Fractional Adams-Moser-Trudinger type inequalities

Luca Martinazzi* University of Basel luca.martinazzi@unibas.ch

Abstract

Extending several works, we prove a general Adams-Moser-Trudinger type inequality for the embedding of Bessel-potential spaces $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$ into Orlicz spaces for an arbitrary domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with finite measure. In particular we prove

$$\sup_{u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p}, p}(\Omega), \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_{n, p} |u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} dx \le c_{n, p} |\Omega|,$$

for a positive constant $\alpha_{n,p}$ whose sharpness we also prove. We further extend this result to the case of Lorentz-spaces (i.e. $(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} u \in L^{(p,q)}$). The proofs are simple, as they use Green functions for fractional Laplace operators and suitable cut-off procedures to reduce the fractional results to the sharp estimate on the Riesz potential proven by Adams and its generalization proven by Xiao and Zhai. We also discuss an application to the problem of prescribing the *Q*-curvature and some open problems.

MSC 2010. 46E35, 26A33, 35R11.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open domain with finite measure $|\Omega|$. It is well known that for a positive integer k < n and for $1 \le p < \frac{n}{k}$ the Sobolev space $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ embeds continuously into $L^{\frac{np}{n-kp}}(\Omega)$, while in the borderline case $p = \frac{n}{k}$ one has $W_0^{k,\frac{n}{k}}(\Omega) \not\subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, unless k = n. On the other hand, as shown by Yudovich [48], Pohozaev [40], Trudinger [44] and others, for the case k = 1 one has

$$W_0^{1,n}(\Omega) \subset \left\{ u \in L^1(\Omega) : E_{\beta}(u) := \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta |u|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx < \infty \right\}, \quad \text{for any } \beta < \infty,$$

and the functional E_{β} is continuous on $W_0^{1,n}(\Omega)$. This embedding was complemented with a sharp inequality by Moser [36], the so-called Moser-Trudinger inequality:

$$\sup_{u \in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega), \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(\Omega)} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_n |u|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx \le C |\Omega|, \quad \alpha_n := n \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \tag{1}$$

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . The constant α_n is sharp in the sense that for $\alpha > \alpha_n$ the supremum in (1) is infinite.

^{*}supported by Swiss National Science Foundation

An extension of Moser's result to the case k > 1 was given by Adams [2] who proved that

$$\sup_{u \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n), \, \operatorname{supp}(u) \subset \bar{\Omega}, \, \|\Delta^{\frac{k}{2}}u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{k}}(\Omega)} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha |u|^{\frac{n}{n-k}}} dx \le C|\Omega|, \tag{2}$$

for an optimal constant $\alpha = \alpha(k, n)$. Here $k \in (0, n) \cap \mathbb{N}$ and $\Delta^{\frac{k}{2}} u := \nabla \Delta^{\frac{k-1}{2}} u$ when k is odd.

In this paper we study the fractional case of Adams' inequality, i.e. we allow $k \in (0, n)$ to be non-integer. Let us consider the space

$$L_s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|}{1+|x|^{n+s}} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

For functions $u \in L_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u$ can be defined as follows. First set

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}\varphi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^s \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi))$$

for φ belonging to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of rapidly decreasing functions, where \mathcal{F} denotes the unitary Fourier transform. Then for $u \in L_s(\mathbb{R})$ we define $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u$ as a tempered distributions via the formula

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u,\varphi\rangle = \langle u, (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}\varphi\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}\varphi dx, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$
(3)

the right-hand side being well-defined because

$$|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}\varphi(x)| \le \frac{C_{\varphi}}{1+|x|^{n+s}}, \text{ for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

see e.g. Proposition 2.1 in [20].

For a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (possibly unbounded), $s \ge 0$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ we define

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

$$\tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega) := \{ u \in H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \}.$$

$$(4)$$

Then we have:

Theorem 1 For any $p \in (1, \infty)$ and positive integer n set

$$K_{n,s} := \frac{\Gamma((n-s)/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)2^s \pi^{n/2}}, \quad \alpha_{n,p} := \frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} K_{n,\frac{n}{p}}^{-p'}, \quad p' := \frac{p}{p-1}.$$
(5)

Then for any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with finite measure we have

$$\sup_{u\in\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega), \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}\leq 1}\int_{\Omega}e^{\alpha_{n,p}|u|^{p'}}dx\leq c_{n,p}|\Omega|.$$
(6)

Moreover the constant $\alpha_{n,p}$ is sharp in the sense that we cannot replace it with any larger one without making the supremum in (6) infinite.

Remark 2 The norm $\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ is equivalent to $\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for functions in $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$, see for instance Theorem 7.1 in [18].

To explain the idea of the proof let us recall that Adams' result (2) follows at once from the following result, which is Theorem 2 in [2]:

Theorem 3 (Adams) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set with finite measure $|\Omega|$, and fix $p \in (1, \infty)$. For $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ consider the Riesz potential $I_{\alpha}f$ defined as

$$I_{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy.$$

Then

$$\sup_{e \in L^{p}(\Omega), \|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} |I_{\frac{n}{p}}f|^{p'}} dx \le c_{n,p} |\Omega|, \quad p' = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

The constant $\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}}$ is sharp in the sense that

$$\sup_{f \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{\delta}), \, \|f\|_{L^p(B_{\delta})} \le 1} \int_{B_{\delta}} e^{\gamma |I_{\frac{n}{p}}f|^{p'}} dx = \infty \quad for \ every \ \delta > 0, \ \gamma > \frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}}$$

Adams applies this result to the function $f = (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} u$ where u is smooth and supported in $\overline{\Omega}$, and $p = \frac{n}{k}$ (compare to (2)). Here it is crucial that when $\frac{n}{p} \in \mathbb{N}$, then the support of f (with Adams' convention that $(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} = \nabla(-\Delta)^{\frac{n/p-1}{2}}$ for $\frac{n}{p}$ odd, up to a sign) does not exceed the support of u, so that Theorem 3 can be applied. This is not the case when $\frac{n}{p} \notin \mathbb{N}$. Indeed for general s > 0 the support of $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u$ can be the whole \mathbb{R}^n even if u is compactly supported.

