



1 **FORUM paper: The significance of soils and soil science towards realization of the UN sustainable**  
2 **development goals (SDGs).**

3

4 Keesstra, S.D.<sup>1</sup>, Bouma, J.<sup>2</sup>, Wallinga, J.<sup>3</sup>, Tiftonell, P.<sup>4</sup>, Smith, P.<sup>5</sup>, Cerdà A.<sup>6</sup>, Montanarella, L.<sup>7</sup>,  
5 Quinton, J.<sup>8</sup>, Pachepsky, Y.<sup>9</sup>, van der Putten,<sup>10,11</sup> W.H, Bardgett, R.D<sup>12</sup>, Moolenaar, S.<sup>13</sup>, Mol, G.<sup>14</sup>,  
6 Fresco, L.O.<sup>15</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup> Soil Physics and Land Management Group, Wageningen University, The Netherlands, saskia.keestra@wur.nl

8 <sup>2</sup> Formerly Soils Department, Wageningen University. Johan.bouma@planet.nl

9 <sup>3</sup> Soil Geography and Landscape Group, Wageningen University, The Netherlands

10 <sup>4</sup> Natural Resources and Environment Program, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA),  
11 Argentina

12 <sup>5</sup> University of Aberdeen, Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Aberdeen, United Kingdom

13 <sup>6</sup> Departament de Geografia. Universitat de València. Blasco Ibàñez, 28, 46010-Valencia. Spain

14 <sup>7</sup> European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Italy

15 <sup>8</sup> Lancaster University, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster, United Kingdom, j.quinton@lancaster.ac.uk

16 <sup>9</sup> USDA-ARS, Environmental Microbial and Food Safety Laboratory, Beltsville Agricultural Research Center,  
17 Beltsville, MD, United States

18 <sup>10</sup> Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology NIOO-KNAW, Droevendaalsesteeg 10,  
19 Wageningen, NL- 6708, The Netherlands.

20 <sup>11</sup> Department of Nematology, Wageningen University, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB, Wageningen, NL- 6708,  
21 The Netherlands

22 <sup>12</sup> University of Manchester, Faculty of Life Sciences, Manchester, United Kingdom

23 <sup>13</sup> Commonland, Department of Science & Education, www.commonland.com, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

24 <sup>14</sup> Alterra, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands

25 <sup>15</sup> Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands

26

27 **Abstract**

28 In this FORUM paper we discuss how soil scientists can help to reach the recently adopted UN  
29 Sustainable Development Goals in the most effective manner. Soil science, as a land-related  
30 discipline has important links to several of the SDGs which are demonstrated through the functions  
31 of soils and the ecosystem services that are linked to those functions. We explore and discuss how



32 soil scientists can rise to the challenge both internally, in terms of our procedures and practices, and  
33 externally in terms of our relations with colleague scientists in other disciplines, diverse groups of  
34 stakeholders and the policy arena. To meet these goals we recommend the following steps to be  
35 taken by the soil science community as a whole: (i) Embrace the UN Sustainable Development Goals,  
36 as they provide a platform that allows soil science to demonstrate its relevance for realizing a  
37 sustainable society by 2030. (ii) Show the specific value of soil science: Research should explicitly  
38 show how using modern soil information can improve the results of inter- and trans-disciplinary  
39 studies on SDGs related to food security, water scarcity, climate change, biodiversity loss and health  
40 threats. (iii) Given the integrative nature of soils, soil scientists are in a unique position to take  
41 leadership in overarching systems-analyses of ecosystems; (iii) Raise awareness of soil organic matter  
42 as a key attribute of soils to illustrate its importance for soil functions and ecosystem services; (iv)  
43 Improve the transfer of knowledge through knowledge brokers with a soil background; (v) Start at  
44 the basis: educational programs are needed at all levels, starting in primary schools, and emphasizing  
45 practical, down-to-earth examples; (vi) Facilitate communication with the policy arena by framing  
46 research in terms that resonate with politicians in terms of the policy cycle or by considering drivers,  
47 pressures and responses affecting impacts of land use change; and finally (vii) all this is only possible  
48 if researchers, with soil scientists in the frontlines, look over the hedge towards other disciplines, to  
49 the world-at-large and to the policy arena, reaching over to listen first, as a basis for genuine  
50 collaboration.

51

## 52 **1. Introduction: what is the challenge?**

53 In this FORUM paper we discuss how the soil science profession can address the challenges of the  
54 recently adopted UN Sustainable Development Goals in the most effective manner. The sustainability  
55 of human societies depends on the wise use of natural resources. Soils contribute to basic human  
56 needs like food, clean water, and clean air, and are a major carrier for biodiversity. In the globalized  
57 world of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, soil sustainability not only depends on management choices by farmers,  
58 foresters and land planners but also on political decisions on rules and regulations, marketing and  
59 subsidies, while public perceptions are perhaps the most important issue. The United Nations have  
60 proposed seventeen sustainable development goals, which not only present a clear challenge to  
61 national governments but also to a wide range of stakeholders. Montanarella and Lobos Alva (2015)  
62 have provided a historical description of the way in which soils have been discussed in UN documents  
63 in recent decades. Their paper demonstrates that, even though soils are essential to sustainable  
64 development, they have never been the specific focus of a Multilateral Environmental Agreement  
65 (MEA). However, as a crosscutting theme soils are considered within the three “Rio Conventions”



66 negotiated at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) in Rio de  
67 Janeiro in 1992: (i) the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC); (ii) the  
68 United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and (iii) the United Nations Convention to  
69 Combat Desertification (UNCCD). As the main binding global environmental agreements these “Rio  
70 Conventions” are considered the framework in which individual countries can implement sustainable  
71 development initiatives, aiming at the mitigation of human induced climate change, the protection of  
72 biological diversity and the limitation of desertification processes in drylands.

73 Soils play an important role in each of these issues. Putting soils on the agenda of these MEAs has  
74 involved a long process that required a large effort of awareness-raising and communication of issues  
75 related to the degradation of soils and land by scientists, civil society organizations and policy-  
76 makers. In spite of these efforts, the convention texts of CBD and UNFCCC do not explicitly discuss  
77 the crucial role of soils. In contrast, soils are addressed in the convention text of the UNCCD, but only  
78 restricted to drylands, and in actions prescribed by the three conventions. These actions include the  
79 development of national action plans and the definition of specific targets and indicators for the  
80 monitoring of natural resources at national level. Twenty years after the conference in Rio, the  
81 achievements were analysed at the Rio+20 meeting on sustainable development in 2012 in Rio de  
82 Janeiro. This analysis showed that some progress has been made, but that extensive land and soil  
83 degradation still occur all over the world and fertile soil resources are still rapidly depleted, reducing  
84 the potential for food production. Conscious of these alarming trends, countries participating at the  
85 Rio+20 sustainable development conference agreed in the outcome document “The Future We  
86 Want” that we should “*strive to achieve a land degradation-neutral world in the context of  
87 sustainable development*” (Mueller and Weigelt, 2015). This agreement was further developed  
88 during the subsequent process to define Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), approved by the UN  
89 General Assembly in September 2015 (Table 1). This soft-law process reflects the growing interest in  
90 the development of a universal and transformative agenda that provides a global vision for  
91 sustainable development, linking environmental, economic and societal issues. Main difference to  
92 the previous Millennium Development Goals (MDG) is that the SDGs are applicable by all countries in  
93 the world, not just by developing countries. Every Nation has to implement now these goals in order  
94 to achieve by 2030 the agreed targets.

95 Every scientific discipline faces the challenge to act upon these SDGs and this is particularly relevant  
96 for soil science, as a land-related discipline with important links to several of the SDGs. In this FORUM  
97 Paper we explore and discuss how soil scientists can rise to the challenge both internally in terms of  
98 our procedures and practices and externally in terms of our relations with colleague scientists in  
99 other disciplines, diverse groups of stakeholders and the policy arena.



100

101 **2. Addressing the Sustainable Development Goals.**

102 The broad Sustainable Development Goals (Table 1) are intended to be a guideline for all  
103 governments. Some Goals are mainly socio-economic in character (e.g. Goals 1,4,5,8,9,10,11,16,17)  
104 while others focus clearly on the biophysical system, in which soils play a clear role (e.g. Goals  
105 2,3,6,7,12,13,14,15). Although it is tempting to make the distinction between a focus on socio-  
106 economics and on the biophysical system, these two realms together define human existence and  
107 mutually depend on each other. For achieving goals with a socio-economic focus we need to consider  
108 the associated dynamic behaviour of ecosystems while for achieving goals with an ecosystem focus,  
109 we need to consider socio-economic aspects. Environmental sustainability will depend on the actions  
110 of land users such as, for example, farmers and forest managers, but also urban developments have  
111 major effects on local land use. The SDGs not only present a real challenge to the citizens of the  
112 world and their various policy arenas. The scientific community has a responsibility to provide all  
113 stakeholders with information that allows them to make informed choices. We believe that the  
114 introduction of SDGs in the international YEAR OF SOILS 2015 offers a new and unique opportunity  
115 for the soil science community to show that soil science can make significant contributions to several  
116 of the SDGs. Although this notion is clearly growing, we feel that a well-focused action is needed to  
117 urge fellow (soil) scientists, members of the policy arena and stakeholders and citizens at large, to act  
118 according to this notion. Actions needed are different for each of these groups; in this FORUM paper  
119 we will focus on the implications for actions by the soil science community. Important educational  
120 efforts for stakeholders and the public at large, with particular attention for primary education of  
121 children, have been addressed elsewhere (Bouma et al., 2012).

122 It is important to recognize that for most SDGs, there is no direct link with soils. Rather, soils  
123 contribute to general ecosystem services, defined as “services to society that ecosystems provide”  
124 which requires cooperation between different disciplines (e.g. De Groot et al., 2002; Dominati et al.,  
125 2014; Robinson et al., 2014). Ecosystem Services contribute to nearly all land-related SDGs, either  
126 directly or indirectly. Table 1 shows ecosystem services as they are now recognized in the soil  
127 literature (e.g. Dominati et al., 2014). The question can be raised as to how input of soil expertise can  
128 be most effective when defining ecosystem services. A logical way to consider soil contributions to  
129 interdisciplinary studies on ecosystem services is to consider the seven soil functions, as defined by  
130 the European Commission (EC, 2006) (Table 2). Thus, an operational sequence is defined starting  
131 with the SDGs, next considering relevant ecosystem services and the contributions that the soils can  
132 make to improve those services (see also Fig. 1). Most applied soil studies can be expressed in terms  
133 of their relevance for certain SDGs, also indicating which ecosystem services and associated soil



134 functions play an important role. This new possibility for framing soil studies, offers an opportunity to  
135 increase the visibility and recognition of the work in soil science as a much wider audience is being  
136 addressed. Bouma et al. (2015) illustrated this reframing process for six published studies on soil and  
137 water management in the Netherlands and Italy.

