

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1103/PHYSREVA.5.1160





Forbidden Decays of Hydrogenlike and Heliumlike Argon — [Source link](#)

[Richard Marrus](#), [Robert W. Schmieder](#)

Published on: 01 Mar 1972 - [Physical Review A](#)

Related papers:

- [Metastability of Hydrogen and Helium Levels.](#)
- [Radiative Decay of the \$2\ 3\ S\ 1\$ and \$2\ 3\ P\ 2\$ States of Heliumlike Vanadium \(\$Z=23\$ \) and Iron \(\$Z=26\$ \)](#)
- [Theory of Relativistic Magnetic Dipole Transitions: Lifetime of the Metastable \$2\ S\ 3\$ State of the Heliumlike Ions](#)
- [Radiative decay of metastable hydrogenic atoms](#)
- [Über Elementarakte mit zwei Quantensprüngen](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/forbidden-decays-of-hydrogenlike-and-heliumlike-argon-337rdr0tsz>

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

FORBIDDEN DECAYS OF HYDROGENLIKE AND HELIUMLIKE ARGON

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2xs1z3h8>

Authors

Marrus, Richard
Schmieder, Robert W.

Publication Date

1971-06-01

To be submitted to
Physical Review

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

UCRL-20823
Preprint

c.d.


LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

FORBIDDEN DECAYS OF HYDROGENLIKE
AND HELIUMLIKE ARGON

Richard Marrus and Robert W. Schmieder

June 1971

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48



TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

31

UCRL-20823

c.d.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

To be submitted to The Physical Review

Forbidden Decays of Hydrogenlike and Heliumlike Argon [†]

Richard Marrus and Robert W. Schmieder

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

June 1971

ABSTRACT

Four forbidden decay modes of hydrogenlike argon (Ar^{+17}) and heliumlike argon (Ar^{+16}) have been observed and the lifetimes of the states decaying via these modes have been measured by a beam foil time-of-flight technique. The decays are the two-photon decay $2^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 1^2S_{1/2}$ of Ar^{+17} ; the two-photon decay $2^1S_0 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ of Ar^{+16} ; the relativistically induced magnetic dipole decay $2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ of Ar^{+16} ; and the magnetic quadrupole decay $2^3P_2 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ of Ar^{+16} . The measured lifetimes of the levels are $\tau(2^2S_{1/2}) = 3.54 \pm 0.25$ nsec; $\tau(2^1S_0) = 2.3 \pm 0.3$ nsec; $\tau(2^3P_2) = 1.7 \pm 0.3$ nsec; and $\tau(2^3S_1) = 172 \pm 30$ nsec.

From the agreement between the measured and calculated lifetimes it is possible to place an upper limit on the amount of parity impurity in the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state wavefunction of hydrogenlike Ar^{+17} . Writing $\psi(2^2S_{1/2}) = \phi(2^2S_{1/2}) + \epsilon\phi(2^2P_{1/2})$, where the ϕ 's are the Schrödinger solutions to the hydrogen atom, we obtain $|\epsilon| \leq 6 \times 10^{-4}$.

INTRODUCTION

In spite of the fact that the hydrogen and helium spectra can probably qualify among the most studied problems in the history of physics, there is relatively little experimental information concerning the forbidden decays, i.e., those decays which proceed by other than an allowed electric dipole transition. These decays were first considered in a classic paper by Breit and Teller,¹ and it is now accepted that the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state of hydrogen and the 2^1S_0 state of helium decay predominantly by two-photon emission, while the 2^3S_1 state of helium decays by a relativistically induced magnetic dipole transition. As will be discussed later in the text, it is now clear that, for heliumlike ions beyond $Z \approx 17$, the 2^3P_2 level decays predominantly by magnetic quadrupole emission. All four of these decays are indicated on the energy-level diagram of Fig. 1. The energies given here are those associated with the transitions in the hydrogenlike ion Ar^{+17} and the heliumlike ion Ar^{+16} .

The reason for the lack of experimental information derives from the formidable problems associated with the long lifetimes involved. However, all of these lifetimes decrease very rapidly with increasing Z ; hence the recent development of high-energy accelerators of heavy ions, coupled with the beam-foil technique for producing hydrogenlike and heliumlike ions, makes possible tests of the theory under relatively favorable experimental conditions.

In this paper we present the results of a beam-foil experiment designed to study these decays in Ar^{+17} and Ar^{+16} ions obtained at the Berkeley Heavy Ion Linear Accelerator (Hilac). Prior publications² have

already reported the results of some of this work. In this paper, the details are substantially elaborated and a new result for the lifetime of the 2^1S_0 state of Ar^{+16} is given.

I. THEORY

A. Decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ State of Hydrogenlike Atoms

The possible decay modes of the hydrogen metastable state were first considered in detail by Breit and Teller.¹ The only single-photon multipole mode to the $1^2S_{1/2}$ ground state allowed by the parity and angular momentum selection rules is magnetic dipole (M1). However, it is easily seen that, in non-relativistic approximation, the transition probability $A_{\text{M1}}(2^2S_{1/2})$ associated with this decay mode vanishes. One can write:

$$A_{\text{M1}}(2^2S_{1/2}) \propto |\langle 1S | \vec{\mu} | 2S \rangle|^2 \quad (1)$$

with $\vec{\mu}$ the magnetic dipole operator which in non-relativistic approximation is:

$$\vec{\mu} = -\mu_B [\vec{\ell} + 2\vec{S}] \quad (\mu_B \equiv \text{Bohr magneton}) \quad (2)$$

It is clear, therefore, that the orthogonality of the radial part of the 1S-state and 2S-state eigenfunctions will cause (1) to vanish. Breit and Teller pointed out that, using Dirac theory, there will be a finite value for $A_{\text{M1}}(2^2S_{1/2})$. This arises because the Hamiltonian responsible for radiative decay is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rad}} = -e\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{A} \quad (3)$$

with $\vec{\alpha}$ the Dirac matrices $\vec{\alpha} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and \vec{A} the vector potential of the radiated wave. Using the Hamiltonian (3), Breit and Teller made an estimate of the magnetic dipole rate. However, in their calculation, finite wavelength corrections arising from higher-order terms in the expansion of the plane wave factor $e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}$ were neglected, and it has recently been pointed out by Drake,³ Schwartz,⁴ and Feinberg and Sucher⁵ that these terms make a substantial contribution to the rate. Their calculations show that, for the hydrogenic ions, one obtains for the rate

$$A_{M1}(2^2S_{1/2}) = 2.50 \times 10^{-6} Z^{10} \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

However, the dominant decay mode of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state of low-Z hydrogenlike ions is not relativistic M1 decay but the simultaneous emission of two photons, a process first suggested by Maria Mayer.⁶ The two photons have electric dipole (E1) character and frequencies ν_1 and ν_2 which satisfy the Bohr condition

$$h(\nu_1 + \nu_2) = \Delta E \quad (5)$$

where ΔE is the 2S-1S energy difference.

