

Research Article **Fixed Point Theory in** α**-Complete Metric Spaces with Applications**

N. Hussain,¹ M. A. Kutbi,¹ and P. Salimi²

¹ Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia ² Young Researchers and Elite Club, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to P. Salimi; salimipeyman@gmail.com

Received 28 November 2013; Accepted 14 December 2013; Published 6 February 2014

Academic Editor: Ljubomir B. Ćirić

Copyright © 2014 N. Hussain et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The aim of this paper is to introduce new concepts of α - η -complete metric space and α - η -continuous function and establish fixed point results for modified α - η - ψ -rational contraction mappings in α - η -complete metric spaces. As an application, we derive some Suzuki type fixed point theorems and new fixed point theorems for ψ -graphic-rational contractions. Moreover, some examples and an application to integral equations are given here to illustrate the usability of the obtained results.

This paper is dedicated to Professor Miodrag Mateljević on the occasion of his 65th birthday

1. Preliminaries

We know by the Banach contraction principle [1], which is a classical and powerful tool in nonlinear analysis, that a self-mapping f on a complete metric space (X, d) such that $d(fx, fy) \le c \ d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $c \in [0, 1)$, has a unique fixed point. Since then, the Banach contraction principle has been generalized in several directions (see [2–26] and references cited therein).

In 2008, Suzuki [21] proved the following result that is an interesting generalization of the Banach contraction principle which also characterizes the metric completeness.

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a self-mapping on X. Define a nonincreasing function θ : $[0,1) \rightarrow (1/2,1]$ by

$$\theta(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \le r \le \frac{\left(\sqrt{5} - 1\right)}{2}, \\ (1 - r)r^{-2}, & \text{if } \frac{\left(\sqrt{5} - 1\right)}{2} < r < 2^{-1/2}, \\ (1 + r)^{-1}, & \text{if } 2^{-1/2} \le r < 1. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\theta(r) d(x, Tx) \le d(x, y)$$
 implies $d(Tx, Ty) \le rd(x, y)$
(2)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique fixed point z of T. Moreover, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} T^n x = z$ for all $x \in X$.

In 2012, Samet et al. [19] introduced the concepts of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mappings and established various fixed point theorems for such mappings defined on complete metric spaces. Afterwards Salimi et al. [16] and Hussain et al. [7] modified the notions of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mappings and established fixed point theorems which are proper generalizations of the recent results in [12, 19].

Definition 2 (see [19]). Let *T* be a self-mapping on *X* and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function. One says that *T* is an α -admissible mapping if

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge 1.$$
 (3)

Definition 3 (see [16]). Let *T* be a self-mapping on *X* and let $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. One says that *T* is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η if

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \ge \eta(x, y) \Longrightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge \eta(Tx, Ty).$$

(4)

Note that if we take $\eta(x, y) = 1$, then this definition reduces to Definition 2. Also, if we take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$, then we say that *T* is an η -subadmissible mapping.

Here we introduce the notions of α - η -complete metric space and α - η -continuous function and establish fixed point results for modified α - η - ψ -rational contractions in α - η complete metric spaces which are not necessarily complete. As an application, we derive some Suzuki type fixed point theorems and new fixed point theorems for ψ -graphic-rational contractions. Moreover, some examples and an application to integral equations are given here to illustrate the usability of the obtained results.

2. Main Results

First, we introduce the notions of α - η -complete metric space and α - η -continuous function.

Definition 4. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. The metric space X is said to be α - η -complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ converges in X. One says X is an α -complete metric space when $\eta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and one says (X, d) is an η -complete metric space when $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 5. Let $X = (0, \infty)$ and d(x, y) = |x - y| be a metric function on *X*. Let *A* be a closed subset of *X*. Define α, η : $X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x + y)^2, & \text{if } x, y \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(5)
$$\eta(x, y) = 2xy.$$

Clearly, (X, d) is not a complete metric space, but (X, d) is an α - η -complete metric space. Indeed, if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_n \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, since (A, d) is a complete metric space, then there exists $x^* \in A$ such that $x_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

Remark 6. Let $T : X \to X$ be a self-mapping on metric space *X* and let *X* be an orbitally *T*-complete. Define $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } x, y \in O(w), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(6)
$$\eta(x, y) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where O(w) is an orbit of a point $w \in X$. Then (X, d) is an α - η complete metric space. Indeed, if $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence,

where $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\} \subseteq O(w)$. Now, since *X* is an orbitally *T*-complete metric space, then $\{x_n\}$ converges in *X*. That is, (X, d) is an α - η -complete metric space. Also, suppose that $\alpha(x, y) \ge \eta(x, y)$; then $x, y \in O(w)$. Hence, $Tx, Ty \in O(w)$. That is, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge \eta(Tx, Ty)$. Thus, *T* is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η .

