concentration (mg/L); each dot represents the number of genera counted at a specific concentration (one of the 10 024) within an interval of 10 log C_P equal to 0.1

8

9 The disappeared fraction of genera (DF) is derived from data points of Figure 3 according to

10 equation 1. The reference diversity N_{max} should be interpreted as the maximum number of pelagic

- 11 macro-invertebrate genera that could be encountered in a temperate climate at a phosphorus
- 12 concentration of 0.1 mg/l, summed up over all seasons and all water types. The result is shown in
- 13 Figure 4 where for $C_P \ge 0.3$ mg/l small circles represent DF due to nutrient enrichment.

1 The logit-model was fitted to the data in the eutrophication part of Figure 3, yielding:

2

3
$$\log it DF = \log \left[\frac{DF}{1 - DF} \right] = 1.11 \cdot \log C_P - 0.61$$
 Equation 3

4

5 This is represented by Figure 4.

6



7

Figure 4 Number of genera versus the phosphorus concentration

8 The slope and intercept were thus derived from linear regression of 20 data points between $C_P =$ 9 0.3 and 40 mg/l. This range covers exclusively eutrophic circumstances. Figure 4 confirms the 10 linearity of Equation 3 and the parameters a = -0.61 and b = 1.11 characterize the logistical 11 distribution of DF (Equation 3). The explained variance (R^2) is 99% and p < 0.001 indicates an 12 almost zero chance of lacking a logistic relationship between DF and log C_P . Equation 3 can be 13 rearranged according to:

1
$$DF = \frac{1}{1 + 4.07 \cdot C_P^{-1.11}}$$

Equation 4

2

Re-plotting the data on a concentration-effect plot (both on a log Cp-scale, or on a Cp-scale) the
logistic nature of DF is apparent for C_P higher than 0.1 mg/l (Figure 5). Above 0.3 mg/l
freshwater is genuinely eutrophic and genera richness is increasingly affected if C_P increases.
Therefore DF can be interpreted as ecological damage due to exposure of macro-invertebrate
genera to phosphorus.



Figure **5** Empirical (circles) and fitted (drawn line) disappeared fraction (DF) of macro-invertebrate genera versus enhanced phosphorus concentration (≥ 0.3 mg/L) on a logarithmic (a) and a linear horizontal axis (b). The triangles (a) are DF data not included in the fitting procedure (Figure b)

2



Figure 6 Logit function of DF versus ¹⁰log C_P for $C_P \ge 0.3$ mg/L

2

1

3 *3.2. Model application example*

4 Equation 4 was applied to evaluate the potentially disappeared fraction of macroinvertebrate 5 genera in the rivers Rhine, Meuse and Scheldt on the Dutch borders over the last decades, using 6 P-concentration measurements. The decline of phosphorus concentrations (shown in Table S2 7 Supporting Information) is associated with a degreasing trend in the potentially disappeared 8 fraction of macroinvertebrate genera. Figure 6 shows that the potential DF due to nutrient 9 enrichment in the rivers Meuse, Rhine and Scheldt was considerable in the early 70's (up to 10 30%), but gradually decreased to a level between 5 and 10 %. Since 1997 the phosphorus 11 concentrations reached a lower impact plateau in the river Rhine at an estimated DF = 0.05. 12

