Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FINITE GROUP ACTION AND EQUIVARIANT BORDISM

S. S. KHARE

Vol. 116, No. 1 November 1985

FINITE GROUP ACTION AND EQUIVARIANT BORDISM

S. S. KHARE

Conner and Floyd proved that if \mathbb{Z}_2^k acts on a closed manifold M differentiably and without any fixed point, then M is a boundary. Stong gave a stronger result proving that if (M,θ) is a closed \mathbb{Z}_2^k -differential manifold with no stationary point, then (M,θ) is a \mathbb{Z}_2^k -boundary. In the present note, we discuss this problem for a finite group in detail. Let G be a finite group. By the 2-central component $G_2(C)$ of G, we will mean the subgroup of G consisting of the identity element and all the elements of order G in the center of G. We prove in this note that the fixed data of the 2-central component $G_2(C)$ of G determines G-bordism.

- 1. Preliminaries. Throughout the note we will take G to be a finite group. By a G-manifold we will mean a differential compact manifold with a differential action of G on it. A family \mathscr{F} in G is a collection of subgroups of G such that if $H \in \mathscr{F}$, then all the subgroups of H and all the conjugates of H are in \mathscr{F} . Let $\mathscr{F}' \subset \mathscr{F}$ be families in G such that \exists a central element G in G of order 2 such that
 - (i) $a \notin H, \forall H \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}'$
 - (ii) $H \in \mathcal{F}' \Rightarrow [H \cup \{a\}] \in \mathcal{F}'$
- (iii) The intersection S of all members of $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}'$ is in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}'$. We call such a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ of families an admissible pair of families in G with respect to $a \in G$.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let G be a finite group. We can write the 2-central component $G_2(C)$ as $\mathbf{Z}_2^r = [t_1, \dots, t_r]$, where t_1, \dots, t_r are generators of \mathbf{Z}_2^r with t_i^2 = the identity element and $t_i t_j = t_j t_i$. Let \mathcal{F}_k be the family of all subgroups of G not containing \mathbf{Z}_2^k , $0 < k \le r$, where \mathbf{Z}_2^k denotes the subgroup of G generated by the first k generators t_1, \dots, t_k . Then $(\mathcal{F}_{k+1}, \mathcal{F}_k)$ is an admissible pair with respect to $t_{k+1}, 0 < k < r$.

2. Stationary point free action of $G_2(C)$ and G-bordism. The object of this section is to show that if (M, θ) is a G-manifold with the stationary point free action of $G_2(C)$ then (M, θ) is G-boundary. Following the notation of Stong [2], let $\mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ denote the $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -free G-bordism group for a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ of families in G. For a given family \mathcal{F}

40 S. S. KHARE

in G and an element g in G, let \mathscr{F}_g denote the smallest family in G consisting of all subgroups $[H \cup \{g\}], H \in \mathscr{F}$.

THEOREM 3.1. If $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ is an admissible pair of families in G with respect to G in G, then an $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -free element in $\mathfrak{N}_*(G, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ is zero in $\mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathcal{F}_g, \mathcal{F}_g')$.

Proof. Let $[M, \theta]$ be in $\Re_*(G, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$. Let F denote the fixed points set of S in M, S being the intersection of all the members of $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}'$. Since $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}'$ is invariant under conjugation, S is normal in G and hence the action θ on M induces an action on F which we denote once again by θ . Let ν be the normal bundle of the imbedding of F in the interior of M and $D(\nu)$ be its disc bundle with the action θ^* of G on $D(\nu)$ induced by the real vector bundle maps covering the action θ on F. Since F is fixed point set of S, $a \notin H$, $\forall H \in \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}'$ and no point of F is fixed by the subgroup $[S \cup \{a\}]$ generated by $S \cup \{a\}$, a will act freely on F and hence on $D(\nu)$. Let F' = F/[a] and $D'(\nu) = D(\nu)/[a]$. Since a is central the actions θ and θ^* on F and $D(\nu)$ induce actions θ' and $\theta^{*'}$ on F' and $D'(\nu)$ respectively. Let C_1 and C_2 be the mapping cylinders of the equivariant double covers q_1 : $F \to F'$ and q_2 : $D(\nu) \to D'(\nu)$ respectively and ψ_1 and ψ_2 be the induced actions on C_1 and C_2 respectively. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_2 & \rightarrow & D'(\nu) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \nu' \\ C_1 & \rightarrow & F' \end{array}$$

