NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Published in mal edited form a: Smatt. 2014 Feb uary 12; 10(3): 556-565 doi:10.1002/smll.201302217.

Gadolinium-Conjugated Gold Nanoshells for Multimodal Diagnostic imaging and Photothermal Cancer Therapy

Dr. Anchew J. Coughlin

Department of Bioengineering, Rice University o 100 Main Street, MS-142, Houston, TX 77005, USA

Dr. Jeyarama S Ananta,

Department of Translations Finaging, The Methodist Hospital Research Institute, 6565 Fannin Street, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Nanfu Deng,

Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, 6100 Main Street, MS-142, Houston, TX 77005, USA

Prof. Irina V. Lavina

Department of Mclecular Physiology and Biophysics, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, Taxas 77030, USA

Dr. Paolo Decuzzi, and

Department of Translational Imaging, The Methodist Hoppital Research Institute, 6565 Fannin Street, Houston, TX 77030 USA

Prof. Jennifer L. West

Department of Biomedical Engineering Duke University, Room 136 Hudson Hall, Box 90281, Durham, NC 27708, USA

Jennifer L. West: jennifer.I.west@duke.ed

Abstract

Multimodal imaging offers the potential to improve diagnosis and encance the opecificate of photothermal cancer therapy. Toward this goal, we have engineered gololinium conjugated gold nanoshells and demonstrated that there enhance contrast for nagnetic resonance imaging cf-Ray, optical coherence tomography, reflectance confocal microscopy, and two-photon lumin scence. Additionally, these particles effectively convert near-inflared light to heat, which can be used to ablate cancer cells. Ultimately, these studies demonstrate the potential of gradoliniam-nanoshells for image-guided photothermal ablation

Keywords

gadolinium; gold-silica nanoshell; magnetic resonance imaging; X- ay imaging; optical coherence tomography; reflectance confocal microscopy, two-photon luminescence: phototherman ablation

Correspondence to: Jennifer L. West, jennifer.l.west@dukeredu. Supporting Information is available on the WWW under http://www.usar-Journal.com.ov/from the 1. Introduction

Photothermal therapy incancer medicine has garnered increasing attention over the past two decades because of the ability to locally ablate disease while leaving surrounding, normal issue unharmed.^[1] This approach is feasible with the addition of exogenous optical absorbers, which by during are normally benign but strongly absorb specific wavelengths of light and subsequently dissipate intrenergy as heat. Near-infrared (NIR) light in the range of 650 – 900 nm is ideally suited for this purpose because the major tissue chromophores are minimally absorptive within this spectral region, allowing deep and harmless penetration.^[2,3] If tissue ten peratures on the order of 150 - 55 °C are achieved,^[4] irreversible membrane rupturing occurs to elicit cell detth.^[5] Furthermore, this heating effect is only realized where light and the optical absorbers are locally combined, thus affording more site-specific the tapy than standard chemotrerapeutic regimens, for instance, which all bein heating and diseased cells.

To ensure that the entirety of the tumor tissue is irradicted with NIR light, diagnostic imaging technologies can be employed to elacidate the extent of disease spread. Because navy of these technologies provide unique perspectives and associated advantages, combining platforms in multimodal diagnostic approaches facilitates more holistic characterization of disease compared to using any one imaging mode alone.^[6–8]For example, anatomic imaging platforms like magnetic resonance (MR) and computed tomography (CT) can be used to initially identify suspicione bisions, while optical modalities can subsequently hone in at the molecular level to enable accurate diagnosis. Then if decided effects at identified since of interest.

To facilitate and streamine each of these steps in nultimedal image-juided photothermal therapy, multifunctional nanoparticle pletforms can be employed. With the administration of a single, appropriately designed nanoparticle agent, inaging counast enhancement and improved sensitivity can be achieved across modalitic, along with subsequent absorption of NIR light for thermal destruction a tumor tissue. Hereir, we de ibe rade liniumconjugated gold-silica ranosballs that fun ato, as probe in MRI ay, and optical imaging as well as absorptive agents in photothermal therapy. These particles a specific gold-Infair silica shell-core geometry^[9] that exhibits surface plasmon mance (SPR) and meanimum light extinction within the NIP region. A lattionally, the gold surface lave ds biocompatibility,^[10–12] heile surrace conjugat on chemistry ia s ufur-gold nkages attenuation of X-ray radiation because of its associated high atomic p over and el ctron density.^[13]

Previously, light scattering by solu nanochells was exploited as contraoptical imaging applications, including dark neld merosc py in vitr confocal microscopy (RCM) ex vi to, [15] and optical co here nce tomo vitro^[16,17] and *in vivo*.^[18] Light a sortion has also b en l arnessed for luminescent (TPL) imaging contra +[19] ototherp al ablation of cancer each performed in vitro and in vivo. After particle conjugation olinium, ga

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN.c 2015 Feb at

their broad functionality as contrast agent; across MRI, X-ray, and three optical imaging methods: OCT, CCM and TPL. We also show significantly improved MRI contrast on anciment efficacy when gadolinium chelates are conjugated to gold nanoshells. Finally, we confirm that these particles are efficient photothermal converters and can be employed to ablate cancer cells *in putro*.