The frequency distribution of the two photons was first calculated by Spitzer and Greenstein⁷ and is plotted in Fig. 2. It is seen to be a broad, relatively flat-topped distribution which, by virtue of Eq. (5), is symmetrical about the midpoint. This distribution is valid for any hydrogenlike ion.

The transition rate $A_{2E1}(2^2S_{1/2})$ associated with this mode was first estimated by Breit and Teller, and later calculated more accurately

by Shapiro and Breit⁸ and others,⁹ with the result

$$A_{2E1}(2^2S_{1/2}) = 8.226 Z^6 \text{ sec}^{-1} . \quad (6)$$

This rate is based entirely on a non-relativistic calculation, and there has so far been no calculation using Dirac theory.

It is of some interest to note that, even though the two-photon rate predominates at low Z , $A_{M1} \approx A_{2E1}$ at a value $Z \approx 45$, so that for higher Z the magnetic dipole mode is dominant. In this connection, it should be noted that Boehm and others¹⁰ have recently reported observation of the magnetic dipole transition $2^2S_{1/2} - 1^2S_{1/2}$, i.e., the so-called KL_I transition in the x-ray spectra of heavy elements. This would seem to confirm the existence of a cross-over point.

Further interest in the lifetime of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state arises from the near-degeneracy with the $2^2P_{1/2}$ state. The $2^2P_{1/2}$ state decays to the ground state via an allowed electric dipole transition with rate¹¹

$$A_{E1}(2^2P_{1/2}) = 6.25 \times 10^8 Z^4 \text{ sec}^{-1} . \quad (7)$$

Consider now the possibility that the $2^2S_{1/2}$ wavefunction is not pure but contains, in fact, a small admixture of the $2^2P_{1/2}$ eigenfunction, so that

$$\psi(2^2S_{1/2}) = \phi(2^2S_{1/2}) + \epsilon \phi(2^2P_{1/2}) , \quad (8)$$

where ψ is the actual $2^2S_{1/2}$ state eigenfunction and the ϕ 's are the Schrödinger solutions for the hydrogen atom. The decay rate then becomes

$$A(2^2S_{1/2}) = A_{M1}(2^2S_{1/2}) + A_{2E1}(2^2S_{1/2}) + \epsilon^2 A_{E1}(2^2P_{1/2}) \quad (9)$$

Hence, even a very small admixing parameter ϵ can have a dramatic effect

on the lifetime and conversely, even a very crude measurement of A can place a very strict limit on ϵ .

Since the parities of the 2S and 2P states are different, a non-zero value for ϵ implies the presence of an interaction in the atomic Hamiltonian which violates either parity (P) or both parity and time reversal (PT). The possibility of a P and T violating interaction which would manifest itself in the form of a permanent electric dipole moment of the electron (EDM) was considered by Salpeter¹² and Feinberg.¹³ Using their formulae, however, the current upper limit¹⁴ to the EDM shows that there can be no effect on the $2^2S_{1/2}$ lifetime to < 1 part in 10^7 .

The non-existence of an EDM does not, however, rule out the possibility of a non-zero value for ϵ arising from a P-violating interaction which does not violate T. Such a mechanism has in fact been considered by Zeldovich and Perelemov,¹⁵ who showed that, if neutral currents are present in the weak interaction, these would give rise to an effect on the $2^2S_{1/2}$ lifetime in hydrogen. Conversely, it can be argued that an upper limit on ϵ places a limit on the presence of neutral currents in the weak interaction Hamiltonian.

Another mechanism arises from the possibility that the electromagnetic interaction of a spin-1/2 particle contains P-violating terms.¹⁶ The effect of such a term on the 2S state of hydrogenic ions has been calculated by Sakitt and Feinberg¹⁷ and an upper limit on the presence of such a term has been deduced from the available experimental data. As will be seen, the present experiment reduces this limit by greater than a factor of four.

The two-photon decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state was first observed in

singly-ionized helium by Lipeles, Novick, and Tolk,¹⁸ who reported detection of coincidences and angular distribution measurements consistent with the $1 + \cos^2\theta$ prediction. Spectral measurements in rough agreement with the predicted frequency spectrum were also reported.¹⁹ However, prior to the present work, there have been no lifetime measurements reported.

B. Decay of the 2^1S_0 State of Heliumlike Atoms

The metastable $(1s2s) 2^1S_0$ state can also decay to the ground $(1s)^2 1^1S_0$ state by a double-photon emission process. However, no single-photon decay mode is possible because of the rigorous selection rule forbidding $0 \leftrightarrow 0$ transitions. Hence two-photon decay is necessarily the fastest mode, and the similarity with the hydrogenic two-photon decay is clear when it is noted that the $1s$ electron does not participate in the transition, but acts as a spectator which shields the nuclear charge. The frequency distribution²⁰ of the photons is very similar to the hydrogenic distribution and is also shown in Fig. 2. Dalgarno and collaborators²¹ have done extensive calculations on the decay rate of this state, obtaining the value $A_{2E1}(2^1S_0) = 51.8 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ for ordinary helium.²² An interesting feature of these calculations concerns the asymptotic lifetime in the limit of very high Z . It might be expected that the decay rate $A_{2E1}(2^1S_0)$ would approach the hydrogenic rate with a slightly decreased value of Z to take into account shielding by the $1s$ electron. However, it has been noted that²² in fact the rate approaches roughly twice the hydrogenic rate, the extra factor of two coming from the Pauli principle. Thus, for large Z , we can write the rate as

$$A_{2E1}(2^1S_0) = 2(8.226) (Z - \sigma)^6 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (10)$$

where σ is a shielding constant that must be determined.

Experimentally, there are two previous measurements of the lifetime $\tau(2^1S_0)$ in ordinary helium. Pearl²³ and Van Dyck, Johnson, and Shugart²⁴ have measured the decay in flight of a beam of metastable helium, obtaining respectively $\tau(2^1S_0) = 38(8)$ msec and $\tau(2^1S_0) = 20(2)$ msec. Although the two experimental values are in serious disagreement with each other, the value of Van Dyck et al. is in good agreement with theory. In both these experiments the metastable atoms, rather than photons, were detected. In other work a continuous spectrum observed in a neon plasma²⁵ was attributed to the two-photon decay of the 2^1S_0 state of the heliumlike ion Ne IX.