In order to circumvent this issue, instead of using the Riesz potential we will write u in terms of a Green representation formula (Proposition 8 below)

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G_{\frac{n}{p}}(x, y) (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} u(y) dy,$$
(7)

which holds for a suitable Green function which we construct using variational methods, and which we can sharply bound in terms of the fundamental solution of $(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}$ in \mathbb{R}^n (see estimate (19) in particular). The Green formula (7) will be first proven for functions in $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and then extended to all functions in $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$ thanks to a density theorem of Yu. V. Netrusov. Since Ω is not necessarily bounded and might have rough boundary, we must be careful, particularly in using maximum principles (we will use a simple "variational" maximum principle instead of the one of Silvestre [43]). We remark that estimates for the Green function of $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ on bounded domains with $C^{1,1}$ boundary were proven by Chen and Song [12] and other authors (see e.g [1]) when s < 2. This is of course insufficient for our purposes. Our strategy here is to first prove the precise estimate for G_{σ} when $\sigma \in (0, 2]$ (only assuming $|\Omega| < \infty$), and then, following a suggestion of A. Maalaoui, write G_s as convolution of k copies of G_2 and one copy of G_{σ} for $s = 2k + \sigma$.

The sharpness of the inequality (6), i.e. of the constant $\alpha_{n,p}$ will be instead obtained by constructing suitable test functions, with a method of cut-off suggested by A. Schikorra, and using a disjoint-support estimate (Proposition 11 below) which extends analogous estimates from [32].

Let us mention some previous partial results. Ozawa [39] proved a subcritical version of Theorem 1, i.e. (6) for some $\alpha < \alpha_{n,p}$ under some regularity assumptions on Ω (for instance Ω bounded and with regular boundary, or with the extension property). Lam and Lu [29] proved that for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ the integral in (6) is uniformly bounded for u such that $\|(\tau I - \Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$ (here $\tau > 0$ is fixed). More recently Iula, Maalaoui and Martinazzi [23] proved Theorem 1 in dimension 1, i.e. on a bounded interval $I \in \mathbb{R}$ and for the sharp constant $\alpha_{1,p}$. They also proved the following sharpness result:

$$\sup_{u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{1}{p}, p}(I), \, \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2p}} u\|_{L^{p}(I)} \le 1} \int_{I} |u|^{a} e^{\alpha_{1, p} |u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} dx = \infty \quad \text{for every } a > 0.$$
(8)

Notice that this is stronger than just saying that $\alpha_{1,p}$ is optimal. In Theorem 1 we are not able to prove the analog of (8) because we use cut-off functions, which are convenient, but difficult to estimate when handling fractional norms. The proof of (8) instead relies on constructing test functions of the form

$$u(x) = \int_I G_{\frac{1}{p}}(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where f is suitably prescribed, and on the fact that such u belongs to $\tilde{H}^{\frac{1}{p},p}(I)$. The same does not hold when dealing with operators of order $\frac{n}{p} > 1$, since u defined via a Green representation formula might not be regular enough at the boundary to belong to the right space $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$.

Recently, extending results of Cassini and Tarsi [9], Xiao and Zhan [47] considered a fractional Adams' type inequality under the assumption that $(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u$ is supported in Ω (which is not implied by and in general not compatible with our request that u itself is supported in Ω). In their work they extend the above-mentioned Adams' Theorem 3 to several situations, in particular considering f belonging to the Lorentz space $L^{(p,q)}(\Omega)$ (when $\frac{n}{p} \in \mathbb{N}$ this had been previously done by Alberico [5]).

Theorem 4 (Xiao-Zhai) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and have finite measure, let $p \in (1, \infty)$, and let the Riesz potential I_{α} be defined as in Theorem 3. For $q \in (1, \infty]$ set $\gamma_{n,p,q} := \left(\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{q'}{p'}}$. Then for $q \in (1, \infty)$ one has

$$\sup_{f \in L^{(p,q)}(\Omega), \|f\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\Omega)} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\gamma_{n,p,q} |I_{\frac{n}{p}} f|^{q'}} dx \le c_{n,p,q} |\Omega|$$

and the constant $\gamma_{n,p,q}$ is sharp. When q = 1

$$\|I_{\frac{n}{p}}f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L^{(p,1)}(\Omega)}, \quad for \ every \ f \in L^{(p,1)}(\Omega)$$

Finally when $q = \infty$ (and by convention q' = 1)

$$\sup_{f \in L^{(p,\infty)}(\Omega), \, \|f\|_{L^{(p,\infty)}(\Omega)} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\gamma |I_{\frac{n}{p}}f|} dx \le \frac{d_{n,p} |\Omega|}{\gamma_{n,p,\infty} - \gamma} \quad for \ every \ \gamma < \gamma_{n,p,\infty},$$

and the constant $\gamma_{n,p,\infty}$ cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Still resting on the Green representation formula (7), Xiao and Zhan's results can be immediately extended to the case of functions supported in Ω without any assumption on the support of their fractional derivatives. More precisely for $q \in [1, \infty]$ let $\tilde{H}^{s,(p,q)}(\Omega)$ denote the closure of $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{s,(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|u\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
(9)

Notice that by Netrusov's theorem (Theorem 13 in the appendix) $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},(p,p)}(\Omega) = \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$, the latter space being defined in (4). We then obtain:

Theorem 5 Let Ω , p, $\alpha_{n,p}$ and $K_{n,p}$ be as in Theorem 1. For $q \in (1,\infty]$ set $\beta_{n,p,q} := (\alpha_{n,p})^{\frac{q'}{p'}}$. Then for $q \in (1,\infty)$

$$\sup_{u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},(p,q)}(\Omega), \, \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\Omega)} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta_{n,p,q}|u|^{q'}} dx \le c_{n,p,q} |\Omega|, \tag{10}$$

and the constant $\beta_{n,p,q}$ is sharp. When q = 1

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le (\alpha_{n,p})^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} u\|_{L^{(p,1)}(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for every } u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},(p,1)}(\Omega).$$
(11)

Finally when $q = \infty$ we get

$$\sup_{u\in\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},(p,\infty)}(\Omega), \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u\|_{L^{(p,\infty)}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta|u|} dx \leq \frac{K_{n,\frac{n}{p}}^{-1}d_{n,p}|\Omega|}{\beta_{n,p,\infty}-\beta}, \quad \text{for every } \beta < \beta_{n,p,\infty}.$$
(12)

The constant $\beta_{n,p,\infty}$ in (12) cannot be replaced by a larger one, . The constants $c_{n,p,q}$ and $d_{n,p}$ are as in Theorem 4.

