



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Fine-scale spatial distribution of orchid mycorrhizal fungi in the soil of host-rich grasslands

This is a pre print version of the following article:	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1597350	since 2017-05-11T10:22:46Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1111/nph.14286	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to th of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author of protection by the applicable law.	ne terms and conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)





This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Samuele, Voyron; Enrico, Ercole; Stefano, Ghignone; Silvia, Perotto; Mariangela, Girlanda. Fine-scale spatial distribution of orchid mycorrhizal fungi in the soil of host-rich grasslands. NEW PHYTOLOGIST. None pp: 1-12.

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1597350

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

1	Fine-scale spatial distribution of orchid mycorrhizal fungi in the soil of host-rich
2	grasslands
3	
4	Samuele Voyron ^{1*} , Enrico Ercole ^{1*} , Stefano Ghignone ² , Silvia Perotto ^{1,2} , Mariangela Girlanda ^{1,2}
5	
6	¹ Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Torino, Viale Mattioli 25,
7	10125 Torino, Italy
8	² CNR-Istituto per la Protezione Sostenibile delle Piante, UOS Turin (CNR-IPSP), Viale Mattioli
9	25, 10125 Torino, Italy
10	
11	* These authors contributed equally to the work
12	
13	Author for correspondence:
14	Mariangela Girlanda
15	Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology
16	University of Turin
17	Viale P.A. Mattioli 25
18	10125 Torino, Italy
19	Phone: ++39 011 670 5968
20	Fax: ++39 011 670 5962
21	mail: mariangela.girlanda@unito.it

22

23 Abstract

Mycorrhizal fungi are essential for the survival of orchid seedlings under natural conditions.
The distribution of these fungi in soil can constrain the establishment and resulting spatial
arrangement of orchids at the local scale, but the actual extent of occurrence and spatial patterns
of orchid mycorrhizal (OrM) fungi in soil remain largely unknown.

• We addressed the fine-scale spatial distribution of OrM fungi in two orchid-rich Mediterranean grasslands by means of high-throughput sequencing of fungal ITS2 amplicons, obtained from soil samples collected either directly beneath, or at a distance from, adult *Anacamptis morio* and *Ophrys sphegodes* plants.

Like ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycobionts, OrM fungi (tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid,
sebacinoid and pezizoid fungi) exhibited significant horizontal spatial autocorrelation in soil.
However, OrM fungal read numbers did not correlate with distance from adult orchid plants, and
several of these fungi were extremely sporadic or undetected even in the soil samples containing
the orchid roots.

Orchid mycorrhizal 'rhizoctonias' are commonly regarded as unspecialized saprotrophs. The
 sporadic occurrence of mycobionts of grassland orchids in host-rich stands questions the view of
 these mycorrhizal fungi as capable of sustained growth in soil.

40

41 Keywords

42 Fungal communities, Tulasnellaceae, Tulasnella calospora, Ceratobasidiaceae, Serendipitaceae,

43 Sebacinales, Pezizaceae, Orchidaceae

44

45 INTRODUCTION

46

47 Spatial processes play a key role in determining the structure and dynamics of plant 48 communities. In particular, the spatial distribution of soil organisms, such as soil borne 49 mutualists and pathogens of plant roots, likely operates as a driver of spatial patterns of species 50 within plant communities and, ultimately, plant community diversity (Ettema & Wardle, 2002). 51 For instance, spatial heterogeneity in soil mutualists alters the outcome of plant competition 52 (Abbott et al., 2015). Since mycorrhizal fungi play key functions in plant biology (Smith & 53 Read, 2008; van der Heijden et al., 2015), the spatial structure of their communities has attracted 54 considerable interest in the last decade (e.g. Lilleskov et al., 2004; Lekberg et al., 2007; Bahram 55 et al., 2015a). These studies have mainly focused on the communities of ectomycorrhizal (EcM) 56 and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, the dominant symbionts in agricultural and woodland 57 ecosystems. By contrast, spatial patterns of orchid mycorrhizal (OrM) fungi in soil remain 58 largely unknown.

59 Plant dependency on compatible mycorrhizal fungi is extreme in orchids because 60 germination of the tiny orchid seeds, almost devoid of nutritional reserves, and development of 61 the heterotrophic protocorm require colonization by fungi providing organic carbon (Smith & 62 Read, 2008; Rasmussen & Rasmussen, 2014). Orchids retain their mycorrhizal partnerships at 63 adulthood when, due to their characteristically poorly developed roots, they are thought to be 64 still heavily reliant on mycorrhizal fungi for their mineral nutrition (Waterman & Bidartondo, 65 2008). In addition, species that develop photosynthetic tissues may still supplement photosynthesis with fungal-derived organic carbon (Selosse & Roy, 2009; Kuga et al., 2014; 66 Stöckel et al., 2014). 67

Due to their vital role in plant survival, it has been proposed that the spatial distribution of 68 69 symbiotic fungi could forcefully constrain the establishment and resulting distribution of orchids 70 (McCormick & Jacquemyn, 2014). Many OrM fungi are likely widespread at the scale of tens to 71 hundreds of kilometres, and orchid distribution essentially limited by seed dispersal. By contrast, 72 at the local (metre) scale spatial patterns are presumably driven by other factors (McCormick et 73 al., 2016). Indeed, at this scale many orchid species feature small population sizes and a 74 scattered occurrence. Although seed dispersal limitation across limited distances (Jersáková & 75 Malinová, 2007) has been invoked to account for this highly aggregated distribution, 76 observational studies have provided some evidence that it is not necessarily the primary cause 77 (Jacquemyn et al., 2007, 2009). Rather, such an arrangement suggests recruitment limitation resulting from patchiness of appropriate (micro)environmental conditions favorable to seed germination and plant development, including the presence of compatible fungi (McCormick & Jacquemyn, 2014). Furthermore, sympatric orchid species often exhibit both strong spatial segregation and association with distinct fungi (Waterman *et al.*, 2011; Jacquemyn *et al.*, 2012, 2014). Taken together, these observations point to a highly patchy distribution of OrM fungi in the environment.

84 In some cases, orchid seeds can germinate at sites devoid of adults (Těšitelová et al., 2012; 85 McCormick & Jacquemyn, 2014), suggesting that recruitment restrictions may operate at later 86 developmental stages (Selosse, 2014). In other instances, comparisons of the spatial distribution 87 of seedlings and adults in several European (Diez, 2007; Jacquemyn et al., 2007, 2012) and 88 Australian (Batty et al., 2001) orchids revealed that seed germination was restricted to areas 89 where adults occurred, indicating convergent requirements by juveniles and adult plants. These 90 observations suggest that locations where these orchids can reach maturity may be sites with a 91 persistent occurrence of OrM fungi, whereas fungi or environmental conditions in microsites 92 without existing adults may be ephemeral (McCormick & Jacquemyn, 2014; McCormick et al., 93 2016).

94 The identity of OrM symbionts of adult plants largely depends on the identity and habitat of 95 the orchid host (Dearnaley et al., 2012). In particular, photosynthetic orchids in sunny grassland 96 habitats mainly associate, both as seedlings and as adult plants, with fungi in the 'rhizoctonia' 97 complex sensu lato (Smith & Read, 2008; Dearnaley et al., 2012; Rasmussen & Rasmussen, 98 2014), a polyphyletic assemblage encompassing Agaricomycetes belonging to the 99 Serendipitaceae (Sebacinales; Weiß et al., 2016), Ceratobasidiaceae and Tulasnellaceae 100 (Roberts, 1999; Taylor et al., 2002; Weiß et al., 2004). The Tulasnellaceae, in particular, are the 101 most frequently found OrM fungi in both temperate and tropical regions (Dearnaley et al., 2012). 102 There is a common assumption that most OrM rhizoctonias are unspecialized soil 103 saprotrophs, based on their fast growth in vitro (e.g. Smith & Read, 2008; Nurfadilah et al., 104 2013; Bahram, et al. 2015a). However, works on Sebacinales and Ceratobasidiaceae in 105 particular, indicate that the phylogenetic diversity of these rhizoctonias parallels a variety of ecological/nutritional strategies, including the ability to establish mycorrhizal or non-106 107 mycorrhizal endophytic associations with non-orchid plants (Weiß et al., 2004; Selosse et al., 2002, 2007, 2009; Oberwinkler et al., 2013; Tedersoo & Smith, 2013; Veldre et al., 2013). By 108 109 contrast, the ecology of the Tulasnellaceae is largely understudied (Selosse, 2014; Selosse & 110 Martos, 2014).

111 Experimental burial of orchid seed packets confirms that many rhizoctonias can occur in the 112 environment independently of orchid roots (Těšitelová et al., 2012; McCormick & Jacquemyn, 113 2014). While it is suspected that the main ecological niche of OrM rhizoctonias exists out of 114 orchid hosts (Dearnaley et al., 2012; Selosse & Martos, 2014), the actual extent of their 115 occurrence in the soil habitat, their spatial distribution in the environment, their nutrient 116 demands, and their fine-scale propagation remain largely unknown. OrM fungi are rarely, if ever, 117 retrieved in meta-barcoding studies of soil fungi (e.g. Buée et al., 2009; Orgiazzi et al., 2012; 118 2013; Schmidt et al., 2013), the main exceptions being investigations targeting EcM 119 communities, due to the reported ability of some OrM fungi to establish EcM symbiosis with 120 tree plants (e.g. Selosse et al., 2002; Tedersoo et al. 2008, 2014; McCormick et al., 2009; 121 Tedersoo & Smith, 2013). However, biased fungal community descriptions may derive from the 122 use of primers excluding particular fungal taxa (Bellemain et al., 2010; Ihrmark et al., 2012; 123 Lindahl et al., 2013). The Tulasnellaceae, for instance, exhibit accelerated evolution of the 124 nuclear ribosomal operon, causing most conventional fungal primers to fail in polymerase chain 125 reaction (PCR) amplification of their ITS (Taylor & McCormick, 2008; Waud et al., 2014; 126 Tedersoo et al., 2015). Thus far, however, the occurrence of OrM fungi in soil has not been 127 thoroughly investigated by means of specific primers.