138 A clear framework linking SDGs, ecosystem services and soil functions will also pave the way towards  
139 a more relevant contribution of the soil science community to on-going major global and regional  
140 ecosystems assessments related to land and soils. The most obvious example is the currently on-  
141 going Land Degradation and Restoration Assessment (LDRA) of the Intergovernmental Platform for  
142 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES), planned for final release in early 2018. Similar to IPCC,  
143 these assessments by IPBES will be the main scientific reference for future policy development on  
144 terrestrial ecosystems at global, regional and national scale.

145 Overall, we should acknowledge that services are provided by nature, and that human efforts should  
146 be governed by the realisation that every ecosystem has its own, characteristic dynamics and  
147 thresholds. Sustainable development can only be achieved when taking into account processes,  
148 feedbacks and thresholds in the eco-system.

149 In summary, the aim of this FORUM Paper, is therefore to discuss how soils can contribute to the  
150 realization of the SDGs. We urge soil scientists to pursue a central role in the system analysis  
151 approach that is needed to confront the societal challenges of our time. For this we argue why soil  
152 scientists need to reach out to other scientific disciplines, and to stakeholders outside of science.  
153 Awareness raising on all levels in society will play a key role in this. Six short essays, written by invited  
154 experts expressing their personal impressions, feature prominently in this FORUM paper, and serve  
155 to introduce the discussion, covering key issues for soil science that are also part of several of the  
156 SDGs: food, health, water, climate and land management. This paper also serves as an introductory  
157 FORUM paper to this Special Issue on “Soil Science in a Changing World”, which contains selected  
158 contributions of participants of the Wageningen Soil Conference (Wageningen, August 2015), and  
159 EGU Union Symposium: Soil Science within an interdisciplinary framework (Vienna, April 2015).

160

161 **3. The six main issues:**

**Essay 1: Food security (SDGs 1, 2 and 3): Soil fertility and the role of soils for food security in developing countries**

Addressing current and future food security is not just a matter of producing more food globally. Agricultural productivity must increase where food is most needed, and where both rural and urban populations are expected to increase the fastest in the near future. This is the situation in most of sub-Saharan Africa and in several other regions of Latin America, Asia and the Pacific (UNDESA, 2013). There are some common denominators to these regions. In the first place, the inability of the majority of smallholder farmers to access and/or to afford agricultural inputs (Pretty et al., 2011, Titttonell, 2014). Second, the severity with which climate



change impacts on some of these regions (Thornton et al., 2014). Third, the extent of soil degradation, which is estimated at 25% of the arable land in the world (Vlek et al., 2008). And finally, the fact that some of these regions are hosting valuable biodiversity and/or delivering ecosystem services of global or regional importance, (Hooper et al., 2005) which often leads to competing claims between local and international communities. It has been repeatedly shown that the technologies of industrial agriculture as practiced in developed regions are ineffective at sustaining soil productivity in the context of smallholder family agriculture (Tittonell and Giller, 2013). Restoring soil productivity and ecosystem functions in these contexts requires new ways of managing soil fertility.

These include:

(i) innovative forms of 'precision' agriculture that consider the diversity, heterogeneity and dynamics of smallholder farming systems; precision agriculture implies more than just using GPS, it is also about targeting resources in space and time to increase efficiency, build resilience and reduce negative impacts; local knowledge can be used as the basis for precision agriculture in developing countries.

(ii) a systems approach to nutrient acquisition and management; agronomy has traditionally addressed the problem of crop nutrition by thinking and acting at the scale of individual fields, and often looking at single resource groups; yet nutrient management cannot be decoupled from management of other farm resources and processes such as recycling are crucial to overall systems efficiency.

(iii) agro ecological strategies for the restoration of degraded soils and the maintenance of soil physical properties; rural population growth in tropical regions of developing countries is leading to accelerated soil degradation, as more land previously under forest or grazing use is brought into annual cultivation; less land available per household prevents soil maintenance practices such as fallow or pasture rotations, leading to greater frequency of soil ploughing and less organic matter inputs; strategies are needed to restore degraded soils and halt current degradation processes in precious land to produce food; but this also requires new institutional arrangement around land tenure and collective resource management. This may involve a large-scale approach, involving multi-stakeholder partnerships built on new business models and sustainable business cases with multiple returns from sustainable land management and landscape restoration. (Ferwerda, 2015).

(iv) to capitalize on the recent and growing understanding on the soil food web to increase nutrient and water use efficiency; the association between nutrient capture and retention in soils and trophic network topologies points to promising avenues towards the design of more efficient and resilience cropping systems; management systems that rely on greater diversity such as agroforestry and intercropping lead to greater diversity of soil organisms and a range of hypothesis on how this can contribute to improve agricultural sustainability are being put forward.

162  
163

#### **Essay 2. Health (SDG 3): Soil and public health: a vital nexus.**

Throughout the history of civilization, relationships between soils and human health have inspired spiritual movements, philosophical systems, cultural exchanges, and interdisciplinary interactions, and provided medicinal substances of paramount impact. Modern public health in its efforts on preventing disease, prolonging life and putting health through organized activities and informed choices of society faces the need of understanding and managing interactions between soils and health. Given the climate, resource, and population pressures, such understanding becomes an imperative. Soils sustain life. They affect human health via quantity, quality, and safety of available food and water, as a source of essential medicines, and via direct exposure of individuals to soils.

We are witnessing a paradigm shift from recognizing and yet disregarding the 'soil-health' nexus complexity to parameterizing this complexity and identifying reliable controls. This becomes possible with the advent of modern research tools as a source of 'big data' on multivariate nonlinear soil systems and the multiplicity of health metrics. These advances, in particular, have enabled the demonstration of the dependence of human pathogen suppression in soils and plants on the soil microbial community structure which, in turn, is directly affected by the soil-plant system management (Vivant et al., 2013; Gu et al., 2013). Soil eutrophication appears to create favourable conditions for pathogen survival (Franz et al., 2008).

The soil microbial community structure also strongly affects soil structure (Young and Crawford, 2004). This, in particular, affects functioning of soils as a powerful water filter and the capacity of this filter with respect to contaminants in both 'green' and 'blue' waters.



Also, soils remain an indispensable source of new powerful antibiotics able to counter the antibiotic resistance dilemma (Ling et al., 2015) and potent medicines to treat such tough-to-treat Diseases as tuberculosis and cancer (Hartkoorn et al., 2012, Liu et al, 2002) Some links between soil and human health tie exposure to soils to immune maturation and, in particular, asthma prevention (von Hertzen and Haahtela, 2006; Rook, 2013) and to mental well-being (Lowry et al., 2007).

To evaluate effects of soil services to public health, upscaling procedures are needed for relating the fine-scale mechanistic knowledge to available coarse-scale information on soil properties and management as health factors. In this context, remarkable advances of medical geology resulted in identification of regions where soils contain components harmful for human health (Selinus, 2013). These results have to be downscaled to evaluate local risks. More needs to be learned about health effects of soils in organic agriculture that are often used for soil quality comparison and benchmarking. The influence of soil degradation and rehabilitation on public health has to be assessed in quantitative terms (Zubkova et al., 2013). Current definitions of healthy soil broadly include aspects that are conducive for human health, and functional evaluation of soil quality with a focus on public health will have useful applications in public policies and perception. The data on soil-health relationships are scarce and very much disjointed, and a concerted international effort appears to be needed to encompass various economic and geographical settings (Brevik and Burgess, 2012) The 'soil-health' connection is complex in character, global in manifestation, and applicable to every human being.

164  
165

### Essay 3: Water Security / Resources (SDGs 3,6): Soil water and sustainable development goals

Protecting and enhancing the ability of the earth's soils to provide clean water in sufficient quantities for humanity, ecosystems and agriculture will be a key element in delivering the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Soils cover almost all of the ice free terrestrial land surface, making them key for storing and transmitting water to plants, the atmosphere, groundwater, lakes and rivers. It is estimated that 74% of all freshwater appropriated by humans comes from the soil (Hoekstra and Mekonnen; 2012). Not only is it important that soils store and supply water, they also filter it too. Soils are bioreactors. They contain charged surfaces at which exchange reactions can occur; bacteria, fungi and soil animals that process nutrients and contaminants; and act as a media to support plant growth that cycles nutrients and water through the ecosystem. The UN SDG 6 challenges the world to ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. This will not be achieved without protecting and enhancing the ability of the soil to deliver clean fresh water.

Safe affordable drinking water (SDG6.1) will rely on water sources that are reliable and un-contaminated. For 2010 it was estimated that as much as 60% (Baum et al., 2013) of the world's population is not connected to municipal sewage treatment systems suggesting that the remaining 40% of waste water receives no treatment. SDG 6.3 targets halving the proportion of untreated wastewater by 2030. In rural areas this will likely take the form of installing variants of septic systems, which rely on the soil for decontaminating wastewater. It is also likely that soils will be required to recycle a larger proportion of solid wastes and wastewater (SDG 6.3) from cities and it will be important to understand the capacity of soils to process these inputs and their capacity for assimilating these materials.

The provision of water for crops is of global significance and making the use of this water more efficient (SDG 6.4) is a major challenge. Agriculture amounts to 92% of the globe's freshwater use, far ahead of industrial and domestic usage (Hoekstra and Mekonnen; 2012). Of the 6685 km<sup>3</sup>/y of water calculated to be used by crops (Siebert and Döll, 2010), it is estimated that 800 to 1100 km<sup>3</sup>/y is supplied for irrigation from rivers, lakes, reservoirs and groundwater (Döll et al., 2013), as we strive to deliver food security (SDG 2) the volume of water required from these sources is likely to increase. By protecting and enhancing the soil's ability to store and supply water to plants through better soil management there is the potential to make better use of rainwater. Adding just 10 mm per year to plant available soil water across the 306 Mha of irrigated land (Siebert et al., 2015) would provide an additional 30 km<sup>3</sup>/y of water that could potentially be used by crops and reduce irrigation water requirement.

Soil is the conduit for the vast majority of diffuse pollutants. Nutrients from agricultural sources are responsible for the pollution of lakes, rivers and seas; in many cases bringing about significant degradation of their ecosystems and damaging them as economic and social resources for the people who rely on them for their wellbeing. Restoration of these ecosystems will require restorative actions in the wider catchment, including





better soil management to reduce diffuse pollution (Deasy et al., 2009). However, although soils are excellent buffers against diffuse pollution, they are also slow to change. Therefore, if water related ecosystems are to be restored by 2030 in line with SDG 6.6 significant actions will need to occur urgently.

Managing soils for a better water environment cannot occur without the support and efforts of local communities, many of who fully understand the inexorable link between soils and water, their efforts need to be supported and strengthened (SDG 6.8).

