

## Erratum: Flapping States of a Flag in an Inviscid Fluid: Bistability and the Transition to Chaos [Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 074301 (2008)]

Silas Alben and Michael J. Shelley

(Received 21 August 2008; published 10 September 2008)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.119902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.119902)

PACS numbers: 46.40.Jj, 46.40.Ff, 47.15.ki, 47.52.tj, 99.10.Cd

In our Letter [1], an error was made in the computation of the nondimensional flag mass  $R_1 = \rho_s/\rho_f L$  and dimensionless rigidity  $R_2 = B/\rho_f U^2 L^3$ . Here  $\rho_s$  is flag mass per unit length,  $\rho_f$  is fluid mass per unit area,  $B$  is flag bending rigidity, and  $U$  is the fluid stream speed.  $L$  is defined as the flag length, but  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  in [1] were computed using only the half flag length  $L/2$  in place of  $L$ . Therefore, all of the values of  $R_2$  in [1] (including those reported for the simulations) are a factor of 8 too large (due to the factor of  $L^3$ ), and the values of  $R_1$  are a factor of 2 too large.

Figure 1 is a revised version of Figure 2 in [1] comparing the stability boundary with those of recent reduced models by [2–4] (Fig. 3, dash-dotted line in [4]). The correction moves our boundary considerably closer to the others.




FIG. 1. Computed stability boundary in the  $R_1 R_2$  plane. The upper solid boundary gives the smallest  $R_2$  above which a small leading-edge forcing ( $y(t) = 10^{-5}(2t)^2 e^{-(2t)^2}$ ) does not lead to flapping. The lower solid boundary is the largest  $R_2$  below which such forcing leads to exponential growth of elastic energy in time until the flag saturates with  $O(1)$  flapping, as shown in Figs. 1 and 2 of [1]. The solid line gives the scaling  $R_1 \sim R_2$  for comparison at small flag masses. The black crosses mark the cases shown in Fig. 2 of [1] [upper cross is (a) and (b), and lower is (c)]. The dashed line shows the stability boundary from the reduced model of [2], and the dash-dotted line the corresponding boundary plotted in Fig. 3 of the reduced model of [3] (showing only the portion  $R_2 \approx \text{const}$ , but having the same asymptotic scalings as the model here and in [2]). The dotted line (with cusps) is the stability boundary for the 2D model of [4].

[1] S. Alben and M. J. Shelley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 074301 (2008).

[2] M. Shelley, N. Vandenberghe, and J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 094302 (2005).

[3] M. Argentina and L. Mahadevan, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **102**, 1829 (2005).

[4] C. Eloy, R. Lagrange, C. Souilliez, and L. Schouveiler, J. Fluid Mech. **611**, 97 (2008).