C. Decay of the 2^3S_1 State of Heliumlike Atoms

The decay of the 2^3S_1 to the 1^1S_0 state had long been thought to proceed predominantly by spin-orbit-induced two-photon emission. In this process, first suggested by Breit and Teller,¹ the intermediate P level is an admixture of 3P_1 and 1P_1 as a result of the spin-orbit coupling, and the two-photon decay rate is non-vanishing. The rates associated with this process have been accurately calculated by Drake, Victor, and Dalgarno²² and Bely and Faucher.²⁶ However, there have recently been several reports²⁷ of the observation in the solar corona of single-photon emission at the wavelength of the $2^3S_1-1^1S_0$ transition in heliumlike ions. The assignment of these lines to this transition was suggested by Gabriel and Jordan,²⁸ and the emission was ascribed to a relativistically induced magnetic dipole process of the type

discussed in connection with the decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state of hydrogen. Gabriel and Jordan²⁹ also emphasized the astrophysical importance of the decay rate of the 2^3S_1 state, showing that measured intensity ratios of the lines $2^1P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$, $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$, and $2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ for heliumlike ions could be coupled with this rate to determine the electron density in the solar corona. Subsequently, calculations were made by Schwartz,⁴ Drake,³ and Feinberg and Sucher⁵ of the rate associated with this process. In the approach of Schwartz and Drake, the starting point of the calculation is the two-electron Dirac-Breit Hamiltonian, while Feinberg and Sucher start directly from the external field Hamiltonian of quantum electrodynamics. Both approaches yield identical results for the effective M1 transition operator, which, in the high-Z limit, yields for the decay rate:

$$A_{M1}(2^3S_1) = 1.66 \times 10^{-6} Z^{10} \text{ sec}^{-1} . \quad (11)$$

D. Decay of the 2^3P_2 State of Heliumlike Atoms

Magnetic quadrupole (M2) radiation is generally of no consequence in atomic physics since M2 rates are of order $(Z\alpha)^4$ slower than E1 rates. However, Mizushima³⁰ in 1964 first called attention to the possibility that M2 radiation might be of astrophysical significance for atomic transitions satisfying the selection rule $\Delta S = \pm 1$. Subsequently Garstang³¹ pointed out in 1969 that the spectrum of heliumlike ions beyond chlorine ($Z = 17$) yields the possibility of observing a magnetic quadrupole transition in the decay $2^3P_2 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$. At first view, this is an extremely surprising conclusion. In ordinary helium, the 2^3P_2 level decays to the 2^3S_1 level (see Fig. 1) by a fully-allowed electric dipole transition, with a rate³² $A_{E1}(2^3P_2) = 10^7 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. However, the Z depen-

dence of this rate can be found by noting that

$$A_{E1}(2^3P_2) \propto \omega^3 |\langle 2^3P_2 | e\vec{r} | 2^3S_1 \rangle|^2, \quad (12)$$

where ω is the Bohr frequency for the transition and $\langle |e\vec{r}| \rangle$ is the matrix element of the electric dipole operator. Now for the $2^3P_2 \rightarrow 2^3S_1$ transition, the Bohr frequency is determined mainly by the electrostatic repulsion of the electrons, $\frac{e^2}{r_{12}}$, and since lengths scale as $1/Z$ for large Z , one concludes that $\omega \propto Z$ and $\langle |e\vec{r}| \rangle \propto \frac{1}{Z}$, so that $A_{E1}(2^3P_2) \propto Z$, for large Z . On the other hand, the M2 rate is

$$A_{M2}(2^3P_2) \propto \omega^5 |\langle 2^3P_2 | e\vec{r} | 1^1S_0 \rangle|^2, \quad (13)$$

where now the Bohr frequency is determined by the interaction with the nucleus $\frac{Ze^2}{r}$ so that $\omega \propto Z^2$ and $A_{M2}(2^3P_2) \propto Z^8$. Hence it is clear that at some value of Z the M2 rate should predominate. Both Garstang³¹ and Drake³³ conclude that, on the basis of numerical calculations, the crossover point occurs around $Z = 17$.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. General Apparatus

These experiments were performed at the Berkeley Heavy Ion Linear Accelerator (Hilac) with the 412-MeV argon beam. At this energy, corresponding to a beam velocity $v = 4.4 \times 10^9$ cm/sec, exponential $(1/e)$ decay lengths ($\lambda = v\tau$) are of the order of cm for nsec lifetimes, i.e., relatively convenient for laboratory measurements. The experiments are conveniently done with a beam current of about 10^{-9} amps, although the Hilac can deliver substantially more than this.

The apparatus is shown in Fig. 3. Basically, the argon beam from the Hilac is passed through a steering magnet which deflects the beam into a long pipe. The beam is passed through a series of collimators and then through a thin foil mounted on a movable track which has a total motion of about 200 cm along the beam. The beam-foil interaction produces ions in the metastable states of interest here and the subsequent decays in flight of atoms in the beam can be observed by a pair of Si (Li) x-ray detectors placed opposite each other and collimated to accept x rays emitted perpendicular to the beam. The beam then impinges on a Faraday cup connected to an integrating electrometer which measures the total charge collected for normalization purposes. By varying the separation between the foil and the detectors, the decay length can be measured.

B. Beam Preparation

For foils beyond a critical thickness, a situation of charge equilibrium exists, and the charge distribution of the emerging beam is roughly independent of such parameters as foil thickness, foil material, and the charge state of the incident beam.³⁴ The velocity and the atomic number of the incident ion seem to be the dominant parameters. It is found empirically that near-charge equilibrium is obtained for foil thicknesses of $\geq 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$. For example, it is found that, with both a $100 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ Be foil and a $600 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ Ni foil, the charge distribution which emerges is roughly Ar^{+18} (25%), Ar^{+17} (50%), and Ar^{+16} (25%), and this is roughly independent of the charge state of the incident ions.

For actual lifetime measurement, it is necessary to use beams

which are as much as possible either pure hydrogenlike or pure heliumlike. To this end a simple technique for improving on the charge equilibrium situation was found. To enrich the Ar^{+17} content, a "thick" ($> 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$) foil capable of producing charge equilibrium was placed at position A in Fig. 3. The steering magnet was then adjusted to pass only fully-stripped (Ar^{+18}) ions into our beam pipe. A "thin" ($10 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$) carbon foil was now mounted on our track. Since this foil was much thinner than necessary to reach charge equilibrium, it was expected that single electron captures (yielding Ar^{+17}) would dominate over double captures (yielding Ar^{+16}). Several independent measurements indicate that $\text{Ar}^{+17}/\text{Ar}^{+16} \approx 20:1$ using this technique.

Similarly, it was found that, by passing the Hilac beam in the +14 charge state directly through the thin carbon foil, a ratio $\text{Ar}^{+16}/\text{Ar}^{+17} > 6:1$ could be obtained. It is expected that these enrichment ratios can be improved appreciably when more data on charge distributions versus energy, foil thickness and material, and incident charge state become available.