where $\alpha: C_2 \to C_1$ is the map induced from $\nu': D'(\nu) \to F'$ by going to mapping cylinders. Clearly ∂C_1 is homeomorphic to F, $\alpha^{-1}(\partial C_1)$ is homeomorphic to $D(\nu)$ and the action ψ_1 on $\alpha^{-1}(\partial C_1)$ is isomorphic to the action θ^* on $D(\nu)$. Consider

$$W = (M \times [0,1]) \cup C_2/\sim,$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation in W obtained by identifying $D(v) \times \{1\}$ with $\alpha^{-1}(\partial C_1)$. Let the action ϕ of G on W be given by $\phi \mid M \times [0,1] = \theta \times 1$ and $\phi \mid C_2 = \psi_1$. Take V to be $(\partial M \times [0,1]) \cup (M \times \{1\}) - (D(v) \times \{1\})^\circ) \cup (\partial C_2 - (\alpha^{-1}(\partial C_1))^\circ)$, where \circ denotes the interior operator. Since S is the intersection of all the members of $\mathscr{F} - \mathscr{F}'$, V will be $(\mathscr{F}_a', \mathscr{F}_a')$ -free. Also W is $(\mathscr{F}_a', \mathscr{F}_a')$ -free and ∂W is homeomorphic to $M \cup V$ by identifying ∂V with ∂M . This shows that $[M, \theta]$ is zero in $\mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathscr{F}_a, \mathscr{F}_a')$.

Let $\mathfrak A$ denote the family of all subgroups of G and $\mathscr F_0$ denote the empty family. Then following the notations of Example 2.1 and using the above Theorem, one immediately gets the following.

COROLLARY 3.2. For every k, $0 \le k < r$, the homomorphism $\mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathscr{F}_{k+1}, \mathscr{F}_k) \to \mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_k)$ induced from the inclusion map $(\mathscr{F}_{k+1}, \mathscr{F}_k) \to (\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_k)$ is zero.

Proof. Since $(\mathscr{F}_{k+1}, \mathscr{F}_k)$ is admissible pair of families with respect to t_{k+1} for $0 \le k < r$ and no point of the submanifold V in the above construction is fixed by \mathbb{Z}_2^k . Theorem 3.1 gives the Corollary immediately.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let **P** be the family of all subgroups of G which do not contain $G_2(C)$. Then the homomorphism $\mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathbf{P}) \to \mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathfrak{U})$ induced from the inclusion map $\mathbf{P} \to \mathfrak{U}$ is the zero homomorphism.

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, one gets that

$$\mathfrak{N}_*(G;\mathscr{F}_{k+1},\mathscr{F}_k) \overset{i_*}{\to} \mathfrak{N}_*(G;\mathfrak{A},\mathscr{F}_k)$$

is the zero homomorphism, $0 \le k < r$. Consider the exact bordism sequence for the triple

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_{k+1}, \mathscr{F}_{k}) \to \cdots \, \mathfrak{R}_{*}(G; \mathscr{F}_{k+1}, \mathscr{F}_{k}) \stackrel{i_{*}}{\to} \mathfrak{R}_{*}(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_{k})$$

$$\stackrel{i_{*}}{\to} \mathfrak{R}_{*}(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_{k+1}) \to \cdots$$

where j_* is the homomorphism induced from the inclusion $j: (\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_k) \to (\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_{k+1})$. Since i_* is the zero homomorphism, j_* will be a monomorphism. Therefore the composite

$$\mathfrak{N}_{*}(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_{o}) \to \mathfrak{N}_{*}(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_{1}) \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{N}_{*}(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_{r})$$

is a monomorphism and hence by the exact bordism sequence of the triple $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_r, \mathscr{F}_0)$, one get that $\mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathscr{F}_r, \mathscr{F}_0) \to \mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}_0)$ is the zero homomorphism. This completes the proof since $\mathscr{F}_r = \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathscr{F}_0 = \varnothing$. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. If $G_2(C)$ acts on M under θ without any stationary point then (M, θ) is a G-boundary.

