REVIEW PAPER

Experimental Bo

FIT, a regulatory hub for iron deficiency and stress signaling in roots, and FIT-dependent and -independent gene signatures



¹ Institute of Botany, Heinrich Heine University, Universitätsstr. 1, Düsseldorf, Germany

² Cluster of Excellence on Plant Science (CEPLAS), Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

* Correspondence: petra.bauer@hhu.de

Received 9 October 2019; Editorial decision 3 January 2020; Accepted 8 January 2020

Editors: Ron Mittler, University of Missouri, USA

Abstract

Iron (Fe) is vital for plant growth. Plants balance the beneficial and toxic effects of this micronutrient, and tightly control Fe uptake and allocation. Here, we review the role of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor FIT (FER-LIKE FE DEFICIENCY-INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR) in Fe acquisition. FIT is not only essential, it is also a central regulatory hub in root cells to steer and adjust the rate of Fe uptake by the root in a changing environment. FIT regulates a subset of root Fe deficiency (-Fe) response genes. Based on a combination of co-expression network and FIT-dependent transcriptome analyses, we defined a set of FIT-dependent and FIT-independent gene expression signatures and co-expression clusters that encode specific functions in Fe regulation and Fe homeostasis. These gene signatures serve as markers to integrate novel regulatory factors and signals into the -Fe response cascade. FIT forms a complex with bHLH subgroup Ib transcription factors. Furthermore, it interacts with key regulators from different signaling pathways that either activate or inhibit FIT function to adjust Fe acquisition to growth and environmental constraints. Co-expression clusters and FIT protein interactions suggest a connection of -Fe with ABA responses and root cell elongation processes that can be explored in future studies.

Keywords: ABA, bHLH, cell elongation, co-expression, FIT, iron, protein interaction, subgroup Ib, subgroup IVb, subgroup IVc.

Introduction

The micronutrient iron (Fe) is a main cofactor for electron transfer reactions. Fe shuttles between the ferric (Fe³⁺) and ferrous (Fe²⁺) states during oxidation and reduction. Fe-dependent redox reactions occur in many different biochemical processes in plants, ranging from energy generation and photosynthesis to various primary, secondary, and hormone biosynthetic pathways (Connorton *et al.*, 2017). Although Fe is among the most prevalent elements on Earth, the amount of bioavailable Fe is limited due to its complexation in insoluble Fe hydroxides. Calcareous and alkaline conditions further

decrease bioavailable Fe concentrations by several magnitudes (Colombo *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, plants actively mobilize Fe in the soil, and different strategies evolved for that. Most plant species secrete small molecules into the rhizosphere to chelate soil Fe³⁺, namely carboxylic acids, coumarins, and riboflavin derivatives; they acidify the rhizosphere and reduce Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ (Strategy I). Poaceae chelate Fe³⁺ by phytosiderophores of the mugineic acid family (Strategy II), whereby lowland rice in submerged soils also uses Strategy I (Marschner and Römheld, 1994; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2014; Connorton *et al.*, 2017). In this

which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

way, Strategy I plants take up Fe²⁺, while Strategy II plants import mainly Fe³⁺–phytosiderophore chelates.

Fe malnutrition is spotted in plants because of resulting leaf coloration phenotypes. Leaf chlorosis is a typical Fe deficiency (-Fe) symptom, with pale to yellow leaf color in intercostal leaf areas particularly pronounced in young small remaining leaves. Chloroplast differentiation and chlorophyll biosynthesis, Fe cofactor-dependent processes, are compromised in the chlorotic leaves. Ultimately, plants grow and reproduce poorly. Autophagy may take place in older leaves to remobilize Fe (Avila-Ospina et al., 2014). On the other hand, leaf bronzing is a sign of Fe toxicity. Excessive Fe is deleterious, and free Fe reacts with reactive oxygen species (ROS), that are often produced in response to stress factors. Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} react in the chemical Haber-Weiss and Fenton reactions with superoxide anions, hydrogen, and lipid peroxides, generating hydroxyl and lipid alkoxyl radicals. Cells have mechanisms based on antioxidant compounds and enzymes to protect from different ROS species. However, the very toxic hydroxyl and lipid alkoxyl radicals are not usually eliminated by cellular functions. These radicals damage biomolecules non-specifically, and cause DNA mutations and defective proteins (Le et al., 2019).

The demand for Fe changes during development from vegetative to seed filling and senescence (Pottier *et al.*, 2019). Environmental challenges such as high light, drought periods, or flooding drastically disturb growth rates and growth physiology, and alter requirements for Fe. Restricting the uptake of reactive metal ions (Dubeaux *et al.*, 2018) alleviates toxic effects of radical species, which occur under such stresses.

Root cells perceive and interpret developmental and environmental signals to adjust Fe uptake and Fe homeostasis. The transcription factor FIT (FER-LIKE FE DEFICIENCY-INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR) plays a predominant role in sustaining and restricting the amount of Fe in plant roots of eudicots (Colangelo and Guerinot, 2004; Jakoby et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2005). Arabidopsis FIT, like characterized orthologs, tomato FER and rice OsbHLH156 (Ling et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2020), are basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family proteins and members of the bHLH subgroup IIIa+c (Pires and Dolan, 2010). FIT is activated upon –Fe by a bHLH transcription factor cascade in roots (Gao et al., 2019b). bHLH121/URI of the bHLH subgroup IVb (Gao et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2019), together with bHLH subgroup IVc transcription factors, bHLH034, bHLH104, bHLH105/ILR3, and bHLH115, stimulate directly, by promoter binding, the transcription of several -Fe response genes including subgroup Ib BHLH genes in roots and in shoots (Zhang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017; Tissot et al., 2019). In rice, subgroup IVb protein OsbHLH064 is as yet uncharacterized, and bHLH IVc factors OsbHLH057/PRI4, OsbHLH058/PRI2, OsbHLH059/PRI3, and OsbHLH060/ PRI1 are regulators of Fe uptake (Zhang et al., 2017; Kobayashi et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). bHLH subgroup Ib transcription factors, bHLH038, bHLH039, bHLH100, and bHLH101, then interact with FIT to activate FIT (Yuan et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013). In tomato, this regulatory module is represented by subgroup Ib SlbHLH068 and FER (Du et al., 2015), whereas in rice it is represented by IRO2 and OsbHLH156 (Wang *et al.*, 2020). Activated FIT, tomato FER, and rice OsbHLH156 promote expression of a subset of root-specific –Fe response genes, acting in root Fe acquisition. Grafting studies with the tomato *fer* mutant showed that this gene function is essential only in roots, where these genes are predominantly expressed (Brown *et al.*, 1971).

An informative co-expression network of FIT-dependent and FIT-independent processes has been useful in Arabidopsis (Ivanov *et al.*, 2012). The ATTED tool that served to generate this network has in the meantime been updated to include RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) transcriptome data (Obayashi *et al.*, 2018). *fit* mutant transcriptome data also became available (Mai *et al.*, 2015, 2016).

Root Fe uptake is sensitive to environmental and developmental influences, and FIT plays a major role in this regulation. FIT activity is fine-tuned through protein–protein interactions involving several key regulators of hormone and stress response pathways.

Here, we provide in the first part of this review a comprehensive updated framework for the co-expression network including *FIT*, highlighting FIT-dependent and FITindependent gene signatures and gene functions. In the second part, we discuss transcriptional and post-translational control mechanisms that steer FIT activity and integrate signals from multiple signaling pathways. FIT is thus part of a central root cell regulatory hub, that receives developmental and environmental information to adjust Fe uptake and homeostasis. ABA signaling and root cell elongation may also control FIT action.

Update and applications of FIT-dependent and FIT-independent co-expression networks

Many physiological responses to -Fe are the result of altered gene expression regulation. In Arabidopsis wild-type plants, ~1300 genes are up-regulated and 1250 genes down-regulated in different spatio-temporal zones of the root response during exposure to -Fe, representative of different functional categories, such as hormonal and developmental, physiological, and stress pathways (Dinneny et al., 2008; Schwarz et al., 2020). Loss-of-function mutants of the transcription factor FIT [fit-3, exon T-DNA insertion allele, (Bauer et al., 2007)] express Fe uptake genes at a low level in roots, and mobilize and take up insufficient amounts of Fe; for example, they have low expression of IRT1 and FRO2, low root Fe reductase activity, and low Fe contents in leaves and seeds (Jakoby et al., 2004; Gratz et al., 2019). Consequently, these fit mutant plants grow poorly and display severe leaf chlorosis. Robust marker genes are defined as consistently regulated by -Fe across different growth conditions applied in different laboratories (Ivanov et al., 2012). Robust marker genes At3g07720, UGT72E1, IRT2, and MTPA2 are expressed at a low level in fit mutant roots, while the robust marker gene BHLH039 is highly induced (Ivanov et al., 2012; Mai et al., 2016). fit mutant global transcriptome data (fit-3 allele) have been obtained for 6-day-old seedlings exposed to + and -Fe and for roots dissected from 6-week-old plants exposed for a week to + and -Fe, using modified Hoagland's

1696 | Schwarz and Bauer

medium (Mai *et al.*, 2015, 2016). Gene co-expression information using ATTED-II (Obayashi *et al.*, 2018) can be integrated in combination with the aforementioned transcriptomic data of the regulatory *fit* mutant (Mai *et al.*, 2016) to illustrate co-expression links and nodes of regulated genes in a comprehensive manner. In this way, distinct subsets of -Fe-regulated genes, highlighting FIT-dependent and FIT-independent -Fe response clusters, become apparent (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S1 at *JXB* online). Four co-expression clusters represent meaningful -Fe response signatures that are applied to place new genetic components into hierarchical order in order to reconstruct regulatory pathways and circuits. In the following, we focus on genes in these four clusters.