Definition 7. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$. One says T is an α - η -continuous mapping on (X, d), if for given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$ with

$$x_{n} \longrightarrow x, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty,$$
$$\alpha(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_{n}, x_{n+1}), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow Tx_{n} \longrightarrow Tx.$$
(7)

Example 8. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and d(x, y) = |x - y| be a metric on *X*. Assume that $T : X \to X$ and $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ be defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} x^{5}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ \sin \pi x + 2, & \text{if } (1, \infty), \end{cases}$$
$$\alpha (x, y) = \begin{cases} x^{2} + y^{2} + 1, & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$\eta (x, y) = x^{2}.$$

Clearly, *T* is not continuous, but *T* is α - η -continuous on (X, d). Indeed, if $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$, then $x_n \in [0, 1]$ and so $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n^5 = x^5 = Tx$.

Remark 9. Define (X, d) and $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ as in Remark 6. Let $T : X \to X$ be a an orbitally continuous map on (X, d). Then T is α - η -continuous on (X, d). Indeed if $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $x_n \in O(w)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists sequence $(k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integer such that $x_n = T^{k_i}w \to x$ as $i \to \infty$. Now since T is an orbitally continuous map on (X, d), then $Tx_n = T(T^{k_i}w) \to Tx$ as $i \to \infty$ as required.

A function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function [13, 14, 27] if the following conditions hold:

- (i) ψ is nondecreasing;
- (ii) there exist $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in (0, 1)$ and a convergent series of nonnegative terms $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$ such that

$$\psi^{k+1}\left(t\right) \le a\psi^{k}\left(t\right) + v_{k},\tag{9}$$

for $k \ge k_0$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

In some sources, Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function is known as (*c*)—comparison function (see e.g., [2]). We denote by Ψ the family of Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge functions. The following lemma illustrates the properties of these functions.

Lemma 10 (see [2]). *If* $\psi \in \Psi$ *, then the following hold:*

- (i) $(\psi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
- (ii) $\psi(t) < t$, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$;
- (iii) ψ is continuous at 0;
- (iv) the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi^k(t)$ converges for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Definition 11. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let T be a selfmapping on X. Let

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\}.$$
(10)

Then,

(a) we say T is a modified α - η - ψ -rational contraction mapping if

$$x, y \in X,$$

$$\eta(x, Tx) \le \alpha(x, y) \Longrightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)),$$
(11)

where $\psi \in \Psi$;

(b) we say T is a modified α - ψ -rational contraction mapping if

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)),$$
(12)

where $\psi \in \Psi$.

The following is our first main result of this section.

Theorem 12. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let T be a selfmapping on X. Also, suppose that $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are two functions and $\psi \in \Psi$. Assume that the following assertions hold true:

- (i) (X, d) is an α - η -complete metric space;
- (ii) *T* is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η ;
- (iii) T is modified α-η-ψ-rational contraction mapping on X;
- (iv) *T* is an α - η -continuous mapping on *X*;

(v) there exists
$$x_0 \in X$$
 such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by $x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_{n+1} = x_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x = x_n$ is a fixed point for T and the result is proved. Hence, we suppose that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is α -admissible mapping with respect to η and $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$, we deduce that $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha(Tx_0, T^2x_0) \ge \eta(Tx_0, T^2x_0) = \eta(x_1, x_2)$. Continuing this process, we get

$$\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \ge \eta\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) = \eta\left(x_{n}, Tx_{n}\right)$$
(13)

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Now, by (a) we get

ſ

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}) \le \psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_{n})), \quad (14)$$

where

$$M(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}, \frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)}{1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)}, \frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{2} \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right\}$$

$$(15)$$

and so, $M(x_{n-1}, x_n) \le \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$. Now since ψ is nondecreasing, so from (14), we have

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \le \psi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}), d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})\}).$$
(16)

Now, if $\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}), d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})\})$$

= $\psi(d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})) < d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})$ (17)

which is a contradiction. Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$
 (18)

By induction, we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \psi^n (d(x_0, x_1)).$$
 (19)

Fix $\epsilon > 0$; there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{n\geq N}\psi^{n}\left(d\left(x_{0},x_{1}\right)\right)<\epsilon.$$
(20)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n \ge N$. Then by triangular inequality we get

$$d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right) \leq \sum_{n \geq N} \psi^{n}\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) < \epsilon.$$
(21)

Consequently $\lim_{m,n,\to+\infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. On the other hand from (13) we know that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now since X is an α - η -complete metric space, there is $z \in X$ such that $x_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$. Also, since T is an α - η -continuous mapping, so $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \to Tz$ as $n \to \infty$. That is, z = Tz as required.

