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#### Abstract

We study Galois points for a smooth quartic curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ in characteristic 3. If $C$ has the separable dual map onto its dual, then we have $\delta(C)+\delta^{\prime}(C) \leq 1$, where $\delta(C)$ (resp. $\delta^{\prime}(C)$ ) is the number of inner (resp. outer) Galois points for $C$. On the other hand, the condition $\delta(C)+\delta^{\prime}(C)>1$ gives a characterization of the Fermat curve $X^{4}+Y^{4}+Z^{4}=0$.


## 1. Introduction

A Galois point $P \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ for a plane smooth curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ of degree $\geq 4$ is a point at which the point projection $\pi_{P}: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ induces a Galois extension $k\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset k(C)$ of function fields. We call the Galois point $P \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ an inner (resp. outer) Galois point for $C$ if $P \in C$ (resp. $P \notin C$ ). These concepts were introduced by K. Miura and H . Yoshihara ([3], [6]). Galois points have been studied mainly in characteristic 0 . If we denote by $\delta(C)$ (resp. $\delta^{\prime}(C)$ ) the number of inner (resp. outer) Galois points for $C$, then it is known that $\delta(C)=0,1$ or 4 and $\delta^{\prime}(C)=0,1$ or 3 in characteristic 0 ([3], [6]). Recently, the first result on Galois points in positive characteristic $p$ case was given by M. Homma ([1]). He studied Galois points on Hermitian curves (of degree $q+1$ ) over the algebraic closure of the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$, where $q$ is a power of $p$, and found that such curves have many inner and outer Galois points, hence $\delta(C) \leq 4$ and $\delta^{\prime}(C) \leq 3$ fail for Hermitian curves (of degree $\geq 4$ ). Let ( $X: Y: Z$ ) be a set of homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. Then we note that the Hermitian curve over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve $X^{q+1}+Y^{q+1}+Z^{q+1}=0$ (over the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ ) and has the inseparable dual map onto its dual ([2], [4]). (A plane curve is called reflexive if the dual map is separable onto its dual ([2]).)
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In this paper we study Galois points on smooth quartic curves in characteristic 3 , and clarify the distribution of Galois points. The separable case of dual map is contained. Our results are as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be a smooth curve of degree 4 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3. If the dual map of $C$ is separable onto its dual curve, then we have

$$
\delta(C)+\delta^{\prime}(C) \leq 1
$$

Theorem 2. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1 we have that $\delta(C)+$ $\delta^{\prime}(C)>1$ if and only if $C$ is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve $X^{4}+Y^{4}+$ $Z^{4}=0$.

Under the same assumption as above, if we use Pardini's theorem ([4, Proposition $3.7]$ ) and Homma's result, then we infer readily Theorem 2. However, our proof does not depend on their results.

## 2. Preliminaries

We will use only classical methods of plane algebraic curves and Galois theory. We recall some basic notions.

Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be a smooth projective plane curve over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and let $k(C)$ be its function field. The dual map (or Gauss map) $\gamma: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{2}^{*}}$ is a morphism which assigns to a point $P \in C$ its (projective) tangent line $T_{P} C$, hence if $F$ is the defining polynomial then $\gamma=$ $(\partial F / \partial X: \partial F / \partial Y: \partial F / \partial Z)$. We call $\gamma(C) \subset \mathbf{P}^{2^{*}}$ the dual curve, denoted by $C^{*}$. If $x$ is a local coordinate on $C$ with coordinates $(x, y)$, then the dual map is given by $\gamma=(-d y / d x: 1:-y+x d y / d x)$ and, hence the dual map is separable if and only if $\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)$ is not 0 as an element of $k(C)$. For a point $P \in C$, if the intersection multiplicity of $C$ and $T_{P} C$ at $P$ is $\geq 3$, we call $P$ a flex, and if the multiplicity $=3$ (resp. 4), we call $P$ a 1-flex (resp. 2-flex) ([3], [5]).

We have a well-known criterion that a point to be a flex in characteristic $p \neq 2$. If $f(x, y)$ is the defining polynomial of an affine part of $C$, then $P \in C$ is a flex if
and only if the rank of the matrix

$$
h(f)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{x x} & f_{x y} & f_{x} \\
f_{x y} & f_{y y} & f_{y} \\
f_{x} & f_{y} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

is not 3 at $P([5])$. If $f_{y} \neq 0$ at some smooth point, then $x$ is a local coordinate. By the calculation of differentials, we have $\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det} h(f)}{f_{y}^{3}}$. Therefore, the dual map is inseparable if and only if $\operatorname{det} h(f)$ is identically zero.