128

129 In this study, we addressed the fine-scale spatial distribution of OrM fungi in the soil of two 130 orchid-rich Mediterranean grasslands, by combining high throughput (Illumina MiSeq) 131 sequencing of fungal ITS2 amplicons obtained from soil-extracted DNA using both generalist 132 and taxon-specific primers, and phylogenetic comparison of soil-derived and root-derived 133 sequences. Soil samples were collected underneath and at distance from adult plants of 134 Anacamptis morio Bateman, Pridgeon & Chase and Ophrys sphegodes Mill., whose mycorrhizal 135 associations had been previously described both in the study area and elsewhere (Illyes et al., 136 2009; Liebel et al., 2010; Bailarote et al., 2012; Jacquemyn et al., 2014, 2015; Ercole et al., 137 2014). We specifically hypothesized that: 1) as for other mycorrhizal symbionts, the distribution 138 of OrM fungi in soil is spatially structured, and 2) OrM fungi in soil co-occur, at the fine scale, 139 with their adult orchid hosts.

140

141

142 MATERIALS AND METHODS

143 Study sites, plant species and sampling

144 The study area is a Mediterranean grassland in northern Italy. The site is characterized by 145 patches of meadows and pastures (assigned to the association Festuco-Brometalia; Braun-146 Blanquet, 1964), interleaved by woods and shrubs-dominated plots. It is located 460 m asl, in a 147 transition zone between Mediterranean and sub-Atlantic climates. This area features a high 148 richness in orchid species (Girlanda et al., 2006, 2011; Ercole et al., 2014). We focused on 149 Anacamptis morio Bateman, Pridgeon & Chase and Ophrys sphegodes Mill., two widespread 150 Euro-Mediterranean orchids growing in grassland habitats (Kretzschmar et al., 2007). Both 151 species belong to the Orchideae tribe in the Orchidoideae subfamily of Orchidaceae (Bateman et 152 al., 2003), and have been described as fully photoautotrophic orchids (Liebel et al., 2010; Ercole 153 et al., 2014). They are winter-green perennial tuberous plants in which, after summer dormancy, the underground bulbous tuber produces a basal rosette of leaves and some roots. In these plants, 154 155 as in most orchidioid species, new roots appear from late summer to autumn, but a few more 156 may form in spring (Rasmussen, 1995). In A. morio, seed germination was found to be 157 significantly related to the distance to the nearest congeneric adult (Jacquemyn et al., 2012). 158 Adult plants of both orchid species establish mycorrhizal associations with a diverse fungal 159 spectrum dominated by Tulasnellaceae and Ceratobasidiaceae (Basidiomycota); A. morio also 160 frequently associates with fungi in the Pezizaceae (Ascomycota) (Illyes et al., 2009; Liebel et al., 161 2010; Bailarote et al., 2012; Ercole et al., 2014; Jacquemyn et al., 2014, 2015).

Sampling was carried out in early October 2012 at two sites, located 500 m apart within the study area. At the first site, *A. morio* and *O. sphegodes* co-occurred, although with a limited spatial overlap (Fig. 1). By contrast, *O. sphegodes* did not occur at the second site, where sampling was performed within a dense *A. morio* population (Fig. 1). Plants of either orchid species exhibited positive spatial autocorrelation at either site (Moran's I test, P<0.0001). Nearby, adult individuals of other orchid species (mostly *Neotinea tridentata, Orchis purpurea* and *Serapias vomeracea*) were also observed at either site.

169 Soil cores (approx. 10 X 10 cm to 20 cm depth) containing the roots of adult orchid plants 170 were collected at both sites. These plants (six to eight individuals per orchid species per site) 171 were randomly chosen within the respective populations (which consisted of 16-78 individuals). 172 For 10 of these plants (5 per species), root samples were collected (four roots per individual). A. 173 morio roots were collected only at site 1. Additional soil samples were collected along 160 cm-174 long transects, directed away from neighbouring orchids, established around orchid plants 175 located at the margin of each population at either site, and thus running into orchid free 176 vegetation. Around each plant (five individuals per orchid species per site), samples were taken 177 at five distances (0, 20, 40, 80 and 160 cm) from the target plant (Fig. 1). Soil samples were sieved (2 mm) to remove fine roots and large organic debris, independently stored in ice upon
collection and transported to the laboratory. Overall, 80 soil samples and 10 root samples were
analyzed.

181

182 DNA extraction, PCR amplification and amplicon sequencing

Prior to DNA extraction, soil samples were checked under a stereomicroscope for the absence of orchid seedlings. Following soil homogenization, three 0.5 g subsamples per soil core were taken and total genomic DNA was extracted from the pooled 1.5 g samples. Three independent extractions from each composite soil sample were performed using the FastDNA Kit (MP Biomedicals, LLC, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

188 Genomic DNA was also extracted from fungal pelotons manually isolated from orchid 189 mycorrhizal roots. Roots were rinsed with tap water and sonicated. Each root (which was approx. 190 7-8 cm long) was cut into approx. 5-cm-long segments, which were microscopically checked for 191 fungal colonization. Such a microscopic observation revealed the occurrence of many active 192 pelotons in the newly formed roots of both A. morio and O. sphegodes. Highly colonized root 193 segments were teased with a sterile scalpel in a 6 cm Petri dish containing 5 ml of sterile water, 194 in order to release the pelotons. Pelotons were collected with a micropipette and transferred in 195 PCR tubes. Before PCR amplification, the pool of pelotons obtained from each plant was disrupted by heat shock (10 min at 95°C) in 10 µl 1X PCR buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). 196

197 The quality and quantity of DNA samples from soil and roots was assessed by 198 spectrophotometry (ND-1000 Spectrophotometer NanoDropH; Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, 199 Germany). The nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) region was amplified from 200 all DNA extracts by means of a semi-nested PCR approach. In the first PCR, the entire ITS 201 (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) region was amplified either the generic fungal primer pair ITS1F-ITS4 (White 202 et al., 1990; Gardes & Bruns, 1993) (hereinafter, referred to as the "ITS primer pair"), or the 203 ITS1-OF and ITS4-OF primers, specifically designed for orchid mycorrhizal fungi (Taylor & 204 McCormick, 2008) (hereinafter, the "OF primer pair"). For the second PCR, ITS3mod and ITS4 205 (White et al., 1990) tagged primers were used to amplify the ITS2 region. ITS3mod is a 206 modified version of ITS3: 5'-CAATCGATGAACAACGYWGC-3'. Each DNA extract was 207 amplified in three replicates.

The first PCR was performed using 0.4U of Phusion High Fidelity DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Courtaboeuf, France), 1x Phusion HF buffer, 0.5μ M of ITS or OF primers, 0.2mM of each dNTPs and 1µl of genomic DNA (20ng), in a final volume of 20µl. For the ITS primer pair, the PCR conditions used were: 5 min at 95°C, 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 212 s at 54°C and 1 min at 72°C, followed by 10 min at 72°C. For OF primers the PCR conditions 213 used were: 2 min at 96°C, 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 40 s at 58°C and 45s at 72°C, followed by 214 10 min at 72°C. Each PCR product was checked on agarose gel, and diluted at 1/50 to use as 215 template in the nested PCR. The nested PCR was carried out using 1U of Phusion High Fidelity 216 polymerase, 1x HF buffer, 0.5µM of the primers ITS3mod and ITS4 (White et al., 1990) with 217 barcodes, 0.2µM of each dNTPs and 2µl of diluted PCR product, in a total volume of 50µl. PCR 218 conditions were 30 s at 98°C, 30 cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 30 s at 64°C and 20 s at 72°C, followed 219 by 10 min at 72°C. All PCRs were performed using a T3000 thermal cycler (Biometra GmbH, 220 Germany). PCR products were checked on agarose gel, and the three replicates of each sample 221 were pooled and purified using The Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, 222 USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. After quantification with Qubit 2.0 (Life 223 Technologies), the purified PCR products were mixed in equimolar amounts to prepare 224 sequencing libraries. The libraries were paired-end sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq 225 technology (2 X 250 bp) by Fasteris (Plan-les-Ouates, Switzerland).

226

227 Bioinformatic analyses

Paired-end reads from each library were initially merged using PEAR v0.9.2 (Zhang *et al.*, 2014), with the quality score threshold for trimming the low quality part of a read set at 28 and the minimum length of reads after trimming set at 200 bp.