Example 13. Let $X = (-\infty, -2) \cup [-1, 1] \cup (2, +\infty)$. We endow *X* with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max\{|x|, |y|\}, & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ 0, & x = y. \end{cases}$$
(22)

Define $T : X \to X$, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$, and $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2x^2 - 1}, & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, -3], \\ x^3 - 1, & \text{if } x \in (-3, -2), \\ \frac{1}{4}x^2, & \text{if } x \in [-1, 0], \\ \frac{1}{4}x, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1], \\ 5 + \sin \pi x, & \text{if } x \in (2, 4), \\ 3x^3 + \ln x + 1, & \text{if } x \in [4, \infty), \end{cases}$$
(23)
$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 + 1, & \text{if } x, y \in [-1, 1], \\ 2 + y^2 + 1, & \text{if } x, y \in [-1, 1], \end{cases}$$

$$(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^{2} + y^{2} + 1, & \text{if } x, y \in [-1, 1], \\ x^{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$\eta(x, y) = x^{2} + y^{2},$$
$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t.$$

Clearly, (X, d) is not a complete metric space. However, it is an α - η -complete metric space. In fact, if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\} \subseteq [-1, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, since ([-1, 1], d) is a complete metric space, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges in $[-1, 1] \subseteq X$. Let $\alpha(x, y) \ge \eta(x, y)$; then $x, y \in [-1, 1]$. On the other hand, $Tw \in [-1, 1]$ for all $w \in [-1, 1]$. Then, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge \eta(Tx, Ty)$. That is, *T* is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence, such that $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_n) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{x_n\} \subseteq [-1, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $\{Tx_n\} \subseteq [-1, 1]$ (since $Tw \in [-1, 1]$ for all $w \in [-1, 1]$). Now, since T is continuous on [-1, 1]. Then, $Tx_n \to Tx$ as $n \to \infty$. That is, *T* is an α - η -continuous mapping. Clearly, $\alpha(0, T0) \ge \eta(0, T0)$. Let $\alpha(x, y) \ge \eta(x, Tx)$. Now, if $x \notin [-1, 1]$ or $y \notin [-1, 1]$, then $x^2 \ge x^2 + y^2 + 1$ which implies $y^2 + 1 \le 0$ which is a contradiction. Then, $x, y \in [-1, 1]$. Now we consider the following cases:

(i) let
$$x, y \in [-1, 0)$$
 with $x \neq y$; then,

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{4} \max \{x^{2}, y^{2}\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{|x|, |y|\} = \psi(d(x, y)) \leq \psi(M(x, y));$$

(24)

(ii) let $x, y \in (0, 1]$ with $x \neq y$; then

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{4} \max \{ |x|, |y| \}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ |x|, |y| \} = \psi (d(x, y)) \leq \psi (M(x, y));$$

(25)

(iii) let $x \in (-1, 0)$ and $y \in (0, 1)$; then

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{4} \max \{x^{2}, y\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{|x|, |y|\} = \psi(d(x, y)) \leq \psi(M(x, y))$$

(26)

(iv) let $x = y \in [-1, 0)$, $x = y \in (0, 1]$ or let x = -1, y = 1; then, Tx = Ty. That is,

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 0 \le \psi(M(x, y)).$$
⁽²⁷⁾

Thus *T* is a modified α - η - ψ -rational contraction mapping. Hence all conditions of Theorem 12 are satisfied and *T* has a fixed point. Here, x = 0 is fixed point of *T*.

By taking $\eta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ in Theorem 12, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 14. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let T be a selfmapping on X. Also, suppose that $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function and $\psi \in \Psi$. Assume that the following assertions hold true:

- (i) (X, d) is an α -complete metric space;
- (ii) *T* is an α -admissible mapping;
- (iii) *T* is a modified α - ψ -rational contraction on *X*;
- (iv) *T* is an α -continuous mapping on *X*;
- (v) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 15. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let T be a selfmapping on X. Also, suppose that $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are two functions and $\psi \in \Psi$. Assume that the following assertions hold true:

- (i) (X, d) is an α - η -complete metric space;
- (ii) *T* is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η ;
- (iii) *T* is a modified α - η - ψ -rational contraction on *X*;

- (iv) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$; (v) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$
 - $\eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, then either

$$\eta \left(Tx_n, T^2 x_n \right) \le \alpha \left(Tx_n, x \right)$$
or $\eta \left(T^2 x_n, T^3 x_n \right) \le \alpha \left(T^2 x_n, x \right)$
(28)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *.*