## 3. Quartic curves with Galois points

In this section, let a curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ mean a smooth curve (of degree 4) over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3 . For inner Galois points, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. A quartic curve $C$ has an inner Galois point if and only if $C$ is projectively equivalent to the curve defined by either of the following two forms:
(a) $X^{3} Z-X Z^{3}+Y^{4}+a_{3} Y^{3} Z+a_{2} Y^{2} Z^{2}+a_{1} Y Z^{3}$,
(b) $X^{3} Y-X Y Z^{2}+a_{3} Y^{3} Z+a_{2} Y^{2} Z^{2}+a_{1} Y Z^{3}+Z^{4}$,
where $a_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are constants and in the case (b), $a_{3} \neq 0$. Furthermore, the dual map of $C$ is inseparable onto its dual if and only if $C$ is in the case (a) with $a_{2}=0$.

Proof. Let $P=(1: 0: 0) \in C$. Since the point projection $\pi_{P}$ is expressed as $\pi_{P}(X, Y, Z)=(Y: Z), \pi_{P}(x, y, 1)=(y: 1)$ in the affine part $Z \neq 0$, where $x=X / Z$ and $y=Y / Z$. Then we have the field extension $k(x, y) / k(y)$ where $x$ is algebraic over $k(y)$. We assume that $P$ is an inner Galois point. Let $G_{P}$ be the Galois group of the Galois extension $k(x, y) / k(y)$. Now $G_{P}$ is a cyclic group of order 3. For an automorphism $\sigma \in G_{P}$, we have the automorphism $\phi: C \rightarrow C$ such that $\phi=(\sigma(x): \sigma(y): 1)$. The embedding of a smooth curve of degree 4 in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ is canonical, hence any automorphism on $C$ is a linear transformation. Hence $\phi$ is a linear transformation. Let $A_{\sigma}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a matrix which represents $\phi$. Now $\sigma(y)=y$, hence $f:=\left(a_{21} x+a_{22} y+a_{23}\right)-\left(a_{31} x+a_{32} y+a_{33}\right) y$ is zero on $C$. By considering the degree of $f, f$ must be 0 as a polynomial. This implies that $a_{21}=a_{23}=a_{31}=a_{32}=0$
and $a_{22}=a_{33}$. We may assume $a_{22}=a_{33}=1$. Since $A_{\sigma}^{3}$ is the unit matrix, we have $a_{11}=1$. Let $a_{12}=a$ and $a_{13}=b$. Now we have a linear transform $\psi$ such that $\psi(X, Y, Z)=(X: Z: a Y+b Z)$ if $a \neq 0$ or $\psi=(X: Y: a Y+b Z)$ if $b \neq 0$. Then $\psi(P)=P$ and $\psi \sigma \psi^{-1}$ is expressed as the following matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence we may assume $\sigma(x)=x+1$. Then, $x^{3}-x=x(x+1)(x+2) \in k(y)$. We can write $x^{3}-x=g / h$ where $g(y), h(y)$ are polynomials. Because the degree of $C$ is $4, g$ has the degree $\leq 4$ and $h$ has the degree 0 or 1 . If $h$ has the degree 0 , then we have the form (a). If $h$ has the degree 1 , then we may assume $h=y$, hence we have the form (b).

We prove the second assertion. In the case (a), we have the equation $f_{1}(x, y)=$ $x^{3}-x+y^{4}+a_{3} y^{3}+a_{2} y^{2}+a_{1} y$ if $Z \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
h\left(f_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 2 a_{2} & y^{3}+2 a_{2} y+a_{1} \\
-1 & y^{3}+2 a_{2} y+a_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{1}\right)=a_{2}$. In the case (b), we have the equation $f_{2}(x, z)=x^{3}-x z^{2}+a_{3} z+$ $a_{2} z^{2}+a_{1} z^{3}+z^{4}=0$ if $Y \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
h\left(f_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & z & -z^{2} \\
z & x+2 a_{2} & x z+a_{3}+2 a_{2} z+z^{3} \\
-z^{2} & x z+a_{3}+2 a_{2} z+z^{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{2}\right)=z^{3}\left(a_{3}+z^{3}\right)$. We have that $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{1}\right)$ is identically zero if and only if $a_{2}=0$, and $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{2}\right)$ is always a nonzero polynomial. We complete the proof.