231 Assembled reads were then processed using Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology 232 (QIIME) v. 1.8 software package (Caporaso et al., 2010). Initial sequence processing and sample 233 assignment were performed with a minimum sequence length cut-off of 200 bp, minimum Phred 234 quality score of 28, calculated over a sliding window of 50 bp, and allowing a maximum 235 mismatch of 3 bp over the forward and reverse primers. Sequences were re-orientated when 236 necessary to 5' to 3', and demultiplexed based on the tags and primers. Chimeric sequences were 237 identified and removed performing a de novo (abundance based) detection using USEARCH61 238 (Edgar, 2010), as implemented in the QIIME pipeline. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were 239 determined using an open reference-based clustering strategy, with the USEARCH61 method, at 240 98% similarity; only clusters encompassing at least 10 sequences were retained. The UNITE 241 database version 6 for QIIME was used as a reference for Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU) picking and taxonomy assignment (Abarenkov et al., 2010; Kõljalg et al., 2013; 242 243 http://unite.ut.ee, last accessed May 25th, 2015); BLAST algorithm (Altschul et al., 1990) was used as taxonomy assignment method, using $1e^{-5}$ e-value as threshold. The OTU representative 244 sequences generated in this study (i.e. the most abundant sequence within each OTU) were 245

submitted to GenBank and recorded under the following string of accession numbers:KX115530-KX116039.

248 To assess the relatedness with OrM fungi of the OTUs assigned to rhizoctonias and to 249 pezizoid fungi obtained from soil, maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were carried out. 250 Sequences included in the ML analyses comprised best BLAST hits as well as fungal sequences 251 from a variety of terrestrial, including the target species, and epiphytic orchids from different 252 continents and environments, as well as from non-orchid plants, fungal strains and fruitbodies. 253 Due to the phylogenetic distance between the fungi identified (Roberts, 1999), distinct 254 phylogenetic analyses were carried out for Tulasnellaceae, Ceratobasidiaceae, Serendipitaceae 255 and Sebacinaceae (previously referred to as "Sebacinales Clade B" and "Sebacinales Clade A", 256 respectively; Weiß et al., 2016). Sequences were aligned using the program Clustal X 2.0 257 (Larkin et al., 2007) with default conditions for gap opening and gap extension penalty. 258 Alignments were then imported into program MEGA 4.0 (Tamura et al., 2007) for manual 259 adjustment. ML estimation was performed with RAxML v.7.0.4 (Stamatakis, 2006) through 260 1000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein, 1985) using the GTR + GAMMA algorithm to perform a 261 tree inference and search for a good topology. Support values from bootstrapping runs were 262 mapped on the globally best tree using the -f option of RAxML and -x 12345 as a random 263 seed. Nodes receiving a bootstrap support < 70% were not considered as well supported. 264 Alignments archived and tree topologies are in the database TreeBASE 265 (http://www.treebase.org; submission ID 19171). To account for the different intraspecific variation rate in the ITS region for different lineages, the resulting phylogroups (clades 266 267 supported by \geq 70% bootstrap, which included the sequences obtained from soil in this work) 268 were used as taxonomic units in the statistical analyses described below.

269

270 Statistical analyses

To allow for comparisons among datasets obtained either from the soil samples collected under the two orchid species at either site, or at different distances from orchid plants, or with the two primer pairs, subsampling at even sequencing depth from each sample (1061 sequences per sample) was performed by means of the *rarefy_even_depth* function in the R package phyloseq (McMurdie & Holmes, 2013)

276 Chi-square tests were carried out to compare proportions of OTUs and reads obtained with 277 the two primer pairs, assigned to different fungal taxa. For the taxa which had been retrieved with both primer pairs, data derived from the primer pair yielding the highest read numbers from the highest number of soil samples were used in subsequent analyses.

281 The effects of orchid species and site on the composition of OrM fungal assemblages in soil 282 samples collected underneath orchid plants were evaluated using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, 999 permutations), as implemented in the adonis routine 283 284 of the vegan package of R (Oksanen et al. 2013, R Development Core Team 2014). The 285 multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions was first assessed by means of the betadisper and 286 permutest (with 999 permutations) functions in the R package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2013). The 287 differences in the composition of OrM fungal communities in orchid roots and soil samples 288 collected beneath were visualized by means of a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) 289 ordination carried out with the Past3 software (Hammer et al., 2001). PERMANOVA was also 290 performed to compare the composition of non-OrM communities in soil. Only taxa occurring in 291 \geq 75% of soil samples collected under either orchid species at either site were included in the 292 latter analysis. Indicator species analysis (a classification-based method to measure associations 293 between species and groups of sites; Dufrene & Legendre, 1997) was carried out using the multipatt function in the indicspecies R package, with 999 permutations (De Cáceres & 294 295 Legendre, 2009), in order to assess if and which fungi were significantly associated with a 296 particular orchid species/site.

297 The significance of the relationship between fungal community dissimilarity and 298 geographical distance at either site 1 or site 2 was assessed by use of Mantel tests based on 999 299 permutations (R software, ecodist package; Goslee & Urban, 2007) for each dataset. Bray-Curtis 300 dissimilarity measures were used to generate community distance matrices. Mantel correlograms 301 were also calculated at different distance classes at either site. Significance of Mantel r was adjusted with sequential Bonferroni correction. Mantel tests and correlograms were carried out 302 303 for the overall OrM and non-OrM fungal assemblages (comprising tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, 304 sebacinoid and pezizoid fungi), the single previously mentioned clades, as well as 305 taxonomically-unrelated clades of putatively saprotrophic fungi (Figs S2-S11).

Spatial clustering in read numbers of OrM and non-OrM phylogroups in the soil samples was explored using Moran's I test statistic, as implemented in the R package *ape* (Paradis *et al.*, 2004). For each phylogroup, differences in read numbers among soil samples collected at varying distances along the 160 cm transects were tested for significance by means of Kruskal-Wallis tests conducted using the Past3 software.

311

312 **RESULTS**

313 **Fungal diversity in roots and in soil**

After filtering and cleaning, 869,000 and 1,961,000 high-quality sequences were obtained with the OF and ITS primer pairs, respectively. They were clustered in 2959 and 4755 (98% sequence identity) OTUs.

317 Following subsampling at even sequencing depth for both primer pairs, a diverse array of 318 rhizoctonias (18, 53 and 72 OTUs assigned to tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid and sebacinoid fungi, 319 respectively) and pezizoid fungi (17 OTUs) was identified in both sites. A higher number of sequences and OTUs assigned to rhizoctonias were obtained with the OF primer pair than with 320 321 the ITS primer pair (P=0.011 and P<0.001, respectively, chi-square test; Supporting Information 322 Fig. S1). Other fungi were also differentially amplified, confirming the specificity reported in 323 previous screenings with the same primers (Taylor & McCormick, 2008; Bellemain et al., 2010; 324 Waud et al., 2014; Oja et al., 2015).

Sequences obtained from pelotons isolated from both *O. sphegodes* and *A. morio* roots were predominantly assigned to ceratobasidioid fungi (75.4% and 28.1% of total sequences, respectively). The second most dominant groups were tulasnelloid fungi in *O. sphegodes* (18.7% total sequences) and pezizoid fungi in *A. morio* roots (21.2% total sequences). Sequences assigned to *Hygrocybe spadicea*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, as well as diverse Glomeromycota were also obtained from both orchids, whereas sequences assigned to sebacinoid fungi were not retrieved from roots (Supporting Information Table S1).

Most rhizoctonias identified in soil (94.4%, 88.7%, and 91.7% of tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, and Sebacinaceae OTUs, respectively), as well as 35.3% pezizoid OTUs, were phylogenetically closely related to fungi identified in orchid roots at the study sites or elsewhere OrM fungi; Figs S2-S5). None of the tulasnelloid OTUs was closely related to tulasnelloid ECM lineages (Fig. S2). Ceratobasidioid OTUs were distributed in all clades identified by Veldre and co-authors (2013; Fig. S3b).

The soil samples from both sites also hosted common soil fungi (such as *Mortierella* and *Fusarium* spp.), including taxa typical of grassland habitats (such as members of the Clavariaceae and Hygrophoraceae) (Table S2, Figs S6-S11). With a few exceptions, these Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and zygomycetous fungi were unassigned at the species/genus level, but exhibited high sequence identity to environmental sequences from different soils around the world (Table S2).

344

345 Influence of orchid species and site factors on the composition of fungal communities in soil

346 The composition of OrM fungal assemblages in soil differed significantly in the two sites, as 347 assessed by comparing the soil samples collected under A. morio at either site (PERMANOVA, 348 Table S3). Such a difference was mainly due to phylogroups Tul_2 and Seb_A1, which were 349 significantly more common in soil samples collected at site 1, and Cer_18, which was associated 350 to soil samples collected at site 2 (indicator species analysis, Table S2). Likewise, the assemblage of non-OrM tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid fungi also 351 352 differed at the two sites (PERMANOVA; Table S3), mostly due to Pez 9 and Pez 10 (associated 353 to site 1) and Seb_B4 (associated to site 2; Table S2). Significant differences were also found for 354 non-rhizoctonia and non-pezizoid fungi (PERMANOVA; Table S3), mainly due to a number of 355 Ascomycota (Table S2).

The influence of the orchid species was evaluated for soil samples containing *A. morio* or *O. sphegodes* roots at site 1. No significant difference was found under the two orchid species for either individual taxa of OrM or non-OrM fungi (Indicator species analysis, in Table S2), or their assemblages (PERMANOVA, in Table S3). Similarly, although some taxa exhibited a significant association with a group of soil samples (Table S2), the overall assemblage of nonrhizoctonia and non-pezizoid fungi did not differ significantly between the two groups of soil samples (Table S3).

Most OrM fungi were either absent or infrequent even in the samples collected underneath the orchid plants, occurring in 0-40% of the latter soil samples (Table S2).