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by $x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now as in the proof of Theorem 12 we have $\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_n) \ge \eta(x_{n+1}, x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exists $z \in X$ such that $x_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $d(z, Tz) \ne 0$. From (v) either

$$\eta \left(Tx_{n-1}, T^{2}x_{n-1} \right) \leq \alpha \left(Tx_{n-1}, z \right)$$

or $\eta \left(T^{2}x_{n-1}, T^{3}x_{n-1} \right) \leq \alpha \left(T^{2}x_{n-1}, z \right)$ (29)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \alpha(x_n, z)$$
or
$$\eta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \alpha(x_{n+1}, z)$$
(30)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha(x_n, z)$ hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now from (a) we get

$$d(x_{n_{k}+1}, Tz) = d(Tx_{n_{k}}, Tz)$$

$$\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_{n_{k}}, z), \frac{d(x_{n_{k}}, Tx_{n_{k}})}{1 + d(x_{n_{k}}, Tx_{n_{k}})}, \frac{d(z, Tz)}{1 + d(z, Tz)}, \frac{d(x_{n_{k}}, Tz) + d(z, Tx_{n_{k}})}{2} \right\} \right)$$

$$= \psi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_{n_{k}}, z), \frac{d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1})}{1 + d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1})}, \frac{d(z, Tz)}{1 + d(z, Tz)}, \frac{d(x_{n_{k}}, Tz) + d(z, x_{n_{k}+1})}{2} \right\} \right)$$

$$< \max \left\{ d(x_{n_{k}}, z), \frac{d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1})}{1 + d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1})}, \frac{d(z, Tz)}{1 + d(z, Tz)}, \frac{d(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1})}{2} \right\} \right.$$
(31)

By taking limit as $k \to \infty$ in the above inequality we get

$$d(z,Tz) \le \max\left\{\frac{d(z,Tz)}{1+d(z,Tz)},\frac{d(z,Tz)}{2}\right\} < d(z,Tz)$$
(32)

which is a contradiction. Hence, d(z, Tz) = 0 implies z = Tz. By the similar method we can show that z = Tz if $\eta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \alpha(x_{n+1}, z)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 16. Let $X = (0, +\infty)$. We endow X with usual metric. Define $T : X \to X$, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$, and $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}}{\sin x + \cos x + 3}, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{1}{16}x^2 + 1, & \text{if } x \in [1, 2], \\ \frac{x^3 + 1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}}, & \text{if } x \in (2, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x, y \in [1, 2], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\eta(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \psi(t) = \frac{1}{4}t.$$
(33)

Note that (X, d) is not a complete metric space. But it is an α - η -complete metric space. Indeed, if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\} \subseteq [1, 2]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, since ([1, 2], d) is a complete metric space, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges in $[1, 2] \subseteq X$. Let $\alpha(x, y) \ge \eta(x, y)$; then $x, y \in [1, 2]$. On the other hand, $Tw \in [1, 2]$ for all $w \in [1, 2]$. Then, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge \eta(Tx, Ty)$. That is, T is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η . If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, $Tx_n, T^2x_n, T^3x_n \in [1, 2]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\eta \left(Tx_n, T^2 x_n \right) \le \alpha \left(Tx_n, x \right),$$

$$\eta \left(T^2 x_n, T^3 x_n \right) \le \alpha \left(T^2 x_n, x \right),$$
(34)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\alpha(0, T0) \ge \eta(0, T0)$. Let, $\alpha(x, y) \ge \eta(x, Tx)$. Now, if $x \notin [1, 2]$ or $y \notin [1, 2]$, then $0 \ge 1/4$, which is a contradiction. So, $x, y \in [1, 2]$. Therefore,

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{16} |x^{2} - y^{2}|$$

= $\frac{1}{16} |x - y| |x + y| \le \frac{1}{4} |x - y|$ (35)
= $\frac{1}{4} d(x, y) \le \frac{1}{4} M(x, y) = \psi(M(x, y)).$

Therefore *T* is a modified α - η - ψ -rational contraction mapping. Hence all conditions of Theorem 15 hold and *T* has a fixed point. Here, $x = 8 - 2\sqrt{14}$ is a fixed point of *T*.

If in Theorem 15 we take $\eta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 17. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let T be a selfmapping on X. Also, suppose that $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function and $\psi \in \Psi$. Assume that the following assertions hold true:

- (i) (X, d) is a α -complete metric space;
- (ii) *T* is an α -admissible mapping;
- (iii) T is a modified α-ψ-rational contraction mapping on X;
- (iv) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$;
- (v) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, then either

$$\alpha(Tx_n, x) \ge 1 \quad or \ \alpha(T^2x_n, x) \ge 1 \tag{36}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *.*

Then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 18. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a continuous self-mapping on X. Assume that T is a modified rational contraction mapping, that is,

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)), \quad (37)$$

where $\psi \in \Psi$. Then *T* has a fixed point.

Corollary 19. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a continuous self-mapping on X. Assume that T satisfies the following rational inequality:

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad d(Tx, Ty) \le rM(x, y), \tag{38}$$

where $0 \le r < 1$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\}.$$
(39)

Then T has a fixed point.

