

FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV EXTENSION AND IMBEDDING

YUAN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$ and denote by $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ the fractional Sobolev space for $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$. We prove that the following are equivalent:

(i) there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$|B(x, r) \cap \Omega| \geq C_1 r^n;$$

(ii) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p \in (0, \infty)$;

(iii) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for some $s \in (0, 1)$ and some $p \in (0, \infty)$;

(iv) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -imbedding domain for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p \in (0, \infty)$;

(v) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -imbedding domain for some $s \in (0, 1)$ and some $p \in (0, \infty)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n \geq 2$ and Ω be a domain (namely, connected open subset) of \mathbb{R}^n . For $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$, define the *fractional Sobolev space on the domain* Ω as

$$(1.1) \quad W^{s,p}(\Omega) \equiv \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n/p+s}} \in L^p(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$(1.2) \quad \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \equiv \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p},$$

which is also called a *Aronszajn, Gagliardo* or *Slobodeckij space* in the literature after the names of those who introduced them almost simultaneously; see [1, 6, 26]. The fractional Sobolev spaces are special cases of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces; for a comprehensive treatment and their applications in different subjects see [16, 17, 21, 22, 27–30] and the references therein.

Due to the applications, it attracts a lot of attention to extend fractional Sobolev (and also Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin) functions on a domain to the entire \mathbb{R}^n continuously; see [4, 16, 17, 23–25, 27, 29, 30]. We say that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a *$W^{s,p}$ -extension domain* if every function $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ can be extended to a function $\tilde{u} \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ continuously, that is, $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, and there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, s, \Omega)$ such that $\|\tilde{u}\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$. Jonsson and Wallin [17] (and also Shvartsman [24]) essentially proved that a regular domain must be a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for all $0 < s < 1$ and all $p \geq 1$. Recall that Ω is called a *regular domain* (also called a *plump domain*) if it satisfies the *measure*

Received by the editors September 7, 2011 and, in revised form, December 2, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46E35; Secondary 42B35.

The author was supported by Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University of Ministry of Education of China, New Teachers' Fund for Doctor Stations of Ministry of Education of China (#20121102120031), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (#11201015).

density condition: there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and all $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$(1.3) \quad |B(x, r) \cap \Omega| \geq C_1 r^n.$$

However, an arbitrary domain is not necessarily a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain. For example, $\Omega \equiv \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x_1 < 1, 0 < x_2 < x_1^2\}$ is not a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p \in (2/s, \infty)$, as we show by hand in Remark 1.5 below (without using Theorems 1.1 and 1.2). Such a fact was well understood earlier by mathematicians.

The main aim of this paper is to characterize the $W^{s,p}$ -extension domains for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p \in (0, \infty)$ as below, and hence, give an answer to a question by Nezza, Palatucci and Valdinoci (see [21, Section 5]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Ω is a regular domain;
- (ii) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p \in (0, \infty)$;
- (iii) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for some $s \in (0, 1)$ and some $p \in (0, \infty)$.

Extension properties play important roles in applications; in particular, they can be used to establish some imbedding properties. A domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a $W^{s,p}$ -imbedding domain if the following holds:

- (a) when $sp < n$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$, we have $u \in L^{np/(n-sp)}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$;
- (b) when $sp = n$, there exist constants $C_3, C > 0$ such that for all $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and all balls B ,

$$(1.4) \quad \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{B \cap \Omega} \exp \left(C_3 \frac{|u(x) - c|}{\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}} \right)^{n/(n-s)} dx \leq C |B|;$$

- (c) when $sp > n$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and every pair of $x, y \in \Omega$, we have $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} |x - y|^{s-n/p}$.

We also have the following results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Ω is a regular domain;
- (iv) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -imbedding domain for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p \in (0, \infty)$;
- (v) Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -imbedding domain for some $s \in (0, 1)$ and some $p \in (0, \infty)$.

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be given in Section 2. We borrow some ideas from [13, 14, 18, 24, 32].

Case (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $p \in [1, \infty)$, the proof has already been given by [16, 24] via constructing an extension operator with the mean value $u_X = \frac{1}{|X|} \int_X u(z) dz$. Recall that the procedure to construct an extension operator was essentially known after [18]. If $p \in (0, 1)$, the mean value u_X makes no sense since a function $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ may fail to be local integrable. So the extension operator in [14, 24] with the mean value does not work here. To overcome the possible non-integrability, we improve the extension operator in [14, 24] by replacing the mean value u_X with the median value $m_u(X)$ defined in (2.2) below; this is the main novelty of this paper. The

point is that the median value $m_u(X)$ is well defined for arbitrary measurable functions and enjoys the nice properties (2.5) and (2.6); see Lemma 2.2. The extension operator with the median value works for all $p \in (0, \infty)$ as shown in Section 2.

Case (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) or (iii) \Rightarrow (v). When $n = sp$, we need Lemma 2.4 below; when $sp < n$ and $p \in (0, 1)$, we need to use property (2.6) of the median value; the other cases are well known (see for example [21, Theorems 6.7 and 8.2]).

Case (v) \Rightarrow (i). We first control the $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ -norms of test functions by using the volume of the ball $B(x, r) \cap \Omega$ in Lemma 2.4 below. Then with a suitable slicing of the ball $B(x, r) \cap \Omega$ and iteration, we obtain a lower bound Cr^n for $|B(x, r) \cap \Omega|$ and hence give (1.3). We should point out that the idea to derive the measure density property from the imbedding was originally invented by Hajlasz, Koskela and Tuoninen [13] for Sobolev $W^{1,p}$ -extension domains with $p \in [1, \infty)$. Here we adapt their arguments to the setting of the fractional Sobolev $W^{s,p}$ -extension.

Finally, we make some remarks. The first remark says that the geometric characterizations of $W^{s,p}$ -extension/-imbedding domains have some jumps both when p goes from $p < \infty$ to $p = \infty$ for fixed $s \in (0, 1)$ and when s goes from $s < 1$ to $s = 1$ for fixed $p \in [1, \infty]$. In the second remark, we state some related results. The third remark focuses on a domain which is not a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p > 2/s$.

Remark 1.3. At the endpoint case $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p = \infty$, and case $s = 1$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, the geometric characterizations of $W^{s,p}$ -extension/-imbedding domains are quite different from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Precisely,

Case $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p = \infty$. We can define $W^{s,\infty}(\Omega)$ exactly by (1.1) with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,\infty}(\Omega)} \equiv \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sup_{x,y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}.$$

Then every domain is a $W^{s,\infty}$ -extension domain. Indeed, notice that $W^{s,\infty}(\Omega)$ is exactly the space of Hölder continuous functions of order s , and can be viewed as the Lipschitz space with respect to the distance $|\cdot - \cdot|^s$. By the McShane extension (see for example [15, Section 2.2]), every function $u \in W^{s,\infty}$ can be extended to a function \bar{u} , defined by

$$\bar{u}(x) = \sup_{z \in \Omega} [u(z) + L|x - z|^s]$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $L = \sup_{x,y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}$. Set

$$\tilde{u} = \min\{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \max\{-\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \bar{u}\}\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\tilde{u} = u$ on Ω , and, moreover, we obtain $\tilde{u} \in W^{s,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\tilde{u}\|_{W^{s,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{s,\infty}(\Omega)}$ as desired. We omit the details.