13 4 Discussion

1 The Disappeared Fraction of genera (DF) is an empirical function that describes the relationship 2 between a stressor variable in the field and its impact on taxa loss, and has similarities with the 3 Potentially Affected Fraction (PAF) of species based on Species Sensitivity Distribution (SSD), 4 according to Posthuma et al. (2002). While SSD and PAF for a toxic chemical rely on differences 5 in sensitivity of species as observed in laboratory tests, our approach has produced an estimate of 6 a similar kind as PAF which we called DF, the Disappeared Fraction of species. We derived and 7 illustrated the approach using data on total phosphorus in freshwater, based on (bio)monitoring 8 data with respect to macro-invertebrate genera and the concentration of phosphorus in many 9 freshwater samples. We considered the DF-concept useful for various purposes (see below). 10 Within the general DF-method, some key aspects require specific attention. Although on one 11 location at one moment the number of genera has never exceeded the value of 80, consolidation 12 of all abundance data that share $C_P = 0.1 \text{ mg/l yields}$ a number of genera (approximately 600) that 13 could be interpreted as the maximum number of macroinvertebrate genera that could occur. 14 Obviously, this number of genera will never be found simultaneously at one location, but rather 15 represents the potential of these genera showing up at a phosphorus concentration of 16 approximately 0.1 mg/l. The ratio N/N_{max} is therefore not a location-specific yardstick that can be 17 applied to assess the ecological health of individual aquatic ecosystems. It is rather a generic 18 indicator for nutrient enrichment. An individual freshwater ecosystem may be subject to 19 hysteresis (Scheffer et al., 2001) which implies that over a wide concentration range both high 20 and very low species richness can be found, depending on the stage of nutrient loading and 21 subsequent remediation.

22

We found a potential maximum of more than 600 macroinvertebrate genera in the concentration
interval around 0.1 mg/l. This value corresponds with the findings of Smith et al. (2003), who

1 reported that natural background concentrations of phosphorus in freshwater in North America 2 are below 0.1 mg/l. Higher concentrations are attributed to human activities. From field data we 3 have shown that above 0.1 mg/l increasing phosphorus concentrations more and more impair the 4 occurrence of these genera also in the Netherlands. There appears to be a surprising association 5 between the regulatory water quality objective with respect to P_{tot} for lakes in the European 6 Community, which is 0.15 mg/l (European Commission, 2000), and our modelling approach. Our 7 assessment appeared to result in a similar critical value. For this concentration our model can be 8 used to quantify a potentially disappeared fraction of macroinvertebrate genera, which is equal to 9 4.2 %. Despite this similarity, there are still various limitations and assumptions associated to the 10 approach we applied. Below, these issues are discussed.

11

12 First of all, a field-based technique will conceptually never result in a real causal relationship 13 between phosphorus concentration (or any other stressor variable) and macroinvertebrate 14 diversity. This constitutes a fundamental source of uncertainty in the analysis and also in the 15 application of the model to potential effects in the three rivers (Figure 6). Penning et al. (2008) 16 used the REBECCA database to analyze species richness of macrophytes in European lakes. 17 They concluded that the absolute value of an observed species richness as an indicator for 18 eutrophication is of limited value, because low species richness was found across the whole 19 trophic gradient. These findings are comparable to our analysis. However, we introduced the 20 concept of the potentially occurring number of macroinvertebrate genera with respect to a 21 maximum number, which revealed a generalized association between potential impacts of 22 exposure to phosphorus and changes of taxa diversity (biodiversity).

Second, we focused on macro-invertebrates only, neglecting the potential impact of phosphorus concentrations on the occurrence of other taxonomic groups in fresh waters. However, numerous genera of macro-invertebrates occur in many types of freshwater systems, each having its own specific environmental requirements and sensitivity to stressors (Van de Brink & Ter Braak, 1998; Dyer & Belanger, 1999). Macroinvertebrate diversity is therefore technically suitable to indicate deterioration of the aquatic ecosystem.