3. Consequences

3.1. Suzuki Type Fixed Point Results. From Theorem 12 we deduce the following Suzuki type fixed point result.

Theorem 20. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a continuous self-mapping on X. Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(x, Tx) \le d(x, y)$$
 implies $d(Tx, Ty) \le rM(x, y)$

(40)

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\}.$$
(41)

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = d(x, y), \qquad \eta(x, y) = d(x, y), \qquad (42)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\psi(t) = rt$, where $0 \le r < 1$. Clearly, $\eta(x, y) \le \alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. That is, conditions (i)–(v) of Theorem 12 hold true. Let $\eta(x, Tx) \le \alpha(x, y)$. Then, $d(x, Tx) \le d(x, y)$. Now from (40) we have $d(Tx, Ty) \le rM(x, y) = \psi(M(x, y))$. That is, *T* is a modified α - η - ψ -rational contraction mapping on *X*. Then all conditions of Theorem 12 hold and *T* has a fixed point. The uniqueness of the fixed point follows easily from (40).

Corollary 21. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a continuous self-mapping on X. Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(x,Tx) \le d(x,y)$$
 implies $d(Tx,Ty) \le rd(x,y)$

(43)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Now, we prove the following Suzuki type fixed point theorem without continuity of T.

Theorem 22. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a self-mapping on X. Define a nonincreasing function ρ : $[0, 1) \rightarrow (1/2, 1]$ by

$$\rho\left(r\right) = \frac{1}{1+r}.\tag{44}$$

Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\rho(r) d(x, Tx) \le d(x, y) \quad implies \ d(Tx, Ty) \le rd(x, y)$$
(45)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = d(x, y), \qquad \eta(x, y) = \rho(r) d(x, y) \qquad (46)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\psi(t) = rt$, where $0 \le r < 1$. Now, since $\rho(r)d(x, y) \le d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $\eta(x, y) \le \alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. That is, conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 15 hold true. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\rho(r)d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \le d(Tx_n, T^2x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then from (45) we get

$$d\left(T^{2}x_{n},T^{3}x_{n}\right) \leq rd\left(Tx_{n},T^{2}x_{n}\right)$$

$$\tag{47}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\eta \left(Tx_{n_0}, T^2 x_{n_0} \right) > \alpha \left(Tx_{n_0}, x \right),$$

$$\eta \left(T^2 x_{n_0}, T^3 x_{n_0} \right) > \alpha \left(T^2 x_{n_0}, x \right);$$
(48)

then,

$$\rho(r) d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2 x_{n_0}) > d(Tx_{n_0}, x),$$

$$\rho(r) d(T^2 x_{n_0}, T^3 x_{n_0}) > d(T^2 x_{n_0}, x),$$
(49)

and so by (47) we have

$$d\left(Tx_{n_{0}}, T^{2}x_{n_{0}}\right)$$

$$\leq d\left(Tx_{n_{0}}, x\right) + d\left(T^{2}x_{n_{0}}, x\right)$$

$$< \rho\left(r\right) d\left(Tx_{n_{0}}, T^{2}x_{n_{0}}\right) + \rho\left(r\right) d\left(T^{2}x_{n_{0}}, T^{3}x_{n_{0}}\right) \quad (50)$$

$$\leq \rho\left(r\right) d\left(Tx_{n_{0}}, T^{2}x_{n_{0}}\right) + r\rho\left(r\right) d\left(Tx_{n_{0}}, T^{2}x_{n_{0}}\right)$$

$$= \rho\left(r\right) (1+r) d\left(Tx_{n_{0}}, T^{2}x_{n_{0}}\right) = d\left(Tx_{n_{0}}, T^{2}x_{n_{0}}\right)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, either

$$\eta \left(Tx_n, T^2 x_n \right) \le \alpha \left(Tx_n, x \right)$$

or $\eta \left(T^2 x_n, T^3 x_n \right) \le \alpha \left(T^2 x_n, x \right)$ (51)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is condition (v) of Theorem 15 holds.

Let, $\eta(x, Tx) \leq \alpha(x, y)$. So, $\rho(r)d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$. Then from (45) we get $d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y) \leq rM(x, y) = \psi(M(x, y))$. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 15 hold and *T* has a fixed point. The uniqueness of the fixed point follows easily from (45).

3.2. Fixed Point Results in Orbitally T-Complete Metric Spaces

Theorem 23. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $T : X \to X$ be a self-mapping on X. Suppose the following assertions hold:

- (i) (X, d) is an orbitally *T*-complete metric space;
- (ii) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y))$$
(52)

holds for all $x, y \in O(w)$ for some $w \in X$, where

$$= \max\left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\};$$
(53)

(iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\{x_n\} \subseteq O(w)$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, then $x \in O(w)$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Define $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ as in Remark 6. From Remark 6 we know that (X, d) is an α -complete metric space and *T* is an α -admissible mapping. Let $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$; then $x, y \in O(w)$. Then from (ii) we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)).$$
(54)

That is, *T* is a modified α - ψ -rational contraction mapping. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. So, $\{x_n\} \subseteq O(w)$. From (iii) we have $x \in O(w)$. That is, $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$. Hence, all conditions of Corollary 17 hold and *T* has a fixed point.