C. Detectors

The detectors used in this experiment are high-resolution Si (Li) x-ray detectors of the type developed by the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Nuclear Chemistry Electronics Group. A schematic of the detector assembly is shown in Fig. 3. Two such detectors were placed on opposite sides of the beam facing each other, at distances from 1 cm to 5 cm from the beam. The detector crystal was 5 mm in diameter and 1 mm thick and had a gold layer about 100 Å thick on the front surface. No cover was used over the detector, in order to retain high efficiency at

low energies. A 0.01-in thick Be plate with a 5-mm diameter hole was supported in front of each detector to prevent detection of extraneous x rays (e.g., from the chamber). The overall computed efficiency of these detectors is plotted in Fig. 4.

The energy calibration and resolution of the detectors were obtained using x rays from low-intensity radioactive sources. Microcurie amounts of ^{55}Fe and ^{241}Am provided a variety of lines from 5.9 keV to 22.8 keV. A one-millicurie ^{55}Fe source was used to excite by fluorescence x-rays of P (~ 2 keV), Cl (2.6, 2.8 keV), Ca (3.7, 4.0 keV), and Ti (4.5, 4.9 keV), thus bracketing the argon energies near 3 keV. From the pulse-height spectra of these calibration sources, it was determined that, within the resolution of the system, the energy scale is linear and passes through the origin, i.e., the pulse height is a true measure of photon energy. A sample pulse-height-vs.-energy calibration curve is shown in Fig. 5.

D. Data Handling and Analysis

The pulses from the detectors were amplified and shaped using standard high-rate pulse electronics. If single photons were being examined, they were fed directly to the input of an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) which was read by a PDP-7 computer. If coincident photons were being studied, a coincidence circuit was used. This circuit consisted of a pair of pulse differentiators and fast shapers which operated a time-to-amplitude converter (TAC), and appropriate gates for the analog signals. If two pulses arrived within a fixed time interval, they were passed on to a multiplexer, which presented the data sequentially to the ADC. In addition, pulses representing the time difference

between "coincident" pulses and the analog sum of the two pulses could be generated and were fed to the multiplexer as additional data.

The accumulation of spectra could be observed in real time on an oscilloscope screen, which displayed the number of pulses versus pulse size. The accumulation ran continuously under program control, accepting counts whenever they were presented to the ADC. Spectra could be plotted or stored on magnetic tape for later analysis.

The analysis of the spectra was generally performed off-line. Standard functions such as energy calibration, peak analysis, integration, and coincidence sorting were used.

III. IDENTIFICATION OF THE DECAY MODES

A. Identification of the 2E1 Decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ State of Ar^{+17}

Identification of the two-photon decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ level of Ar^{+17} is based mainly on coincidence techniques. A spectrum of the observed decay with the Ar^{+17} -enriched beam and a foil located a few cm from the target is shown in Fig. 6. The continuous spectrum is clearly in evidence and is in rough agreement with a broad, flat-topped spectrum. Unfortunately, detector efficiency problems and electronic noise prevent measurement of the spectrum below about 500 eV, and single-photon decays distort the spectrum near the end point.

Coincidence detection of the decay photons was done with standard circuitry having a resolving time of about 1 μ sec. In the coincidence mode, the detection of two photons in separate detectors within a time interval $|T_1 - T_2| \leq 5 \mu$ sec was defined as a "coincidence." For each such event, the computer stores the photon energies E_1 and E_2 reg-

istered in the two detectors, the sum energy $E_1 + E_2$ obtained by mixing the amplifier outputs for E_1 and E_2 , and the time difference between the pulses $T_1 - T_2$, generated by the TAC. With a typical beam current of 1 nA, coincidence rates were 0.1 to 1 sec^{-1} .

Figure 7(a) is a plot of the number of events versus the time delay $T_1 - T_2$ between the two photons. The zero in this plot was generated by introducing a fixed delay in one detector; it was calibrated using a pulse generator to simulate a true coincidence. The peak in the time spectrum of Fig. 7(a) is strong evidence that real coincident events were observed.

The energy spectra observed in coincidence mode are shown in Figs 7(b) and 7(c). These spectra represent only the true coincidences appearing under the peak of the time spectrum in Fig. 7(a). The contribution to that peak by accidental coincidences was removed by subtracting an equivalent number of events occurring away from the peak (presumably all accidentals), suitably normalized. The main difference between the singles spectra in Figs. 6 and 7(b) is that the coincidence spectra are roughly the square of the singles spectra, thereby symmetrically discriminating against the ends of the continuum, peaking it more strongly at the center. The spectrum associated with the sum energy $E_1 + E_2$ observed as true coincidences is shown in Fig. 7(c). Except for residual noise due to accidentals which has been removed, the spectrum is a single strong peak with a width roughly equal to the system resolution, indicating that this peak represents a single line. That this line falls at 3.3 keV is also strong evidence of the two-photon mode in Ar^{+17} and not Ar^{+16} , since the latter would give a peak at 3.1 keV.

B. Identification of the 2E1 Decay of the 2^1S_0 State of Ar^{+16}

The singles spectrum obtained with the enriched Ar^{+16} is shown in Fig. 8. The continuous spectrum characteristic of two-photon emission is again in evidence. A coincidence spectrum taken with this beam is shown in Fig. 9.

Unfortunately, this data is not of sufficient quality to distinguish this spectrum from that associated with two-photon decay of Ar^{+17} , although the intensity and the fact that it is obtained with the Ar^{+16} -enriched beam is suggestive. However, a decay curve taken with this spectrum (Fig. 14) shows that it decays with a lifetime that is substantially faster than the lifetime associated with the Ar^{+17} beam, and is also in good agreement with the theoretical lifetime for the decay of the 2^1S_0 state of Ar^{+17} (see Section IV.B).

C. Identification of the M1 Decay of the 2^3S_1 State of Ar^{+16}

In order to identify the M1 decay mode of the 2^3S_1 level of Ar^{+16} , advantage can be taken of the extremely long decay length (~ 8 meters) associated with this mode. Hence a foil placed several meters upstream of the detectors will be sufficiently far away that all levels except 2^3S_1 will have decayed to the ground level by the time the ions are in the field of view of the detectors. A spectrum taken with the foil 6 meters from the detectors is shown in Fig. 10. The single peak at 3.1 keV is somewhat Doppler-broadened, but is at the correct energy³⁵ for the $2^3S_1-1^1S_0$ decay. To establish that the observed x ray arises from a decay in flight of a beam ion, an adjustable slit was mounted in front of the detector. The slit position determines whether the detector views upstream, downstream, or straight ahead, and there-

fore permits observation of the Doppler shift. The spectra obtained with the slit in the three viewing positions are shown in Fig. 11. The Doppler shift is clearly visible and is roughly consistent with the expected shift.

To corroborate that the decay arises from an ion in the beam, spectra were obtained with beams of sulphur ($Z = 16$) and silicon ($Z = 14$) and are shown in Fig. 12. Because of the longer lifetimes involved, the two-photon continua are starting to appear. A comparison of measured energies with theoretical energies is shown in Table I. On the basis of this agreement and the long lifetimes involved, we conclude that the observed decay is indeed the single-photon $2^3S_1-1^1S_0$ transition.