166

#### **Essay 4: Climate Change (SDG 13): Impact of climate change on soils and opportunities for mitigation.**

Predicting the response of soils to climate change is extremely important as the top metre of soils globally contain 3 times as much carbon as the atmosphere (Smith, 2004). Small changes in soil carbon stocks can therefore have important impacts on climate – if soil carbon is lost, it could provide a positive feedback to climate warming (Cox et al., 2000). On the other hand, if soils can be managed to store more carbon, they can help to reduce the amount of carbon in the atmosphere, and thereby mitigate climate change (Lal, 2004). This is the aim of the recent proposal at the COP 21 of UNFCCC by the French Government for a global initiative ([http://agriculture.gouv.fr/sites/minagri/files/4pour1000-gb\\_nov2015.pdf](http://agriculture.gouv.fr/sites/minagri/files/4pour1000-gb_nov2015.pdf)) for achieving a "4‰" annual growth rate of the soil carbon stock that would make it possible to stop the present increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>.

Climate change has complex impacts on soils. Increasing temperatures will tend to increase decomposition, but this will be limited where soils become very dry – so changes in temperature and precipitation can have additive effects, or may work in opposite directions. In addition, increasing temperatures can also increase plant production, thereby increasing carbon inputs to the soil. This may also decrease the direct impact of climate change on soils and may increase soil carbon (Smith, 2012). Changes in precipitation patterns and amounts will also influence soil organic carbon stocks through their effect on dissolved organic matter production and mobility (e.g. Jansen et al., 2014). This not only affects the soil carbon stock itself, but also couples it to the carbon cycle in aquatic systems (Jansen et al., 2014). While climate change clearly affects soil organic carbon stocks, the magnitude of the effect depends on the intricate interplay of local external factors, such as climate, and the ecosystem specific composition of the organic matter itself that steers its interactions with the inorganic soil phase (Schmidt et al. 2011). As a result not only do soil organic carbon stocks vary vastly between ecosystems, but so does their predicted response to climate change (e.g. Tonneijck et al., 2010).

Nevertheless, while modelling studies (Gottschalk et al., 2012) confirm there is considerable regional variation, with some regions gaining in carbon and some regions losing carbon, globally, climate change is projected to increase soil carbon stocks on mineral soils (i.e. non-peaty soils). On the other hand, peatlands, which contain enormous stocks of carbon (similar to the quantity of all carbon in the atmosphere), may be more susceptible to climate change. When these soils heat up, or if they become drier, vast quantities of carbon could be lost. Similarly, permafrost soils may lose carbon when they thaw (Joosten et al., 2015).

Given the complex interactions between temperature and moisture, between increased productivity and increased decomposition, and variations between regions and different types of soil, predicting the composite effects of climate change on soils is extremely difficult (Smith et al., 2008a).

As well as soils being affected by climate change, improvements in soil management can be used to reduce greenhouse gas emissions or increase soil carbon stocks (Lal, 2004; Smith, 2012). Soil management can therefore be used as a climate mitigation option (e.g. Tonneijck et al. 2010). This is important for climate mitigation, and also to meet UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), since SDG 13 is to "Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts".

Results from a recent global analysis of greenhouse gas mitigation options in agriculture (Smith et al., 2008b) show that there is significant potential for soils to mitigate GHG emissions, but that the realisation of this potential will depend on the price of carbon. The maximum technical mitigation potential from soil carbon sequestration is around 1 Gt (thousand million tonnes) of carbon per year, but the economic potential at carbon prices between 20 and 100 US\$ per tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalents is 0.4-0.7 Gt carbon per year (Smith et al., 2008b; Smith, 2012). This means that soil carbon sequestration could be an important part of future climate mitigation portfolios.

167

#### **Essay 5: Biodiversity (SDG 15): Functions of soil biodiversity**

Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 15 aims to 'sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, halt and





reverse land degradation, and halt biodiversity loss'. SDG 15 recognizes that soil micro-organisms and invertebrates are key to ecosystem services, but highlights that their contributions are poorly known and acknowledged. A large fraction of the Earth's biodiversity can be found underground. One square meter of land may easily contain some 5,000-10,000 'species' of viruses, bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, Enchytraeids, Collembola, mites, earthworms, insects, and some vertebrates. There is mounting evidence that this soil biodiversity contributes to biogeochemical cycles, aboveground biodiversity, soil formation, the control of plant, animal, and human pests and diseases, and climate regulation. Soil biodiversity also contributes to ecological-evolutionary dynamics in ecosystems, which is important for mitigation and adaptation to human-induced global changes in climate, land use, and species gain and loss (Bardgett and van der Putten 2014).

Although much is still to be learned about the distribution of soil biodiversity across the globe, it is becoming evident that it is negatively affected by many human activities, including land use change and management intensification. The first global assessment of soil biodiversity has been completed by the Global Soil Biodiversity Initiative (GSBI) and will be presented as the Global Atlas of Soil Biodiversity, due to be released early 2016 (<https://globalsoilbiodiversity.org/?q=node/271>). Studies at a continental scale have shown that land use intensification universally reduces the species diversity, especially of the larger sized soil organisms (Tsiafouli et al., 2015), which may negatively impact multiple ecosystem functions and services (Wagg et al., 2014), and their resistance and resilience to extreme drought, leading to enhanced carbon and nitrogen loss to the drainage and ground water during subsequent rainfall events (de Vries et al., 2012). Land use intensification, therefore, may result in loss of ecosystem stability with negative consequences for the Earth's atmospheric composition and water quality.

Loss of soil biodiversity might also result in decreased control of plant, animal, and human diseases (Wall et al. 2015), modify vegetation dynamics, and impact soil physical properties, with consequences for ecosystem services related to soil formation and water regulation (Six et al., 2002). There is evidence that soil biodiversity is also susceptible to invasions and extinctions, nitrogen enrichment (Treseder 2008) soil sealing (Gardi et al., 2013), and climate change (Blankinship et al., 2011). Also, predicted increases in soil erosion and climate-induced shifts in land use, pose a considerable threat to soil biodiversity; however, in all these cases, the full magnitude still needs to be established. Moreover, there are several complications in doing so, including our limited knowledge on what biodiversity is actually present in soils, and its enormous variation in spatial distribution from micro to macroscale (Ettema and Wardle 2002). Many factors have been identified as determinants of soil biodiversity patterns, including pH, soil structure, soil organic matter, and plant diversity and composition, but the relative contributions of each of these factors is still largely unknown. Measures that may promote soil biodiversity include reduced soil tillage, increasing soil organic matter, erosion control, prevention of soil sealing and surface mining activities, and prevention of extreme soil perturbation.

168

#### **Essay 6: Land Management (SDG 2, 13, 15): The challenge to implement effective soil conservation.**

Sustainable development goal 15 focusses on sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, combat desertification and halt and reverse land degradation. Many ecosystem services and soil functions (Table 1) are connected to this SDG. To reach the desired sustainable situation, good land management plays an essential role. To illustrate the way ahead for in land management, the fragile ecosystems of the Mediterranean are taken as an illustration. When looking back in time, the Mediterranean landscape was managed in a sustainable way for millennia. This changed the landscape (e.g. terraces) and ecosystems (e.g. extensive irrigation systems) to a man-made system (Boogaard, 2005, Stanchi et al., 2012). However, over the last 30 years the land management strategies changed due to altered socio-economic conditions. These changes transferred this sustainable system to be pushed towards, and sometimes over, certain thresholds that caused the system to collapse (Lesschen et al., 2008, Arnaes et al., 2011). To illustrate, we can observe since the 1960's, two contradictory trajectories in the management of soil developments. On the one hand part of the traditionally fully agronomy oriented society has been altered resulting in abandoned ghost towns and whole regions that lost most of the population and were abandoned (Lansata et al., 2005). Former fields and terraces are now overgrown and shrubs and sometimes a full forest have developed. This compromised many of the ecosystem services as listed in table 1 and in addition causes a threat to society due to an increase in the risk of wild fires resulting from the abundant fuel in the new forests. To reach a sustainable situation as described in SDG 15, there is an urgent need to reduce the large wildfires by re-introducing extensive forms of agriculture and grazing in the Mediterranean mountains, thereby reducing the risk of fires and the environmental problems they trigger: soil erosion, water pollution and changes in landscapes and soil properties (Cerdà and Lansata, 2005).



The other trend that can be observed in many countries around the Mediterranean is agricultural intensification. Small scale, sustainable orchards are removed to make room for large scale orchards that are under drip irrigation that contains all nutrients for the plants, making the soil no longer a needed resource for the land owner (Cerdà et al., 2009). Intensification of industrialized agriculture may lead to excessive application of agrochemical leading to pollution of ground- and surface waters and to erosion when lower organic matter contents result in a quality decrease of soil structure. This kind of agriculture may be economically attractive, while the traditional farming systems are no longer economically viable, the sustainability of these new systems is bringing us further away from reaching the objectives of SDG 15. In addition, farmers cling to habits such as keeping their soil 'clean', without weeds; erosion prevention measures such as mulching and cover crops are seen as sloppy management, even though these kind of practices are known to aggravate soil erosion (eg. Keesstra et al., 2009; Cerdà et al., 2009).

Soil management in the Mediterranean type ecosystems needs a new generation of managers, farmers, policy-makers, and also scientists that will understand the importance of the soil system. For this, education programs are needed, starting at the primary school level. Educating the people to acknowledge the importance of soil for soil functions and in the end ecosystem services important for all, may lead to the promotion of organic farming, mulching and minimum or zero tillage. But also the opinion of the consumers, the public can have a strong impact. The public should be aware of the possibility of those products of higher quality while environmental pollution with agrochemicals is strongly reduced.

169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175

Footnote: essay 1 was contributed by Pablo Tittonell; essay 2 by Yakov Pachepsky, essay 3 by John Quinton, essay 4 by Pete Smith and Boris Jansen, essay 5 by Wim van der Putten and Richard Bardgett and essay 6 by Artemi Cerdà and Saskia Keesstra.

#### 4. Actions to be taken

176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189

The six short essays above illustrate the role that soils play when studying major environmental issues, many of which related to SDGs, as indicated (Table 1 and 2). Clearly, more cooperation of soil scientists with agronomists, hydrologists, climatologists, ecologists, social scientists and economists (see also Fig. 1) in interdisciplinary research is desirable to derive meaningful contributions to general ecosystem services, and recommendations to this effect have been made before and are therefore hardly enlightening anymore. Here, we would like to emphasize two other issues that we think are crucial for future activities in soil science. The first issue is the need for a systems approach, where soil science provides leadership as the environmental issues discussed are interconnected and land-related, and the relevant processes interact in the pedosphere. The second issue is that the potential of soils to contribute to solving the major societal challenges of our time, represented by the SDGs, can only be obtained if we succeed to raise awareness of the crucial importance of soils in supporting life and livelihoods. Such awareness should register more clearly with the general public, stakeholders, business leaders and policy makers.