Corollary 24. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $T : X \to X$ be a self-mapping on X. Suppose the following assertions hold:

- (i) (*X*, *d*) is an orbitally *T*-complete metric space;
- (ii) there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le rM(x, y) \tag{55}$$

holds for all $x, y \in O(w)$ for some $w \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\};$$
(56)

(iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\{x_n\} \subseteq O(w)$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x \in O(w)$.

Then T has a fixed point.

3.3. Fixed Point Results for Graphic Contractions. Consistent with Jachymski [11], let (X, d) be a metric space and let Δ denote the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. Consider a directed graph G such that the set V(G) of its vertices coincides with X, and the set E(G) of its edges contains all loops; that is, $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$. We assume that G has no parallel edges, so we can identify G with the pair (V(G), E(G)). Moreover, we may treat G as a weighted graph (see [11]) by assigning to each edge the distance between its vertices. If x and y are vertices in a graph G, then a path in G from x to y of length $N(N \in \mathbb{N})$ is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$ of N + 1 vertices such that $x_0 = x$, $x_N = y$ and $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in E(G)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. A graph G is connected if there is a path between any two vertices. G is weakly connected if \widetilde{G} is connected (see for details [3, 6, 10, 11]).

Recently, some results have appeared providing sufficient conditions for a mapping to be a Picard operator if (X, d) is endowed with a graph. The first result in this direction was given by Jachymski [11].

Definition 25 (see [11]). We say that a mapping $T : X \to X$ is a Banach *G*-contraction or simply *G*-contraction if *T* preserves edges of *G*; that is,

$$\forall x, y \in X \quad ((x, y) \in E(G) \Longrightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G))$$
(57)

and *T* decreases weights of edges of *G* in the following way:

$$\exists \alpha \in (0,1), \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

((x, y) $\in E(G) \Longrightarrow d(T(x), T(y)) \le \alpha d(x, y)).$ (58)

Definition 26 (see [11]). A mapping $T : X \to X$ is called *G*-continuous, if given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &\longrightarrow x, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \\ (x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ implying } Tx_n \longrightarrow Tx. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Theorem 27. Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G and let T be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) for all $x, y \in X$, $(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)$;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$;
- (iii) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{60}$$

for all $(x, y) \in E(G)$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\};$$
(61)

(iv) T is G-continuous;

(v) if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X with $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is convergent in X.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Define $\alpha : X^2 \to [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in E(G), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(62)

At first we prove that *T* is an α -admissible mapping. Let $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$; then $(x, y) \in E(G)$. From (i), we have $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$. That is, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge 1$. Thus *T* is an α -admissible mapping. Let *T* be *G*-continuous on (X, d). Then,

$$x_n \longrightarrow x, \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty,$$

 $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ implying } Tx_n \longrightarrow Tx.$ (63)

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & x_n \longrightarrow x, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \\ & \alpha\left(x_n, x_{n+1}\right) \ge 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ implying } Tx_n \longrightarrow Tx \end{aligned}$$
(64)

which implies that *T* is α -continuous on (X, d). From (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$. That is, $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$. Let $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$; then $(x, y) \in E(G)$. Now, from (iii) we have $d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y))$. That is,

$$\alpha(x, y) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)).$$
(65)

Condition (v) implies that (X, d) is an α -complete metric space. Hence, all conditions of Corollary 14 are satisfied and *T* has a fixed point.

Theorem 28. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a graph G and let T be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) for all $x, y \in X$, $(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)$;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$;
- (iii) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{66}$$

for all
$$(x, y) \in E(G)$$
, where

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\};$$
(67)

(iv) T is G-continuous.

Then T has a fixed point.

As an application of Corollary 17, we obtain.

Theorem 29. Let (X,d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G and let T be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) for all $x, y \in X$, $(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (T(x), T(y)) \in E(G)$;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$;
- (iii) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{68}$$

for all $(x, y) \in E(G)$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\};$$
(69)

(iv) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, then either

$$(Tx_n, x) \in E(G)$$
 or $(T^2x_n, x) \in E(G)$ (70)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *;*

(v) if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X with $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then either $\{x_n\}$ is convergent in X or (X, d) is a complete metric space.

Then T has a fixed point.

Let (X, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space. Define the graph *G* by

$$E(G) := \{ (x, y) \in X \times X : x \le y \}.$$
(71)

For this graph, condition (i) in Theorem 27 means that T is nondecreasing with respect to this order [5]. From Theorems 27–29 we derive the following important results in partially ordered metric spaces.