We mention that Adams-Moser-Trudinger type inequalities of integer order on manifolds have been proven by Fontana [16]. In the case p = 1 related inequalities (similar to (12)) have been originally proven by Brézis and Merle [7] in dimension 2, and then extended by C-S. Lin [31], J-C. Wei [45] and the author [35] to arbitrary even dimension, and recently by Da Lio-Martinazzi-Rivière [13] in dimension n = 1 and by A. Hyder [20] in arbitrary odd dimension.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will prove Theorems 1 and 5. In section 3 we will discuss a couple of applications to semilinear equations involving exponential non-linearities, including those arising in the prescribed Q-curvature problem. Open questions are discussed in Section 4, while in the Appendix we collect some known results which we need for the proofs in Section 2.

2 Green functions and proof of Theorems 1 and 5

The following lemma is well known. One can prove it by hands using (3) and the formula for the Fourier transform of $|x|^{s-n}$, see e.g. [30, Theorem 5.9].

Lemma 6 The fundamental solution of $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ on \mathbb{R}^n is $F_s(x) = K_{n,s}|x|^{s-n}$, in the sense that $F_s \in L_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}F_s = \delta_0$ in the sense of tempered distributions (see (3)). Moreover

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(F_s * f) = f \quad for \ every \ f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proposition 7 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and have finite measure and $\sigma \in (0,2]$ such that $\sigma < n$ be fixed. Then for every $x \in \Omega$ there is a function $G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) = \delta_x & \text{in } \Omega\\ G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \equiv 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(13)

the first equation being in the sense of distributions (i.e. as in Proposition 16 in the appendix). Moreover

$$0 \le G_{\sigma}(x, y) \le F_{\sigma}(x - y) \quad \text{for a.e. } y \ne x \in \Omega.$$
(14)

Finally given $u \in \tilde{H}^{\sigma,p}(\Omega)$ for some $p \geq 1$, we have

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G_{\sigma}(x, y) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(y) dy, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$
(15)

where the right-hand side is well defined for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ thanks to (14) and Fubini's theorem.

Proof. We first consider that case $\sigma < 2$. Given $x \in \Omega$ let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ and $g_x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be any function with $g_x(y) = F_{\sigma}(x-y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\delta}(x)$. We first claim that g_x satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(g_x(y) - g_x(z))^2}{|y - z|^{n + \sigma}} dy dz < \infty.$$
(16)

Indeed, splitting for a fixed $z \in \Omega$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(g_x(y) - g_x(z))^2}{|y - z|^{n + \sigma}} dy = \int_{B_1(z)} \frac{(g_x(y) - g_x(z))^2}{|y - z|^{n + \sigma}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1(z)} \frac{(g_x(y) - g_x(z))^2}{|y - z|^{n + \sigma}} dy$$
$$=: (I) + (II)$$

we easily see that with a constant C only depending on n and σ

$$(I) \le \|\nabla g_x\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1(z))}^2 \int_{B_1(z)} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n+\sigma-2}} dy \le C \|\nabla g_x\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

(where we used that $|g_x(y) - g_x(z)| \le ||\nabla g_x||_{L^{\infty}(B_1(z))}|y - z|)$ and

$$(II) \le \|g_x\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1(z)} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n+\sigma}} dy \le C \|g_x\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

and integrating with respect to z on Ω (which has finite measure) we infer that (16) holds, as claimed.

Now Proposition 16 in the appendix implies that there exists a unique $H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}, 2}(\Omega) + g_x$ solution to

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) = g_x & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(17)

in the sense of distribution. Moreover, by Proposition 17 in the appendix applied to the functions $u_1 = H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot)$ and $u_2 = -H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) + \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} g_x$, we infer

$$0 \le H_{\sigma}(x, y) \le \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} F_{\sigma}(x - z) \quad \text{for a.e. } y \in \Omega.$$
(18)

Notice that here we used that

$$u_1 \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega) + g_x, \quad u_2 \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega) - g_x + \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} g_x$$

and the functions $g_1 = g_x$ and $g_2 = -g_x + \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} g_x$ satisfy (30) thanks to (16). Set

$$G_{\sigma}(x,y) := F_{\sigma}(x-y) - H_{\sigma}(x,y), \quad (x,y) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

That $G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot)$ satisfies (13) follows at once from Lemma 6 and (17). We also have $G_{\sigma}(x, y) \leq F_{\sigma}(x-y)$ thanks to (18).