2. Results and Discussion

Gold-silica nanoshells were synthested according to a four-step procedure as previously described by Oldenburg *et al.*^[9] After forming a ~16 nm gold shell over ~120 nm silica core nanoparticles, na oshells diplayed on average diameter of 152 ± 10 nm, imaged by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Figure 14 and B). Measurements were acquired and tabulated within NIH ImageJ softwart ($n \neq 215$). Additionally, characterization with UV-Vis spectroscopy demonstrated nanoshell plasmot resonance and maximum extinction at approximately 800 nm, which is within the biologically relevant NIR water window (Figure VC).

adoli num conjugation to gold nanos fells ormed by first employing a was peri crobifunctional poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)linker with a succinimidyl proprionate (SPA) en -group for reacting with an aminate Gd(III) chelator and an orthopyridyl disulfide (OI (S) for eventual adsorption to gold (Scheme 1) Turaaz cyclododecane tetraacetic acid (DO)as chosen as the ebentor because of the high stability of Gd(DOTA) relative to A) other & $s^{[21]}$ and the availability of established metalation procedures.^[22,23] complex Once synthesis of OPSS-PEG-DOTA was confirmed with gel permeation chromatography (GPC, Surporting Information Figure S1), a metalation reaction with gadolinium chloride as conducted to form OPS S-PEG-Ga(DOTA). Addition I characterization on (GdCl₃) w DOTA and OPCS-PEG-Gd(DOTA) was performed with proton nuclear magnetic **OPSS-PEG** resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (Supporting Information, Figure 32). The polymer chains with chelated Gd(III) icns were men incubated with gold cinca nap shells, during which elf-assem Id surface via sulfar-gold interactions. Remaining time the chains the g gold surface area was backfilled with PEG-thiol (PEG-SH) to he passivate particle surfaces and enhance stability in suspension. Following partic ation, hydrodynamic ing 0% diameters were obser ed to increase by ~ und zeta p oter tial ~30% alues by (Supporting Information Table S1).

The degree of gadolinium conjugation was quantitatively evaluated via l analysis with inductively coupled plasma mass spectronacity (ICP-MS A ter acid m diated digestion of the particles, ICP-MS showed an average of 3.5 ± Gd(III) ior nanoshell (n = 3). While this analysis was performed on the bate of periodinium-nanoshells (Gd-NS) used throughout the work presented bereit uon methods the chemica were found to be reproducible. Across 12 particle-conjugate batches, ntent he a was $3.9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^4$ ions/nanoshell/translating to a variability of ~13 Add nanoshell samples were pelleted by centrifugation, an with ICPana lysis on th supe MS revealed that ~99.9% of the total of content in ine G d-NS samples was in conjugated.

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN 2015 Fe

Gadolinium compl are known to show the longitudinal relaxation time (T_1) of water of the met because igh magnetic moment and symmetric electronic ground s at various concentrations in water were acquired T_1 relaxation t me 41 T benchtop relaxometer at 37 °C and compared to those of OPSS-PEGat equivalent gadolinium concentrations and nanoshells conjugated only to PEGsolutions (n = 6, Figure 2). At the highest at equi alent nar incentration stested, for instance, Gd-NS exhibited a T_1 relaxation time of 462 nan snell as compared to 3 09 ± 4 ms for PEG-MS and $3,842 \pm 2$ ms for water alone, cating that the contribution of the nanoshells themselves to relaxation remains low gure 2B). Thi observation is in agreement with an vious studies that have shown only ing relaxation enhanced of as with gold and silicon nanomaterials without gadolinium content.^[24,25] Therefore, the gadelinium present on the surfaces of Gd-NS is principally for the observed degreeces in relax, tion times. Additionally, when comparing (DOTA) and Gd-NS at their highest tested gadolinium concentrations, the PE ed a longer T_1 relaxation time of 1,677 ± 1 ms versus 462 ± 2 ms for Gd-A). This trend was consistent across all endolinium concentrations tested. NS hore, the ability of any material to get as an VR contrast agent is defined in terms where r_1 claxify is defined as the change in T_1 relaxation rates of water pr cons normalized to gadolinium content. The calculated r_1 values of 7 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ for S-FEG-Gd(DOTA) and 37 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ for Gu-NS (set G¹) clearly demonstrate the relaxivity of the gadelinium complexes once conjugated to gold nanoshell surfaces enha ced (Figur and 2D) 20

This observed enhancement in relaxivity from OPSo-PEG-Gu, 20TA) to Gd-NS is likely a result of the restricted molecular tun bling and therefore increa sed r_R rotational correlation times of the Ga shelmes after conjugation to nanoshell carraces. Solomon, Bloembergen, and Morgan have previously described that increases in $\tau_{\rm c}$ rotational correlation times result in increased r_1 relaxivities.^[21,26] In fact, the r_1 value for Gd Vo is γ times higher than that $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ per ord).^[21,26] rer particle r_I relaxivity for of current, clinical Gd-based agents (~4 n of the high density of Gd(II) tony on each nanoshell Gd-NS is $1.31 \times 10^{\circ}$ n s⁻¹ because M surface. Other studies have also demonstrated relaxivity enhanced ents with gadolinium ijugated Gdcomplexes tethered to ranom aerial platforms. For example, Son 1. CO chelates to 30 nm go d nanoparticles via thiol-terminated DNA and achieved an re-relaxivity of 20 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ per Ga at 1.41 T and 37 C.[27] loungi and echeagues imilarly, N enshrouded ~5 nm gold nanoparticles in ang d la ver of directly unolated elates and per Gd at T and 25 observed an r_1 value of 10 mM