Theorem 30. Let (X, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space and let T be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) *T* is nondecreasing map;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq Tx_0$;
- (iii) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{72}$$

for all $x \leq y$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\};$$
(73)

(iv) either for a given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$x_n \longrightarrow x, \quad as \ n \longrightarrow \infty,$$

 $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ one has } Tx_n \longrightarrow Tx$ (74)

or T is continuous;

(v) if {x_n} is a Cauchy sequence in X with x_n ≤ x_{n+1} for all n ∈ N, then either {x_n} is convergent in X or (X, d) is a complete metric space.

Then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 31 (Ran and Reurings [15]). Let (X, d, \leq) be a partially ordered complete metric space and let $T : X \to X$ be a continuous nondecreasing self-mapping such that $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ for some $x_0 \in X$. Assume that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le rd(x, y) \tag{75}$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$, where $0 \leq r < 1$. Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 32. Let (X, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space and let T be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) *T* is nondecreasing map;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq Tx_0$;

(iii) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{76}$$

for all $x \leq y$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Tx)}, \frac{d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(y, Ty)}, \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\};$$
(77)

(iv) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, then either

$$Tx_n \leq x \quad or \ T^2 x_n \leq x$$
 (78)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *;*

 (v) if {x_n} is a Cauchy sequence in X with x_n ≤ x_{n+1} for all n ∈ N, then either {x_n} is convergent in X or (X, d) is a complete metric space.

Then T has a fixed point.

4. Application to Existence of Solutions of Integral Equations

Fixed point theorems for monotone operators in ordered metric spaces are widely investigated and have found various applications in differential and integral equations (see [28–30] and references therein). In this section, we apply our result to the existence of a solution of an integral equation. Let $X = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ be the set of real continuous functions defined on [0, T] and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{\infty}$$
(79)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a complete metric space. Also, assume this metric space endowed with a graph *G*.

Consider the integral equation as follows:

$$x(t) = p(t) + \int_0^T S(t,s) f(s,x(s)) ds$$
 (80)

and let $F: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$F(x)(t) = p(t) + \int_0^T S(t,s) f(s,x(s)) \, ds.$$
 (81)

We assume that

- (A) $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous;
- (B) $p : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous;
- (C) $S : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous;

(D) there exists a $\psi \in \Psi$ such that for all $s \in [0, T]$

$$\forall x, y \in X \quad (x, y) \in E(G) \Longrightarrow (F(x), F(y)) \in E(G),$$

$$\forall x, y \in X \quad (x, y) \in E(G) \Longrightarrow 0$$

$$\leq f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))$$

$$\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \frac{|x(s) - y(s)|}{1 + |x(s) - y(s)|}, \frac{|x(s) - F(x(s))|}{1 + |x(s) - F(x(s))|}, |y(s) - F(y(s))|, \frac{1}{2} [|x(s) - F(y(s))| + |y(s) - F(x(s))|] \right\} \right);$$

$$(82)$$

- (E) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, F(x_0)) \in E(G)$;
- (F) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, then either

$$(Fx_n, x) \in E(G)$$
 or $(F^2x_n, x) \in E(G)$ (83)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(G)
$$\int_0^T S(t,s) ds \le 1$$
 for all t .

Theorem 33. Under assumptions (A)–(G), the integral equation (80) has a solution in $X = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Consider the mapping $F : X \to X$ defined by (81). Let $(x, y) \in E(G)$. Then from (D) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(x)(t) - F(y)(t) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T S(t,s) \left[f(s,x(s)) - f(s,y(s)) \right] ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T S(t,s) \left| f(s,x(s)) - f(s,y(s)) \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^T S(t,s) \psi \\ &\times \left(\max \left\{ \left| x(s) - y(s) \right|, \frac{|x(s) - F(x(s))|}{1 + |x(s) - F(x(s))|}, \frac{|y(s) - F(y(s))|}{1 + |y(s) - F(y(s))|}, \frac{1}{2} \left[|x(s) - F(y(s))| + |y(s) - F(x(s))| \right] \right\} \right) ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^T S(t,s) ds \right) \psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left(\max \left\{ \left\| x\left(s\right) - y\left(s\right) \right\|, \\ \frac{\left\| x\left(s\right) - F\left(x\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}{1 + \left\| x\left(s\right) - F\left(x\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}, \frac{\left\| y\left(s\right) - F\left(y\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}{1 + \left\| y\left(s\right) - F\left(y\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\| x\left(s\right) - F\left(y\left(s\right)\right) \right\| + \left\| y\left(s\right) - F\left(x\left(s\right)\right) \right\| \right] \right\} \right).$$
(84)

Then

 $||Fx - Fy||_{\infty}$

$$\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \left\| x\left(s\right) - y\left(s\right) \right\|, \\ \frac{\left\| x\left(s\right) - F\left(x\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}{1 + \left\| x\left(s\right) - F\left(x\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}, \frac{\left\| y\left(s\right) - F\left(y\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}{1 + \left\| y\left(s\right) - F\left(y\left(s\right)\right) \right\|}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\| x\left(s\right) - F\left(y\left(s\right)\right) \right\| + \left\| y\left(s\right) - F\left(x\left(s\right)\right) \right\| \right] \right\} \right).$$
(85)