Cluster 1 is a FIT-independent cluster, induced by -Fe in 6-day-old seedlings and in 6-week-old plant roots and shoots also in the absence of FIT (24 genes, up-regulated at -Fe versus +Fe in the wild type and up-regulated in *fit-3* versus the wild type at -Fe, in at least one of the data sets, seedlings and/or roots, according to Mai *et al.* (2016); marked in red in Fig. 1; summary of their protein functions in Fig. 2A; see also the



Fig. 1. FIT-dependent and FIT-independent co-expression network generated with a subset of root-expressed Fe deficiency (-Fe)-induced genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. The network combines co-expression analysis of selected -Fe-regulated genes with expression profiles using a fit mutant transcriptomic study. The network was generated using the ATTED-II tool, version 9.2 (Obayashi et al., 2018) that integrates RNA-seg data from the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ), and processed with Cytoscape. Thickness of edges corresponds to expression correlation based on the ATTED-II Mutual Rank scoring system. Robust -Fe root marker genes At3g07720, UGT72E1, BHLH039, IRT2, and MTPA2 (Ivanov et al., 2012), and IREG2, GRF11, PYE, and FIT were used as query genes (marked by thick lines). The chosen query genes allow generation of a comprehensive framework with cluster 1-4 genes, including FIT in addition to the majority of previously represented genes in the network (compare with Ivanov et al., 2012). The color code indicates regulation behavior according to comparative transcriptomic data sets of fit mutant plants (fit-3 T-DNA insertion loss-of-function allele; Jakoby et al. 2004; Bauer et al. 2007; Mai et al., 2015, 2016) versus the wild type. Transcriptomic data had been produced with 6-day-old seedlings, grown on vertical half-strength Hoagland agar plates with 50 μ M (+) Fe or without (-) Fe, and 6-week-old roots of plants, raised in hydroponic culture with quarter-strength Hoagland medium with 10 μM Fe, that had been transferred for 1 week to medium with 10 μM (+) Fe or without (-) Fe [Mai et al. (2016) additional file 3, Dataset 1, 6-week-old roots, and Dataset 2, 6-day-old seedlings, in both column D, fit -Fe versus WT -Fe, and column G, WT -Fe versus WT +Fe]. Red, up-regulated in (WT -Fe/WT +Fe) and up-regulated in (fit -Fe/WT -Fe) in at least one of the data sets; blue, up-regulated in (WT -Fe/WT +Fe) and down-regulated in (fit -Fe/WT -Fe) in at least one of the data sets; gray, other/no regulation (e.g. expression <1.5-fold ratio threshold); white, not included in the transcriptomic data set. Dashed lines encircle four clusters. Cluster 1 genes are induced by -Fe in roots and shoots and are FIT-independent. Cluster 2-4 genes are induced by -Fe in roots and are FIT-dependent, whereby cluster 2 and 3 genes are mostly FIT-dependent in 6-day-old seedlings and in 6-week-old roots, and cluster 4 genes mostly in 6-week-old roots. The list of co-expressed genes and their regulation patterns are available in Supplementary Table S1.





Fig. 2. Cellular functions encoded in the co-expression clusters 1–4. (A) Cluster 1 genes code for proteins that activate the FIT pathway, regulate Fe homeostasis, allocate Fe and other metal ions to shoots and roots, or play other roles in plant shoots and roots. Cluster 1-encoded proteins are bHLH038, bHLH039, bHLH100, bHLH101 of bHLH subgroup Ib transcription factors; NRAMP4, Fe transporter; NAS4, nicotianamine synthase; FRO3, ferric chelate reductase; ZIF1, zinc-nicotianamine transporter; OPT3, Fe (signal) transporter; FEP1, FEP3, small proteins; PYE, bHLH subgroup IVb transcription factor; and BTS, E3 ubiquitin ligase. Other proteins depicted are transcription factors bHLH034, bHLH104, bHLH105/ILR3, and bHLH115 of bHLH subgroup IVc, bHLH121/URI of subgroup IVb, and FIT of bHLH subgroup IIIa+c. (B) Cluster 2, 3, and 4 genes code for components of root Fe uptake, cellular metal homeostasis, and root cell elongation in the root epidermis

list in Supplementary Table S1). These genes are responsive to internal -Fe signals from shoots and roots. Cluster 1 genes are mostly targets of bHLH proteins of the subgroups IVb and IVc (Zhang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2019; Tissot et al., 2019) or down-regulated by bHLH011 and PYE (Long et al., 2010; Tanabe et al., 2019). At least one cluster 1 gene, NAS4, is up-regulated by MYB10 and MYB72 (Palmer et al., 2013) (MYB10 and MYB72 in gray and red color, Fig. 1). Cluster 1-encoded proteins regulate the allocation of Fe and other metals, activate FIT and Fe uptake, and play roles in embryo and leaf development or in drought responses (see references below). Subgroup Ib proteins bHLH038, bHLH039, bHLH100, or bHLH101 bind and activate FIT protein, as mentioned in the Introduction (Wang et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013). They also regulate leaf cell expansion and the transition of photosynthesis in leaves (Andriankaja et al., 2014). The metal chelator nicotianamine, generated by nicotianamine synthases, such as NAS4, enables Fe allocation to young sink tissues (Schuler et al., 2012). Mitochondrial ferric chelate reductase FRO3 (Jain et al., 2014), vacuolar Fe exporter NRAMP4 (Languar et al., 2005; Bastow et al., 2018), and vacuolar metal-nicotianamine transporter ZIF1 (Haydon et al., 2012) allocate Fe and metal ions in cells and in the plant. Phloem transporter OPT3 transmits Fe or a shoot-derived Fe signal long distances to roots (Zhai et al., 2014). FEP1 and FEP3 are small proteins (<5 kDa, also called peptides), possibly mobile in the phloem, that activate Fe uptake (Grillet et al., 2018; Hirayama et al., 2018). A bHLH transcription factor of the subgroup IVb, PYE, negatively regulates some of the cluster 1 functions, as mentioned above (Long et al., 2010). BTS is an E3 ligase with a hemerythrin-like domain and di-Fe-oxygenbinding sites. BTS binds and affects protein abundance of bHLHIVc proteins (Long et al., 2010; Selote et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2017), similar to rice HRZ1 (Kobayashi et al., 2013). It was proposed that -Fe is sensed through BTS E3 ligase stability, affecting subgroup IVc bHLH transcription factor levels (Kobayashi et al., 2013; Selote et al., 2015; Rodriguez-Celma et al., 2019a).

Two closely related BTS-like proteins, BTSL1 and BTSL2, are also likely candidates as negative regulators (Hindt *et al.*, 2017; Rodriguez-Celma *et al.*, 2019*b*). *BTSL1* is placed just in between clusters 1 and 3, as it is not FIT dependent (not differentially regulated in *fit-3* versus the wild type, at + or –Fe; Mai *et al.*, 2016; Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S1) but induced by –Fe versus +Fe in roots.

⁽epidermis indicated by a rectangle). Cluster 2-encoded proteins are FIT, bHLH transcription factor, and BTSL2, E3 ubiquitin ligase. Cluster 3-encoded proteins are S8H, scopoletin hydroxylase; CYP82C4, fraxetin hydroxylase; FRO2, ferric reductase; IRT1, Fe²⁺ transporter; GRF11/RHS5, 14-3-3 protein; MTPA2, zinc transporter; IREG2, Fe/metal transporter; and NAS2, nicotianamine synthase. Cluster 4-encoded proteins are IRT2, Fe²⁺ transporter; LRX1, leucine-rich repeat and extensin protein; XTH12, XTH13, xyloglucan endotransglucosylases; amd RSH13, root hair-specific. Other proteins depicted are bHLH subgroup Ib transcription factors. Further information on cluster 1–4 genes is provided in the text, Fig. 1, and Supplementary Table S1.