An evaluation of Gd-NS cytotoxicity was performed using the MTS assay ished method for determining the effect of napoparticles on cell metabolic crivity viability.^[28] In live cells, mit, chondrial denydrogenase enzymes conv rt the tent (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxyraeunoxyr heny -2-(4-sulf oph en tetrazolium) to a formazan product det ctable via absorbar ce measui hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells and human de mal libroblasts anzing punoparticle toxicity.^[28] employed, both common cell type, for character Nanoparti concentrations spanning 0 to 15,000 perticles/cell were tested, range which is andes previously reported and anticipated exposure levels of 1 - 100 particles/cell for nano-sized

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN < 2015 February 2.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

systems *in vivo* ^[29,30] Doth Gd-INS and PEG-NS were incubated with HepG2 and HDF cells for 24 and 48 h, at which times the vfTS essay was performed. Across all particle concentrations tested for both timepoints, no statistically significant decrease in cell viability was observed, demonstrating suitable biocompatibility of the nanoshell conjugates (Figure

appended in 1% agarose at a concentration of 4.2×10^{11} particles/ml were end ofted strong positive contrast co mpared to agarose-only control phantoms across fix diagnostic imaging modalities: 1 -weighted megnetic resonance imaging (MRI, Philips covery public sequence), X-Ray (Kubtec XPERT80), optical with an inversion ography (OC , Ninas Imalux 1210), reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM, VivaScope 2500), and two-photon bannescence (1PL, Yeiss Laser Scanning Microscope 510) (Ei MR and X-ray imaging, he enjiret, of the phantom could be imaged Furtherness with optical modalities such as at the macr in a single acquisitio O aging across tens to hundreds of microns was feasible. Finally TPL fac itated ev in higher resolution imaging where individual particles could be resolved at () magnification By comparison, plantons lot ded with PEG-NS demonstrated no ast under WIR as expected, considering the relation small reductions in T_1 times for lvel S observed during relaxometry characterization. However, PEG-NS exhibited signal nsit, nevels similar to that of Gd-NS across all other two lalities because of near identical int roperties between the two particle type ral spec

In add agnostic contrast agents, Go-NS are efficient absorbers of NIR light, y nereupon this energy is converted to heet mat can be employed to locally ablate cancer tissue. To evaluate the potential for Gd NS to be used in photothermal cancer therapy, a photothermal conversion study was performed. Particles were syspended in water (n = 3)at three optical densities ($OD_{800} = 1, 2, \text{ and } 4 \text{ corresponding to } 0.25, 0.5, \text{ and } 1.0 \times 10^{10}$ particles/ml) and then irradiated at 808 nm for 3 min at three laser power settings (1.25, 2.5 and 5 W). Both TEO-INS and water were Iso irradiated for compension. Temperature readings acquired idicated that Gd hs and P a thermoe uple **UNS** suspensions heated to higher temperatur acreasing particle concentration with nd igher laser powers (Figure 5). No statistical difference is found it the maxin *m* tem peratures recorded for Gd-NS and PEG-NS at any g en particle concentration and laser power combination, indicating that the presence 1 the adolinium on the exterior of the proshell does not inhibit photothermal conversion. In contrast, water contrast without nanoshells exhibited minimal heating above room temperature across all laser powers

The ability of Gd-NS to convert NIR light to heat alk enabled ablation of (Figure 6). The particles were included with 1516-F10 melanom cel's in vitro at a ratio of 7,500 particles per cell for 2 1. Media along, without particles in s was also on. incubated with the melanoma cells for comparison Cells vere irradi ted nm W/cm² for 3 min and later stained with calcein AM and et idium ho ime cate areas of live and dead cells respective y by fluorescepce microscopy. ubated or ce with Gd-NS before NIR laser exposure, viability maining depicted an area of deau cells corresponding to the irradiation zone. By comparison, irradiated cells without ne remained viable, indicating that NIR light by itself is being. Sufficient heating to kills cells

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN c 2015 Feb uary 2.

was only achieved where the NRK right and particles were combined locally, a finding in accordance with photothermal corrects or studies and previously published work on the gold-snica nanoshell platform.^[14] Finany, non-irradiated cells exposed to Gd-NS or media alone remained viable in either case, indicating that the particles alone were harmless to the

Addi Gd-NS demonstrated effective contrast enhancement in an animal model with ay, and optical methods. After an intratuctoral injection of Gd-NS (50 μ l at 6.3 \times NS/ml) in a subcutaneous B16-110 metanometumor in a mouse, higher signal intensity ved in tumor tissue with particles under both T_I -MRI and X-ray (Figure 7). As e phantoms, the entire tumor was imageable in the context of the mouse with th anatomy with each of these modalities. Tumor tissue was then harvested and imaged ex vivo and TPL imaging model. In the case of OCT, the highest signal intensities within a few hundred microns of the tumor surfaces (Figure 8). overall were W μm, tumor tissue with nanoshells demonstrated 31.5% higher intensity hin that of t thai mor tissue without particles (Figure 83) Both RCM and TPL enabled further osceptic imaging which exhibited cadily apparent contrast enhancement in tumor tissue impared to ssue without landshells (Figure 9). Furthermore, as the control t ny seen in me agarose phantoms individual particles could be resolved with TPL imaging at high magnification.