D. Identification of the M2 Decay of the 2^3P_2 State

As indicated in Fig. 1, the energy associated with the transition $2^3P_2-1^1S_0$ differs from the $2^3S_1-1^1S_0$ transition energy by only 22 eV. The emission lines are not resolved by our detectors which have a width of about 200 eV. That the observed line at 3.12 keV (Fig. 6) actually consists of two components is established by the decay curve of Fig. 16. The slow decay is associated with the decay of the 2^3S_1 state, whereas the lifetime associated with the fast decay is in good agreement with the theoretical lifetime of the 2^3P_2 state (see Section IV.D).

IV. LIFETIME DATA

The lifetimes are measured by plotting the intensity of the emission spectra (2E1, M1, M2) as a function of foil-detector separation. As a measure of this intensity, we used the total counts registered in an appropriate energy interval. The total number of counts is normal-

ized to the total integrated beam current measured with the Faraday cup. Since the decay of the state is exponential in time, the count rate is exponential in distance, so a least-squares fit to the function

$$N(x) = N(a)e^{-x/v\tau} \quad (14)$$

can be made, thus determining τ .

A. Decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ State of Ar^{+17}

The beam used for this measurement is the enriched Ar^{+17} prepared by the two-foil technique described in the beam preparation section. The singles spectrum obtained with this beam is shown in Fig. 6. There are two facts which lead us to believe that the spectrum is due almost entirely to real counts associated with two-photon decay from the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state. First we note from Fig. 7 that this spectrum is in reasonable agreement with the singles spectrum obtained only from coincident events. There is, however, a rise on the high-energy end which is due to decays from the M1 transition of the heliumlike ions. Second, the coincident sum energy $E_1 + E_2$ falls at 3.3 keV, the energy for Ar^{+17} .

Furthermore, the background rate at energies greater than 3.3 keV is only about 1% of the mean rate at energies less than 3.3 keV. Hence it was concluded that it was not necessary to use coincident events for the lifetime measurement. Since the singles rate is about 1000 times more rapid than the rate for coincident events, an accurate value can be obtained correspondingly faster.

The normalized count rate was obtained by integrating the continuous spectrum in Fig. 6 between various limits. Normally the low-energy cutoff was chosen to avoid counts due to electronic noise, while

the high-frequency cutoff was chosen to avoid counts from M1 and M2 decays of any metastable Ar^{+16} atoms present in the beam.

Counts were obtained simultaneously in both detectors and the normalized rates averaged. Typical data from one 16-hr run are plotted in Fig. 13. It is seen that at large distances the count rate flattens out to a relatively constant background; the origin of this background is not known, but a plausible hypothesis is that it arises from magnetic dipole x rays which are incompletely converted in the x-ray detector. In any case they are a small fraction of the decay rate at small distances, so that the method of treating them does not seem to us to be crucial. In fact, the background is treated as a constant and subtracted from all points on the curve to yield the line shown in Fig. 13. The resultant line appears to be a single exponential over about 7 mean $(1/e)$ decay lengths, thus lending support to this subtraction procedure. The decay rate $A(2^2S_{1/2})$ is obtained by dividing the known beam velocity by the mean decay length determined from the plot. The mean lifetime of the state is then $\tau = 1/A$.

The results of several independent such determinations are listed in Table II. To each value, a weighting factor w_i is assigned that indicates the relative quality of the data. The weighted average of the lifetimes $\tau = \frac{\sum_i w_i \tau_i}{\sum_i w_i}$ and the weighted RMS deviation $\sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_i w_i (\tau - \tau_i)^2}{\sum_i w_i}$ is taken as the measured lifetime and its error, respectively. The final result is:

$$\tau(2^2S_{1/2}) = 3.54 \pm 0.25 \text{ nsec} ,$$

where the error is based mainly upon our assessment of the size of possible systematic uncertainties. This value includes a 1% correction for

the relativistic time dilation. The correction for the degrading of the beam energy passing through the carbon foil is negligible.

The possibility of quenching arising from collision of beam ions with the background gas was studied by increasing the background gas pressure by a factor of 10. No observable effect on the decay rate was measured to within 5%.

B. Decay of the 2^1S_0 State of Ar^{+16}

The beam used for this work was the Ar^{+16} -enriched beam described in the Beam Preparation section. The measurement of the decay rate proceeded in an essentially identical manner to that of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ measurement. The interval over which integration was used was somewhat less than in the case of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ decay, due to the strong presence of the 3-keV peak. The normalized count rate is plotted against foil-detector separation in Fig. 14. Here again a constant background manifests itself at large separations and the actual decay curve is obtained by subtracting this background from all the points. Table III lists the results of independent determinations of $\tau(2^1S_0)$. As before, weights were assigned according to the quality of the data, and the weighted average is quoted as the measured result.

The biggest uncertainty associated with this rate arises from contamination of the continuous spectrum by decays associated with the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state of Ar^{+17} . Since this spectrum overlaps the region of integration, the presence of such decays will give rise to a bias which would tend to destroy the single exponential character of the decay and to make the "effective" decay length of the observed decay somewhat

longer than the true value.

A model for estimating the fraction of $2^2S_{1/2}$ decays present can be constructed in the following way. Measurements of the charge distribution show that $\frac{N(+17)}{N(+16)} \approx \frac{4}{27}$. Moreover, on theoretical grounds one expects $A(2^2S_{1/2}) \approx \frac{2}{3}A(2^1S_0)$. The problem of estimating the probability per ion of forming the 2^1S_0 and $2^2S_{1/2}$ metastable states, $P(2^1S_0)$ and $P(2^2S_{1/2})$ respectively, now remains. A reasonable assumption is that the passive 1s electron acts mainly to shield the nucleus and the levels of the same principal quantum number are identically excited in the Ar^{+16} and Ar^{+17} systems. However, in the case of Ar^{+16} the electron occupies the triplet state 75% of the time, so we obtain $P(2^1S_0) = \frac{1}{4}P(2^2S_{1/2})$. With this assumption we conclude that approximately 30% of the decays at the foil belong to Ar^{+17} .

We have used this model to correct the raw data, and a typical decay curve and the subtraction procedure used to obtain the decay rate are shown in Fig. 15. The result obtained in this way is $\tau(2^1S_0) = 2.33 \pm 0.1$ sec. The uncertainty in this correction is clearly the largest source of error in our result. However, the measured lifetime seems not to be extremely sensitive to this correction. If a lifetime value is obtained from the uncorrected data, the result is about 15% larger than the value with the correction. Based on our analysis, we quote for the final result:

$$\tau(2^1S_0) = 2.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ nsec,}$$

where the uncertainty is again based on our estimate of the size of possible systematic errors.