1698 | Schwarz and Bauer

A characteristic of cluster 2, 3, and 4 genes is FIT dependency together with root-specific expression [up-regulated at -Fe versus +Fe in the wild type, down-regulated in fit-3 versus the wild type at -Fe, according to Mai et al. (2016); marked in blue in Fig. 1; summary of their protein functions in Fig. 2B; see also the list in Supplementary Table S1]. Induction of cluster 2-4 genes in roots is also under the control of shoot-derived signals. Shoot to root communication is, however, not the topic of this review (Mendoza-Cozatl et al., 2014; Zhai et al., 2014). BTSL2 is found together with FIT in a designated cluster 2, which forms a regulatory circuit. BTSL2 enhances FIT protein degradation in plant cells (Rodriguez-Celma et al., 2019b). Cluster 3 is the FIT-dependent 'root Fe uptake' cluster, and most of its genes are induced by FIT in both 6-day-old seedlings and roots of 6-week-old plants (13 genes; Figs 1 and 2B; Supplementary Table S1). Fe is acquired via the action of coumarins, synthesized among others by the enzymes S8H and CYP82C4, via Fe reductase FRO2 and the Fe²⁺ importer IRT1 (Eide et al., 1996; Robinson et al., 1999; Vert et al., 2002; Rajniak et al., 2018; Siwinska et al., 2018; Tsai et al., 2018). The 14-3-3 protein GRF11 stimulates Fe acquisition responses and FIT induction, possibly via nitric oxide signals (Yang et al., 2013). Vacuolar metal transporters, MTPA2 and IREG2/FPN2, and nicotianamine synthase NAS2 mediate metal homeostasis of root epidermis cells (Arrivault et al., 2006; Schaaf et al., 2006; Morrissey et al., 2009; Schuler et al., 2012). Cluster 4 is a FIT-dependent cluster (19 genes; Figs 1, 2B), whereby most of its genes are dependent on FIT in the roots of 6-week-old plants, while they are not induced under -Fe in seedlings (Supplementary Table S1). Nutrition affects the development of roots (Gruber et al., 2013). Root morphological changes in response to -Fe include typically the elongation and branching of root hairs, differentiation of transfer cells, and root architectural changes with an elongation of the main root, as documented in many plant species (Ling et al., 2002; Schikora and Schmidt, 2002a, b; Gratz et al., 2019). Root morphological phenotypes in response to -Fe can vary with respective growth conditions, particularly in Arabidopsis (Gruber et al., 2013; Wild et al., 2016). Cluster 4 shows that FIT is required for inducing root cell elongation genes. Root elongation is a developmental process that occurs in response to -Fe in a FIT-dependent manner in Hoagland's medium, a condition which was used for the transcriptomic study (Ling et al., 2002; Mai et al., 2015, 2016; Gratz et al., 2019). The Fe transporter gene IRT2 is a tandem duplicate of IRT1, but cannot complement loss of IRT1, perhaps due to predominant localization of IRT2 in endosomes instead of the plasma membrane (Vert et al., 2009). LRX1 is an extracellular leucine-rich repeat and extensin protein that functions in root hair morphology (Baumberger et al., 2001). XTH12 and XTH13 are members of a family of cell wall-modifying xyloglucan endotransglucosylases presumably functioning in cell elongation (Maris et al., 2011). Likewise, RSH13 is an extracellular protein for cell wall integrity and root hair cell elongation under phosphate deficiency and ethylene treatment (Tanaka et al., 2017).

Information on the co-expression clusters 1–4 has served to integrate genetic components for –Fe regulation in a conclusive

Α





Fig. 3. Use of co-expression clusters to integrate genetic components in a hierarchical model of Fe deficiency (-Fe) response regulation. (A) Summary of FIT-independent cluster 1 and FIT-dependent cluster 2, 3, and 4 gene regulation in the respective comparative analyses: ¹(Mai et al., 2016), ²(Zhang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Tissot et al., 2019), ³(Gao et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2019), and ⁴(Naranjo-Arcos et al., 2017); green and pink arrows, up- and down-regulated in the comparison; black trait, not regulated. (B) bHLH transcription factor cascade regulating cluster 1–4 genes in response to –Fe. bHLH subgroup IVc proteins and bHLH121/URI bHLH IVb protein bind to the promoters of BHLH subgroup Ib genes and other cluster 1 genes. bHLH subgroup Ib proteins interact with and activate FIT to steer induction of cluster 2, 3, and 4 genes. A feedback from Fe signals to bHLH factors is not indicated in the scheme. Characteristic example marker genes are noted. Further information on cluster 1-4 genes is provided in the text, Fig. 1, and Supplementary Table S1.

manner into a hierarchical model of –Fe response regulation (Fig. 3). Reduced activity of bHLH subgroup IVc proteins and knockout of bHLH121/URI eliminates induction of cluster 1, 2, 3, and 4 genes at –Fe, while their overactivation can stimulate genes of all four clusters at sufficient Fe (+Fe)

despite Fe overaccumulation (Zhang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2019; Tissot et al., 2019). A stimulation of genes from all four clusters together with Fe overload in the shoot is also a phenotype of mutants lacking a suppressive long-distance +Fe signal from the shoot, such as opt3 and nicotianamine-deficient mutants (Schuler et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2018). On the other hand, overexpression of the bHLH Ib protein bHLH039 leads to Fe accumulation in shoots and decreased expression of cluster 1 genes. Cluster 2, 3, and 4 genes are induced in bHLH039 overexpression plants, in line with enhanced Fe acquisition (Naranjo-Arcos et al., 2017). Obviously, high-level expression of cluster 1 genes is not a prerequisite for shoot Fe overaccumulation, rather cluster 1 genes are responsive to -Fe signals. This is confirmed in Fe-deficient fit mutants that fail to activate cluster 2, 3, and 4 genes at -Fe, while cluster 1 genes are highly up-regulated. On the other hand, FIT overexpression does not lead to a major cluster 1-4 gene expression change, and, along with the absence of an Fe accumulation phenotype at +Fe, this indicates that FIT protein is not functional at +Fe (Mai et al., 2016). This supports that FIT is activated by bHLH subgroup Ib proteins. These subgroup Ib proteins respond to the -Fe signal cascade, while BHLH subgroup Ib genes are not targets of FIT.

Taken together, the concept of deriving gene expression signatures from the co-expression network with emphasis on clusters 1–4 has proven to be reliable for determining the hierarchy of regulatory factors in a transcriptional regulation cascade. The co-expressed genes of Supplementary Table S1 comprise an '–Fe response' term for gene functional enrichment studies. The gene signatures explain regulated physiological and cellular pathways leading to Fe acquisition and metal homeostasis.

Transcriptional and post-translational control mechanisms of FIT to integrate hormone and stress signals

The ability to adjust Fe acquisition to internal and external stimuli is crucial for plants during developmental phase transitions and fluctuations of environmental stress conditions. Protein complexes of key regulators cross-connect signaling pathways. Such integration hubs for multi-parallel signaling pathways are, for example, DELLA, PIF4, and EIN3, that together steer cell elongation (Choi and Oh, 2016). FIT is controlled at the post-translational level through protein interactions (Wu and Ling, 2019). Besides its bHLH domain, FIT possesses N- and C-terminal subdomains that mediate interactions with other proteins and are partly even sufficient for the protein-protein interactions. Most known protein interactions of FIT involve its C-terminal subdomain. Because of its self-activating activity, full-length FIT protein fused with a DNA-binding domain could not be used to screen for novel protein interactors in the yeast two-hybrid assay. Instead, the C-terminal subdomain of FIT fused with a DNA-binding domain was fully sufficient to successfully retrieve novel interactors (Lingam et al., 2011; Gratz et al., 2019, 2020). Subsequently, full-length FIT protein-protein interactions are validated through targeted yeast two-hybrid interaction assays

with swapped DNA-binding and activation domains, plant bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC), plant Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) acceptor photobleaching, plant FRET-fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLIM), and *in vitro* and/or plant cell co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) (Yuan *et al.*, 2008; Lingam *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2013; Le *et al.*, 2016; Wild *et al.*, 2016; Cui *et al.*, 2018; Gratz *et al.*, 2019, 2020; Rodriguez-Celma *et al.*, 2019b). In the following, we discuss that FIT activity is responsive to signals from multi-parallel signaling pathways. FIT is thus part of a regulatory hub, which steers Fe acquisition in a positive and negative manner (Fig. 4).

FIT is expressed in roots where it is induced 2- to 3-fold at -Fe versus +Fe (Colangelo and Guerinot, 2004; Jakoby et al., 2004). FIT itself promotes its own gene induction in a feed-forward loop together with a bHLH subgroup Ib protein (cluster 2 gene), and this is a major explanation for the -Fe transcriptional induction of FIT (Wang et al., 2007; Naranjo-Arcos et al., 2017). Interestingly, FIT gene induction levels are higher in the absence of polycomb repressive complex PRC2-mediated histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation, and this methylation pathway acts predominantly on cluster 2

Fig. 4. FIT as a regulatory hub for integrating signals from multi-parallel signaling pathways. The proteins, indicated in the model, interact directly via protein-protein interactions with FIT in plant cells (indicated by solid lines) to adjust Fe acquisition in response to the respective signals. Root hair development and ABA are prospective signals for FIT regulation, as highlighted in this review; however, interacting proteins remain to be identified (indicated by dashed lines and question marks). The light gray boxes indicate two models for interaction, either protein interactions only with FIT (Model I, left side) or protein interactions with the FIT-bHLH subgroup Ib protein complex (Model II, right side). Abbreviations used are -Fe, Fe deficiency; ET, ethylene; ROS, reactive oxygen species; GA, gibberellic acid; JA, jasmonic acid; P, phosphorylation; Ub, ubiquitination; ABA, abscisic acid. Green color, positive effect; pink color, negative effect; dark gray color, positive or negative effect not yet determined; red color, cluster 1-encoded; blue color, cluster 2-encoded. References are ¹(Yuan et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013), ²(Lingam et al., 2011), ³(Gratz et al., 2019), ⁴(Le et al., 2016), ⁵(Wild et al., 2016), ⁶(Cui et al., 2018), and ⁷(Rodriguez-Celma et al., 2019).