3. Conclusions

In summary we have accessfully tethered gadolinium to near-bifrared resonant gold-silica nanoshells, imparting high r_l relaxivity. These aanoshell conjugates subsequently afford contrast et han ement across a range of diagnos ic modalities, with resolutions spanning sub-centular lenger scales, thus facilitating application for image-guided anatomic to photothermal merupy. MRI and X-ray based modalities with gadelini im-nanoshell enhancement could be used to initially to initially suspicious lesions within tissue. Afterwards, with lowoptical imaging K light could then be performed within appropriate fields of view to obtain molecular information regarding disease state. Such optical modes include OCT, RCM, and TPL, which all exhibit increased signal inte the addition of ie gadolinium-nanoshells. Finely, if therapy is d emed nee gher-powered NIR light can then be applied site specifically to local ly a late disease, leaving surrounding cormal tissue unharmed.

While the methods herein were applied to a superficial melanomatumor model for initial proof-of-concept, these techniques could also be employed for more deep-seated timors. With unlimited penetration depths through tissue, MRI and X-ray based modes have routinely been used for visualizing embedded emitemies. However, image able depths for the optical methods described here are commed to the order of several hundredim grows to millimeters. At the same time, recent developments in microendoscoby (nd liberbundle design are enabling access and administration of light to drep tissue.^[1]

Beyond their current broad utility, gadolinium-nanoshelds offer a platform technology with potential for additional functionality and complexity. For instance, with the incorporation of

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN c 2015 Feb us

targeting ligands, such as peptides, protents, or nucleic acid based aptamers, gadoliniumnanostells could home to desired a ceptors or molecular markers on diseased cells. These methods may provide more information regarding molecular phenotypes, which can be useful in characterizing disease and predicting invasiveness.^[32,33]

4. Experimental Section Gold Silica Nanoshelt Synthesis

All lassware used in nanoparticle sy nthesis was cl aned with aqua regia (75% 12 N the act I and 25% 16 Minitric acid by volume) followed by thorough rinsing with cold-silica shell core nanoshens were synthesized via a seed-mediated growth process as described previously by Oldenourg en al.^[9] Silica nanoparticles ~120 nm n Colloid, were sated with positively charged amine groups using 3silane (APTES, Gelest). Negatively charged colloidal gold $\sim 3 - 5$ nm aminopropy ized according to methods by Duff et al.^[34] was then adsorbed onto the in am ated sili a particles. These small gold particles served as nucleation sites for the of the thin sole shell over the silica in the final reduction reaction with u (HAuCl , Alfa Aesar 99 999%) an maldehyde (HCHO, 37%). The l fo hell product demonstrated where $t = 10^{-10}$ m by UV-Vis mca nape tros copy (Cary 50 Bio) and an average diameter of 152 ± 10 nm by transmission spe nicroscopy (TEM, JEOL 1230, Figure 1). Nanosh vis were stored in 1.8 mM elec on °C until the conjugation reactions below were per ormed. Both the Beer-K₂CC Lambel: law ar Mie theo y were employed to determine pano hell concentration as E [35-38] described elsewb

OPSS-PEG-DOTA Synthes

Chelated gad linium ions were tethered to nanoslell surfaces with an orthopyridyl disulfidepoly(ethylene glycol)-succinimidyl proj onate linker (OPSS PEG-SV A. Nektar Therapeutics, NW = 2000 Da) The PEG inker was just conjugated to an aminated rododecane tetraacetic acid (DOTA) (2-aminoethyl-monogadolinium chelator, te raal acv amide-DOTA-tris(t-Bu stery, Macrocyclics). To perform this ion, the DOTA derivative (1.5 mmol) was added to any ydrous N, N din ethylform am. a Aldrich. de (1 igr 99.8%, 6 ml) followed by N,N-diisopropyl thy umine (DIECA, 5%, 3 mmol) in an amber glass vial, and the mixture w nplete s vortexe r 1 min dissolution. Next, OPSS-PEC-SPA (0.15 mm)) v as added to DTA:PEG molar ratio. The vial was quickly purged with that high purit nit ogen gas anned vortexed for an additional 1 min, and then rocked ov inperature. The next rnight at room t day, the sample was diluted 1:4 with ice-cold ultrapure water and thep transferred to a regenerated cellulose dialysis membrane (Spectrum Laboratories $2000 D_{e}$). The sample was dialyzed against unrapure water followed by lyophilization. To ct f e – COOH groups on the DOTA derivative, the lyophilized product was added t glass vial followed by dichloromethane (DCM, EMD Millin bre trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, J.T. Baker, 261 ml). The vial y as then purged with ultr purity nitrogen, capped, and left to tock overnight at room temperature. The next d solvent was removed by rotary evaporation (Euchi Retavapor I)-200, 40

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN. 2015 February 2.

approximately te residual solid was washed three times with ethyl ether (EMD, olv®, 10 ml) preck file decanting the ethyl ether after each wash. in temperature for 4 h, the product was dissolved in ultrapure drying the soli l at dialyzed against u trapure water (2000 MWCO regenerated cellulose, Spectrum ator es), lyophilized, and then stored at -20 °C until further use. Over 90% of the PEG to the godonnium chelator as determined by gel njugat chromatography (GPC Aglent Technologies, PLgel 5 µm 500 Å column with perp eatiop 1000 detector, I sure S1).