Case $s = 1$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Define $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as the classical Sobolev space, that is, $W^{1,p} = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \nabla u \in L^p(\Omega)\}$ with the norm $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$, where ∇u denotes the distributional gradient of u . Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded simply connected domain. Then Gehring and Martio [8] proved that Ω is a $W^{1,\infty}$ -extension domain if and only if it is quasiconvex. Goldshtein, Latfullin and Vodop'yanov proved that Ω is a $W^{1,2}$ -extension domain if and only if it is a uniform domain; see [11, 12, 31] and also [18]. When $p \in (2, \infty)$, Buckley, Koskela and Shvartsman proved that Ω is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension/-imbedding domain if and only if it is a weak $(p - 2)/(p - 1)$ -cigar domain; see [3, 19, 25]. When $p \in [1, 2)$, Ω

is a $W^{1,p}$ -imbedding domain if and only if it is a John domain; see [2]. Higher dimensional analogies were also established therein.

Remark 1.4. The following results are closely relevant to our Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In [13,14], Hajlasz, Koskela and Tuominen first proved that the $W^{m,p}$ -extension/-imbedding domains satisfy the measure density property (1.3) for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$. With the aid of (1.3), they further give a characterization of Sobolev $W^{1,p}$ -extension domains for all $p \in [1, \infty)$. Rychkov [23] and Triebel [29] consider the extensions and restrictions of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on Lipschitz domains. Moreover, Shvartsman [24] considered the extensions and restrictions of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on regular domains. This, as well as the extension of Besov spaces on regular sets established by Jonsson and Wallin [17], also works for $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ when $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Remark 1.5. In this remark, we check by hand (without using Theorems 1.1 and 1.2) that the domain $\Omega \equiv \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x_1 < 1, 0 < x_2 < x_1^2\}$ is not a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and all $p > 2/s$. Such a fact was already well understood by mathematicians.

Let $s - 3/p < \alpha < s - 2/p$, and set $u(x) = |x|^\alpha$. Then $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Indeed, by $|u(x) - u(y)| \sim |x|^{\alpha-1}|x - y|$, if $|x - y| \leq |x|/2$ and $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq |x - y|^\alpha$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}^p &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha p} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{B(x, |x|/2)} \frac{|x|^{p(\alpha-1)}}{|x - y|^{2-p+sp}} dy dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x, |x|/2)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{2+sp-\alpha p}} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that when $x \neq 0$, $\int_{B(x, |x|/2)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{2-p+sp}} dy \lesssim |x|^{p-sp}$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, |x|/2)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{2+sp-\alpha p}} dy \lesssim |x|^{\alpha p-sp},$$

by $2 + \alpha p - sp > -1$ (due to $s - 3/p < \alpha$), we have

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}^p \lesssim 1 + \int_0^1 \int_0^{x_1^2} \frac{1}{|x|^{-\alpha p+sp}} dx_2 dx_1 \lesssim 1 + \int_0^1 x_1^{2+\alpha p-sp} dx_1 \lesssim 1.$$

Assume that u can be extended continuously as a function $\tilde{u} \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then by the imbedding of $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into the space of Hölder continuous functions or order $s - 2/p$, we know that

$$\sup_{x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{s-2/p}} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}.$$

However, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(x/2)|}{|x - x/2|^{s-2/p}} \sim |x|^{\alpha-s+2/p} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction. So Ω is not a $W^{s,p}$ -extension domain.

The notation used in what follows is standard. We denote by C a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but which may vary from line to line. Constants with subscripts, such as C_0 , do not change in different occurrences. The symbol $A \lesssim B$ or $B \gtrsim A$ means that $A \leq CB$. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we then write $A \sim B$. For any locally integrable function u and measurable

set X , we denote by $f_X u$ the average of f on X , namely, $f_X f \equiv \frac{1}{|X|} \int_X f \, dx$. For a set Ω and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we use $d(x, \Omega)$ to denote $\inf_{z \in \Omega} |x - z|$, the distance from x to Ω .

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

Obviously, it is easy to see that (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iv) \Rightarrow (v). It suffices to prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii), (ii) \Rightarrow (iv), (iii) \Rightarrow (v), and (v) \Rightarrow (i). Without loss of generality, we assume that $\text{diam } \Omega = \sup_{x, y \in \Omega} |x - y| \geq 2$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). We first observe that $|\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega| = 0$; see [14, Lemma 9], but we also give the argument here. Indeed, for every $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1]$, take $x_j \in \Omega$ such that $x_j \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$|B(x, r) \cap \Omega| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |B(x_j, r) \cap \Omega| \geq C_1 r^n,$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|B(x, r) \cap (\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega)|}{|B(x, r)|} \leq 1 - C_1 C(n) < 1.$$

Thus x is not a Lebesgue point of $\chi_{\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega}$. By the Lebesgue differential theorem, the set of non-Lebesgue points, and hence $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$, has measure 0. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overline{\Omega} \neq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $U \equiv \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. Then U is an open set and hence enjoys the following Whitney covering; see, for example, [14, Lemma 7].

Lemma 2.1. *There exist a family $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of countable balls and a constant $M \geq 1$ such that*

1. $r_i = d(x_i, \Omega)/10$ for all $i \in I$, and the family of balls $\{B(x_i, r_i/5)\}_{i \in I}$ is a maximal family of pairwise disjoint balls;
2. $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} B(x_i, r_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} B(x_i, 5r_i)$;
3. if $x \in B(x_i, 5r_i)$ for some $i \in I$, then $5r_i < d(x, \Omega) < 15r_i$;
4. for each $i \in I$, there is $x_i^* \in \Omega$ such that $d(x_i, x_i^*) < 15r_i$;
5. $\sum_{i \in I} \chi_{B(x_i, 5r_i)}(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in U$.

Associated to this covering, there exists a partition of unity (see for example [14, Lemma 8]). That is, there exists a family of smooth functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that

1. $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subset 2B(x_i, r_i)$ for all $i \in I$;
2. $\varphi_i(x) \geq 1/M$ for all $x \in B(x_i, r_i)$ and all $i \in I$;
3. there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that for all $i \in I$, $|\nabla \varphi_i| \leq L/r_i$;
4. $\sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i = \chi_\Omega$.