and 3 genes as well as root growth at -Fe (Park et al., 2019). The PRC2 methylation complex also mediates methylation in environment- and stress-responsive genes, including abscisic acid (ABA)-responsive genes (Kleinmanns et al., 2017). The promoter of one component of the complex is targeted by bHLH subgroup IVc protein bHLH105/ILR3 in yeast (de Lucas et al., 2016). However, this interaction was not validated in planta, and therefore it remains unknown whether ILR3 can activate or repress the PRC2 complex. The subgroup IVb protein bHLH011 negatively regulates FIT and cluster 3 gene expression, but positively affects cluster 1 genes including BHLH subgroup Ib genes (Tanabe et al., 2019). Presently, it cannot be explained why FIT expression is down-regulated although subgroup Ib genes are up-regulated. On the other hand, BHLH011 is down-regulated in response to -Fe and in bHLH039 overexpression plants, suggesting that bHLH011 could be an -Fe repressor (Naranjo-Arcos et al., 2017; Tanabe et al., 2019). Future studies will address how -Fe signals are perceived to down-regulate BHLH011 and to regulate the PRC2 methylation complex. The connection between bHLH105/ ILR3 and expression of PRC2 components will be interesting to explore.

FIT protein is most drastically controlled at the posttranslational level, which includes proteasomal degradation, phosphorylation, cellular localization, mobility, and the interactions with activating and inhibiting proteins (see references below). In six reported overexpression lines, FIT protein is detected at +Fe and –Fe, and physiological studies clearly demonstrate that overexpressed FIT or tomato FER are not active at +Fe (Jakoby *et al.*, 2004; Brumbarova and Bauer, 2005; Meiser *et al.*, 2011; Sivitz *et al.*, 2011; Gratz *et al.*, 2019). Higher FIT protein abundance at +Fe compared with –Fe was also partly noted, although not quantified (Meiser *et al.*, 2011; Sivitz *et al.*, 2011).

As described above, FIT is activated by interaction with bHLH subgroup Ib transcription factors, bHLH038, bHLH039, bHLH100, and bHLH101, at -Fe (Yuan et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013). bHLH039 is reported to exert the strongest effect among them on -Fe response regulation, and is often selected as representative of the subgroup Ib (Sivitz et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013; Maurer et al., 2014). bHLH subgroup Ib proteins are not induced at +Fe. This explains why FIT remains inactive when it is overexpressed (Jakoby et al., 2004; Meiser et al., 2011; Gratz et al., 2019). bHLH039 cannot trigger cluster 2, 3, and 4 activation on its own (Naranjo-Arcos et al., 2017). bHLH039 together with FIT, but neither one alone, induce the target *IRT1* promoter in plant, yeast, and mammalian cells, and in transgenic plants, showing that bHLH039 and FIT are a functional module in cells (Yuan et al., 2008; Wild et al., 2016; Gratz et al., 2020). bHLH039 fused with a fluorescent protein is rather immobile and localizes close to the cell periphery and to only a small extent in the cell nucleus (Trofimov et al., 2019). Its localization is drastically shifted to the nucleus when bHLH039 is co-expressed together with FIT (Trofimov et al., 2019). Similarly, IRO2 localization is shifted from the cytoplasm to the nucleus in the presence of bHLH156 (Wang et al., 2020). The localization of FIT itself does not change in the absence or presence of bHLH039 (Trofimov et al., 2019). Hence, not only is FIT activated by bHLH subgroup Ib proteins, but also vice versa. Generally, bHLH proteins dimerize via their HLH domains. Formation of the FIT-bHLH039 heterocomplex also involves the C-terminal domain of FIT, and the interaction preferentially takes place when FIT is mutated at Ser272 to provide a phospho-mimicking site, but not when it is mutated in this way at Tyr237 and Tyr278 (Gratz et al., 2019, 2020). The mutant FIT form mimicking Ser272 phosphorylation shows a higher nucleus to cytoplasm ratio of localization than wild-type FIT (Gratz et al., 2019, 2020). It is therefore most likely that FIT protein is present in an inactive state, unless bHLH subgroup Ib protein is expressed. Upon serine phosphorylation, FIT interacts better with bHLH subgroup Ib protein, and the complex is partitioned more towards the nucleus, where trans-activation of cluster 2-4 genes takes place.

A small pool of FIT protein is present in phosphorylated form in plant roots, which coincides with a small pool of active FIT protein, while a large pool of non-phosphorylated FIT protein represents inactive FIT (Gratz et al., 2019). The serine protein kinase CIPK11 phosphorylates FIT at Ser 272 and activates FIT (Gratz et al., 2019). CIPK11 is induced by -Fe, but it is not a FIT target. CIPK11 is also activated under -Fe by calcium signaling and interacts with calcium sensors CBL1 and CBL9, needed for full-level -Fe response regulation via FIT (Gratz et al., 2019). CBL-CIPK complexes decode calcium signaling in response to abiotic stress. Drought, ABA, and alkaline soil conditions affect the ability of plants to take up Fe, and CIPK11 acts as a regulator in these responses with dual roles. CIPK11 phosphorylates and activates ABI5, but on the other hand it phosphorylates Cys2/His-type zinc finger transcription factor Di19-3, resulting in down-regulation of some drought responses (Zhou et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2019). CIPK11 also phosphorylates and inactivates the H⁺-ATPase AHA2, involved in rhizosphere acidification, thus negatively affecting proton extrusion (Fuglsang et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2019). AHA2 activity is important for Fe acquisition in alkaline and calcareous conditions. More studies are needed to resolve the apparently contrasting roles of CIPK11 in these different stress situations. Similarly, another calcium-regulated CIPK, CIPK23, has promoting and inhibitory roles in Fe uptake regulation by targeting FRO2 and IRT1 proteins (Tian et al., 2016; Dubeaux et al., 2018). In these cases, a combination of -Fe with metal excess but not -Fe alone could trigger CIPK23 activation. More than one serine is targeted by CIPK11 in FIT, and FIT is probably the target of more than one serine protein kinase (Gratz et al., 2020). Other potential candidate protein kinases are mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 (MAPK3) and MAPK6, that are induced by -Fe and stimulate cluster 2 and 3 genes (Ye et al., 2015). Multiple protein kinases may thus transmit multiple phosphorylation marks, and the sum of protein phosphorylation may represent the signal to fine-tune the activity of FIT. In nature, complex regulation is needed for optimal acclimation. Open questions relate to the mechanism and importance of transcriptional CIPK11 and CIPK11 protein activation at -Fe as well as the role of specific CBL-CIPK decoders. Spatial information is lacking about the CIPK11 activation by CBL1/9 under -Fe and about the phosphorylation

ther elucidated. Fe uptake and FIT activity are promoted by ethylene and nitric oxide, and both signaling molecules, often associated with stress responses in plants, stabilize FIT protein (Lingam et al., 2011; Meiser et al., 2011). The ethylene-induced transcription factors EIN3/EIL1 increase FIT protein abundance through interaction with FIT (Lingam et al., 2011). EIN3/EIL1 also prevent photo-oxidative stress (Zhong et al., 2009). Several downstream targets of EIN3/EIL1 upon -Fe are photooxidative stress-induced genes (Lingam et al., 2011). Such genes are also misregulated in triple bhlh subgroup Ib mutant seedlings (Maurer et al., 2014). Even though FIT function and root Fe acquisition are not perturbed, leaf developmental phenotypes are found in the triple mutants (Andriankaja et al., 2014; Maurer et al., 2014). Besides increasing Fe acquisition in the context of photo-oxidative stress, EIN3/EIL1 may also regulate root hair growth in conjunction with FIT under -Fe (Feng et al., 2017).

Target gene induction is preceded by the assembly of the transcription factor complex at an enhancer region and bending of the DNA towards RNA polymerase II, bridged by the large multiprotein complex Mediator. FIT interacts with Mediator subunit MED16, while EIN3/EIL1 interact with MED25, both being subunits of the Mediator tail that attaches to the transcription factor complex (Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). med16 and med25 mutants have lower expression of cluster 2, 3, and 4 genes. Consequently, they have lower Fe contents and their leaves are more chlorotic than wild-type leaves when plants are exposed to -Fe. Thus, the effect of MED16 and MED25 can be explained through an activation of -Fe responses via EIN3/EIL1 and FIT (Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). Interestingly, the composition of the Mediator complex varies in response to developmental and environmental cues. MED25 also suppresses ABA responses (Chen et al., 2012) and acts on hydrogen peroxide-mediated root hair cell elongation (Sundaravelpandian et al., 2013), amongst other responses (Buendia-Monreal and Gillmor, 2016). An interesting question to answer in the future is whether and how the Mediator tail is informed about -Fe signals.

