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### 0.1. Introduction

The geometry of submanifolds is intimately related to the theory of functions and vector bundles. It has been of fundamental importance to find out how those two objects interact in many geometric and physical problems. A typical example of this relation is that the Picard group of line bundles on an algebraic manifold is isomorphic to the group of divisors, which is generated by holomorphic hypersurfaces modulo linear equivalence. A similar correspondence can be made between the K-group of sheaves and the Chow ring of holomorphic cycles. There are two more very recent examples of such a relation. The mirror symmetry in string theory has revealed a deeper phenomenon involving special Lagrangian cycles (cf. [SYZ]). On the other hand, C. Taubes has shown that the Seiberg-Witten invariant coincides with the Gromov-Witten invariant on any symplectic 4-manifolds.

In this paper, we will show another natural interaction between Yang-Mills connections, which are critical points of a Yang-Mills action associated to a vector bundle, and minimal submanifolds, which have been studied extensively for years in classical differential geometry and the calculus of variations.

Let $M$ be a manifold with a Riemannian metric $g$. Let $E$ be a vector bundle over $M$ with a compact Lie group as its structure group. For instance, $E$ may be a complex bundle and $G$ is then a unitary group. A connection $A$ of $E$ can be given by specifying a covariant derivative

$$
D_{A}: C^{\infty}(E) \mapsto C^{\infty}\left(E \otimes \Omega^{1} M\right)
$$

In local trivializations of $E, D_{A}$ is of the form $d+a$ for some $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$-valued 1 -form $a$. The curvature of $A$ is a $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$-valued 2 -form $F_{A}$, which is equal to $D_{A}^{2}$. As usual, it measures deviation from the symmetry of second derivatives. Such a connection $A$ is Yang-Mills if $D_{A}^{*} F_{A}=0$, where $D_{A}^{*}$ is the adjoint of $D_{A}$ with respect to the metric $g$. By the second Bianchi identity, we also have $D_{A} F_{A}=0$. The system $D_{A}^{*} F_{A}=0, D_{A} F_{A}=0$ is called the Yang-Mills equation and is invariant under so-called gauge transformations, which are locally made of $G$-valued functions.

The moduli space of Yang-Mills connections is the quotient of the set of solutions of the Yang-Mills equation by the gauge group, which consists of all gauge transformations. It is well-known that this moduli space may not be compact. Given any sequence of Yang-Mills connections $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ with a uniformly bounded $L^{2}$-norm of curvature, Uhlenbeck (also see [Na]) proved that by taking a subsequence if necessary, $A_{i}$ converges to, modulo gauge transformations, a Yang-Mills connection $A$ in smooth topology outside a closed subset $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$ of Hausdorff codimension at least 4 . In fact, for any compact $K \subset M$, $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right) \cap K$ has finite $(n-4)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Furthermore, by
taking subsequences if necessary, we may assume that as measures, $\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$ converges weakly to $\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+\Theta H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right.$, where $\Theta \geq 0$ is a function and is called the multiplicity of $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$, and $H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right.$ is the $(n-4)$ dimensional Hausdorff measure restricted to $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$. The set $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$ is the union of two closed subsets $S_{b}$ and $S([A])$, where $S([A])$ consists of all points in $M$ where the $(n-4)$-dimensional density of $\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$ is positive, and $S_{b}$ is the closure of $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right) \backslash S([A])$. One can show that $\Theta H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right.$ coincides with $\Theta H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\right.$ and $S([A])$ has vanishing ( $n-4$ )-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Presumably, $S([A])$ is the singular set of $A$ modulo gauge transformations. We will call $S_{b}$ with multiplicity $\Theta$ the blow-up locus of $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ converging to $A$. If $M$ is a 4 -dimensional compact manifold, the blow-up locus $S_{b}$ consists of finitely many points, $S([A])=\emptyset$ and the limiting connection $A$ can be extended to be a Yang-Mills connection on the whole manifold with smaller $L^{2}$-norm of curvature [Uh1]. In particular, it follows that the moduli space of anti-self-dual instantons on a 4-manifold (see the following for the definition) can be compactified by adding all smaller anti-self-dual instantons together with finitely many points on $M$. This compactified moduli space plays a fundamental role in the theory of Donaldson invariants.

With $M$ of higher dimension, little has been known about the blow-up locus $S_{b}$ itself. Without further knowledge on the structure of $S_{b}$, one can not achieve a reasonable compactification of the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections as we had in the case of 4-manifolds. The main theme of this paper is to show that blow-up loci of Yang-Mills connections have natural geometric structures and introduce a natural compactification for moduli space of anti-self-dual instantons on higher dimensional manifolds by adding cycles with appropriate geometric structure. We believe that such a compactification will play an important role in our searching for new invariants of Donaldson type for higher dimensional manifolds.

In this paper, we will first show that any blow-up locus $S_{b}$ is rectifiable; i.e., except for a subset of $(n-4)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure zero, it is contained in a countable union of $C^{1}$-smooth submanifolds of dimension $n-4$ (cf. Proposition 3.3.3). It is equivalent to saying that $S_{b}$ has a unique tangent space $T_{x} S_{b}$ for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x$ in $S_{b}$. It can be thought of as a rough regularity for $S_{b}$. We will show that $S_{b}$ inherits a nice geometric structure (Chapter 4). We will also prove a removable singularity theorem for the limiting Yang-Mills connection $A$ (Chapter 5). It follows that $A$ can be extended smoothly to the complement of $S([A])$ modulo gauge transformations.

Let $\Omega$ be a closed differential form of degree $n-4$ on $M$. Then one can define a linear operator $T=-* \Omega \wedge$ acting on 2 -forms, where $*$ denotes the Hodge operator of the metric $g$. A connection $A$ is $\Omega$-anti-self-dual if its curvature form $F_{A}$ is annihilated by $T$ Id. One can also define the $\Omega$-anti-self-duality for more general connections (cf. Section 1.2). We observe that the
$\Omega$-anti-self-duality implies the Yang-Mills equation and is invariant under gauge transformations. Furthermore, if $M$ is a compact manifold without boundary and $A$ is $\Omega$-anti-self-dual, there is an a priori $L^{2}$ bound on $F_{A}$, which depends only on $E, M$ and $\Omega$.

We will prove that if $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons converging to $A$ with blow-up locus $S_{b}$ with multiplicity $\Theta$, then $\left(S_{b}, \Theta\right)$ defines a closed integral current calibrated by $\Omega$ (Theorem 4.2.3). In particular, $\Theta$ is integer-valued and $\Omega$ restricts to the induced volume form on each tangent space $T_{x} S_{b}$. If $\Omega$ has co-mass one, then this implies that the blow-up locus $\left(S_{b}, \Theta\right)$ is area-minimizing (cf. [HL]). Known regularity theorems in geometry measure theory further imply that $S$ is the closure of a smooth submanifold calibrated by $\Omega$. We will also prove a removable singularity theorem for any stationary Yang-Mills connections (Theorem 5.2.1). Particularly, this implies that the limiting connection $A$ extends to become a smooth connection on $M \backslash S$ for a closed subset $S$ with vanishing $(n-4)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure $H^{n-4}(S)=0$ (Theorem 5.2.2).

Now we can introduce a natural compactification of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, E}$ of $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons of $E$ on $M$.

A generalized $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton is a pair $(A, C)$ satisfying: (1) $A$ is $\Omega$-anti-self-dual on $M \backslash S(A)$ with $(n-4)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure $H^{n-4}(S(A))=0 ;(2) C=(S, \Theta)$ is a closed, integral current calibrated by $\Omega$; (3) The second Chern class $C_{2}(E)$ of $E$ is the same as $\left[C_{2}(A)\right]+\left[C_{2}(S, \Theta)\right]$, where $C_{2}(A)$ denotes the second Chern form of $A$ and $\left[C_{2}(S, \Theta)\right]$ denotes the Poincaré dual of the homology class represented by the current $(S, \Theta)$. If the co-norm $|\Omega| \leq 1$, it follows from a result of F . Almgren that $C$ is of the form $\sum_{a=1}^{l(C)} m_{a} C_{a}(l(C)$ may be zero $)$, such that each $m_{a}$ is a positive integer and $C_{a}$ is the closure of a submanifold calibrated by $\Omega$.

Two generalized $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons $(A, C),\left(A^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent if and only if $C=C^{\prime}$ and there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ such that $\sigma(A)=A^{\prime}$ on $M \backslash S(A) \cup S\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. We denote by $[A, C]$ the equivalence class represented by $(A, C)$. Clearly, $[A, C] \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, E}$ if and only if $C=0$ and $A$ extends smoothly to $M$ modulo a gauge transformation.

We define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ to be the set of all equivalence classes of generalized $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons of $E$.

The topology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ can be defined as follows: a sequence $\left[A_{i}, C_{i}\right]$ converges to $[A, C]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ if and only if (1) $C_{i}$ converges to a closed integral current $C_{\infty} \subset C$ with respect to the weak topology for currents; (2) There are gauge transformations $\sigma_{i}$ such that $\sigma_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ converges to $A$ outside $S(A) \cup\left(C \backslash C_{\infty}\right)$. One can show that this topology makes $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ a Hausdorff space.

It follows from results in Chapters 4 and 5 that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ is compact with respect to this topology on any compact manifold $M$ (Theorem 6.1.1).

Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ coincides with Uhlenbeck's compactification of the moduli space of anti-self-dual instantons on a 4 -manifold $M$.

There are two important cases of such $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons, which are worth being mentioned. In the first case, let $(M, \omega)$ be a complex $m$ dimensional Kähler manifold with the Kähler form $\omega$. For any connection $A$, its curvature $F_{A}$ decomposes into $(2,0),(1,1)$ and $(0,2)$-parts $F_{A}^{2,0}, F_{A}^{1,1}$ and $F_{A}^{0,2}$. Put $\Omega=\frac{\omega^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}$. Then $A$ is $\Omega$-anti-self-dual if and only if $F_{A}^{0,2}=0$ and $F_{A}^{1,1} \cdot \omega=0$; i.e., $A$ is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection. Combining Theorem 4.2.3 with a result of King or Harvey and Shiffman, we obtain that blow-up loci of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections are effective holomorphic integral cycles consisting of complex subvarieties of codimension two (Theorem 4.3.3). Consequently, the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\frac{\omega^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}, E}$ is the collection of equivalence classes [ $A, C$ ], where $A$ is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection and $C$ is a holomorphic integral cycle of complex dimension $m-2$. A holomorphic integral cycle is a formal sum of irreducible subvarities with positive coefficients. In view of the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem that each (irreducible) Hermitian-YangMills connection corresponds to a stable bundle, our generalized Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection $[A, C]$ should correspond to a stable sheaf. We would like to point out that our method can be applied to more general situations where the connections are not necessarily Hermitian-Yang-Mills. In order to conclude the holomorphic property of the blow-up locus, we only need that the $(0,2)$-part of curvature is much smaller compared to the full curvature tensor during the limiting process.

One of our motivations in this work is to carry out part of the program proposed in [DT] in a rigorous way. The program is to build up a gauge theory in higher dimensions. If one is less ambitious, one may just want to construct new holomorphic invariants for Calabi-Yau 4 -folds in terms of complex anti-self-dual instantons. Complex anti-self-dual instantons are anti-self-dual with respect to appropriate 4 -form $\Omega$ on $M$. Since they have been discussed before by Donaldson and Thomas, we refer the readers to [DT] and its references. In contrast to the previous case, we can prove that blow-up loci of complex anti-self-dual instantons are Cayley cycles (cf. Theorem 4.4.3). A Cayley cycle is a rectifiable set such that its tangent spaces are Cayley with respect to the given Kähler form and the holomorphic (4,0)-form on the underlying Calabi-Yau 4fold (cf. [HL]). Notice that special Lagrangian submanifolds used in [SYZ] are special cases of Cayley cycles. This allows us to compactify the moduli space of complex anti-self-dual instantons in terms of Cayley cycles as we did in the above. Our methods may also be used to produce Cayley cycles, which seem to be elusive with our existing knowledge.

One implication of our results here is that minimal submanifolds can be considered as limiting solutions of the Yang-Mills equation. Bearing this in
mind, we may expect to construct Yang-Mills connections from minimal submanifolds in general position. Indeed, near a minimal submanifold, one can construct approximated solutions of the Yang-Mills equation, whose curvature concentrates near the submanifold, in a suitable sense.

An outline of this paper is as follows: In Chapter 1, we give general discussions on Yang-Mills connections, particularly, $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons. We analyze the $\Omega$-anti-self-duality in a few important cases. In Chapter 2, we will derive a slight generalization of the mononicity formula of P. Price, a basic curvature estimate of K. Uhlenbeck. Then we apply Uhlenbeck's estimate to defining Chern-Weil forms for admissible Yang-Mills connections, which are kinds of singular connections. In Chapter 3, we prove rectifiability of blowup loci. In Chapter 4, we prove that blow-up loci of anti-self-dual instantons are calibrated, closed integral currents. We will also analyze a few important special cases. Chapter 5 contains a new removable singularity theorem. In the last chapter, we discuss compactification of moduli space of anti-self-dual instantons and some related problems.

All the results of this paper can be generalized to the case of the Yang-Mills-Higgs equation. The details will appear elsewhere.

The author would like to thank I. Singer for bringing Cayley submanifolds to his attention. I also thank J. Cheeger and T. Colding for some useful conversations. Part of this work was done when the author was visiting ETH in Zürich, Switzerland and the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton. The author is grateful to both places for providing excellent research environments.

## 1. Preliminaries

1.1. The Yang-Mills functional. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ over a differentiable manifold $M$ with a Lie group $G$ as its structure group. Then there is an open covering $U_{\alpha}$ of $M$, such that for each $\alpha$, there is a local trivialization

where $p_{1}$ is the projection onto the first factor. Note that each $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a diffeomorphism. Furthermore, if $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, then one can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\alpha} \cdot \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}:\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{r} & \longrightarrow\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{r},  \tag{1.1.2}\\
(x, v) & \longrightarrow\left(x, g_{\alpha \beta}(x) v\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for some function $g_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow G \subset \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{R})$. Such a function $g_{\alpha \beta}$ is called a transition function of $\pi: E \rightarrow M$.

Examples we often use in this paper include complex vector bundles with a hermitian structure. For those bundles, the structure group $G$ is $U(r / 2)$.

A connection $A$ on $E$ is defined by specifying a covariant derivative

$$
D=D_{A}: C^{\infty}(E) \rightarrow C^{\infty}\left(E \otimes \Omega^{1} M\right)
$$

Here $C^{\infty}(E)$ denotes the space of $C^{\infty}$ sections of the bundle $E$. In a local trivialization $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$ of $E$, the covariant derivative takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=d+A_{\alpha}, \quad A_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow T^{*} U_{\alpha} \otimes \operatorname{Lie}(G) \tag{1.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ denotes the Lie algebra of the structure group $G$. If $G$ is a unitary group, Condition 1.1.3 is equivalent to saying that $D$ preserves the corresponding hermitian structure of $E$.

Note that $A_{\alpha}$ usually has no global description on $M$. If $\left(U_{\beta}, \varphi_{\beta}\right)$ is another local trivialization and $g_{\alpha \beta}$ is the corresponding transition function, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\beta}=g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} d g_{\alpha \beta}+g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} A_{\alpha} g_{\alpha \beta} \tag{1.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvature of the connection $A$ is determined by $D^{2}: \Omega^{0}(E) \rightarrow \Omega^{2}(E)$. It is a tensor, usually denoted by $F_{A}$ or simply $F$ if no confusion occurs. Formally, the curvature tensor $F_{A}$ can be written as

$$
F_{A}=d A+A \wedge A,
$$

which actually means that in each local trivialization $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}=d A_{\alpha}+A_{\alpha} \wedge A_{\alpha} \tag{1.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a local coordinate system for $U_{\alpha}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}=A_{\alpha, i} d x_{i}, \quad A_{\alpha, i} \in \operatorname{Lie}(G), \tag{1.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} F_{\alpha, i j} d x_{i} \wedge d x_{j},  \tag{1.1.7}\\
F_{\alpha, i j} & =\frac{\partial A_{\alpha, j}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial A_{\alpha, i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\left[A_{\alpha i}, A_{\alpha j}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\beta}=g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} F_{\alpha} g_{\alpha \beta} \tag{1.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $F_{A} \in \Omega^{2}(\operatorname{End}(E))$.

From now on, we assume that $G$ is a compact Lie group. We denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the Killing form of its Lie algebra $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$. If $G=U(r / 2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle a, b\rangle=-\operatorname{tr}(a b), \quad a, b \in u(r / 2)=\operatorname{Lie}(U(r / 2)) . \tag{1.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily extend $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ to a product on differential forms with values in $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ as follows: if $\phi$ and $\psi$ are differential forms of degree $p$ and $q$, respectively, we define

$$
\langle\phi, \psi\rangle=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{q}}\left\langle\phi_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}, \psi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\right\rangle d x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{i_{p}} \wedge d x_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{j_{q}},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}} \phi_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} d x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{i_{p}}, \phi_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} \in \operatorname{Lie}(G), \\
\psi & =\sum_{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{q}} \psi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} d x_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{j_{q}}, \psi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} \in \operatorname{Lie}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us also fix a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ and denote by $d V$ its volume form. Then we can define

$$
\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i, j, k, l}\left\langle F_{\alpha i j}, F_{\alpha k l}\right\rangle g^{i k} g^{j l}
$$

in terms of local trivializations, where $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ is the metric tensor of $g$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $\left(g^{i j}\right)$ is its inverse matrix.

The Yang-Mills functional of $E$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y M(A)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} . \tag{1.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the gauge group of $E$, which consists of all smooth sections of the bundle $P(E) \times{ }_{\text {Ad }} G$ associated to the adjoint representation Ad of $G$, where $P(E)$ denotes the principal bundle of $E$. In terms of those trivializations $\left\{U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right\}$, any $\sigma$ in $\mathcal{G}$ is given by a family of $G$-valued functions $\sigma_{\alpha}$ satisfying:

$$
\sigma_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha \beta} \cdot \sigma_{\beta} \cdot g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} \text { on } U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} .
$$

Let $\sigma(A)$ be the connection with $D_{\sigma(A)}=\sigma \cdot D_{A} \cdot \sigma^{-1}$; i.e., in each $U_{\alpha}$,

$$
D_{\sigma(A)}=d-d \sigma_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}+\sigma_{\alpha} \cdot A_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} .
$$

Two smooth connections $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of $E$ are equivalent if there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ such that $A_{2}=\sigma\left(A_{1}\right)$. A simple observation is: if there is a gauge transformation $\tau$ of $E$ over an open-dense subset $U$ such that $A_{2}=\tau\left(A_{1}\right)$ in $U$, then $\tau$ extends to $M$ and $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are equivalent.

One can easily show

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y M(\sigma(A))=Y M(A) \tag{1.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(A)$ is the connection with $\sigma\left(D_{A}\right)=\sigma \cdot D_{A} \cdot \sigma^{-1}$.
The Euler-Lagrange equation of $Y M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{A}^{*} F_{A}=0, \tag{1.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{A}^{*}$ denotes the adjoint operator of $D_{A}$ with respect to the Killing form of $G$ and the Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$. On the other hand, by the second Bianchi identity, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{A} F_{A}=0 . \tag{1.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (1.1.12), implies that if $A$ is a critical point of $Y M$, then $F_{A}$ is harmonic. In this case, we say the $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection. It follows from (1.11) that if $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection, so is $\sigma(A)$ for any gauge transformation $\sigma$. In other words, both equations (1.1.12) and (1.1.13) are invariant under the action of the gauge group.
1.2. Anti-self-dual instantons. In this section, we discuss a special class of solutions to the Yang-Mills equation (1.1.12). This class includes Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections on a Kähler manifold.

Let $\pi: E \mapsto M$ be a unitary bundle of complex rank $r$, and $\Omega$ be a closed form of degree $n-4$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} M$. As before, we fix a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$. We denote by * the Hodge operator acting on forms with values in $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$; i.e., for any $\phi, \psi$ in $\Omega^{p}(\operatorname{Lie}(G)), * \psi \in \Omega^{n-p}(\operatorname{Lie}(G))$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\phi \wedge * \psi\rangle=(\phi, \psi) d V_{g}, \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product on $\Omega^{p}(\operatorname{Lie}(G))$ induced by $g$ and the Killing form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.

Let tr be the standard trace on unitary matrices. For any unitary connection $A$ of the bundle $E$ over $M$, we have a well-defined $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ in $\Omega^{2}(M)$. It follows from the second Bianchi identity that $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is in fact a closed 2-form. In fact, $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ represents the first Chern class $C_{1}(E)$ in $H^{2}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 1.2.1. Let $A$ be a unitary connection of $E$ over $M$ such that $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is a harmonic 2-form and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \wedge\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right)=-*\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right), \tag{1.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection. Moreover, if $M$ is a compact manifold without boundary,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}-\frac{1}{4 r \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{1.2.3}\\
= & \left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega],
\end{align*}
$$

where $[\Omega]$ denotes the cohomology class of $\Omega$.
Proof. Recall that $D_{A}^{*}=-* D_{A}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{A}^{*} F_{A} & =\frac{1}{r} D_{A}^{*}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right)+* D_{A}\left(\Omega \wedge\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{r} d^{*}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)\right) I d+*\left(\Omega \wedge\left(D_{A} F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} d\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)\right) \mathrm{Id}\right)\right. \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection.
Next, multiplying (1.2.2) by $F_{A}$ and integrating the resulting identity over $M$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega] \\
= & \left(-C h_{2}(E)+\frac{1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega] \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right) \wedge\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right)\right) \wedge \Omega \\
= & -\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right) \wedge *\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{r}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d V_{g},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{i}(E)$ denotes the $i^{\text {th }}$ Chern class of $E$ and $C h_{i}(E)$ denotes the $i^{\text {th }}$ Chern character of $E$. Then (1.2.3) follows.

In general, (1.2.2) is an over-determined system and has no solutions. However, if $A$ is a solution of (1.2.2) and the co-norm of $\Omega$ is less than one, then $A$ is an absolute minimizer of $Y M$ (cf. [HL]).

We will call any solution $A$ of (1.2.2) an $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton. If there is no possible confusion, we will simply say that $A$ is an anti-self-dual instanton.

Remark 1. For a general compact Lie group, we can also define the $\Omega$-anti-self-duality instantons simply as the solutions of $-*\left(F_{A} \wedge \Omega\right)=F_{A}$.

In the following and next two sections, we will give some solutions of (1.2.2).

Now we let $M$ be a complex $m$-dimensional Kähler manifold with a Kähler metric $g$. As usual, we denote by $\omega=\omega_{g}$ the associated Kähler form. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d V_{g}=\frac{\omega^{m}}{m!} \tag{1.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $U(r)$-connection $A$ of a complex bundle $E$ over $M$, we can decompose

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A}=F_{A}^{2,0}+F_{A}^{1,1}+F_{A}^{0,2} \tag{1.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{A}^{0,2}$ denotes the $(0,2)$-part of $F_{A}, F_{A}^{2,0}=-\left(F_{A}^{0,2}\right)^{*}$ and $F_{A}^{1,1}$ denotes the $(1,1)$-part of $F_{A}$.

By the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem, the vanishing of $F_{A}^{0,2}$ is equivalent to the integrability of $\bar{\partial}_{A}=D_{A}^{0,1}$, which is the $(0,1)$-part of $D_{A}$; that is, $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ has a holomorphic structure induced by $D_{A}^{0,1}$.