190  
191  
192  
193

##### 4.1 The need for a systems approach

Ecosystems are characterized by interacting geological, hydrological, climatological, ecological and anthropogenic processes. Due to strong interactions between these processes, a systems approach is needed to understand the response to changing circumstances in any of the individual elements;



194 feedbacks within the system may result in unexpected and/or delayed responses to changes.  
195 Approaches will have to reach across levels of integration: in biological terms from species,  
196 communities to ecosystems as has been achieved in ecosystem studies linking below ground  
197 activities to above ground plant development (e.g. Bardgett and Wardle, 2010). In soils, pedon  
198 studies are scaled up to catena's, watersheds, regions and beyond. Food security, for example, is  
199 strongly affected by available nutrients and water resources, climate change, land management and  
200 biodiversity preservation that have different effects at different spatial and temporal scales, and the  
201 same is true for each of the separate issues in relation the all the others. The type of land use  
202 determines these interacting processes and as soils are a key element in determining land use, they  
203 provide a solid foundation for a systems approach. Soil scientists are in a unique position to act in  
204 this capacity. Their history includes extensive interaction with stakeholders when, for example,  
205 developing fertilization practices, preparing soil surveys and combatting land degradation (e.g.  
206 Adimassu et al., 2014; Musinguzi et al., 2015).

207 At this point in time the question can be raised as to who will seize the initiative to start such broad  
208 inter- and transdisciplinary studies, focusing on ecosystems but with a clear soil component.  
209 (interdisciplinarity refers to disciplines working together; transdisciplinarity also involves  
210 stakeholders). Funding agencies such as the EU HORIZON 2020 scheme and its predecessors have  
211 clear ambitions to realize this type of research approach and many ecological and climatological  
212 system studies have been made, particularly for larger regions. But integrating climatological,  
213 hydrological, agronomic and ecological aspects is more difficult, certainly when including socio-  
214 economic aspects. The six major environmental issues, covered in the six essays relating to SDGs  
215 presented above are land-related, and soil scientists are therefore in a natural, but also highly  
216 challenging, position, to initiate, guide and complete systems analyses of ecosystems, working with  
217 fellow scientists, stakeholders and policy makers. This applies at different spatial scales, ranging from  
218 fields, farms and regions to the world at large. It also applies at different temporal scales, ranging  
219 from present day processes, to geological times to understand system responses and feedbacks.

220 Such integrated studies are still relatively rare, thus presenting a new research "niche". An example is  
221 a comprehensive, integrative study of innovative dairy systems in the Netherlands using Life Cycle  
222 Assessment to characterize the entire production chain, including an economic and energy analysis.  
223 Improvement of nutrient cycling resulted in improved groundwater quality, lower emissions of  
224 greenhouse gasses and lower energy use, higher organic matter contents of the soils and incomes,  
225 the latter due to lower costs. Biodiversity was high because of preservation of hedgerows along  
226 relatively small fields. Dolman et al. (2014) presented results at farm level and de Vries et al. (2015)



227 scaled the work up to a regional level. Van Grinsven et al. (2015) extended the work to a broad policy  
228 analysis, considering future development scenarios.

229 In the end, effective communication of results to citizens, stakeholders and policy makers is crucial  
230 and the example of the UNFCCC, that defines “lighthouses” for successful case studies, is  
231 inspirational in this context.

232

#### 233 **4.2 Creating and sustaining awareness**

234 Awareness raising by establishing genuine two-way dialogues, requires different approaches when  
235 addressing policy makers, stakeholders, the public and colleagues in other disciplines even though a  
236 common theme will emerge at the end of this section. To improve the connection with policy makers  
237 it is important to consider their way of reasoning and two approaches may be helpful in this context,  
238 following: (i) the policy cycle when planning and executing research, which includes signalling and  
239 definition of a given problem taking into account the opinions of all involved, design, decision,  
240 implementation and evaluation (e.g. Althaus et al., 2007, Bouma et al., 2007). Many current research  
241 projects spend most of their time on design and relatively little time on signalling which may lead to  
242 hastily conceived plans and disengagement of stakeholders who feel left out. Also, implementation is  
243 often seen as the responsibility of others while it is crucial to demonstrate – if successful - the  
244 relevance of soil science in the design and implementation of such projects (e.g. Bouma et al., 2011).  
245 Nothing is as convincing as a successful project! (ii) the DPSIR approach (Skondras and Karavitis,  
246 2015) can be useful when performing land-related research, it distinguishes external drivers,  
247 pressures, impacts and responses to land-use change that affect the state of the land in past, present  
248 and future (e.g. van Camp, 2008; Bouma et al., 2008; Mol and Keesstra, 2012).

249 So rather than jumping right away into agronomic, hydrological, climatological and ecological studies,  
250 or even into a comprehensive systems analysis, signal the current land-use drivers, the pressures  
251 they generate and the impact they have. Doing so, it pays to involve stakeholders and policy makers  
252 at an early moment in a “joint-learning” mode; also referred to as co-production of knowledge. This  
253 includes characterization of actual as well as a range of possible future conditions as a source for  
254 decisions to be taken. In close interaction with all stakeholders involved, design possible alternatives  
255 and explore ways to have one of them approved and implemented. The design phase involves major  
256 input by research, acknowledging that much information and knowledge is already available as is  
257 clearly demonstrated in the first six essays. New research can be based on observed gaps during the  
258 signalling and design process.



259 Stakeholders have a direct personal or commercial interest in the way land-use issues are  
260 investigated. SDGs have a societal focus and future soil science research can only be successful if  
261 stakeholders are part of the research effort in transdisciplinary projects, based on the principle of  
262 time-consuming “joint-learning” which is facilitated by providing accessible narratives about case  
263 studies (Thomson Klein et al., 2001; Bouma et al., 2015; Bouma, 2015b). The increasing importance  
264 of transdisciplinarity also implies that the “top-down, command-and-control” character of much  
265 current environmental legislation should evolve into a “bottom-up, joint learning” mode that truly  
266 engages modern stakeholders and is an important ingredient of adaptive management (e.g. In’t Veld,  
267 2010). One additional interesting tool to involve stakeholders and the general public are projects  
268 using citizen science (Bonney et al., 2014). The further development of such projects and the  
269 development of voluntary soil governance instruments is the way forward for such innovative  
270 bottom-up participatory approaches. Strengthening voluntary partnerships, like the Global Soil  
271 partnership (GSP) could ultimately lead to a more effective sustainable soil management than many  
272 of the, largely not implemented, mandatory legal frameworks (Montanarella, 2015). But awareness is  
273 hampered by the gradual and slow character of changes in the pedosphere. Even abrupt changes in  
274 driving forces (e.g. climate, land management) will result in slow changes in soil properties, and often  
275 delayed response in the quality of soil ecosystem services. Such gradual and delayed behaviour does  
276 not attract the kind of attention reserved for natural hazards like volcanic eruptions, earthquakes,  
277 tsunamis and floods. Yet the consequences of soil degradation for society as a whole will be more  
278 severe than any of those (local) phenomena. Another issue is that with the green revolution, the  
279 connection of food and soil has lost visibility and importance (essay 6). Not only are city dwellers less  
280 aware of where their food in the supermarket originates from, even some farmers consider their  
281 land as an industrial production factor that can be manipulated at will, ignoring ecological thresholds.  
282 Essay 1 articulates relevant approaches for resource-poor small-scale farmers in developing  
283 countries. But questions have been raised whether or not high food demands of mega-cities in future  
284 will require a significant productivity increase of land and labor that is associated with more large-  
285 scale farming (e.g. De Ponti et al, 2012). That new and effective antibiotics are being derived from  
286 soil and that human health can be negatively affected by soil-borne diseases, as described in essay 2,  
287 is unknown to the public. The international One Health initiative  
288 (<http://www.onehealthinitiative.com>) focuses on links between human and veterinary medicine and  
289 environmental science but pays so far little attention to soils. The public at large does not recognize  
290 either the crucial and fundamental importance of biodiversity to life on earth, as discussed in essay 5.  
291 That the quality of ground- and surface water is, to a large extent, governed by percolation through  
292 soil or by surface runoff that may result from soil compaction or surface sealing (Essay 3) is unknown  
293 as well. That there is more organic matter in soils than in all the tropical forests combined and that



294 carbon sinks in soil present a major mitigation opportunity (as described in essay 4) has drawn  
295 considerably less attention than reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. So proper communication of the role of  
296 soils, applying modern communication practices, is urgently needed, taking a positive approach and  
297 emphasizing successful examples and programs. Complaining that soils have not received the  
298 attention they deserve serves no useful purpose.

299 Creating awareness with colleague scientists presents an intriguing dimension to this discussion. The  
300 need for interdisciplinarity has been discussed above. But how can interdisciplinarity be realized?  
301 Scientists of a given discipline are only accepted as partners in interdisciplinary projects if they can  
302 deliver input that is considered to be of substantial added value by the other partners. Many  
303 agronomists, hydrologists, climatologists, ecologists, let alone economists and sociologists, are not  
304 aware of what soil scientists have to offer. A recent example on: "Climate-smart agriculture" by  
305 Bonfante and Bouma (2015) illustrates this point. By running a crop production simulation model,  
306 considering the effects of climate change, growing eleven maize hybrids and different degrees of  
307 irrigation water availability for a Mediterranean area, they showed that agronomic and irrigation  
308 plans had significantly different effects on different soil types occurring in the area. These results  
309 allowed rational future planning of cropping and irrigation schemes, and were welcomed by farmers  
310 and irrigation engineers, who were rather surprised to see these soil-based results. An example for  
311 developing countries demonstrated within-farm nutrient gradients which strongly affected yield  
312 response requiring alternative location-specific approaches in contrast to the traditional blanket  
313 application of fertilizers (Tittonell et al., 2008). Again, documentation of soil differences had a  
314 significant effect on management. Of course, there are more of such examples and they should be  
315 presented more prominently.

316 The example of the UNFCCC, producing "lighthouses" for successful programs, is inspiring in this  
317 context because presenting soil-based "lighthouses" is the overall connecting theme for awareness  
318 raising. The good news is that many "lighthouse" examples are there, but we have not yet recognized  
319 the urgency to communicate these examples in an effective manner, also showing what might have  
320 happened without soil science input. Modern communication is a science, or better, an art, that  
321 cannot be accomplished solely as a side activity by scientists who were trained in entirely different  
322 fields. Many of our current scientific journals are not focused on publishing "lighthouse" papers and  
323 finding appropriate outlets for this work is still a challenge (e.g. Bouma, 2015a). As for the MDGs,  
324 there is the need to demonstrate that the SDGs can be implemented successfully at local level. As the  
325 Millennium Villages Project (Sanchez et al., 2007) has been demonstrating for the MDGs, there is the  
326 need for a similar project for the SDGs in the future.

327

328 **4.3 How to overcome constraints**

329 To be realistic, several constraints have to be recognized when proposing a central role of soil  
330 scientists in initiating and guiding inter- and trans-disciplinary projects, aimed at land-related aspects  
331 of the SDGs. Constraints when raising awareness have already been discussed above, but social and  
332 economic constraints as well as policy barriers require additional attention.