We have determined the defining polynomial. As an application, we have the following:

Corollary 1. An inner Galois point on a quartic curve $C$ is a 2-flex. Furthermore, if $C$ has the separable dual map onto its dual and an inner Galois point, then $\delta(C)=1$ and the number of the flexes on $C$ is 1 or 5 .

Proof. The first assertion is easy, because the tangent line of $C$ given in the case (a) (resp. (b)) at $P=(1: 0: 0)$ is $Z=0$ (resp. $Y=0$ ), hence the tangent line at $P$ intersects $C$ only at $P$.

Now, we find flexes and Galois points on $C$ given in the case (a). Since the line $Z=0$ and $C$ intersect only at $P$, if $Z \neq 0$, then $C$ has the defining polynomial $f_{1}(x, y)=x^{3}-x+y^{4}+a_{3} y^{3}+a_{2} y^{2}+a_{1} y$ (same as in the proof of Proposition 1). As in the proof of Proposition 1, we have $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{1}\right)=a_{2} \neq 0$, owing to the assumption. This implies that there do not exist flexes on $C$ with $Z \neq 0$, hence $C$ has only one inner Galois point.

Next we find flexes and Galois points on $C$ given in the case (b). Let $Y \neq 0$, we have $f_{2}(x, z)=x^{3}-x z^{2}+a_{3} z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{1} z^{3}+z^{4}$. As we saw the proof of Proposition 1 , we have $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{2}\right)=z^{3}\left(a_{3}+z^{3}\right)$. If $z=0$, then we have $x=0$. If $z=\sqrt[3]{-a_{3}}$, then we have $x^{3}-\left(\sqrt[3]{-a_{3}}\right)^{2} x+a_{2}\left(\sqrt[3]{-a_{3}}\right)^{2}-a_{1} a_{3}=0$ and this has three roots. Hence we have just five flexes on $C$. We note that the point $Q=(0: 1: 0)$ is a 1-flex and the tangent line at $Q$ is $Z=0$ which contains $P$. The three points given by $z=\sqrt[3]{-a_{3}}$ can not be Galois points, because for each of such a point $P^{\prime}$ there does not exist a flex at which the tangent line contains $P^{\prime}$. Hence a curve $C$ with (b) has only one Galois point.

Example. We consider the curves given by $F=X^{3} Y-X Y Z^{2}+Y^{3} Z+Z^{4}$ and $G=X^{3} Y-X Y Z^{2}+(Y+Z)^{4}$. These are of the form (b). We can easily check that the curve given by $F$ (resp. G) has only one Galois point and four 1-flexes (resp. 2 -flexes). The 2 -flexes, which are not the point ( $1: 0: 0$ ), on the curve given by $G$ are not Galois points.

Concerning outer Galois points we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2. A quartic curve $C$ has an outer Galois point if and only if $C$ is projectively equivalent to the curve given by
(c) $X^{4}+Y^{3} Z+a_{2} Y^{2} Z^{2}+Y Z^{3}$,
where $a_{2} \in k \backslash\{-1,1\}$. Furthermore, the dual map of $C$ is inseparable onto its dual if and only if $a_{2}=0$.

Proof. Let $P=(1: 0: 0) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \backslash C . \pi_{P}(x, y, 1)=(y: 1)$ in the affine part $Z \neq 0$, where $x=X / Z$ and $y=Y / Z$. We assume that $P$ is an outer Galois point. Let $G_{P}$ be the Galois group of the Galois extension $k(x, y) / k(y)$, which has the order 4. For an automorphism $\sigma \in G_{P}$, we have the automorphism $\phi: C \rightarrow C$, this is a linear transformation because the embedding of $C$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ is canonical. Let $A_{\sigma}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a $3 \times 3$ matrix representing $\phi$. As in the proof of Proposition 1, $a_{21}=a_{23}=a_{31}=a_{32}=0$ and $a_{22}=a_{33}$. Let $a_{22}=a_{33}=1$. If $a_{11}=1$ then $a_{12}=a_{13}=0$ because the $(1,2)$-element of $A_{\sigma}^{4}$ is $4 a_{12}=a_{12}$. Therefore we can take an injective group homomorphism det : $G_{P} \rightarrow k \backslash 0$, hence $G_{P}$ is a cyclic group of order 4. We assume $\sigma \in G_{P}$ is a generator, then we have $a_{11}=\zeta$ which is a primitive fourth root of unity. Now $A_{\sigma}$ has eigenvalues $\zeta$ and 1 , and is diagonalizable. Therefore, there exists a linear transformation $\psi$, expressed as a matrix $Q$, such that $\psi(P)=P$ and