FIT activity is suppressed by protein interactions with ZAT12, DELLA, jasmonate-induced bHLH proteins, and BTSL2. In these cases, the inhibition of FIT may be an advantage to avoid toxic Fe effects or to deprive cells of Fe for optimal growth. ZAT12 is a C₂H₂-type zinc finger nuclear protein induced by ROS in response to multiple abiotic stresses (Davletova et al., 2005). ZAT12 expression is under control of bZIP29 (Ben Daniel et al., 2016). bZIP29 plays a role in cell proliferation and cell wall organization in the root meristem. A dominant-negative form of bZIP29 caused an increased expression of several cluster 1, 2, and 3 -Fe genes, which could be linked to the increased root meristem and wavy root phenotypes (Van Leene et al., 2016). ZAT12 is induced by a prolonged exposure to -Fe. In this condition, hydrogen peroxide is produced (Le et al., 2016). Interestingly, hydrogen peroxide generation in roots is dependent on FIT, and FIT protein is more stable when treated with hydrogen peroxide, dependent on the presence of ZAT12 (Le *et al.*, 2016). Since EIN3/EIL1 and ZAT12 are both EAR-motif-containing transcription factors, they may compete for FIT binding. Under high Fe conditions, high levels of hydrogen peroxide are generated, and *ZAT12* is highly induced (Le *et al.*, 2016, 2019). *zat12* mutants do not show an apparent high Fe phenotype, which could be due to the redundancy of ZAT proteins (Xie *et al.*, 2019). Likewise, we are lacking more information on the relevance of ZAT12 in coordinating Fe acquisition in response to abiotic stress.

The DELLA repressor protein of gibberellic acid signaling accumulates in the root meristem to inhibit root growth, and it is able to interact directly with FIT (Wild *et al.*, 2016). Using transgenic reporter lines with native promoter and transgenic promoter swapping experiments, the authors were able to develop a spatially resolved model for the action of DELLA protein on FIT and the regulation of Fe uptake. According to this model, DELLA protein is degraded under –Fe in the root epidermis of the root differentiation zone, so that FIT remains active. On the other hand, DELLA that accumulates in the root epidermis interacts with the FIT bHLH subgroup Ib complex and prevents its target DNA promoter binding (Wild *et al.*, 2016).

Jasmonic acid (JA) causes proteasomal degradation of FIT and possibly of bHLH subgroup Ib proteins. This explains the negative effect of JA supply on Fe acquisition and Fe contents (Maurer et al., 2011; Kobayashi et al., 2016; Cui et al., 2018). The four redundant JA-induced bHLH subgroup IVa transcription factors, bHLH018, bHLH019, bHLH020, and bHLH025, interact with FIT. FIT is inactive in this complex and is degraded more quickly (Cui et al., 2018). JA also increases the biosynthesis of secondary compounds. In some plant species, bHLH subgroup Ib proteins are induced by JA, resulting in secondary compound biosynthesis (Goossens et al., 2017). bHLH020 is required for methyl-JA-induced formation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) bodies, associated with defense compound release upon herbivory in Brassicaceae (Matsushima et al., 2004; Nakazaki et al., 2019). Overexpression of bHLH025 results in enlarged roots and cotyledons, increased lateral root number, and higher susceptibility to cyst nematode infection (Jin et al., 2011). In other plant species, bHLH IVa proteins regulate secondary compound biosynthesis, relevant in the context of plant defense (Goossens et al., 2017). The connection between JA and Fe may highlight a mechanism of controlling Fe acquisition and Fe homeostasis upon an infection with pathogens and herbivores (Romera et al., 2019). Recent studies showed that bHLH subgroup IVb and IVc proteins regulate glucosinolates under -Fe and that this affects herbivore susceptibility (Samira et al., 2018).

FIT is degraded via the proteasome (Sivitz *et al.*, 2011; Meiser *et al.*, 2011; Gratz *et al.*, 2020), possibly mediated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase BTSL2 (Rodriguez-Celma *et al.*, 2019*b*). BTSL2 promotes FIT degradation under –Fe in plants (Rodriguez-Celma *et al.*, 2019*b*), but it is unclear why BTSL2 does not enhance degradation of FIT upon shoot Fe overload although cluster 2–4 genes including *BTSL2* are induced (Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Naranjo-Arcos *et al.*, 2017). Perhaps a different E3 ligase is even more important to directly ubiquitinate FIT protein in plants, and this as yet unknown E3 ligase may be ineffective upon overexpression of bHLH regulators. The role of BTSL1 remains elusive, although from its similarity and partial redundancy with BTSL2 it was concluded that it may also target FIT (Rodriguez-Celma *et al.*, 2019*b*). Generally, BTS/BTSL1/ BTSL2 data need to be treated with caution. BTS/BTSL proteins are very unstable, and difficult to study at the biochemical level in plant cells, so that a majority of conclusions about their cellular protein interactions and biochemical roles remain to be proven in statistically validated and controlled protein interaction plant cell experiments (Selote *et al.*, 2015; Rodriguez-Celma *et al.*, 2019*b*).

Lastly, tyrosine phospho-mimicking within the C-terminal domain of FIT negatively affects FIT function in cells and in Arabidopsis. Phospho-mimicking of FIT at Tyr238 and Tyr278 resulted in less partitioning towards the nucleus, less interaction with bHLH039, less *trans*-activation of target genes, and partly enhanced degradation of FIT in plant protein extracts (Gratz *et al.*, 2020). Hence, a presently unknown regulatory pathway involving a tyrosine kinase might steer FIT activity. Such a pathway could involve a MAPK kinase kinase, such as Raf10, which targets ABI5, the same transcription factor target as CIPK11 (Nguyen *et al.*, 2019). Brassinosteroid signaling also involves tyrosine kinase activities (Belkhadir and Jaillais, 2015). In future studies, it will be interesting to identify tyrosine kinase candidates regulating Fe uptake.

Conclusions and outlook

The here-presented co-expression network is a comprehensive framework for Fe regulation studies, highlighting selected but meaningful co-expressed gene functions that are representative for responses of physiological and cellular pathways. The co-expression clusters reflect FIT-dependent and FIT-independent regulation mechanisms downstream of the bHLH transcription factor cascade. The combined information about co-expression and FIT-dependent regulation in one network helps researchers to select marker genes and understand their expression patterns. The network eases the characterization of novel regulatory mechanisms and mutants with Fe acquisition and Fe homeostasis phenotypes by combining mutant analysis or stress acclimation studies with comparative transcriptome analysis to understand the hierarchies of regulatory events. This similar type of co-expression network with FIT-dependent and FIT-independent co-expression clusters has also been applied to uncover putative *cis*-regulatory promoter elements and transcription factor-binding sites, allowing prediction of transcriptional regulators of FIT-dependent and FIT-independent genes in addition to bHLH transcription factors (Schwarz et al., 2020). More research is required to further characterize transcriptional regulation pathways and to pay more attention to cell and tissue specificity. BHLH subgroup IVc promoters are predominantly active in the root stele (de Lucas et al., 2016; Samira et al., 2018), similar to several cluster 1 genes (Wang et al., 2007; Long et al., 2010). However, the regulation of FIT and cluster 2-4 genes takes place mainly in the root epidermis (Jakoby et al., 2004). Transcription factors and regulatory components may be mobile from the inner root stele to the root epidermis. More research is needed to investigate this mobility and the mechanisms behind it, and investigate the dependency on structural requirements, post-translational modifications, and protein interaction complexes, also at the level of transcription factor partitioning within the cell.

FIT may be the sole target in regulatory hubs in the root, but it may also be the complex of FIT with a bHLH subgroup Ib protein (model I versus model II in Fig. 4), as shown for the FIT–DELLA interaction (Wild *et al.*, 2016). Competitive effects between different types of interactors may also be conceivable. Several FIT protein interactors are activated by –Fe in roots (e.g. CIPK11 and Mediator), but the mechanisms that perceive and transduce –Fe signals to control them (*CIPK11* gene induction and Mediator protein assembly) remain unknown. Signaling molecules such as calcium, ROS, ethylene, and nitric oxide may be very important.

Several FIT-interacting proteins act in ABA responses and root cell elongation, suggesting close molecular connections of these signaling pathways with FIT (Fig. 4, indicated by dashed lines). ABA is generated in response to –Fe in the apoplast, and exogenously applied ABA enhances depletion of apoplastic Fe. In this way, ABA alleviates –Fe leaf chlorosis (Lei *et al.*, 2014). Like FIT, ABI5 is a target of CIPK11 (Zhou *et al.*, 2015; Gratz *et al.*, 2019). MED25 and the polycomb complex for transcriptional activation also have connections with ABA signaling (Kazan, 2017; Kleinmanns *et al.*, 2017). Besides the cluster 4 target genes of FIT, multiple FIT interactors show a link with root cell elongation (e.g. EIN3/EIL1, Mediator, polycomb, and DELLA). In the future, it will be interesting to investigate roles and molecular mechanisms cross-connecting –Fe, ABA, and root cell elongation responses via FIT.