Since $A$ is unitary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A}^{1,1}=-\left(F_{A}^{1,1}\right)^{*} \text { and }\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}=\left|F_{A}^{1,1}\right|^{2}+2\left|F_{A}^{0,2}\right|^{2} \tag{1.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{A}=\left(F_{A}^{1,1} \cdot \omega\right), \quad \stackrel{\circ}{F} 1,1=F_{A}^{1,1}-\frac{1}{m} H_{A} \omega \tag{1.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{A}^{1,1} \cdot \omega$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $F_{A}^{1,1}$ in the $\omega$-direction.
Now we set

$$
\Omega=\frac{\omega^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}
$$

and we have:
Proposition 1.2.2. The unitary connection $A$ satisfies (1.2.2) if and only if $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is harmonic and

$$
F_{A}^{0,2}=\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{0,2}\right) \mathrm{Id}, \quad H_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}^{1,1} \cdot \omega\right) \mathrm{Id}=0 .
$$

If $C_{1}(E)$ is of the type $(1,1)$, then A satisfies (1.2.2) if and only if

$$
F_{A}^{0,2}=0, \quad H_{A}=\lambda \mathrm{Id}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{m\left(C_{1}(E) \cdot[\omega]^{m-1}\right)}{r[\omega]^{m}}$.
Furthermore, $A$ is the absolute minimum of the Yang-Mills functional if

$$
F_{A}^{0,2}=0, \quad H_{A}=\lambda \mathrm{Id}
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y M(A)=\left(2 C_{2}(E)-C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot \frac{[\omega]^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}+\frac{m\left(C_{1}(E) \cdot[\omega]^{m-1}\right)^{2}}{r(m-1)![\omega]^{m}} \tag{1.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\omega]$ denotes the cohomology class represented by $\omega$.

The proof follows from (1.2.3) and direct computations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \pi^{2}\left(2 C_{2}(E)-C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega]  \tag{1.2.9}\\
= & \int_{M}\left(\left|\stackrel{\circ}{F_{A}^{1,1}}\right|^{2}-2\left|F_{A}^{0,2}\right|^{2}-\frac{m-1}{m}\left|H_{A}\right|^{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{m}}{m!} \\
= & \int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}-4\left|F_{A}^{0,2}\right|^{2}-\left|H_{A}\right|^{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{m}}{m!} .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 1.2.3. We call $A$ a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection of $E$ if $A$ is unitary and

$$
F_{A}^{1,1} \cdot \omega=\lambda \mathrm{Id}, \quad F_{A}^{0,2}=0
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{\left.m\left(C_{1}(E) \cdot \cdot \omega\right]^{m-1}\right)}{r[\omega]^{m}}$.
It follows from Proposition 1.2.2 that the action $Y M(A)$ of any Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection $A$ is uniquely determined by $E$ and the Kähler class [ $\omega$ ].

As we said, each Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection gives rise to a natural holomorphic structure on $E$. In fact, by the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem, irreducible Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections are in one-to-one correspondence with stable holomorphic bundles over $M$.
1.3. Complex anti-self-dual instantons. In this section, we will discuss complex anti-self-dual instantons on 4-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds, as well as instantons on manifolds with special holonomy. Complex anti-self-dual instantons were previously studied by both mathematicians and physicists, notably Donaldson and Thomas. We recommend the readers to the excellent reference [DT] for a more complete history.

First we assume that $M$ is a Calabi-Yau 4 -fold with a Kähler metric $\omega$ and a holomorphic ( 4,0 )-form $\theta$. Furthermore, we normalize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \wedge \bar{\theta}=\frac{\omega^{4}}{4!} . \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that such a $\theta$ is only unique modulo multiplication by units in $\mathbb{C}$.
We now choose $\Omega$ to be the parallel form

$$
4 \operatorname{Re}(\theta)+\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2} .
$$

Then solutions of (1.2.2) can be described as follows.
Let $h$ be a fixed hermitian metric of $\pi: E \rightarrow M$. Then one can define a complex Hodge operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
*_{\theta}: \Omega^{0,2}(\operatorname{End}(E)) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,2}(\operatorname{End}(E)) \tag{1.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \wedge *_{\theta} \psi\right)=(\varphi, \psi) \bar{\theta}, \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \Omega^{0,2}(\operatorname{End}(E)) \tag{1.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product on $\Omega^{0,2}(\operatorname{End}(E))$ induced by the Kähler metric $\omega$ and the hermitian metric $h$ on $E$. More explicitly, let $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}, \varphi_{4}\right\}$ be any unitary coframe of $\omega$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i} \varphi_{i} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{i} \\
\theta & =-\frac{1}{4} \varphi_{1} \wedge \varphi_{2} \wedge \varphi_{3} \wedge \varphi_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
*_{\theta}\left(\sigma \bar{\varphi}_{1} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{2}\right) & =\sigma^{*} \bar{\varphi}_{3} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{4}, \\
*_{\theta}\left(\sigma \bar{\varphi}_{1} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{3}\right) & =\sigma^{*} \bar{\varphi}_{4} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{2}, \\
*_{\theta}\left(\sigma \bar{\varphi}_{1} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{4}\right) & =\sigma^{*} \bar{\varphi}_{2} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma \in \operatorname{End}(E)$ and $\sigma^{*}$ denotes its adjoint with respect to the Hermitian metric $h$ on $E$.

Let $A$ be an $\Omega$-anti-self-dual connection, i.e., $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is harmonic and

$$
\Omega \wedge\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right)=-*\left(F_{A}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right) .
$$

As in last section, we decompose

$$
F_{A}=F_{A}^{2,0}+F_{A}^{1,1}+F_{A}^{0,2} .
$$

Then by direct computations, one can show that the above is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{A}^{1,1} \cdot \omega & =\lambda \mathrm{Id},  \tag{1.3.4}\\
\left(d+d^{*}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}^{0,2}\right) & =0, \\
\left(1+*_{\theta}\right)\left(F_{A}^{0,2}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}^{0,2}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right) & =0,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{4 C_{1}(E) \cdot[\omega]^{3}}{r[\omega]^{4}} \tag{1.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $*_{\theta}$ induces a decomposition of $H^{0,2}(M, \mathbb{C})$ into the self-dual part and anti-self-dual part. For any solution $A$ of (1.3.4), $\left(1+*_{\theta}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}^{0,2}\right)$ is harmonic and represents the self-dual part of $C_{1}(E)^{0,2}$. In particular, if $C_{1}(E)^{0,2}$ is anti-self-dual, then (1.3.4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+*_{\theta}\right) F_{A}^{0,2}=0, \quad F_{A}^{1,1} \cdot \omega=\lambda \mathrm{Id} \tag{1.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [DT], we say that $A$ is a complex anti-self-dual instanton associated to $(E, h)$, if $D_{A} h=0$ and $F_{A}$ satisfies (1.3.4).

For such a connection $A$, we observe

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\theta] \wedge\left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|F_{A}^{0,2}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}^{0,2}\right) \operatorname{Id}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega^{4}}{4!} \tag{1.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\theta]$ denotes the cohomology class of $\theta$ in $H^{4}(M, \mathbb{C})$. Hence, (1.3.4) has no solutions if $[\theta] \wedge\left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right)$ is not a nonnegative real number. Since $\theta$ is only unique modulo multiplication by units in $\mathbb{C}$, for any given complex bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$, we should normalize $\theta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\theta] \wedge\left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{1.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, if this is not zero, then such a $\theta$ is unique once $\omega$ is fixed. Moreover, if $C_{1}(E)^{2} \cdot[\theta]=0$ and $C_{2}(E) \cdot[\theta]=0$, then any complex anti-self-dual instanton of $E$ is automatically a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection, which can be thought of as holomorphically flat. The readers may compare it to the Chern number conditions on the flatness of Hermitian-Yangs-Mills connections.

The following proposition can be proved by straightforward computations.
Proposition 1.3.1. Assume that $\theta$ is chosen so that (1.3.8) holds. Let $A$ be any complex anti-self-dual instanton, then

$$
\begin{align*}
Y M(A)= & \left(2 C_{2}(E)-C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot \frac{[\omega]^{2}}{2}+\frac{4\left(C_{1}(E) \cdot[\omega]^{3}\right)^{2}}{6 r[\omega]^{4}}  \tag{1.3.9}\\
& +4\left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\theta]+\frac{1}{r \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}^{0,2}\right)\right|^{2} d V_{g} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that each complex anti-self-dual instanton attains the absolute minimum of the Yang-Mills functional. Moreover, its action depends only on $E,[\omega]$ and $[\theta]$.

Calabi-Yau 4 -folds have holonomy group $\mathrm{SU}(4)$, which is contained in $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$. It turns out that complex anti-self-dual instantons can also be defined on $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-manifolds, which have $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ as their holonomy group (cf. [DT]).

Now let $(M, g)$ be a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-manifold. Then $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$, acting on $\wedge^{4}(M)$, the space of 4 -forms, leaves invariant a parallel 4 -form $\Omega \neq 0$. More explicitly, in terms of an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega= & e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{6}+e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{7} \wedge e_{8}+e_{3} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{6} \\
& +e_{3} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{7} \wedge e_{8}+e_{1} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{7}-e_{1} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{6} \wedge e_{8} \\
& -e_{1} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{7}+e_{2} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{6} \wedge e_{8}-e_{1} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -e_{1} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{6} \wedge e_{7}-e_{2} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{8}-e_{2} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{6} \wedge e_{7} \\
& +e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{4}+e_{5} \wedge e_{6} \wedge e_{7} \wedge e_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $M$ happens to be a Calabi-Yau 4-fold, then it is the same as the one given above.

One observes that the operator $\phi \mapsto-*(\Omega \wedge \phi)$ is self-adjoint on 2 -forms and has eigenvalues 1 and -3 . Following [BKS], we let $\Omega_{21}^{2}(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$ and $\Omega_{+}^{2}(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$ be its eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues 1 and -3 . Given any connection $A$, we write $F_{A}=F_{A,-}+F_{A,+}$ according to this decomposition. Then $A$ solves (1.2.2) if and only if $F_{A,+}=\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,+}\right) I d$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is harmonic. Moreover, we have the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega] \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A,-}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,-}\right) I d\right|^{2}-3\left|F_{A,+}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,+}\right) I d\right|^{2}\right) d V_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore:
Proposition 1.3.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a Spin(7)-manifold, and $A$ be an $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton. Then $F_{A,+}=\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,+}\right) \operatorname{Id}$ and $Y M(A)$ depends only on $M$ and $E$. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y M(A)=\left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega]+\frac{1}{4 r \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)\right|^{2} d V_{g} \tag{1.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.4. Instantons on $G_{2}$-manifolds. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold with holonomy group being the exceptional group $G_{2}$. Then there is a parallel, hence closed, 3 -form $\Omega$ which is invariant under the action of $G_{2}$. In terms of an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, this form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega= & e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{3}+e_{1} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{5}-e_{1} \wedge e_{6} \wedge e_{7} \\
& +e_{2} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{6}+e_{2} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{7}+e_{3} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{7}-e_{3} \wedge e_{5} \wedge e_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $\phi \mapsto-*(\Omega \wedge \phi)$ is self-adjoint on 2-forms and has eigenvalues 1 and -2 . We denote by $\Omega_{12}^{2}(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$ and $\Omega_{+}^{2}(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$ its eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues 1 and -2 . Given any connection $A$, we write $F_{A}=F_{A,-}+F_{A,+}$ according to this eigenspace decomposition. Then $A$ is an $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton if and only if $F_{A,+}=\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,+}\right) I d$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is harmonic. Moreover, we have the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega] \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A,-}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,-}\right) I d\right|^{2}-2\left|F_{A,+}-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,+}\right) \mathrm{Id}\right|^{2}\right) d V_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore:
Proposition 1.4.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a $G_{2}$-manifold, and $A$ be an $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton, where $\Omega$ is the above 3 -form defining the $G_{2}$-structure. Then $F_{A,+}=\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A,+}\right) \operatorname{Id}$ and $Y M(A)$ depends only on $M$ and $E$. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y M(A)=\left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot[\Omega]+\frac{1}{4 r \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)\right|^{2} d V_{g} . \tag{1.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Consequences of a monotonicity formula

In this chapter, we discuss Price's monotonicity formula, Uhlenbeck's curvature estimate and singular Yang-Mills connections of a certain type.
2.1. A monotonicity formula. In this section, we will derive a monotonicity formula for Yang-Mills connections, which is essentially due to Price [Pr]. This formula will be used in establishing cone properties of blow-up loci. Its proof follows Price's arguments with some modifications.

As before, $M$ denotes a Riemannian manifold with a metric $g$ and $E$ is a vector bundle over $M$ with compact structure group $G$.

For any connection $A$ of $E$, its curvature form $F_{A}$ takes values in $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$. The norm of $F_{A}$ at any $p \in M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle, \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the Killing form of $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$.

Let $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}_{|t|<\infty}$ be a one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of $M$, and $A_{0}$ be a fixed smooth connection of $E$ and $D$ be its associated covariant derivative. Then for any connection $A$, we can define a family of connections $\phi_{t}^{*}(A)$ as follows: Denote by $\tau_{t}^{0}$ the parallel transport of $E$ associated to $A_{0}$ along the path $\phi_{s}(x)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$, where $x \in M$. More precisely, for any $u \in E_{x}$ over $x \in M$, let $\tau_{s}^{0}(u)$ be the section of $E$ over the path $\phi_{s}(x)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \tau_{s}^{0}(u)=0, \quad \tau_{0}^{0}(u)=u \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $A^{t}=\phi_{t}^{*}(A)$ by defining its associated covariant derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X}^{t} v=\left(\tau_{t}^{0}\right)^{-1}\left(D_{d \phi_{t}(X)}\left(\tau_{t}^{0}(v)\right)\right) \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in T M, v \in \Gamma(M, E)$, where $\Gamma(M, E)$ is the space of sections of $E$ over $M$.

To see that $A^{t}$ is indeed a connection, it is sufficient to check

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{X}^{t} & (f v)(x) \\
& \left.=\left(\tau_{t}^{0}\right)^{-1}\left(D_{d \phi_{t}(X)}\left(\left(\phi_{t}^{-1}\right)^{*} f \cdot \phi_{t}\right) \tau_{t}^{0}(v)\right)\right)(x) \\
& =\left(\tau_{t}^{0}\right)^{-1}\left(f(x) D_{d \phi_{t}(X)} \tau_{t}^{0}(v)\left(\phi_{t}(x)\right)+d \phi_{t}(x)\left(\left(\phi_{t}^{-1}\right)^{*} f\right) \tau_{t}^{0}(v)\left(\phi_{t}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =f(x) D_{X}^{t} v(x)+X(f)(x) v(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The curvature form of $A^{t}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A^{t}}(X, Y)=\left(\tau_{t}^{0}\right)^{-1} \cdot F_{A}\left(d \phi_{t}(X), d \phi_{t}(Y)\right) \cdot \tau_{t}^{0} \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
Y M\left(A^{t}\right) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|F_{A^{t}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{2.1.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|F_{A}\left(d \phi_{t}\left(e_{i}\right), d \phi_{t}\left(e_{j}\right)\right)\right|^{2}\left(\phi_{t}(x)\right) d V_{g}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $d V_{g}$ denotes the volume form of $g$, and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is any local orthonormal basis of $T M$.

By changing variables, we obtain

$$
Y M\left(A^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|F_{A}\left(d \phi_{t}\left(e_{i}\left(\phi_{t}^{-1}(x)\right)\right), d \phi_{t}\left(e_{j}\left(\phi_{t}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\right)\right|^{2} \operatorname{Jac}\left(\phi_{t}^{-1}\right) d V_{g}
$$

Let $X$ be the vector field $\left.\frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}$ on $M$. Then we deduce from the above that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d}{d t} Y M\left(A^{t}\right)\right|_{t=0}  \tag{2.1.6}\\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X+4 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle F_{A}\left(\left[X, e_{i}\right], e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right) d V_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used the formula

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(d \phi_{t}\left(e_{i}\left(\phi_{t}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\right)\right|_{x=0}=-\left[X, e_{i}\right]
$$

Since $\left[X, e_{i}\right]=\nabla_{X} e_{i}-\nabla_{e_{i}} X$, where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $g$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle F_{A}\left(\left[X, e_{i}\right], e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{2.1.7}\\
& \quad=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\left\langle F_{A}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle F_{A}\left(\nabla_{X} e_{i}, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\left\langle F_{A}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
&\left.\quad-g\left(\nabla_{X} e_{i}, e_{k}\right)\left\langle F_{A}\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} e_{i}, e_{k}\right)=-g\left(e_{i}, \nabla_{X} e_{k}\right)=-g\left(\nabla_{X} e_{k}, e_{i}\right),
$$

the second term in (2.1.7) vanishes.
Now suppose that $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X-4 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle F_{A}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right) d V_{g} . \tag{2.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The required monotonicity will follow from this variational formula.
Fix any $p \in M$, let $r_{p}$ be a positive number with properties: there are normal coordinates $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ in the geodesic ball $B_{r_{p}}(p)$ of $(M, g)$, such that $p=(0, \cdots, 0)$ and for some constant $c(p)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right| & \leq c(p)\left(\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{2.1.9}\\
\left|d g_{i j}\right| & \leq c(p) \sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}} \tag{2.1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j}=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right) . \tag{2.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. The constants $r_{p}$ and $c(p)$ can be chosen depending only on the injective radius at $p$ and the curvature of $g$. If $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g$ is flat, we can take $r_{p}=\infty$ and $c(p)=0$.

Let $r(x)$ be the distance function from $p$; i.e.,

$$
r(x)=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}} .
$$

Let $\phi$ be a positive function on the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(x)=\xi(r) \phi\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}=\xi(r) \phi\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{2.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is some smooth function with compact support in $B_{r_{p}}(p)$.
Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be any orthonormal basis near $p$ such that $e_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$. Since $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are normal coordinates, we have

$$
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}=0 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} X=(\xi r)^{\prime} \phi(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}=\left(\xi^{\prime} r+\xi\right) \phi(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \tag{2.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{x}{r}$. Moreover, for $i \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{i}} X=\xi r \nabla_{e_{i}}\left(\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)=\xi r e_{i}(\phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\xi \phi \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} e_{j} \tag{2.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|b_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right|=O(1) c(p) r^{2}$. We will always denote by $O(1)$ a quantity bounded by a constant depending only on $n$.

Applying (2.1.13) and (2.1.14) to the first variational formula (2.1.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}\left(\xi^{\prime} r+(n-4) \xi+O(1) c(p) r^{2} \xi\right) \phi d V_{g}  \tag{2.1.15}\\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad=\left.4 \int_{M}\left(\xi^{\prime} r \phi \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor F_{A}\right|^{2}+\xi r\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor F_{A}, \nabla \phi\right\rfloor F_{A}\right\rangle\right) d V_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor F_{A}=F_{A}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot\right)$.
We choose, for any $\tau$ small enough, $\xi(r)=\xi_{\tau}(r)=\eta\left(\frac{r}{\tau}\right)$, where $\eta$ is smooth and satisfies: $\eta(r)=1$ for $r \in[0,1], \eta(r)=0$ for $r \in[1+\varepsilon, \infty), \varepsilon>0$ and $\eta^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\left(\xi_{\tau}(r)\right)=-r \xi_{\tau}^{\prime}(r) \tag{2.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging this into (2.1.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} & \left(\int_{M} \xi_{\tau} \phi\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)+\left((4-n)+O(1) c(p) \tau^{2}\right) \int_{M} \xi_{\tau} \phi\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{2.1.17}\\
& \left.=\left.4 \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\left(\int_{M} \xi_{\tau} \phi \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)-4 \int_{M} \xi_{\tau} r\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor F_{A}, \nabla \phi\left|F_{A}\right\rangle d V_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose a nonnegative number $a \geq O(1) c(p)$. Then we deduce from the above

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\left(\tau^{4-n} e^{ \pm a \tau^{2}} \int_{M} \xi_{\tau} \phi\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)  \tag{2.1.18}\\
= & 4 \tau^{4-n} e^{ \pm a \tau^{2}}\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\left(\int_{M} \xi_{\tau} \phi \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.+(-O(1) c(p) \pm 2 a) \tau \int_{M} \xi_{\tau} \phi\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}-\tau^{-1} \int_{M} \xi_{\tau}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor F_{A}, \nabla \phi\right\rfloor F_{A}\right\rangle d V_{g}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by integrating on $\tau$ and letting $\varepsilon$ tend to zero, we prove:

Theorem 2.1.1. Let $r_{p}, c(p)$ and $a$ be as above. Then for any $0<\sigma$ $<\rho<r_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pm \rho^{4-n} e^{ \pm a \rho^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho}(p)} \phi\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \mp \sigma^{4-n} e^{ \pm a \sigma^{2}} \int_{B_{\sigma}(p)} \phi\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{2.1.19}\\
& \left.\mp 4 \int_{B_{\rho}(p) \backslash B_{\sigma}(p)} r^{4-n} e^{ \pm a r^{2}} \phi \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \\
& \left.\left.\geq-4 \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} \tau^{3-n} e^{ \pm a \tau^{2}} d \tau \int_{B_{\tau}(p)} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor F_{A}| | \nabla \phi\right\rfloor F_{A} \mid d V_{g} .
\end{align*}
$$

This inequality is needed for establishing the existence of tangent cones of blow-up loci. Taking $\phi=1$, we obtain:

Theorem 2.1.2 (Price). Let $r_{p}, c(p)$ and $a$ be as above. Then for any $0<\sigma<\rho<r_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho^{4-n} e^{a \rho^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}-\sigma^{4-n} e^{a \sigma^{2}} \int_{B_{\sigma}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{2.1.20}\\
&\left.\geq 4 \int_{B_{\rho}(p) \backslash B_{\sigma}(p)} r^{4-n} e^{a r^{2}} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g$ is flat, then the equality holds in (2.1.20) for $\rho \in(0, \infty)$ and $a=0$.

Remark 3. Both (2.1.19) and (2.1.20) still hold when $A$ is only a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $M \backslash\{p\}$ with

$$
\int_{M}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\infty
$$

To see this, we replace $\eta$ in defining $\xi$ in (2.1.16) by $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, where $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t)=0$ for either $t \leq \varepsilon$ or $t \geq 1+\varepsilon$, and $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t)=1$ for $t \in(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$. Then we can follow the same arguments from (2.1.16) on and obtain both (2.1.19) and (2.1.20) for such a Yang-Mills connection $A$ with isolated singularity at $p$.

It follows from this theorem that $\rho^{4-n} e^{a \rho^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$ is a nondecreasing function in $\left(0, r_{p}\right)$. Another simple corollary of (2.1.20) is the following:

Corollary 2.1.3. Let $A$ be a Yang-Mills $G$-connection of the trivial bundle $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{r}$, such that $\rho^{4-n} \int_{B_{\rho}(0)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}$ is independent of $\rho \in(0, \infty)$, where $g_{0}$ is a flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $A$ is gauge equivalent to $d+A_{s}$, where $A_{s}: S^{n-1} \longrightarrow T^{*} S^{n-1} \otimes \operatorname{Lie}(G)$ is a $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$-valued 1-form.

Proof. By (2.1.20) for the flat metric $g_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor F_{A} \equiv 0 \tag{2.1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D$ be the associated covariant derivative of $A$. Write $D=d+\tilde{a} d r+\tilde{A}$, where $\tilde{a}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie}(G)$ and $\tilde{A}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow T^{*} S^{n-1} \otimes \operatorname{Lie}(G)$. For any gauge transformation $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow G$, we have a similar representation $d+\tilde{a}_{\sigma} d r+\tilde{A}_{\sigma}$ for $D_{\sigma(A)}$; moreover,

$$
\tilde{a}_{\sigma}=\left(\sigma \cdot \tilde{a}-\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r}\right) \cdot \sigma^{-1}
$$

Choose $\sigma$ by solving the ordinary differential equation on $G$ :

$$
\sigma \cdot \tilde{a}-\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r}=0
$$

Then $\tilde{a}_{\sigma}=0$. Together with (2.1.21), we deduce $\frac{\partial \tilde{A}_{\sigma}}{\partial r}=0$; i.e., $\tilde{A}_{\sigma}(r, \theta)=A_{s}(\theta)$ for some $A_{s}: S^{n-1} \rightarrow T^{*} S^{n-1} \otimes \operatorname{Lie}(G)$. The corollary is proved.
2.2. Curvature estimates. In this section, we give a basic curvature estimate for Yang-Mills connections. This estimate was first derived by K. Uhlenbeck (also see [Na]). Since it is crucial to us here, we will outline its proof for the reader's convenience.