7

8 Third, the construction of a table, containing a "binary chance of occurring" by assigning one or 9 zero to each genus for each Ptot concentration interval, includes several critical steps in handling 10 the data. For example, all abundance data within a concentration range of 0.1 log unit were 11 aggregated, regardless location or time. Records referring to the wintertime, when only few 12 macrofauna species are observable in temperate zones, were also included in the analysis. In the 13 current study, we deliberately took as many circumstances as available in the database into 14 account, anticipating that the derived damage function (DF) would then be based on as much 15 data as possible, while being applicable to analyze "national" environmental problems, like an 16 assessment of the potential biological relevance of water quality management for the large rivers 17 over time in terms of fauna diversity. It is acknowledged that, given the same approach, 18 environmental problem settings could focus on certain water types (e.g., lakes). This might result 19 in another DF-function, and hence refined analysis results (e.g. another critical value for 20 naturally oligotrophic lakes). In other words: choosing the "training set" should relate to the 21 problems that need be solved. Our analyses currently focus on a large-scale dataset, covering a 22 wide range of conditions and water bodies, so as to derive a damage function, to be applied e.g. 23 in decision support tools, that would stretch beyond Dutch borders. Struijs et al. (2010) have 24 applied this concept in Life Cycle Impact Assessment of industrial products, with regard to

1	assessing the relative biological relevance of emission of substances that could lead to	
2	enrichment of inland waters in temperate zones (European Union). This problem definition is	
3	highly relevant to help designing industrial products with low environmental impacts, but does	
4	not "allow" for (water-body) specific details.	
5		
6	Fourth, although N \leq N _{max} may be interpreted as loss of genera, at C _P \leq 0.1 mg/l this does not	
7	necessarily reflect natural nutrient deficiency only. It could be the result of anthropogenic	
8	interventions, for example it may represent a pristine oligothropic ecosystem affected by	
9	acidification (Leuven et al., 1987; Leuven 1988). Furthermore, a combination of low C_P and	
10	relatively high species diversity may also occur in spring when surface water rich in species ma	у
11	almost entirely loose its phosphorus due to a fast uptake by aquatic macrophytes or algae.	
12		
13	5. Conclusion	
14		
15	The following targets were reached:	
16	1. Conceptually associated to the Species Sensitivity Distribution model as applied in the risk	
17	assessment of toxic chemicals, it appeared possible to derive a general model to describe a	
18	Disappeared Fraction (DF) of taxa as a function of a stressor variable, based on	
19	(bio)monitoring data.	
20	2. The general model derivation was applied to assess ecological damage due to nutrient	
21	enrichment (especially phosphorus) in temperate inland waters of the Netherlands. Macro-	
22	invertebrates constitute an important fauna group in aquatic ecosystems. A major stressor of	
23	freshwater ecosystems in temperate regions is the phosphorus concentration, especially in	

1	From field data we have shown how increasing phosphorus concentrations above 0.1 mg/l
2	impairs the diversity of macroinvertebrate genera. In this case, the DF-model was: $DF = 1/(1$
3	+ $4.07 \cdot C_{P}^{-1.11}$). The analyses for phosphorus yielded a critical phosphorus concentration very
4	close to the current regulatory water quality objective for phosphorus (0.15 mg P_{tot}/l) in the
5	Water Framework Directive of the EU. According to the derived function, this value
6	corresponds to a potential biodiversity loss of 4.2 %. Moreover, application of the function to
7	the water quality of the rivers Rhine, Meuse and Scheldt suggested that the reduction of
8	phosphorus loads in these rivers during the last decades would be associated to lower values
9	of DF, towards a possibly realistic plateau of low impacts.
10	3. Application of the model derivation approach is useful in various contexts, amongst which
11	decision support systems such as life cycle assessment and multistress analysis of freshwaters
12	in temperate regions.
13	
14	Acknowledgments: We thank Niels Evers (Royal Haskoning) who made the database available

15 for our study.