We want to show that $G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \geq 0$ in Ω . Since $H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot)$ is bounded, for $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta]$ sufficiently small we have

 $F_{\sigma}(x-y) > H_{\sigma}(x,y)$ for a.e. $y \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)$,

hence $G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \geq 0$ in $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$. We can now modify $F_{\sigma}(x - \cdot)$ in $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ to obtain a new function $\Gamma_x \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\Gamma_x \leq F_{\sigma}(x-\cdot) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \Gamma_x = F_{\sigma}(x-\cdot) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x), \quad (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \Gamma_x \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

as done in [43, Section 2.2], see [43, Prop. 2.11] in particular. We now claim that

$$\Gamma_x - H_\sigma(x, \cdot) \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}, 2}(\Omega).$$

Indeed the function $\Gamma_x - g_x$ lies in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and vanishes outside Ω . Then with the same computations used to prove (16) one easily sees that $\Gamma_x - g_x \in W^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also Proposition 15), so that

$$\Gamma_x - H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) = (\Gamma_x - g_x) - (H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) - g_x) \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}, 2}(\Omega),$$

as claimed. Then, since

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\Gamma_x - H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot)) \ge 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \Gamma_x - H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,$$

by the maximum principle (Proposition 17) we have $\Gamma_x - H_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \ge 0$ in Ω , hence $G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot) \ge 0$ also in $\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)$. This completes the proof of (14).

To prove (15), let us start considering $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Let δ_x denote the Dirac distribution in x. Then, using u as test function in (13), we get

$$u(x) = \langle \delta_x, u \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} G_{\sigma}(x, \cdot), u \rangle := \int_{\Omega} G_{\sigma}(x, y) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(y) dy.$$

Given now $u \in \tilde{H}^{\sigma,p}(\Omega)$, let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ converge to u in $\tilde{H}^{\sigma,p}(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$u_k \to u, \quad (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_k \to (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ hence in } L^1(\Omega),$$

see Theorem 13. Then

$$u \stackrel{L^{1}(\Omega)}{\longleftarrow} u_{k} = \int_{\Omega} G_{\sigma}(\cdot, y) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_{k}(y) dy \stackrel{L^{1}(\Omega)}{\longrightarrow} \int_{\Omega} G_{\sigma}(\cdot, y) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(y) dy,$$

the convergence on the right following from (14) and Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_{\Omega} G_{\sigma}(x,y) \left[(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_{k}(y) - (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(y) \right] dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} F_{\sigma}(x-y) \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_{k}(y) - (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(y) \right| dx dy \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in \Omega} \|F_{\sigma}(\cdot - y)\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_{k} - (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u \|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \to 0 \end{split}$$

as $k \to \infty$, where we used that $||F_{\sigma}(\cdot - y)||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C$ for a constant C independent of y, as can be seen by writing

$$||F_{\sigma}(\cdot - y)||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq ||F_{\sigma}(\cdot - y)||_{L^{1}(B_{1}(y))} + ||F_{\sigma}(\cdot - y)||_{L^{1}(\Omega \setminus B_{1}(y))} \\ \leq C_{n,\sigma} + K_{n,\sigma}|\Omega|.$$

Since the convergence in L^1 implies the a.e. convergence (up to a subsequence), (15) follows.

The case $\sigma = 2$ is probably well known. The reader can easily prove it in a way similar to the case $\sigma \in (0, 2)$, replacing Propositions 16 and 17 with the natural (local) analogs for $\sigma = 2$. For instance the functional \mathcal{B}_{σ} will be replaced by

$$\mathcal{B}_2(u,v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx, \quad u,v \in H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

To avoid confusion it might also be useful to notice that

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^{1,2}(\Omega) &:= \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : u \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\ &= \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : u \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\ &=: \tilde{H}^{1,2}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

as can be seen via Fourier transform.

Using the convolutions of several Green functions (an idea suggested by Ali Maalaoui) we can extend Proposition 7 to higher order s > 2.

Proposition 8 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and have finite measure. Set $s = 2k + \sigma < n$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in (0, 2]$, and define

$$G_s(x,y) := \int_{\Omega} G_2(x,y_1) \int_{\Omega} G_2(y_1,y_2) \dots \int_{\Omega} G_2(y_{k-1},y_k) \int_{\Omega} G_{\sigma}(y_k,y) dy_k \dots dy_1.$$

Then

$$0 \le G_s(x,y) \le (\underbrace{F_2 * F_2 * \dots * F_2}_{k \ times} * F_{\sigma})(x-y) = \frac{K_{n,s}}{|x-y|^{n-s}},$$
(19)

where * denotes the usual convolution in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, if $u \in \tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega)$ for some $p \geq 1$, it holds

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G_s(x, y) (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u(y) dy, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

More generally (20) holds for functions $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which can be approximated by a sequence $u_k \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the sense that $u_k \to u$ and $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u_k \to (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. Using (14) we immediately infer (19), where the right-hand side can be computed explicitly using Lemma 6 and the formula

$$|\cdot|^{\alpha-n} * |\cdot|^{\beta-n}(x) = \frac{c_{n-\alpha-\beta}c_{\alpha}c_{\beta}}{c_{\alpha+\beta}c_{n-\alpha}c_{n-\beta}}|x|^{\alpha+\beta-n} \quad \text{with } c_{\alpha} := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\pi^{\alpha/2}},$$

which can be found for instance in [30, page 134].

To prove (20) consider first $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Writing

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \circ \underbrace{(-\Delta) \circ \cdots \circ (-\Delta)}_{k \text{ times}} u,$$

and using (15) k + 1 times one obtains

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G_2(x, y_1)(-\Delta)u(y_1)dy_1$$

= ...
= $\int_{\Omega} G_2(x, y_1) \int_{\Omega} G_2(y_1, y_2) \dots \int_{\Omega} G_{\sigma}(y_k, y)(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(y)dy \, dy_k \dots dy_1$
= $\int_{\Omega} G_s(x, y)(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(y)dy,$

where in the last identity we used Fubini's theorem.

When u is not smooth one can proceed by approximation, again using Fubini's theorem, exactly as in Proposition 7.