3. The stationary points set $F_{G_2(C)}$ and the normal bundle. In the last section we dealt with the case when $F_{G_2(C)}$ is empty. In this section we consider the case when $F_{G_2(C)} \neq \emptyset$. For this we introduce the concept of

equivariant trivial normal bundle and use this concept to settle the case $F_{G_2(C)} \neq \emptyset$ in the form of Theorem 4.2.

Let (M^n, θ) be a closed G-manifold. Consider the decomposition of $F = F_{G_2(C)}(M^n)$ as $F = \bigcup_{l=0}^n F^l$, where F^l denotes the *l*-dimensional component of F. Let $\mathcal{D}(\nu_l)$ be the normal disc bundle of F^l in M^n with the induced action θ_l of G on $\mathcal{D}(\nu_l)$.

DEFINITION 4.1. F is said to have an equivariant trivial normal bundle in M^n , if $G/G_2(C)$ acts trivially on F and \exists some positive dimensional G-representations (W_1, ϕ_1) , $0 \le l \le n$, such that in $\mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{P})$

$$[D(\nu_l), \theta_l] = [F^l][D(W_l), \phi_l],$$

 $D(W_l)$ being the unit disc of W_l .

Let $\{V_k, \psi_k\}_{1 \le k \le m}$ be the finite set of all irreducible representations of G. Let \mathbf{Z}^+ be the set of all non-negative integers. Then any G-representation can be written as $(V(f), \psi(f))$ for some map $f : \{1, \ldots, m\} \to \mathbf{Z}^+$ where $V(f) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^m (V_k, \psi_k)^{f(k)}$, $(V_k, \psi_k)^{f(k)}$ being the direct sum of f(k) copies of (V_k, ψ_k) . Let us denote the unit disc and the unit sphere of V(f) by D(f) and S(f).

THEOREM 4.2. If F has an equivariant trivial normal bundle in M^n , then F is a boundary and (M^n, θ) is a G-boundary.

Proof. Since F has an equivariant trivial normal bundle in M^n , we have

$$[\mathscr{D}(\nu_l),\theta_l] = [F^l][D(W_l),\theta_l]$$

for some positive dimensional G-representations (W_l, ϕ_l) , $0 \le l \le n$. Also $(W_l, \phi_l) = (V(f_l), \psi(f_l))$ for some map $f_l: \{1, ..., m\} \to \mathbb{Z}^+$. Therefore

$$[\mathscr{D}(\nu_l), \theta_l] = [F^l][D(f_l), \psi(f_l)].$$

Let $i_*: \mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{P})$ be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map $i: (\mathfrak{A}, \phi) \to (\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{P})$. Then

$$i_*[M^n, \theta] = \sum_{l=0}^n [\mathscr{D}(\nu_l), \theta_l] = \sum_{l=0}^n [F^l] [D(f_l), \psi(f_l)].$$

Therefore

$$\partial_* i_* [M^n, \theta] = \sum_{l=0}^n [F^l] [S(f_l), \psi(f_l)] = 0$$

in $\mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathbf{P})$, where $\mathfrak{d}_* \colon \mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{P}) \to \mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathbf{P})$ is the boundary homomorphism. Therefore \exists a **P**-free *G*-manifold (D, η) such that

(1)
$$(\partial D, \eta) = \bigcup_{l=0}^{n} \left(F^{l} \times (S(f_{l}), \psi(f_{l})) \right).$$

Since (W_l, ϕ_l) is positive dimensional G-representation, $\forall l, \exists$ a member k(l) in the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $f_l(k(l)) \neq 0$. Consider the irreducible G-representation $(V_{k(l)}, \psi_{k(l)})$. Let $(\tilde{V}_{k(l)}, \tilde{\psi}_{k(l)})$ be an irreducible component of the $G_2(C)$ -representation induced by the G-representation $(V_{k(l)}, \psi_{k(l)})$. Then \exists a subgroup $H_{k(l)}$ of G isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_2^{r-1} which fixes $\tilde{V}_{k(l)}$, $G_2(C)$ being \mathbf{Z}_2^r . Let us fix some $\beta, 0 \leq \beta \leq n$.