1	Liter	ature

3	Brack, W., Bakker, J., De Deckere, E., Deerenberg, C., Van Gils, J., Hein, M., Jurajda, P., Kooijman, B., Lamoree,
4	M., Lek, S., López de Alda, MJ., Marcomini, A., Muñoz, I., Rattei, S., Segner, H., Thomas,,K. Von der Ohe,
5	P.C., Westrich, B., 2005. MODELKEY - Models for assessing and forecasting the impact of environmental key
6	pollutants on freshwater and marine ecosystems and biodiversity. Environ. Sci. & Pollut. Res. 12, 252-256.
7	Carpenter, SR, Caraco, NF, Correll, DL, Howarthet RW, Sharpley, AN, and Smith VH, 1998. Nonpoint pollution of
8	surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. Ecological Applications 8, 559-568.
9	Chambers, P.A., Vis, C.; Brua, R.B., Guy, M., Culp, J.M., Benoy, G.A., 2008. Eutrophication of agricultural
10	streams: defining nutrient concentrations to protect ecological condition. Water Sc. Tech., 58, 2203-2210.
11	Crouzet, P., Leonard, J., Nixon, S., Rees, Y., Parr, W., Laffon, L., Bogestrand, J., Kristensen, P., Lallana, C., Izzo,
12	G., Bokn, T., Bak, J., Lack, T.J., Thyssen, N. (eds.) 1999. Nutrients in European ecosystems. European
13	Environment Agency, Copenhagen, Environmental assessment report, no 4.
14	Dyer, S.D. Belanger, S.E. 1999. Determination of the sensitivity of macroinvertebrates in stream mesocosms through
15	field-derived assessments. Environ. Tox. Chem., 18, 2903-2907.
16	De Zwart, D., Posthuma, L., Gevrey, M., Von der Ohe, P.C., De Deckere, E., 2009. Diagnosis of ecosystem
17	impairment in a multiple stress context - how to formulate effective river basin management plans. Integrated
18	Environmental Assessment and Management 5, 38-49 (DOI: 10.1897/IEAM_2008-030.1).
19	De Zwart, D., Dyer, S.D., Posthuma, L., Hawkins, C.P., 2006. Predictive models attribute effects on fish
20	assemblages to toxicity and habitat alteration. Ecological Applications 16, 1295-1310.
21	De Vries, P. Tamis, J.E., Murk, A.J., Smit, M.G.D., 2008. Development and application of a species sensitivity
22	distribution for temperature-induced mortality in the aquatic environment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 27, 2591-
23	2598.
24	European Commission 2000. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October
25	2000 of establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy Publisher?
26	Hewlett, R., 2000. Implications of taxonomic resolution and sample habitat for stream classification at a broad
27	geographic scale. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 19, 352-361.
28	Kapo, K.E., Burton, G.A.J., De Zwart, D., Posthuma, L., Dyer, S.D., 2009. In Press. Quantitative lines of evidence
29	for screening-level diagnostic assessment of regional fish community impacts: a comparison of spatial database
30	evaluation methods. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42: 9412-9418.
31	Kristensen P., Hansen, H.O., 1994. European rivers and lakes. Assessment of their environmental state. European
32	Environmental Agency, Copenhagen, EEA environmental monographs 1.
33	Lenat, D.R., Resh, V.H., 2001. Taxonomy and stream ecology - The benefits of genus and species-level
34	identifications. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 20, 287-298.
35	Leuven, R.S.E.W., J.A. van der Velden, J.A.M. Vanhemelrijk & G. van der Velde, 1987. Impact of acidification on
36	chironomid communities of poorly buffered waters in the Netherlands. Entomologica Scandinavia Supplement
37	29, 269-280.