Let J be the collection of all $i \in I$ such that $r_i \leq 1$, and set $V \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(x, \Omega) \leq 8\}$. For each $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$, we define

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{E}u(x) \equiv \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega, \\ \sum_{i \in J} \varphi_i(x) m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega), & x \in U, \end{cases}$$

where x_i^* is as in Lemma 2.1 and $m_u(X)$ denotes the median value of u on set X defined by

$$(2.2) \quad m_u(X) \equiv \max \left\{ a \in \mathbb{R}, |\{x \in X : u(x) < a\}| \leq \frac{|X|}{2} \right\}.$$

Moreover, let Ψ be a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\Psi = 1$ on Ω , $\Psi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V$ and $0 \leq \Psi \leq 1$ on $V \setminus \Omega$. Set

$$(2.3) \quad Eu \equiv \Psi \tilde{E}u.$$

We point out that the extension operator \tilde{E} in (2.1), and hence E in (2.3), is an improvement of the construction of [14, Proof of Theorem 6], where, instead of the median value $m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega)$, they use the mean value $\int_{B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega} u(z) dz$. But we do need the median value to handle the case $p \in (0, 1)$ since it has the important property (2.6).

We are going to show that Eu gives the desired extension of u into $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Obviously, $Eu = u$ on Ω . To see $\|Eu\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$, it suffices to prove that $\tilde{E}u \in W^{s,p}(V)$ and

$$(2.4) \quad \|\tilde{E}u\|_{W^{s,p}(V)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Indeed, assume that (2.4) holds for the moment. From this and $0 \leq \Psi \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\|Eu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\tilde{E}u\|_{L^p(V)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, by Fubini’s theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|Eu(x) - Eu(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ &= \int_V \int_V \frac{|\Psi(x)\tilde{E}u(x) - \Psi(y)\tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ &\lesssim \int_V \left(\int_{V \setminus B(x,1)} \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x)|^p + |\tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_V \left(\int_{V \cap B(x,1)} \frac{|\Psi(x)\tilde{E}u(x) - \Psi(y)\tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \right) dx \\ &\equiv L_1 + L_2. \end{aligned}$$

By noticing that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,1)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx \lesssim 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(y,1)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \lesssim 1$ and by Fubini’s theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &\leq \int_V |\tilde{E}u(x)|^p \left(\int_{V \setminus B(x,1)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_V |\tilde{E}u(y)|^p \left(\int_{V \setminus B(y,1)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx \right) dy \\ &\lesssim \|\tilde{E}u\|_{L^p(V)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{B(x,1)} |x - y|^{-n-sp} dy \lesssim 1$, $0 \leq \Psi \leq 1$ and Ψ is a Lipschitz function, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &\lesssim \int_V \left(\int_{V \cap B(x,1)} \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_V \left(\int_{V \cap B(x,1)} \frac{|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)|^p |\tilde{E}u(x)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \|\tilde{E}u\|_{W^{s,p}(V)}^p + \int_V |\tilde{E}u(x)|^p \left(\int_{B(x,1)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+sp-p}} dy \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \|\tilde{E}u\|_{W^{s,p}(V)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Eu \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|Eu\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\tilde{E}u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$. So if (2.4) holds, we will have $Eu \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|Eu\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$.

To prove (2.4), we need the following important properties of the median value, which were essentially proved in [5, Lemma 2.4] and [10, (2.4)] (see also [20, (5.9)]).

Lemma 2.2. *For every $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and $u \in L^{\delta}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad u(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m_u(B(x, r) \cap \Omega)$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, for every ball B with its center in Ω and each $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(2.6) \quad |m_u(B \cap \Omega) - c| \leq \left\{ 2 \int_{B \cap \Omega} |u(w) - c|^{\delta} dw \right\}^{1/\delta}.$$

Proof. Observe that for all $0 < r < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, $B(x, r) \cap \Omega = B(x, r)$, and hence $m_u(B(x, r) \cap \Omega) = m_u(B(x, r))$. So the first conclusion (2.5) was exactly the one proved in [5, Lemma 2.2]. The second conclusion (2.6) was essentially proved in [10, (2.4)] (see also [20, (5.9)]). For convenience, we write the details here.

Let B be an arbitrary ball centered at Ω , and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We first claim that

$$m_u(B \cap \Omega) - c = m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega) \text{ and } |m_u(B \cap \Omega)| \leq m_{|u|}(B \cap \Omega).$$

Indeed, observe that

$$|\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) < m_u(B \cap \Omega)\}| \leq |B \cap \Omega|/2$$

implies that

$$|\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) - c < m_u(B \cap \Omega) - c\}| \leq |B \cap \Omega|/2.$$

By the definition of $m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega)$, we have $m_u(B \cap \Omega) - c \leq m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega)$. Similarly,

$$|\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) - c < m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega)\}| \leq |B \cap \Omega|/2$$

implies that

$$|\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) < m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega) + c\}| \leq |B \cap \Omega|/2.$$

So by the definition of $m_u(B \cap \Omega)$, we have $m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega) + c \leq m_u(B \cap \Omega)$. Therefore, we have $m_u(B \cap \Omega) - c = m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega)$. Moreover, if $m_u(B \cap \Omega) \geq 0$, then

$$|\{x \in B \cap \Omega, |u(x)| < m_u(B \cap \Omega)\}| \leq |\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) < m_u(B \cap \Omega)\}| \leq |B \cap \Omega|/2,$$

and hence, by the definition of $m_{|u|}(B \cap \Omega)$, implies that $m_u(B \cap \Omega) \leq m_{|u|}(B \cap \Omega)$. If $m_u(B \cap \Omega) < 0$, for every $0 < a < |m_u(B \cap \Omega)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in B \cap \Omega, |u(x)| < a\}| &\leq |\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) > -a\}| \\ &= |B \cap \Omega| - |\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) \leq -a\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|\{x \in B \cap \Omega, u(x) \leq -a\}| \geq |B \cap \Omega|/2;$$

otherwise, $-a \leq m_u(B \cap \Omega)$, which is a contradiction. We obtain

$$|\{x \in B \cap \Omega, |u(x)| < a\}| \leq |B \cap \Omega|/2,$$

which implies that $a \leq m_{|u|}(B \cap \Omega)$, and hence $|m_u(B \cap \Omega)| \leq m_{|u|}(B \cap \Omega)$.

The above claim leads to that

$$|m_u(B \cap \Omega) - c| = |m_{u-c}(B \cap \Omega)| \leq m_{|u-c|}(B \cap \Omega).$$

By this, (2.6) is reduced to

$$(2.7) \quad m_{|u-c|}(B \cap \Omega) \leq \left\{ 2 \int_{B \cap \Omega} |u(w) - c|^\delta d\mu(w) \right\}^{1/\delta}.$$

To see (2.7), set $\sigma \equiv \int_{B \cap \Omega} |u(w) - c|^\delta dw$. By Chebyshev's inequality, for every $a > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ w \in B \cap \Omega : |u(w) - c| \geq (a\sigma)^{1/\delta} \right\} \right| &= \left| \left\{ w \in B \cap \Omega : |u(w) - c|^\delta \geq a\sigma \right\} \right| \\ &\leq (a\sigma)^{-1} \int_{B \cap \Omega} |u(w) - c|^\delta dw \\ &< \frac{|B \cap \Omega|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\left| \left\{ w \in B \cap \Omega : |u(w) - c| < (a\sigma)^{1/\delta} \right\} \right| > \frac{|B \cap \Omega|}{2},$$

and hence, by the definition of $m_{|u-c|}(B \cap \Omega)$, we have $m_{|u-c|}(B \cap \Omega) \leq (a\sigma)^{1/\delta}$. Letting $a \rightarrow 2$, we obtain (2.7) and hence prove (2.6). This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