Taken together, by exploiting information from –Fe co-expression clusters and FIT-dependent and -independent gene signatures, we integrate new components in regulatory cascades. Fe responses may have a far more drastic importance in stress and root developmental responses than previously thought. Cross-connections of FIT with environmental and developmental signaling pathways in regulatory hubs suggest that root Fe acquisition can be uncoupled from Fe homeostasis regulation at the whole-plant level.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Table S1. List of genes in the root –Fe co-expression network (Fig. 1) and FIT-dependent and FIT-independent expression patterns in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Hans-Jörg Mai and Tzvetina Brumbarova, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, for careful reading of the manuscript and critical comments. BS is a member of the international graduate school iGRAD-Plant, Düsseldorf. Funding from the German Research Foundation through the DFG International Research Training group 1525 to PB is greatly acknowledged. This work received funding from Germany's Excellence Strategy, EXC 2048/1, Project ID: 390686111.We apologize to authors whose work has not been cited, especially in the context of FIT and Fe deficiency regulation.

References

Andriankaja ME, Danisman S, Mignolet-Spruyt LF, et al. 2014. Transcriptional coordination between leaf cell differentiation and chloroplast development established by TCP20 and the subgroup lb bHLH transcription factors. Plant Molecular Biology **85**, 233–245.

Arrivault S, Senger T, Krämer U. 2006. The Arabidopsis metal tolerance protein AtMTP3 maintains metal homeostasis by mediating Zn exclusion from the shoot under Fe deficiency and Zn oversupply. The Plant Journal **46**, 861–879.

Avila-Ospina L, Moison M, Yoshimoto K, Masclaux-Daubresse C. 2014. Autophagy, plant senescence, and nutrient recycling. Journal of Experimental Botany 65, 3799–3811.

Bastow EL, Garcia de la Torre VS, Maclean AE, Green RT, Merlot S, Thomine S, Balk J. 2018. Vacuolar iron stores gated by NRAMP3 and NRAMP4 are the primary source of iron in germinating seeds. Plant Physiology **177**, 1267–1276.

Bauer P, Ling HQ, Guerinot ML. 2007. FIT, the FER-LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **45**, 260–261.

Baumberger N, Ringli C, Keller B. 2001. The chimeric leucine-rich repeat/extensin cell wall protein LRX1 is required for root hair morphogenesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genes & Development **15**, 1128–1139.

Belkhadir Y, Jaillais Y. 2015. The molecular circuitry of brassinosteroid signaling. New Phytologist 206, 522–540.

Ben Daniel BH, Cattan E, Wachtel C, Avrahami D, Glick Y, Malichy A, Gerber D, Miller G. 2016. Identification of novel transcriptional regulators of Zat12 using comprehensive yeast one-hybrid screens. Physiologia Plantarum **157**, 422–441.

Brown JC, Chaney RL, Ambler JE. 1971. A new mutant inefficient in the transport of iron. Physiologia Plantarum 25, 48–53.

Brumbarova T, Bauer P. 2005. Iron-mediated control of the basic helix– loop–helix protein FER, a regulator of iron uptake in tomato. Plant Physiology **137**, 1018–1026.

Buendía-Monreal M, Gillmor CS. 2016. Mediator: a key regulator of plant development. Developmental Biology **419**, 7–18.

Chen R, Jiang H, Li L, et al. 2012. The *Arabidopsis* mediator subunit MED25 differentially regulates jasmonate and abscisic acid signaling through interacting with the MYC2 and ABI5 transcription factors. The Plant Cell **24**, 2898–2916.

Choi H, Oh E. 2016. PIF4 integrates multiple environmental and hormonal signals for plant growth regulation in arabidopsis. Molecules and Cells **39**, 587–593.

Colangelo EP, Guerinot ML. 2004. The essential basic helix–loop–helix protein FIT1 is required for the iron deficiency response. The Plant Cell **16**, 3400–3412.

Colombo C, Palumbo G, He JZ, Pinton R, Cesco S. 2014. Review on iron availability in soil: interaction of Fe minerals, plants, and microbes. Journal of Soils and Sediments **14**, 538–548.

Connorton JM, Balk J, Rodríguez-Celma J. 2017. Iron homeostasis in plants — a brief overview. Metallomics **9**, 813–823.

Cui Y, Chen CL, Cui M, Zhou WJ, Wu HL, Ling HQ. 2018. Four IVa bHLH transcription factors are novel interactors of FIT and mediate JA inhibition of iron uptake in arabidopsis. Molecular Plant **11**, 1166–1183.

Davletova S, Schlauch K, Coutu J, Mittler R. 2005. The zinc-finger protein Zat12 plays a central role in reactive oxygen and abiotic stress signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **139**, 847–856.

de Lucas M, Pu L, Turco G, et al. 2016. Transcriptional regulation of arabidopsis polycomb repressive complex 2 coordinates cell-type proliferation and differentiation. The Plant Cell 28, 2616–2631.

Dinneny JR, Long TA, Wang JY, Jung JW, Mace D, Pointer S, Barron C, Brady SM, Schiefelbein J, Benfey PN. 2008. Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science **320**, 942–945.

Du J, Huang Z, Wang B, Sun H, Chen C, Ling HQ, Wu H. 2015. SIbHLH068 interacts with FER to regulate the iron-deficiency response in tomato. Annals of Botany **116**, 23–34.

Dubeaux G, Neveu J, Zelazny E, Vert G. 2018. Metal sensing by the IRT1 transporter-receptor orchestrates its own degradation and plant metal nutrition. Molecular Cell **69**, 953–964.

Eide D, Broderius M, Fett J, Guerinot ML. 1996. A novel iron-regulated metal transporter from plants identified by functional expression in yeast. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **93**, 5624–5628.

Feng Y, Xu P, Li B, et al. 2017. Ethylene promotes root hair growth through coordinated EIN3/EIL1 and RHD6/RSL1 activity in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **114**, 13834–13839.

Fuglsang AT, Guo Y, Cuin TA, et al. 2007. Arabidopsis protein kinase PKS5 inhibits the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase by preventing interaction with 14-3-3 protein. The Plant Cell **19**, 1617–1634.

Gao F, Robe K, Bettembourg M, et al. 2019a. The transcription factor bHLH121 interacts with bHLH105 (ILR3) and its closest homologs to regulate iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell doi: 10.1105/tpc.19.00541

Gao F, Robe K, Gaymard F, Izquierdo E, Dubos C. 2019*b*. The transcriptional control of iron homeostasis in plants: a tale of bHLH transcription factors? Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 6.

Goossens J, Mertens J, Goossens A. 2017. Role and functioning of bHLH transcription factors in jasmonate signalling. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 1333–1347.

Gratz R, Manishankar P, Ivanov R, et al. 2019. CIPK11-dependent phosphorylation modulates FIT activity to promote arabidopsis iron acquisition in response to calcium signaling. Developmental Cell **48**, 726–740.

Gratz R, Brumbarova T, Ivanov R, et al. 2020. Phospho-mutant activity assays provide evidence for alternative phospho-regulation pathways of the transcription factor FIT. New Phytologist **225**, 250–267.

Grillet L, Lan P, Li W, Mokkapati G, Schmidt W. 2018. IRON MAN is a ubiquitous family of peptides that control iron transport in plants. Nature Plants 4, 953–963.

Gruber BD, Giehl RF, Friedel S, von Wirén N. 2013. Plasticity of the Arabidopsis root system under nutrient deficiencies. Plant Physiology **163**, 161–179.

Haydon MJ, Kawachi M, Wirtz M, Hillmer S, Hell R, Krämer U. 2012. Vacuolar nicotianamine has critical and distinct roles under iron deficiency and for zinc sequestration in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **24**, 724–737.

Hindt MN, Akmakjian GZ, Pivarski KL, Punshon T, Baxter I, Salt DE, Guerinot ML. 2017. BRUTUS and its paralogs, BTS LIKE1 and BTS LIKE2, encode important negative regulators of the iron deficiency response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Metallomics **9**, 876–890.

Hirayama T, Lei GJ, Yamaji N, Nakagawa N, Ma JF. 2018. The putative peptide gene FEP1 regulates iron deficiency response in Arabidopsis. Plant & Cell Physiology **59**, 1739–1752.

Ivanov R, Brumbarova T, Bauer P. 2012. Fitting into the harsh reality: regulation of iron-deficiency responses in dicotyledonous plants. Molecular Plant **5**, 27–42.

Jain A, Wilson GT, Connolly EL. 2014. The diverse roles of FRO family metalloreductases in iron and copper homeostasis. Frontiers in Plant Science 5, 100.

Jakoby M, Wang HY, Reidt W, Weisshaar B, Bauer P. 2004. *FRU* (*BHLH029*) is required for induction of iron mobilization genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. FEBS Letters **577**, 528–534.

Jin J, Hewezi T, Baum TJ. 2011. The Arabidopsis bHLH25 and bHLH27 transcription factors contribute to susceptibility to the cyst nematode *Heterodera schachtii*. The Plant Journal **65**, 319–328.

Kazan K. 2017. The multitalented MEDIATOR25. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**, 999.

Khan MA, Castro-Guerrero NA, McInturf SA, et al. 2018. Changes in iron availability in Arabidopsis are rapidly sensed in the leaf vasculature and impaired sensing leads to opposite transcriptional programs in leaves and roots. Plant, Cell & Environment 41, 2263–2276.

Kim SA, LaCroix IS, Gerber SA, Guerinot ML. 2019. The iron deficiency response in *Arabidopsis thaliana* requires the phosphorylated transcription factor URI. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **116**, 24933–24942.