365

366 Occurrence of OrM fungi in orchid roots and the corresponding soil samples

367 Sequences obtained from the roots of both orchid species were predominantly assigned to the ceratobasidioid phylogroup Cer_2 (Fig. S3a), which accounted for 75.3 and 27.8% of the total 368 369 number of reads from O. sphegodes and A. morio roots, respectively. Cer 2 occurred in 60% and 370 20% of the soil samples containing the respective orchid plants (10 soil samples). Tul_2 (the 371 second most dominant fungus in O. sphegodes, 13.8% total read number) occurred in 60% of the 372 corresponding soil samples. By contrast, Pez_3 (the second most dominant fungus in A. morio, 373 20.0% total read number), was not found in any of the soil samples containing the corresponding 374 orchid roots. Similarly, Tulasnella calospora, which was amplified by the primers we used (Fig. 375 S12), and was retrieved from 40% of the A. morio plants analyzed, was not retrieved from any of 376 the soil samples.

The assemblages of the OrM fungi in the soil samples collected under both orchid species were dominated by the ceratobasidioid phylogroup *Cer_11*, which was not amplified from roots. Likewise, *Cer_5* and *Cer_18*, which occurred in all soil samples collected beneath *A. morio*, 380 were not obtained from roots (Table S1). OrM fungal assemblages, indeed, differed significantly 381 between roots and soil (PERMANOVA; Table S3, see also Fig. 2), mainly due to the significant 382 difference between A. morio roots and the corresponding soil samples (PERMANOVA; Table 383 S3). These differences were linked to the indicator ceratobasidioid and pezizoid phylogroups 384 associated with A. morio roots and the corresponding soil samples (Table S4), as well as other 385 ceratobasidioid and pezizoid phylogroups which were instead associated with the root samples of 386 both orchids (Cer 2, Pez 3) or with soil samples, independently of the orchid species (Cer 11; 387 Table S4). On the contrary, no significant difference was found between O. sphegodes roots and 388 the corresponding soil samples (PERMANOVA; Table S3), which shared phylogroups Cer_2, 389 *Tul_2* and *Tul_3* (Tables S1, S2).

390

391 Spatial distribution of OrM fungi in soil

392 *Community level analyses*

Mantel tests showed significant spatial autocorrelation for the overall OrM fungal assemblage composed by tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid fungi (but not for the single groups, when analysed separately) only at site 1 (Table S5). Similarly, significant autocorrelation for the assemblage composed by non-OrM tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid fungi (but not for the single taxonomic groups) was found only at site 2 (Table S5).

398 The Mantel correlograms revealed significant autocorrelation within small distance classes 399 (< 2m on average) for tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid fungi (OrM and 400 non-OrM), as well as saprotrophic Psathyrellaceae (Fig. 2a, Table S6). Significant 401 autocorrelation occurred at higher distances for OrM ceratobasidioid and sebacinoid fungi (at 402 6.25-7.58m and 7.59-8.93m, respectively), non-OrM pezizoid fungi (2.43-3.89m and 2.51-403 3.42m) and saprotrophic Psathyrellaceae (12.97-14.30m; Fig. 3a, Table S6). The saprotrophic 404 Mycenaceae and Mortierellaceae/Umbelopsidaceae, by contrast, did not exhibit significant 405 distance-decay. Depending on the taxonomic group, significant relationships were found at either 406 or both sites. No difference in the occurrence of significant relationships was found among 407 OrM, non-OrM and saprotrophic taxa (chi-square tests, P>0.05; Fig. 3a).

- 408
- 409 Individual taxon level analyses

When read numbers of each OrM phylogroup were compared in soil samples collected at increasing distances from orchid plants (as a proxy for variation in abundance in soil), no significant difference could be observed for any fungus (P-values of Kruskal-Wallis tests ranging 0.071-1; data not shown). 414 However, either OrM or non-OrM tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid 415 fungi exhibited significant positive spatial autocorrelation (i.e. patchiness), as assessed by means 416 of Moran's tests (the main exception being the three OrM phylogroups, none of which exhibited 417 significant autocorrelation; Table S7). Significant autocorrelation was found at both sites for 11.1% of the (OrM or non-OrM) tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid 418 419 phylogroups exhibiting significant autocorrelation. The same pattern was found for 13.6% of the 420 other (putatively saprotrophic) basidiomycetes tested. Spatial autocorrelation occurred more 421 frequently in some taxonomic e.g. it groups, was particularly rare in the 422 Mortierellaceae/Umbelopsidaceae (Fig. 3b). Autocorrelation occurrence was significantly higher 423 in the OrM than in the non-OrM ceratobasidioid fungi (Fig. 3b).

- 424
- 425

426 **DISCUSSION**

427 The distribution of OrM fungi in soil is similar to spatial patterns of other mycorrhizal428 fungi

429 Although the occurrence of OrM fungi in soil has been taken into account in few studies 430 (McCormick et al., 2009; Bahram et al., 2015a; Oja et al., 2015), the present investigation is one 431 of the first that specifically focuses on spatial patterns of these fungi in soil in relation to the 432 distribution of different orchid species. The composition of the fungal assemblages in soil 433 samples containing orchid roots was not affected by the orchid species, as indicated by the non-434 significant difference found for the samples taken under A. morio and O. sphegodes. Soil 435 rhizoctonias were dominated by sebacinoid fungi, followed by ceratobasidioid and tulasnelloid 436 species. In a previous study, Sebacinales was also the most OTU-rich OrM fungal taxon in soil 437 samples collected around roots of Cypripedium calceolus, Neottia ovata and Orchis militaris in two meadow and two forest sites in western Estonia, where a lower richness of 438 439 Ceratobasidiaceae and Tulasnellaceae was found (Oja et al., 2015). Most sequences derived from 440 our soil samples were phylogenetically closely related to sequences obtained from the roots of 441 the target orchid species (either collected in the study area and in other sites) as well as of 442 different orchid species. The highest proportions of rhizoctonia OTUs unrelated to OrM fungi 443 were assigned to Sebacinales and Ceratobasidiaceae, consistent with the high taxonomic and functional diversity of these taxa (Weiß et al., 2004; Selosse et al., 2002, 2007, 2009; 444 445 Oberwinkler et al., 2013, 2014; Tedersoo & Smith, 2013; Veldre et al., 2013), and to Pezizaceae, 446 which also exhibit varied ecological strategies, encompassing saprotrophic, mycorrhizal and 447 endophytic fungi (Tedersoo et al., 2013).

448 As observed for other mycorrhizal fungi, the distribution of both OrM fungal 449 assemblages and individual taxa in soil featured non-random spatial distribution, as indicated, 450 respectively, by significant Mantel and Moran's I tests. Such patterns were reported thus far for 451 EcM, AM fungi or the general soil fungal community at small scales (e.g. Lilleskov et al., 2004; 452 Lekberg et al., 2007; Peay & Bruns, 2014; Bahram et al., 2013, 2015a). In particular, we found 453 significant autocorrelation for OrM fungal assemblages at distances up to approx. 10m, which is 454 comparable to the spatial autocorrelation range of AM fungi in temperate ecosystems (Bahram et 455 al., 2015a). Such patterns may depend on random dispersal processes. However, spatial patterns 456 of soil fungi are also known to depend strongly on habitat type (Bahram et al., 2013, 2015b). 457 Although we did not measure environmental variables at the two study sites (which are located 458 in a relatively homogeneous landscape), the differences in the spatial patterns between the two 459 stands are suggestive of a role for environmental variation in shaping the distribution of OrM 460 fungi in the area. Non-OrM fungal assemblages varied significantly at the two sites, suggesting 461 different biotic environments.

462 At both the community and the individual taxon level, we found evidence of clade-463 specific differences in spatial patterns of OrM fungi, as already observed for EcM symbionts 464 (e.g. Lilleskov et al., 2004; Bahram et al., 2013). We also found a significantly higher frequency 465 of spatial autocorrelation in OrM than in non-OrM ceratobasidioid fungi. This may reflect either 466 different dispersal patterns, or different trophic strategies, as reported for different EcM or plant 467 pathogenic fungi which exhibited stronger spatial structure in soil, compared to saprotrophic 468 fungi (Bahram et al., 2015b). Studies making use of larger datasets of OrM may clarify these two 469 possibilities.

470

471 Widespread OrM fungi may exhibit sporadic occurrence in soil

472 Orchid-rich areas have been suggested to exhibit persistently high abundances of OrM fungi to 473 provide either sufficient nutrients or a high probability of the fungus encountering seeds 474 (McCormick & Jacquemyn, 2014). This suggestion was mainly based on seed germination, 475 indicating greater occurrence of fungal symbionts close to adult plants (Batty et al., 2001; Diez, 476 2007; Jacquemyn et al., 2012). In our work, higher read numbers of sequences obtained from O. sphegodes and A. morio roots did not correlate with shorter distances from adult plants. 477 478 Moreover, we found that several fungi dominating in orchid roots were extremely sporadic or 479 were not detected at all even in soil samples containing the roots of orchid plants colonized by 480 the same fungi. By contrast, other OrM fungi predominated in the same soil samples. Recent 481 quantitative PCR analyses focusing on dominant OrM fungi in other orchid species showed that

their abundance declined rapidly with distance from the adult host plants (McCormick *et al.*,
2016; Waud *et al.*, 2016). It remains unknown whether this discrepancy is due to different soil
conditions or the plant and fungal taxa involved.