C. Decay of the 2^3S_1 State of Ar^{+16}

The mean length associated with the M1 decay of the 2^3S_1 state of Ar^{+16} is about 8 m and is substantially longer than the length associated with the decay of any other level. Hence, by looking at distances far from the foil, interference from other decay modes can be eliminated. Because of space limitations, the foil was moved only through a total distance of about 1 m, or only about 1/8 of a mean length, so that the total observable decay is small. The data were accumulated in short time intervals (~ 3 min), moving the foil cyclically through five or six positions in order to average out slow variations in the beam and/or apparatus. A sample decay curve taken with the foil at large distances from the detector is shown in Fig. 16. In Table IV the results of independent measurements of $\tau(2^3S_1)$ are summarized. The weighted average and RMS deviation were computed as before. The final result including a 1% correction for relativistic time dilation is

$$\tau(2^3S_1) = 172 \pm 30 \text{ nsec.}$$

The uncertainty again reflects our estimate of the possible sources of systematic error.

D. Decay of the 2^3P_2 State of Ar^{+16}

A plot of the decay of the 3.1 keV line is shown in Fig. 17. As mentioned in Section II.D, the energy of the $2^3P_2-1^1S_0$ decay cannot be resolved from the $2^3S_1-1^1S_0$, and the observed compound decay is consistent with this fact. If it is assumed that no other decays are present, the separation of this curve into two exponential components should be reliable. The lines in Fig. 17 represent this separation, and

the slopes yield $\tau(2^3P_2)$ and $\tau(2^3S_1)$.

In Table V the values of $\tau(2^3P_2)$ obtained from independent decay curves are listed. The weighted average result is

$$\tau(2^3P_2) = 1.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ nsec}$$

where the error is based on our estimate of the size of possible systematic uncertainties.

V. COMPARISON WITH THEORY

In Table VI a comparison of the measured and theoretical lifetimes is given. Agreement of the measured two-photon rates with the theoretically expected rates is good for both the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state and the 2^1S_0 state. However, it should be emphasized that the theoretical values are in both cases based on non-relativistic calculations. One can argue on rather general grounds that the relativistic corrections to the non-relativistic rate should be of order $(Z\alpha)^2$, which for argon ($Z = 18$) is about 2%. However, this is of the same order as our uncertainty, and hence a relativistic calculation is needed to assure that the agreement is real. Experimentally, we are currently attempting refined measurements which will yield the transition rate to an accuracy of 1% in elements of somewhat higher Z .

If the theoretical and experimental rates are assumed to be in agreement, it then becomes possible to use our result to place an upper limit on a possible parity-violating component of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ wavefunction of Ar^{+17} . The existence of such a parity-violating component has been considered by several authors^{12,13} and is discussed earlier in this paper (Section I.A). Write $\psi(2^2S_{1/2}) = \Phi(2^2S_{1/2}) + \epsilon\Phi(2^2P_{1/2})$, where $\psi(2^2S_{1/2})$

is the actual wavefunction and the Φ 's are the solution to the Schrödinger equation for the $2^2S_{1/2}$ and $2^2P_{1/2}$ states respectively with ϵ a small numerical parameter we obtain from our experimental uncertainty:

$$|\epsilon| \leq 6 \times 10^{-4} .$$

Our experiment cannot determine a possible phase factor associated with ϵ .

From our value of ϵ a new upper limit on the magnitude of an electronic pseudocharge (anapole) can be established. In terms of the notation of Sakitt and Feinberg, we obtain:

$$\lambda \leq 7 \times 10^{-5} .$$

This is smaller by more than a factor of 4 than the previous upper limit based on the $2S_{1/2}$ lifetime of He^+ .¹⁷ This limit on λ is based on the assumption that the Lamb shift (Δ_L) in the $n = 2$ state is given by $\Delta_L = 10^3 Z^4$ MHz. The actual value is as yet uncalculated and may differ substantially from this result.

Our result for the lifetime of the 2^3S_1 level differs somewhat from the theoretical rate. Several possible sources of systematic error were studied. Quenching due to collisions with background gas was studied by varying the pressure over a factor of three with no measurable effect. In addition, the independence of the lifetime with respect to foil material and thickness was also established. Perhaps the weakest aspect of this measurement is that it is made over a small fraction of a decay length, and hence the exponential character of the observed decay is not completely established. However, it is important to point out that, if the observed decay is actually a compound decay resulting from "feeding" via decay from higher levels, then the effec-

tive lifetime, i.e., the one which is measured, must be longer than the true lifetime. Further experiments are planned on higher-Z ions in order to observe M1 decay over several decay lengths.

The decay of the 2^3P_2 level of Ar^{+16} proceeds by two predominant mechanisms, E1 decay to the 2^3S_1 level and M2 decay to the ground 1^1S_0 level. Recently, Drake³⁶ has computed the E1 rate with the result $A_{E1}(2^3P_2) = 3.55 \times 10^8 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. If we write

$$\frac{1}{\tau(2^3P_2)} = A_{E1} + A_{M2} \quad (15)$$

and use the value^{31,33} $A_{M2}(2^3P_2) = 3.14 \times 10^8 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, the theoretically predicted lifetime is $\tau = 1.49 \times 10^{-9} \text{ sec}$, which is within our experimental error.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have contributed to the success of these experiments. We thank A. Ghiorso for providing time on the Hilac and for his encouragement of this experiment. The Nuclear Chemistry Electronics Group of Fred Goulding provided the detectors used in this experiment and provided maintenance and servicing. We thank particularly E. Lampo, D. Malone, R. Pehl, J. Walton, and J. Jaklevic for their unstinting aid. R. Diamond and F. Stephens provided the computer. D. MacDonald and W. Harnden gave engineering assistance and W. Davis helped with the data taking.