Kleinmanns JA, Schatlowski N, Heckmann D, Schubert D. 2017. BLISTER regulates polycomb-target genes, represses stress-regulated genes and promotes stress responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**, 1530.

Kobayashi T, Itai RN, Senoura T, Oikawa T, Ishimaru Y, Ueda M, Nakanishi H, Nishizawa NK. 2016. Jasmonate signaling is activated in the very early stages of iron deficiency responses in rice roots. Plant Molecular Biology **91**, 533–547.

Kobayashi T, Nagasaka S, Senoura T, Itai RN, Nakanishi H, Nishizawa NK. 2013. Iron-binding haemerythrin RING ubiquitin ligases regulate plant iron responses and accumulation. Nature Communications 4, 2792.

Kobayashi T, Nakanishi Itai R, Nishizawa NK. 2014. Iron deficiency responses in rice roots. Rice 7, 27.

Kobayashi T, Ozu A, Kobayashi S, An G, Jeon JS, Nishizawa NK. 2019. OsbHLH058 and OsbHLH059 transcription factors positively regulate iron deficiency responses in rice. Plant Molecular Biology **101**, 471–486.

Lanquar V, Lelièvre F, Bolte S, et al. 2005. Mobilization of vacuolar iron by AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 is essential for seed germination on low iron. The EMBO Journal 24, 4041–4051.

Le CT, Brumbarova T, Ivanov R, Stoof C, Weber E, Mohrbacher J, Fink-Straube C, Bauer P. 2016. ZINC FINGER OF ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA12 (ZAT12) interacts with FER-LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY-INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR (FIT) linking iron deficiency and oxidative stress responses. Plant Physiology **170**, 540–557.

Le CT, Brumbarova T, Bauer P. 2019. The interplay of ROS and iron signaling in plants. In: Panda SK, Yamamoto YY, eds, Redox homeostasis in plants, from signalling to stress tolerance. Cham: Springer, 43–66.

Lei GJ, Zhu XF, Wang ZW, Dong F, Dong NY, Zheng SJ. 2014. Abscisic acid alleviates iron deficiency by promoting root iron reutilization and transport from root to shoot in Arabidopsis. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 852–863.

Li X, Zhang H, Ai Q, Liang G, Yu D. 2016. Two bHLH transcription factors, bHLH34 and bHLH104, regulate iron homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiology **170**, 2478–2493.

Liang G, Zhang H, Li X, Ai Q, Yu D. 2017. bHLH transcription factor bHLH115 regulates iron homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Experimental Botany 68, 1743–1755.

Ling HQ, Bauer P, Bereczky Z, Keller B, Ganal M. 2002. The tomato fer gene encoding a bHLH protein controls iron-uptake responses in roots. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 99, 13938–13943.

Lingam S, Mohrbacher J, Brumbarova T, Potuschak T, Fink-Straube C, Blondet E, Genschik P, Bauer P. 2011. Interaction between the bHLH transcription factor FIT and ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3/ ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3-LIKE1 reveals molecular linkage between the regulation of iron acquisition and ethylene signaling in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **23**, 1815–1829.

Long TA, Tsukagoshi H, Busch W, Lahner B, Salt DE, Benfey PN. 2010. The bHLH transcription factor POPEYE regulates response to iron deficiency in Arabidopsis roots. The Plant Cell **22**, 2219–2236.

Ma Y, Cao J, Chen Q, He J, Liu Z, Wang J, Li X, Yang Y. 2019. The kinase CIPK11 functions as a negative regulator in drought stress response in Arabidopsis. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **20**, E2422.

Mai HJ, Lindermayr C, von Toerne C, Fink-Straube C, Durner J, Bauer P. 2015. Iron and FER-LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY-INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR-dependent regulation of proteins and genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots. Proteomics **15**, 3030–3047.

Mai HJ, Pateyron S, Bauer P. 2016. Iron homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana:* transcriptomic analyses reveal novel FIT-regulated genes, iron deficiency marker genes and functional gene networks. BMC Plant Biology **16**, 211.

Maris A, Kaewthai N, Eklöf JM, Miller JG, Brumer H, Fry SC, Verbelen JP, Vissenberg K. 2011. Differences in enzymic properties of five recombinant xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) proteins of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Experimental Botany **62**, 261–271.

Marschner H, Römheld V. 1994. Strategies of plants for acquisition of iron. Plant and Soil 165, 261–274.

Matsushima R, Fukao Y, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I. 2004. NAI1 gene encodes a basic-helix-loop-helix-type putative transcription factor

that regulates the formation of an endoplasmic reticulum-derived structure, the ER body. The Plant Cell **16**, 1536–1549.

Maurer F, Müller S, Bauer P. 2011. Suppression of Fe deficiency gene expression by jasmonate. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 49, 530–536.

Maurer F, Naranjo Arcos MA, Bauer P. 2014. Responses of a triple mutant defective in three iron deficiency-induced basic helix-loop-helix genes of the subgroup lb(2) to iron deficiency and salicylic acid. PLoS One **9**, e99234.

Meiser J, Lingam S, Bauer P. 2011. Posttranslational regulation of the iron deficiency basic helix–loop–helix transcription factor FIT is affected by iron and nitric oxide. Plant Physiology **157**, 2154–2166.

Mendoza-Cózatl DG, Xie Q, Akmakjian GZ, et al. 2014. OPT3 is a component of the iron-signaling network between leaves and roots and misregulation of OPT3 leads to an over-accumulation of cadmium in seeds. Molecular Plant **7**, 1455–1469.

Morrissey J, Baxter IR, Lee J, Li L, Lahner B, Grotz N, Kaplan J, Salt DE, Guerinot ML. 2009. The ferroportin metal efflux proteins function in iron and cobalt homeostasis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **21**, 3326–3338.

Nakazaki A, Yamada K, Kunieda T, Sugiyama R, Hirai MY, Tamura K, Hara-Nishimura I, Shimada T. 2019. Leaf endoplasmic reticulum bodies identified in arabidopsis rosette leaves are involved in defense against herbivory. Plant Physiology **179**, 1515–1524.

Naranjo-Arcos MA, Maurer F, Meiser J, Pateyron S, Fink-Straube C, Bauer P. 2017. Dissection of iron signaling and iron accumulation by overexpression of subgroup Ib bHLH039 protein. Scientific Reports 7, 10911.

Nguyen QTC, Lee SJ, Choi SW, et al. 2019. Arabidopsis raf-like kinase Raf10 is a regulatory component of core ABA signaling. Molecules and Cells 42, 646–660.

Obayashi T, Aoki Y, Tadaka S, Kagaya Y, Kinoshita K. 2018. ATTED-II in 2018: a plant coexpression database based on investigation of the statistical property of the mutual rank index. Plant & Cell Physiology **59**, e3.

Palmer CM, Hindt MN, Schmidt H, Clemens S, Guerinot ML. 2013. MYB10 and MYB72 are required for growth under iron-limiting conditions. PLoS Genetics 9, e1003953.

Park EY, Tsuyuki KM, Hu F, Lee J, Jeong J. 2019. PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 contributes to transcriptional regulation of FIT-dependent iron deficiency response. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 627.

Pires N, Dolan L. 2010. Origin and diversification of basic-helix–loop–helix proteins in plants. Molecular Biology and Evolution **27**, 862–874.

Pottier M, Dumont J, Masclaux-Daubresse C, Thomine S. 2019. Autophagy is essential for optimal translocation of iron to seeds in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 859–869.

Rajniak J, Giehl RFH, Chang E, Murgia I, von Wirén N, Sattely ES. 2018. Biosynthesis of redox-active metabolites in response to iron deficiency in plants. Nature Chemical Biology **14**, 442–450.

Robinson NJ, Procter CM, Connolly EL, Guerinot ML. 1999. A ferricchelate reductase for iron uptake from soils. Nature **397**, 694–697.

Rodríguez-Celma J, Chou H, Kobayashi T, Long TA, Balk J. 2019a. Hemerythrin E3 ubiquitin ligases as negative regulators of iron homeostasis in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 98.

Rodríguez-Celma J, Connorton JM, Kruse I, Green RT, Franceschetti M, Chen YT, Cui Y, Ling HQ, Yeh KC, Balk J. 2019b. Arabidopsis BRUTUS-LIKE E3 ligases negatively regulate iron uptake by targeting transcription factor FIT for recycling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **116**, 17584–17591.

Romera FJ, García MJ, Lucena C, Martínez-Medina A, Aparicio MA, Ramos J, Alcántara E, Angulo M, Pérez-Vicente R. 2019. Induced systemic resistance (ISR) and Fe deficiency responses in dicot plants. Frontiers in Plant Science 10, 287.

Samira R, Li B, Kliebenstein D, Li C, Davis E, Gillikin JW, Long TA. 2018. The bHLH transcription factor ILR3 modulates multiple stress responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Molecular Biology **97**, 297–309.

Schaaf G, Honsbein A, Meda AR, Kirchner S, Wipf D, von Wirén N. 2006. AtlREG2 encodes a tonoplast transport protein involved in irondependent nickel detoxification in *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots. Journal of Biological Chemistry **281**, 25532–25540.