485 Although the possibility of a non-exhaustive coverage of our soil samples cannot be entirely 486 ruled out, our results point to an extremely patchy occurrence of several OrM fungi, 487 heterogeneously distributed in soil even at the scale of the soil cores that were sampled. Another 488 caution concerns the simultaneous examination of roots and surrounding soil. The timing of 489 fungal colonization, development of pelotons and subsequent lysis has not been investigated for 490 these orchids, and the possibility of rapid dynamics of OrM fungi in soil, as opposed to orchid 491 roots, cannot be dismissed. In other terms, OrM fungi which were initially abundant in soil (at 492 the moment of root colonization) could have disappeared from it afterwards. However, the rapid 493 peloton collapse and degeneration observed in the orchid species investigated to date (with lysis 494 sometimes taking less than 24 hours; Smith & Read, 2008) suggest that the presence of active 495 hyphal coils is evidence of recent colonization from the environment. In their study of temporal 496 changes in root and rhizosphere fungal communities of C. calceolus, N. ovata and O. militaris in 497 Estonian meadows and forests, Oja et al. (2015) observed a slight but significant turnover of 498 OrM fungal OTUs inside roots. By contrast, the soil OrM fungal community remained fairly 499 stable, with negligible turnover over the vegetation period. This temporal investigation thus 500 highlighted mismatches in the fungi dominating in roots and soil, as we did on a spatial basis. 501 Both observations therefore suggest an active selection, by orchid plants, of compatible fungi 502 from the surrounding environment. A similar concept of orchid preference was formulated by 503 McCormick et al. (2009) based on differences between the arrays of OrM fungi (tomentelloid 504 OTUs) recovered from mycorrhizae of Corallorhiza odontorhiza and soil at a study site in 505 eastern United States.

506 The lack of detection of OrM fungi in the soil cores containing orchids roots colonized by the 507 same fungi indicates limited, if any, development of extraradical fungal mycelium. The 508 occurrence of OrM extraradical mycelium is to be verified morphologically under natural 509 conditions. To the best of our knowledge, nothing is currently known about either mycelium- or 510 spore-based, short- and long-distance dispersal mechanisms of OrM fungi in soil. Exploration for 511 new, uncolonized host roots is a crucial function of the extraradical mycorrhizal mycelium. In 512 EcM fungi, several functional groups, so-called "exploration types", have been defined based on 513 the amount, range, and differentiation of the mycelial structures emanating from the hyphal 514 mantle into the soil (Agerer, 2001, 2007). Such morphological features determine the fungal 515 ability to explore different volumes of soil around colonized root tips (Agerer & Raidl, 2004; 516 Weigt et al., 2012). In a recent study addressing the relationship between EcM exploration types 517 and root density in a *Pinus muricata* forest, Peay and colleagues (2011) found that long-distance 518 exploration types were more prevalent in areas of low root density, while short-distance types 519 were more common in areas of high root density, supporting the idea that when roots are densely 520 packed, short-range exploration would be an effective strategy and may be more efficient in 521 terms of carbon expenditure than longer distance types. Considering that orchid plants occur in 522 dense patches and their roots are also in close contact with the dense root systems of co-523 occurring grasses, the extraradical mycelium of OrM fungal species may only explore a limited 524 volume of soil in the close rhizosphere of their host plants.

525 The most notable example of an OrM fungus amplified from orchid roots but undetected in 526 soil samples is *Tulasnella calospora*, one of the main orchid symbionts at the study sites as well 527 as in other regions (e.g. Roberts, 1999; Girlanda et al., 2011; De Long et al., 2013). Genome 528 sequencing of a T. calospora strain isolated from an A. laxiflora plant at the study area revealed a 529 robust genetic apparatus for the degradation of crystalline cellulose (Kohler et al., 2015), lending 530 further credit to the assumption, based on earlier observations of in vitro growth on complex 531 organic polymers (Smith & Read, 2008), of a strong saprotrophic competence of this fungus. Our 532 findings, however, point to a reduced competitive ability of T. calospora in soil under natural 533 conditions. The rare occurrence, if not absence, of this and other common OrM fungi even in the 534 soil beneath their orchid hosts raises the question as to whether orchid roots represent a "refuge" 535 for these fungi, as discussed by Selosse & Martos (2014). Similarly, based on their observations 536 of a declining fungal abundance with increasing distance from the adult host plants, McCormick 537 and colleagues (2016) and Waud et al. (2016) have suggested that orchids maintain fungal 538 communities to some extent, so that the distribution of orchid plants determines the distribution 539 of their OrM associates. OrM fungi could use their host plants for survival and persistence in the 540 environment (Selosse, 2014; Oja et al., 2015). Alternatively, OrM fungi could be stimulated to 541 grow and proliferate into roots. Orchids have a much more reduced root system than most EcM 542 and AM plants (Rasmussen, 1995). Therefore, they can supposedly offer a rhizosphere habitat 543 spanning shorter distances than other plants. This situation, as well as the possible limited 544 dispersal ability of OrM fungi, may contribute to their restricted distribution in soil.

545

546 In conclusion, we have found evidence of spatial autocorrelation in all main taxonomic groups of 547 OrM fungi in the study areas. An intriguing result is that some widespread root symbionts were 548 found to be quite rare even in host-dense soils. Future investigations should explore alternative 549 niches of common OrM fungi found to be infrequent in soil at the small scale and also address the functional role of the extraradical OrM mycelium. Soil microsites are likely the key to understand habitat preferences in this group of mycorrhizal fungi.

552

553

554 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

E.E. was partly supported by a postdoctoral grant from the EU project EcoFINDERS (FP7-64465; Ecological Function and Biodiversity Indicators in European Soils). Research was partly supported by local funding (ex-60%) from the University of Torino. We are grateful to Marc-André Selosse and the anonymous reviewers for their useful comments and suggestions on previous versions of the manuscript.

560

561

562 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors planned and designed the research. S.V. and E.E. conducted field work and performed the experiments. S.V., E.E. and S.G. analysed data. S.P. and M.G. wrote the manuscript; all authors contributed to manuscript revision.

566

- 567
- 568

569 **REFERENCES**

570 Abarenkov K, Nilsson RH, Larsson KH, Alexander IJ, Eberhardt U, Erland S, Hoiland K,

571 Kjoller R, Larsson E, Pennanen T et al. 2010. The UNITE database for molecular

identification of fungi – recent updates and future perspectives. *New Phytologist* **186**: 281–285.

573

574 Abbott KC , Justine Karst J, Biedeman LA, Borrett SR, Hastings A, Walsh V, Bever JD.

575 2015. Spatial Heterogeneity in Soil Microbes Alters Outcomes of Plant Competition. *PLOS ONE*576 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125788.

577

Agerer R. 2001. Exploration types of ectomycorrhizae - A proposal to classify ectomycorrhizal
mycelial systems according to their patterns of differentiation and putative ecological
importance. *Mycorrhiza* 2: 107-114.

581

- Agerer R, Raidl S. 2004. Distance related half-quantitative estimation of the extramatrical
 ectomycorrhizal mycelia of *Cortinarius obtusus* and *Tylospora asterophora*. *Mycological Progress* 3: 57–64.
- 585
- Agerer R. 2007. Diversity of ectomycorrhizae as seen from below and above ground: the
 exploration types. *Zeitschrift für Mykologie* 73: 61-88.
- 588
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW & Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search
 tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215:403-410.
- 591
- 592 Bahram M, Koljalg U, Courty PE, Diedhiou AG, Kjoller R, Polme S, Ryberg M, Veldre V,
- 593 Tedersoo L. 2013. The distance decay of similarity in communities of ectomycorrhizal fungi in
- 594 different ecosystems and scales. *Journal of Ecology* **5**: 1335-1344
- 595
- Bahram M, Peay KG, Tedersoo L. 2015a. Local-scale biogeography and spatiotemporal
 variability in communities of mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 205: 1454–1463.
- Bahram M, Kohout P, Anslan S, Harend H, Abarenkov K and Tedersoo L. 2015b.
 Stochastic distribution of small soil eukaryotes resulting from high dispersal and drift in a local
 environment. *The ISME Journal* 1–12.
- 601
- Bailarote BC, Lievens B, Jacquemyn H. 2012. Does mycorrhizal specificity affect orchid
 decline and rarity? *American Journal of Botany* 99: 1655-1665.
- 604
- Bateman R, Hollingsworth PM, Preston J, Yi-Bo L, Pridgeon AM, Chase MW. 2003.
 Molecular phylogenetics and evolution of Orchidinae and selected Habenariinae (Orchidaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 142: 1-40.
- 608
- Batty AL, Dixon KW, Brundrett M, Sivasithamparam K. 2001. Constraints to symbiotic
 germination of terrestrial orchid seed in a Mediterranean bushland. *New Phytologist* 152: 511–
 520.
- 612
- Bellemain E, Carlsen T, Brochmann C, Coissac E, Taberlet P, Kauserud H. 2010. ITS as an
 environmental DNA barcode for fungi: an in silico approach reveals potential PCR biases. *BMC Microbiology* 10: 189.