We will adopt the notation of the last section.
Theorem 2.2.1 (K. Uhlenbeck). Let A be any Yang-Mills connection of a G-bundle $E$ over $M$. Then there are $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(n)>0$ and $C=C(n)>0$, which depend only on $n$ and $M$, such that for any $p \in M$ and $\rho<r_{p}$, whenever

$$
\rho^{4-n} \int_{B_{\rho}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \varepsilon
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{A}\right|(p) \leq \frac{C}{\rho^{2}}\left(\rho^{4-n} \int_{B_{\rho}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our proof here uses R. Schoen's arguments in [Sc] for harmonic maps. By scaling, we may assume that $\rho=1$. Define a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=(1-2 r)^{2} \sup _{x \in B_{r}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|(x), \quad r \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] . \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f(r)$ is continuous in $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ with $f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=0$, so that $f$ attains its maximum at a certain $r_{0}$ in $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.

First we claim that $f\left(r_{0}\right) \leq 64$ if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small. Assume that $f\left(r_{0}\right)>64$. Put $b=\sup _{x \in B_{r_{0}}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|(x)=\left|F_{A}\right|\left(x_{0}\right)$; then taking $\sigma=\frac{1}{4}\left(1-2 r_{0}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{x \in B_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right| & \leq \sup _{x \in B_{r_{0}+\sigma}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|(x)  \tag{2.2.3}\\
& \leq \frac{\left(1-2 r_{0}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-2 r_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{2}} \sup _{x \in B_{r_{0}}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|(x)=4 b .
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $16 \sigma^{2} b \geq 64$; i.e., $\sigma \sqrt{b} \geq 2$. Define a scaled metric $\tilde{g}=b g$; then with respect to $\tilde{g}$, the norm $\left|F_{A}\right| \tilde{g}$ of $F_{A}$ is equal to $b^{-1}\left|F_{A}\right|$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in B_{2}\left(x_{0}, \tilde{g}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}} \leq 4, \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2}\left(x_{0}, \tilde{g}\right)$ denotes the geodesic ball of $\tilde{g}$ with radius 2 and center at $x_{0}$.
Since $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection, by the second Bianchi identity and straightforward computations, we can derive the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\tilde{g}}\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}}^{2}=\left|\tilde{\nabla} F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}}^{2}-2 F_{A} \# F_{A} \# R(\tilde{g})-2 F_{A} * F_{A} * F_{A} \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{A} \# F_{A} \# R(\tilde{g})$ and $F_{A} * F_{A} * F_{A}$ are defined as follows: in any orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $\tilde{g}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{A} \# F_{A} \# R(\tilde{g})= & \sum_{l, k, i, j}\left(\left\langle F_{A}\left(e_{l}, e_{k}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right.  \tag{2.2.6}\\
& \left.-\sum_{m}\left\langle F_{A}\left(e_{l}, e_{m}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{m}\right)\right\rangle \delta_{j k}\right) R(\tilde{g})\left(e_{l}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A} * F_{A} * F_{A} \sum_{i, j, k}\left\langle\left[F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)\right], F_{A}\left(e_{k}, e_{i}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{2.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.2.5)-(2.2.7) that there are uniform constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, depending only on $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{\tilde{g}}\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}} \leq c_{1}\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}}+c_{2}\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}}^{2} \tag{2.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.2.4), we deduce from (2.2.8) that in $B_{2}\left(x_{0}, \tilde{g}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{\tilde{g}}\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}} \leq\left(c_{1}+4 c_{2}\right)\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}} \tag{2.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by using either the mean-value theorem or the standard Moser iteration, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\left|F_{A}\right| \tilde{g}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq \tilde{c}\left(\left.\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}, \tilde{g}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|\right|_{\tilde{g}} ^{2} d V_{\tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}$ is some uniform constant.
However, by the monotonicity (Theorem 2.1.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}, \tilde{g}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|_{\tilde{g}}^{2} d V_{\tilde{g}} & =(\sqrt{b})^{n-4} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4-n} e^{\frac{a}{4}} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \varepsilon 2^{n-4} e^{\frac{a}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (2.2.10), we obtain

$$
1 \leq \tilde{c} \varepsilon 2^{n-4} e^{\frac{a}{4}}
$$

It is impossible when $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(n)$ is sufficiently small. The claim is proved.
Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in B_{\frac{1}{4}}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|(x) \leq 4 f\left(r_{0}\right) \leq 256 \tag{2.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from this and (2.2.5) with $\tilde{g}$ replaced by $g$ that for some uniform constant $c^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{g}\left|F_{A}\right| \leq c^{\prime}\left|F_{A}\right| \tag{2.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.2.1) follows from (2.2.12) and a standard Moser iteration.
2.3. Admissible Yang-Mills connections. In order to compactify the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections, we need to use singular Yang-Mills connections of a certain type. Those singular connections behave like the usual smooth connections in many ways; for instance, one can define the first two terms of the Chern character by using their curvature forms.

An admissible Yang-Mills connection is a smooth connection $A$ defined outside a closed subset $S(A)$ in $M$, such that (1) $H^{n-4}(S(A) \cap K)<\infty$ for any compact subset $K \subset M$, where $H^{n-4}(\cdot)$ stands for the ( $n-4$ )-dimensional Hausdorff measure (cf. [Si2]); (2) $A$ is Yang-Mills on $M \backslash S(A) ;(3) A$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M \backslash S(A)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\infty \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (2.3.1), this implies that for any smooth $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$-valued 1-form $u$ over $M$ with compact support,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\langle F_{A}, d u\right\rangle d V_{g}=0 \tag{2.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $A$ is smooth on $M$ if $S(A)=\emptyset$. We will call $S(A)$ the singular set of $A$. This is not invariant under gauge transformations. Even if $S(A) \neq \emptyset$, there may be a gauge transformation $\sigma$ on $M \backslash S(A)$ such that $\sigma(A)$ extends to become a smooth connection on $M$.

Two admissible connections $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are gauge equivalent if there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ of $E$ over $M \backslash S\left(A_{1}\right) \cup S\left(A_{2}\right)$ such that $\sigma\left(A_{1}\right)=A_{2}$ outside $S\left(A_{1}\right) \cup S\left(A_{2}\right)$. This new gauge equivalence extends the previous one for smooth connections.

Similarly, by requiring that $A$ be $\Omega$-anti-self-dual outside $S(A)$, we can also define admissible $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons.

Now let us assume that $G$ is a unitary group. By the standard ChernWeil theory, associated to each smooth connection $A$, we have closed forms
$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)$ of degree 2 or 4 . If $M$ is compact, they represent the first two Chern characters $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(E)$ or $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(E)$ respectively. We now extend these to admissible Yang-Mills connections.

Let $A$ be an admissible Yang-Mills connection with the singular set $S=$ $S(A)$. Then $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)$ are closed forms on $M \backslash S$. Because of (3) above, we can extend them to forms on $M$ in the sense of distribution. Clearly, these forms are invariant under gauge transformations.

Proposition 2.3.1. The extended forms $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge\right.$ $F_{A}$ ) are closed on $M$. They are denoted by $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A)$.

Proof. We only show the closedness of $\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)$ here. The other case is easier. We will always denote by $C$ a uniform constant in this proof.

It is sufficient to show that for any smooth form $\varphi$ of degree $n-5$ with compact support in $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} d \varphi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)=0 \tag{2.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is well-defined since $F_{A}$ is $L^{2}$-integrable.
Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M$ is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $E$ is a trivial bundle over $M$. Let $K$ be a compact subset in $M$ containing $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ in its interior.

Fixing any $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon(n)$, as given in Theorem 2.2.1, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r}=\left\{\left.x \in K\left|r^{4-n} e^{a r^{2}} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\right| F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \geqslant \varepsilon\right\} \tag{2.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is as in Theorem 2.1.2. By Theorem 2.1.2, $E_{r} \supset E_{r^{\prime}}$ whenever $r \geqslant r^{\prime}$. We can find a finite covering $\left\{B_{2 r}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant L_{r}}$ of $E_{r}$ such that (1) $x_{k} \in E_{r}$; (2) $B_{r}\left(x_{k}\right) \cap B_{r}\left(x_{l}\right)=\emptyset$ for $k \neq l$. Next we expand $\left\{B_{2 r}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq k \leq L_{r}}$ to a covering $\left\{B_{2 r}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant L_{r}^{\prime}}\left(L_{r}^{\prime} \geqslant L_{r}\right)$ of $(S \cap K) \cup E_{r}$, such that $x_{k} \in(S \cap K) \cup E_{r}$, $B_{r}\left(x_{k}\right) \cap B_{r}\left(x_{l}\right)=\emptyset$ for $k \neq l$. Note that for any $k$, the number of $x_{l}$ with $B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right) \cap B_{8 r}\left(x_{l}\right) \neq \emptyset$ is bounded by a constant depending only on $n$ and $M$.

For any $x \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{L_{r}^{\prime}} B_{2 r}\left(x_{k}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\varepsilon \tag{2.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Uhlenbeck's estimate (Theorem 2.2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{A}\right|(x) \leqslant \frac{C}{r^{2}}\left(r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant \frac{C \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r^{2}} \tag{2.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by using Theorem 1.2.7 in [Uh1, p. 18], we can construct a gauge transformation $\sigma_{x}$ over $B_{r}(x)$ for any $x \in M \backslash N_{3 r}\left((S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{x}(A)\right|(y) \leqslant \frac{C}{r}\left(r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall y \in B_{r}(x) \tag{2.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for any $\delta>0$ and any subset $S^{\prime} \subset M$,

$$
N_{\delta}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x \in M \mid d\left(x, S^{\prime}\right) \leq \delta\right\}
$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the distance function of the metric $g$.
Gluing these $\sigma_{x}$ appropriately, we can construct a gauge transformation $\sigma_{k}$ over each $B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right) \backslash N_{3 r}\left((S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{k}(A)\right|(x) \leqslant \frac{C}{r}\left(r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $x \in B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right) \backslash N_{3 r}\left((S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)$. One gets from (2.3.8) that on the overlap $\left(B_{8 r}\left(x_{l}\right) \cap B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \backslash N_{3 r}\left((S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)$,

$$
\left|d \sigma_{k} \cdot \sigma_{l}^{-1}\right| \leq \frac{2 C \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r}
$$

Hence, by modifying $\sigma_{k}$ slightly on overlaps, we may assume that $\sigma_{k} \cdot \sigma_{l}^{-1}$ is constant on each connected component of $B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right) \cap B_{8 r}\left(x_{l}\right) \backslash N_{3 r}\left((S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)$ for any $k \neq l$.

Let $\eta: \mathbb{R}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be a cut-off $C^{\infty}$-function satisfying: $\eta(t)=0$ for $t \leqslant 1$, $\eta(t)=1$ for $t \geqslant 2$, and $0 \leqslant \eta^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} d \varphi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{M} \eta\left(\frac{d\left(x,(S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)}{3 r}\right) d \varphi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right) \tag{2.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $k \leqslant L_{r}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)(x) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{\sigma_{k}(A)} \wedge F_{\sigma_{k}(A)}\right)(x)  \tag{2.3.10}\\
& =d \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma_{k}(A) \wedge F_{\sigma_{k}(A)}+\frac{1}{3} \sigma_{k}(A) \wedge \sigma_{k}(A) \wedge \sigma_{k}(A)\right)(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right) \backslash N_{3 r}\left((S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)$.
Since $\sigma_{k} \cdot \sigma_{l}^{-1}$ is piecewise constant, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma_{k}(A) \wedge F_{\sigma_{k}(A)}+\frac{1}{3} \sigma_{k}(A) \wedge \sigma_{k}(A) \wedge \sigma_{k}(A)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma_{l}(A) \wedge F_{\sigma_{l}(A)}+\frac{1}{3} \sigma_{l}(A) \wedge \sigma_{l}(A) \wedge \sigma_{l}(A)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

on the overlap $B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right) \cap B_{8 r}\left(x_{l}\right) \backslash N_{3 r}\left((S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)$. Therefore, there is a globally defined Chern-Simon transgression form $\Psi$ outside $N_{3 r}\left(S \cup E_{r}\right)$, such that

$$
d \Psi=\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)
$$

and

$$
\Psi(x)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma_{k}(A) \wedge F_{\sigma_{k}(A)}+\frac{1}{3} \sigma_{k}(A) \wedge \sigma_{k}(A) \wedge \sigma_{k}(A)\right)
$$

whenever $x \in B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right)$. For each $k$ and any $x \in B_{6 r}\left(x_{k}\right) \backslash B_{3 r}\left(x_{k}\right)$,

$$
|\psi(x)| \leq C r^{-3}\left(r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C r^{1-n} \int_{B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{M} d \varphi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)\right| & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left|\int_{M} \eta\left(\frac{d\left(x,(S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right)}{3 r}\right) d \varphi \wedge d \Psi\right| \\
& \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{3 r \leqslant d\left(x,(S \cap K) \cup E_{r}\right) \leqslant 6 r} \frac{1}{3 r}|\Psi||d \varphi| d V_{g} \\
& \leq C \lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left\{\sup _{M}|d \varphi| \sum_{k=1}^{L_{r}^{\prime}} \int_{B_{8 r}\left(x_{k}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right\} \\
& \leq C \sup _{M}|d \varphi| \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{N_{8 r}\left(S \cup E_{r}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bigcap_{r>0} N_{8 r}\left(S \cup E_{r}\right) \subset S$ and $N_{8 r}\left(S \cup E_{r}\right) \subset N_{8 r^{\prime}}\left(S \cup E_{r^{\prime}}\right)$ for $r \leq r^{\prime}$, the last integral converges to zero as $r$ tends to 0 . Therefore, we have

$$
\int_{M} d \varphi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)=0
$$

so that $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)$ is closed in the sense of distribution.
Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ denote the Chern-Weil polynomials defining the first two Chern classes. Then $C_{1}(A)=\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ is well-defined.

On $M \backslash S(A)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(A)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)\right) . \tag{2.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $C_{2}(A)$ extends to a form, still denoted by $C_{2}(A)$, on $M$ in the sense of distribution.

Corollary 2.3.2. The extended form $C_{2}(A)$ is closed.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is harmonic outside $S(A)$ and $L^{2}$-bounded, by the standard elliptic theory, it extends to be a smooth form on $M$. Then this corollary follows from the last proposition.

## 3. Rectifiability of blow-up loci

We study the blow-up set of Yang-Mills connections which converge to an admissible Yang-Mills connection.
3.1. Convergence of Yang-Mills connections. Given any sequence of admissible Yang-Mills connections $A_{i}$, we say that the $A_{i}$ converge weakly to an admissible Yang-Mills connection $A$ (modulo gauge transformations), if $\int_{M}\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq c$ for some uniform constant $c$ and there are a closed subset $S$ and gauge transformations $\sigma_{i}$ of the $G$-bundle $E$ over $M \backslash S$, such that for any compact $K \subset M \backslash S, \sigma_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ extend smoothly across $K$ for $i$ sufficiently large and converge to $A$ in the $C^{\infty}$-topology in $K$ as $i$ tends to infinity. Obviously, $S$ contains $S(A)$. In particular, this implies that for any smooth form $\varphi$ with compact support in $M$,

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M}\left(F_{\sigma_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}, d \varphi\right) d V_{g}=\int_{M}\left(F_{A}, d \varphi\right) d V_{g}
$$

This is exactly what the weak convergence is. Clearly, we have the next result:
Lemma 3.1.1. Weak limits of admissible connections $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ are unique modulo gauge transformations.

From now on, we always assume that $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of smooth YangMills connections with $Y M\left(A_{i}\right) \leq \Lambda$. All the discussions in this section also work for admissible Yang-Mills connections with slight modification.

Proposition 3.1.2. There is a subsequence $\left\{A_{i_{j}}\right\}$ which converges weakly to some admissible Yang-Mills connection $A$ on $M$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon$ be as in Theorem 2.2.1 and $a$ be as in Theorem 2.1.2. We define a closed subset for each $i$ and $r>0$ sufficiently small:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i, r}=\left\{\left.x \in M\left|e^{a r^{2}} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\right| F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \geq \varepsilon\right\} . \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the monotonicity formula (Theorem 2.1.2) that $E_{i, r} \subset E_{i, r^{\prime}}$ for any $r \leq r^{\prime}$.

By the standard diagonal process, we can choose a subsequence $\left\{i_{j}\right\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that for each $k$, the $E_{i_{j}, 2^{-k}}$ converge to a closed subset $E_{2^{-k}}$. Then $E_{2^{-k}} \subset E_{2^{-l}}$ for $k \geq l$. Put $S=\bigcap_{k} E_{2^{-k}}$.

We first claim that $S$ is of Hausdorff codimension at least 4. Given $\delta>0$ sufficiently small and any compact subset $K$ of $M$, let $\left\{B_{4 \delta}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ be any finite covering of $S \cap K$ such that (1) $x_{\alpha} \in S \cap K$; (2) $B_{2 \delta}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \cap B_{2 \delta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)=\emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. Take $k$ big enough such that $2^{-k}<\delta$. Then for $j$ sufficiently large, there are $y_{\alpha} \in E_{i_{j}, 2^{-k}}$ such that $d\left(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right)<\delta$. Then $\left\{B_{5 \delta}\left(y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ is a finite
covering of $S \cap K$ and $B_{\delta}\left(y_{\alpha}\right) \cap B_{\delta}\left(y_{\beta}\right)=\emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. By Theorem 2.1.2,

$$
e^{a \delta^{2}} \delta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\delta}\left(y_{\alpha}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i_{j}}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \geq e^{a 2^{-2 k}} 2^{(n-4) k} \int_{B_{2}-k\left(y_{\alpha}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i_{j}}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \geq \varepsilon
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{\alpha} \delta^{n-4} \leq \frac{e^{a}}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{B_{\delta}\left(y_{\alpha}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i_{j}}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \frac{e^{a}}{\varepsilon} \int_{M}\left|F_{A_{i_{j}}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \frac{c e^{a}}{\varepsilon}
$$

It follows that $H^{n-4}(S \cap K)$, and consequently, $H^{n-4}(S)$, is no more than $\frac{5^{n-4} e^{a} c}{\varepsilon}$. This proves the claim.

Now we prove that $A_{i_{j}}$ converges to some $A$ outside $S$ modulo gauge transformations. To save the notation, we assume $\left\{i_{j}\right\}=\{i\}$.

We notice that for any $r>0$, there is an $i(r)>0$, such that for any $i \geq i(r)$ and $x \in M$ with $d\left(x, E_{2^{-k}}\right) \geq r$, where $2^{-k-1} \leq r \leq 2^{-k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a r^{2}} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\varepsilon \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to saying that $x \in M \backslash E_{i, r}$. By Theorem 2.2.1, we deduce from (3.1.2) that for any $x \in M \backslash B_{r}\left(E_{r}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|(x)<\frac{C \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r^{2}} . \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Theorem 3.6 in [Uh2] that there exists a subsequence $\left\{i^{\prime}\right\} \subset\{i\}$ and gauge transformations $\sigma\left(i^{\prime}\right)$, such that $\sigma\left(i^{\prime}\right)\left(A_{i^{\prime}}\right)$ converge to a smooth connection $A$ in $C^{1}$-topology on any compact subset outside $S$. Since $A_{i}$ are Yang-Mills connections, by the standard elliptic theory, $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection and $\sigma\left(i^{\prime}\right)\left(A_{i^{\prime}}\right)$ converge to $A$ smoothly outside $S$.

In the following, we always assume that the sequence $A_{i}$ converges to an admissible Yang-Mills connection $A$ with $\int_{M}\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.1.3. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)=\bigcap_{r>0}\left\{\left.x \in M\left|\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \inf e^{a r^{2}} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\right| F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \geq \varepsilon\right\}, \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is as given in Theorem 2.2.1. Then (i) $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$ is closed and contained in the above $S$; (ii) Its Hausdorff measure $H^{n-4}\left(S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right) \leq C$ for some constant $C$ depending only on $M$ and $\Lambda$; (iii) $A$ extends to a smooth connection on $M \backslash S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $x_{0} \in M \backslash S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$; then there is an $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
r_{0}^{4-n} \int_{B_{r_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{n_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\varepsilon
$$

for some subsequence $n_{i} \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.2.1,

$$
\sup _{n_{i}} \sup _{x \in B \frac{r_{0}}{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{n_{i}}}\right| \leq \frac{c_{0} \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r_{0}^{2}}
$$

for some constant $c_{0}=c_{0}(n, M)$. In particular,

$$
\sup _{n_{i}} \sup _{x \in B_{\frac{r_{0}}{4}}^{4}\left(x_{0}\right)} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A_{n_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

whenever $r \leq r_{0} / \sqrt[4]{c+1}$ for some constant $c$ depending only on $g$. Hence, $B_{\frac{r_{0}}{4}}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset M \backslash S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$, and consequently, $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$ is closed. This also implies that $A$ is a limit of some subsequence of $\left\{A_{n_{i}}\right\}$ (modulo gauge transformations) in $B_{\frac{r_{0}}{4}}\left(x_{0}\right)$ in the $C^{\infty}$-topology. Then (iii) follows.
$\stackrel{4}{\text { For }}$ any $x_{0} \in M \backslash S$, if $r$ is sufficiently small,

$$
r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\varepsilon_{0}
$$

This implies that for $i$ sufficiently large,

$$
r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\varepsilon_{0}
$$

Hence, $x_{0} \in M \backslash S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$. This shows that $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right) \subset S$.
The estimate on $H^{n-4}\left(S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right)$ follows from the proof of Proposition 3.1.2.

Since $A$ can be extended smoothly to $M \backslash S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$, we may assume that $S(A) \subset S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$. If $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)=\emptyset$, then there is a subsequence of $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ which converges to $A$ smoothly on $M$.

Consider the Radon measures $\mu_{i}=\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}(i=1,2, \cdots)$. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\mu_{i} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly on $M$ as Radon measures; i.e., for any continuous function $\varphi$ with compact support in $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M} \varphi\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}=\int_{M} \varphi d \mu \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write (by Fatou's lemma)

$$
\mu=\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+\nu
$$

for some nonnegative Radon measure $\nu$ on $M$.
Lemma 3.1.4. When $\nu(x)=\Theta(x) H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right), x \in M\right.$, for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$, then

$$
\varepsilon \leq \Theta(x) \leq 4^{n-4} r_{x}^{4-n} e^{a r_{x}^{2}} \Lambda
$$

where $r_{x}$, are as given in Theorem 2.1.2.

Proof. First we observe:
(a) For any $x \in M, e^{a r^{2}} r^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$ is a nondecreasing function of $r$ sufficiently small; thus the density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(\mu, x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} r^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for every $x \in M$;
(b) $x \in S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$ if and only if $\Theta(\mu, x) \geq \varepsilon$;
(c) For $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}=0
$$

Indeed, (a) follows from the monotonicity formula in Section 2.1. The statement (b) follows from the definition of $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$ and (a). To prove (c), we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}=\left\{\left.x\left|\varlimsup_{\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+}} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\right| F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}>\frac{1}{j}\right\} . \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It suffices to show that $H^{n-4}\left(E_{j}\right)=0$ for each $j \geq 1$. For any $\delta>0$, there is a covering of $E_{j}$ by balls $B_{2 r_{\alpha}}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ with $x_{\alpha} \in E_{j}$ and $2 r_{\alpha} \leq \delta$, such that

$$
r_{\alpha}^{4-n} \int_{B_{r_{\alpha}}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d F_{g}>\frac{1}{j},
$$

and $B_{r_{\alpha}}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \cap B_{r_{\beta}}\left(x_{\beta}\right)=\emptyset$. Then

$$
H^{n-4}\left(E_{j}\right)-\psi(\delta) \leq \sum_{\alpha}\left(2 r_{\alpha}\right)^{n-4} \leq j 2^{n-4} \int_{N_{\delta}\left(S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
$$

where $N_{\delta}\left(S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right)$ denotes the $\delta$-tubular neighborhood of $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$, and $\psi(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $H^{n-4}\left(E_{j}\right)=0$, since $\delta$ is arbitrarily small.