333 The first level of constraint is a social. As we learn from essay 6, a good farmer in Spain is considered  
334 to be a farmer that keeps his or her fields tidy and clean, apparently unaware of the resulting  
335 vulnerability to erosion in sloping areas. A farmer that leaves weeds on the field is considered to be a  
336 sloppy farmer by peers. Even though there is a wealth of information on successful forms of soil  
337 management that leads to less erosion and degradation (e.g. WOCAT, 2007, Schwilz et al., 2012;  
338 Cerdà et al., in press) implementation in practice is delayed, often for social reasons. Intensive  
339 agricultural practices that are accepted by commercial farms may lead to environmental pollution by  
340 biocides and excess fertilizers (Roy and McDonald, 2013; Shi et al., 2015; Sacristà et al., 2015). The  
341 language and perceptions of farmers and environmentalists are still quite different, even though  
342 mutual understanding has increased in many countries. In developing countries, the situation is often  
343 even more difficult because of population growth, increasing the pressure on land and water  
344 resources. Land vulnerable to degradation is taken into cultivation with adverse effects on the soil  
345 functions and ecosystem services (Fialho et al., 2014; Olang et al., 2014; Costa et al., 2015).  
346 Competing claims on land by industry, urban sprawl, agriculture and nature are all too often not  
347 decided by rational arguments but by political or ideological arguments. To disrupt this negative  
348 discourse and provide a counterweight to negative social pressures, education is important and so  
349 are specific examples of successful management systems. But most convincing may be a  
350 demonstration that good environmental practices can correspond with positive economic effects:  
351 “what is good for the environment can be good for business” (see also essay 1) - after all “money  
352 talks”. Fine-tuning application of agrochemicals to the needs of the plants can, for example, strongly  
353 reduce costs for the farmer, increasing net income while soil quality is improved (e.g. Dolman et al.,  
354 2014; De Vries et al., 2015); and reduce the pressure on the natural ecosystem. Many positive  
355 examples are there to be shown and this deserves more attention in future. Intercropping, strip-  
356 cropping or the use of mulch can result in higher yields, stronger resilience and larger biodiversity  
357 (Whitmore and Schroeder, 2007; Novara et al., 2013; Laudicina et al., 2015). With appropriate land  
358 management, intensified farming may result in higher production combined with increased soil  
359 organic matter content (Govers, this issue).

360 The second level of constraint is economic. Farmers everywhere have to make a living and economic  
361 results of any commercial farming operation must be positive to be sustainable from a livelihood





362 point of view. Here, the previous point applies as well. Demonstrating with quantitative procedures  
363 that striving for sustainable development does not necessarily imply loss of income, but may increase  
364 incomes in the short, medium or long term, is crucial because in the information age words by  
365 themselves will not convince anyone. Including an economist in the team allowed important  
366 conclusions as to farmers income in a systems analysis of dairy systems in the Netherlands (Dolman  
367 et al., 2014). Specific examples are needed, also considering the important issue of land ownership  
368 and tenure. Land owners are traditionally more inclined to invest in their property while tenants are  
369 more focused on short term benefits (Teshome et al., 2015, Marques et al., 2015). But environment  
370 friendly practices may pay off even in the short run, and this will also be convincing for tenants. The  
371 simple and obvious statement that: “land” has a price, while “soil” has not, has major implications  
372 when debating soil contributions to sustainable development because items that cannot be  
373 expressed in monetary terms tend to lose attention when, as so often, financial aspects dominate  
374 the debate.

375 The third and last level of constraint is the policy barriers. Politicians in democratic systems in the  
376 information age tend to be risk-averse and focused on activities that can generate favourable media  
377 exposure to their voters in the short term (Bouma and Montanarella, this issue). They are constantly  
378 approached by lobbyists and choosing potential “winners” appears to become ever more important.  
379 So far, soil issues do not play a significant role in such strategic deliberations. Major policy changes all  
380 too often result from disasters and a major problem for soil science is the fact that soil degradation is  
381 a creeping phenomenon that does not attract media attention. Of course, mudflows and flooding are  
382 often associated with poor soil management in upslope watersheds, but this link is not always well  
383 communicated. In general, policy aspects manifest themselves at three levels: strategic, tactical and  
384 operational. Providing examples of successful projects, as discussed above, can help to enable  
385 politicians to make sustainable decisions, but the effect is bound to be limited as ideological  
386 standpoints do not need to rely on evidence. Still, it is important to at least try to speak the language  
387 of the policy arena. That is why attention was paid in discussions above to the policy cycle and to the  
388 DPSIR procedure. More promising in the information age are bottom-up actions of engaged  
389 stakeholders who are the voters that ultimately, at least in democracies, determine the fate of any  
390 politician. Soil scientists would be well advised to connect with NGO’s and local initiatives that focus  
391 on sustainable development. Moreover, measures to reduce soil degradation are usually expensive  
392 and do not provide revenues immediately. Legislation for soil protection is therefore unpopular.  
393 Finally, the assessment and monitoring of soil quality is tedious as soil is heterogeneous in nature  
394 and good monitoring methodologies are expensive or even non-existent. Continued attention for  
395 streamlining and developing innovative procedures is therefore needed, and the introduction of



396 remote and proximal sensors may make important contributions in this context (Viscarra Rossel, et  
397 al., 2010, Stoorvogel et al., 2015).

398 In conclusion, political barriers are severe but they can be overcome by developing convincing  
399 examples of land-related sustainable development that voters can present and lobby for when  
400 engaging with politicians.

401

#### 402 **4.4 Implications for the soil science discipline:**

403 Soil scientists are becoming aware of their central role in initiating the systems approach necessary  
404 to combine aspects of different disciplines. Although many soil science projects are still highly  
405 disciplinary, examples are increasingly available to demonstrate successful results of inter- and trans-  
406 disciplinary studies. (e.g. Mota et al., 1996; Schröter et al., 2005; Tittonell et al., 2010; Dolman et al.,  
407 2014; de Vries et al., 2015; Berendse et al., 2015, Keesstra et al., 2012, Brevik et al., 2015, Torn et al.,  
408 2015). Such studies advance the knowledge base by including basic research, which is crucial to  
409 maintain a vital scientific discourse and develop novel solutions for societal challenges. Using  
410 methodologies developed and established in other disciplines can solve problems in other fields that  
411 have been lingering for decades.

412 But within soil science itself, work remains to be done. An example is the comparability of methods  
413 and data. Measured data are usually assumed to represent the truth and are used for calibrating  
414 models and executing scenario analysis for decision making. However, the value of data is  
415 determined by the experimental set up, the sampling scheme and the measurement technique itself.  
416 Too often data are used without considering these constraints. An example is the widespread,  
417 indiscriminate use of pedo-transfer functions. To be able to transfer data from one research project  
418 to the next it is important to validate and harmonize technologies and methodologies, and  
419 standardize information to achieve sound science allowing reliable translation into relevant  
420 information for stakeholders.

421 The key to establish more effective inter- and trans-disciplinary, holistic research is to communicate  
422 to stakeholders, business leaders and policy makers, to reach out and to invite scientists from other  
423 disciplines to participate. This requires special abilities that are not being taught in current scientific  
424 education. We should educate “knowledge brokers” that have the ability to inject the right type of  
425 knowledge to the right person at the right time and place. One important constraint for new  
426 developments is the way science is funded at this time, stimulating competition rather than  
427 collaboration.

428



429 **4.5 Is there a key message from soil science?**

430 The public needs to become more engaged with soils because changes to sustainable forms of land  
431 use are only possible when children, farmers, citizens, teachers, business leaders and policy makers  
432 become more aware of the central function of soils in our society. This not only calls for relatively  
433 simple messages, but also for symbols and narratives that appeal to people. Greenhouse gasses are a  
434 universally known symbol for climate change and so are polar bears to illustrate warming of the ice  
435 caps. Economists use the Gross National Product (GNP) and particularly its growth % as a well-known  
436 symbol of material well-being that is embraced by the political arena. Pictures of hungry children  
437 illustrate the concept of food security.

438 For soils, the organic matter content of mineral soils could be a suitable symbol for soil quality as it  
439 positively affects most soil functions. Higher organic matter contents in a given soil increases its  
440 adsorptive capacity for nutrients and water and improves soil structure and its stability. Soil organic  
441 carbon is also associated with a higher biodiversity that is a proper symbol for a “living soil”, and last  
442 but not least, increased soil organic carbon stocks will mitigate atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Of  
443 course, this has been known for a long time by soil scientists but identifying a suitable symbol for  
444 soils cannot be based on knowledge alone but needs to be easily accessible and to somehow trigger  
445 the imagination of outsiders. From a practical point of view, soil organic matter contents are  
446 relatively easy to measure, most recently also by handheld proximal sensors allowing real-time  
447 monitoring of changes of soil organic carbon in time and space (e.g. Viscarra Rossel, et al., 2010,  
448 Stoorvogel et al., 2015). Given the possible role of soils in climate mitigation, and their role in  
449 underpinning sustainable development, the lasting legacy of the International Year of Soils in 2015  
450 should be to put soils at the centre of policy supporting environmental protection, sustainable  
451 development, and the delivery of climate mitigation (Smith et al., 2015). An important challenge, and  
452 essential contribution from the scientific community, will be to provide the guidance and expertise  
453 needed to effectuate sustainable carbon sequestration. Given the complex interplay of (local) factors  
454 that govern the carbon sequestration (potential) in the various soils and ecosystems of our planet,  
455 rigorous scientific underpinning is needed to devise tailor-made location-specific soil management  
456 schemes aimed at optimizing carbon sequestration whilst acknowledging other important ecosystem  
457 services. In addition, there is a need for cheap and reliable monitoring of (trends in) soil organic  
458 carbon content, preferably by remote sensing.

459

460 **5. Recommendations**



- 461     • **Embrace the SDGs.** The UN Sustainable Development Goals provide a widely recognized  
462     societal framework that allows soil science to demonstrate its relevance for realizing a  
463     sustainable society by 2030.
- 464     • **Show the specific value of soil science:** Research should explicitly show how using modern  
465     soil information can improve the results of inter- and trans-disciplinary studies on SDGs  
466     related to food security, water scarcity, climate change, biodiversity loss and health threats.  
467     Implications for society should be communicated in terms that appeal to stakeholders,  
468     citizen at large and the policy arena. Well documented and specific examples (“lighthouses”)  
469     are most effective.
- 470     • **Take leadership in overarching systems-analyses of ecosystems:** Given the integrative  
471     nature of soils, soil scientists are in a unique position to initiate and guide a comprehensive  
472     systems analysis of ecosystems, integrating land-related SDGs.
- 473     • **Raise awareness of soil organic matter as a key attribute of soils** to illustrate its importance  
474     for soil functions and ecosystem services. Show how soil management can manipulate the  
475     organic matter content and quality of any given soil.
- 476     • **Improve the transfer of knowledge.** Inter- and trans-disciplinarity requires effective  
477     communication of soil knowledge and expertise to outsiders with little knowledge about  
478     soils. Knowledge brokers with a soil background can play an important role here. They should  
479     be professionally selected and educated.
- 480     • **Start at the basis:** Global citizens have access to an ever-increasing volume of data on the  
481     internet, some of it relevant, much of it of dubious quality. As educational standards  
482     increase, global citizens will use this information to form opinions and make decisions. Our  
483     task is to insert our evidence-based knowledge in the opinion-forming and decision-making  
484     process at the right time and place, and in the right way. This fits well within the citizen-  
485     science concept. Overall, educational programs are needed at all levels, starting in primary  
486     schools, and emphasizing practical, down-to-earth examples.
- 487     • **Facilitate communication with the policy arena:** frame research in terms that resonate with  
488     politicians in terms of the policy cycle or by considering drivers, pressures and responses  
489     affecting impacts of land use change. Approaching the policy arena through stakeholders and  
490     citizens may, however, be most effective in the information age.
- 491     • **Collaborate beyond the comfort zone:** All this is only possible if researchers look over the  
492     hedge towards other disciplines, to the world-at-large and to the policy arena, reaching over  
493     to listen first, as a basis for genuine collaboration.