Schikora A, Schmidt W. 2002a. Formation of transfer cells and H⁺-ATPase expression in tomato roots under P and Fe deficiency. Planta **215**, 304–311.

Schikora A, Schmidt W. 2002b. Modulation of the root epidermal phenotype by hormones, inhibitors and iron regime. Plant and Soil **241**, 87–96.

Schuler M, Rellán-Álvarez R, Fink-Straube C, Abadía J, Bauer P. 2012. Nicotianamine functions in the phloem-based transport of iron to sink organs, in pollen development and pollen tube growth in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **24**, 2380–2400.

Schwarz B, Azodi CB, Shiu S-H, Bauer P. 2020. Putative cis-regulatory elements predict iron deficiency responses in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Physiology https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.00760.

Selote D, Samira R, Matthiadis A, Gillikin JW, Long TA. 2015. Ironbinding E3 ligase mediates iron response in plants by targeting basic helix– loop–helix transcription factors. Plant Physiology **167**, 273–286.

Sivitz A, Grinvalds C, Barberon M, Curie C, Vert G. 2011. Proteasomemediated turnover of the transcriptional activator FIT is required for plant iron-deficiency responses. The Plant Journal **66**, 1044–1052.

Sivitz AB, Hermand V, Curie C, Vert G. 2012. Arabidopsis bHLH100 and bHLH101 control iron homeostasis via a FIT-independent pathway. PLoS One **7**, e44843.

Siwinska J, Siatkowska K, Olry A, Grosjean J, Hehn A, Bourgaud F, Meharg AA, Carey M, Lojkowska E, Ihnatowicz A. 2018. Scopoletin 8-hydroxylase: a novel enzyme involved in coumarin biosynthesis and irondeficiency responses in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 1735–1748.

Sundaravelpandian K, Chandrika NN, Schmidt W. 2013. PFT1, a transcriptional mediator complex subunit, controls root hair differentiation through reactive oxygen species (ROS) distribution in Arabidopsis. New Phytologist **197**, 151–161.

Tanabe N, Noshi M, Mori D, Nozawa K, Tamoi M, Shigeoka S. 2019. The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, bHLH11 functions in the iron-uptake system in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Plant Research **132**, 93–105.

Tanaka N, Uno H, Okuda S, Gunji S, Ferjani A, Aoyama T, Maeshima M. 2017. SRPP, a cell wall protein is involved in development and protection of seeds and root hairs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant & Cell Physiology **58**, 760–769.

Tian Q, Zhang X, Yang A, Wang T, Zhang WH. 2016. CIPK23 is involved in iron acquisition of Arabidopsis by affecting ferric chelate reductase activity. Plant Science **246**, 70–79.

Tissot N, Robe K, Gao F, et al. 2019. Transcriptional integration of the responses to iron availability in Arabidopsis by the bHLH factor ILR3. New Phytologist **223**, 1433–1446.

Trofimov K, Ivanov R, Eutebach M, Acaroglu B, Mohr I, Bauer P, Brumbarova T. 2019. Mobility and localization of the iron deficiency-induced transcription factor bHLH039 change in the presence of FIT. Plant Direct **3**, e00190.

Tsai HH, Rodríguez-Celma J, Lan P, Wu YC, Vélez-Bermúdez IC, Schmidt W. 2018. Scopoletin 8-hydroxylase-mediated fraxetin production is crucial for iron mobilization. Plant Physiology **177**, 194–207.

Van Leene J, Blomme J, Kulkarni SR, et al. 2016. Functional characterization of the Arabidopsis transcription factor bZIP29 reveals its role in leaf and root development. Journal of Experimental Botany 67, 5825–5840.

Vert G, Barberon M, Zelazny E, Séguéla M, Briat JF, Curie C. 2009. Arabidopsis IRT2 cooperates with the high-affinity iron uptake system to maintain iron homeostasis in root epidermal cells. Planta **229**, 1171–1179.

Vert G, Grotz N, Dédaldéchamp F, Gaymard F, Guerinot ML, Briat JF, Curie C. 2002. IRT1, an Arabidopsis transporter essential for iron uptake from the soil and for plant growth. The Plant Cell **14**, 1223–1233.

Wang C, Yao X, Yu D, Liang G. 2017. Fe-deficiency-induced expression of bHLH104 enhances Fe-deficiency tolerance of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta **246**, 421–431.

Wang HY, Klatte M, Jakoby M, Bäumlein H, Weisshaar B, Bauer P. 2007. Iron deficiency-mediated stress regulation of four subgroup Ib BHLH genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta **226**, 897–908.

Wang N, Cui Y, Liu Y, Fan H, Du J, Huang Z, Yuan Y, Wu H, Ling HQ. 2013. Requirement and functional redundancy of lb subgroup bHLH proteins for iron deficiency responses and uptake in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Molecular Plant **6**, 503–513.

Wang S, Li L, Ying Y, Wang J, Shao JF, Yamaji N, Whelan J, Ma JF, Shou H. 2020. A transcription factor OsbHLH156 regulates Strategy II iron acquisition through localising IRO2 to the nucleus in rice. New Phytologist 225, 1247–1260.

Wild M, Davière JM, Regnault T, Sakvarelidze-Achard L, Carrera E, Lopez Diaz I, Cayrel A, Dubeaux G, Vert G, Achard P. 2016. Tissuespecific regulation of gibberellin signaling fine-tunes arabidopsis irondeficiency responses. Developmental Cell **37**, 190–200.

Wu H, Ling HQ. 2019. FIT-binding proteins and their functions in the regulation of Fe homeostasis. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 844.

Xie M, Sun J, Gong D, Kong Y. 2019. The roles of Arabidopsis C1-2i subclass of C2H2-type zinc-finger transcription factors. Genes **10**, E653.

Yang JL, Chen WW, Chen LQ, Qin C, Jin CW, Shi YZ, Zheng SJ. 2013. The 14-3-3 protein GENERAL REGULATORY FACTOR11 (GRF11) acts downstream of nitric oxide to regulate iron acquisition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytologist **197**, 815–824.

Yang Y, Ou B, Zhang J, Si W, Gu H, Qin G, Qu LJ. 2014. The Arabidopsis mediator subunit MED16 regulates iron homeostasis by associating with EIN3/EIL1 through subunit MED25. The Plant Journal **77**, 838–851.

Yang Y, Wu Y, Ma L, Yang Z, Dong Q, Li Q, Ni X, Kudla J, Song C, Guo Y. 2019. The Ca²⁺ sensor SCaBP3/CBL7 modulates plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase activity and promotes alkali tolerance in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **31**, 1367–1384.

Ye L, Li L, Wang L, Wang S, Li S, Du J, Zhang S, Shou H. 2015. MPK3/MPK6 are involved in iron deficiency-induced ethylene production in Arabidopsis. Frontiers in Plant Science 6, 953.

Yuan Y, Wu H, Wang N, Li J, Zhao W, Du J, Wang D, Ling HQ. 2008. FIT interacts with AtbHLH38 and AtbHLH39 in regulating iron uptake gene expression for iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. Cell Research **18**, 385–397.

Yuan YX, Zhang J, Wang DW, Ling HQ. 2005. AtbHLH29 of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a functional ortholog of tomato FER involved in controlling iron acquisition in strategy I plants. Cell Research **15**, 613–621.

Zhai Z, Gayomba SR, Jung HI, et al. 2014. OPT3 is a phloemspecific iron transporter that is essential for systemic iron signaling and redistribution of iron and cadmium in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **26**, 2249–2264.

Zhang H, Li Y, Pu M, Xu P, Liang G, Yu D. 2020. *Oryza sativa* POSITIVE REGULATOR OF IRON DEFICIENCY RESPONSE 2 (OSPRI2) and OSPRI3 are involved in the maintenance of Fe homeostasis. Plant, Cell & Environment **43**, 261–274.

Zhang H, Li Y, Yao X, Liang G, Yu D. 2017. Positive regulator of iron homeostasis1, OsPRI1, facilitates iron homeostasis. Plant Physiology **175**, 543–554.

Zhang J, Liu B, Li M, Feng D, Jin H, Wang P, Liu J, Xiong F, Wang J, Wang HB. 2015. The bHLH transcription factor bHLH104 interacts with IAA-LEUCINE RESISTANT3 and modulates iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **27**, 787–805.

Zhang Y, Wu H, Wang N, Fan H, Chen C, Cui Y, Liu H, Ling HQ. 2014. Mediator subunit 16 functions in the regulation of iron uptake gene expression in Arabidopsis. New Phytologist **203**, 770–783.

Zhong S, Zhao M, Shi T, Shi H, An F, Zhao Q, Guo H. 2009. EIN3/EIL1 cooperate with PIF1 to prevent photo-oxidation and to promote greening of Arabidopsis seedlings. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **106**, 21431–21436.

Zhou X, Hao H, Zhang Y, et al. 2015. SOS2-LIKE PROTEIN KINASE5, an SNF1-RELATED PROTEIN KINASE3-type protein kinase, is important for abscisic acid responses in arabidopsis through phosphorylation of ABSCISIC ACID-INSENSITIVE5. Plant Physiology **168**, 659–676.