From the monotonicity formula we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \leq C \tag{3.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C$ depending only on $\Lambda$ and $M$. Therefore, $\mu_{S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right.$; consequently, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mu\right|_{S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)}(x)=\Theta(x) H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right. \tag{3.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$. Then by (c),

$$
\nu(x)=\Theta(x) H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\right.
$$

for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$. We notice that $\mu$ is a Borel regular measure. The estimates of $\Theta(x)$ follow the above density estimate (b) and the fact that for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{4-n} \leq \varlimsup_{\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+}} \frac{H^{n-4}\left(S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right) \cap B_{r}(x)\right)}{r^{n-4}} \leq 1 \tag{3.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be easily proved (cf. [Si, Th. 3.6)].
Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{b}=\overline{\left\{\left.x \in S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)\left|\Theta(\mu, x)>0, \lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\right| F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}=0\right\}} \tag{3.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S_{b}\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)=S_{b} \cup S(A)$. We call $\left(S_{b}, \Theta\right)$ the blow-up locus of the weakly convergent sequence $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$. Here, $S_{b}$ is the support of the blow-up locus and $\Theta$ is its multiplicity. If no confusion can occur, we may simply say that $S_{b}$ is the blow-up locus.
3.2. Tangent cones of blow-up loci. We adopt the notation of the last section unless specified otherwise. For simplicity, we write $S=S_{b}$ for the blow-up locus. In this section, we study the properties of tangent cones of $S$.

Recall that $\mu$ is the limit Radon measure of $\mu_{i}=\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$. For any $y \in M$ and sufficiently small $\lambda$, we define the scaled measure $\mu_{y, \lambda}$ as follows: for any $E$ in $T_{y} M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{y, \lambda}(E)=\lambda^{4-n} \mu\left(\exp _{y}(\lambda E)\right) \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\exp _{y}: T_{y} M \rightarrow M$ is the exponential map of the metric $g$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda E=\left\{x \in T_{y} M \mid \lambda^{-1} x \in E\right\} \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2.1. Let $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ be any sequence with $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}=0$. Then there exist a subsequence $\left\{\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ and a Radon measure $\eta$ on $T_{y} M$ such that $\mu_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}$ converges to $\eta$ weakly. Moreover, $\eta_{0, \lambda}=\eta$ for each $\lambda>0$; i.e., $\eta$ is a cone measure.

Proof. Define a connection on $T_{y} M$ for each $y$ and $\lambda$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i, y, \lambda}=\tau_{\lambda}^{*} \exp _{y}^{*} A_{i} \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{\lambda}: T_{y} M \mapsto T_{y} M$ maps $v$ to $\lambda v$. Then $A_{i, y, \lambda}$ is a Yang-Mills connection with respect to the metric $\lambda^{-2} \exp _{y}^{*} g$, which will be denoted by $g_{y, \lambda}$. Clearly, $g_{y, \lambda}$ converges to the flat metric $g_{y, 0}=\left.g\right|_{T_{y} M}$ on $T_{y} M$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$. Moreover, by the monotonicity (Theorem 2.1.2), for any small $r>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{a \lambda^{2} r^{2}} r^{4-n} & \int_{B_{r}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, y, \lambda}}\right|^{2} d V_{y, \lambda}  \tag{3.2.4}\\
& =e^{a \lambda^{2} r^{2}}(\lambda r)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\lambda r}(y)}\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq C(M, \Lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

where $C(M, \Lambda)$ denotes a constant depending only on $M, \Lambda$, and $B_{r}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda}\right)$ denotes the geodesic ball of $g_{y, \lambda}$ in $T_{y} M$ with radius $r$ and center at 0 . Clearly, $\left|F_{A_{i, y, \lambda}}\right|^{2} d V_{y, \lambda}$ converges to $\mu_{y, \lambda}$ weakly on $B_{\lambda^{-1} r_{0}}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda}\right)$, where $r_{0}$ depends only on $y$. Letting $i$ go to infinity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{y, \lambda}\left(B_{r}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda}\right)\right) \leq C(M, \Lambda) r^{n-4} \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \leq \lambda^{-1} r_{0}$. Hence, we may find a subsequence $\left\{\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right\} \subset\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ such that the $\mu_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}$ converge to $\eta$ weakly as Radon measures on $T_{y} M$. Then there are (by the standard diagonal process) $i_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{A_{i_{k}, y, \lambda}}\right|^{2} d V_{y, \lambda} \rightarrow \eta \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu$ is the weak limit of $\mu_{i}=\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$, for $0<\sigma<\rho$ sufficiently small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a \sigma^{2}} \sigma^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{\sigma}(y)\right) \leq e^{a \rho^{2}} \rho^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{\rho}(y)\right) . \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} r^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{r}(y)\right)=\Theta(\mu, y)$, and consequently, for any $r>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
r^{4-n} \eta\left(B_{r}\left(0, g_{y, 0}\right)\right) & =\lim _{\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} \mu_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\left(B_{r}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}},\right)\right)  \tag{3.2.8}\\
& =\lim _{\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0}\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime} r\right)^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{\lambda_{k}^{\prime} r}(y)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

That is,

$$
\eta\left(B_{r}\left(0, g_{y, 0}\right)\right)=\Theta(\mu, y) r^{n-4}
$$

This indicates that $\eta$ is a cone measure. To prove it rigorously, we first observe that for any $0<\sigma<\rho<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \backslash B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)} r^{4-n} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor F_{\left.A_{i_{k}, y, \lambda_{k}}\right|^{2}} d V_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used Theorem 2.1.2 and (3.2.8).
Let $\phi(\theta)$ be any positive function on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset T_{y} M$. It follows from Theorem 2.1.1 that for any $0<\sigma<\rho$ and $\lambda_{k}^{\prime}$ sufficiently small,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{4-n} e^{a\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \sigma\right)^{2}} & \int_{B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i_{k}, y, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} \phi(\theta) d V_{y, \lambda_{k^{\prime}}} \\
\leq & \rho^{4-n} e^{a\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \rho\right)^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i_{k}, y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \phi(\theta) d V_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}} \\
& \left.-4 \int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \backslash B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right)} r^{4-n} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A_{i_{k}, y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \phi d V_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}} \\
& -\left.4 \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} \tau^{3-n} d \tau \int_{B_{\tau}\left(0, g_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right)}|\nabla \phi|\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right| F_{A_{i_{k}, y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} d V_{y, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $k$ go to infinity, by (3.2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{4-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{y, 0}\right)} \phi d \eta=\rho^{4-n} \int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{y, 0}\right)} \phi d \eta \tag{3.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.2.10) on $\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{n-4} \int_{\partial B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{y, 0}\right)} \phi d \xi=(n-4) \int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{y, 0}\right)} \phi d \eta \tag{3.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \eta(r, \theta)=r^{n-5} d r d \xi(r, \theta)$. Combining (3.2.10) and (3.2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{y, 0}\right)} \phi(\theta) d \xi(\rho, \theta)=\int_{\partial B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{y}, 0\right)} \phi(\theta) d \xi(\sigma, \theta) \tag{3.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0<\sigma<\rho<\infty$. This implies

$$
d r d \xi\left(r+r_{1}, \theta\right)=d r d \xi(r, \theta)
$$

for any $r_{1}>0$. That is, $r^{5-n} d \eta(r, \theta)$ is translation invariant in $r$, or $d \eta(r, \theta)=$ $r^{n-5} d r d \xi(\theta)$ for some Radon measure $d \xi(\theta)$ on $S^{n-1}$.

Next we study the tangent cones $\eta$ with support in $T_{y} M$ at $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $y \in S$. First we recall two elementary lemmas about the Radon measure $\mu$ given above.

Lemma 3.2.2. The density function $\Theta(\mu, x)$ is $H^{n-4}$-approximately continuous at $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x$ in $S$. Here $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is $H^{n-4}$-approximately continuous at $x \in S$ if for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{H^{n-4}\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}(x) \cap S| | \Theta(\mu, y)-\Theta(\mu, x) \mid>\varepsilon\right\}\right)}{r^{n-4}}=0 \tag{3.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The density function $\Theta(\mu, x)(x \in S)$ is upper-semi-continuous, so that $E_{c}=\{x \mid \Theta(\mu, x)<c\}$ is open, and consequently, for any $c_{1}<c_{2}, E_{c_{2}} \backslash E_{c_{1}}$ is a Borel set and thus measurable. Now we define

$$
E_{i}=\left\{x \in S \left\lvert\, \frac{(i-1) \varepsilon}{2} \leq \Theta(\mu, x)<\frac{i \varepsilon}{2}\right.\right\}
$$

Clearly, each $E_{i}$ is contained in $S$ and $H^{n-4}\left(S \backslash \bigcup_{i} E_{i}\right)=0$. Then for any $x \in E_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{H^{n-4}\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}(x) \cap S| | \Theta(\mu, y)-\Theta(\mu, x) \mid>\varepsilon\right\}\right)}{r^{n-4}} \\
=\varlimsup_{\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow 0}} \frac{H^{n-4}\left(B_{r}(x) \cap\left(S \backslash E_{i}\right)\right)}{r^{n-4}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used Theorem 3.5 in [Si2]. Thus the lemma follows.
Lemma 3.2.3. Let $x \in S$ be such that $\Theta(\mu, x) \geq \varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is $H^{n-4}$-approximately continuous at $x$. Then there is a $r_{x}>0$, such that for each $r \in\left(0, r_{x}\right)$, we may find $n-4$ points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-4}$ in $B_{r}(x) \cap S$ satisfying:
(i) $\Theta\left(\mu, x_{j}\right) \geq \Theta(\mu, x)-\varepsilon(r)$ for $j=1,2, \cdots, n-4$, where $\varepsilon(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r$ tends to zero;
(ii) Let $\exp _{x}$ be the exponential map of $(M, g)$ at $x$. Then for some $s \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ depending only on $n, d\left(x_{1}, x\right) \geq s r$ and $d\left(x_{k}, \exp _{x}\left(V_{k-1}\right)\right) \geq s r$ for $k \geq 2$, where $V_{k-1}$ denotes the subspace in $T_{x} M$ spanned by $\left(\left.\exp _{x}\right|_{B_{r(0)}}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\left.\exp _{x}\right|_{B_{r}(0)}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k-1}\right)$.

Proof. The arguments here are essentially due to F.H. Lin in [Li]. By the assumption, we may find a positive function $\varepsilon(r)$ for $0<r<r_{x}$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(r)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H^{n-4}\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}(x) \cap S| | \Theta(\mu, y)-\Theta(\mu, x) \mid \geq \varepsilon(r)\right\}\right)}{r^{n-4}} \leq \frac{s(n)}{2}<\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(s(n)>0$ will be determined later).
Suppose that the lemma is false. Then there would be a sufficiently small $r>0$ such that one cannot find $n-4$ points $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-4}$ inside the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{y \in S \cap B_{r}(x)| | \Theta(\mu, y)-\Theta(\mu, x) \mid<\varepsilon(r)\right\} \tag{3.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying condition (ii) of Lemma 3.2.3. Therefore, the set in (3.2.15) is contained in an $s r$-neighborhood of $\exp _{x}(L)$ for some $(n-5)$-dimensional subspace $L$ in $T_{x} M$. In particular, this implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}(x) \cap S| | \Theta(\mu, y)-\Theta(\mu, x) \mid<\varepsilon(r)\right\}\right)  \tag{3.2.16}\\
\leq C(n) s(n) r^{n-4} \Theta(\mu, x),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C(n)$ is some uniform constant independent of $s(n)$.
On the other hand, by the upper-semi-continuity of $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)$, we may assume that for any $y \in B_{r}(x)$,

$$
\Theta(\mu, y) \leq 2 \Theta(\mu, x)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}(x) \cap S| | \Theta(\mu, y)-\Theta(\mu, x) \mid \geq \varepsilon(r)\right\}\right)  \tag{3.2.17}\\
& \quad \leq 2 \Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}(x) \cap S \| \Theta(\mu, y)-\Theta(\mu, y) \mid \geq \varepsilon(r)\right\}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \Theta(\mu, x) s(n) r^{n-4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Putting (3.2.16) and (3.2.17) together, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu\left(B_{r}(x) \cap S\right) & \leq s(n)(1+C(n)) \Theta(\mu, y) r^{n-4}  \tag{3.2.18}\\
& <\frac{1}{2} \Theta(\mu, x) r^{n-4},
\end{align*}
$$

if we choose $s(n)<\frac{1}{2(1+C(n))}$. However, (3.2.18) is impossible for $r$ sufficiently small, since $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu\left(B_{r}(x) \cap S\right)}{r^{n-4}}=\Theta(\mu, x)>0$.

Now we can state the main result of this section, which will be used in proving the rectifiability of blow-up loci.

Proposition 3.2.4. Let $\mu$ be the Radon measure given at the beginning of this section. Then for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S \subset M$, any tangent cone measure $\eta$ on $T_{x} M$ of $\mu$ is of the form $\Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\lfloor F$ for some ( $n-4$ )-dimensional subspace $F$ in $T_{x} M$.

Note that the existence of $\eta$ is assured by Lemma 3.2.1.
The rest of this section is devoted to proving this proposition. First we recall (cf. Lemma 3.1.4) that $\mu=\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+\Theta(\mu, \cdot) H^{n-4}\lfloor S$, where $A$ is the weak limit of a sequence $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$. By Lemma 3.1.4 and the observation (c) in its proof, for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(\mu, x) \geq \varepsilon_{0}>0, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}=0 \tag{3.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 3.2.2 that $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is $H^{n-4}$-approximately continuous at $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x$ in $S$.

From now on, we fix a point $x \in S$ such that (3.2.19) holds and $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is $H^{n-4}$-approximately continuous at $x$.

Assume that $\eta$ is the weak limit of $\mu_{x, r_{k}}$, where $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{k}=0$. For $k$ sufficiently large, by Lemma 3.2.3, we may find $n-4$ points $x_{1}^{k}, \cdots, x_{n-4}^{k}$ in $B_{r_{k}}(x) \cap S$, such that for $j=1,2, \cdots, n-4$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta\left(\mu, x_{j}^{k}\right) \geq \Theta(\mu, x)-\varepsilon\left(r_{k}\right),  \tag{3.2.20}\\
d\left(x_{j}^{k}, \exp _{x}\left(V_{j-1}^{k}\right)\right) \geq s r_{k}, \tag{3.2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $V_{j-1}^{k}$ denotes the 0 -dimensional space $\{0\}$ if $j=1$, and the subspace in $T_{x} M$ spanned by $\xi_{1}^{k}=\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(x_{1}^{k}\right), \ldots, \xi_{j-1}^{k}=\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(x_{j-1}^{k}\right)$ for $j \geq 2$.

As before, we denote by $g_{x, r_{k}}$ the scaled metric $r_{k}^{-2} \exp _{x}^{*} g$ on $T_{x} M$, which converges to the flat one $g_{x, 0}$ as $k$ tends to $\infty$. Clearly, $r_{k}^{-1} \xi_{j}^{k} \in B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, r_{k}}\right)$ for each $j$, so that by taking a subsequence of $\left\{r_{k}\right\}$ if necessary, we may assume that as $k$ tends to $\infty, r_{k}^{-1} \xi_{j}^{k} \in B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$ converges to $\xi_{j}$ with respect to a fixed metric $g_{x, 0}$. By (3.2.21), $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n-4}$ span an $(n-4)$-dimensional subspace $F$ in $T_{x} M$, which is in fact the limit of $V_{n-4}^{k}$. Moreover, $d_{g_{x, 0}}\left(\xi_{i}, 0\right) \geq s$ and $d_{g_{x, 0}}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right) \geq s$ for any $i \neq j$.

From (3.2.20), we can deduce that for any $r>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{4-n} \mu_{x, r_{k}}\left(B_{r}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, r_{k}}\right)\right) & =\left(r r_{k}\right)^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{r r_{k}}\left(x_{j}^{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \Theta\left(\mu, x_{j}^{k}\right) \geq \Theta(\mu, x)-\varepsilon\left(r_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for all $r<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{4-n} \eta\left(B_{r}\left(\xi_{j}, g_{x, 0}\right)\right) \geq \Theta(\mu, x)=\Theta(\eta, 0) \tag{3.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta\left(\eta, \xi_{j}\right) \geq \Theta(\eta, 0) \tag{3.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $r, \tilde{r}>0$, it follows from the monotonicity,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{4-n} \eta\left(B_{r}\left(\xi_{j}, g_{x, 0}\right)\right) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r^{4-n} \mu_{x, r_{k}}\left(B_{r}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, r_{k}}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r r_{k}^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{r r_{k}}\left(x_{j}^{k}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{a \tilde{r}^{2}} \tilde{r}^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{\tilde{r}}\left(x_{j}^{k}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =e^{a \tilde{r}^{2}} \tilde{r}^{4-n} \mu\left(B_{\tilde{r}}(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tilde{r}$ can be arbitrarily small,

$$
r^{4-n} \eta\left(B_{r}\left(\xi_{j}, g_{x, 0}\right)\right)=\Theta(\eta, 0)
$$

for any $r>0$. Then, using Theorem 2.1.1 as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.1, we can show that $\eta$ is a cone measure with center at $\xi_{j}$ for each $j=1, \cdots, n-4$; i.e.,

$$
d \eta\left(r_{j}, \theta\right)=r_{j}^{n-5} d r_{j} d \xi(\theta)
$$

for some Radon measure $d \xi_{j}(\theta)$ on the unit sphere $\left\{\xi \in T_{x} M \mid r_{j}(\xi)=1\right\}$, where $r_{j}(\xi)=\left|\xi-\xi_{j}\right|$. Clearly, it follows that

$$
\eta\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-4}, y_{n-3}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)=\eta\left(y_{n-3}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)
$$

where $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ denote the euclidean coordinates of $T_{x} M$ such that $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-4}$ are in $F$.

Finally, by the second equality in (3.2.19), we have that $\operatorname{supp}(\eta) \subset F$. Therefore, $\eta=\Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\lfloor F$.
3.3. Rectifiability. We have shown that tangent cones exist at $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x$ in $S$; moreover, if (3.2.19) holds and $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is $H^{n-4}$-approximately continuous at $x \in S$, then any tangent cones at $x$ are $(n-4)$-subspaces in $T_{x} M$ (Proposition 3.2.4). We adopt the notation of the last section. In this section, we will prove that $S$ is rectifiable, i.e., tangent cones are unique at $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x$ in $S$. This in fact follows from the work of D. Priess [P], since $\Theta(\nu, \cdot)$ exists almost everywhere and $\nu$ is Borel regular. However, for the reader's convenience, we give a direct proof here by using the structure theorem of Federer (cf. [Fe], [Li]).

We may write $S=S_{u} \cup S_{r}$, where $S_{r}$ is a rectifiable set and $S_{u}$ is a purely unrectifiable set. We denote by $G\left(T_{x} M, n-4\right)$ the Grassmannian of all ( $n-4$ )-dimensional subspaces in $T_{x} M$.

Lemma 3.3.1. For any $x \in M$ and $V$ in $G\left(T_{x} M, n-4\right)$,

$$
H^{n-4}\left(P_{V}\left(\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(B_{r}(x) \cap S_{u}\right)\right)\right)=0
$$

where $r>0$ is sufficiently small and $P_{V}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $T_{x} M$ onto $V$ with respect to $g_{x, 0}$.

This lemma can easily be proved by modifying the arguments in the proof of $[\mathrm{Fe}, 3.3 .5]$ or $[\mathrm{Si} 2]$. We omit it here.

We want to show that $H^{n-4}\left(S_{u}\right)=0$. Suppose that it is not true. Then for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x$ in $S_{u}, r>0$ small and any $V \in G\left(T_{x} M, n-4\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{n-4}\left(P_{V}\left(\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(S_{u} \cap B_{r}(x)\right)\right)\right)=0 \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{H^{n-4}\left(S_{r} \cap B_{\lambda}(x)\right)}{\lambda^{n-4}}=0 \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H^{n-4}\left(S_{u}\right)>0$, we can choose $x$ in $S_{u}$ such that (3.2.19), (3.3.1) and (3.3.2) hold, and $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is $H^{n-4}$-approximately continuous at $x$. As before, we define $\mu_{x, \lambda}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{x, \lambda}(E)=\lambda^{n-4} \mu\left(\exp _{x}(\lambda E)\right) \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E \subset T_{x} M$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}=0$ and $\mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ converges weakly to a tangent measure $\eta$ on $T_{x} M$. By our choice of $x$ and the proof of Proposition 3.2.4, we have that $\eta=$ $\Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\left\lfloor V\right.$ for some $(n-4)$-subspace $V$ in $T_{x} M$. We claim:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{\overline{\lim }}^{k \rightarrow \infty}<\frac{H^{n-4}\left(P_{V}\left(\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(S \cap B_{\lambda_{k}}(x)\right)\right)\right)}{\lambda_{k}^{n-4}}>0 \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this is true, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H^{n-4}\left(P_{V}\left(\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(S_{u} \cap B_{\lambda_{k}}(x)\right)\right)\right)}{\lambda_{k}^{n-4}}>0 \tag{3.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of (3.3.2). However, this contradicts (3.3.1).
Now we prove the claimed inequality in (3.3.4). As in the last section, we may find a sequence of Yang-Mills connections $A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}$ (cf. (3.2.3)) such that the $\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ converge to $\mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ weakly as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Note that for $k$ large enough, the $A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}$ are well defined in $B_{4}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right) \subset T_{x} M$. Let us identify $T_{x} M$ with $V \times V^{\perp}$, so that each point $z \in T_{x} M$ is of the form $\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $z^{\prime} \in V$ and $z^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\perp}$, where $V^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $V$ in $T_{x} M$. Choose orthonormal coordinates $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}$ of $T_{x} M$ with respect to $g_{x, 0}$, such that $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-4}$ are coordinates of $V$ and $z_{n-3}, \ldots, z_{n}$ are coordinates of $V^{\perp}$. We usually denote $z^{\prime}$ by $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-4}\right)$ and $z^{\prime \prime}$ by $\left(z_{n-3}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$. We put

$$
B_{2}^{2}(0)=\left\{z^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\perp}| | z^{\prime \prime} \mid<2\right\}
$$

Clearly, when $k$ is sufficiently large (so that $g_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ is sufficiently close to the flat metric $\left.g_{x, 0}\right)$, we have that $\left(z^{\prime}, 0\right)+\{0\} \times B_{2}^{2}(0) \subset B_{4}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)$ for any $\left(z^{\prime}, 0\right) \in V \times\{0\} \cap B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)$.

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i, k}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{3.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ denotes the induced volume form on $B_{2}^{2}(0)$ by the metric $g_{x, \lambda_{k}}$, and $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{2}^{2}(0)\right)$ with $\int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)} \phi^{2} d V_{g_{x, 0}}=1$. Then $m_{i, k}$ is a smooth function of $z^{\prime}$ in $V \cap B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)$.

