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#### Abstract

We present a formula for the pairwise update of arbitrary-order centered statistical moments. This formula is of particular interest to compute such moments in parallel for large-scale, distributed data sets. As a corollary, we indicate a specialization of this formula for incremental updates, of particular interest to streaming implementations. Finally, we provide pairwise and incremental update formulas for the covariance.
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## 1 Introduction

Centered statistical moments are one of the most widely used tools in descriptive statistics. It is therefore essential for statistical analysis packages that robust and efficient algorithms be devised and implemented. However, robustness and speed of execution, in this context as well as in others, tend to be orthogonal. For instance, it is well known ${ }^{1}$ that algorithms for calculating centered statistical moments that utilize sum of powers for the sake of execution speed (one-pass algorithms) lead to unacceptable numerical instability. Remedies to this problem can be found by using twopass algorithms, e.g.:

## 1. In the first pass, calculate the mean of the data set, and

2. In the second pass, calculate the required powers of the deviations to the previously calculated mean.

This approach is, in general, much more stable than the naïve one-pass approach. However, execution speed is severely impaired as each data point must be accessed twice; for large data sets in particular, one cannot rely on the expectation that all data could be retained in cache memory. Additional refinements of the two-pass approach have been proposed in order to further improve numerical stability. However, the issue of execution speed remains.

In addition, having the capability to analyze large-scale, distributed data sets is one of the stated goals of some of the most recent data analysis packages that are currently being developed [WBS08, $\left.\mathrm{WTP}^{+} 08\right]$. In this context, two-pass algorithms become entirely impractical because costs of distributed memory access massively dominate computation costs, and one must thus devise a onepass algorithm that allows direct updates (i.e., where no updates of the mean are necessary) while being as numerically stable as possible. Moreover, such one-pass (or on-line) algorithms are directly amenable to streaming processing and thus to implementation for Graphics Processing Units (GPU). However, on-line algorithms necessitate recurrence formulas for updating the desired centered moments each time a new data point is added to the system.

In the case of the variance, on-line algorithms have long been known; see for instance [Wel62]. The gist of technique consists in the following recurrence formula for the mean:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{1}+\frac{y-\mu_{1}}{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ is a data set with finite and non-negative cardinality $n-1, \mu_{1}$ is its mean, and $\mu$ is the mean of the data set $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}_{1} \cup\{y\}, y$ being an additional data point. Using (1.1), the following recurrence formula for the $\operatorname{sum} M_{2, \mathscr{S}}=\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}}(x-\mu)^{2}$ can then be used directly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2, \mathscr{S}}=M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+\left(y-\mu_{1}\right)(y-\mu) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]from whence, for instance, the unbiased estimator for the variance of $\mathscr{S}$ is readily obtained as $\sigma_{n-1, \mathscr{S}}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} M_{2, \mathscr{S}}$.

With large-scale, distributed data sets, one would like, ideally, to conduct the calculations in an embarrassingly parallel fashion, except for final aggregation of the results obtained on each part of the distributed data set, with these final updates having a negligible cost. For example, if the data set of interest is partitioned into $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$, one can use a one-pass algorithm to calculate separately, e.g, in different processes, the desired centered moments of $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$. Upon completion of these partial computations, one then needs a global update formula for calculating the desired moments of $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}_{1} \cup \mathscr{S}_{2}$. For instance, in the case of the mean and the variance, [CGL79] derived the following formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{1}+n_{2} \frac{\delta_{2,1}}{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2, \mathscr{S}}=M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+n_{1} n_{2} \frac{\delta_{2,1}^{2}}{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n, n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are the respective cardinalities of $\mathscr{S}, \mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$, and $\delta_{2,1}=\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}$. Evidently, (1.1) and (1.2) are particular cases of, respectively, (1.3) and (1.4), occurring when $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ is reduced to a singleton $\{y\}$.

