ses,

(A)
$$\begin{cases} H + e \rightleftharpoons H^{-} + h\nu, \qquad (1) \\ H^{-} + H \rightarrow H^{-} \rightarrow H^{-} + e \end{cases}$$

Here, we consider the following proces-

$$(H^- + H \rightarrow H_2^- \rightarrow H_2 + e, \qquad (2)$$

and

$$H_2 + h\nu \rightarrow H_2^* \rightarrow H + H.$$
 (5)

In the processes (A) and (B), electron and proton work as a kind of catalyzer. Reaction rate α in cm⁻³ sec and photodissociation rate β in sec⁻¹ are taken as $\alpha(H, e)$ =6.1×10⁻¹⁹ T_m ,⁶⁾ $\alpha(H, H^+)=5.0\times10^{-24}$ $T_m^{2,4)} \alpha(H^-, H) = \alpha(H_2^+, H) = 1.3\times10^{-9,5)}$ and $\beta(H^-) = 1.5\times10^{-2}T_r^{2.4} \exp(-8750/T_r)$,⁴⁾ where T_m and T_r are matter and radiation temperatures in °K respectively. The remaining $\beta(H_2^+)$ and $\beta(H_2)$ are not so simply given, because the populations among the vibrational levels depend on their formation processes.

If all of H_2^+ were in the vibrationally ground states, the process (B) might be more frequent than the (A) and the product of H_2 amounts to $H_2/H\simeq 10^{-4}$ at T_r $\simeq 3000^{\circ}$ K. However, such an assumption is wrong and we must assume a broad distribution among the vibrational levels like Frank-Condon distribution,⁸⁾ in the case of which the process (A) is always more frequent than the (B). The ambiguity of $\beta(H_2)$ does not affect the evolution of H_2 abundance in the stage such as $T_r < 2000^{\circ}$ K, as shown in the figure.

Evolution of the abundances is shown in the figure. Final abundances of H⁺⁹⁾ and H₂ are also given in the table. After the critical epock when the photo-dissociation of H⁻ becomes inefficient, the sufficient quantity of H₂ can be formed in the contracting dense cloud.¹⁰⁾ Therefore, the first generation of the bound system is postponed from the stage of plasma recombination ($t \simeq 10^{5.1}$ years, $T_r \simeq 4000^{\circ}$ K

Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 41 (1969), No. 3

Formation of H₂ and Galaxies in the Hot Universe

Hidenori TAKEDA, Humitaka SATŌ and Takuya MATSUDA

> Department of Physics Kyoto University, Kyoto

> > November 16, 1968

In the hot universe model, the recombination of the plasma causes the decoupling of matter from the primeval radiation and the uniform gas starts to fragment into gravitationally contracting clouds.¹⁾ If the cloud contracts adiabatically, hydrogen atoms in it are soon collisionally ionized again and the cloud is finally dispersed by radiation pressure.²⁾ Therefore, some kind of cryogen is necessary to form a bound system. Since the primeval gas does not contain the heavy elements like carbon,³⁾ the H₂ molecule is the sole possibility of a primeval cryogen. In this way, the formation of H₂ is closely connected to the generation of astronomical objects like galaxies.

In this letter, we show the evolution of H_2 abundance in the uniform medium in contrast with the works by Saslaw et al.⁴⁾ and Peebles et al.⁵⁾ in which they calculated the products of H_2 in dense clouds. Our aim is to find the critical epock before which the formation of H_2 has been prevented even in the dense cloud by the photo-dissociation.



- Fig. Evolution of the abundances of H⁺, H, H⁻, H₂⁺ and H₂ for the flat universe model $q_0=1/2$. (a) and (b) denote the two extreme cases: (a) $\beta(H_2, v=0)=5.1\times10^7 \exp(-1.44\times10^5/T_r)$ and (b) $\beta(H_2, v=14)=2.1\times10^7 \exp(-9.19\times10^4/T_r)^{.7)}$ Abundance of H₂⁺ is drawn assuming the cross section $\sigma=3\times10^{-19} \mathrm{cm}^2$ and the threshold at $\lambda=10^4$ Å.³⁰
 - Table. Final abundances for the Universe models with the present density ρ_{m0} =1.86×10⁻²⁹(2q₀)g/cm³.

$2q_0$	log(H ⁺ /H)	$\log(H_2/H)$
10	-5.83	-6.72
1	-5.24	-6.57
10-1	-4.64	-6.43
10-2	-3.93	-6.43

and redshift parameter $z \simeq 10^{3.1}$ in the case $q_0 = 1/2$) to the stage of H₂ formation $(t \simeq 10^{6.9} \text{ years}, T_r \simeq 3000^\circ \text{K} \text{ and } z = 10^2)$. These primeval bound systems with mass bigger than 10^6M_{\odot} are not necessarily galaxies themselves but pregalactic supermassive stars.^{2),5)}

- G. Gamow, Phys. Rev. 14 (1948), 505.
 P. J. E. Peebles, Astrophys. J. 142 (1965), 1317.
- A. G. Dorshkevich, Ya. B. Zeldovich and I. D. Novikov, Soviet A_J 11 (1967), 233.
- H. Satō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 38 (1967), 1083.
- 4) W. C. Saslaw and D. Zipoy, Nature 216 (1967), 976.
- 5) P. J. E. Peebles and R. H. Dicke, Astrophys. J. (to be published).
- S. Chandrasekhar, Astrophys. J. 128 (1958), 114.
- T. P. Stecher and D. A. Williams, Astrophys. J. 149 (1967), L29.
- 8) G. H. Dunn, Phys. Rev. 172 (1968), 1.
- P. J. E. Peebles, Astrophys. J. 153 (1968),
 1.
- H. Takeda and H. Satō, Proceedings of Symposium on Cosmology held at Kyoto in February 1968, p. 135 (in Japanese).
- T. Matsuda, H. Satō and H. Takeda, Talk given at the Meeting of Japanese Physical Society, in October 1968.

T. Hirasawa, K. Aizu and M. Taketani, RUP-68-5 (1968) (a preprint of Rikkyo University).