We return to the proof of (2.4). First, we show that $\|\tilde{E}u\|_{L^p(V)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$. For $x \in V \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, denote by I_x the collection of $i \in I$ such that $x \in B(x_i, 2r_i)$. Then by Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.8) \quad \#I_x \leq M,$$

and for $i \in I_x$, by (1.3),

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} B(x_i^*, r_i) &\subset B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)), \\ |B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega| &\sim |B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega| \sim |B(x, 5d(x, \Omega))|. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $i \in I \setminus J$, then $r_i \geq 1$ and hence $d(z, \Omega) > 8r_i \geq 8$ for all $z \in B(x_i, 2r_i)$; that is, $B(x_i, 2r_i) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$. Thus $I_x \subset J$ and $\sum_{i \in I_x} \varphi_i(x) = 1$. Take $\delta \equiv \min\{1/2, p/2\}$. By Lemma 2.2, (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}u(x) &\leq \sum_{i \in I_x} \varphi_i(x) |m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i \in I_x} \varphi_i(x) \left(\int_{B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega} |u(z)|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega))} |u(z)|^\delta \chi_\Omega(z) dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_\delta(u\chi_\Omega)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where and in what follows,

$$\mathcal{M}_\delta(g)(x) \equiv \sup_{B(x,r)} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |g(z)|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} = [\mathcal{M}(|g|^\delta)]^{1/\delta},$$

and \mathcal{M} is the Hardy-Littlewood operator. By the $L^{p/\delta}$ -boundedness of \mathcal{M} , we obtain $\|\tilde{E}u\|_{L^p(V)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$.

Moreover, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_V \int_V \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy + 2 \int_{V \setminus \Omega} \int_\Omega \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx \\ & \quad + \int_{V \setminus \Omega} \int_{V \setminus \Omega} \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ & \equiv H_1 + H_2 + H_3. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $H_1 \leq \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}^p$. For $x \in V \setminus \Omega$ and $y \in \Omega$, by $\sum_{i \in I_x} \varphi_i(x) = 1$, Lemma 2.2, (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E}u(x) - u(y)| &\leq \sum_{i \in I_x} \varphi_i(x) |m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega) - u(y)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I_x} \varphi_i(x) \left(\int_{B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega} |u(z) - u(y)|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} |u(z) - u(y)|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in \Omega$ and $z \in B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega$, since $|x - y| \geq d(x, \Omega)$, we always have

$$|z - y| \leq |z - x| + |x - y| \leq 5d(x, \Omega) + |x - y| \lesssim |x - y|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n/p+s}} &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} \frac{|u(z) - u(y)|^\delta}{|z - y|^{n\delta/p+s\delta}} dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_\delta \left(\frac{|u(\cdot) - u(y)|}{|\cdot - y|^{n/p+s}} \chi_\Omega(\cdot) \right) (x), \end{aligned}$$

which together with the $L^{p/\delta}$ -boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &\lesssim \int_{V \setminus \Omega} \int_\Omega \left[\mathcal{M}_\delta \left(\frac{|u(\cdot) - u(y)|}{|\cdot - y|^{n/p+s}} \chi_\Omega(\cdot) \right) (x) \right]^p dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\mathcal{M}_\delta \left(\frac{|u(\cdot) - u(y)|}{|\cdot - y|^{n/p+s}} \chi_\Omega(\cdot) \right) (x) \right]^p dx dy \\ &\lesssim \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n/p+s}} \chi_\Omega(x) dx dy \\ &\lesssim \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate H_3 for the given x , we split $V \setminus \Omega$ into two parts:

$$X_1(x) \equiv \{y \in V \setminus \Omega : |x - y| \geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(x, \Omega), d(y, \Omega)\}\}$$

and

$$X_2(x) \equiv \{y \in V \setminus \Omega : |x - y| < \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(x, \Omega), d(y, \Omega)\}\}.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} H_3 &= \int_{V \setminus \Omega} \int_{X_1(x)} \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx + \int_{V \setminus \Omega} \int_{X_2(x)} \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx \\ &\equiv H_{3,1} + H_{3,2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in V \setminus \Omega$ and $y \in X_1(x)$, by

$$\sum_{i \in I_x} \varphi_i(x) = 1 = \sum_{i \in I_y} \varphi_i(y)$$

we have

$$\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y) = \sum_{i \in I_x} \sum_{j \in I_y} \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(y) [m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega) - m_u(B(x_j^*, r_j) \cap \Omega)].$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 twice, by (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega) - m_u(B(x_j^*, r_j) \cap \Omega)| \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega} \int_{B(x_j^*, r_j) \cap \Omega} |u(z) - u(w)|^\delta dz dw \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(y, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} \int_{B(x, 5d(y, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} |u(z) - u(w)|^\delta dz dw \right)^{1/\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for all $z \in B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega$ and $w \in B(y, 5d(y, \Omega)) \cap \Omega$, since $|x - y| \geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(x, \Omega), d(y, \Omega)\}$,

$$|z - w| \leq |x - y| + 5d(x, \Omega) + 5d(y, \Omega) \lesssim |x - y|.$$

This together with (2.8) leads to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n/p+s}} &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} \int_{B(y, 5d(y, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} \frac{|u(z) - u(w)|^\delta}{|z - w|^{n\delta/p+s\delta}} dz dw \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\lesssim (\mathcal{M}_\delta \times \mathcal{M}_\delta)(F)(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(z, w) \equiv \frac{|u(z) - u(w)|}{|w - z|^{n/p+s}} \chi_\Omega(z) \chi_\Omega(w),$$

and $(\mathcal{M}_\delta \times \mathcal{M}_\delta)(F)(x, y)$ denotes the iterated Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of F . That is, first for a given w , taking the maximal function of $F(z, w)$ with respect to the variable z and evaluating at x , we get $\mathcal{M}_\delta(F(\cdot, w))(x)$. Then for a given x , taking maximal function of $\mathcal{M}_\delta(F(\cdot, w))(x)$ with respect to w and evaluating at y , we obtain $(\mathcal{M}_\delta \times \mathcal{M}_\delta)(F)(x, y)$.