- 616
- 617 Braun-Blanquet J. 1964. Pflanzensoziologie. Grundzuge der vegetationskunde. Wein, Austria;
 618 New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag.
- 619
- Brundrett MC, Scade A, Batty AL, Dixon KW, Sivasithamparam K. 2003. Development of
 in situ and ex situ seed baiting techniques to detect mycorrhizal fungi from terrestrial orchid
 habitats. *Mycological Research* 107: 1210-1220.
- 623
- Buée M, Reich M, Murat C, Morin E, Nilsson RH, Uroz S, Martin F. 2009. 454
 Pyrosequencing analyses of forest soils reveal an unexpectedly high fungal diversity. *New Phytologist* 184: 449-456.
- 627
- 628 Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer
- N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI et al. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput
 community sequencing data. *Nature Methods* 7: 335–336.
- 631
- 632 De Cáceres M, Legendre P. 2009. Associations between species and groups of sites: indices
 633 and statistical inference. *Ecology* 90: 3566-3574.
- 634
- 635 De Long JR, Swarts ND, Dixon KW, Egerton-Warburton LM. 2013. Mycorrhizal preference
 636 promotes habitat invasion by a native Australian orchid: Microtis media. *Annals of Botany* 111:
 637 409-418
- 638
- Dearnaley JDW, Martos F, Selosse M-A. 2012. Orchid mycorrhizas: molecular ecology,
 physiology, evolution, and conservation aspects. In: Hock B, ed. *Fungal associations*, 2nd edn.
 Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 207-230.
- 642
- 643 **Diez JM. 2007.** Hierarchical patterns of symbiotic orchid germination linked to adult proximity 644 and environmental gradients. *Journal of Ecology* **95**: 159-170.
- 645
- 646 Dufrene M, Legendre, P. 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species: The need for a
 647 flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological Monographs* 67: 345-366.
- 648

- 649 Edgar RC. 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 650 **26**: 2460-2461 651 652 Ercole E, Adamo M, Rodda M, Gebauer G, Girlanda M, Perotto S. 2014. Temporal 653 variation in mycorrhizal diversity and carbon and nitrogen stable isotope abundance in the 654 wintergreen meadow orchid Anacamptis morio. New Phytologist 205: 1308-1319. 655 656 Ettema CH, Wardle DA. 2002. Spatial soil ecology. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17: 177-657 183. 658 659 Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. 660 Evolution 39: 783-791. 661 662 Gardes M, and Bruns TD 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes -663 application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Molecular Ecology* **2**: 113-118. 664 665 Girlanda M, Selosse MA, Cafasso D, Brilli F, Delfine S, Fabbian R, Ghignone S, Pinelli P, 666 Segreto R, Loreto F et al. 2006. Inefficient photosynthesis in the Mediterranean orchid Limodorum abortivum is mirrored by specific association to ectomycorrhizal Russulaceae. 667 668 Molecular Ecology 15: 491-504. 669 670 Girlanda M, Segreto R, Cafasso D, Liebel HT, Rodda M, Ercole E, Salvatore C, Gebauer 671 G, Perotto S. 2011. Photosynthetic mediterranean meadow orchids feature partial 672 mycoheterotrophy and specific mycorrhizal associations. American Journal of Botany 98: 1148-673 1163. 674 675 Goslee SC and Urban DL. 2007. The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of 676 ecological data. Journal of Statistical Software 22: 1-19. 677 678 Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD. 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package 679 for education and data analysis. Palaeontologia Electronica 4: 1-9. 680 681 van der Heijden MGA, Martin FM, Selosse MA and Sanders IR. 2015. Mycorrhizal ecology
- and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist* **205**: 1406–1423.

683

Ihrmark K, Bodeker ITM, Cruz-Martinez K, Friberg H, Kubartova A, Schenck J, Strid Y,
 Stenlid J, Brandstrom-Durling M, Clemmensen KE et al. 2012. New primers to amplify the
 fungal ITS2 region - evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 82: 666-677.

688

Illyes Z,Halasz K, Rudnoy S, Ouanphanivanh N, Garay T, Bratek Z. 2009. Changes in the
diversity of the mycorrhizal fungi of orchids as a function of the water supply of the habitat. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality-Angewandte Botanik*. 83: 28-36.

692

Jacquemyn H, Brys R, Vandepitte K, Honnay O, Roldan-Ruiz I, Wiegand T. 2007. A
spatially explicit analysis of seedling recruitment in the terrestrial orchid *Orchis purpurea*. *New Phytologist* 176: 448-459.

696

Jacquemyn H, Brys R, Lievens B, Wiegand T. 2012. Spatial variation in below-ground seed
 germination and divergent mycorrhizal associations correlate with spatial segregation of three
 co-occurring orchid species. *Journal of Ecology* 100: 1328-1337.

700

Jacquemyn H, Brys R, Merckx VSFT, Waud M, Lievens B, Wiegand T. 2014. Co-existing
 orchid species have distinct mycorrhizal communities and display strong spatial segregation.
 New Phytologist 202: 616-627.

704

Jacquemyn H, Brys R, Waud M, Busschaert P, Lievens B. 2015. Mycorrhizal networks and
 coexistence in species-rich orchid communities. *New Phtologist* 206: 1127-1134.

707

Jacquemyn H, Wiegand T, Vandepitte K, Brys R, Honnay O, Roldán-Ruiz I. 2009.
 Multigenerational analysis of spatial structure in the terrestrial, food-deceptive orchid *Orchis mascula. Journal of Ecology* 97: 206–216.

711

Jersáková J, Malinová T. 2007. Spatial aspects of seed dispersal and seedling recruitment in
orchids. *New Phytologist* 176: 237-241.

714

- 715 Kohler A, Kuo A, Nagy LG, Morin E, Barry KW, Buscot F, Canback B, Choi C, Cichocki
- 716 N, Clum A et al. 2015. Convergent losses of decay mechanisms and rapid turnover of symbiosis
- 717 genes in mycorrhizal mutualists. *Nature Genetics* 47: 410-U176
- 718
- 719 Kõljalg U, Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K, Tedersoo L, Taylor AFS, Bahram M, Bates ST,
- Bruns TD, Bengtsson-Palme J, Callaghan TM et al. 2013. Towards a unified paradigm for
 sequence-based identification of fungi. *Molecular Ecology* 22: 5271-5277.
- 722
- 723 Kretzschmar H, Eccarius W, Dietrich H. 2007. The orchid genera Anacamptis, Orchis,
 724 Neotinea. Bürgel, D: EchinoMedia.
- 725
- Kuga Y, Sakamoto N, Yurimoto H. 2014. Stable isotope cellular imaging reveals that both live
 and degenerating fungal pelotons transfer carbon and nitrogen to orchid protocorms. *New Phytologist* 202: 594-605.
- 729
- Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H,
 Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R et al. 2007. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 23: 2947-2948.
- 733
- Lekberg Y, Koide RT, Rohr JR, Aldrich-Wolfe L, Morton JB. 2007. Role of niche
 restrictions and dispersal in the composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities. *Journal of Ecology* 95: 95-105.
- 737
- Liebel HT, Bidartondo MI, Preiss K, Segreto R, Stöckel M, Rodda M and Gebauer G.
 2010. C and N stable isotope signatures reveal constraints to nutritional modes in orchids from
 the Mediterranean and Macaronesia. *American Journal of Botany* 97: 903-912.
- 741
- Lilleskov EA, Bruns TD, Horton TR, Taylor D, Grogan P. 2004. Detection of forest standlevel spatial structure in ectomycorrhizal fungal communities. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 49:
 319-332.
- 745
- Lindahl BD, Nilsson RH, Tedersoo L, Abarenkov K, Carlsen T, Kjoller R, Koljalg U,
 Pennanen T, Rosendahl S, Stenlid J. et al. 2013. Fungal community analysis by highthroughput sequencing of amplified markers a user's guide. *New Phytologist* 199: 288-299.

749	
750	McCormick MK, Whigham DF, O'Neill JP, Becker JJ, Werner S, Rasmussen HN, Bruns
751	TD, Taylor DL. 2009. Abundance and distribution of Corallorhiza odontorhiza reflect variations
752	in climate and ectomycorrhizae. Ecological Monographs 79: 619-635.
753	
754	McCormick MK, Taylor DL, Whigham DF, Burnett RK. 2016. Germination patterns in three
755	terrestrial orchids relate to abundance of mycorrhizal fungi. Journal of Ecology 104: 744-754.
756	
757	McCormick MK & Jacquemyn H. 2014. What constrains the distribution of orchid
758	populations? New Phytologist 202: 392-400.
759	
760	McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis
761	and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS ONE. 8: e61217
762	
763	Nurfadilah S, Swarts ND, Dixon KW, Lambers H, Merritt DJ. 2013. Variation in nutrient-
764	acquisition patterns by mycorrhizal fungi of rare and common orchid explains diversification in a
765	global biodiversity hotspot. Annals of Botany 111: 1233-1241.
766	
767	Oberwinkler F, Riess K, Bauer R, Selosse MA, Weiss M, Garnica S, Zuccaro A. 2013.
768	Enigmatic Sebacinales. Mycological Progress 12: 1-27.
769	
770	Oberwinkler F, Riess K, Bauer R, Garnica S. 2014. Morphology and molecules: the
771	Sebacinales, a case study. Mycological Progress 13: 445-470.
772	
773	Oja J, Kohout P, Tedersoo L, Kull, T, Koljalg U. 2015. Temporal patterns of orchid
774	mycorrhizal fungi in meadows and forests as revealed by 454 pyrosequencing. New Phytologist
775	205 : 1608-1618.
776	
777	Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL,
778	Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2013. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. [WWW
779	document] URL http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan [2015-05-21].
780	

- Orgiazzi A, Lumini E, Nilsson RH, Girlanda M, Vizzini A, Bonfante P, Bianciotto V. 2012.
 Unravelling soil fungal communities from different Mediterranean land-use backgrounds. *PLoS ONE*. 7:e34847.
- 784
- 785 Orgiazzi A, Bianciotto V, Bonfante P, Daghino S, Ghignone S, Lazzari A, Lumini E, Mello
- A, Napoli C, Perotto S et al. 2013. 454 pyrosequencing analysis of fungal assemblages from
 geographically distant, disparate soils reveals spatial patterning and a core mycobiome. *Diversity*5: 73-98.
- 789
- Papavizas GC & Davey CB. 1962. Isolation and pathogenicity of Rhizoctonia saprophytically
 existing in soil. *Phytopathology* 52: 834-840.
- 792
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R
 language. *Bioinformatics* 20: 289–290.
- 795
- Peay K, Kennedy PG, Bruns TD. 2011. Rethinking ectomycorrhizal succession: are root
 density and hyphal exploration types drivers of spatial and temporal zonation? *Fungal Ecology*4: 233-240,
- 799
- Peay KG, Bruns TD. 2014. Spore dispersal of basidiomycete fungi at the landscape scale is
 driven by stochastic and deterministic processes and generates variability in plant–fungal
 interactions. *New Phytologist* 204: 180-191.
- 803
- Rasmussen HN. 1995. Terrestrial orchids. From seed to mycotrophic plant. Cambridge:
 Cambridge University Press.
- 806
- Rasmussen HN & Rasmussen FN. 2014. Seedling mycorrhiza: a discussion of origin and
 evolution in Orchidaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 175: 313-327.
- 809
- 810 Roberts P. 1999. Rhizoctonia-forming fungi: A taxonomic guide. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew,
 811 UK.