Gadoinium Cholation to form OPSS-PEG-Gd(DC1A)

on with methods adapted from Ratzinger et al.^[22] and A gadolinium chelation reac Sosabowski and Mather^[23] was performed. First, a lingle solution at 200 mM gauonnium(III) chlolide hexah drate (GdQl₃ • $5H_2O_2$ na Aldrich, 99%) and 600 mM Sig in war mad with ultrapure H₂O and adjusted to pH 8 with sodium hydroxide dolinium-citric acid solution (10 ml) was added to OPSS-PEG-DOTA (70 (Nvial to achieve a Gd:DOT molar ratio f approximately 70:1. The vial was mg or 48 h at facilitate metale ion. Excess gadolinium was removed by dialysis °C ti mM sodi in citrate followed by ultrapple water. The retentate was recovered, ly philized and stored at -20 °C until urther use. Specessful chelation was confirmed by ctively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (CP-OES, Perkin Elmer Optima ind 4300 DV after dissolving the polid OPSS-PLG-Gd(DOTA) in pure aqua regia overnight. re then diluted with 1% aqua revia. With the analyte wavelength set to 342 nm Sampl for gadolining and vitcium as the chemical reference at **71** nm gadolinium content was -0.082 g Gd/g OPSS-PEG-Gd (DOTA) Further characterization of OPSScalculated to be PEG-Gd(POTA) and OPSS-PEG-DC TA in detautum oxide (D_2O) was performed with proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMrK, Varian 400 MHz); these results are outlined in the Supporting Information (Figure S

OPSS-PEG-Gd(DOTA) Conjugation to Naneshe

-silica nanoshells, the part To tether chelated gado inium to gold first suspended in ultrapure water (180 ml) at a concentration of 4.0×10^{-10} -PEGpa ml in ult Gd(DOTA) (2 ml) at a concentration of 600 μ g idded to the er was nanoshell suspension which was then mixed for 1 h at 4 ° . Remaining gold surface area on the particles was then backfilled win poly(ethylene glycol) thiol (PI G-SH_La san Bio, MW = 5000 Da) by addition of 10 mJy PEG-S) ml) in ult capure mixing of the nanoshell supension overnight at 4 Gold-si anosl a to PEG-SH only (without any addition of OPoS-PEG were also d(DOTA)) as a control for relaxometry characterization and imaging experiments to ollow. Three e rounds of centrifugation were performed to concentrate the particles and remov mreacted molecules. Nanoshell conjugates were stored at °C unt r use To quant gadolinium conjugation, elemental analysis was performed with ind Jasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Perl in Himer ELAN 90 (0)After overni on wit pure aqua regia and dilution with % aq a regio, gadoli um-nanoshells (Gd-N 51 were calculated to have an average of 3.5 10^4 Gd ione per nancond ll (n = 3). Additionally unconjugated gadolinium was found to constitute approximate $\sqrt{9}$ 0.1% of the total

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN-c 2015 February 2.

gadolinium content by ICP MS anarysis on the supernatant collected after the final round of centrifugation, il dicating that they affice aon procedure was successful.

Dynamic Light Scattering and Zeth Potential Characterization

Follo vingenanoshell conjugation, characterization of hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential wis performed with edvalvern Zen 3600 Zetasizer. Gadolinium-nanoshells were dispersed in ultrapure water and the asu ements were acquired in triplicate. Both PEGnanoshells and unconjugated nanoshells were analyzed for comparison. Table 1 in Supporting Information displays these results.

Relaxometry Characterization

 T_I relaxation times of Gd-NS were acquired with a cencytop relaxometer (Bruker Minispec mq60, 1.41 T, 37 °C) and compared to that of FEG-nanos ells (PEG-NS), OPSS-PEG-OI(DOIA), and ultrapure water as controls. Measurements (n = 6) were taken with Gd-NS in water at five concentrations (8.6, 4.3, 2.2, 1.1, and 0.5×10^{11} nanoshells/ml) corresponding to gadolinium concentrations of 50, 25, 13, 6, and 3 µM. PEG-NS and OPSS-FEG-Gd(DOTA) in water at equivalent nanoshell and gadolinium concentrations, respectively, were also analyzed. All samples (200 µl at room temperature) were thermostation to the magnet temperature by inserting mem into the instrument and waiting 2 minibefore data acquisition.

Evaluation of Cytotox city

Cytotoxicity of the gaudinium-nanoshells was evaluated using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2yl)-5-(3-c rbor ymethoxyphenyl) z-4-sulfoph nyl)-2H-retraz, liun (MTS) assay. HepG2 hepatocell lar varcinops, cells ($\Delta^{T}C$) and human dermal foroblests (HDF, Lonza) were cultured in NMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bering serum (FBS), 100 U/L penicillin, and 100 mg/L streptomycin and maintained at 37 °C in a 5 / 6 CO₂ incubator. HepG2 cells ware seeded into tissue culture-treated, 96 well plater at 9,000 cells/well. For HDF cells, a low or set ding densit 500 cells/well was used be ause the fibroblasts are of 4 2 c rnight. The next day, larger in size than Hep s were then allowed to adher Cel fresh med um was add the culture medium was emoved, and 130 th ed with Gd-NS in 15000. PEG-nanoshells as suspension to achieve particle-to-cell ratio 3750, 750 of well as cell only samples without any particles were included for comparison. All conditions were tested in triplicate. After in adding cells with the particles f_{22} , 24 h and 4 ch, 26 μ l MTS reagent (Promega) was added to all well. Following a hip coation and 5% CO₂, media samples were transferred to and rocentrifu, muged then cer at 735 g for 5 min to completely pellet any nanoparticles in suspension. 10 supernatant was then transferred to a new 90-well plate, and the optical den 90 nm was measured with a plate reader. cell visionity was then determined y dh the OD_{490} value for each treatment as a percept of the a OD₄₉₀ fo erag th condition.