By the $L^{p/\delta}$ -boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood operator, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3,1} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(\mathcal{M}_\delta \times \mathcal{M}_\delta)(F)(x, y)]^p dx dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} \chi_\Omega(x) \chi_\Omega(y) dx dy \\ &\lesssim \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in V \setminus \Omega$ and $y \in X_2(x)$, noticing that $\sum_{i \in I_x \cup I_y} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_i(y)] = 0$, by Lemma 2.1, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i \in I_x \cup I_y} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_i(y)] m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i \in I_x \cup I_y} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_i(y)] [m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega) - m_u(B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega)] \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i \in I_x \cup I_y} \frac{|x - y|}{r_i} |m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega) - m_u(B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega)|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 twice with $\delta < p$ and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|m_u(B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega) - m_u(B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega)| \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega} \int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} |u(z) - u(w)|^\delta dw dz \right\}^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega} \left[\int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} |u(z) - u(w)|^p dw \right]^{\delta/p} dz \right\}^{1/\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $i \in I_x \cup I_y$, we claim that $r_i \sim d(x, \Omega)$,

$$B(x_i^*, r_i) \subset B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)) \text{ and } |B(x_i^*, r_i)| \sim |B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega|.$$

If $i \in I_x$, this follows from (2.9). To see this for $i \in I_y$, observe that

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{3}d(y, \Omega) \leq d(x, \Omega) \leq 3d(y, \Omega).$$

Indeed, taking $\bar{y} \in \bar{\Omega}$ so that $|y - \bar{y}| = d(y, \Omega)$, by $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(x, \Omega), d(y, \Omega)\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, \Omega) &\leq |x - \bar{y}| \leq |x - y| + |y - \bar{y}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, \Omega) + \frac{1}{2}d(y, \Omega) + d(y, \Omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}d(x, \Omega) + \frac{3}{2}d(y, \Omega), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $d(x, \Omega) \leq 3d(y, \Omega)$. Similarly, we have $d(y, \Omega) \leq 3d(x, \Omega)$. Thus $B(y, 5d(y, \Omega)) \subset B(x, 20d(x, \Omega))$, and by (1.3),

$$|B(y, 5d(y, \Omega)) \cap \Omega| \sim |B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega|.$$

So, for all $i \in I_y$, by Lemma 2.1 and (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_i^*, r_i) &\subset B(y, 5d(y, \Omega)) \subset B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)), \\ |B(x_i^*, r_i) \cap \Omega| &\sim |B(y, 5d(y, \Omega)) \cap \Omega| \sim |B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega|, \end{aligned}$$

as desired in the above claim.

Moreover, by (2.10), for all $z, w \in B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega$, we have $|z-w| \lesssim d(x, \Omega)$. This together with $\#I_x + \#I_y \lesssim 1$ (by Lemma 2.1) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{|x-y|}{d(x, \Omega)} \left\{ \int_{B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} \left[\int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} |u(z) - u(w)|^p dw \right]^{\delta/p} dz \right\}^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-y|}{d(x, \Omega)^{1-s}} \left\{ \int_{B(x, 20d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} \left[\int_{B(x, 5d(x, \Omega)) \cap \Omega} \frac{|u(z) - u(w)|^p}{|z-w|^{n+sp}} dw \right]^{\delta/p} dz \right\}^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-y|}{d(x, \Omega)^{1-s}} \mathcal{M}_\delta \left(\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u(\cdot) - u(w)|^p}{|\cdot - w|^{n+sp}} dw \right)^{1/p} \chi_\Omega(\cdot) \right) (x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3,2} &\lesssim \int_{V \setminus \Omega} \left(\int_{X_2(x)} \frac{|x-y|^{p-n-sp}}{d(x, \Omega)^{p-sp}} dy \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[\mathcal{M}_\delta \left(\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u(\cdot) - u(w)|^p}{|\cdot - w|^{n+sp}} dw \right)^{1/p} \chi_\Omega(\cdot) \right) (x) \right]^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since (2.10) implies that $X_2(x) \subset B(x, 15d(x, \Omega))$, we have

$$\int_{X_2(x)} \frac{|x-y|^{p-n-sp}}{d(x, \Omega)^{p-sp}} dy \lesssim \int_{B(x, 15d(x, \Omega))} \frac{|x-y|^{p-n-sp}}{d(x, \Omega)^{p-sp}} dy \lesssim 1.$$

This together with the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of \mathcal{M}_δ yields that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3,2} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\mathcal{M}_\delta \left(\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u(\cdot) - u(w)|^p}{|\cdot - w|^{n+sp}} dw \right)^{1/p} \chi_\Omega(\cdot) \right) (x) \right]^p dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(w)|^p}{|x-w|^{n+sp}} dw \right)^{1/p} \chi_\Omega(x) \right]^p dx \\ &\lesssim \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(w)|^p}{|x-w|^{n+sp}} dw dx \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now we arrive at

$$H_3 \leq H_{3,1} + H_{3,2} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}^p.$$

Combining the estimates of H_1, H_2 and H_3 yields (2.4). This gives (iii).

(ii)⇒(iv). If $sp \neq n$ and $p \geq 1$, this is well known; see, for example, [21, Theorems 6.5 and 8.2].

Case $p \in (0, 1)$. For $u \in \dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, set

$$g(x) \equiv \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} |u(z) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}))|^p dz \right)^{1/p}.$$

For each j , by Lemma 2.2 and $|x - z| \leq 2^{-j}$ for all $z \in B(x, 2^{-j})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} |u(z) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}))|^p dz \\ & \lesssim \int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} |u(z) - u(x)|^p dz + |u(x) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}))|^p \\ & \lesssim \int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} |u(z) - u(x)|^p dz \\ & \lesssim 2^{-jsp} \int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} \frac{|u(z) - u(x)|^p}{2^{-j(n+sp)}} dz \\ & \lesssim 2^{-jsp} \int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} \frac{|u(z) - u(x)|^p}{|z - x|^{n+sp}} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$g(x) \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} \frac{|u(z) - u(x)|^p}{|x - z|^{n+sp}} dz \right)^{1/p} \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(z) - u(x)|^p}{|x - z|^{n+sp}} dz \right)^{1/p},$$

which implies that $\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. For each ball $B(0, 2^{-k})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(B(0, 2^{-k}))} & \leq \|u - m_u(B(\cdot, 2^{-k}))\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(B(0, 2^{-k}))} \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in B(0, 2^{-k})} |m_u(B(x, 2^{-k}))| |B(0, 2^{-k})|^{(n-sp)/np}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by Lemma 2.2, for each $x \in B(0, 2^{-k})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |m_u(B(x, 2^{-k}))| |B(0, 2^{-k})|^{(n-sp)/np} \\ & \leq |B(0, 2^{-k})|^{(n-sp)/np} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-k})} |u(z)|^p dz \right)^{1/p} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B(0, 2^{-k})|^{-s/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for almost all $x \in B(0, 2^{-k})$, we claim that

$$(2.11) \quad |u(x) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-k}))| \lesssim \left(\int_{B(0, 2^{-k+2})} \frac{[g(z)]^{p/2}}{|z - x|^{n-sp/2}} dz \right)^{2/p}.$$

Assume that this holds for the moment. Denoting by $I_{sp/2}$ the Riesz potential of order $sp/2$ and by its boundedness from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{2n/(n-sp)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - m_u(B(\cdot, 2^{-k}))\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(B(0, 2^{-k}))} \\ & \lesssim \|I_{sp/2}(g^{p/2})\|_{L^{2n/(n-sp)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2/p} \lesssim \|g^{p/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2/p} \\ & \lesssim \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|u\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(B(0, 2^{-k}))} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B(0, 2^{-k})|^{-s/n},$$

which, when $k \rightarrow \infty$, implies that $\|u\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