- 1 Leuven, R.S.E.W., 1988. Impact of acidification on aquatic ecosystems in The Netherlands, with emphasis on
- 2 structural and functional changes. PhD-thesis Radboud University Nijmegen. Krips Repro, Meppel. 181 p.
- 3 Metcalfe, J.L., 1989. Biological water quality assessment of running waters based on macroinvertebrate
- 4 communities: history and present status in Europe. Environmental Pollution 60, 101-139.
- Nijboer, R.C., Verdonschot, P.F.M. (2004). Variable selection for modelling effects of eutrophication on stream and
 River ecosystems. Ecol. Model.177, 17-39.
- O'Toole, C., Donohue, I., Moe S. J. Irvine, K.,2008. Nutrient optima and tolerances of benthic invertebrates, the
 effects of taxonomic resolution and testing of selected metrics in lakes using an extensive European data base,
 Aquat. Ecol 42: 277–291.
- 10 Penning, W.E., Dudley, B., Mjelde, M., Hellsten, S., Hanganu, J., Kolada, A., Van den Berg, M., Poikane, S.,
- Phillips, G., Willby, N., Ecke, F., 2008. Using aquatic macrophyte community indices to define the ecological
 status of European lakes. Aquat. Ecol. 42, 253–264. doi:10.1007/s10452-008-9183-x
- Posthuma, L., Suter, G.W., Traas, T.P. (eds.), 2002. Species Sensitivity Distribution in Ecotoxicology. Lewis
 Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- 15 RIVM, 2000. Environmental Outlook 2000-2030. Samson H.D. Tjeenk Willink bv, Alphen aan den Rijn. (in Dutch)
- Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S., Foley, J.A., Folke, C., Walker, B., 2001. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. Nature 413,
 591-596.
- Smit, M.G.D, Holthaus, K.I.E, Trannum, H.C., Neff, J.M., Kjeilen-Eilertsen, G., Jak, R.G., Singsaas, I, Huijbregts,
 M.A.J., Hendriks, A.J. (2008). Species Sensitivity Distributions for suspended clays, sediment burial, and grain
 size change in the marine environment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 27, 1006-1012.
- Smith, R.A., Alexander, R.B., Schwarz, G.E., 2003. Natural Background Concentrations of Nutrients in Streams and
 Rivers of the Conterminous United States. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34, 3039-3048.
- Smith, A.J., Bode, R.W., Kleppel, G.S., 2007. A nutrient biotic index (NBI) for use with benthic macroinvertebrate
 communities. Ecological Indicators 7, 371–386.
- Solheim, A.L., Gulati, R.D., 2008. Preface: 'Quantitative ecological responses for the Water Framework Directive
 related to eutrophication and acidification of European lakes'. Aquat. Ecol. 42, 179–181.
- Solheim, A.L., Rekolainen, S., Jannicke Moe, S. Carvalho, L., Phillips, G., Ptacnik, R., Penning, W.E., Toth, L.G.,
 O'Toole, C., Schartau, A-K.L., Hesthagen, T., 2008. Ecological threshold responses in European lakes and their
- 29 applicability for the Water Framework Directive (WFD) implementation: synthesis of lakes results from the
- 30 REBECCA project. Aquat. Ecol. 42, 317–334.
- 31 STOWA, 2006. Limnodata Neerlandica 2000–2005. http://www.limnodata.nl
- Struijs, J., Van de Meent, D., Peijnenburg, W.J.G.M., Van den Hoop, M.A.G.T. Crommentuijn, T., 1997. Added
 Risk Approach to Derive Maximum Permissible Concentrations for heavy metals: How to Take Natural
- 34 Background Levels into Account. Ecotoxicol.Environ.Saf. 37, 112-118.
- 35 Struijs, J., Beusen, A.H.W., De Zwart, D. and Huijbregts, M.A.J (2010). Characterization factors for inland water
- 36 eutrophication at the damage level in life cycle impact assessment. Submitted for publication in Int. J. LCA

- 1 Van Zelm, R., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Van Jaarsveld, H.A., Reinds, G.J., Struijs, J., De Zwart, D., Van de Meent, D.
- 2 2006. Time horizon dependent characterization factors for acidification in life-cycle impact assessment based on
 3 the disappeared fraction of plant species in European forests. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 922-927.
- 4 Vermonden, K., Leuven R.S.E.W., Van der Velde, G., Van Katwijk, M.M., Roelofs, J.G.M., Hendriks, A.J. 2009.
- 5 Urban drainage systems: An undervalued habitat for aquatic macroinvertebrates. Biol. Conserv. 142, 1105-
- 6 1115.
- Van den Brink, P.J., Ter Braak, C.J.F., 1998. Multivariate analysis of stress in experimental ecosystems by Principal
 Response Curves and similarity analysis. Aquatic Ecology 32, 163–178.