For simplicity, we will denote by $D$ the covariant derivative associated to each $A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}$ unless further specification is needed. For simplicity, we often abbreviate $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}$ as $\partial_{\alpha}$. One computes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial m_{i, k}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\partial z_{\alpha}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\left(\int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)  \tag{3.3.7}\\
& =2 \int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}, F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right)\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ converges to the flat metric $g_{x, 0}$ on $T_{x} M$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\left(\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\beta}\right) & =\delta_{\alpha \beta}+o(1), \quad \alpha, \beta=1,2, \cdots, n  \tag{3.3.8}\\
\nabla_{\partial_{\alpha}}^{k} \partial_{\beta} & =o(1), \alpha, \beta=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{3.3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla^{k}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $g_{x, \lambda_{k}}$, and $o(1)$ always denotes a quantity which converges to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (3.3.8) and (3.3.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}= & 2 \sum_{\beta, \gamma, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}=1}^{n}\left(\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} D_{\partial_{\alpha}} F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\beta}, \partial_{\gamma}\right), F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\beta^{\prime}}, \partial_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}}+o(1)\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ is the inverse matrix of $\left\{g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\left(\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\beta}\right)\right\}$. By the second Bianchi identity $D F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}=0$, we deduce from the above

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} \right\rvert\, F_{\left.A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}\right|^{2}=} 4 \sum_{\beta, \gamma, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}=1}^{n}\left(D_{\partial_{\beta}} F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\gamma}\right), F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\beta^{\prime}}, \partial_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right)  \tag{3.3.10}\\
& \cdot g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}}+o(1)\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & 4 \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\gamma}\right), F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\beta^{\prime}}, \partial_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right) g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}} \\
& -4 \sum_{\beta, \gamma=1}^{2}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\gamma}\right), D_{\partial_{\beta}} F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\beta^{\prime}}, \partial_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}}+o(1)\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}$ is a Yang-Mills connection with respect to $g_{x, \lambda_{k}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} D_{\partial_{\beta}} F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\beta^{\prime}}, \partial_{\gamma}\right)=0 . \tag{3.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (3.3.10), we deduce for $\alpha \leq n-4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial m_{i, k}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\partial z_{\alpha}}= & 4 \sum_{\beta, \gamma=1}^{n} \int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)} \partial_{\beta}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\gamma}\right), F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\left(\partial_{\beta^{\prime}}, \partial_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}} \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)+o(1) \int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
= & \left.-4 \sum_{\beta=n-3}^{n} \int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)}\left(\partial_{\alpha}\right\rfloor F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}, \partial_{\beta^{\prime}} \mid F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right) g_{x, \lambda_{k}}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} \partial_{\beta} \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+4 \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-4} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\left(\int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)}\left(\partial_{\alpha}\right\rfloor F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}, \partial_{\beta}\right\rfloor F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right) \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& +o(1) \int_{B_{2}^{2}(0)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{k}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate these derivatives, we need the following:
Lemma 3.3.2. Let $\left\{A_{i, x, \lambda, k}\right\}$, x etc. be defined as above. Then for any $\alpha \leq n-4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{4}\left(0, g_{\left.x, \lambda_{k}\right)}\right.} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}=0 . \tag{3.3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By our assumption, $\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{k}$ converges to $\mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ weakly as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu_{x, \lambda_{k}} \rightarrow \eta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\eta$ is of the form $\Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\lfloor V$. Therefore, for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{4}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right) \backslash T_{\delta}(V)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}=0, \tag{3.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\delta}(V)$ denotes the $\delta$-tubular neighborhood of the subspace $V$.

Let $x_{1}^{k}, \cdots, x_{n-4}^{k} \in B_{\lambda_{k}}(x) \cap S$ be chosen as in (3.2.25) and (3.2.26). We let $V^{k}$ be the subspace in $T_{x} M$ spanned by $\xi_{1}^{k}=\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(x_{1}^{k}\right), \ldots, \xi_{n-4}^{k}=$ $\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(x_{n-4}^{k}\right)$. Then the $V^{k}$ converge to $V$, and these $V^{k}$ are spanned by $\xi_{j}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{j}^{k}$. Moreover, we may assume that $d_{g_{x, 0}}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right) \geq s$ for $i \neq j$ and $d_{g_{x, 0}}\left(\xi_{i}, 0\right) \geq s$, where $s$ is as given in Lemma 3.2.3. We have shown in the proof of Lemma 3.2.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
6^{4-n} \mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}\left(B_{6}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)\right) \geq \Theta(\mu, x)-\varepsilon\left(\lambda_{k}\right) . \tag{3.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function with $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(r)=0$. Choose $i(k)$ such that for any $i \geq i(k)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
6^{4-n} \int_{B_{6}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{k} \geq \Theta(\mu, x)-2 \varepsilon\left(\lambda_{k}\right) \tag{3.3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}=\eta$ and $\Theta\left(\eta, \xi_{j}\right)=\Theta(\mu, x)$ (cf. (3.2.28)), by increasing $\varepsilon(r)$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|6^{4-n} \mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}\left(B_{6}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)\right)-\Theta(\mu, x)\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(\lambda_{k}\right) . \tag{3.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $i(k)$ big enough, we may further have that for $i \geq i(k)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
6^{4-n} \int_{B_{6}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{k} \leq \Theta(\mu, x)+2 \varepsilon\left(\lambda_{k}\right) \tag{3.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we deduce from this and the monotonicity (Theorem 2.1.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{B_{6}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right) \backslash B_{s}\left(\xi_{j}^{k}, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)}\left(\rho_{j}^{k}\right)^{4-n} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{j}^{k}}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{k} \leq 2 \varepsilon\left(\lambda_{k}\right), \tag{3.3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{0}=0, \rho_{j}^{k}$ is the distance from $\xi_{j}^{k}$ of $g_{x, \lambda_{k}}(j=0,1, \cdots, n-4)$.
Then the lemma follows from (3.3.18) and (3.3.13) and the fact that the $\xi_{j}$ span the subspace $V$.

Notice that the integral

$$
\int_{B_{4}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}
$$

is uniformly bounded. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} m_{i, k}=f_{i, k}+\operatorname{div}\left(u_{i, k}\right) \tag{3.3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i, k}: V \cap B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right) \rightarrow V$ and $u_{i, k}: V \cap B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right) \rightarrow V \times V$ are functions, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{V \cap B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)}\left(\left|f_{i, k}\right|+\left|u_{i, k}\right|\right) d V_{x, 0}=0 \tag{3.3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows (cf. [AL]) that there are constants $C_{i, k}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|m_{i, k}-C_{i, k}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(V \cap B_{\frac{4}{3}}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)\right)}=0 \tag{3.3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, since

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}=\eta
$$

and

$$
\eta=\Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\lfloor V
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{i, k}=\Theta(\mu, x)>0
$$

For $k$ sufficiently large, the ball $B_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$ is contained in every $B_{\frac{4}{3}}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)$. Then for any $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(V \cap B_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta(\mu, x) \int_{V \cap B_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}  \tag{3.3.22}\\
& \quad=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{V \cap B_{\frac{3}{2}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)}} \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) m_{i, k}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)} \mid F_{\left.A_{i, x, \lambda_{k}}\right|^{2}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}} \\
& \quad=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)} \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) d \mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

However, as a weak limit of Radon measures $\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$, the measure $\mu$ is of the form $\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+\nu$. After scaling, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}=\left|F_{A_{x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}+\nu_{x, \lambda_{k}} \tag{3.3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ is a connection on $T_{x} M \backslash \lambda_{k}^{-1} \exp _{x}^{-1}(S)$ as defined in (3.2.3), and $\nu_{x, \lambda_{k}}$ is a Radon measure on $T_{x} M$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta\left(\mu_{x, \lambda_{k}}, \cdot\right) H^{n-4}\left\lfloor\lambda_{k}^{-1} \exp _{x}^{-1}(S)\right. \tag{3.3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the second equation in (3.2.19) which holds at $x$ by our assumption, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)} \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) \phi^{2}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)\left|F_{A_{x, \lambda_{k}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{k}}=0 \tag{3.3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.3.25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta(\mu, x) \int_{V \cap B_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right) \cap \lambda_{k}^{-1} \exp _{x}^{-1}(S)} \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) \Theta\left(\mu_{x, \lambda_{k}},\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) d H^{n-4}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\Theta(\mu, x) \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right) \cap \lambda_{k}^{-1} \exp _{x}^{-1}(S)} \xi\left(z^{\prime}\right) d H^{n-4}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Theta(\mu, x)>0$, this implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H^{n-4}\left(P_{V}\left(\exp _{x}^{-1}\left(S \cap B_{\lambda_{k}}(x)\right)\right)\right)}{\lambda_{k}^{n-4}} \\
\quad=\overline{\lim }_{k \rightarrow \infty} H^{n-4}\left(P _ { V } \left(\lambda_{k}^{-1} \exp _{x}^{-1}\left(S \cap B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, \lambda_{k}}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
\quad \geq \operatorname{Vol}\left(V \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)\right)>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus (3.3.4) is proved and we obtain a contradiction to (3.3.1). Hence, $H^{n-4}\left(S_{u}\right)=0$ and we have shown the following:

Proposition 3.3.3. Let $\left(S_{b}, \Theta\right)$ be the blow-up locus of a weakly convergent sequence $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$. Then its support $S_{b}$ is $H^{n-4}$-rectifiable. In particular, for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x$ in $S_{b}$, there is a unique tangent subspace $T_{x} S_{b} \subset T_{x} M$.

## 4. Structure of blow-up loci

In this chapter, we study the geometry of blow-up loci.
4.1. Bubbling Yang-Mills connections. We assume that $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ converges to an admissible Yang-Mills connection $A$ with the blow-up locus $(S, \Theta)$ (cf. Lemma 3.1.4). It is shown in Section 3.3 that $S$ is $H^{n-4}$-rectifiable. We will adopt the notation of the last chapter.

If $n=4, S$ consists of finitely many points. K. Uhlenbeck further showed that when $i$ is sufficiently large, $A_{i}$ approaches a connected sum of $A$ with certain Yang-Mills connections on the unit sphere $S^{4}$. These later connections are called bubbling connections.

In this section, we analyze the structure of $A_{i}$ near $S$ when $i$ is sufficiently large. We will construct bubbling connections on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as $A_{i}$ approaches $A$.

Recall that $\mu$ is the weak limit of Radon measures $\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$ and is of the form $\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+\Theta(\mu, \cdot) H^{n-4}\lfloor S$.

Proposition 4.1.1. Let $x \in S$ satisfy:
(1) The tangent plane $V=T_{x} S \subset T_{x} M$ exists uniquely;
(2) (3.2.19) holds for $\mu$ and $A$.

Then there are linear transformations $\sigma_{i}: T_{x} M \mapsto T_{x} M$ such that a subsequence of $\sigma_{i}^{*} \exp _{x}^{*} A_{i}$ converges to a Yang-Mills connection $B$ on $T_{x} M$ such that $F_{B} \neq 0$ and $\left.v\right\rfloor F_{B} \equiv 0$ for any $v \in V$. Such a connection $B$ is called $a$ bubbling connection at $x \in S$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 4.1.1.
Let $A_{i, x, \lambda}$ be the scaled connections on $T_{x} M$ defined in (3.2.4), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i, x, \lambda}=\tau_{\lambda}^{*} \exp _{x}^{*} A_{i} \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{\lambda}(v)=\lambda v$ for any $v$ in $T_{x} M$. Each $A_{i, x, \lambda}$ is a Yang-Mills connection with respect to the scaled metric $g_{x, \lambda}$. As $i$ tends to infinity, $\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda}$ converges to $\mu_{x, \lambda}$ weakly. On the other hand, as $\lambda$ tends to zero, $\mu_{x, \lambda}$ converges to $\Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\left\lfloor V\right.$ weakly. Therefore, there is a sequence $\lambda_{i}$ such that the Radon measure $\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{i}}$ converges to $\Theta(\mu, x) H^{n-4}\lfloor V$ weakly. Moreover, modulo gauge transformations, $A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}$ converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subsets in $T_{x} M \backslash V$. This implies particularly that for $i$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|(v) \leq \frac{\varepsilon(r)}{r^{2}} \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have (cf. Lemma 3.3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-4} \int_{B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right.\right\rfloor F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{x, \lambda_{i}}}\right)=0 \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n-4}\right\}$ is an orthogonal coordinate system of $V$.
As in the last section, we denote by $z=\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ a point in $T_{x} M$ with $z^{\prime} \in V, z^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\perp}$. We will identify $V$ and $V^{\perp}$ with the subspaces $V \times\{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times V^{\perp}$ in $T_{x} M$.

LEMMA 4.1.2. $\quad$ There are points $z_{i}^{\prime}$ in $V \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$ with $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} z_{i}^{\prime}=0$, such that
$\left.\left.\lim _{\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left.\sup _{0<\mathrm{r} \leq \frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{r}^{4-\mathrm{n}} \int_{\mathrm{V} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{x}, 0}\right)} \mathrm{dx}^{\prime} \int_{\mathrm{V}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{x}, 0}\right)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathrm{n}-4} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{z}_{\alpha}}\right\rfloor \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{y}, \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{dV} V_{\mathrm{x}, \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)=0$.

Proof. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that the lemma is false, then we can find $\delta>0$ and $s \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, such that for any $i$ and $z^{\prime} \in V \cap$
$B_{s}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$, there is at least one $r=r\left(i, z^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.r^{4-n} \int_{V \cap B_{r}\left(z^{\prime}, g_{x, 0}\right)} d x^{\prime} \int_{V^{\perp} \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-4} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right\rfloor\left. F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d x^{\prime \prime} \geq \delta . \tag{4.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.1.3), $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} r\left(i, z^{\prime}\right)=0$ for any $z^{\prime}$. For each $i$, we cover $V \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$ by finitely many disjoint balls $V \cap B_{r\left(i, z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}\right)}\left(z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}, g_{x, 0}\right)\left(\alpha=1,2, \cdots, m_{i}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \cap B_{s}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{m_{i}} V \cap B_{2 r\left(i, z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}\right)}\left(z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}, g_{x, 0}\right) \tag{4.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{n-4} & \leq \delta 2^{4-n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m_{i}}\left(2 r\left(i, z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{n-4}=\delta \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m_{i}} r\left(i, z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}\right)^{n-4} \\
& \left.\left.\leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m_{i}} \int_{V \cap B_{r\left(i, z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}\right)}\left(z_{i \alpha}^{\prime}, g_{x, 0}\right)} d x^{\prime} \int_{V^{\perp} \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-4} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\right\rfloor\left. F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d x^{\prime \prime} \\
& \left.\leq\left.\int_{B_{2}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)}\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{n-4} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\right.\right\rfloor F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2}\right) d V_{x, \lambda_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is impossible when $i$ is sufficiently large because of (4.1.3).
Observe that for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\perp} \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \delta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\delta}\left(z_{i}^{\prime}+z^{\prime \prime}, g_{x, 0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{i}} \geq \varepsilon \tag{4.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is as in Theorem 2.2.1. Otherwise, $A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}$ would converge to a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $\left(V \cap B_{\delta}\left(z_{i}^{\prime}, g_{x, 0}\right)\right) \times\left(V^{\perp} \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)\right)$, contradicting our assumption on $A_{i, x, \lambda}$.

Because of (4.1.7), we can find $\delta_{i} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $z_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\perp} \cap B_{\frac{1}{4}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{i}^{4-n} \int_{B_{\delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}^{\prime}+z_{i}^{\prime \prime}, g_{x, 0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{i}}  \tag{4.1.8}\\
& \quad=\max _{z^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\perp} \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \delta_{i}^{4-n} \int_{B_{\delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}^{\prime}+z^{\prime \prime}, g_{x, 0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{i}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

One may even take $z_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} z_{i}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Now we define new connections

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}(y)=A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}\left(z_{i}^{\prime}+z_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\delta_{i} y\right) . \tag{4.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $B_{i}$ is a Yang-Mills connection with respect to the scaled metric $g_{i}^{\prime}=$ $\delta_{i}^{-2} g_{x, \lambda_{i}}$ on $B_{4 R_{i}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$, where $R_{i}=\left(4 \delta_{i}\right)^{-1}$. Note that the based manifolds $\left(T_{x} M, g_{i}^{\prime}, z_{i}^{\prime}+z_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ converge to $\left(T_{x} M, g_{x, 0}, 0\right)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Using (4.1.4) and (4.1.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-4} \int_{B_{R_{i}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right.\right\rfloor F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{i}^{\prime}}\right)=0 \tag{4.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}\left(0, g_{x}, 0\right)}\left|F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{i}^{\prime}}=\max _{y \in V^{\perp} \cap B_{R_{i}-1}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \int_{B_{1}\left((0, y), g_{x, 0}\right)}\left|F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{4} . \tag{4.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the monotonicity formula that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{i}\left\{\int_{B_{R}\left(0, g_{x}, 0\right)}\left|F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{i}^{\prime}}\right\} \leq C(\Lambda) R^{n-4} \tag{4.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<R<R_{i}$, where $C(\Lambda)$ denotes a constant depending only on $\Lambda$.
By (4.1.12), Proposition 3.1.2 and by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $B_{i}$ converges to an admissible Yang-Mills connection $B$. It follows from (4.1.11) that $B$ is a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $\left(V \cap B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)\right) \times V^{\perp} \subset T_{x} M$ with respect to $g_{x, 0}$.

Moreover, (4.1.10) implies that for any $v \in V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\rfloor F_{B}=0, \tag{4.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $B$ is well-defined.
On the band $\left(\left(V \cap B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)\right)\right) \times V^{\perp}$, we write

$$
B=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} B^{\alpha} d y_{\alpha},
$$

where $B^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Lie}(G)$ and $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ are euclidean coordinates such that $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-4}$ are tangent to $V$ along $V$. Let us eliminate $B^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \leq n-4$ inductively. First, by a gauge transformation, we may assume that $B^{1}=0$; then (4.1.13) implies that all $B^{\alpha}$ are independent of $y_{1}$. Again taking a gauge transformation, we can get rid of $B^{2}$, and so on. Eventually, by finitely many gauge transformations, we arrive at a connection, still denoted by $B$, which is a pull-back of some connection on $V^{\perp}$. This implies that $B$ extends to a smooth connection on $T_{x} M$. Proposition 4.1.1 is proved.
4.2. Blow-up loci of anti-self-dual instantons. Now we assume that $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons which converge to an admissible $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton $A$, where $\Omega$ is a form on $M$ of degree $n-4$. The closedness of $\Omega$ is not needed in this section. Let $S \subset M$ be the blow-up locus of $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$. Here, we will show that $\Omega$ restricts to the induced volume form
on $S$. If $\Omega$ is a calibrating form as in [HL], then $S$ is calibrated by $\Omega$ and is particularly minimal.

First we observe that there is more information on the bubbling connection constructed in Proposition 4.1.1 in case of anti-self-dual instantons.

Proposition 4.2.1. Let $M, g, \Omega,\left\{A_{i}\right\}, A$ and $S$ be as above. Suppose that $x \in S$ satisfies:
(1) The tangent cone $T_{x} S \subset T_{x} M$ exists uniquely;
(2) (3.2.19) holds for $\mu$ and $A$, where $\mu$ is the weak limit of Radon measures $\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$.

Then there is an $\Omega_{x^{-}}$anti-self-dual instanton $B$ on $T_{x} M$, where $\Omega_{x}=$ $\left.\Omega\right|_{T_{x} M}$, such that $F_{B} \neq 0, \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{B}\right)=0$ and $\left.v\right\rfloor F_{B}=0$ for any $v \in T_{x} S$.

Proof. The proof is basically the same as the proof of Proposition 4.1.1.
First, we observe that $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{B_{i}}\right)$ converges to zero uniformly as $i$ tends to infinity, where $B_{i}$ are the scaled connections defined in (4.1.9). This is because $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}}\right)$ are harmonic 2-forms with uniformly bounded $L^{2}$-norm.

Secondly, we observe that $B_{i}$ are $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}$-anti-self-dual with respect to the metric $g_{i}^{\prime}$ and the closed form $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}$ of degree $n-4$ on $B_{4 R_{i}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)$ defined by

$$
\Omega_{i}^{\prime}=\tau_{\left(z_{i}^{\prime}, z_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{\delta_{i} *} \exp _{x}^{*} \Omega
$$

where $\tau_{\left(z_{i}^{\prime}, z_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{\delta_{i}}: T_{x} M \mapsto T_{x} M, y \mapsto\left(z_{i}^{\prime}, z_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\delta_{i} y$.
Since $\left(z_{i}^{\prime}, z_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ goes to 0 as $i$ tends to $\infty, \Omega_{i}^{\prime}$ converges to $\Omega_{x}$. Therefore, the limit connection $B$ is $\Omega_{x}$-anti-self-dual with respect to $g_{x, 0}$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{B}\right)=0$.

The rest of the proof follows the same arguments as those in the proof of Proposition 4.1.1.

Corollary 4.2.2. Let $x \in S$ be as in the last proposition; then $\Omega_{x}$ restricts to a volume form on $T_{x} S \subset T_{x} M$ which is induced by the flat metric $g_{x, 0}$.

Proof. We identify $T_{x} M$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $n$ is the dimension of $M$, such that $g_{x, 0}$ is the standard euclidean metric $g_{0}$. Let $*$ be the Hodge operator of $g_{0}$. Then the connection $B$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{B}=-*\left(\Omega_{x} \wedge F_{B}\right) \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a degree $n-4$, constant form $\Phi_{S, x}$ on $T_{x} M$ as follows: let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be any euclidean coordinates of $T_{x} M$ such that $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-4}$ are tangent to $T_{x} S$; then

$$
\Phi_{S, x}=d x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{n-4}
$$

Now we decompose $\Omega_{x}=\alpha \Phi_{S, x}+\Omega_{0}$, where $\alpha$ is a constant and $\left.\Omega_{0}\right|_{T_{x} S}=0$.

Since $v\rfloor F_{B}=0$ for any $v \in T_{x} S$, by taking a gauge transformation if necessary, we may assume that $B=\pi_{L}^{*} B_{L}$ for some nontrivial connection $B_{L}$ on $L$, where $L$ is the orthogonal complement of $T_{x} S$ and $\pi_{L}$ is the orthogonal projection from $T_{x} M$ onto $L$. Then (4.2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{B_{L}} & =-\alpha *_{L} F_{B_{L}}  \tag{4.2.2}\\
0 & =*\left(\Omega_{0} \wedge F_{B}\right) \tag{4.2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $*_{L}$ is the Hodge operator of $L$.
Since $F_{B_{L}} \neq 0$, we deduce from (4.2.2) that $\alpha= \pm 1$. The corollary is proved.

THEOREM 4.2.3. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold, $\Omega$ be a closed form of degree $n-4$ and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons. Then by taking a subsequence if necessary, $A_{i}$ converges to an admissible $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton $A$ with the blow-up locus $(S, \Theta)$, such that (1) $S$ is rectifiable and $\left.\Omega\right|_{S}$ is one of its volume forms induced by $g$. In particular, $S$ carries a natural orientation; (2) $\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \Theta$ is integer-valued; (3) $C_{2}(S, \Theta)$ is closed in $M$, where $C_{2}(S, \Theta)$ is an integral current defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(S, \Theta)(\varphi)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S}\left(\varphi,\left.\Omega\right|_{S}\right) \Theta d\left(H^{n-4}\lfloor S)\right. \tag{4.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is any smooth form with compact support in M. Moreover, as currents, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)=C_{2}(A)+C_{2}(S, \Theta) \tag{4.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}(A)$ is as defined in Corollary 2.3.2.
Remark 4. Applying (4.2.4) to the smooth form $4 \pi^{2} \Omega$, we obtain the conservation of the action:

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M}\left|F_{i}\right|^{2} d V_{g}=\int_{M}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+\int_{S} \Theta d\left(H^{n-4}\lfloor S)\right.
$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.2.3. We will adopt the notations in the proof of Proposition 4.1.1 and Corollary 4.2.2.

It is clear that (1) follows from Proposition 3.3.3, Proposition 4.2.1, Corollary 4.2.2 and results of the last chapter, so it suffices to prove (2) and (3).