To see (2.11), for almost all $x \in B$, we have $u(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}))$ by Lemma 2.2 with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(x) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-k}))| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j \geq k} [m_u(B(x, 2^{-j-1})) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}))] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j \geq k} |m_u(B(x, 2^{-j-1})) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}))|. \end{aligned}$$

Choose a ball $B(x_j, 2^{-j-2}) \subset B(x, 2^{-j}) \setminus B(x, 2^{-j-1})$. For each $z \in B(x_j, 2^{-j-2})$, we have $B(x, 2^{-j}) \subset B(z, 2^{-j+2})$, and hence, by Lemma 2.2 again,

$$\begin{aligned} & |m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j-1}))| \\ &\lesssim |m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}) - m_u(B(z, 2^{-j+2}))| \\ &\quad + |m_u(B(z, 2^{-j-4}) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j+2}))| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=j-1}^j \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-\ell})} |u(w) - m_u(B(z, 2^{-j+2}))|^p dw \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(z, 2^{-j+2})} |u(w) - m_u(B(z, 2^{-j+2}))|^p dw \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-js} g(z), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|m_u(B(x, 2^{-j}) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-j-1}))| \lesssim 2^{-js} \left(\int_{B(x_j, 2^{-j-2})} [g(z)]^{p/2} dz \right)^{p/2}.$$

Observing that $|z - x| \sim 2^{-j}$ for all $z \in B(x_j, 2^{-j-2})$ and $\{B(x_j, 2^{-j-2})\}_{j \geq k}$ are pairwise disjoint, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - m_u(B(x, 2^{-k}))| &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq k} 2^{-js} \left(\int_{B(x_j, 2^{-j-2})} [g(z)]^{p/2} dz \right)^{p/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-ks} \left(\sum_{j \geq k} \int_{B(x_j, 2^{-j-2})} [g(z)]^{p/2} dz \right)^{p/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{B(0, 2^{-k+2})} \frac{[g(z)]^{p/2}}{|z - x|^{n-sp/2}} dz \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (2.11).

Case $sp = n$. This relies on the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist positive constants $C_4, C > 0$ such that for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in W^{s, n/s}(8B)$,*

$$(2.12) \quad \int_B \exp \left(C_4 \frac{|u(x) - u_B|}{\|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}} \right)^{n/(n-s)} dx \leq C|B|.$$

Now assume that Ω is a $W^{s, n/s}$ -extension domain and let $u \in W^{s, p}(\Omega)$. Then u has an extension $\tilde{u} \in W^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|u\|_{W^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_3 \|u\|_{W^{s, p}(\Omega)}$. For each ball $B = B(x, r)$ with $x \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1]$, by Lemma 2.3, we have that (2.12) holds for \tilde{u} . Then $\|\tilde{u}\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)} \leq \|\tilde{u}\|_{W^{s, n/s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(\Omega)}$ yields that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{B \cap \Omega} \exp \left(C_3 \frac{|u(x) - c|}{C \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(\Omega)}} \right)^{n/(n-s)} dx \lesssim |B|.$$

This gives (iv).

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Assume that $B \equiv B(x_0, 2^{-k_0})$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $u \in \dot{W}^{s, p}(4B)$ and take

$$g(x) \equiv \sup_{j \geq k_0 - 2} 2^{js} \int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} |u(z) - u_{B(x, 2^{-j})}| dz$$

for all $x \in 2B$ and $g(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\|g\|_{L^{n/s}(8B)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}$, which follows from

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &\lesssim \sup_{j \geq k_0 - 2} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-j})} \frac{|u(z) - u(x)|^{n/s}}{|x - z|^{2n}} dz \right)^{n/s} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{8B} \frac{|u(z) - u(x)|^{n/s}}{|x - z|^{2n}} dz \right)^{n/s}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for almost all $x \in B$, we have

$$(2.13) \quad |u(x) - u_B| \lesssim \int_{B(x_0, 2^{-k_0+2})} \frac{|g(y)|}{|y - x|^{n-s}} dy.$$

Indeed, by an argument similar to but easier than the case $p \in (0, 1)$, for every Lebesgue point $x \in B$ of u ,

$$|u(x) - u_{B(x, 2^{-k_0-1})}| \lesssim \int_{B(x_0, 2^{-k_0+2})} \frac{|g(y)|}{|y - x|^{n-s}} dy.$$

Similarly,

$$|u_B - u_{B(x, 2^{-k_0-1})}| \lesssim \int_B |u(z) - u_B| dz \lesssim \int_{B(x_0, 2^{-k_0+2})} \frac{|\mathcal{M}(g)(y)|}{|y - x|^{n-s}} dy.$$

This gives (2.13).

Applying [9, Lemma 7.2], for all $q \geq n/s$, we obtain

$$\|u - u_B\|_{L^q(B)} \leq q^{1-s/n+1/q} |B(0, 1)|^{1-s/n} |B|^{1/q} \|\mathcal{M}(g)\|_{L^{n/s}(4B)},$$

which, together with the $L^{n/s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of \mathcal{M} and the fact that

$$\|g\|_{L^{n/s}(8B)}^q \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}^q,$$

implies that

$$\int_B |u(z) - u_B|^q dz \lesssim q^{1+(n-s)/(nq)} |B(0, 1)|^{nq/(n-s)} \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}.$$

Thus when $q \geq n/s - 1$, we have

$$\int_B |u(z) - u_B|^{qn/(n-s)} dz \lesssim \frac{nq}{n-s} \left(|B(0, 1)| \frac{nq}{n-s} \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}^{n/(n-s)} \right)^q.$$

Taking $\sigma > [e|B(0, 1)|n/(n-s)]^{(n-s)/n}$, this yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B \sum_{j \geq \lfloor n/s \rfloor} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{|u(x) - u_B|}{\sigma \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}} \right)^{jn/(n-s)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{n|B(0, 1)|}{(n-s)\sigma^{n/(n-s)}} \right)^j \frac{j^j}{(j-1)!} \\ & \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/s \rfloor} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{|u(x) - u_B|}{\sigma \|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}} \right)^{jn/(n-s)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/s \rfloor} \left(\int_B \frac{|u(x) - u_B|^{n/s}}{\|u\|_{W^{s, n/s}(8B)}^{n/s}} dx \right)^{(n-s)/(j-s)} \\ & \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (2.12) and thus finishes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

(iii)⇒(v). This case follows from the arguments that are exactly the same as in the case (ii)⇒(iv).