494     **References**



- 495 Adimassu, Z., Mekonnen, K., Yirga, C., and Kessler, A.: Effect of soil bunds on runoff, soil and nutrient losses,  
496 and crop yield in the central highlands of Ethiopia, *Land Degradation and Development*, 25(6), 554-  
497 564, DOI: 10.1002/ldr.21822014, 2014.
- 498 Althaus, C., Bridgman, P., and Davis, G.: *The Australia Policy handbook* (4th Edition), Allen and Unwin, Sydney,  
499 Australia, 2007.
- 500 Arnaez, J., Lasanta, T., Errea, M. P., and Ortigosa, L.: Land abandonment, landscape evolution, and soil erosion  
501 in a Spanish Mediterranean mountain region: the case of Camero Viejo. *Land degradation &*  
502 *development*, 22(6), 537-550, 2011.
- 503 Bardgett, R.D., and Van der Putten, W.H.: Soil biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, *Nature*, 515, 505-511,  
504 2014.
- 505 Bardgett, R.D. and Wardle, D.A.: *Aboveground-Belowground Linkages: Biotic Interactions, Ecosystem Processes,*  
506 *and Global Change*, Oxford Series in Ecology and Evolution, Oxford University Press, 2010.
- 507 Baum, R., Luh, J., and Bartram, J.: Sanitation: A Global Estimate of Sewerage Connections without Treatment  
508 and the Resulting Impact on MDG Progress, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47(4), 1994-2000,  
509 2013.
- 510 Berendse, F., van Ruijven, J., Jongejans, E., and Keesstra, S.: Loss of plant species diversity reduces soil erosion  
511 resistance, *Ecosystems*, 18 (5), 881-888, DOI: 10.1007/s10021-015-9869-62015, 2015.
- 512 Blankinship, J.C., Niklaus, P., and Hungate, B.A.: A meta-analysis of responses of soil biota to global change,  
513 *Oecologia*, 165, 553-565, 2011.
- 514 Boogaard, A.: 'Garden agriculture' and the nature of early farming in Europe and the Near East, *World*  
515 *Archaeology*, 37(2), 177-196, 2005.
- 516 Bonfante, A., and Bouma, J.: The role of soil series in quantitative Land Evaluation when expressing effects of  
517 climate change and crop breeding on future land use, *Geoderma*, 259-260, 187-195, 2015.
- 518 Bonney, R., Shirk, J. L., Phillips, T. B., Wiggins, A., Ballard, H. L., Miller-Rushing, A. J., and Parrish, J. K.: Next steps  
519 for citizen science, *Science*, 343, 1436-1437, 2014. Bouma, J.: Engaging soil science in transdisciplinary  
520 research facing wicked problems in the information society, *Soil Sci.Soc.Amer.J.*, 79, 454-458,  
521 doi:10.2136/sssaj2014.11.0470, 2015a.
- 522 Bouma, J.: Reaching out from the Soil-Box in pursuit of soil security, *J.of Soil Sci. & Plant Nutr.*, 1-10,  
523 <http://dx.doi.org/101080/10380768.2015.1045403>, 2015b.
- 524 Bouma, J., Stoorvogel, J.J., Quiroz, R., Staal, S., Herrero, M., Immerzeel, M., Roetter, R.P., van den Bosch, H.,  
525 Sterk, G., Rabbinge R., and Chater, S.: Ecoregional Research for Development, *Advances in Agronomy*,  
526 93, 257-311, 2007.
- 527 Bouma, J., de Vos, J.A., Sonneveld, M., Heuvelink, G., and Stoorvogel, J.J.: The role of scientists in multiscale land  
528 use analysis: lessons learned from Dutch communities of practice, *Advances in Agronomy*, 97, 177-  
529 239, 2008.
- 530 Bouma, J., van Altvorst, A.C., Eweg, R., Smeets, P.J.A.M., and van Latesteijn, H.C.: The role of knowledge when  
531 studying innovation and the associated wicked sustainability problems in agriculture, *Advances in*  
532 *Agronomy* 113, 285-314, 2011.
- 533 Bouma, J., Broll, G., Crane, T.A., Dewitte, O., Gardi, C., Schulte, R., and Towers, W.: Soil information in support  
534 of policy making and awareness raising, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4, 1-7, 2012.
- 535 Bouma, J., Kwakernaak, C., Bonfante, A., Stoorvogel, J.J., and Dekker, L.W.: Soil science input in  
536 Transdisciplinary projects in the Netherlands and Italy, *Geoderma Regional*, 5, 96-105,  
537 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2015.04.002>, 2015.
- 538 Brevik, E. C., and Burgess, L. C. (Eds.): *Soils and human health*, CRC Press, 2012.
- 539 Brevik, E. C., Cerdà, A., Mataix-Solera, J., Pereg, L., Quinton, J. N., Six, J., and Van Oost, K.: The interdisciplinary  
540 nature of SOIL, *SOIL*, 1, 117-129, doi:10.5194/soil-1-117-2015, 2015.
- 541 Cerdà, A., and Lasanta, T.: Long-term erosional responses after fire in the Central Spanish Pyrenees: 1. Water  
542 and sediment yield, *Catena*, 60(1), 59-80, 2005.
- 543 Cerdà, A., Flanagan, D.C., le Bissonnais, Y., and Boardman, J.: Soil erosion and agriculture, *Soil and Tillage*  
544 *Research*, 106, 107-108, 2009a.
- 545 Cerdà, A., Morera, A.G., and Bodí, M.B.: Soil and water losses from new citrus orchards growing on sloped soils  
546 in the western Mediterranean basin, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34, 1822-1830, DOI:  
547 10.1002/esp.18892009b.
- 548 Cerdà, A., González-Pelayo, O., Jordan, A., Pereira, P., Novara, A., Brevik, E.C., Prosdocimi, M., Mahmoodabadi,  
549 M. Shahid B., Keesstra, S.D., García Orenes, F., and Ritsema, C.: The use of barley straw residues to  
550 avoid high erosion and runoff rates on persimmon plantations in Eastern Spain under low frequency –  
551 high magnitude simulated rainfall events, *Soil Research*, in press, 2015.



- 552 Costa, J.L., Aparicio, V., and Cerdà, A.: Soil physical quality changes under different management systems after  
553 10 years in the Argentine humid pampa, *Solid Earth*, 6 (1), 361-371. DOI: 10.5194/se-6-361-2015,  
554 2015.
- 555 Cox, P.M., Betts, R.A., Jones, C.D., Spall, S.A., and Totterdell, I.J.: Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-  
556 cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model, *Nature*, 408, 184-187, 2000.
- 557 Deasy, C., Quinton, J.N., Silgram, M., Bailey, A.P., Jackson, B., and Stevens, C.J.: Mitigation Options for Sediment  
558 and Phosphorus Loss from Winter-sown Arable Crops, *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 38(5), 2121-  
559 2130, 2009.
- 560 De Groot, P., Wilson, M.A., and Boumans, R.M.J.: A typology for the classification and valuation of ecosystem  
561 functions, goods and services, *Ecol. Econ.*, 41, 393-408, 2002
- 562 De Ponti, T., Rijk, B., and Van Ittersum, M.K.: The crop yield gap between organic and conventional agriculture,  
563 *Agricultural Systems* 108,1-9. 2012.
- 564 de Vries, F. T., Liiri, M. E., Bjornlund, L., Bowker, M. A., Christensen, S., Setälä, H. M., and Bardgett, R. D.: Land  
565 use alters the resistance and resilience of soil food webs to drought, 2, 276-280, 2012.
- 566 De Vries, W., Kros, J., Dolman, M.A., Vellinga, T.H.V., de Boer, H.C., Sonneveld, M.P.W., and Bouma, J.:  
567 Environmental impacts of innovative dairy farming systems aiming at improved internal nutrient  
568 cycling: a multi-scale assessment, *Science of the Total Environment*, 536, 432-442, 2015
- 569 Döll, P., Müller, H., Schmied, C., Schuh, F.T., Portmann, and Eicker, A.: Global-scale assessment of groundwater  
570 depletion and related groundwater abstractions: Combining hydrological modeling with information  
571 from well observations and GRACE satellites, *Water Resources Research*, 50(7), 5698-5720, 2014.
- 572 Dolman, M. A., Sonneveld, M.P.W., Mollenhorst, H., and de Boer, J.M.: Benchmarking the economic,  
573 environmental and societal performance of Dutch dairy farms aiming at internal recycling of nutrients,  
574 *J. Clean. Prod.*, 73, 245–252, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.02.043, 2014.
- 575 Dominati, E., Mackay, A., Green, S., and Patterson, M.: A soil-change based methodology for the quantification  
576 and valuation of ecosystem services from agro- ecosystems: A case study of pastoral agriculture in  
577 New Zealand, *Ecol. Econ.*, 100, 119-129, 2014.
- 578 Ettema, C.H., and Wardle, D.A.: Spatial soil ecology, *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17, 177-183, 2002.
- 579 Fialho, R.C. and Zinn, Y.L.: Changes in soil organic carbon under Eucalyptus plantations in Brazil: A comparative  
580 analysis, *Land Degradation and Development*, 25 (5), 428-437. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2158, 2014.
- 581 Franz, E., Semenov, A.V., Termorshuizen, A.J., de Vos, O.J., Bokhorst, J.G., and van Bruggen, A.H.C.: Manure-  
582 amended soil characteristics affecting the survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 in 36 Dutch soils, *Environmental*  
583 *Microbiology*, 10(2), 313–27, <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01453.x>, 2008.
- 584 Gardi, C., Jeffery, S., and Saltelli, A.: An estimate of potential threats levels to soil biodiversity in EU, *Global*  
585 *Change Biology*, 19, 1538–1548, 2013.
- 586 Gottschalk, P., Smith, J.U., Wattenbach, M., Bellarby, J., Stehfest, E., Arnell, N., Osborn, T. Jones, C. and Smith,  
587 P.: How will organic carbon stocks in mineral soils evolve under future climate? Global projections  
588 using RothC for a range of climate change scenarios, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 3151-3171, doi: 10.5194/bg-9-  
589 3151-2012, 2012.
- 590 Gu, G., Cevallos-Cevallos, J.M., Vallad, G.E., and van Bruggen, A.H.: Organically managed soils reduce internal  
591 colonization of tomato plants by *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, *Phytopathology*, 103(4),  
592 381–388, <http://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-04-12-0072-FI>, 2013).
- 593 Hartkoorn, R.C., Sala, C., Neres, J., Pojer, F., Magnet, S., Mukherjee, R., and Cole, S.T.: Towards a new  
594 tuberculosis drug: pyridomycin–nature's isoniazid, *EMBO molecular medicine*, 4(10), 1032-1042, 2012.
- 595 Hoekstra, A.Y., and Mekonnen, M.M.: The water footprint of humanity, *Proceedings of the National Academy*  
596 *of Sciences*, 109(9), 3232-3237, 2012.
- 597 Jansen, B., Kalbitz, K., and McDowell, W.H.: Dissolved Organic Matter: Linking Soils and Aquatic Systems,  
598 *Vadose Zone Journal*, 13 (7), 2014.
- 599 Joosten, H., Sirin, A., Couwenberg, J., Laine, J., and Smith, P.: The role of peatlands in climate regulation, In:  
600 *Peatland restoration and ecosystem services* (Eds: Bonn A, Allott T, Evans M, Joosten H, Stoneman R),  
601 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2015.
- 602 In't Veld, R.J.(Ed): *Knowledge Democracy, Consequences for science, politics and media*, Springer Verlag, Berlin,  
603 Heidelberg, 2010.
- 604 Keesstra, S.D., van Dam, O., Verstraeten, G., and van Huissteden, J.: Changing sediment generation due to  
605 natural reforestation in the Dragonja catchment, SW Slovenia, *Catena*, 78, 60-71, 2009.
- 606 Keesstra, S.D., Geissen, V., van Schaik, L., Mosse, K., and Piirainen, S.: Soil as a filter for groundwater quality,  
607 *Current Opinions in Environmental Sustainability* 4, 507-516, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2012.10.007, 2012.