First we show that the density $\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is integer-valued. Let $x$ be any point in $S$ such that (3.2.14) holds and there is a unique tangent space $T_{x} S$. Then (4.1.3) holds. Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(\mu, x)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{i}} \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}$ converges to zero uniformly on any compact subset away from $V=T_{x} S$, for any $z^{\prime} \in V \cap B_{1}\left(x, g_{x, 0}\right)$, $\left.A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}\right|_{\left\{z^{\prime}\right\} \times V^{\perp} \cap B \sqrt{1-\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)}$ converges to zero uniformly away from $\left(z^{\prime}, 0\right)$. Then by the standard transgression arguments, we can deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{\left\{z^{\prime}\right\} \times V^{\perp} \cap B}{\sqrt{1-\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}} \wedge F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the limit on the right of (4.2.7) is a topological number and does not depend on $z^{\prime}$.

For simplicity, we will denote by $F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}^{V}$ the curvature of the restricted connection $\left.A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}\right|_{z^{\prime} \times V^{\perp}}$. Since $A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}$ is $\tau_{\lambda_{i}}^{*} \exp ^{*} \Omega$-anti-self-dual with respect to $g_{x, \lambda_{i}}$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{x, \lambda_{i}}=g_{x, 0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{i}}=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}} \wedge F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right) \wedge \tau_{\lambda_{i}}^{*} \exp ^{*} \Omega  \tag{4.2.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}}\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}^{V}}^{V} \wedge F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}^{V}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad+\left(O(1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-4} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right.\right\rfloor F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}|+o(1)| F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}} \right\rvert\,\right)\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|\right) d V_{x, \lambda_{i}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $o(1)$ denotes a quantity which converges to zero as $i$ tends to infinity. Together with (4.2.7) and (4.1.3), this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \Theta(\mu, x)\right]= & \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right|^{2} d V_{x, \lambda_{i}} \\
= & \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{V \cap B_{1}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} d\left(H^{n-4}\lfloor V)\right. \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{\left\{z^{\prime}\right\} \times V^{\perp} \cap B \sqrt{1-|z|^{2}}\left(0, g_{x, 0}\right)} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}} \wedge F_{A_{i, x, \lambda_{i}}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by $(4.2 .6), \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \Theta(\mu, \cdot)$ is integer-valued.
Next we show that $C_{2}(S, \Theta)$ is closed, i.e., for any smooth form $\psi$ of degree $n-5$ and with compact support in $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial C_{2}(S, \Theta)(\psi)=C_{2}(S, \Theta)(d \psi)=0 \tag{4.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will follow from (4.2.4) and Corollary 2.3.2, since

$$
\int_{M} d \psi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}} \wedge F_{A_{i}}\right)=0 \quad \text { for any } i
$$

We also have

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}}\right) \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}}\right)=\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right) \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)
$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that by taking a subsequence if necessary, for any smooth $\varphi$ of degree $n-4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M} \varphi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}} \wedge F_{A_{i}}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \varphi \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)+C_{2}(S, \Theta)(\varphi) \tag{4.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define currents $T_{i}$ by

$$
T_{i}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \varphi \wedge\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}} \wedge F_{A_{i}}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)\right)
$$

then by Proposition 2.3.1, $\partial T_{i}=0$. Moreover, the total mass of $T_{i}$ is uniformly bounded; i.e., for any $\varphi$ with $\|\varphi\|_{C^{0}} \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{i}(\varphi)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2}-\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}\right) d V_{g} \leq \Lambda . \tag{4.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies, after taking a subsequence if necessary, that $T_{i}$ converges weakly to a closed current $T$. Clearly, the mass of $T$ is also bounded by $\Lambda$ and we have $\partial T=0$. Hence, by Theorem 3.2.1 in [Si2], $T$ is rectifiable; more precisely, there is a rectifiable set $S^{\prime}$ with orientation vector $\eta: S^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-4} T^{*} S^{\prime}$ and a density function $\Theta^{\prime}(x)$, such that

$$
T(\varphi)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{\prime}}(\varphi, \eta) \Theta^{\prime} d\left(H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S^{\prime}\right) .\right.
$$

Take $\varphi$ to be $f \Omega$, where $f$ is a smooth function with compact support; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f \Omega)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{\prime}} f(\Omega, \eta) \Theta^{\prime}(x) d\left(H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S^{\prime}\right)\right. \tag{4.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}}\right)$ converges to $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ uniformly on $M$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(f \Omega) & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{i}(f \Omega)  \tag{4.2.13}\\
& =\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M} f \Omega \wedge\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A_{i}} \wedge F_{A_{i}}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M} f\left(\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2}-\left|F_{A}\right|^{2}\right) d V_{g} \\
& =\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S} f(x) \Theta(\mu, x) d\left(H^{n-4}\lfloor S)\right. \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing this with (4.2.12), we conclude that $S^{\prime}=S$ and $\Theta(\mu, \cdot)=(\Omega, \eta) \Theta^{\prime}$. Finally, since $\Omega_{S}$ is one of the volume forms of $S$, we obtain that $(\Omega, \eta)=1$ and consequently, $T=C_{2}(S, \Theta)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.2.3.

Remark 5. We need the compactness of $M$ and the closedness of $\Omega$ only to derive an a priori bound on $Y M\left(A_{i}\right)$ in the above proof.
4.3. Calibrated geometry and blow-up loci. Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\Omega$ be a closed form of degree $n-4$. We further assume that for any $x \in M$ and subspace $F$ of $T_{x} M$ of codimension $4,\left.\Omega\right|_{F} \leq d V_{F}$, where $d V_{F}$ denotes the induced volume form on $F$ by $g$. Following [HL], we say that $\left(F, d V_{F}\right)$ is calibrated by $\Omega$ if $\left.\Omega\right|_{F}=d V_{F}$. Moreover, if $\Phi=(S, \xi, \Theta)$ is an integral current with orientation $\xi$ and density $\Theta$, where $S$ is the support of $\Phi$ and rectifiable, then we say that $\Phi$ is $\Omega$-calibrated if $\left(T_{x} S, \xi(x)\right)$ is calibrated by $\Omega$ for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S$.

The following lemma is trivial.
Lemma 4.3.1. Any integral current calibrated by $\Omega$ is minimizing in its homology class. In particular, its generalized mean curvature vanishes.

Proof. Let $\Phi=(S, \xi, \Theta)$ be an integral current calibrated by $\Omega$, and $\Psi=$ ( $S^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}$ ) be another integral current homologous to $\Phi$; i.e., there is a current $R$ of degree $n-5$ such that for any smooth form $\varphi$ on $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}(\varphi, \xi) \Theta d H^{n-4}-\int_{S^{\prime}}\left(\varphi, \xi^{\prime}\right) \Theta^{\prime} d H^{n-4}=R(d \varphi) \tag{4.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our assumption, $\left(\Omega, \xi^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$ and $(\Omega, \xi)=1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} \Theta d H^{n-4} \leq \int_{S^{\prime}} \Theta^{\prime} d H^{n-4}+R(d \Omega)=\int_{S^{\prime}} \Theta^{\prime} d H^{n-4} \tag{4.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that $\Phi$ is minimizing.
Clearly, such a $\Phi$ is determined by $S$ with multiplicity $\Theta$. We will also call $(S, \Theta)$ an $\Omega$-calibrated cycle. It is known from the geometry measure theory that for such a cycle, $S$ is regular in an open and dense subset. In fact, it follows from $[\mathrm{Am}]$ that $S$ can be decomposed as $\bigcup_{a} S_{a}$, such that each $S_{a}$ is closed and smooth outside a closed subset of Hausdorff codimension at least two and $\Theta$ restricts to a positive integer on each $S_{a}$.

Theorem 4.3.2. Let $(M, g), \Omega$ be as above, and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons. Further assume that either $M$ is compact or the $Y M\left(A_{i}\right)$ are uniformly bounded. Then by taking a subsequence if necessary, $A_{i}$ converges to an admissible $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton $A$ with the blow-up locus $(S, \Theta)$, such that $(S, \Theta)$ is is an $\Omega$-calibrated cycle, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)=C_{2}(A)+C_{2}(S, \Theta) \tag{4.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from Theorem 4.2.3 and the discussions above.
In the case of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections, we have

THEOREM 4.3.3. Let $(M, g)$ be a complex m-dimensional compact Kähler manifold with the Kähler form $\omega$, and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of Hermitian- YangMills connections on a given unitary bundle $E$. Then by taking a subsequence if necessary, $A_{i}$ converges weakly to an admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection $A$ with the blow-up locus $(S, \Theta)$, such that $S=\bigcup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ and $\left.\Theta\right|_{S_{\alpha}}=8 \pi^{2} m_{\alpha}$, where each $S_{\alpha}$ is a holomorphic subvariety in $M$ and $m_{\alpha}$ is a positive integer. Moreover, for any smooth $\varphi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M} \varphi \wedge C_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)=\int_{M} \varphi \wedge C_{2}(A)+\sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha} \int_{S_{\alpha}} \varphi \tag{4.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.3.2, we may assume that $A_{i}$ converges to an admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection $A$ with an $\frac{\omega^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}$-calibrated cycle $(S, \Theta)$ as its blow-up locus. It suffices to show that $(S, \Theta)$ is a holomorphic cycle.

A straightforward computation shows that for any $x \in M$ and subspace $F \subset T_{x} M$ of codimension $4,\left.\frac{\omega^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}\right|_{F} \leq d V_{F}$ and the equality holds if and only if $F$ is a complex subspace in $T_{x} M$. Therefore, $T_{x} S$ is a complex subspace in $T_{x} M$ for $H^{2 m-4}$-a.e. $x \in S$. Since $C_{2}(S, \Theta)$ is a closed integral current, it follows from a result of J. King [Ki] or Harvey and Shiffman [HS] that there are holomorphic subvarieties $S_{\alpha}$ and positive integers $m_{\alpha}$ such that

$$
C_{2}(S, \Theta)(\varphi)=\sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha} \int_{S_{\alpha}} \varphi
$$

for any $\varphi$. The theorem is proved.
Remark 6. Let $A$ be the Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection in the above theorem. It follows from a result of Bando and Siu [BS] that there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ on $M \backslash S$ such that $\sigma(A)$ extends to a smooth Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection outside a holomorphic subvariety in $M$ of codimension at least three. In fact, the $(0,1)$-part of $A$ induces a holomorphic structure on the underlying complex vector bundle. Then the induced holomorphic bundle on $M \backslash S$ extends to a coherent sheaf which is locally free outside a subvariety of codimension at least three.
4.4. Cayley cycles and complex anti-self-dual instantons. In this section, we assume that $(M, g)$ is a Calabi-Yau 4-fold with the Kähler form $\omega$ and a holomorphic $(4,0)$-form $\theta$. We normalize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \wedge \bar{\theta}=\frac{\omega^{4}}{4!} \tag{4.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Section 1.3, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=2(\theta+\bar{\theta})+\frac{\omega^{2}}{2} \tag{4.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.4.1. For any 4-dimensional subspace $L \subset T M,\left.\Omega\right|_{L} \leq d V_{L}$.
Proof. This should be well-known. For the reader's convenience, we include an elementary proof here. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M=\mathbb{C}^{4}$ and $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{4}$. In any euclidean coordinates $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{4}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$,

$$
\omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}, \quad \theta=\frac{1}{4} d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} \wedge d z_{3} \wedge d z_{4}
$$

Let $J$ be the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} J L \cap L=0$ or 2 or 4 . Since $\pi_{L} \cdot\left(\left.J\right|_{L}\right)$ is skewsymmetric, where $\pi_{L}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $L$, one can choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ of $L$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
J u_{1} & =u_{1}^{\perp}+\lambda u_{2}, \quad J u_{2}=u_{2}^{\perp}-\lambda u_{1}  \tag{4.4.3}\\
J u_{3} & =u_{3}^{\perp}+\lambda^{\prime} u_{4}, \quad J u_{4}=u_{4}^{\perp}-\lambda^{\prime} u_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{1}^{\perp}, u_{2}^{\perp}, u_{3}^{\perp}, u_{4}^{\perp}$ are in the orthogonal complement $L^{\perp}$.
First we assume that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} J L \cap L=0$, i.e., $L$ is totally real. Then $|\lambda|<1$, $\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|<1$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1} & =u_{1}, v_{2}=\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}} u_{2}-\frac{\lambda u_{1}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}}}  \tag{4.4.4}\\
v_{3} & =u_{3}, v_{4}=\sqrt{1-\lambda^{\prime 2}} u_{4}-\frac{\lambda u_{3}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{\prime 2}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\left\{v_{i}, J_{0} v_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J v_{2}=\frac{u_{2}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}}} \in L^{\perp}, J v_{4}=\frac{u_{4}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{\prime 2}}} \in L^{\perp} \tag{4.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{i}^{*},\left(J v_{i}\right)^{*}\right\}$ be its the dual basis. Put $\varphi_{i}^{*}=v_{i}^{*}-\sqrt{-1}\left(J v_{i}\right)^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega & =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{4} \varphi_{i}^{*} \wedge \overline{\varphi_{i}^{*}}  \tag{4.4.6}\\
\theta & =\frac{1}{4} e^{\sqrt{-1} \gamma} \varphi_{1}^{*} \wedge \varphi_{2}^{*} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{*} \wedge \varphi_{4}^{*}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.4.5), (4.4.6) and (4.4.7), one shows

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\theta\right|_{L} & =\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1} \gamma}}{4} \sqrt{\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(1-\lambda^{\prime 2}\right)} d V_{L}  \tag{4.4.8}\\
\left.\omega^{2}\right|_{L} & =2 \lambda \lambda^{\prime} d V_{L} \tag{4.4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left.\Omega\right|_{L}=\left(\cos \gamma \sqrt{\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(1-\lambda^{\prime 2}\right)}+\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right) d V_{L}
$$

and so $\left.\Omega\right|_{L} \leq d V_{L}$.
Two other cases can be easily reduced to this case by perturbing $L$ slightly.

Following [HL], we see that $(S, \Theta)$ is a Cayley cycle if it is calibrated by the $\Omega$. In this case, for $H^{4}$-a.e. $x \in S$, the tangent space $T_{x} S$ is a Cayley plane in $T_{x} M$.

The following observation is of considerable interest, though simple.
Proposition 4.4.2. Let $(S, \Theta)$ be a Cayley cycle. Then $C_{2}(S, \Theta) \cdot[\theta]$ is a nonnegative real number. Moreover, $C_{2}(S, \Theta) \cdot[\theta]=0$ if and only if $(S, \Theta)$ is a holomorphic cycle.

Proof. We adopt the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.4.1. If $T_{x} S$ exists and is a Cayley subspace, then $e^{\sqrt{-1} \gamma}=1$ and $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$; this implies

$$
\left(\left.\theta\right|_{L}, \xi_{S}\right)=\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

The first statement is proved. If $C_{2}(S, \Theta) \cdot[\theta]=0$, then $\lambda^{2}=1$; i.e., $T_{x} S$ is a complex subspace. Then the proposition follows from the main result in [HS] or [Ki].

Remark 7. Since $S$ is of codimension greater than 3 , we may simply define $C_{1}(S, \Theta)=0$. Then the above result can be rephrased as

$$
\left(2 C_{2}(S, \Theta)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(S, \Theta)^{2}\right) \cdot[\theta] \geq 0
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $(S, \Theta)$ is a holomorphic cycle. This is analogous to (1.3.8).

Remark 8. Similarly, one can easily show that for any Cayley cycle $(S, \Theta)$, $C_{2}(S, \Theta) \cdot\left[\omega^{2}\right] \geq 0$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $S$ is special Langrangian.

The next result follows from Theorem 4.2.3 and the above discussions.
Theorem 4.4.3. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Calabi-Yau 4-fold with Kähler form $\omega$ and a holomorphic (4,0)-form $\theta$. Let $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of complex anti-self-dual instantons. Then by taking a subsequence if necessary, the $A_{i}$ converge to an admissible complex anti-self-dual instanton $A$ with the blow-up locus $(S, \Theta)$, such that $(S, \Theta)$ is a Cayley cycle and

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)=C_{2}(A)+C_{2}(S, \Theta) .
$$

Remark 9. The above theorem also holds for general Spin(7)-manifolds, which contain Calabi-Yau 4 -folds as special examples of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-manifolds.

Next we assume that $M$ is an 8 -dimensional compact manifold which admits Calabi-Yau structures. A Calabi-Yau structure on $M$ is given by a complex structure $J$, a Kähler metric $g$ compatible with $J$ and a holomorphic $(4,0)$-form $\theta$ satisfying (4.4.1). We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the moduli space of all CalabiYau structures modulo obvious equivalence relations.

Let $E$ be a fixed $U(r)$-bundle over $M$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad\left\{(J, g, \theta) \in \mathcal{M} \left\lvert\,\left(2 C_{2}(E)-\frac{r-1}{r} C_{1}(E)^{2}\right) \cdot \varphi \geq 0\right., \text { for } \varphi=[\theta] \text { or }\left[\omega_{g}^{2}\right]\right\}, \tag{4.4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{g}$ denotes the Kähler form of $g, C_{1}(E)$ and $C_{2}(E)$ denote the first and second Chern character of $E$. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{M}(E)$ is a connected, analytic variety.

For a fixed Calabi-Yau structure $(J, g, \theta)$, we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{J, g, \theta}(E)$ the moduli space of all complex anti-self-dual connections of $E$ on the Calabi-Yau 4-fold ( $M, J, g, \theta$ ) modulo gauge transformations. By Theorem 4.4.3, modulo gauge transformations, its compactification $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{J, g, \theta}(E)$ consists of all triples $(A, S, \Theta)$ satisfying: (1) $A$ is an admissible complex anti-self-dual instanton on $M$; (2) $(S, \Theta)$ is a Cayley cycle; (3) $C_{i}(E)=\left[C_{i}(A)\right]+\left[C_{i}(S, \Theta)\right]$ in $H^{2 *}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for $i=1,2$. The topology of $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{J, g, \theta}(E)$ is the one determined by the convergence property given at the beginning of Section 3.1.

We define

$$
\overline{\mathcal{Y}}(E)=\bigcup_{(J, g, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{J, g, \theta}(E) .
$$

By Proposition 4.4.2, there is an obvious map $f_{c}: \overline{\mathcal{Y}}(E) \mapsto \mathcal{M}(E)$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{c}(E)$ its image. Then the next result follows from the same arguments as those in the proof of Theorem 4.4.3.

Theorem 4.4.4. The set $\mathcal{M}_{c}(E)$ is closed in $\mathcal{M}(E)$.
It is easy to show that $\mathcal{M}(E)$ is an analytic variety. We conjecture that $\mathcal{M}_{c}(E)$ is an analytic subvariety in $\mathcal{M}(E)$.

Remark 10. All the discussions above work as well for general anti-selfdual instantons. We single out the complex anti-self-dual case because of its plausible connection to the Hodge conjecture on holomorphic cycles on CalabiYau 4-folds.
4.5. General blow-up loci. Let $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of smooth Yang-Mills connections which converge weakly to an admissible Yang-Mills connection $A$ with blow-up locus $(S, \Theta)$.

Theorem 4.5.1. For any vector field $X$ with compact support in $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{S} \operatorname{div}_{S} X \Theta d H^{n-4}=\int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X-4\left(F_{A}(\nabla X, \cdot), F_{A}\right)\right) d V_{g} \tag{4.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(F_{A}(\nabla X, \cdot), F_{A}\right)$ is defined in any local orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $M$ as

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(F_{A}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{div}_{S} X$ denotes the divergence of $X$ along $S$. That is, if $T_{p} S$ exists and $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $T_{p} S, \operatorname{div}_{S} X(p)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-4}\left(\nabla_{v_{i}} X, v_{i}\right)(p)$.

Proof. As above, $c$ always denotes a uniform constant. Since $S$ is rectifiable, we can find a countable set of submanifolds $\left\{N_{\alpha}\right\}$ such that $S=S_{0} \bigcup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$, where $S_{\alpha}=N_{\alpha} \cup S$ and $H^{n-4}\left(S_{0}\right)=0$ (cf. [Si2]). Moreover, we may assume that $T_{x} S=T_{x} N_{\alpha}$ for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S_{\alpha}$.

Fixing any $\delta>0$, we can arrange $N_{\alpha}$ such that for some $\alpha_{\delta}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\alpha} \cap S_{\alpha^{\prime}} & =\emptyset, \text { for } \alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \leq \alpha_{\delta} ;  \tag{4.5.2}\\
H^{n-4}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha>\alpha_{\delta}} S_{\alpha}\right) & \leq \delta .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that by taking a subsequence if necessary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha>\alpha_{\delta}} S_{\alpha}\right)}\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq 2 \delta \tag{4.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta$ can be arbitrarily small, it suffices to prove that for each $\alpha \leq \alpha_{\delta}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(S_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X-4 \sum_{k, l}\left(F_{A_{i}}\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} X, e_{l}\right), F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g}  \tag{4.5.4}\\
& \quad=\int_{S_{\alpha}} \operatorname{div}_{S} X \Theta d H^{n-4}
\end{align*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n-4}$ are tangent to $N_{\alpha}$, while $e_{n-3}, \cdots, e_{n}$ are normal to $N_{\alpha}$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.3.2 that (4.5.4) is the same as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(S_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div}^{\perp} X-4 \sum_{k, l=n-3}^{n}\left(F_{A_{i}}\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} X, e_{l}\right), F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g} \tag{4.5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=0
$$

where $\operatorname{div}^{\perp} X=\sum_{k=n-3}^{n} g\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} X, e_{k}\right)$ is the divergence of $X$ in normal directions of $N_{\alpha}$.

Write $\nabla_{e_{k}} X=X_{i, k} e_{i}$, then $\operatorname{div}^{\perp} X=\sum_{l=n-3}^{n} X_{l, l}$ and (4.5.5) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(S_{\alpha}\right)} \sum_{k, l=n-3}^{n} X_{k, l}  \tag{4.5.6}\\
& \cdot\left(\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} \delta_{k l}-4 \sum_{j=n-3}^{n}\left(F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right), F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{l}, e_{j}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By taking subsequences if necessary, we may assume that there are measures $\mu_{k l}(k, l=n-3, \cdots, n)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k l}(h)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(N_{\alpha}\right)} h\left(\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} \delta_{k l}-4 \sum_{j=n-3}^{n}\left(F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right), F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{l}, e_{j}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g}, \tag{4.5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is any function with compact support in $B_{\varepsilon}\left(N_{\alpha}\right)$. It follows from the monotonicity (Theorem 2.1.2) that for any $x \in S$ and $r$ sufficiently small,

$$
\mu_{k l}\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \leq c e^{a r^{2}} r^{n-4}
$$

Hence, in order to prove (4.5.6), it suffices to show that the upper-density $\bar{\Theta}\left(\mu_{k l}, x\right)(k, l=n-3, \cdots, n)$ vanishes for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S_{\alpha}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Theta}\left(\mu_{k l}, x\right)=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n}\left|\mu_{k l}\left(B_{r}(x)\right)\right| . \tag{4.5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove (4.5.8) by contradiction. If (4.5.8) is false, there is an $S_{\alpha}^{\prime} \subset S_{\alpha}$ such that $H^{n-4}\left(S_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)>0$ and for some $k, l, \bar{\Theta}\left(\mu_{k l}, x\right)>0$ for any $x \in S_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. By orthogonal transformations, we may assume that $k=l=n$. We can also have that for $x \in S_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, the tangent space $T_{x} S=T_{x} S_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ exists and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}=0 \tag{4.5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by using the arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.1.2 and taking a subsequence if necessary, we can find $\varepsilon_{i}, r_{i}>0$ with $\lim \varepsilon_{i}=0$ and $\lim \frac{r_{i}}{\varepsilon_{i}}=0$, $x_{i} \in S_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}^{4-n}\left|\int_{B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2}-4 \sum_{j=n-3}^{n}\left(F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{n}, e_{j}\right), F_{A_{i}}\left(e_{n}, e_{j}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g}\right| \geq \eta_{0} \tag{4.5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{i}^{4-n} \int_{B_{\varepsilon_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-4}\left|e_{j}\right| F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}=0 \tag{4.5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we assume that $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g$ is flat. The general case can be treated with slight modifications. Put $B_{i}(y)=r_{i} A_{i}\left(x_{i}+r_{i} y\right)$. Then $B_{i}$ converges to zero outside a subspace $\mathbb{R}^{n-4} \times\{0\}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{x_{i}} N_{\alpha}$.