APPENDIX

Lifetime of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ State of Hydrogenlike Sulphur

We have also measured the lifetime of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state of hydrogenlike sulphur ($Z = 16$) using the same techniques described in Sec. II and III A. The singles spectrum observed with the sulphur beam is shown in Fig. 18. This spectrum exhibits the same features seen in the argon spectra: the M1/M2 peak at the 2S-1S energy separation (~ 2.45 keV), the detector Si K absorption edge at 1.84 keV, and the broad continuum between $E = 0$ and $E \approx 2.4$ keV identified as the two-photon spectrum. This spectrum was observed on several occasions, but only one decay curve (Fig. 19) was obtained. The lifetime obtained from this decay curve is $\tau(2^2S_{1/2}) = 6.9 \pm 0.5$ nsec. The largest uncertainty in this result is the contribution of heliumlike ions to the 2E1 spectrum. We can use the same type of analysis as Sec. IV B to estimate the $2^1S_0 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ S^{+14} contribution. Measurements of the charge distribution gave $\frac{N(+14)}{N(+15)} \approx \frac{1}{4}$. Assuming as before that $A_{2E1}(2^1S_0) \approx \frac{3}{2} A_{2E1}(2^2S_{1/2})$ and $P(2^1S_0) = \frac{1}{4} P(2^2S_{1/2})$, we find that, at the foil, 3/32 of the decays are due to S^{+14} , the rest S^{+15} . Thus, we can correct the decay curve in the manner of Fig. 15. The final result is

$$\tau(2^2S_{1/2}) = 7.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ nsec.}$$

This result can be compared with the nonrelativistic asymptotic prediction [Eq. (6)], $\tau = 7.23$ nsec. The good agreement between theory and experiment tends to confirm our identification of the two-photon decay mode, and the predicted Z dependence of the lifetime. To the extent that, in two independent cases ($\text{Ar}^{+16} 2^1S_0 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$;

$S^{+15} (2^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 1^2S_{1/2})$, the corrections for contaminations from other decays tended to improve the agreement between theory and experiment, our "reasonable" model of the excited state populations, $P(2^1S_0) = \frac{1}{4} P(2^2S_{1/2})$, is confirmed. In other words, the idea that, so far as one-electron transitions are concerned, a heavy heliumlike ion of atomic number Z acts like a hydrogenlike atom of atomic number $Z - \sigma$ ($\sigma \approx 1$), appears to be supported by our data.

References

- † Work supported in part by United States Atomic Energy Commission.
- ¹ G. Breit and E. Teller, *Astrophys. J.* 91, 215 (1940).
- ² R. Marrus and R. W. Schmieder, *Phys. Letters* 32A, 431 (1970);
R. W. Schmieder and R. Marrus, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 25, 1245 (1970);
R. Marrus and R. W. Schmieder, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 25, 1689 (1970);
R. W. Schmieder and R. Marrus, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 25, 1692 (1970).
- ³ G. W. F. Drake, *Phys. Rev. A* 3, 908 (1971).
- ⁴ C. Schwartz, private communication.
- ⁵ G. Feinberg and J. Sucher, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 26, 681 (1971).
- ⁶ M. Goeppert-Mayer, *Ann. Physik* 9, 273 (1931).
- ⁷ L. Spitzer and J. L. Greenstein, *Astrophys. J.* 114, 407 (1951).
- ⁸ J. Shapiro and G. Breit, *Phys. Rev.* 113, 179 (1959).
- ⁹ B. A. Zon and L. P. Rapoport, *JETP Lett.* 7, 52 (1968);
S. Klarsfeld, *Phys. Lett.* 30A, 382 (1969).
- ¹⁰ F. Boehm, *Phys. Lett.* 33a, 417 (1970) and references therein.
- ¹¹ Evaluated by H. Bethe and E. E. Salpeter, in *The Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms*, in Handbuch der Physik XXXV (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957), p.352.
- ¹² E. E. Salpeter, *Phys. Rev.* 112, 1642 (1958).
- ¹³ G. Feinberg, *Phys. Rev.* 112, 1637 (1958).
- ¹⁴ M. C. Weiskopff et al., *Phys. Rev. Letters* 21, 1645 (1968).
- ¹⁵ Ya. B. Zel'dovich and A. M. Perelomov, *Sov. Phys.-JETP* 39, 1115 (1960).
- ¹⁶ R. A. Carhart, *Phys. Rev.* 132, 2337 (1963); J. Bernstein, M. Ruder-
man, and G. Feinberg, *Phys. Rev.* 132, 1227 (1963).
- ¹⁷ B. Sakitt and G. Feinberg, *Phys. Rev.* 151, 1341 (1966).
- ¹⁸ M. Lipeles, R. Novick, and N. Tolk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 15, 690 (1965).

- ¹⁹ C. J. Artura, N. Tolk, and R. Novick, *Astrophys. J.* 157, L181 (1969).
- ²⁰ A. Dalgarno, *Proc. Phys. Soc. (London)* 87, 371 (1966).
- ²¹ G. A. Victor and A. Dalgarno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 25, 1105 (1967);
references are given herein to earlier work.
- ²² G. W. F. Drake, G. A. Victor, and A. Dalgarno, *Phys. Rev.* 180,
25 (1969).
- ²³ A. S. Pearl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 24, 703 (1970).
- ²⁴ R. S. Van Dyck, Jr., C. E. Johnson, and H. A. Shugart, *Phys. Rev.
Lett.* 25, 1403 (1970).
- ²⁵ R. C. Elton, L. J. Palumbo, and H. R. Griem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 20,
783 (1968).
- ²⁶ O. Bely and P. Faucher, *Astron. Astrophys.* 1, 37 (1969).
- ²⁷ W. M. Neupert, M. Swartz, *Astrophys. J.* 160, L189 (1970);
G. A. Doschek, J. F. Meekins, R. W. Kreplin, T. A. Chubb, and
H. Friedman, *Astrophys. J.* 164, 165 (1971); A. B. C. Walker, Jr.
and H. R. Rugge, *Astro. and Astrophys.* 5, 4 (1970) and references
therein.
- ²⁸ A. H. Gabriel and C. Jordan, *Nature* 221, 947 (1969).
- ²⁹ A. H. Gabriel and C. Jordan, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 145,
241 (1969).
- ³⁰ M. Mizushima, *Phys. Rev.* 134, A883 (1964).
- ³¹ R. H. Garstang, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* 81, 488 (1969).
- ³² D. A. Landman, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* 12, 94 (1967).
- ³³ G. W. F. Drake, *Astrophys. J.* 158, 1199 (1969).
- ³⁴ For a review of all aspects of the beam-foil method, see Beam Foil
Spectroscopy, ed. S. Bashkin (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968),

Vols. I and II. See also H. H. Heckman, E. L. Hubbard, and W. G. Simon, Phys. Rev. 129, 1240 (1963).

³⁵ H. T. Doyle, Advances in Atomic and Molecular Physics, (1969), p. 337.

³⁶ G. W. F. Drake, private communication, 1970.

Table I. Observed and predicted energies ($2^3S_1-1^1S_0$), in keV.

	Si XIII	S XV	Ar XVII
Observed	1.85 (0.10)	2.46 (0.10)	3.13 (0.10)
Predicted ^a	1.84	2.42	3.09

^a Reference 35.

Table II. Summary of measurements of $\tau(2^2S_{1/2})$.

τ (nsec) ^a	σ (nsec) ^b	Weight ^c
3.46	0.15	0.4
3.63	0.10	0.5
3.60	0.10	0.5
3.62	0.10	1.0

^a Uncorrected raw data.

^b Represents 67% confidence.

^c Assigned according to general quality and reliability of data.

Table III. Summary of measurements of $\tau(2^1S_0)$.