812

Schmidt PA, Balint M, Greshake B, Bandow C, Römbke J, Schmitt I. 2013. Illumina
metabarcoding of a soil fungal community. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 65: 128–132.

815	
816	Selosse MA. 2014. The latest news from biological interactions in orchids: in love, head to toe.
817	New Phytologist 202 : 337-340.
818	
819	Selosse MA, Weiss M, Jany JL, Tillier A. 2002. Communities and populations of sebacinoid
820	basidiomycetes associated with the achlorophyllous orchid Neottia nidus-avis (L.) LCM Rich.
821	and neighbouring tree ectomycorrhizae. Molecular Ecology 11: 1831-1844.
822	
823	Selosse MA, Setaro S, Glatard F, Richard F, Urcelay C, and Weiss M. 2007. Sebacinales are
824	common mycorrhizal associates of Ericaceae. New Phytologist 174: 864-878.
825	
826	Selosse MA, Dubois MP, Alvarez N. 2009. Do Sebacinales commonly associate with plant
827	roots as endophytes? Mycological Research 113: 1062-1069.
828	
829	Selosse MA, Roy M. 2009. Green plants that feed on fungi: Facts and questions about
830	mixotrophy. Trends in Plant Science 14: 64-70.
831	
832	Selosse MA & Martos F. 2014. Do chlorophyllous orchids heterotrophically use mycorrhizal
833	fungal carbon? Trends in Plant Science 19: 683-685.
834	
835	Smith SE, Read DJ. 2008. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Cambridge, UK: Academic Press.
836	
837	Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with
838	thousands of taxa and mixed models. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 22: 2688-2690.
839	
840	Stöckel M, Těšitelová T, Jersáková J, Bidartondo MI, Gebauer G. 2014. Carbon and
841	nitrogen gain during the growth of orchid seedlings in nature. New Phytologist 202 : 606–615.
842	
843	Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M and Kumar S. 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
844	Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. <i>Molecular Biology and Evolution</i> 24 : 1596-1599.
845	
846	Taylor DL, Bruns TD, Leake JR and Read DJ. 2002. Mycorrhizal specificity and function in
847	myco-heterotrophic plants. In M. G. A. Van der Heijden and I. R. Sanders [eds.], Mycorrhizal
848	ecology. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 375-413.

- 849
- Taylor DL, McCormick MK. 2008. Internal transcribed spacer primers and sequences for
 improved characterization of basidiomycetous orchid mycorrhizas. *New Phytologist* 177: 10201033.
- 853
- Tedersoo L, Jairus T, Horton BM, Abarenkov K, Suvi T, Saar I, Koljalg U. 2008. Strong
 host preference of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a Tasmanian wet sclerophyll forest as revealed by
 DNA barcoding and taxon-specific primers. *New Phytologist* 180: 479-490.
- 857
- Tedersoo L, Smith ME. 2013. Lineages of ectomycorrhizal fungi revisited: foraging strategies
 and novel lineages revealed by sequences from belowground. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 27: 8399.
- 861
- Tedersoo L, Arnold AE, Hansen K. 2013. Novel aspects in the life cycle and biotrophic
 interactions in Pezizomycetes (Ascomycota, Fungi). *Molecular Ecology* 22: 1488-1493.
- 864
- Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Ryberg M, Otsing E, Koljalg U, Abarenkov K. 2014 Global
 biogeography of the ectomycorrhizal /Sebacina lineage (Fungi, Sebacinales) as revealed from
 comparative phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Ecology* 23: 4168–4183
- 868
- Tedersoo L, Anslan S, Bahram M, Põlme S, Riit T, Liiv I, Kõljalg U, Kisand V, Nilsson
 RH, Hildebrand F, et al. 2015. Shotgun metagenomes and multiple primer pairbarcode
 combinations of amplicons reveal biases in metabarcoding analyses of fungi. *Mycokeys* 10: 1-43.
- 873 Těšitelová T, Tesitel J, Jersáková J, Rihova G, Selosse MA. 2012. Symbiotic germination
 874 capability of four Epipactis species (Orchidaceae) is broader than expected from adult ecology.
 875 *American Journal of Botany* 99: 1020-1032.
- 876
- Veldre V, Abarenkov K, Bahram M, Martos F, Selosse MA, Tamm H, Koljalg U, Tedersoo
 L. 2013. Evolution of nutritional modes of Ceratobasidiaceae (Cantharellales, Basidiomycota) as
 revealed form publicly available ITS sequences. *Fungal Ecology* 30: 1-13.
- 880
- Waterman RJ, Bidartondo MI. 2008. Deception above, deception below: linking pollination
 and mycorrhizal biology of orchids. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 59: 1085-1096.

- 883
- Waterman RJ, Bidartondo MI, Stofberg J, Combs JK, Gebauer G, Savolainen V,
 Barraclough TG, Pauw A. 2011. The effects of above- and belowground mutualisms on orchid
 speciation and coexistence. *American Naturalist* 177: E54-E68.
- 887
- Waud M, Busschaert P, Ruyters S, Jacquemyn H, Lievens B. 2014. Impact of primer choice
 on characterization of orchid mycorrhizal communities using 454 pyrosequencing. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 14: 679-699.
- 891
- Waud M, Wiegand T, Brys R, Lievens B, Jacquemyn H. 2016. Nonrandom seedling
 establishment corresponds with distance-dependent decline in mycorrhizal abundance in two
 terrestrial orchids. *New Phytologist* 211: 255-264.
- 895
- Weigt RB, Raidl S, Verma R, Agerer R. 2012. Exploration type-specific standard values of
 extrametrical mycelium a step towards quantifying ectomycorrhizal space occupation and
 biomass in natural soil. *Mycological Progress* 11: 287–297.
- 899
- Weiß M, Selosse MA, Rexer KH, Urban A, Oberwinkler F. 2004. Sebacinales: A hitherto
 overlooked cosm of heterobasidiomycetes with a broad mycorrhizal potential. *Mycological Research* 108: 1003-1010.
- 903
- Weiß M, Waller F, Zuccaro A, Selosse MA. 2016. Tansley review. Sebacinales one thousand
 and one interactions with land plants. *New Phytologist* 211: 20-40.
- 906

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, and Taylor JW. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of
fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ and
White TJ. eds. *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*. Academic Press, Inc.,
New York 315-322.

- 911
- 2 Zang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, Stamatakis A. 2014. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina PairedEnd reAd mergeR. *Bioinformatics* 30: 614-620.
- 914 FIGURE LEGENDS
- 915 Figure 1. Spatial distribution of adult plants of Anacamptis morio (purple circles) and Ophrys
- 916 sphegodes (yellow circles) at both study sites. The position of adult individuals of other orchid

917 species (white circles) is also reported. The *A. morio* and *O. sphegodes* plants under which soil 918 samples were collected are numbered. Straight lines indicate the 160 cm-long transects along 919 which further soil samples were collected. Sampling along these transects was done at the edge 920 of the population into orchid free vegetation.

Figure 2. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination of OrM fungal assemblages (ITS2 sequences) in orchid roots (circles) and soil samples collected underneath the same plants (triangles). Vectors represent the correlation coefficients between the "orchid" (*Anacamptis morio*, *Ophrys sphegodes*) or "habitat" (roots, soil) variables and the NMDS scores. The length of the vectors are arbitrarily scaled to make a readable biplot, so only their directions and relative lengths have to be considered. *O. sphegodes*, open symbols; *A. morio*, filled black symbols. Stress: 0.1398. R^2 = 0.6698 and = 0.1335 for axis 1 and axis 2, respectively.

928 Figure 3. Frequency of significant spatial autocorrelation (P<0.05) in soil for different orchid 929 mycorrhizal (OrM) and non-orchid mycorrhizal (non-OrM) fungal groups. (a) Mantel 930 correlograms (Table S6): percentage of total distance classes for which significant 931 autocorrelation was found. (b) Moran's I tests (Table S7): percentage of phylogroups exhibiting 932 significant autocorrelation. White bars, OrM fungi; grey bars, non-OrM fungi; shaded bars, 933 entire clade (OrM and non-OrM fungi); black bars, sums of different clades. Tul., tulasnelloid fungi; Cer., ceratobasidioid fungi; Seb., sebacinoid fungi; Pez., pezizoid fungi; Hygro., 934 935 Hygrocybe spp.; Lepiot., Lepiotaceae; Myce., Mycenaceae; Psathy., Psathyrellaceae, Clav., 936 Clavariaceae: Asco., and Ascomycota; Mort., Mortierellaceae Umbelopsidaceae. 937 Phylogroups/OTUs comprised in each fungal group are listed in the legends of Tables S5-S7. 938 Bars with different letters differ significantly according to chi-square tests (P<0.05, pairwise 939 comparisons, small letters, comparisons between white, gray or shaded bars; capital letters, 940 comparisons between black bars).