Let $X$ be a vector field with compact support in $B_{2}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since $B_{i}$ is Yang-Mills, we have that for any $j \leq n-4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{2}(0)}\left|F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2} X_{j, j} d V_{g} & =-2 \int_{B_{2}(0)} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n}\left(F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right), \nabla_{e_{j}} F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right) X_{j} d V_{g} \\
\text { (Bianchi identity) } & =-4 \int_{B_{2}(0)} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n}\left(F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right), \nabla_{e_{l}} F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right)\right) X_{j} d V_{g} \\
& =4 \int_{B_{2}(0)} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n}\left(F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right), F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right)\right) X_{j, l} d V_{g} \\
& \mapsto 0, \quad \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{B_{2}(0)}\left(\left|F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X-4 \sum_{k, l=1}^{n}\left(F_{B_{i}}\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} X, e_{l}\right), F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g} \\
& =\int_{B_{2}(0)} \sum_{k, l=n-3}^{n} X_{k, l}\left(\left|F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2} \delta_{k l}-4 \sum_{j}\left(F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right), F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{l}, e_{j}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\eta$ be a nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ satisfying: $\eta(t)=1$ for $t \leq 1$ and $\eta(t)=0$ for $t>\frac{4}{3}$. Choose

$$
X=\eta\left(\left|y^{\prime}\right|\right) \eta\left(\left|y^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) y_{n} e_{n}
$$

where $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-4}\right), y^{\prime \prime}=\left(y_{n-3}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$. Then the above implies

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{1}(0)}\left(\left|F_{B_{i}}\right|^{2}-4 \sum_{j}\left(F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{n}, e_{j}\right), F_{B_{i}}\left(e_{n}, e_{j}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g}=0
$$

This contradicts (4.5.10) and the theorem is proved.
We say that $A$ is stationary if the following holds for any vector field $X$ with compact support in $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X-4 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(F_{A}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g}=0 \tag{4.5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $M$. If $A$ is a smooth Yang-Mills connection, this follows from the first variation formula for Yang-Mills action.

Remark 11. More generally, inspired by R. Schoen's notion of stationary harmonic maps, we may define a stationary Yang-Mills connection as a weak solution of the Yang-Mills equation which satisfies (4.5.12). It is interesting to develop a regularity theory for such weak solutions. But we will confine ourselves to admissible connections.

If $A$ is stationary, then the right side of (4.5.1) vanishes for any $X$. This implies:

Corollary 4.5.2. If $A$ is stationary, then $S$ is stationary, i.e., $S$ has no boundary in $M$ and its generalized mean curvature vanishes.

This also provides another proof of Theorem 4.3.2 with slightly weaker conclusion.

## 5. Removable singularities of Yang-Mills equations

In this chapter, we investigate the extension problem of admissible YangMills connections. Since the extension problem is local in nature, we may assume that $M$ is an open subset in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a metric $g$, which may be nonflat.
5.1. Stationary properties of Yang-Mills connections. Let $A$ be an admissible Yang-Mills connection as in Section 2.3, and $r_{p}, c(p), a$ be as in Theorem 2.1.2. Then by the arguments of Section 2.1, we have:

Proposition 5.1.1. Let $A$ be any admissible Yang-Mills connection satisfying (4.5.2), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X-4 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle F_{A}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X, e_{j}\right), F_{A}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right) d V_{g}=0 \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $M$. Then for any $0<\sigma<\rho<r_{p}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho^{4-n} e^{a \rho^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}-\sigma^{4-n} e^{a \sigma^{2}} \int_{B_{\sigma}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{5.1.2}\\
\left.\geq 4 \int_{B_{\rho}(p) \backslash B_{\sigma}(p)} r^{4-n} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, if $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g$ is flat, then the equality holds in (5.1.2) for $\rho \in(0, \infty)$ and $a=0$.

Next we prove that any admissible $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons are stationary; i.e., they satisfy (5.1.1).

Let $A$ be an admissible $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton with singular set $S=$ $S(A)$.

Given any vector field $X$ with compact support in $M$, let $\phi_{t}: M \rightarrow M$ be its integral curve. As in Section 2.1, we define $A^{t}$ to be the connection $\phi_{t}^{*}(A)$. Then by the same arguments as those in Section 2.3, one can show that $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}\left(A^{t}\right)$ defines a closed 4 -form on $M$ in the sense of distribution.

First we claim that $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}\left(A^{t}\right)$ is independent of $t$, i.e., for any closed $(n-4)$ form $\varphi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \varphi \wedge\left(\mathrm{Ch}_{2}\left(A^{t}\right)-\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A)\right)=0 \tag{5.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi_{t}$ is an identity near the boundary $\partial M$ of $M$,

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{2}\left(A^{t}\right)-\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A)=0 \quad \text { near } \quad \partial M
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the bundle $E$ under consideration is trivial over $M$. We constructed in Section 2.3 a Chern-Simon 3 -form $\Psi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Psi=\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A) \quad \text { on } M \backslash S \tag{5.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for some uniform constant $c$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(x)| \leqslant \frac{c}{d(x, S)^{3}}, \quad x \in M \backslash S \tag{5.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}\left(A^{t}\right)=\phi_{t}^{*} \mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A)$, we have that for $\Psi_{t}=\phi_{t}^{*} \Psi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\Psi_{t}-\Psi\right)=\mathrm{Ch}_{2}\left(A^{t}\right)-\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A) \quad \text { in } M \backslash\left(S \cup \phi_{t}(S)\right) \tag{5.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi_{t}-\Psi\right|(x) \leq \frac{2 c}{d\left(x, S \cup \phi_{t}(S)\right)^{3}}, \quad x \in M \backslash\left(S \cup \phi_{t}(S)\right) \tag{5.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\Psi_{t}-\Psi=0$ near $\partial M$ and for $H^{n-4}$-a.e. $x \in S \cup \phi_{t}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} d\left(x, S \cup \phi_{t}(S)\right)^{3}\left(\Psi_{t}-\Psi\right)(x)=0 \tag{5.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (5.1.3) follows easily from (5.1.6)-(5.1.8) and the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.3.1.

Proposition 5.1.2. Assume that $\Omega$ is a closed form of degree $n-4$. Then any admissible $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton $A$ on $M$ is stationary.

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)=0$. The general case follows from identical arguments because $\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is smooth on $M$.

Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A^{t}} \wedge F_{A^{t}}\right) \wedge \Omega=\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right) \wedge \Omega \tag{5.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the same as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(F_{A^{t}}, T\left(F_{A^{t}}\right)\right) d V_{g}=\int_{M}\left(F_{A}, T\left(F_{A}\right)\right) d V_{g} \tag{5.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the operator $-* \cdot \Omega \wedge$ acting on 2-forms. Then the $\Omega$-anti-self-duality of $A$ states $T\left(F_{A}\right)=F_{A}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y M\left(A^{t}\right) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{4}} \int_{M}\left|F_{A^{t}}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(F_{A^{t}},(I d-T)\left(F_{A^{t}}\right)\right) d V_{g}+\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(F_{A^{t}}, T\left(F_{A^{t}}\right)\right) d V_{g} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(F_{A^{t}},(I d-T)\left(F_{A^{t}}\right)\right) d V_{g}-\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(I d-T)\left(F_{A}\right)=0$ and $T$ is symmetric, the last integral above is at the order $t^{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} Y M\left(A^{t}\right)\right|_{t=0}=0
$$

This implies that $A$ is stationary.
In fact, we believe that any admissible Yang-Mills connection (possibly under certain mild conditions) is stationary. If this is true, we can conclude from Corollary 4.5.2 that the blow-up locus of any Yang-Mills connections are stationary, in other words, it is a generalized minimal variety.
5.2. A removable singularity theorem. In this section, we always assume that $A$ is an admissible Yang-Mills connection on $M$ and stationary. Fix $p \in$ $S=S(A)$, where $S(A)$ denotes the singular set of $A$. Let $r_{p}, c(p), a$ be as in Theorem 2.1.2. Our goal of this section is to prove a removable singularity theorem under appropriate assumptions. We assume that $S \cap B \frac{r_{p}}{2}(p)$ satisfies the following uniform covering (UC) property: for any $y \in S \cap^{2} B_{\frac{r_{p}}{2}}(p)$ and $\delta \leq r<\frac{r_{p}}{2}$, there are always balls $B_{\delta}\left(x_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, l)$ such that $x_{i} \in S$, $S \cap B_{r}(y) \subset \bigcup_{i} B_{\delta}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $l \delta^{n-4} \leq c r^{n-4}$ for some uniform constant $c>0$. One can easily show that this (UC) property holds, if there is a measure $\mu$ with support $S$ such that the total measure $\mu\left(S \cap B_{r_{p}}(p)\right)<\infty$, and for every $x \in S \cap B_{r_{p}}(p), r^{4-n} \mu\left(S \cap B_{r}(x)\right)$ is decreasing with $r$, and the density $\Theta(x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} \mu\left(S \cap B_{r}(x)\right)>0$. In particular, if $A$ is the limit of smooth Yang-Mills connections $A_{i}$ outside $S$, then $S$ has the (UC) property, since $\mu=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|F_{A_{i}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$ satisfies the above conditions.

Theorem 5.2.1. Let $A, S$ be as above. Then there is an $\varepsilon>0$, depending only on $n=\operatorname{dim} M$, such that for any $p \in S$ and $0<r<r_{p}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(p)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\varepsilon \tag{5.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ near $p$ such that $\sigma(A)$ extends to be a smooth connection near $p$.

A direct corollary of this is the next result:
TheOrem 5.2.2. Let $A, S$ be as in Theorem 5.2.1. Then there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ such that $\sigma(A)$ is smooth outside a closed subset $S^{\prime}$ of $H^{n-4}$-measure zero.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon$ be given as in Theorem 5.2.1. Then for any $x \in M$, the limit

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} e^{a r^{2}} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
$$

exists. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}=\left\{\left.x \in M\left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} e^{a r^{2}} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\right| F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \tag{5.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Theorem 5.1.1, $S^{\prime}$ is closed. Moreover, by using standard arguments as those in the proof of Lemma 3.1.4 $(c)$, we can show that $H^{n-4}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=0$.

By Theorem 5.2.1, there is a countable covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $M \backslash S^{\prime}$, so that for each $\alpha$, there is a gauge transformation $\sigma_{\alpha}$ on $\left(M \backslash S^{\prime}\right) \cap U_{\alpha}$ such that $E$ is trivial over $U_{\alpha}$ and $D_{\sigma_{\alpha}(A)}=d+A_{\alpha}$ for some smooth $A_{\alpha}$. It follows that for any $\alpha, \beta$, we have the transition function $g_{\alpha \beta}=\sigma_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\beta}^{-1}: U_{\alpha} \cup U_{\beta} \backslash S^{\prime} \rightarrow G$, where $G$ is the structure group of $E$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} d g_{\alpha \beta}+g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} A_{\beta} g_{\alpha \beta} \tag{5.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $g_{\alpha \beta}$ extends to a smooth map on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, since $g_{\alpha \beta}$ takes values in a compact group $G$. Furthermore, $\left\{g_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ satisfies the cocycle condition

$$
g_{\alpha \beta} \cdot g_{\beta \gamma}=g_{\alpha \gamma} \text { on } U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{g_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ defines a $G$-bundle $E^{\prime}$ over $M \backslash S$ extending $\left.E\right|_{M \backslash S(A)}$, and $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ defines a Yang-Mills connection for $E^{\prime}$. The theorem is proved.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.2.1. By scaling, we may assume that $r=5, M=B_{5}(p)$ and $E$ is trivial over $M$. For simplicity, we may further assume that the metric $g$ is flat. The general case can be proved by identical arguments.

We will always denote by $c$ a uniform constant. As before, we write $S=S(A)$ as the singular set of $A$.

LEmma 5.2.3. There is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ on $M \backslash S$ such that for any $x \in B_{3}(p) \backslash S$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(x)^{n-2}\left|A^{\sigma}\right|^{2}(x) & \leq c \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2} \rho(x)}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{5.2.4}\\
\int_{B_{\frac{2}{5} \rho(x)}(x)}\left(\frac{\left|A^{\sigma}\right|^{2}}{\rho(x)^{2}}+\left|\nabla A^{\sigma}\right|^{2}\right) d V_{g} & \leq c \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2} \rho(x)}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \tag{5.2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho(x)=d(x, S)$ and $D_{\sigma(A)}=d+A^{\sigma}$ with $A^{\sigma} \in \Omega^{1}(M \backslash S, \operatorname{Lie}(G))$.

Proof. We may assume that $2^{n-4} e^{a} \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon(n)$, where $\varepsilon(n)$ is as given in Theorem 2.2.1. Then by the monotonicity (5.1.2), for any $x \in B_{3}(p) \backslash S$,

$$
\rho(x)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\rho(x)}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}<\varepsilon(n)
$$

It follows from Uhlenbeck's curvature estimate (Theorem 2.2.1) that

$$
\left|F_{A}\right|(y) \leq \frac{c \sqrt{\varepsilon(n)}}{\rho(x)^{2}}, \quad \text { for } y \in B_{\frac{1}{2} \rho(x)}(x) \text {. }
$$

Note that $c$ always denotes a uniform constant in this proof.
Next, using Theorem 1.2.7 in [Uh1, p. 18], we can have a gauge transformation $\sigma_{x}$ over $B_{\frac{1}{2} \rho(x)}(x)$, such that $D_{\sigma_{x}(A)}=d+A^{\sigma_{x}}$ and for any $y \in B_{\frac{1}{40} \rho(x)}(x)$,

$$
\rho(x)\left|A^{\sigma_{x}}\right|(y)+\rho(x)^{2}\left|\nabla A^{\sigma_{x}}\right|(y) \leq c\left(\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{20}\right)^{4-n} \int_{\left.\frac{B_{\frac{\rho(x)}{20}}(x)}{}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . . . \text {. } . . .}\right.
$$

Now we outline the construction of $\sigma$ from those $\sigma_{x}$. We cover $M \backslash S$ by balls $B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)$ satisfying: (1) $x_{i} \in M \backslash S$ and $r_{i}=\frac{1}{40} \rho\left(x_{i}\right)$; (2) For any $x \in M \backslash S$, the number of those $B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)$ containing $x$ is uniformly finite. For each $i$, denote by $\sigma_{i}$ the above $\sigma_{x_{i}}$. If $B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)$ is nonempty, then $r_{i} \leq 2 r_{j}$ and $r_{j} \leq 2 r_{i}$; so by the above estimate for $A^{\sigma_{i}}$ and $A^{\sigma_{j}}$, we can obtain
on the overlap $B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)$. Notice that $B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) \subset B_{\rho(x) / 10}(x)$ whenever $x \in B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Thus we can glue these $\sigma_{i}$ to get a gauge transformation $\sigma$ such that

$$
\rho(x)\left|A^{\sigma}\right|(x)+\rho(x)^{2}\left|\nabla A^{\sigma}\right|(x) \leq c\left(\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{10}\right)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\frac{\rho(x)}{2}}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

This implies that for any $y \in B_{\frac{2}{5}} \rho(x)(x)$, we have

$$
\rho(x)\left|A^{\sigma}\right|(y)+\rho(x)^{2}\left|\nabla A^{\sigma}\right|(y) \leq c\left(\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{2}\right)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\frac{\rho(x)}{2}}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Then the lemma follows easily.
For simplicity, we assume that $\sigma$ can be taken to be Id in the above lemma.

Lemma 5.2.4. Let $A$ be as above. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}(x)}\left(\frac{|A|^{2}}{\rho(y)^{2}}+|\nabla A|^{2}\right) d V_{g} \leq c \int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \tag{5.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho(y)=d(y, S)$.
Proof. Since $\rho$ is Lipschitz and $|\nabla \rho| \equiv 1$, by the co-area formula (cf. [Si2]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}(x)} \frac{|A|^{2}}{\rho(y)^{2}} d V_{g}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d r}{r^{2}} \int_{\rho^{-1}(r) \cap B_{1}(x)}|A|^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \tag{5.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ denotes the induced measure on the level surface $\rho^{-1}(r)$.
For any $r \leq 1$, there is a covering $\left\{B_{\frac{2 r}{5}}\left(x_{i r}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N_{r}}$ of $\rho^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{2}{3} r, \frac{4}{3} r\right]\right)$ $\cap B_{1}(x)$, such that $\rho\left(x_{i r}\right)=r$ and for any $y \in \rho^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{2}{3} r, \frac{4}{3} r\right]\right)$, the number of balls $B_{\frac{r}{2}}\left(x_{i r}\right)$ containing $y$ is uniformly bounded. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d r}{r^{2}} \int_{B_{1}(x) \cap \rho^{-1}(r)}|A|^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
&=\frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d r}{r^{2}} \int_{\frac{3}{4} r}^{\frac{3}{2} r} \frac{d s}{s} \int_{B_{1}(x) \cap \rho^{-1}(r)}|A|^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
&=\frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d s}{s} \int_{B_{1}(x) \cap \rho^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{2}{3} s, \frac{4}{3} s\right]\right)} \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}|A|^{2} d V_{g} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d s}{s}\left(\sum_{i} \int_{B_{\frac{2 s}{5}}\left(x_{i s}\right)} \frac{|A|^{2}}{\rho^{2}(y)} d V_{g}\right) \\
& \leq c \int_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d s}{s} \int_{B_{3}(x) \cap \rho^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{s}{2}, \frac{3 s}{2}\right]\right)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d s}{s}\left(\sum_{i} \int_{B_{\frac{s}{2}}\left(x_{i s}\right)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} d V_{g}\right. \\
& \leq c \int_{0}^{3} d r \int_{\frac{2}{3} r}^{2 r} \frac{d s}{s} \int_{B_{3}(x) \cap \rho^{-1}(r)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \\
& \leq c \int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can derive

$$
\int_{B_{1}(x)}|\nabla A|^{2} d V_{g} \leqslant c \int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
$$

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 5.2.5. Let $A$ be as above. Then there are a function $\alpha$ and $a$ 2-form $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=d \alpha+d^{*} \beta, \quad d \beta=0 \quad \text { on } \quad B_{1}(x),  \tag{5.2.8}\\
\|\alpha\|_{H^{1,2}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)}+\|\beta\|_{H^{1,2}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)} \leq c\|A\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{2}(x)\right)} . \tag{5.2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $\eta: B_{3}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be a cut-off function: $\eta(y)=1$ for $d(x, y) \leq 1$, $\eta(y)=0$ for $d(x, y) \geq 2$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 1$. By the extension of the classical Hodge-de Rham decomposition due to Iwaniec and Martin, we have unique $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\eta A=d \alpha+d^{*} \beta$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, d \beta=0$, and

$$
\|\alpha\|_{H^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|\beta\|_{H^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|\eta A\|_{H^{1,2}\left(R^{n}\right)}
$$

Then the lemma follows easily.
Put $\tilde{A}=A-d \alpha$; then $d^{*} \tilde{A}=0$. Since $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection in the weak sense,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =D_{A}^{*} F_{A}  \tag{5.2.10}\\
& =d^{*} F_{A}+\left[F_{A}, A\right] \\
& =d^{*} d A+d^{*}(A \wedge A)+\left[F_{A}, A\right] \\
& =d^{*} d \tilde{A}+d^{*}(A \wedge A)+\left[F_{A}, A\right] \\
& =\left(d^{*} d+d d^{*}\right) \tilde{A}+d^{*}(A \wedge A)+\left[F_{A}, A\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

We decompose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}=\tilde{A}_{0}+\tilde{A}_{1}, \tag{5.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d^{*} d+d d^{*}\right) \tilde{A}_{0}=0, \quad \text { in } B_{1}(x) \tag{5.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(d^{*} d+d d^{*}\right) \tilde{A}_{1} & =-\left[F_{A}, A\right]-d^{*}(A \wedge A), \quad \text { in } B_{1}(x)  \tag{5.2.13}\\
\tilde{A}_{1} & =0 \quad \text { on } \partial B_{1}(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.2.6. There exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{A}_{1}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)} \leq c \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{3}(x)\right)} \tag{5.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is as given in (5.2.1).

Proof. First we have from (5.2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|(y) \leq \frac{c \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\rho(y)}, \quad \forall y \in B_{3}(x) \backslash S . \tag{5.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying ( 5.2 .13 ) by $\tilde{A}_{1}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain
$\int_{B_{1}(x)}\left|\nabla \tilde{A}_{1}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\int_{B_{1}(x)}\left(\left(\tilde{A}_{1},\left[F_{A}, A\right]\right)+\left(\tilde{A}_{1}, d^{*}(A \wedge A)\right)\right) d V_{g} \\
& =-\int_{B_{1}(x)}\left(\left(\tilde{A}_{1},\left[F_{A}, A\right]\right)+\left(d \tilde{A}_{1}, A \wedge A\right)\right) d V_{g} \\
& \leq c \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(\int_{B_{1}(x)} \frac{\left|\tilde{A}_{1}\right|\left|F_{A}\right|}{\rho(y)} d V_{g}+\int_{B_{1}(x)} \frac{\left|d \tilde{A}_{1}\right||A|}{\rho(y)} d V_{g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (5.2.6)

$$
\leq c \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(\int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{B_{1}(x)}\left(\frac{\left|\tilde{A}_{1}\right|^{2}}{\rho(y)^{2}}+\left|\nabla \tilde{A}_{1}\right|^{2}\right) d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Then (5.2.14) follows from the next lemma.
Lemma 5.2.7. For any function $f$ vanishing on $\partial B_{1}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}(x)} \frac{|f|^{2}}{\rho(y)^{2}} d V_{g} \leq c \int_{B_{1}(x)}|\nabla f|^{2} d V_{g} \tag{5.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This lemma follows directly from a result of C. Fefferman and D. Phong [FP] (also see [CW, Th. 1.4], [CWW], [Fef]), once we verify the following: for any $y \in B_{1}(x)$ and $r \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}(y)} \frac{1}{\rho^{3}} d V_{g} \leq c r^{n-3} \tag{5.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a uniform constant.
Let us check (5.2.17). If $\rho(y) \geq 2 r$, then $r \leq \rho(z) \leq 3 r$ for any $z \in B_{r}(y)$. Now

$$
\int_{B_{r}(y)} \frac{1}{\rho^{3}} d V_{g} \leq \frac{c}{r^{3}} \int_{B_{r}(y)} d V_{g} \leq c r^{n-3}
$$

Next, we assume that $\rho(y) \leq 2 r$. By our assumption on $S$, for any $\delta<4 r$, there are $L_{\delta}$ balls $B_{\delta}\left(x_{i}\right)$ such that $S \cap B_{r}(y) \subset \bigcup_{i} B_{\delta}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $L_{\delta} \leq c\left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right)^{n-4}$.