Remark 9 The Green function used in (20) is with respect to the Navier-type boundary condition

$$(-\Delta)^{j}u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ for } 0 \le j \le k-1, \quad (-\Delta)^{k}u \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Omega.$$

Proof of Theorems 1 and 5. Let $u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$. Setting $f := |(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u||_{\Omega} \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ and using Proposition 8, we bound

$$|u(x)| \le \int_{\Omega} |G_{\frac{n}{p}}(x,y)| f(y) dy \le K_{n,\frac{n}{p}} I_{\frac{n}{p}} f(x),$$

$$(21)$$

where $I_{\frac{n}{p}}$ is defined as in Theorem 3. Then, assuming that $||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ we can apply Theorem 3 and get

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_{n,p}|u|^{p'}} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}}|I_{\frac{p}{p}}f|^{p'}} dx \leq c_{n,p}|\Omega|.$$

Theorem 5 follows analogously applying Theorem 4. One only needs to notice that every $u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},(p,q)}(\Omega)$ can be approximated (by definition, see paragraph before (9)) by functions $u_k \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ which satisfy $u_k \to u$ and $(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u_k \to (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u$ in $L^{(p,q)}(\Omega)$, hence also in $L^1(\Omega)$, since $L^{(p,q)}(\Omega)$ embeds continuously into $L^1(\Omega)$ when Ω has finite measure and p > 1. Therefore Proposition 8 can be applied. For instance for the case $q = \infty$, still using (21), and assuming

that $||f||_{L^{(p,\infty)}} \leq 1$, we bound for $\beta < \beta_{n,p,\infty}$

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\beta |u|} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{\beta}{\beta_{n,p,\infty}} \gamma_{n,p,\infty} |I_{\frac{n}{p}}f|} dx$$
$$\leq \frac{d_{n,p} |\Omega|}{\gamma_{n,p,\infty} - \frac{\beta}{\beta_{n,p,\infty}} \gamma_{n,p,\infty}}$$
$$= \frac{K_{n,\frac{n}{p}}^{-1} d_{n,p} |\Omega|}{\beta_{n,p,\infty} - \beta}.$$

The cases q = 1 and $q \in (1, \infty)$ are very similar.

The sharpness of the constants $\beta_{n,p,q}$ for $q \in (1, \infty]$ (this includes $\alpha_{n,p} = \beta_{n,p,p}$) follows from Proposition 10 below. Indeed, up to a translation and rescaling we can assume that $B_1 \subset \Omega$. Then $C_c^{\infty}(B_1) \subset \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},(p,q)}(\Omega)$, and Proposition 10 gives the desired conclusion.

Proposition 10 Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $q \in (1, \infty]$. Then

$$\sup_{u \in C_c^{\infty}(B_1): \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} u\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 1} \int_{B_1} e^{\beta |u|^{q'}} dx = \infty$$
(22)

for any $\beta > \beta_{n,p,q}$, where $\beta_{n,p,q} = (\alpha_{n,p})^{\frac{q'}{p'}}$ is as in Theorem 5.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Fix $\beta > \beta_{n,p,q}$ and assume that the supremum in (22) is finite. For some $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$ to be fixed later and for a cut-off function $\theta \in C_c^{\infty}(B_1)$ with $\theta \equiv 1$ in $B_{\frac{1}{2}}$, consider an arbitrary function $f \in C_c^{\infty}(B_{\rho})$ with $\|f\|_{L^{(p,q)}(B_{\rho})} \leq 1$ and set

$$\tilde{u} := K_{n,\frac{n}{p}} \theta I_{\frac{n}{p}} f, \quad u := \frac{\tilde{u}}{1+\varepsilon},$$

for an ε such that

$$\tilde{\beta} := \frac{\beta}{(1+\varepsilon)^{q'}} > \beta_{n,p,q}.$$

With the help of Lemma 6 we now write

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}\tilde{u} = (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} (F_{\frac{n}{p}} * f - K_{n,\frac{n}{p}} (1-\theta) I_{\frac{n}{p}} f)$$

= $f - K_{n,\frac{n}{p}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}} ((1-\theta) I_{\frac{n}{p}} f),$

and with Proposition 11 below we bound

$$\|K_{n,\frac{n}{p}}(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}((1-\theta)I_{\frac{n}{p}}f)\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \bar{C}\rho^{\frac{n}{p'}}\|f\|_{L^{(p,q)}(B_{\rho})}.$$

Choose now $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$ such that

$$\frac{1+\bar{C}\rho^{\frac{n}{p'}}}{1+\varepsilon} \le 1.$$

Then with the triangle inequality we get

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 1.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} e^{\tilde{\beta}K_{n,\frac{n}{p}}^{q'} |I_{\frac{n}{p}}f|^{q'}} dx \le \int_{B_{1}} e^{\tilde{\beta}K_{n,\frac{n}{p}}^{q'} |\theta I_{\frac{n}{p}}f|^{q'}} dx = \int_{B_{1}} e^{\beta |u|^{q'}} dx,$$

and since $\tilde{\beta}K_{n,\frac{n}{p}}^{q'} > \left(\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{q'}{p'}}$ this is a contradiction to Theorem 3 when q = p and Theorem 4 in general.

Proposition 11 Let $\theta \in C_c^{\infty}(B_1)$ with $\theta \equiv 1$ in $B_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Given $t \geq 0$, $s \in (0,n)$, $\rho \in (0,\frac{1}{8}]$, $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $q \in (1,\infty]$, we have

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}}((1-\theta)I_s f)\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\rho^{\frac{n}{p'}} \|f\|_{L^{(p,q)}(B_\rho)}, \quad \text{for every } f \in C_c^{\infty}(B_\rho),$$
(23)

for a constant C not depending on f.