$$
Q A_{\sigma} Q^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\zeta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence we may assume $\sigma(x)=\zeta x$. Then $x^{4} \in k(y)$, hence we write $x^{4}+g=0$ where $g$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 4$. We have the projective form $X^{4}+a_{4} Y^{4}+a_{3} Y^{3} Z+$ $a_{2} Y^{2} Z^{2}+a_{1} Y Z^{3}+a_{0} Z^{4}$ as the defining polynomial of $C$. For a suitable coordinate, we have $X^{4}+a_{3} Y^{3} Z+a_{2} Y^{2} Z^{2}+a_{1} Y Z^{3}$. By the smoothness of $C$ we have the condition $a_{3}, a_{1} \neq 0$, which implies that the polynomial is projectively equivalent to $X^{4}+Y^{3} Z+a_{2} Y^{2} Z^{2}+Y Z^{3}$.

We prove that the condition $a_{2}=0$ in (c) is equivalent to the inseparability of the dual map onto its dual. Let $f_{3}(x, y)=x^{4}+y^{3}+a_{2} y^{2}+y$. By easy computations, we have $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{3}\right)=a_{2} x^{6}$, hence $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{3}\right)$ is zero polynomial if and only if $a_{2}=0$. We get the assertion.

Remark 1. The result that the Galois group induced from an outer Galois point for a smooth curve of degree 4 is a cyclic group of order 4 is also true for the case of characteristic $p>2$. In our proof, the assumption that the characteristic $p$ is 3 is used only for that the Galois group $G_{P}$ has an injective homomorphism to $k \backslash 0$, which is derived from that the characteristic $p$ does not divide 4.

Corollary 2. If a quartic curve $C$ has the separable dual map onto its dual and an outer Galois point $P$, then we have just four flexes on $C$, and the tangent line of $C$ at each flex contains $P$. Therefore the number of outer Galois points is at most one.

Proof. We investigate the flexes of $f_{3}(x, y)=x^{4}+y^{3}+a_{2} y^{2}+y=0$ and $f_{4}(x, z)=$ $x^{4}+z+a_{2} z^{2}+z^{3}=0$. By easy computations, we have $\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{3}\right)=\operatorname{det} h\left(f_{4}\right)=a_{2} x^{6}$. Therefore we have just four flexes which lie in $X=0$. We return to the homogeneous equation $F=X^{4}+Y^{3} Z+a_{2} Y^{2} Z^{2}+Y Z^{3}$. For each flex $Q$, the tangent line at $Q$ is given by $\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}(Q) Y+\frac{\partial F}{\partial Z}(Q) Z=0$ because $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X}(Q)=X^{3}(Q)=0$. The tangent line contains $P=(1: 0: 0)$. We complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. We note the number of flexes on $C$ with Galois points. By the Corollaries 1 and 2, we have the assertion.

Proof of Theorem 2. First, we prove that the forms given in the cases (a) with $a_{2}=0$ and (c) with $a_{2}=0$ are projectively equivalent to the curve defined by $X^{4}+Y^{4}+Z^{4}$.

We consider the case (a). If $a_{3}=a_{1}=0$ then we have $X^{3} Z-X Z^{3}+Y^{4}$, which is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve. If not, we have $X^{3} Z-X Z^{3}+Y^{4}+a_{3} Y^{3} Z$ for a suitable coordinate. Let $\alpha \in k$ be an element such that $\alpha^{9}+\alpha+a_{3}^{3}=0$, let $c$ be the cubic root of $\alpha$, and let $\beta$ be an element such that $\beta^{3}-\beta-c^{4}=0$. Let $\hat{X}=X-(\alpha Y+\beta Z)$ and $\hat{Y}=Y-c Z$. Then we have $\hat{X}^{3} Z-\hat{X} Z^{3}+\hat{Y}^{4}=0$, which is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve. In the case (b), it is easy.

By the above discussion, the Fermat curve $X^{4}+Y^{4}+Z^{4}=0$ has both inner and outer Galois points, hence $\delta(C)+\delta^{\prime}(C)>1$. Conversely, if $\delta(C)+\delta^{\prime}(C)>1$ then the dual map is inseparable by Theorem 1, hence Propositions 1,2 and the above consideration imply that $C$ is the Fermat curve.

Remark 2. The converse assertion of Theorem 1 is also true by Pardini's theorem ([4, Proposition 3.7]).
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