Then by the co-area formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r}(y)} \frac{1}{\rho^{3}} d V_{g} & =\int_{0}^{4 r} \frac{1}{s^{3}} d s \int_{\rho^{-1}(s) \cap B_{r}(y)} d H^{n-1} \\
& =(4 r)^{-3} \int_{B_{r}(y)} d V_{g}+3 \int_{0}^{4 r} \frac{1}{s^{4}} d s \int_{\rho^{-1}([0, s]) \cap B_{r}(y)} d V_{g} \\
& \leq c r^{n-3}+3 \int_{0}^{4 r} \frac{1}{s^{4}} d s\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L_{s}} \int_{B_{2 s}\left(x_{i}\right)} d V_{g}\right) \\
& \leq c r^{n-3}+c r^{n-4} \int_{0}^{5 r} d s \\
& \leq c r^{n-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (5.2.17) follows.
Let $\theta \in(0,1)$ be fixed. Since $\tilde{A}_{0}$ is harmonic, we have, from standard elliptic estimates, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\theta^{n-4}} \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left|d \tilde{A}_{0}\right|^{2} & \leq \theta^{4} \int_{B_{1}(x)}\left|d \tilde{A}_{0}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{5.2.18}\\
& \leq \theta^{4} \int_{B_{1}(x)}|d \tilde{A}|^{2} d V_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{5.2.19}\\
=\theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left(|d A|^{2}+2\left(F_{A}, A \wedge A\right)-|A \wedge A|^{2}\right) d V_{g} \\
\leq \theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left(|d \tilde{A}|^{2}+2\left(F_{A}, A \wedge A\right)\right) d V_{g} \\
\text { by (5.2.4), (5.2.1) } \leq \theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left(|d \tilde{A}|^{2}+\frac{c \sqrt{\varepsilon}|A|\left|F_{A}\right|}{\rho(y)}\right) d V_{g} \\
\text { by }(5.2 .6) \leq \theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}|d \tilde{A}|^{2} d V_{g}+c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}(x)}\left|d \tilde{A}_{0}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \int_{B_{1}(x)}|d \tilde{A}|^{2} d V_{g}+c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \tag{5.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 5.2.6 and Lemma 5.2.4, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{\theta}(x)}|d \tilde{A}|^{2} d V_{g}= & \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left(\left|d \tilde{A}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|d \tilde{A}_{1}\right|^{2}+2\left(d \tilde{A}_{0}, d \tilde{A}_{1}\right)\right) d V_{g}  \tag{5.2.21}\\
\leq & \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left|d \tilde{A}_{0}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+2\left\|\tilde{A}_{1}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{B_{1}(x)}\left|d \tilde{A}_{0}\right|^{2} d V_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left\|\tilde{A}_{1}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left|d \tilde{A}_{0}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the above four inequalities that

$$
\theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \theta^{4} \int_{B_{1}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \theta^{4-n} \int_{B_{3}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
$$

By scaling, we obtain that for $r \leq 1$ and $y \in B_{1}(p)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(\theta r)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq & \theta^{4} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{5.2.22}\\
& +c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \theta^{4-n} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{3 r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, from the monotonicity for $A$, we have

$$
(\lambda r)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\lambda r}(y)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq\left(3^{4}+c \sqrt{\varepsilon(r)} \lambda^{-n}\right) \lambda^{4} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{\theta}{3}<\frac{1}{3}$ and

$$
\varepsilon(r)=r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq 8 \varepsilon
$$

A simple iteration yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda^{k} r\right)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\lambda k_{r}}(y)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}  \tag{5.2.23}\\
& \quad \leq \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(1+c \sqrt{\varepsilon\left(\lambda^{i} r\right)} \lambda^{-n}\right)(3 \lambda)^{4 k} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k \geq 1$.
Choose $\lambda$ and $\varepsilon$ such that $6^{4} \lambda<1$ and $8 c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \lambda^{-n}<1$. This implies that for any $i \leq k-1$,

$$
\left(1+c \sqrt{\varepsilon\left(\lambda^{i} r\right)} \lambda^{-n}\right) 3^{4} \lambda<1 .
$$

For any $r \leq 1$, we define $k, r_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right]$ by $\lambda^{k} r_{0}=r$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(y)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} & \leq \lambda^{3 k} r_{0}^{4-n} \int_{B_{r_{0}}(y)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \\
& \leq r^{3} r_{0}^{-3} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \\
& \leq c r^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we replace $\varepsilon(r)$ in (5.2.22) by $c r^{3}$ and obtain

$$
(\theta r)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\theta r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq \theta^{4} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+c \theta^{4-n} r^{\frac{9}{2}}
$$

Choose $\theta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $c^{\prime}$ such that $c\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4-n}+c^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{9}{2}} \leq c^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+c^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\frac{9}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}\left(r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+c^{\prime} r^{\frac{9}{2}}\right)
$$

It follows from this and a simple iteration that

$$
r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq c^{\prime \prime} r^{4}
$$

where $c^{\prime \prime}$ is some uniform constant.
Therefore, the curvature $F_{A}$ is bounded in $B_{1}(p)$. Using results in [Uh2], we can construct a gauge transformation $\sigma$ such that $d^{*} A_{\sigma}=0$ and $\left\|A_{\sigma}\right\|_{C^{1}\left(B_{1}(p)\right)}$ is bounded. Since $D_{\sigma(A)}^{*} F_{\sigma(A)}=0, A_{\sigma}$ is smooth, and consequently, $\sigma(A)$ extends to a smooth connection near $p$. Theorem 5.2.1 is proved.
5.3. Cone-like Yang-Mills connections. In this section, we study the infinitesimal structure of stationary Yang-Mills connections at their singular points. Let $A$ be a stationary Yang-Mills connection on $M$ with $L^{2}$-bounded curvature $F_{A}$. It follows from Theorem 5.1.1 that for any $x \in S$, the limit

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}
$$

exists. Therefore, we can define

$$
S([A])=\left\{\left.x \in M\left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{4-n} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\right| F_{A}\right|^{2} d V \geq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is as given in Theorem 5.2.1. Then $S(A)$ contains $S([A])$. Denote by $S$ the set $S([A])$. By Theorem 5.2.2, we have $H^{n-4}(S)=0$; moreover, there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ on $M \backslash S(A)$ such that $\sigma(A)$ extends to a smooth connection on $M \backslash S$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $S=S(A)$.

Now we explain why $S$ is expected to be of Hausdorff codimension of at least 5 .

To analyze $A$ near $x$, we scale the metric $g$ and $A$ as follows: for any $\lambda \in(0,1)$, define

$$
g_{\lambda}=\lambda^{-2} g, \quad A_{\lambda}=\tau_{\lambda}^{*} \exp _{x}^{*} A,
$$

where $\tau_{\lambda}: T_{x} M \mapsto T_{x} M$ maps $v$ to $\lambda v$. Clearly, $A_{\lambda}$ is a stationary Yang-Mills connection with respect to $g_{\lambda}$. Moreover, for any $R>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{4-n} \int_{B_{R}\left(x, g_{\lambda}\right)}\left|F_{A_{\lambda}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{\lambda}}=(\lambda R)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\lambda R}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \leq c \tag{5.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\lambda$ is sufficiently small. Here and in the following, $c$ always denotes a uniform constant.

Then we can deduce the following from results in Section 3.1: for any sequence $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ with $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(i)=0$, taking a subsequence and gauge transformations if necessary, we may assume that $A_{\lambda(i)}$ converges to a connection $A^{c}$ outside $S_{c} \subset T_{x} M$. Here, $A^{c}$ is Yang-Mills with respect to the flat metric $g_{0}$ on $T_{x} M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $H^{n-4}\left(S^{c} \cap B_{R}\left(0, g_{0}\right)\right)<\infty$. Moreover, we may assume that $\left|F_{A_{\lambda(i)}}\right|^{2} d V_{g}$ converges weakly to $\left|F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}+\Theta_{c} H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{c}\right.$, where $\Theta_{c}$ is a function with its support in $S_{c}$.

Lemma 5.3.1. With the above notation, (1) $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Theta_{c}=0 ;$ (2) $a \cdot S_{c}=S_{c}$, where $a \cdot S_{c}$ denotes the set of points az with $z \in S_{c}$; (3) $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor F_{A^{c}}=0$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.5.1, for any vector field $X$ with compact support,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{S_{c}} \operatorname{div}_{S_{c}} X \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}  \tag{5.3.2}\\
& =\int_{T_{x} M}\left(\left|F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} X-4 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(F_{A^{c}}\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right), F_{A}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)\right)\right) d V_{g_{0}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are euclidean coordinates of $T_{x} M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Choosing $X(x)=$ $\xi(r) r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, where $r=\sqrt{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}$ and $\xi$ has compact support, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{S_{c}}\left(\xi^{\prime} r+(n-4) \xi\right) \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}+\int_{T_{x} M}\left(\xi^{\prime} r+(n-4) \xi\right)\left|F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}  \tag{5.3.3}\\
\left.=\int_{S_{c}} \xi^{\prime} r\left|\nabla^{\perp} r\right|^{2} \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}+4 \int_{T_{x} M} \xi^{\prime} r \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Following the arguments in deriving (2.1.20) from (2.1.15), we can deduce from (5.3.3) that for any $\sigma<\rho$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S_{c} \cap\left(B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{0}\right) \backslash B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{0}\right)\right)} r^{4-n}\left|\nabla^{\perp} r\right|^{2} \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}  \tag{5.3.4}\\
& \left.\quad+4 \int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{0}\right) \backslash B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{0}\right)} r^{4-n} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}} \\
& = \\
& \quad \rho^{4-n}\left(\int_{S_{c} \cap B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{0}\right)} \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}+\int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}\right) \\
& \quad-\sigma^{4-n}\left(\int_{S_{c} \cap B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{0}\right)} \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}+\int_{B_{\sigma\left(0, g_{0}\right)}}\left|F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $s>0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
s^{4-n}\left(\int_{B_{s}\left(0, g_{0}\right)}\left|F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}+\int_{S_{c} \cap B_{s}\left(0, g_{0}\right)} \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}\right) \\
=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}(\lambda(i) s)^{4-n} \int_{B_{\lambda(i) s}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g} \\
=\lim _{s^{\prime} \rightarrow 0} s^{4-n} \int_{B_{s^{\prime}}(x)}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S_{c} \cap\left(B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{0}\right) \backslash B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{0}\right)\right)} r^{4-n}\left|\nabla^{\perp} r\right|^{2} \Theta_{c} d H^{n-4}  \tag{5.3.5}\\
& \left.\quad+4 \int_{B_{\rho}\left(0, g_{0}\right) \backslash B_{\sigma}\left(0, g_{0}\right)} r^{4-n} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right.\right\rfloor\left. F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $\nabla^{\perp} r=0$ on $S_{c}$ and $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor F_{A^{c}}=0$; i.e., both (2) and (3) hold.
Furthermore, arguing as we did in the proof of Lemma 3.2.1, we can deduce that $\left|F_{A^{c}}\right|^{2} d V_{g_{0}}+\Theta_{c} H^{n-4}\left\lfloor S_{c}\right.$ is a cone measure. Now, (1) holds.

We will call such an $A^{c}$ a tangent Yang-Mills connection of $A$ at $x$. In general, $A$ may have a different tangent Yang-Mills connection at $x$, which depends on choices of sequences $\{\lambda(i)\}$. By Corollary 2.1.3, $A^{c}$ is gauge equivalent to $d+B$ for some $B: S^{n-1} \mapsto T^{*} S^{n-1} \otimes \operatorname{Lie}(G)$. Thus $S\left(A^{c}\right)$ is invariant under radial scaling and so is $S\left(\left[A^{c}\right]\right)$. If $A^{c}$ is also stationary, then $H^{n-4}\left(S\left(\left[A^{c}\right]\right)\right)=0$. Together with Uhlenbeck's removable singularity theorem in [Uh1] (also see Theorem 5.2.1), this implies that $S\left(\left[A^{c}\right]\right)=\{0\}$ whenever $n=5$. If the blowup set $S_{c}$ is empty, we further deduce that $A$ has an isolated singularity at $x$. This leads us to

Conjecture 1. If $A$ is stationary, then the Hausdorff codimension of $S([A])$ is at least 5 .

We can expect stronger conclusion for $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons. Now let $A$ be an $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton. Then its tangent Yang-Mills connection $A^{c}$ is
$\Omega_{x}$-anti-self-dual. Moreover, $\Omega_{x}$ is a nonvanishing constant form.
Lemma 5.3.2. If $v\rfloor F_{A^{c}}=0$ for any $v$ in a subspace $L \subset T_{x} M$ of dimension $n-5$, then modulo gauge transformations, $A^{c}$ extends smoothly to a connection on $T_{x} M$.

Proof. Write $\Omega_{x}=d V_{L} \wedge d \ell+\Omega_{x}^{\prime}$, such that $\Omega_{x}^{\prime}$ is perpendicular to any form $d V_{L} \wedge \varphi$, where $d V_{L}$ is a volume form on $L$ and $\varphi$ is a 1 -form. Then $\ell \neq 0$; otherwise, $F_{A^{c}}=0$ by our assumption and $\Omega_{x}$-anti-self-duality. Furthermore, we have

$$
-*\left(F_{A^{c}} \wedge d V_{L} \wedge d \ell\right)=F_{A^{c}}
$$

Hence, $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell}\right\rfloor F_{A^{c}}=0$ and modulo a gauge transformation, $A^{c}$ is the pull-back of an anti-self-dual connection on the 4 -subspace perpendicular to $L$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell}$. By the removable singularity theorem of Uhlenbeck in dimension 4 (also see Theorem 5.2.1), there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ such that $\sigma\left(A^{c}\right)$ extends to a smooth connection on $T_{x} M$. Hence, the lemma is proved.

Conjecture 2. If $A$ is $\Omega$-anti-self-dual, then its singular set $S([A])$ has Hausdorff codimension at least 6.

Both conjectures can be affirmed if one can show that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} S\left(A_{i}\right) \subset$ $S(A)$ for any sequence of Yang-Mills connections $A_{i}$ converging to $A$.

Finally, let us discuss briefly the classification of tangent $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ with the only singularity at 0 . Since $\Omega$ is a linear 2 -form on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$, we may choose coordinates $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{6}$, such that

$$
\Omega=a_{1} d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2}+a_{2} d x_{3} \wedge d x_{4}+a_{3} d x_{5} \wedge d x_{6}
$$

Let $A^{c}$ be a nonflat tangent $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton. Then

$$
-*_{5}\left(\alpha \wedge F_{A^{c}}\right)=F_{A^{c}}
$$

on $S^{5} \subset \mathbb{R}^{6}$, where $\left.\alpha=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rfloor \Omega$ and $*_{5}$ is the Hodge operator on $S^{5}$. Since $F_{A} \neq 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$. A simple computation shows that $|\alpha|(x)$ has to be 1 for any $x \in S^{5}$. Hence, we may assume that $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=1$, and consequently, $\Omega$ is the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$. If $J_{0}$ denotes the complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ such that the $d x_{2 i-1}+\sqrt{-1} d x_{2 i}(i=1,2,3)$ span the induced holomorphic tangent bundle, then $A$ is Hermitian-Yang-Mills with respect to this complex structure. Moreover, we have $v\rfloor F_{A^{c}}=0$ when $v$ is either $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ or $J_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)$. This implies that modulo a gauge transformation, $A^{c}$ is the pull-back of a Hermitian-YangMills connection on $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Conversely, any Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections on $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ give rise to a tangent $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instanton on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$.

If $\Omega$ is the 3 -form of Section 1.4, defining the $G_{2}$-structure on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$, then tangent $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons are in one-to-one correspondence with Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections on $S^{6}$ with respect to the almost complex structure induced by $\Omega$. These are all the possible tangent $\Omega$-asd (anti-selfdual) instantons on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$.

It is also possible to classify all tangent $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons on $\mathbb{R}^{8}$. Then one problem is how to show that singularities of any $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons are modeled on these tangent connections on a manifold of dimension no more than 8 .

## 6. Compactification of moduli spaces

In this chapter, we first construct a compactification of the moduli space of anti-self-dual instantons. Then we discuss briefly possible extensions of results proved in the last few chapters.
6.1. Compactifying moduli spaces. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian $n$-manifold and $\Omega$ be a closed differential form of degree $n-4$. Let $E$ be a unitary vector bundle over $M$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, E}$ consists of all equivalence classes of $\Omega$-anti-self-dual, often abbreviated as $\Omega$-asd, instantons on $M$, i.e., solutions of (1.2.2). Here, two solutions $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are equivalent if and only if there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ of $E$ such that $\sigma\left(A_{1}\right)=A_{2}$. In general, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, E}$ may not be compact.

We now describe in detail the compactification outlined in the introduction. A generalized $\Omega$-asd instanton is made of (1) an admissible $\Omega$-asd instanton $A$ of $E$, which extends to become a smooth connection over $M \backslash S(A)$ for a closed subset $S(A)$ with $(n-4)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure $H^{n-4}(S(A))$ $=0 ;(2)$ a closed integral current $C=(S, \Theta)$ calibrated by $\Omega$ satisfying the energy identity

$$
\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d V_{g}+\int_{S} \Theta d H^{n-4}=\int_{M} \mathrm{Ch}_{2}(E) \wedge \Omega
$$

where $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(E)$ denotes the second Chern character of $E$.
If the co-norm $|\Omega| \leq 1, C$ is an area-minimizing integral current, so that it follows from $[\mathrm{Am}]$ that $C$ can be represented by $\sum_{a} m_{a} C_{a}$ satisfying: $m_{a}=\left.\Theta\right|_{C_{a}}$ and each $C_{a}$ is closed and of the form $C_{a}^{0} \cup \operatorname{Sing}\left(C_{a}\right)$ such that $C_{a}^{0}$ is a smooth submanifold calibrated by $\Omega$ and $\operatorname{Sing}\left(C_{a}\right)$ is a closed subset of Hausdorff codimension at least two.

Remark 12 . We believe that the singularity of each $\Omega$-calibrated cycle is also of a certain geometric structure. If $\Omega$-calibrated cycles $C_{a}$ are holomorphic, then each singular set $\operatorname{Sing}\left(C_{a}\right)$ is a holomorphic subvariety.

Two generalized $\Omega$-asd instantons $(A, C)$, $\left(A^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent if and only if $C=C^{\prime}$ and there is a gauge transformation $\sigma$ on $M \backslash S(A) \cup S\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, such that $\sigma(A)=A^{\prime}$ on $M \backslash S(A) \cup S\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. We denote by $[A, C]$ the equivalence class represented by $(A, C)$. We identify $[A, 0]$ with $[A]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, E}$ if $A$ extends to a smooth connection of $E$ over $M$ modulo a gauge transformation.

We define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ to be set of all equivalence classes of generalized $\Omega$-anti-self-dual instantons of $E$.

Remark 13. A natural problem occurs when an $\Omega$-calibrated cycle, or simply a submanifold, is actually the limit of a sequence of $\Omega$-asd instantons. More generally, one may ask if a minimal submanifold of dimension $n-4$ can be the limit of a sequence of Yang-Mills connections. It is a delicate and interesting problem involving use of the implicit function theorem.

One can define the first two Chern forms of $(A, C)$ as follows: $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A, C)=$ $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ is given by $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A, C)=\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A)+\mathrm{PD}(C)$, where $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A)$ is given by $-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{A} \wedge F_{A}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PD}(C)$ denotes the Poincaré dual of the integral current $C$. Since $H^{n-4}(S(A))=0$ for a generalized $\Omega$-asd $(A, C)$, both $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A)$ are closed currents on $M$. So they give rise to cohomology classes of $M$. In fact, $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A, C)$ always represents $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(E)$ in $H^{*}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ for any $[A, C]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$.

The topology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ can be defined as follows: a sequence $\left[A_{i}, C_{i}\right]$ converges to $[A, C]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ if and only if (1) $C$ can be decomposed into two closed integral currents $C^{\prime}+C^{\prime \prime}$ such that $C_{i}$ converges to $C^{\prime}$ in $M$ with respect to the standard topology for currents; (2) There are gauge transformations $\sigma_{i}$ such that $\sigma_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ converges to $A$ outside $S(A)$ and the support of $C$, and the generalized Chern forms $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}\left(\sigma_{i}\left(A_{i}\right), C_{i}\right)$ converge to $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(A, C)$ as currents. One can show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ is then a Hausdorff topological space which follows from results in Chapter 4 and 5.

Theorem 6.1.1. For any $M, g, \Omega$ and $E$ as above, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ is compact with respect to this topology.

Let $T$ be a compact family of metrics and closed $(n-4)$-forms $g_{t}, \Omega_{t}$. Then by the above arguments, we can show:

Corollary 6.1.2. For any $M, T=\left\{g_{t}, \Omega_{t}\right\}$ and $E$ as above, $\bigcup_{t \in T} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega_{t}, E}$ is compact with respect to the topology defined above.

We end this section with a generalization of Theorem 6.1.1. in the case where $\Omega$ is not necessarily closed.

We will still call $A$ an $\Omega$-asd instanton whenever $A$ satisfies the equation in Lemma 1.2.1, even if $\Omega$ is not closed. Suppose now that $\Omega$ has the
decomposition $\Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}$, such that $\Omega_{1}$ is closed and for any 2-form $\varphi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varphi \wedge \varphi \wedge \Omega_{2}<|\varphi|^{2} d V_{g} \tag{6.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $\Omega$-asd instanton $A$, we still have an a priori bound on $Y M(A)$ as we did in (1.2.3). Following the arguments in the proof of Theorem 6.1.1, we can obtain the next result:

THEOREM 6.1.3. Let $\Omega=\Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}$ be as above. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ is compact.
Note that an $\Omega$-asd instanton may not be Yang-Mills if $\Omega$ is not closed.
6.2. Final remarks. We expect that one can define certain deformation invariants by using $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ as one did in the case of Donaldson, Gromov-Witten and Seiberg-Witten invariants, etc.

More precisely, let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold, and $\Omega$ be a degree $n-4$ form satisfying (6.1.1) and the ellipticity condition: for any $x$ in $M$, the symmetric operator $T=-* \Omega \wedge$ on 2 -forms has 1 as its eigenvalue, of multiplicity exactly equal to $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$.

We hope that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$ is a smooth manifold of expected dimension if $g$ and $\Omega$ are in general position.

Let $\operatorname{ad}(E)$ be the adjoint bundle of $E$, i.e., the associated bundle $P(E) \times{ }_{\rho}$ $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ with $\rho$ being the adjoint representation of $G$ in $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$, where $P(E)$ denotes the principal bundle of the $G$-bundle $E$. For any connection $A$, define a linear operator

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{A}: \Omega^{1}(M, \operatorname{ad}(E)) & \mapsto \Omega^{0}(M, \operatorname{ad}(E)) \oplus \Omega_{+}^{2}(M, \operatorname{ad}(E)),  \tag{6.2.1}\\
L_{A}(\varphi) & =\left(D_{A}^{*} \varphi, D_{A} \varphi+*\left(\Omega \wedge D_{A} \varphi\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By our assumption on $\Omega$, each $L_{A}$ is elliptic. Its index is the expected dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, E}$.

If $M$ is a Calabi-Yau 4 -fold with $\theta$ and $\omega$ as in (1.3.1), then by simple computations, one can show that the index of $L_{A}$ is the same as half of the index of the $\bar{\partial}$-operator $D_{A}^{0,1}$ on $\Omega^{0, *}(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$. The index of $D_{A}^{0,1}$ can be computed easily by the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.

Therefore, to carry out this program, we need to prove only transversality for $\Omega$-asd instantons. This will be studied in a future paper.

Let us end this section with a simple example of the above program. Let $E$ be an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-bundle over a Calabi-Yau 3 -fold $V$. Let $\theta_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}$ be, respectively, a holomorphic 3 -form and a Kähler form on $V$, satisfying:

$$
\theta_{0} \wedge \bar{\theta}_{0}=2 \sqrt{-1} \frac{\omega_{0}^{3}}{3!}
$$

Now let $M=V \times T$, where $T$ is a torus of complex dimension one. We denote by $d z$ the standard flat (1,0)-form on $T$. Put

$$
\Omega=4 \operatorname{Re}\left(\theta_{0} \wedge d z\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{0}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} d z \wedge d \bar{z}\right)^{2}
$$

Then $T$-invariant solutions of the $\Omega$-asd equation on $M$ reduce to the solutions of the following equation on $V$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A}^{0,2}=\bar{\partial}^{*} f, \quad F_{A}^{1,1} \wedge \omega_{0}^{2}=[f, \bar{f}] \tag{6.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a connection of $E$ and $f$ is a section of $\wedge^{0,3}(\operatorname{End}(E))$. Note that (6.2.2) is an elliptic system. Presumably, counting solutions of (6.2.2) leads to the so-called holomorphic Casson invariants as studied in [DT] and by R. Thomas in his thesis.
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