τ (nsec) ^a	τ_{corr} (nsec) ^b	σ (nsec) ^c	Weight ^d
2.69	2.48	0.15	0.4
2.53	2.26	0.3	0.1
2.62	2.34	0.2	1.0
2.69	2.34	0.2	1.0
2.63	2.26	0.2	1.0

^a Uncorrected raw data.

^b Corrected for admixture of $2^2S_{1/2}$ 2E1 decay.

^c Represents 67% confidence.

^d Assigned according to general quality and reliability of data.

Table IV. Summary of measurements of $\tau(2^3S_1)$.

τ (nsec) ^a	σ (nsec) ^b	Weight ^c
162	30	0.1
128	30	0.1
199	40	0.5
175	20	1.0
170 ^d	30 ^d	0.5 ^d
172 ^d	15 ^d	1.0 ^d
159	15	0.4
190	15	0.5
154	15	0.3
172	12	1.0
172	15	0.2
169	12	0.7
200 ^e	50 ^e	0.1 ^e

^a Uncorrected raw data.

^b Represents 67% confidence.

^c Assigned according to general quality and reliability of data.

^d Pressure 2.5 times normal.

^e Two foils separated by 16 ft. Nearer foil about 20 ft from detectors.

Table V. Summary of measurements of $\tau(2^3P_2)$.

τ (nsec) ^a	σ (nsec) ^b	Weight ^c
1.7	0.15	1.0
1.8	0.2	0.5

a. Uncorrected raw data.

b. Represents 67% confidence.

c. Assigned according to general quality and reliability of data.

Table VI. Measured and theoretical lifetimes

Ion	State	τ_{expt} (nsec)	τ_{theory} (nsec)
Ar^{+17}	$2^2S_{1/2}$	3.54 ± 0.25^a	3.46^b
Ar^{+16}	2^1S_0	2.3 ± 0.3^c	2.35^d
	2^3S_1	172 ± 30^a	210^e
	2^3P_2	1.7 ± 0.3^f	1.49^g
S^{+15}	$2^2S_{1/2}$	7.3 ± 0.7^h	7.11^b

^aIncludes 1% correction for relativistic time dilation.

^bIncludes nonrelativistic two-photon decay (Eq. (6)) and relativistic magnetic dipole decay (Eq. (4)).

^cIncludes correction for presence of Ar^{+17} ions (see Sec. IV. B); assumes $\tau(2^2S_{1/2})$ known.

^dNonrelativistic asymptotic (to large Z) value including partial screening by 1s electron (Eq. (10)). Assumes screening constant $\sigma = 0.797$, which is a variational result of Drake³⁶ for Z = 10.

^eAverage of two results: Drake³ (212.7) and Schwartz⁴ (208). Calculations include leading relativistic and finite wavelength corrections. Asymptotic formula (Eq. (11)) yields 168.7.

^fAssumes $2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ M1 decay rate known, so compound decay curve could be analyzed into two simple decays (Sec. IV. D).

^gAssumes calculated value for the $2^3P_2 \rightarrow 2^3S_1$ E1 rate (Sec. V.).

^hIncludes correction for presence of S^{+14} (see Appendix) assumes $\tau(2^1S_0)$ to be value calculated from Eq. (10).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. Energy levels and decay schemes of hydrogenlike Ar^{+17} and heliumlike Ar^{+16} .
- Fig. 2. Predicted nonrelativistic frequency distribution of photons from two-photon decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state of Ar^{+17} and the 2^1S_0 state of Ar^{+16} .
- Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus.
- Fig. 4. Computed absolute efficiency of the detectors used in these measurements. The sensitive volume of the detector was assumed to be overlaid with an insensitive Si layer 4000 Å thick.
- Fig. 5. Typical pulse-height calibration spectrum used to determine the absolute energy scale of the detectors. The energy of each peak is accurately known and allows converting pulse size to energy. The linearity of the scale is clearly in evidence.
- Fig. 6. Typical observed single-photon spectrum identified as the two-photon decay $2^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 1^2S_{1/2}$ of Ar^{+17} . These raw data have not been corrected for, e.g., the efficiency plotted in Fig. 4.
- Fig. 7. Typical observed spectra of Ar^{+17} using coincidence mode.
- (a) Number of events vs. time delay between photons;
 - (b) Number of photons contributing to a coincident event vs. energy;
 - (c) Number of events vs. sum energy of two photons.
- Fig. 8. Typical observed single-photon spectra of Ar^{+16} , for several foil-detector distances.

Figure Captions (cont.)

Fig. 9. Typical observed spectra of Ar^{+16} using coincidence mode.

(a) Number of events vs. time delay between photons; (b) Number of photons contributing to a coincident event vs. energy;

(c) Number of events vs. sum energy of two photons.

Fig. 10. Typical observed spectrum identified as the relativistically induced magnetic dipole transition $2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ of Ar^{+16} .

Fig. 11. Doppler shift of the M1 line of Fig. 10. (a) Detector viewing downstream; (b) Detector viewing perpendicular to beam; (c) Detector viewing upstream.

Fig. 12. Z dependence of the M1 line of Fig. 10. (a) Argon, $Z = 18$; (b) Sulphur, $Z = 16$; (c) Silicon, $Z = 14$.

Fig. 13. Typical decay curve of the 2E1 spectrum of Ar^{+17} shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 14. Typical decay curve of the 2E1 spectrum of Ar^{+16} shown in Fig. 8.

Fig. 15. Typical decay curve of the 2E1 spectrum of Ar^{+16} , showing correction of the data for the Ar^{+17} 2E1 decay. The solid circles are the experimental data, the light line is the computed contribution of Ar^{+17} , the open circles are the difference (representing a pure Ar^{+16} decay), and the heavy line is a least-squares fit to the open circles.


Fig. 16. Typical decay curve of the M1 line of Ar^{+16} shown in Fig. 10.

Fig. 17. Typical decay curve of the 3.1 keV line of Ar^{+16} shown in Fig. 8.

Figure Captions (cont.)


Fig. 18. Observed single-photon spectrum identified as the 2E1 decay of the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state of S^{+15} .

Fig. 19. Observed decay curve of the 2E1 spectrum of S^{+15} shown in Fig. 18.




XBL717-3832

Fig. 1




XBL694-2468

Fig. 2




XBL 708-1790

Fig. 3




XBL717-3834

Fig. 4




XBL717-3836

Fig. 5




XBL 709-6648

Fig. 6




XBL 709-6650

Fig. 7




XBL 709-6513

Fig. 8




XBL717-3835

Fig. 9




XBL717-3830

Fig. 10




XBL717-3827

Fig. 11



XBL717-3826


Fig. 12




XBL 709-6649

Fig. 13

Fig. 14




XBL 711-65




XBL717-3833

Fig. 15



XBL 717 - 3828

Fig. 16



XBL 709-6514

Fig. 17




Fig. 18




Fig. 19

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720