941

942 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Figure S1. Read and OTU numbers for different fungal groups obtained with the OF (blue bars)
or the ITS (purple bars) primer pairs. Rhizo., rhizoctonias; Basidio., other Basidiomycota; Asco.,
Ascomycota; Zygo., zygomycetous fungi; Glomero., Glomeromycota; Chytridio., traditional
Chytridiomycota; unident., unidentified fungi.

Figure S2. Maximum likelihood tree obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of tulasnelloid
fungi. *Multiclavula corynoides* was used as an outgroup taxon. Alignment length: 863 bp.
Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported.
Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red; sequences obtained from

pelotons in *Anacamptis morio* or *Ophrys sphegodes* roots at the time of soil sampling areindicated in blue.

Figure S3a. Maximum likelihood tree obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of ceratobasidioid fungi. The phylogram is midpoint rooted. Alignment length: 499 bp. Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red; sequences obtained from pelotons in *Anacamptis morio* or *Ophrys sphegodes* roots at the time of soil sampling are indicated in blue.

959 Figure S3b. Placement of ceratobasidioid sequences, obtained in this study, within the 960 phylogenetic reconstruction by Veldre et al. (2013). The maximum likelihood tree is rooted at 961 the /fusisporus clade. Alignment length: 644 bp. Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 962 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study 963 are indicated in red; sequences obtained from pelotons in Anacamptis morio or Ophrys 964 sphegodes roots at the time of soil sampling are indicated in blue. Sequences obtained from 965 orchids at the study sites in previous investigations (Girlanda et al. 2011, Ercole et al. 2014) are 966 indicated in green.

Figure S4a. Maximum likelihood tree obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of fungi assigned to Sebacinaceae. *Paulisebacina allantoidea* was used as an outgroup taxon. Alignment length: 408 bp. Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red; sequences obtained from pelotons in *Anacamptis morio* or *Ophrys sphegodes* roots at the time of soil sampling are indicated in blue.

973 Figure S4b. Maximum likelihood tree obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of fungi 974 assigned to Serendipitaceae. *Paulisebacina allantoidea* was used as an outgroup taxon. 975 Alignment length: 419 bp. Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood 976 replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red; 977 sequences obtained from pelotons in *Anacamptis morio* or *Ophrys sphegodes* roots at the time of 978 soil sampling are indicated in blue.

979 Figure S5. Maximum likelihood tree obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of pezizoid 980 fungi. *Ascobolus* spp. were used as outgroup taxa. Alignment length: 426 bp. Bootstrap support 981 values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from 982 soil samples in this study are indicated in red; sequences obtained from pelotons in *Anacamptis* 983 *morio* or *Ophrys sphegodes* roots at the time of soil sampling are indicated in blue. 984 Figure S6. Maximum likelihood tree obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of *Hygrocybe* 985 spp. *Hygroaster albellus* was used as outgroup taxon. Alignment length: 493 bp. Bootstrap 986 support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences 987 obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red.

Figure S7. Maximum likelihood tree obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of *Lepiota* spp.
 Macrolepiota procera was used as outgroup taxon. Alignment length: 373 bp. Bootstrap support
 values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from
 soil samples in this study are indicated in red.

Figure S8. Maximum likelihood trees obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of fungi in the tricholomatoid clade. *Mycena* spp. were used as outgroup taxa in Figs S8a,b. *Entoloma prunuloides* and *Xeromphalina campanella* were used as outgroup taxa in Fig. S8c and Fig. S8d, respectively. Alignment lengths: 500 bp, 500 bp, 407 bp, 745 bp. Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red.

- Figure S9. Maximum likelihood trees obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of *Lepiota*spp. Phylograms are midpoint rooted. Alignment lengths: 608 bp, 609 bp, 366 bp, 375 bp.
 Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported.
 Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red.
- Figure S10. Maximum likelihood trees obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of fungi in the Mortierellales. Phylograms are midpoint rooted. Alignment lengths: 493 bp, 414 bp, 469 bp, 516 bp, 440 bp, 413 bp, 457 bp, 387 bp. Bootstrap support values above 70% (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from soil samples in this study are indicated in red.
- Figure S11. Maximum likelihood trees obtained from the ITS2 sequence alignment of fungi in
 the Clavariaceae. *Plicaturopsis crispa* was used as outgroup taxon in Fig S11a. *Hyphodontiella multiseptata* and *Clavaria asperulospora* were used as outgroup taxa in Fig. S11b and Fig. S11c,
 respectively. Alignment lengths: 448 bp, 448 bp, 375 bp. Bootstrap support values above 70%
 (1000 maximum likelihood replicates) are reported. Sequences obtained from soil samples in this
 study are indicated in red.
- 1013 Figure S12. Amplification of *Tulasnella calospora* (strains MUT4182 and MUT4233) DNA by
- means of the tagged primers used in this study. 1, 3, 5: strain MUT4182; 2, 4, 6: strain
 MUT4233. 1, 2: 20 ng DNA; 3, 4: 10 ng DNA; 5, 6: 2 ng DNA. C1-C2, negative controls; M,
- 1016 100 bp marker (Sigma-Aldrich).

1017 **Table S1**. Fungi amplified from pelotons of all *Ophrys sphegodes* or *Anacamptis morio* plants 1018 analyzed. Read number percentages (with respect to the total number of reads from each orchid 1019 species), taxonomic assignation and best BLAST hits are reported for each phylogroup/OTU. 1020 Other tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid fungi retrieved from roots at the 1021 time of soil sampling are reported in Figs. S2-S5.

- Table S2. Fungal distribution in the soil samples containing Anacamptis morio or Ophrys 1022 1023 sphegodes roots at either site. OrM and non-OrM tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and 1024 pezizoid fungi, as well as other fungi (occurring in ≥75% of soil samples collected under either 1025 orchid species at either site) are reported. Percentages of soil samples the fungus was amplified 1026 from, taxonomic assignation and best BLAST hits are indicated for each phylogroup/OTU. 1027 Results of indicator species analysis for both binary (presence/absence) and non-binary (OTU 1028 read numbers) data are included (P-value, the statistical significance of the relationship as assessed with 999 random permutations). AM1, soil samples containing A. morio roots collected 1029 1030 at site 1; AM2, soil samples containing A. morio roots collected at site 2; OS1, soil samples 1031 containing O. sphegodes roots collected at site 1.
- 1032 Table S3. Results of the permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and 1033 the test for the multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions (betadisper and permutest) for 1034 orchid mycorrhizal (OrM) and non-orchid mycorrhizal (non-OrM) fungal assemblages in soil samples containing orchid roots at either site. For non-OrM fungal assemblages, only taxa 1035 1036 occurring in \geq 75% of soil samples collected under either orchid species at either site were 1037 included in the analyses. AM1, soil samples containing A. morio roots collected at site 1; AM2, 1038 soil samples containing A. morio roots collected at site 2; OS1, soil samples containing O. 1039 sphegodes roots collected at site 1. NA, not ascertainable.
- 1040 **Table S4**. Results of indicator species analysis for the comparison of OrM fungal assemblages in 1041 either *Anacamptis morio* (AM) or *Ophrys sphegodes* (OS) roots and soil samples containing the 1042 orchid roots. Results for both binary (presence/absence) and non-binary (OTU read numbers) 1043 data are included (P-value, the statistical significance of the relationship as assessed with 999 1044 random permutations).
- **Table S5.** Results of Mantel tests [Mantel.cor, Mantel r statistics; P-value, two-tailed p-value (null hypothesis: r=0) adjusted with sequential Bonferroni correction], based on 999 permutations, for different orchid mycorrhizal (OrM) and non-orchid mycorrhizal (non-OrM) fungal groups in soil. P values <0.05 are indicated in red. OS, *Ophrys sphegodes*; AM, *Anacamptis morio*.

- 1050 **Table S6**. Results of Mantel correlograms (Mantel.cor, Mantel r statistics; P-value, P-value (null
- 1051 hypothesis: r = 0 adjusted with sequential Bonferroni correction), based on 999 permutations,
- 1052 for different orchid mycorrhizal (OrM) and non-orchid mycorrhizal (non-OrM) fungal groups.
- 1053 For site 1, both results for all soil samples, and samples collected under either orchid species
- 1054 (OS, Ophrys sphegodes; AM, Anacamptis morio) or along transects starting from either orchid
- 1055 are reported. P values <0.05 are indicated in red. Phylogroups/OTUs within each fungal group
- 1056 are listed in Table S5.
- 1057 Table S7. P-values of Moran's I tests for spatial autocorrelation of read numbers of each
- 1058 tulasnelloid, ceratobasidioid, sebacinoid and pezizoid OrM and non-OrM phylogroup at either
- 1059 site. Several non-rhizoctonia and non-pezizoid fungi were also tested. For site 1, both results for
- 1060 all soil samples, and samples collected under either orchid species (OS, Ophrys sphegodes; AM,
- 1061 Anacamptis morio) or along transects starting from either orchid are reported. OrM phylogroups
- 1062 are indicated in blue. P-values <0.05 are indicated in red. n.a., not ascertainable.