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN 2015 F

Agarose Phantom Synthesis

Gd-N. (100 μ l) in water at 8.6 × 10¹¹ particles/ml were combined with 2% agarose (Sigma Alerich 100 μ l) in preheated altrapare water to ensure complete dissolution of the agarose. Samples were quickly mixed in a small glass vial by gentle vortexing and then chilled at 1 °C to solidify. Agarose phantoms containing REG-NS were prepared similarly in addition to 1% agarose phantons without nanosholis as controls.

In Vitro Phantom Imaging

agarose phant ns were imaged with five imaging modalities: T_l -weight magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), 1 -ray, optical coherence to nography (OCT), reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM), and two-photon biannescence (TPL). MRI was performed with a 3 T clinical scanner (Philips Ingenia) with an inversion recovery pulse sequence (TR = 3000 ms, TE = 15 ms, IR = 875ms). X-ra, imaging as l onducted using a Kubtec XPERT80 liog apply system with the radiation source set to 27 kV and 850 μ A. For OCT imaging, a ra stem was used with a laser operating at a wavelength of 1310 nm and power Nit In alux s fiber optic probe connected to the laser source was placed in contact with the of phantom for imaging. For RCM, a Lucid-Tech Vi aScope 2500 configured with an aser at 0.275 mW and a 20X objective was used. Agarose phantoms were placed on with water between the phase om and glass slide and ultrasound gel between the ss slid slide and objective as index matchers. Images were acquired at 32 µm depths. For TPL, a r Scannning Microscope (LSM) o10 META with a emtosecond-pulsed Zeiss Las Ti:sap hir laser nameleon) was used. What an output power of ~ 1 mW, the laser was set to 810 nm to excite aanoshells at their plasmon resonant wavel aigth while the META detector was configured to collect two-photon juminescence from 450 to 650 nm. Images of verglass were acquired with a 20X objective and 63X immersion oil phantoms n c objective at 20 and 5 µm depths, respectively.

Photothermal Conversion

0° particles/ml) in water t three concentrations (10, 5, and $2.5 \times$ Both Gd-NS and FEG NS were irradiated within d spo, able o vettes with an FAP-1 diode la Coherent) at 808 nm. which coincided with the plasmon resonant wavelength of the na lls. Maximum temperature values of the tanoshell susper (n = 3) w re acquired y sion th a thermocouple of irradiction at the e laser (Omegaette HH5500 Temperature Recorder) after three mi power settings (1.25, 2.5, and 5 w). Water w thout nanoshells w l as a control. also use

In Vitro Photothermal Ablation

B16-F10 melanoma cells (ATCC) we cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 J/L penientin, and 100 mg/L strepton yein and manneined at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. 300,000 516-F10 melanoma cells per y ell were see led into 4-well chamber glass slides and all wed to adhere ov rnig ht. After aspir atio culture medium the next day, 500 µl of Gd-NS in DMLM (4.5 $\times 10^{9}$ artici as added to the cells for a ratio of 7,500 particles per seeded. Ell. D MEM without particles as a control. The melanoma cells were then incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ which time the nanoshells settled onto call or Taces, Following removal o

Small. Author manuscript; available in PM e 2015 Feb uary 2.

µl 1X phosphate same (PDS) we s gently added to the cells. Next, cells were ted at 808 nm and 35 our for 3 min, using the same laser system employed in the Non-irradiated cells incubated with or without Gd-NS were ermal conversion stu also included for compar son. The PBS was then replaced with DMEM medium, and the 37 °C in 5% CO- for 4 h to allow ample time for completion of cell incubated vere apeuti ating. Visionity staining was then performed according to instructions, using calc in AM and ethidium homodimer-1 (Invitrogen). map factur were then imag a under fluorescence and oscopy with an inverted Zeiss Axiovert microscope (calcein excitation/e hission: 480/535 nm; ethidium homodimer-1 on: 560/645). mation/emiss

Animal Tumor Model

B10-F10 cells $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ in } 200 \text{ µLPBS})$ were injected subcritaneously into the right flank of 12-wk on me is Nucle mice (Nu/Nu, Charles River). Mice were kept on a 12h light-dark cycle with food and water *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were approved by the Instructional Animal Care & Use Committee (IACUC) of The Methodist Hospital Research institute and performed in accordance with the instructional guidelines on the ethical use of

Animal Imaging with MRI and X-Ray

Animal in aging experiments were performed 10 s after B16-F10 cell implantation, once ti mo s had in diameter in one mouse, non-oshell suspension (50 μ l at reached ~1.0 6.3×10^{12} Ca-NS/m¹) was injected intratumorally, and the animal was sacrificed immediately post injection. T_1 -weighted MR is tages were acquired with a 3 T clinical hilips Ingenia) asing a spin echo sequence (TR = 500 scanner (F 00 ms, TE = 23 ms, slice 500 µm). As a control, a tumored mouse without injected nanoshells was imaged thickness = as well at the same settings. For X-ray imaging, an incurs were imaged as with the agarose described above, using the Kubtec XPEPT-80 radiography system. tissue phantom