- 608 Lal, R.: Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security, *Science*, 304, 1623-1627,  
609 2004.
- 610 Lasanta, T., Vicente Serrano, S.M. & Cuadrat, J.M. (2005): Mountain mediterranean landscape evolution caused  
611 by the abandonment of traditional primary activities: a study of the Spanish Central Pyrenees. *Applied*  
612 *Geography*, 25: 47-65.
- 613 Laudicina, V.A., Novara, A., Barbera, V., Egli, M., and Badalucco, L.: Long-Term Tillage and Cropping System  
614 Effects on Chemical and Biochemical Characteristics of Soil Organic Matter in a Mediterranean  
615 Semiarid Environment, *Land Degradation and Development*, 26 (1), 45-53, DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2293,  
616 2015.
- 617 Lesschen, J.P., Cammeraat, L.H., and Nieman, T.: Erosion and terrace failure due to agricultural land  
618 abandonment in a semi-arid environment, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 33(10), 1574-1584,  
619 2008.
- 620 Ling, L.L., Schneider, T., Peoples, A.J., Spoering, A.L., Engels, I., Conlon, B.P., Mueller, A., Schäberle, T.F., Hughes,  
621 D.E., Epstein, S., Jones, M., Lazarides, Steadman, V.A., Cohen, D.R., Felix, C.R., Fetterman, K.A., Millett,  
622 W.P., Nitti, A.J., Zullo, A.M., Chen, C., and Lewis, K.: A new antibiotic kills pathogens without detectable  
623 resistance, *Nature*, 517, 455–459, doi:10.1038/nature14098, 2015.
- 624 Liu, S.C., Minton, N.P., Giaccia, A.J., and Brown, J.M.: Anticancer efficacy of systemically delivered anaerobic  
625 bacteria as gene therapy vectors targeting tumor hypoxia/necrosis, *Gene therapy*, 9(4), 291-296, 2002.
- 626 Lowry, C.A., Hollis, J.H., De Vries, A., Pan, B., Brunet, L.R., Hunt, J.R., and Lightman, S. L.: Identification of an  
627 immune-responsive mesolimbocortical serotonergic system: potential role in regulation of emotional  
628 behaviour, *Neuroscience*, 146(2), 756-772, 2007.
- 629 Marques, M.J., Bienes, R., Cuadrado, J., Ruiz-Colmenero, M., Barbero-Sierra, C., and Velasco, A.: Analysing  
630 Perceptions Attitudes and Responses of Winegrowers about Sustainable Land Management in Central  
631 Spain, *Land Degradation and Development*, 26 (5), 458-467, DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2355, 2015.
- 632 Mol, G., and Keesstra, S.D.: Editorial: "Soil science in a changing world", *Current Opinions in Environmental*  
633 *Sustainability*, 4, 473–477, 2012.
- 634 Montanarella, L.: Govern our Soils, *Nature* (in press), 2015.
- 635 Montanarella, L., and Lobos Alva, I.: Putting soils on the agenda: The Three Rio Conventions and the post-2015  
636 Development Agenda, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* (in press), 2015.
- 637 Mota, J.F., Peñas, J., Castro, H., Cabello, J., and Guirado, J.S.: Agricultural development vs biodiversity  
638 conservation: the Mediterranean semiarid vegetation in El Ejido (Almería, southeastern Spain),  
639 *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 5, 1597-1617, 1996.
- 640 Müller, A., and Weigelt, J.: Governance for a Land Degradation Neutral World", *IISD Land Policy and*  
641 *Practice Knowledge Database*, 2013.
- 642 Musinguzi, P., Ebanyat, P., Tenywa, J.S., Basamba, T.A., Tenywa, M.M., and Mubiru, D.: Precision of farmer-  
643 based fertility ratings and soil organic carbon for crop production on a Ferralsol, *Solid Earth*, 6, 1063-  
644 1073, DOI: 10.5194/se-6-1063-2015, 2015.
- 645 Novara, A., Gristina, L., Guaitoli, F., Santoro, A., and Cerdà, A.: Managing soil nitrate with cover crops and buffer  
646 strips in Sicilian vineyards, *Solid Earth*, 4, 255-262, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/se-4-255-2013>,  
647 2013.
- 648 Olang, L.O., Kundu, P.M., Ouma, G., and Fürst, J.: Impacts of land cover change scenarios on storm runoff  
649 generation: A basis for management of the Nyando Basin, Kenya, *Land Degradation and Development*,  
650 25, 267-277. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2140, 2014.
- 651 Pretty, J.N., Toulmin, C., and Williams, S.: Sustainable intensification in African agriculture, *Int. J. Agr. Sustain.*,  
652 9, 5-24, 2011.
- 653 Sanchez, P., Palm, C., Sachs, J., Denning, G., Flor, R., Harawa, R., Jama, B., Kiffemariam, T., Konecky, B., Kozar, R., Lelera, E.,  
654 Malik, A., Modi, V., Mutuo, P., Niang, A., Okoth, H., Place, F., Sachs, S. E., Said, A., Siriri, D., Teklehaimanot, A.,  
655 Wang, K., Wangila, J., and Zamba, C.: The African Millennium Villages, *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
656 *Sciences*, 104, 16775-16780, 10.1073/pnas.0700423104, 2007.
- 657 Rook, G.A.: Regulation of the immune system by biodiversity from the natural environment: an ecosystem  
658 service essential to health, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110, 18360-18367, 2013.
- 659 Roy, M., and McDonald, L.M.: Metal uptake in plants and health risk assessments in metal-contaminated  
660 smelter soils, *Land Degradation and Development*, 26, 785–792, DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2237, 2013.
- 661 Sacristán, D., Peñarroya, B., and Recatalá, L.: Increasing the Knowledge on the Management of Cu-  
662 Contaminated Agricultural Soils by Cropping Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum* L.), *Land Degradation and*  
663 *Development*, 26, 587-595, DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2319, 2015.





- 664 Schmidt, M.W.I., Torn, M.S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I.A., Kleber, M., Kögel-Knabner, I.,  
 665 Lehmann, J., Manning, D.A.C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D.P., Weiner, S., and Trumbore, S.E.: Persistence of  
 666 soil organic matter as an ecosystem property, *Nature*, 478, 49-56, 2011.
- 667 Schröter, D., Cramer, W., Leemans, R., Prentice, I.C., Araújo, M.B., Arnell, N.W., Bondeau, A., Bugmann, H.,  
 668 Carter, T., Garcia, C.A., de la Vega-Leinert, A.C., Erhard, M., Ewert, F., Glendining, M., House, J.I.,  
 669 Kankaanpää, S., Klein, R.J.T., Lavorel, S., Lindner, M., Metzger, M.J., Meyer, J., Mitchell, T., Reginster, I.,  
 670 Rounsevell, M., Sabaté, S., Sitch, S., Smith, B., Smith, J.U., Smith, P., Sykes, M.T., Thonicke, K., Thuiller,  
 671 W., Tuck, G., Zaehle, S., and Zierl, B.: Ecosystem service supply and human vulnerability to global  
 672 change in Europe, *Science*, 310 (5752), 1333-1337, 2005.
- 673 Selinus, O. (ed): *Essentials of Medical Geology*, - Revised Edition, Springer, 2013.
- 674 Siebert, S., and Döll, P.: Quantifying blue and green virtual water contents in global crop production as well as  
 675 potential production losses without irrigation, *Journal of Hydrology*, 2010, 384, 198-217.
- 676 Siebert, S., Kummu, M., Porkka, M., Döll, P., Ramankutty, N., and Scanlon, B.R.: A global data set of the extent  
 677 of irrigated land from 1900 to 2005, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 19, 1521-1545, 2015.
- 678 Six, J., Feller, C., Denef, K., Ogle, S. M., Sa, J. C. d. M., and Albrecht, A.: Soil organic matter, biota and aggregation in  
 679 temperate and tropical soils - Effects of no-tillage, *Agronomie*, 22, 755-775, 2002.
- 680 Shi, Y., Zhao, X., Gao, X., Zhang, S., and Wu, P.: The Effects of Long-term Fertiliser Applications on Soil Organic  
 681 Carbon and Hydraulic Properties of a Loess Soil in China, *Land Degradation and Development*, DOI:  
 682 10.1002/ldr.2391, 2015.
- 683 Skondras, N., and Karavitis, C.: Evaluation and Comparison of DPSIR Framework and the Combined SWOT –  
 684 DPSIR Analysis (CSDA), Approach: Towards Embracing Complexity, 2015.
- 685 Smith, P.: Soils as carbon sinks - the global context, *Soil Use and Management* 20, 212-218. 2004.
- 686 Smith, P.: Soils and climate change, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4, 539–544. doi:  
 687 10.1016/j.cosust.2012.06.005, 2012.
- 688 Smith, P., Fang, C., Dawson, J.J.C., and Moncreiff, J.B.: Impact of global warming on soil organic carbon,  
 689 *Advances in Agronomy*, 97, 1-43, 2008a.
- 690 Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H.H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C.,  
 691 Scholes, R.J., Sirotenko, O., Howden, M., McAllister, T., Pan, G., Romanenkov, V., Schneider, U.,  
 692 Towprayoon, S., Wattenbach, M., and Smith, J.U.: Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture,  
 693 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B*, 363, 789-813, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2007.2184, 2008b.
- 694 Smith, P., House, J.I., Bustamante, M., Sobocká, J., Harper, R., Pan, G., West, P.C., Clark, J.M., Adhya, T.,  
 695 Rumpel, C., Paustian, K., Kuikman, P., Cotrufo, M.F., Elliott, J.A., McDowell, R., Griffiths, R.I., Asakawa,  
 696 S., Bondeau, A., Jain, A.K., Meersmans, J., and Pugh, T.A.M.: Global change pressures on soils from land  
 697 use and management, *Global Change Biology*, 2015.
- 698 Stanchi, S., Freppaz, M., Agnelli, A., Reinsch, T., and Zanini, E.: Properties, best management practices and  
 699 conservation of terraced soils in Southern Europe (from Mediterranean areas to the Alps): a review,  
 700 *Quaternary International*, 265, 90-100, 2012.
- 701 Stoorvogel, J.J., Kooistra, L., and Bouma, J.: Managing soil variability at different spatial scales as a basis for  
 702 precision agriculture, Chapter 2 in: Lal, R., and Stewart, B.A. (Ed), *Soil Specific Farming: Precision  
 703 Agriculture*, *Advances in Soil Science*, 37-73, CRC Press, Taylor Francis Group. Boca Raton, FL, USA,  
 704 2015.
- 705 Schwilch, G., Hessel, R., and Verzaandvoort, S.(Eds): *Desire for Greener Land. Options for sustainable land  
 706 management in drylands*, University of Bern-CDE, Switzerland; Alterra, ISRIC, World Soil Information  
 707 and CTA, Technical Center for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation, all in Wageningen, The Netherlands,  
 708 2012.
- 709 Teshome, A., de Graaff, J., Ritsema, C., Kassie, M.: Farmers' perceptions about the influence of land quality,  
 710 land fragmentation and tenure systems on sustainable land management in the north western  
 711 ethiopian highlands, *Land Degradation and Development*, DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2298, 2014.
- 712 Tittonell, P.: Livelihood strategies, resilience and transformability in African agroecosystems, *Agric. Syst.*, 126,  
 713 3-14, 2014.
- 714 Tittonell, P., and Giller, K.E.: When yield gaps are poverty traps: The paradigm of ecological intensification in  
 715 African smallholder agriculture, *Field Crop Res.*, 143, 76-90, 2013.
- 716 Tittonell, P., Vanlauwe, B., Corbeels, M., and Giller, K.E.: Yield gaps, nutrient use efficiencies and responses to  
 717 fertilisers by maize across heterogeneous smallholder farms in western Kenya, *Plant and Soil*, 313, 19–  
 718 37, 2008.