Proof. By duality it suffices to prove

$$\|I_{s}((1-\theta)(-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}}g)\|_{L^{(p',q')}(B_{\rho})} \leq C\rho^{\frac{n}{p'}}\|g\|_{L^{(p',q')}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathcal{S}.$$
 (24)

This in turn follows from the estimate

$$\|I_{s}((1-\theta)(-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}}g)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{8}})} \le C\|g\|_{L^{(p',q')}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathcal{S},$$
(25)

since by Hölder's inequality in Lorentz spaces (see [38]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{s}((1-\theta)(-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}}g)\|_{L^{(p',q')}(B_{\rho})} &\leq \|1\|_{L^{(p',q')}(B_{\rho})}\|I_{s}((1-\theta)(-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}}g)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{8}})} \\ &\leq C\rho^{\frac{n}{p'}}\|I_{s}((1-\theta)(-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}}g)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{9}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (25) in turn follows as in Lemma 3.6 of [32], with minor modifications, as we shall now see. Set $\theta_1 := (1 - \theta)$, fix $\theta_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}})$ with $\theta_2 \equiv 1$ in $B_{\frac{1}{8}}$. In particular the supports of θ_1 and θ_2 are disjoint with distance at least $\frac{1}{8}$. Consider

$$k(x,y) := \frac{\theta_1(y)\theta_2(x)}{|x-y|^{n-s}},$$

which is smooth thanks to the disjointness of the supports of θ_1 and θ_2 . Then we can write for $x \in B_{\frac{1}{8}}$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$I_s((1-\theta)(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}g)(x) = \theta_2(x)I_s(\theta_1(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}g)(x)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(x,y)(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}g(y)dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta_y)^{\frac{1}{2}}k(x,y)g(y)dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{k}(x,y)g(y)dy,$$

where $\tilde{k}(x,y) := (-\Delta_y)^{\frac{t}{2}} k(x,y)$ is smooth and decays like $|y|^{-n-t}$ as $y \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to $x \in B_{\frac{1}{8}}$ (see e.g. Lemma 3.5 in [32]). In particular from Hölder's inequality (see [38, Theorem 3.4]) we get

$$\sup_{x \in B_{\frac{1}{8}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{k}(x, y) g(y) dy \le \sup_{x \in B_{\frac{1}{8}}} \|\tilde{k}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{(p',q')}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|g\|_{L^{(p',q')}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and (25) follows.

3 Some applications

A consequence of Theorem 1 is the existence of conformal metrics on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with prescribed *Q*-curvature *K* i.e. solutions to the equation

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}}u = Ke^{nu} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{26}$$

particularly in the case n odd.

Here the set Ω is required to have finite measure. For existence results for (26) in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, *n* odd, we refer to [19] and [24], when *n* is even we refer to [10], [11], [21], [34], [46].

Theorem 12 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and have finite measure. For any $K \in L^p(\Omega)$, p > 1, there is a solution $u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{2},2}(\Omega) + \mathbb{R}$ to (26).

Proof. We only sketch the proof, since the details are similar to those in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [33]. Consider the set

$$A := \left\{ u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{2},2}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} K(e^{nu} - 1) dx > 0 \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

and the functional

$$F(u) = \Lambda ||u||^2 - \log\left(\int_{\Omega} K(e^{nu} - 1)dx\right), \quad ||u||^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{4}}u|^2 dx,$$

where Λ is any constant such that $\Lambda > \frac{n^2 p'}{4\alpha_{n,2}}$, and $\alpha_{n,2}$ is as in Theorem 1. Then, bounding

$$np'u \le \frac{\alpha_{n,2}u^2}{\|u\|^2} + \frac{(\|u\|np')^2}{4\alpha_{n,2}},$$

using Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1 with p = 2 we get

$$\log\left(\int_{\Omega} K(e^{nu}-1)dx\right) \leq \log(\|K\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}) + \log(\|e^{nu}-1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)})$$
$$\leq C + \frac{1}{p'}\log\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{nup'}dx\right)$$
$$\leq C + \frac{\log(c_{n,p}|\Omega|)}{p'} + \frac{n^{2}p'}{4\alpha_{n,2}}\|u\|^{2},$$

so that

$$F(u) \ge \left(\Lambda - \frac{n^2 p'}{4\alpha_{n,2}}\right) \|u\|^2 - \tilde{C},$$

i.e. F is well-defined, bounded from below and coercive on $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{2},2}(\Omega)$. A minimizing sequence u_k is therefore bounded in $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{2},2}(\Omega)$, hence weakly converging to a minimizer $u_0 \in A$. By taking first variations (the set A is open) it follows that

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}}u_0 = \frac{nKe^{nu_0}}{2\Lambda \int_{\Omega} K(e^{nu_0} - 1)dx},$$

and up to adding a constant we find a solution to (26).

One can also prove existence results for more general semilinear equations, say

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}}u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{27}$$

with $u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{2},2}(\Omega)$ and f critical or subcritical, in the spirit for instance of the works of Adimurthi [4] and Iannizzotto and Squassina [22], even in the case of the fractional p-Laplacian, but we will not do that. We only remark that in the case when f is critical, e.g. $f(u) = ue^{u^2}$, a crucial ingredient is (8) for p = a = 2, which is known only in dimension 1 (by [23]) and in even dimension (by [2]), hence the critical case in odd dimension ≥ 3 is open. In arbitrary even dimension we mention the work of Lakkis [28]. The subcritical case should instead present no major difficulties since the functional corresponding to (27) should satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. For the regularity theory of nonlinear nonlocal equations we refer the reader e.g. to [26], [27], [41] and [43].

4 Open questions

An interesting question in whether fractional Adams-Moser-Trudinger inequalities hold for some domains of infinite measure, in the spirit of the results of Gianni Mancini-Sandeep [33] and Battaglia-Gabriele Mancini [6] who in dimension 2 and in the classical case p = 2 proved that the inequality

$$\sup_{u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega): \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \le 1} \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{4\pi u^2} - 1 \right) dx < \infty$$

$$\tag{28}$$

holds if and only if $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$, where $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on Ω (open set in \mathbb{R}^2).

Another natural question is whether one can replace the spaces $\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$ with the spaces $\tilde{W}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega)$, defined via a double integral (see e.g. (29) below). This appears to be unknown already in dimension 1, except when p = 2 (see Proposition 15).

As already discussed in the introduction it would be interesting to prove the sharpness of the constants in the stronger form

$$\sup_{u\in\tilde{H}^{\frac{n}{p},p}(\Omega), \, \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2p}}u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}\leq 1} \int_{\Omega} f(|u|)e^{\alpha_{n,p}|u|^{p'}}dx = \infty$$

for any function

$$f: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$$
 with $\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = \infty$

as already known in the non-fractional case and in dimension 1.