Tumor Tissue Optical Imaging Ex Vi

For optical imaging experiments, the B16-Fin melanon a to cted from the moi ectioned in has along the midline using a scalpel, flanks of the mice. The turiors were then and imaging was confacted within the turnor interior. For OCT imaging. Niris Imalux system similar to the setup described above has employed. Here 1310 ± 15 nm laser at 3 mW was used and configured with a fiber optic pr be, which was l tumor surface during imaging. After image acquisition, intens ImageJ software was performed to determine differences in signal intensit en tumor tissue with and without nanoparticles. For each group, a total of 0 plofile intensity values across 250 µm beneath the tumor surface were acquire ensity in was then calculated by normalizing and averaging an intensity pixe within the profiles. For RCM and TPL maging of the um r tissue, setups and associated parameters were used as with the agar ose t sue phantoi

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN. 2015 Fe

Refer to Web version on JubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowlengments

al Institutes of Health Texas Center for Cancer Nanomedicine ported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research TCCNE). A Coughlin was su NSF GRF, award number 0940, 02) a d a National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate wsh d) Fellowship awarded through the A For e Office of cientific Research (AFOSR). The authors would to acknowledge Dr. Fang Wei of Rice Un ersity for help and iscussions on chemical conjugation strategies as IcHale of Rice University for as istance with manuscript editing. Mr. Meng Zhong of The ath B16-F10 cell culture and MR imaging of animals. Drs. Methodist Hospital Research Institute assisted of Baylor C mege of Medic ne provided use of the X-Ray Kubtec abeth Davis and Elizabeth Salis d us of the RCM VivaScope 2500 as well as the XPERT80 system. Dr. Rebekah Drezek of P ~ University andb OCT Nirus Imalux 1310 used during the phantom nent. in vitro. Dr. Kirill Larin and Narendran exper agii centuran of the Unive sity of Hous nd a sisted rith ti e use of the OCT Nirus Imalux 1310 for mitted tumor tissue g ex vi b. The authors also acknow ledge Dr. John Grater of Rice University for assistance with a Dr. B an Schweller of Duke University for assistance with proton NMR characterization. im ging ar

References

- Hisch LR, Statford RJ, Pankson JA, Sershen SR, Rive a B, Price RE, Hazle JD, Halas NJ, West JL. Procleatl Acad Ser USA. 2003; 100:13:49–13554. FrubMed: 14597719]
- 2. Veissleder R. Nat Biotechnol. 2001; 19:3:6–317. [Publyled: 11283581]
- 3. Weisslider R, Ntziachristos V. Nat Med. 2003; 9:123-128. [Pub Ied: 12514725]
- Lu W, Melancon MP, Xiong C, Huang Q, Elliott A, Song O, Zhang R, Flores LG, Gelovani JG, Wang LV Ku G, Stefford RJ, Lie. Cancer Ris 2011; 71:611. Cl21. [PubMed: 21856744]
- Day ES, Biekrord LR, Stater JH, Riggall NS, Drezek RA, West JL. Int J Nanomed. 2010; 5:445– 454.
- 6. Weissleder R. Pittet MJ. Nature. 2008 452:580-589 [PaoMed: 18/85/32]
- 7. Cherry SL. Servin Nuer Med. 2009, 39:348–353. [PubMed: 10046559
- 8. Louie AY. Chem Rev. 2010; 110:3146–3195. [PubM.d: 20225900]
- 9. Oldenburg SJ, Averitt RD, Westcott SL, Halas NJ. Chem Phys Lett 1998; 288:243-247.
- Connor EE, rawamuka J, Gole A, Murphy CJ, Wyatt MD, Small. 2005, 1:325–327. [PubMed: 17193451]
- Shukla R, Bansal V, Chaudhary M, Pasu A, Bhonde RR, Sastry W. Lanumuir. 2005; 21:10644– 10654. [PubMed: 16262332]
- Gad SC, Sharp KL, Montgomery C, Payne JD, Goodrich OP. Int J Texicor. 2012; 31:584–594. [PubMed: 232124.2]
- Popovtzer R, Agrawa A, Kotov NA, Popovtzer A, Balter J, Carey TE, Kopeblan R. Nap. Lett. 2008; 8:4593–4596. [PubMed: 10:567807]
- 14. Loo C, Lowery A, Hales N, West L Drezek R. Jano Lett. 2005; 5:709-711. [Public of 15926113]
- Bickford LR, Agollah G. Drezek R, Yu TK. Breast Concer Res Treat 2010; 129:547–555 [PubMed: 19418216]
- Agrawal A, Huang S, Wei Haw Lin A, Lee M. H, Barton JK, Drezek RA, Prefer TJ. J Biomed Opt. 2006; 11:041121. [PubMed: 16965149]
- 17. Loo C, Lin A, Hirsch L, Lee Min, Barton J, Halas N, West I, Drezek R. Trehnol Cancer Res Freat. 2004; 3:33–40. [PubMed: 1475089]
- Gobin AM, Lee MH, Halas NJ, James WD, Drezek RA, Wes JL. Nano Lett. 2007, 7:1929-1934. [PubMed: 17550297]
- Park J, Estrada A, Schwartz JA, Diagan djane P, Krisnnan J, Dunn AK, Tunnell JW Lasers Sure-Med. 2010; 42:630–639. [PubMed: 21399728]