(v)⇒(i). We need the following estimates.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$. For $z \in \Omega$ and $0 < t < r \leq 1$, define a function u on Ω by setting*

$$(2.14) \quad u(x) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B(z, t) \cap \Omega, \\ \frac{r - |x - z|}{r - t}, & \text{if } x \in (B(z, r) \setminus B(z, t)) \cap \Omega, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus B(z, r). \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of z, t, r such that

$$(2.15) \quad \|u\|_{W^{s, p}(\Omega)} \leq C \frac{|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/p}}{(r - t)^s}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.4 will be given later. With the help of Lemma 2.4, we consider the following cases: *Case $sp < n$, Case $sp = n$ and Case $sp > n$* , separately.

Case $sp < n$. Take arbitrary $z \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1]$. Notice that there always exists a unique $b \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|B(z, br) \cap \Omega| = \frac{1}{2} |B(z, r) \cap \Omega|.$$

We claim that there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of x and r , such that

$$(2.16) \quad r - br \leq C|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n}.$$

Indeed, since Ω is a $W^{s,p}$ -imbedding domain, we know that $\|u\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$, and hence by Lemma 2.4 and $\|u\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(\Omega)} \geq |B(z, br) \cap \Omega|^{(n-sp)/(np)}$, we further have

$$|B(z, br) \cap \Omega|^{(n-sp)/(np)} \lesssim \frac{|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/p}}{(r - br)^s},$$

which yields $r - br \lesssim |B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n}$. This gives (2.16). Moreover, let $b_0 = 1$ and $b_j \in (0, 1)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.17) \quad |B(z, b_j r) \cap \Omega| = 2^{-1}|B(z, b_{j-1} r) \cap \Omega| = 2^{-j}|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|.$$

Then $b_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (b_{j-1} r - b_j r) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |B(z, b_{j-1} r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-j/n} |B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n} \\ &\leq |B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case $sp = n$. Take arbitrary $z \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1]$. Let $b_0 = 1$ and $b_j \in (0, 1)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (2.17) holds. Considering the function u associated to $z, b_1 r, b_2 r$ as in (2.15), by Lemma 2.3, and applying (1.4) to the ball $B(z, r)$, we have

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \exp \left(C \frac{|u(x) - c|(b_1 r - b_2 r)^s}{|B(x, b_1 r) \cap \Omega|^{s/n}} \right)^{n/(n-s)} dx \leq C_2 r^n.$$

Observe that, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $|u - c| \geq 1/2$ either on $(B(z, r) \setminus B(z, b_1 r)) \cap \Omega$ or on $B(z, b_2 r) \cap \Omega$. By (2.17), we have

$$|B(z, b_1 r) \cap \Omega| \exp \left(\frac{(b_1 r - b_2 r)^{sn/(n-s)}}{|B(x, b_1 r) \cap \Omega|^{s/(n-s)}} \right) \lesssim r^n,$$

which implies that

$$b_1 r - b_2 r \leq |B(x, b_1 r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n} \left[\log \left(\frac{C r^n}{|B(z, b_1 r) \cap \Omega|} \right) \right]^{(n-s)/sn}.$$

Similar inequalities also hold for $b_j r - b_{j+1} r$ with $j \geq 2$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 r &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (b_j r - b_{j+1} r) \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |B(x, b_j r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n} \left[\log \left(\frac{C (b_{j-1} r)^n}{|B(z, b_j r) \cap \Omega|} \right) \right]^{(n-s)/sn} \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-j/n} |B(x, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n} \left[\log \left(2^j \frac{C r^n}{|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|} \right) \right]^{(n-s)/sn} \\ &\lesssim |B(x, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n} \left[\log \left(\frac{C r^n}{|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|} \right) \right]^{(n-s)/sn}. \end{aligned}$$

If $b_1 \geq 1/10$, observing that $t(\log \frac{1}{t})^{(n-s)/s} \geq 1$ implies that $t \gtrsim 1$, we have $|B(x, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/n} \gtrsim r$, as desired. If $b_1 \leq 1/10$, we choose $R = 2r/5$ and a point $y \in B(z, r) \cap \Omega$ such that $|y - z| = b_1 r + R/2$. Then $B(z, b_1 r) \subset B(y, R) \subset B(z, r)$ but $B(y, R/2) \cap B(z, b_1 r) = \emptyset$. Therefore, if $|B(y, \tilde{b}_1 R) \cap \Omega| = \frac{1}{2}|B(y, R) \cap \Omega|$, then by $|B(z, b_1 r) \cap \Omega| \geq \frac{1}{2}|B(y, R) \cap \Omega|$ we have $\tilde{b}_1 \geq 1/2$. Applying the result when $b_1 \geq 1/10$, we conclude that $|B(y, R) \cap \Omega| \gtrsim R^n$, which implies that $|B(z, r) \cap \Omega| \gtrsim r^n$, as desired.

Case $sp > n$. For $z \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1]$, take $t \in (0, r/4)$, and for such z, r, t , set u as in (2.14). Then for all $x, y \in \Omega$, by Lemma 2.4 and $r/2 \leq r - t \leq r$, we have

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} |x - y|^{s-n/p} \lesssim \frac{|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/p}}{r^s} |x - y|^{s-n/p}.$$

In particular, let $x \in B(z, t) \cap \Omega$ and $y \in (B(z, r + r/2) \cap \Omega) \setminus B(z, r)$. Then $|x - z| \leq r/4$, $r \leq |y - z| \leq 3r/2$, and hence $r/2 \leq |x - y| \leq 2r$. Therefore, $r^n \lesssim |B(z, r) \cap \Omega|$, as desired.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Obviously, $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim |B(z, r) \cap \Omega|^{1/p}$. It then suffices to prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \lesssim \frac{|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|}{(r - t)^{sp}}.$$

To this end, observing $u = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus B(z, r)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy &= 2 \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(z, r)} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ &\equiv H_1 + H_2. \end{aligned}$$

For each $x \in B(z, r) \cap \Omega$, we have $\Omega \setminus B(z, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, r - |x - z|)$, and hence

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B(z, r)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, r - |x - z|)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy \leq \frac{1}{(r - |x - z|)^{sp}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &\leq \int_{(B(z, r) \setminus B(z, t)) \cap \Omega} \left(\frac{r - |x - z|}{r - t} \right)^p \frac{1}{(r - |x - z|)^{sp}} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B(z, t) \cap \Omega} \frac{1}{(r - |x - z|)^{sp}} dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{|B(z, r) \cap \Omega|}{(r - t)^{sp}}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \int_{B(x, r-t) \cap \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \int_{(B(z, r) \setminus B(x, r-t)) \cap \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \\ &\equiv H_{2,1} + H_{2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla u| \leq 1/(r - t)$, for all $x, y \in \Omega$, we have

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq \frac{1}{r - t} ||x - z| - |y - z|| \leq \frac{1}{r - t} |x - y|.$$

Then by $\int_{B(x, r-t)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+sp-p}} dy \lesssim (r - t)^{p-sp}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,1} &\leq \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \int_{B(x, r-t)} \frac{1}{(r - t)^p} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp-p}} dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \frac{(r - t)^{p-sp}}{(r - t)^p} dx \\ &\lesssim |B(z, r) \cap \Omega| \frac{1}{(r - t)^{sp}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing $0 \leq u \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, r-t)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+sp}} dy \lesssim (r - t)^{-sp}$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,2} &\lesssim \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, r-t)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{B(z, r) \cap \Omega} \frac{1}{(r - t)^{sp}} dx \\ &\lesssim |B(z, r) \cap \Omega| \frac{1}{(r - t)^{sp}}. \end{aligned}$$