A Appendix

A.1 Some useful results

The following density result is due to Yu. V. Netrusov [37], see [3, Thm. 10.1.1].

Theorem 13 (Netrusov) For s > 0 and $p \in [1, \infty)$ the sets $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open set) is dense in $\tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

The following way of computing the fractional Laplacian of a sufficiently regular function will be used. For a proof see e.g. [43, Prop. 2.4].

Proposition 14 For an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $u \in L_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (\sigma,1]$, or $\sigma \in [1,2)$ and $u \in L_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (\sigma-1,1]$. Then $((-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u)|_{\Omega} \in C^0(\Omega)$ and

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u(x) = C_{n,\sigma}P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dy := C_{n,\sigma} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dy$$

for every $x \in \Omega$. This means that

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u,\varphi\rangle = C_{n,\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dy \, dx, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

A.2 Hilbert space techniques

Proposition 15 For $\sigma \in (0,2)$ we have $[u]_{W^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ if and only if $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{4}} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and in this case

$$[u]_{W^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C_{n,\sigma} \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{4}} u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
(29)

In particular

$$H^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : [u]_{W^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}$$

Proof. See e.g. Proposition 4.4 in [14].

Define the bilinear form

$$\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dx dy, \quad \text{for } u, v \in H^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

where the double integral is well defined thanks to Hölder's inequality and Proposition 15.

The following simple and well-known existence result proves useful.

Proposition 16 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and have finite measure. Given $\sigma \in (0,2)$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(g(x) - g(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dx dy < \infty,$$
(30)

there exists a unique function $u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega) + g$ solving the problem

$$\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f v dx \quad \text{for every } v \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega).$$
(31)

Moreover such u satisfies $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u = \frac{C_{n,\sigma}}{2} f$ in Ω in the sense of distributions, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \varphi dx = \frac{C_{n,\sigma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\varphi dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega), \tag{32}$$

where $C_{n,\sigma}$ is the constant in Proposition 14. Conversely if $u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega) + g$ satisfies (32), then it also satisfies (31).

Proof. The first part follows by the abstract Dirichlet principle, see e.g. [17, Theorem 3.2]. Indeed it is easy to verify that $||v||_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C[v]_{W^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for $v \in \tilde{W}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega)$, so that

$$||v||_{H}^{2} := \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}(v, v) = [v]_{W^{\frac{\sigma}{2}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

is an equivalent norm on the Hilbert space $H := \tilde{W}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega)$. Also notice that the linear functional

$$L: H \to \mathbb{R}, \quad L(v) := \int_{\Omega} f v dx - \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}(g, v)$$

is bounded, since by Hölder's inequality, the symmetry of \mathcal{B}_{σ} , and the vanishing of v outside Ω we bound

$$\begin{aligned} |L(v)| &\leq \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + 2\left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{(g(x) - g(y))^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} [v]_{W^{\frac{\sigma}{2}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &\leq C(f, g) \|v\|_{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the Dirichlet principle the functional

$$\mathcal{F}(v) := \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{H}^{2} - L(v)$$

has a minimizer \bar{v} , and it follows at once that $u := \bar{v} + g$ solves (31). To show that u also solves (32) we notice that $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega)$ and with Proposition 14 we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \varphi dx = C_{n,\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dy dx$$
$$= \frac{C_{n,\sigma}}{2} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}(u,\varphi)$$
$$= \frac{C_{n,\sigma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\varphi dx,$$

where in the second identity we used the symmetry of $|x - y|^{n+\sigma}$.

The same computation shows that (32) implies

$$\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}(u,\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\varphi dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega),$$

which in turn implies (31) thanks to the density result of Netrusov, Theorem 13.

The following maximum principle is a special case of Theorem 4.1 in [15]. We recall its proof because in our case it is very simple.

Proposition 17 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and have finite measure. Let $\sigma \in (0,2)$ and $u \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2},2}(\Omega) + g$ solve (31) for some $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $f \ge 0$ and g satisfying (30) and $g \ge 0$ in Ω^c . Then $u \ge 0$.

Proof. From Proposition 15 it easily follows $v := \min\{u, 0\} \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}, 2}(\Omega)$. Then, setting $u^+ := \max\{u, 0\}$, according to (31) we have

$$0 \ge \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{(u^{+}(x) + v(x) - u^{+}(y) - v(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dx dy$$
$$\ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{(v(x) - v(y))^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma}} dx dy,$$

where we used that $u^+(x)v(x) = 0$, $u^+(y)v(x) \le 0$ and $u^+(x)v(y) \le 0$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It follows at once that $v \equiv 0$, hence $u \ge 0$.

References

- N. ABATANGELO, Large s-harmonic functions and boundary blow-up solutions for the fractional Laplacian. Preprint, arXiv:1310.3193v2 (2013).
- [2] D. ADAMS, A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives, Ann. of Math. 128 (1988), 385-398.
- [3] D. ADAMS, L. I. HEDBERG, Function spaces and potential theory, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.
- [4] ADIMURTHI, Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the n-Laplacian, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 17 (1990), no. 3, 393-413.
- [5] A. ALBERICO, Moser Type Inequalities for Higher-Order Derivatives in Lorentz Spaces, Potential Anal. 28 (2008), 389-400.
- [6] L. BATTAGLIA, G. MANCINI, Remarks on the Moser-Trudinger inequality, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 2 (2013), 389-425.
- [7] H. BRÉZIS, F. MERLE, Uniform estimates and blow-up behaviour for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. 16 (1991), 1223-1253.
- [8] H. BRÉZIS, S. WAINGER, A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. 5 (1980) 773-789.
- [9] D. CASSINI, C. TARSI, A Moser-type inequality in Lorentz?Sobolev spaces for unbounded domains in ℝⁿ, Asymptotic Analysis 64 (2009), 29-51.
- [10] S.-Y. A. CHANG, W. CHEN, A note on a class of higher order conformally covariant equations, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems 7 (2001), no. 2, 275–281.
- [11] S. CHANILLO, M. KIESSLING, Surfaces with prescribed Gauss curvature. Duke Math. J. 105 (2000), 309-353.
- [12] Z.-Q. CHEN, R. SONG, Estimates on Green functions and Poisson kernels for symmetric stable processes, Math. Ann. 312 (1998), 465-501.
- [13] F. DA LIO, L. MARTINAZZI, T. RIVIÈRE, Blow-up analysis for a non-local Liouville equation, preprint (2015), arXiv:1503.08701.