Small. Author manuscript; available in PM-2015 Fe

, West JL. Cancer Lett. 2004; 209:171–176. [PubMed: 20. O'Neal DP, Hirsch ayne J 159019] nann P, Kotek J, Dalton Trans. 2008; 23:3027–3047. [PubMed: ubío Hei 1444] ger G, Agrawal V, Lonkai J, Sanders HMHF, Terreno E, Wirth M, Strijkers GJ, -8723. [PubMed: 20797782] K, Gabor I erials. 2010: 51:871 Biom rotoc. 2006, 1:972–976. [PubMed: 17406332] Ma gi L Liu X, Serda RE, Krishnamurthy R, Muthupillai R, Godin B, S uni R, Mor J, Decuzz P. Nat Nanotechnol. 2010; 5:815–821. ar RD, Helm L, son ubMed: 20972435] Mayer CP Chanov A, Helm L. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; Connizzo C, Dumas Morie 131:10828-10 29. [PubM d: 197226 o. Merbach, AE.; Tóth, É., edi s. The Che Instry of Contrast Agents in Medical Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 1. Wiley; oken, NJ: 2 ωT. Made TJ. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. A Ma Renaris K Mi kin C $2009 \cdot$ [PubMed: 19882611] in V, Drezek R. Small. 2008, 4:26–49. [PubMed: 18165959] 28 29 Astruc D. Chem Soc Rev. 2009: 782. [PubMed: 19587967] avaleta C Sincl S, Paulmurugen R, Kempen P, ir R, Massoud TF, Gambhir SS. Small. 3(1PubN d: 21104804 PJ, Ko Th, Jung JC, Wang AC, Ziv Y, Attardo A, Recht L, 'J, Capps G ater 28. [FuoMed: 21240263] Nat Med. 2011; 17:223-Jiang G, Blume-Jensen P, Hunter T. No. Con Biol 2001, 21:4016–4031. [PubMed: 32 359 909] –904. [Put Med: 1609203 33. St Nat Med. 200 2:89 34. Du PP. Langmuir. 1993; 9:2301 200 ker JB, Levin CS, Bardhan R, Mirin X, Halas M Langmuir. 2008; 24:14166-35. Brinson E. Las Pub'Aed: 19360963] 14171. 36. Erickso Tunnell . Gold N oshells in Diomedical Ar ns. In: Kumar, C., editor. Т ncati Nanotec nologies for the Life sciences. Vol. 3. Wiley CH; Weinheim Germany: 2010. 37. Averitt RD, Sarkar D, Halas NJ. Phys Rev Lett. 199 7; 78 -422 38. Kreibig, U.; Vollmer, M. Ontical P. ringer; Berlin, Germany: s of Metal Cluster 1995.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Small. Author manuscript; available in PN-2015





Coughlin et al.





olinium nanoshell Gd-NS) disperse within a e phantoms exhibited positive Laro contrast across rive imaging modalities T_1 -weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), Xcal coherence tomography (OCT), reflectance contocal microscopy (RCM), and Ra opl n luminescence (TPL). Phantoms with PEG-conjugated nanoshells (PEG-NS) twohot offere contrast w MR. nilar to the 1% agarose control phantom, but comparable no S with the four other modalities, ked vircles in T_1 -MRI column levels of contrast to Gd e acquisition to outline phantoms. Axes below indicate the plane across added post imag which phantom images were acquired within ea column

Small. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 I



shells (Gd-NS) converted NIR light to heat as effectively as PEG-Ga EG-NS). Maximum temperature values after a 3 min irradiation period at 808 nan hell isplayed a nanoshells in water at sirve different concentrations ($OD_{800} = 1, 2, and$ 10¹ particles 0.5, and $1 \times$ ml espectively). Laser power was also ung to and 5 W. Water alone was used as a control and shows minimal heating va led om temperature (~22 °C) at all powers tested. All particle concentration/laser power abc ons are significantly different from others and wate, control, p < 0.05 by ANOVA com inat and po c Tukey LoD, n = cate stand rd deviation. st h Error bars



Coughlin et al.









Small. Author manuscript; available in PN c 2015



esis and e ent al conjugation to nanoshell (DOTA) s nth kDa) was copjugated to an aminated derivative of -PEG-SPA (MW = SIL ande bond. (2) Following acid-mediated DC strong chelator of Gd(III)ions, via rem f tert-Butyl esters, (3) OPSS-PEG-DC1A was must d with GdCl3 at basic pH to facilit PSS-PEG-Gd(DOTA) chains we e th en adsorbed onto gold-silica elation. nanoshell surf sulfur interactions, and (5) PEC-SH (MW = 5 kDa) was used to backfill remaini gold surface area and promote further stebulation. Abbreviations: OPSS, orthopyricyl d sulfide; PEC oly(et ylene gly ob, sPA, succhim dyl proprionate; DOTA, tetraazacyd c acid; DMF, N, N-dim cuylformanide; DIPEA, N, Nlodo ne tetraac , 1FA, trifluoroacetic acid; DCM_dichloro net ane; GdCl₃, diisopropylei. ylami gadolinium chloride: PEG-SH ene glycol)-thiol