That is, $(x, y) \in E(G)$ implies

$$\|Fx - Fy\|_{\infty} \leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \|x - y\|_{\infty}, \frac{\|x - F(x)\|_{\infty}}{1 + \|x - F(x)\|_{\infty}}, \frac{\|y - F(y)\|_{\infty}}{1 + \|y - F(y)\|_{\infty}}, \frac{1}{2} \left[\|x - F(y)\|_{\infty} + \|y - F(x)\|_{\infty} \right] \right\} \right).$$
(86)

It easily shows that all the hypotheses of Theorem 29 are satisfied and hence the mapping *F* has a fixed point that is a solution in $X = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of the integral equation (80).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

This paper was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. Therefore, the authors acknowledge with thanks DSR, KAU, for the financial support.

References

- S. Banach, "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.
- [2] V. Berinde, Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2007.

- [3] F. Bojor, "Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 9, pp. 3895–3901, 2012.
- [4] L. Ćirić, N. Hussain, and N. Cakić, "Common fixed points for Ćirić type *f*-weak contraction with applications," *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, vol. 76, no. 1-2, pp. 31–49, 2010.
- [5] L. Ćirić, M. Abbas, R. Saadati, and N. Hussain, "Common fixed points of almost generalized contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 12, pp. 5784–5789, 2011.
- [6] R. Espínola and W. A. Kirk, "Fixed point theorems in R-trees with applications to graph theory," *Topology and its Applications*, vol. 153, no. 7, pp. 1046–1055, 2006.
- [7] N. Hussain, P. Salimi, and A. Latif, "Fixed point results for single and set-valued α-η-ψ-contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 212, 2013.
- [8] N. Hussain, E. Karapınar, P. Salimi, and F. Akbar, "α-admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 114, 11 pages, 2013.
- [9] N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, and P. Salimi, "Best proximity point results for modified α-ψ-proximal rational contractions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 927457, 14 pages, 2013.
- [10] N. Hussain, S. Al-Mezel, and P. Salimi, "Fixed points for ψ graphic contractions with application to integral equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 575869, 11 pages, 2013.
- [11] J. Jachymski, "The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 136, no. 4, pp. 1359–1373, 2008.
- [12] E. Karapınar and B. Samet, "Generalized α-ψ contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 793486, 17 pages, 2012.
- [13] P. D. Proinov, "A generalization of the Banach contraction principle with high order of convergence of successive approximations," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 2361–2369, 2007.
- [14] P. D. Proinov, "New general convergence theory for iterative processes and its applications to Newton-Kantorovich type theorems," *Journal of Complexity*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 3–42, 2010.
- [15] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, "A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 1435–1443, 2004.
- [16] P. Salimi, A. Latif, and N. Hussain, "Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 151, 2013.
- [17] P. Salimi, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for twisted (α, β)-ψ-contractive type mappings and applications," *Filomat*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 605–615, 2013.
- [18] P. Salimi, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Some new fixed point results in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Modelling and Control*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 344–358, 2013.
- [19] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for α-ψ-contractive type mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 2154–2165, 2012.
- [20] W. Sintunavarat, S. Plubtieng, and P. Katchang, "Fixed point result and applications on a *b*-metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 296, 2013.

- [21] T. Suzuki, "A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 136, no. 5, pp. 1861–1869, 2008.
- [22] N. Shobkolaei, S. Sedghi, J. R. Roshan, and N. Hussain, "Suzukitype fixed point results in metric-like spaces," *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, vol. 2013, Article ID 143686, 9 pages, 2013.
- [23] N. Hussain, D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg, and S. Radenović, "Suzukitype fixed point results in metric type spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 126, 2012.
- [24] D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg, and S. Radenović, "Edelstein-Suzukitype fixed point results in metric and abstract metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 1927–1932, 2012.
- [25] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, "Generalized quasicontractions in orbitally complete abstract metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 527–536, 2012.
- [26] D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, and P. Kumam, "A note on fixed point results without monotone property in partially ordered metric spaces," *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales.*
- [27] R. M. Bianchini and M. Grandolfi, "Trasformazioni di tipo contrattivo generalizzato in uno spazio metrico," *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti*, vol. 45, pp. 212–216, 1968.
- [28] R. P. Agarwal, N. Hussain, and M.-A. Taoudi, "Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 245872, 15 pages, 2012.
- [29] N. Hussain, A. R. Khan, and R. P. Agarwal, "Krasnosel'skii and Ky Fan type fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 475– 489, 2010.
- [30] N. Hussain and M. A. Taoudi, "Krasnosel'skii-type fixed point theorems with applications to Volterra integral equations," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 196, 2013.







Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics













Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society









Journal of Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Journal of Optimization