For third- and fourth-order moments, which are needed to calculate skewness and kurtosis of the data set, formulas have been derived by [Ter08], in the form of pairwise update formulas for $M_{3, \mathscr{S}}=\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}}(x-\mu)^{3}$ and $M_{4, \mathscr{S}}=\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}}(x-\mu)^{4}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3, \mathscr{S}}=M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+n_{1} n_{2}\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) \frac{\delta_{2,1}^{3}}{n^{2}}+3\left(n_{1} M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{2}}-n_{2} M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\right) \frac{\delta_{2,1}}{n} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{4, \mathscr{S}}=M_{4, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+M_{4, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+n_{1} n_{2}\left(n_{1}^{2}-n_{1} n_{2}+n_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\delta_{2,1}^{4}}{n^{3}} & +6\left(n_{1}^{2} M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+n_{2}^{2} M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\right) \frac{\delta_{2,1}^{2}}{n^{2}}  \tag{1.6}\\
& +4\left(n_{1} M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{2}}-n_{2} M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\right) \frac{\delta_{2,1}}{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Evidently, these pairwise update formulas can be readily specialized to the particular case (incremental updates) where one of the two subsets is reduced to a singleton, in a similar fashion to the specialization of (1.4) to (1.2). The incremental update formulas can, in particular, be used to calculate $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ on each subset of the partitioned data set. Although [Ter08] does not provide them, their derivation from (1.5) and (1.6) is trivial and thus not detailed in this report.

The results recalled thus far provide the necessary formulas for efficient, one-pass, robust parallel calculations of statistical moments up to the fourth order, thus addressing the issue that arises when the considered application requires that mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis be calculated. Although this probably covers the needs of the vast majority of traditional applications of descriptive statistics, more recent applications require that higher-order statistics be used: for example, in the context of signal processing, cf. [KHSS05] for a method using up to the sixth-order centered statistical moments, but which in theory can be used with arbitrarily higher-order moments.

To our knowledge, there are currently no published formulas for parallel updates of higher-order moments. Therefore, the goal of this report is to address this issue; more precisely, rather than providing a number of additional formulas for given orders, this report presents a general result that is valid for all orders. Finally, because covariance estimators are also of broad interest (e.g., for correlation analysis), and no parallel update formulas seem to have been proposed thus far, this report also presents formulas for the pairwise update of the covariance.

## 2 Arbitrary-Order Update Formulas

Proposition 2.1. Let $p$ be a natural number greater than 1. Then, using the same notations as in Section 1, the pairwise update formula for $M_{p, \mathscr{S}}=\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}}(x-\mu)^{p}$ is:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{p, \mathscr{S}}=M_{p, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+M_{p, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}\binom{k}{p}\left[\left(-\frac{n_{2}}{n}\right)^{k} M_{p-k, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n}\right)^{k} M_{p-k, \mathscr{S}_{2}}\right] \delta_{2,1}^{k} \\
+\left(\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{p}\left[\frac{1}{n_{2}^{p-1}}-\left(\frac{-1}{n_{1}}\right)^{p-1}\right] . \tag{2.1}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. By definition of $M_{p, \mathscr{S}}$, and because $\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{2}\right\}$ is a partition of $\mathscr{S}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{p, \mathscr{S}} & =\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}}(x-\mu)^{p}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}(x-\mu)^{p}+\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{2}}(x-\mu)^{p}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(x-\frac{n_{1} \mu_{1}+n_{2} \mu_{2}}{n}\right)^{p}+\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{2}}\left(x-\frac{n_{1} \mu_{1}+n_{2} \mu_{2}}{n}\right)^{p}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(x-\mu_{1}-\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{p}+\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{2}}\left(x-\mu_{2}+\frac{n_{1}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{p}  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{p}\binom{k}{p}\left[M_{p-k, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(-\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{k}+M_{p-k, \mathscr{S}_{2}}\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{k}\right], \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