- 719 Tittonell, P., Muriuki, A.W., Shepherd, K.D., Mugendi, D., Kaizzi, K.C., Okeyo, J., Verchot, L., Coe, R., and  
 720 Vanlauwe, B.: The diversity of rural livelihoods and their influence on soil fertility in agricultural  
 721 systems of East Africa - A typology of smallholder farms, *Agricultural Systems*, 103, 83–97, 2010.
- 722 Teshome, A., de Graaff, J., Ritsema, C., and Kassie, M.: Farmers' perceptions about the influence of land quality,  
 723 land fragmentation and tenure systems on sustainable land management in the north western  
 724 ethiopian highlands, *Land Degradation and Development*, DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2298, 2014.
- 725 Torn, M.S., Chabbi, A., Crill, P., Hanson, P.J., Janssens, I.A., Luo, Y., Pries, C.H., Rumpel, C., Schmidt, M.W.I., Six,  
 726 J., Schruppf, M., and Zhu, B.: A call for international soil experiment networks for studying, predicting,  
 727 and managing global change impacts, *SOIL* 1, 575-582, doi:10.5194/soil-1-575-2015, 2015.
- 728 Thomson-Klein, J., Grossenbacher-Mansuy, W., Häberli, R., Bill, A., Scholz, R.W., Welti, M.: *Transdisciplinarity:  
 729 joint problem solving among science, technology and society. An effective way for managing  
 730 complexity*, Birkhauer Publ.Cie Basel, 2001.
- 731 Thornton, P.T., Ericksen, P.J., Herrero, M., Challinor, A.J.: Climate variability and vulnerability to climate change:  
 732 a review, *Global Change Biology*, November 2014, 10.1111/gcb.12581, 2014.
- 733 Treseder, K.K.: Nitrogen additions and microbial biomass: A meta-analysis of ecosystem studies, *Ecology letters*,  
 734 11, 1111-1120, 2008.
- 735 Tsiafouli, M.A., Thébault, E., Sgardelis, S., De Ruiter, P.C., Van der Putten, W.H., Birkhofer, K., Hemerik, L., De  
 736 Vries, F.T., Bardgett, R.D., Brady, M., Bjornlund, L., Bracht Jörgensen, H., Christensen, S., D' Hertfelt, T.,  
 737 Hotes, S., Hol, W.H.G., Frouz, J., Liiri, M., Mortimer, S.R., Setälä, H., Stary, J., Tzanopoulos, J., Uteseny,  
 738 C., Wolters, V. and Hedlund, K.: Intensive agriculture reduces soil biodiversity across Europe, *Global  
 739 Change Biology*, 21, 973-985, 2015.
- 740 Tonneijck, F.H., Jansen, B., Nierop, K.G.J., Verstraten, J.M., Sevink, J., and De Lange, I.: Carbon stocks and  
 741 stabilization mechanisms in volcanic ash soils in natural Andean ecosystems of northern Ecuador,  
 742 *European Journal of Soil Science*, 61, 392-405, 2010.
- 743 UNDESA, United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs: World population prospects: the 2012  
 744 revision, medium variant, Online Available at:  
 745 [http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/unpp/panel\\_population.htm](http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/unpp/panel_population.htm), 2013.
- 746 Van Camp, L., Bujarrabal, B., Gentile, A.R., Jones, R.J.A., Montanarella, L., Olazabel, C., and Selvaradjou, S.K.:  
 747 Reports of the Technical Working Groups established under the Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection,  
 748 EUR 2131'9EN/6, Office for the official publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 2004.
- 749 Van Grinsven, H.J.M., Erisman, J.W., de Vries, W., and Westhoek, H.: Potential of extensification of European  
 750 agriculture for a more sustainable food system, focusing on nitrogen, *Environmen. Res. Lett.*, 10,  
 751 025002, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/025002, 2015.
- 752 Viscarra Rossel, R.A., Mc Bratney, A., and Minashy, B., Eds.: *Proximal Soil Sensing*, Progress in Soil Science,  
 753 Springer Verlag, 2010.
- 754 Vivant, A.-L., Garmyn, D., Maron, P.-A., Nowak, V., and Piveteau, P.: Microbial Diversity and Structure Are  
 755 Drivers of the Biological Barrier Effect against *Listeria monocytogenes* in Soil, *PLoS ONE*, 8(10), e76991,  
 756 <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076991>, 2013.
- 757 Vlek, P.L.G., Quang Bao, L., and Lulseged, T.: Land Decline in Land-rich Africa – A Creeping Disaster in the  
 758 Making, CGIAR Science Council Secretariat, Rome, Italy, 63 pp, 2008.
- 759 von Hertzen, L., and Hahtela, T.: Disconnection of man and the soil: reason for the asthma and atopy  
 760 epidemic? *Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*, 117(2), 334-344, 2006.
- 761 Wall, D. H., Nielsen, U. N., and Six, J.: Soil biodiversity and human health, *Nature* 528, 69-76,  
 762 doi:10.1038/nature15744, 2015.
- 763 Wagg, C., Bender, S.F., Widmer, F., and van der Heijden, M.G.A.: Soil biodiversity and soil community  
 764 composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality, *Proceedings of the National Academy of  
 765 Sciences*, 111:5266-5270, 2014.
- 766 Whitmore, A.P. and Schroeder, J.J.: Intercropping reduces nitrate leaching from under field crops without loss  
 767 of yield: A modelling study, *Eur J Agron* 27, 81-88, 2007.
- 768 WOCAT: Where the Land is Greener, Case studies and analysis of soil and water conservation initiatives  
 769 worldwide. H. Liniger and W. Critchley Eds', CTA, FAO, UNEP and CDE publishers, 2007.
- 770 Young, I.M., and Crawford, J.W.: Interactions and self-organization in the soil-microbe  
 771 complex, *Science*, 304(5677), 1634-1637, 2004).
- 772 Zubkova, T.A., Krpachevsky, L.O., Ashinov, Yu. N.: Soil as a factor of human health (in Rus.), [Зубкова, Т. А.,  
 773 Карпачевский, Л. О., & Ашинов, Ю. Н. Почва как фактор здоровья человека. Пространство и  
 774 время, (2 (12))]. Retrieved on November 7, 2015 from <http://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/pochva-kak-faktor-zdorovya-cheloveka>, 2013.



776

777

778



779  
780

Table 1: The UN “Sustainable Development Goals” for the period 2015–2030. ((<http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/focussdgs.html>), related to ecosystem services and soil functions, as discussed.).

SDGs topic		Eco-system services												Relates to soil function (Table 2)	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
1	End poverty in all its forms everywhere	X	X	X	X										1, 5
2	End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture	X		X											1, 2, 4
3	Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages	X							X	X	X	X	X		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
4	Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all											X			7
5	Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls														
6	Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all				X	X		X		X					2
7	Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all	X	X												1, 5, 6
8	Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all	X	X	X											1, 2, 5, 6
9	Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation		X	X											2, 4, 5
10	Reduce inequality within and among countries														
11	Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable		X	X											2, 4, 5,
12	Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns	X	X			X	X	X							1, 2
13	Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts				X		X								2, 6
14	Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development														
15	Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
16	Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels			X						X		X	X		4, 7
17	Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development														

781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787




**Table 2: The seven soil Functions (SFs) as defined by the European Commission (EC, 2006)**

<b>1</b>	Biomass production, including agriculture and forestry
<b>2</b>	Storing, filtering and transforming nutrients, substances and water
<b>3</b>	Biodiversity pool, such as habitats, species and genes
<b>4</b>	Physical and cultural environment for humans and human activities
<b>5</b>	Source of raw material
<b>6</b>	Acting as carbon pool
<b>7</b>	Archive of geological and archaeological heritage

788

789



790

791 Figure 1 shows six major global issues, each of which relates to one or more of the SDGs: (i) food  
792 security; (ii) human health; (iii) land management, including land restoration; (iv) water security; (v)  
793 climate change, and (vi) biodiversity preservation. Each of these issues will be discussed in short  
794 essays, loosely based on discussions held at the EGU Soil Conference in Vienna, in April 2015 and at  
795 the Wageningen Conference on: "Soil Science in a Changing World" in August 2015.

796