So $H_2 \lesssim \frac{|\Omega|}{(r-t)^{sp}}$, as desired. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.4. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Professor Pekka Koskela for his helpful comments and suggestions. The author would like to thank the referee for his kind comments, suggestions and corrections of several mistakes in the earlier version, which improved this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn, *Boundary values of functions with finite Dirichlet integral*, Techn. Report of Univ. of Kansas **14** (1955), 77-94.
- [2] S. Buckley and P. Koskela, *Sobolev-Poincaré implies John*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), no. 5, 577-593. MR1359964 (96i:46035)
- [3] Stephen M. Buckley and Pekka Koskela, *Criteria for imbeddings of Sobolev-Poincaré type*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **18** (1996), 881-901, DOI 10.1155/S1073792896000542. MR1420554 (98g:46041)
- [4] Ronald A. DeVore and Robert C. Sharpley, *Besov spaces on domains in \mathbf{R}^d* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335** (1993), no. 2, 843-864, DOI 10.2307/2154408. MR1152321 (93d:46051)
- [5] Nobuhiko Fujii, *A condition for a two-weight norm inequality for singular integral operators*, Studia Math. **98** (1991), no. 3, 175-190. MR1115188 (92k:42022)
- [6] Emilio Gagliardo, *Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili* (Italian), Ricerche Mat. **7** (1958), 102-137. MR0102740 (21 #1526)
- [7] F. W. Gehring and O. Martio, *Lipschitz classes and quasiconformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **10** (1985), 203-219. MR802481 (87b:30029)
- [8] F. W. Gehring and O. Martio, *Quasixtremal distance domains and extension of quasiconformal mappings*, J. Analyse Math. **45** (1985), 181-206, DOI 10.1007/BF02792549. MR833411 (87j:30043)
- [9] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition. MR1814364 (2001k:35004)

- [10] A. Gogatishvili, P. Koskela and Y. Zhou, Characterizations of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on metric measure spaces, *Forum Math.* **25** (2013), no. 4, 787–819. DOI 10.1515/FORM.2011.135. MR3089750
- [11] Vladimir Mikailovitch Gol'dšteĭn and Sergei Konstantinovitch Vodop'janov, *Prolongement des fonctions de classe L_p^1 et applications quasi conformes* (French, with English summary), *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **290** (1980), no. 10, A453–A456. MR571380 (81k:30024)
- [12] Vladimir Gol'dstein and Serge Vodop'anov, *Prolongement de fonctions différentiables hors de domaines plans* (French, with English summary), *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **293** (1981), no. 12, 581–584. MR647686 (83a:46043)
- [13] Piotr Hajłasz, Pekka Koskela, and Heli Tuominen, *Sobolev embeddings, extensions and measure density condition*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), no. 5, 1217–1234, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2007.11.020. MR2386936 (2009b:46070)
- [14] Piotr Hajłasz, Pekka Koskela, and Heli Tuominen, *Measure density and extendability of Sobolev functions*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **24** (2008), no. 2, 645–669, DOI 10.4171/RMI/551. MR2459208 (2009i:46065)
- [15] Juha Heinonen, *Lectures on Lipschitz analysis*, Report. University of Jyväskylä Department of Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 100, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 2005. MR2177410 (2006k:49111)
- [16] Alf Jonsson and Hans Wallin, *Function spaces on subsets of \mathbf{R}^n* , *Math. Rep.* **2** (1984), no. 1, xiv+221. MR820626 (87f:46056)
- [17] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, *A Whitney extension theorem in L_p and Besov spaces* (English, with French summary), *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **28** (1978), no. 1, vi, 139–192. MR500920 (81c:46024)
- [18] Peter W. Jones, *Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces*, *Acta Math.* **147** (1981), no. 1-2, 71–88, DOI 10.1007/BF02392869. MR631089 (83i:30014)
- [19] P. Koskela, *Extensions and imbeddings*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **159** (1998), no. 2, 369–383, DOI 10.1006/jfan.1998.3331. MR1658090 (99k:46056)
- [20] Pekka Koskela, Dachun Yang, and Yuan Zhou, *Pointwise characterizations of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and quasiconformal mappings*, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), no. 4, 3579–3621, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2010.10.020. MR2764899 (2011k:46050)
- [21] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573, DOI 10.1016/j.bulsci.2011.12.004. MR2944369
- [22] Jaak Peetre, *New thoughts on Besov spaces*, Mathematics Department, Duke University, Durham, N.C., 1976. Duke University Mathematics Series, No. 1. MR0461123 (57 #1108)
- [23] Vyacheslav S. Rychkov, *On restrictions and extensions of the Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with respect to Lipschitz domains*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **60** (1999), no. 1, 237–257, DOI 10.1112/S0024610799007723. MR1721827 (2000m:46077)
- [24] Pavel Shvartsman, *Local approximations and intrinsic characterization of spaces of smooth functions on regular subsets of \mathbf{R}^n* , *Math. Nachr.* **279** (2006), no. 11, 1212–1241, DOI 10.1002/mana.200510418. MR2247585 (2007m:46051)
- [25] Pavel Shvartsman, *On Sobolev extension domains in \mathbf{R}^n* , *J. Funct. Anal.* **258** (2010), no. 7, 2205–2245, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2010.01.002. MR2584745 (2011d:46073)
- [26] L. N. Slobodeckii, *Generalized Sobolev spaces and their application to boundary problems for partial differential equations*, *Leningrad. Gos. Ped. Inst. Učen. Zap.* **197** (1958), 54–112. MR0203222 (34 #3075)
- [27] Elias M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. MR0290095 (44 #7280)
- [28] Hans Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 78, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983. MR781540 (86j:46026)
- [29] Hans Triebel, *Function spaces in Lipschitz domains and on Lipschitz manifolds. Characteristic functions as pointwise multipliers*, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **15** (2002), no. 2, 475–524. MR1951822 (2003m:46059)
- [30] Hans Triebel, *Function spaces and wavelets on domains*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 7, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. MR2455724 (2010b:46078)

- [31] S. K. Vodop'janov, V. M. Gol'dšteĭn, and T. G. Latfullin, *A criterion for the extension of functions of the class $L_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ from unbounded plane domains* (Russian), *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **20** (1979), no. 2, 416–419, 464. MR530508 (80j:46061)
- [32] Y. Zhou, *Criteria for optimal global integrability of Hajlasz-Sobolev functions*, *Ill. J. Math.* **231** (2011), 1083–1103. MR3069296

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIHANG UNIVERSITY, HAIDIAN DISTRICT XUEYUAN ROAD
37#, BEIJING 100191, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: `yuanzhou@buaa.edu.cn`