- [14] E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI, E. VALDINOCI, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. math., Vol. **136** (2012), No. 5, 521–573.
- [15] M. FELSINGER, M. KASSMANN, P. VOIGT, The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators, preprint (2013), arXiv:1309.5028.
- [16] L. FONTANA, Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, Comment. Math. Helv. 68 (1993), 415-454.
- [17] M. GIAQUINTA, L. MARTINAZZI, An introduction to the regularity theory for elliptic systems, harmonic maps and minimal graphs, 2nd Edition, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa 2012.
- [18] G. GRUBB, Fractional Laplacians on domains, a development of Hörmander's theory of mutransmission pseudodifferential operators, preprint (2014), arXiv:1310.0951.
- [19] A. HYDER, Existence of entire solutions to a fractional Liouville equation in \mathbb{R}^n , arXiv:1502.02685 (2015).
- [20] A. HYDER, Structure of conformal metrics on \mathbb{R}^n with constant Q-curvature, arXiv:1504.07095 (2015).
- [21] A. HYDER, L. MARTINAZZI, Conformal metrics on R^{2m} with constant Q-curvature, prescribed volume and asymptotic behavior, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems A 35 (2015), 283-299.
- [22] A. IANNIZZOTTO, M. SQUASSINA, 1/2-Laplacian problems with exponential nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 414 (2014), 372-385.
- [23] S. IULA, A. MAALAOUI, L. MARTINAZZI, A fractional Moser-Trudinger type inequality in one dimension and its critical points, arXvi:1504.04862 (2015).
- [24] T. JIN, A. MAALAOUI, L. MARTINAZZI, J. XIONG, Existence and asymptotics for solutions of a non-local Q-curvature equation in dimension three, Calc. Var. 52 (2015) 469-488.
- [25] H. KOZONO, T. SATO, H. WADADE, Upper bound of the best constant of a TrudingerMoser inequality and its application to a GagliardoNirenberg inequality, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 55 (2006), 1951-1974.
- [26] T. KUUSI, G. MINGIONE, Y. SIRE, Nonlocal Equations with Measure Data, Comm. Math. Phys. 337 (2015), 1317-1368.
- [27] T. KUUSI, G. MINGIONE, Y. SIRE, Nonlocal self-improving properties, Analysis & PDE 8 (2015), 57-114.
- [28] O. LAKKIS, Existence of solutions for a class of semilinear polyharmonic equations with critical exponential growth, Adv. Differential Equations 4 (1999), 877-906.
- [29] N. LAM, G. LU, A new approach to sharp Moser-Trudinger and Adams type inequalities: a rearrangement-free argument, J. Differential Equation **255** (2013), 298-325.
- [30] E. H. LIEB, M. LOSS, Analysis. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. ISBN: 0-8218-2783-9.
- [31] C. S. LIN A classification of solutions of conformally invariant fourth order equations in ℝn, Comm. Math. Helv 73 (1998), 206-231.
- [32] A. MAALAOUI, L. MARTINAZZI, A. SCHIKORRA, Blow-up behaviour of a fractional Adams-Moser-Trudinger type inequality in odd dimension, arXiv:1504.00254.

- [33] G. MANCINI, K. SANDEEP, Moser-Trudinger inequality on conformal discs, Commun. Contemp. Math., 12 (2010), 1055-1068.
- [34] L. MARTINAZZI, Conformal metrics on \mathbb{R}^{2m} with constant Q-curvature, Rend. Lincei. Mat. Appl. 19 (2008), 279-292.
- [35] L. MARTINAZZI, Classification of solutions to the higher order Liouville's equation on ℝ^{2m}, Math.
 Z. 263 (2009), 307-329.
- [36] J. MOSER, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1970/71), 1077-1092.
- [37] YU. V. NETRUSOV, Free interpolation in spaces of smooth functions (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 206 (1993), Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funktsii. 21, 107-118, 175; translation in J. Math. Sci. 80 (1996), 1941-1950
- [38] R. O'NEIL, Convolution operators and L(p,q) spaces, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963), 129-142.
- [39] T. OZAWA, On critical cases of Sobolevs inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 127 (1995), 259-269.
- [40] S. I. POHOZAEV, On eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, Dokl. Akad. Nank. SSSR 165 (1965), 36-39.
- [41] X. ROS-OTON, J. SERRA The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary, J. Math. Pures Appl. 101 (2014), 275-302.
- [42] B. RUF, A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in \mathbb{R}^2 , J. Funct. Analysis **219** (2004), 340-367.
- [43] L. SILVESTRE, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007), no. 1, 67-112.
- [44] N. S. TRUDINGER, On embedding into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), 473-483.
- [45] J. WEI Asymptotic behavior of a nonlinear fourth order eigenvalue problem, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **21** (1996), 1451-1467.
- [46] J. WEI, D. YE: Nonradial solutions for a conformally invariant fourth order equation in ℝ⁴, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2008), 373-386.
- [47] J. XIAO, Z. ZHAI, Fractional Sobolev, Moser-Trudinger, Morrey-Sobolev inequalities under Lorentz norms, J. Math. Science 166 (2010), 357-376.
- [48] V. I. YUDOVICH, On certain estimates connected with integral operators and solutions of elliptic equations, Dokl. Akad. Nank. SSSR 138 (1961), 805-808.