thanks to the commutativity of summations over finite sets, which allows us here to permute $\sum_{k=0}^{p}$ with $\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}$ and $\sum_{x \in \mathscr{S}_{2}}$. Now, a few simplifications are in order. First, the $k=0$ term of the above summation is simply $M_{p, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+M_{p, \mathscr{S}_{2}}$. Second, by definition, both $M_{1, \mathscr{S}_{1}}$ and $M_{1, \mathscr{S}_{2}}$ are zero, thus eliminating the $k=p-1$ term from the summation. Last, $M_{0, \mathscr{S}_{1}}=n_{1}, M_{0, \mathscr{S}_{2}}=n_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{1}\left(-\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{p}+n_{2}\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{p} & =\left(\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{p}\left[\frac{(-1)^{p}}{n_{1}^{p-1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}^{p-1}}\right]  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\left(\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{n} \delta_{2,1}\right)^{p}\left[\frac{1}{n_{2}^{p-1}}-\left(\frac{-1}{n_{1}}\right)^{p-1}\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by substituting (2.8) for the $k=p$ term in (2.6), one finally obtains (2.1).

One can readily verify that the pairwise update formulas for $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ indicated in [Ter08], respectively recalled in (1.5) and (1.6), are particular cases of Proposition 2.1 when $p=3$ and $p=4$, respectively.
Corollary 2.2. In the case where $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ is reduced to a singleton $\{y\}$, and denoting $\delta=y-\mu_{1}$, Proposition 2.1 reduces to the incremental update formula for $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}_{1} \cup\{y\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p, \mathscr{S}}=M_{p, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}\binom{k}{p} M_{p-k, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(\frac{-\delta}{n}\right)^{k}+\left(\frac{(n-1)}{n} \delta\right)^{p}\left[1-\left(\frac{-1}{n-1}\right)^{p-1}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Corollary 2.2 is an immediate specialization of Proposition 2.1, obtained when $n_{1}=n-$ 1 and $n_{2}=1$. In this case, $\delta_{2,1}=\delta$ and each $M_{p, \mathscr{S}_{2}}$ vanishes since $\mu_{2}=y$, and thus (2.1) is immediately simplified into (2.9).

Remark 2.1. By noticing that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y-\mu=\frac{n-1}{n}\left(y-\mu_{1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

one directly retrieves (1.2) from Corollary 2.2 with $p=2$.
As another and more interesting illustration of Corollary 2.2, one can readily evince the incremental update formulas for $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ :
Example 2.1. If $p=3$, then (2.9) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3, \mathscr{S}} & =M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}}-3 M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{\delta}{n}+\left(\frac{(n-1)}{n} \delta\right)^{3}\left[1-\left(\frac{-1}{n-1}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{2.11}\\
& =M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}}-3 M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{\delta}{n}+\frac{(n-1)^{3} \delta^{3}}{n^{3}} \times \frac{n^{2}-2 n}{(n-1)^{2}}  \tag{2.12}\\
& =M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}}-3 M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{\delta}{n}+(n-1)(n-2) \frac{\delta^{3}}{n^{2}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 2.2. If $p=4$, then (2.9) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{4, \mathscr{S}} & =M_{4, \mathscr{S}_{1}}-4 M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{\delta}{n}+6 M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{(n-1)}{n} \delta\right)^{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{-1}{n-1}\right)^{3}\right]  \tag{2.14}\\
& =M_{4, \mathscr{S}_{1}}-4 M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{\delta}{n}+6 M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(n-1)^{4} \delta^{4}}{n^{4}} \times \frac{n^{3}-3 n^{2}+3 n}{(n-1)^{3}}  \tag{2.15}\\
& =M_{4, \mathscr{S}_{1}}-4 M_{3, \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{\delta}{n}+6 M_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2}+(n-1)\left(n^{2}-3 n+3\right) \frac{\delta^{4}}{n^{3}} . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we are providing implementations of these in the open-source Visualization Tool Kit (VTK), more precisely as part of the vtkDescriptiveStatistics class.

## 3 Covariance Update Formulas

In this section, we provide formulas for both incremental and pairwise update of the covariance. These are of interest, in particular, for Pearson correlation analysis, which we wish to conduct on large-scale, distributed data sets.