From the equation (1), we get

$$F_{H_{k(\beta)}}(\partial D, \eta) = F_{H_{k(\beta)}} \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{n} \left(F^{l} \times (S(f_{l}), \psi(f_{l})) \right) \right).$$

Let $F_{H_{k(\beta)}}(D) = F^*$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta} \approx \mathbf{Z}_2$ be the complement of $H_{k(\beta)}$ in $G_2(C) = \mathbf{Z}_2^r$. Then one gets

$$\left(\partial F^*, \eta \mid \mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta}\right) = \bigcup_{l=0}^n \left(F' \times (S^{\Delta(l,\beta)-1}, a)\right),$$

where a is the antipodal involution and the integer $\Delta(l,\beta)$ is the nonnegative integer depending on l and β . Since $H_{k(\beta)}$ fixed $\tilde{V}_{k(\beta)}$ and $f_{\beta}(k(\beta)) \neq 0$, one infers that $\Delta(\beta, \beta) \geq 1$. Since D is **P**-free, $\mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta}$ will act freely on F^* and therefore $[\partial F^*, \eta \mid \mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta}]$ is zero in $\mathfrak{R}_*(\mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta}; \mathscr{F}_1), \mathscr{F}_1$ being the family consisting of only trivial subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta}$. This gives

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n} \left[F^{l} \right] \left[S^{\Delta(l,\beta)-1}, a \right] = 0$$

in $\mathfrak{R}_*(\mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta}; \mathscr{F}_1)$. But $\mathfrak{R}_*(\mathbf{Z}_{2,\beta}; \mathscr{F}_1)$ is free \mathfrak{R}_* -module with a set $\{[S^n, a], n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$ of generators. This together with the fact that $\Delta(\beta, \beta) \geq 1$ gives $[F^{\beta}] = 0$ in \mathfrak{R}_* . By varying β , one gets $[F^{\beta}] = 0$, $\forall \beta = 0, \ldots, n$. Hence [F] = 0 in \mathfrak{R}_* . Therefore

$$i_*[M^n, \theta] = \sum_{l=0}^n [F^l][D(f_l), \psi(f_l)] = 0$$
 in $\mathfrak{R}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{P})$.

But from Corollary 3.3, one infers that $i_*: \mathfrak{N}_*(G, \mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{P})$ is an injection. Therefore $[M^n, \theta]$ is zero in $\mathfrak{N}_*(G; \mathfrak{A})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. E. Conner, and E. E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.
- [2] R. E. Stong, Equivariant bordism and $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$ actions, Duke Math. J. 37 1970.

Received June 17, 1983 and in revised form July 29, 1983. The present work was partially supported by D. A. E. Grant.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS NORTH-EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY BIJNI CAMPUS, SHILLONG 793003 MEGHALAYA, INDIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN

Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721 C. C. Moore

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

ARTHUR OGUS

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

Hugo Rossi University of Utah

Salt Lake City, UT 84112

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

30-1362)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 116, No. 1 November, 1985

K. Adachi, Le problème de Lévi pour les fibrés grassmanniens et les variétés	
drapeaux	1
John MacLeod Ball, Remarks on the paper: "Basic calculus of variations"	7
John Kelly Beem and Phillip E. Parker, Whitney stability of solvability 1	1
Alberto Facchini, Decompositions of algebraically compact modules2	5
S. S. Khare, Finite group action and equivariant bordism	9
Horst Leptin, A new kind of eigenfunction expansions on groups 4	.5
Pei-Kee Lin, Unconditional bases and fixed points of nonexpansive	
mappings 6	9
Charles Livingston, Stably irreducible surfaces in S^4	7
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, Nonassociative algebras with scalar involution 8	5
Albert Milani, Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems in a	
bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^3 with applications to Maxwell's equations 11	1
Takemi Mizokami, On <i>M</i> -structures and strongly regularly stratifiable	
spaces	1
Jesper M. Møller, On the homology of spaces of sections of complex	
projective bundles	.3
Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou, Carathéodory convex integrand operators and	
probability theory	
Robert John Piacenza, Transfer in generalized prestack cohomology 18	5
Lance W. Small and Adrian R. Wadsworth, Integrality of subrings of	
matrix rings	
Iames Michael Wilson On the atomic decomposition for Hardy spaces 20	1