In this section, $\mathscr{S}$ denotes a set of doubles $x=(u, v)$. Existing notations from Section 1 are retained; in addition, we will use $\mu_{u, 1}, \mu_{v, 1}, \mu_{u, 2}$, and $\mu_{v, 2}$ to denote the means of $u$ and $v$ on $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$, respectively. Also, we define $\delta_{u, 2,1}=\mu_{u, 2}-\mu_{u, 1}$, and $\delta_{v, 2,1}=\mu_{v, 2}-\mu_{v, 1}$.

Proposition 3.1. The pairwise update formula for $C_{2, \mathscr{S}}=\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}}\left(u-\mu_{u}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2, \mathscr{S}}=C_{2, \mathscr{\mathscr { S }}}^{1}+C_{2, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{n} \delta_{u, 2,1} \delta_{v, 2,1} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition of $C_{2, \mathscr{S}}$, and because $\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{2}\right\}$ is a partition of $\mathscr{S}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{2, \mathscr{S}} & =\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}}\left(u-\mu_{u}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(u-\mu_{u}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v}\right)+\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{2}}\left(u-\mu_{u}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

As in (2.4), we expand the means over $\mathscr{S}$ into expressions that relate them to the means on $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(u-\mu_{u}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v}\right) & =\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(u-\frac{n_{1} \mu_{u, 1}+n_{2} \mu_{u, 2}}{n}\right)\left(v-\frac{n_{1} \mu_{v, 1}+n_{2} \mu_{v, 2}}{n}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(u-\mu_{u, 1}-\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{u, 2,1}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v, 1}-\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{v, 2,1}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{r}
\left(u-\mu_{u, 1}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v, 1}\right)-\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{u, 2,1}\left(u-\mu_{u, 1}\right) \\
\left.-\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{v, 2,1}\left(v-\mu_{v, 1}\right)+\frac{n_{2}^{2}}{n^{2}} \delta_{u, 2,1} \delta_{v, 2,1}\right]
\end{array}\right] . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Again using the commutatitivty of summations over finite sets and noticing that, by definition of $\mu_{u, 1}$ and $\mu_{\nu, 1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{u, 2,1}\left(u-\mu_{u, 1}\right)=\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{u, 2,1} \sum_{u \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(u-\mu_{u, 1}\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}} \frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{v, 2,1}\left(v-\mu_{v, 1}\right)=\frac{n_{2}}{n} \delta_{v, 2,1} \sum_{v \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(v-\mu_{v, 1}\right)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we simplify (3.6) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{1}}\left(u-\mu_{u}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v}\right)=C_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}^{2}}{n^{2}} \delta_{u, 2,1} \delta_{v, 2,1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by interchanging the roles of $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(u, v) \in \mathscr{S}_{2}}\left(u-\mu_{u}\right)\left(v-\mu_{v}\right)=C_{2, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+\frac{n_{2} n_{1}^{2}}{n^{2}} \delta_{u, 2,1} \delta_{v, 2,1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\left(\mu_{u, 2}-\mu_{u, 1}\right)\left(\mu_{v, 2}-\mu_{v, 1}\right)=\left(\mu_{u, 1}-\mu_{u, 2}\right)\left(\mu_{v, 1}-\mu_{v, 2}\right)$. Therefore, (3.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2, \mathscr{S}}=C_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+C_{2, \mathscr{S}_{2}}+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}^{2}+n_{2} n_{1}^{2}}{n^{2}} \delta_{u, 2,1} \delta_{v, 2,1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

from whence the result arises since $n_{1}+n_{2}=n$.

Pairwise update formulas for the estimators of the variance are now obtained immediately; for instance, the unbiased estimator of the covariance of $\mathscr{S}$ is $\frac{1}{n-1} C_{2, \mathscr{S}}$.
Remark 3.1. In passing, we note that in the case where $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ is reduced to a singleton $\{(s, t)\}$, Proposition 3.1 reduces to the following incremental update formula for $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}_{1} \cup\{(s, t)\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2, \mathscr{S}}=C_{2, \mathscr{S}_{1}}+\frac{n-1}{n}\left(s-\mu_{u, 1}\right)\left(t-\mu_{v, 1}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This can be easily verified by the reader by computing the variance of $\{1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon\}$, where $\varepsilon$ is smaller than than the square root of the machine's EPSILON.

