

## GAUSSIAN PROCESSES AND ALMOST SPHERICAL SECTIONS OF CONVEX BODIES<sup>1</sup>

BY YEHORAM GORDON

*Technion–Israel Institute of Technology*

We present a simple proof with sharp estimates of Dvoretzky's theorem on the existence of almost spherical sections having large dimension in arbitrary convex bodies in  $R^N$ .

**Introduction.** Dvoretzky's theorem, proved in [1], is a fundamental result in the theory of local structure of Banach spaces. As developed here, the theorem states that for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and integer  $n \geq 1$ , if  $N \geq \alpha \exp(\beta n \varepsilon^{-2})$  is an integer (where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  are universal constants), then for every convex body  $K$  in  $R^N$  which contains the origin  $O$  in its interior, there is a subspace  $E_n$  of dimension  $n$  and a constant  $a > 0$ , such that

$$aB_2^n \subseteq E_n \cap K \subseteq (1 + \varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon)aB_2^n,$$

where  $B_2^n = \{x; \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$  is the standard unit ball of  $R^n$ . Moreover, the lower estimate  $\alpha \exp(\beta n \varepsilon^{-2})$  is independent of  $K$  or the location of  $O$  inside  $K$ . The fact that this estimate is also sharp for the class of centrally symmetric convex bodies was communicated to us by Figiel and is based on arguments proved in [3].

There are several proofs of Dvoretzky's theorem for centrally symmetric convex bodies and in these cases the central symmetry naturally leads to formulations in terms of norms. Milman gave a new proof of the theorem in 1971 with the estimate  $N \geq \alpha \exp(\beta n \varepsilon^{-2} \log(2 + 1/\varepsilon))$  in which he introduced Lévy's isoperimetric inequality as a tool for the first time [8]. Recently, Pisier gave the simplest known proof by essentially reproving Lévy's isoperimetric inequality using Gaussian estimates [9].

The case of the general convex body appeared first in [7], but precise estimates for  $N$  were not obtained there.

A different proof of Dvoretzky's theorem for centrally symmetric convex bodies was presented in [4]. The approach there was to use Theorem 1.4 which extends the Sudakov–Fernique theorem, by which the term  $\log(2 + 1/\varepsilon)$  was eliminated. We now show that this estimate is good also for the general convex body in  $R^N$ . This proof is considerably simpler than the one used in [4], since it is based on an extension of Slepian's lemma, Theorem 1, which was proved initially in [4] and recently given a simple proof by Kahane [6]. Theorem 1 is easier than Theorem 1.4 of [4]. We end with some additional refinements of Theorem 5.

---

Received February 1986; revised February 1987.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported partly by the Fund for the Promotion of Research Grant #100-621 at the Technion and K. & M. Bank Research Grant #100-609.

AMS 1980 *subject classifications*. Primary 60G15, 46B20; secondary 60B11, 60D05.

*Key words and phrases*. Gaussian processes, convex bodies, normed spaces, random linear maps.

**Sharp estimates of Dvoretzky's theorem for general convex sets.** Throughout we shall denote by  $\{g_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ ,  $\{h_i\}_1^n$ ,  $\{g_j\}_1^m$  and  $\{g\}$  independent sets of orthonormal Gaussian r.v.'s.

We shall use the following theorem of [4] which extends Slepian's lemma [10]. A simple proof of this theorem in the normal case, which we use here, appeared recently in [6].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{X_{ij}\}$  and  $\{Y_{ij}\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ) be centered Gaussian r.v.'s such that*

- (1)  $E(X_{ij}^2) = E(Y_{ij}^2)$  for all  $i, j$ ;
- (2)  $E(X_{ij}X_{ik}) \leq E(Y_{ij}Y_{ik})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j, k \leq m$ ;
- (3)  $E(X_{ij}X_{lk}) \geq E(Y_{ij}Y_{lk})$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq l \leq n, 1 \leq j, k \leq m$ .

Then, for all real scalars  $\{\lambda_{ij}\}$ ,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m [X_{ij} \geq \lambda_{ij}]\right) \geq P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m [Y_{ij} \geq \lambda_{ij}]\right).$$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{E} = \{\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)\} \subset R^n$  and  $\Theta = \{\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)\} \subset R^m$  be finite subsets. Let  $\theta_0 = \max\{\|\theta\|_2; \theta \in \Theta\}$  and for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$  define the Gaussian r.v.'s*

$$X_{\varepsilon, \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \varepsilon_i \theta_j g_{ij} + \|\varepsilon\|_2 \theta_0 g,$$

$$Y_{\varepsilon, \theta} = \|\varepsilon\|_2 \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j g_j + \theta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i h_i.$$

Then, for all real scalars  $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon, \theta}\}$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad P\left(\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}} \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} [Y_{\varepsilon, \theta} \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon, \theta}]\right) \leq P\left(\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}} \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} [X_{\varepsilon, \theta} \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon, \theta}]\right) \\ \leq P\left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon, \theta} [X_{\varepsilon, \theta} \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon, \theta}]\right) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon, \theta} [Y_{\varepsilon, \theta} \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon, \theta}]\right).$$

**PROOF.** It is easy to check that the identity

$$(1.2) \quad E(X_{\varepsilon, \theta} X_{\varepsilon', \theta'}) - E(Y_{\varepsilon, \theta} Y_{\varepsilon', \theta'}) = [\theta_0^2 - \langle \theta, \theta' \rangle][\|\varepsilon\|_2 \|\varepsilon'\|_2 - \langle \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \rangle] \geq 0$$

holds for all  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \mathbf{E}$  and  $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$ ; hence,  $E(X_{\varepsilon, \theta} X_{\varepsilon', \theta'}) \geq E(Y_{\varepsilon, \theta} Y_{\varepsilon', \theta'})$ , with equality if  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$ .

The left-hand side of inequality (1.1) follows from Theorem 1. If we now consider  $X_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  and  $Y_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  as singly indexed processes and use (1.2) and Theorem 1 (with  $n = 1$ ), we obtain

$$P\left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon, \theta} [Y_{\varepsilon, \theta} \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon, \theta}]\right) \geq P\left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon, \theta} [X_{\varepsilon, \theta} \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon, \theta}]\right). \quad \square$$

Using now the standard integration by parts formula in inequality (1.1),

$$EX = \int_0^\infty P(X > t) dt - \int_0^\infty P(X < -t) dt,$$

we obtain

**COROLLARY 3.** *In the notation of Corollary 2,*

$$(1.3) \quad E\left(\min_{\varepsilon} \max_{\theta} Y_{\varepsilon, \theta}\right) \leq E\left(\min_{\varepsilon} \max_{\theta} X_{\varepsilon, \theta}\right) \leq E\left(\max_{\varepsilon, \theta} X_{\varepsilon, \theta}\right) \leq E\left(\max_{\varepsilon, \theta} Y_{\varepsilon, \theta}\right).$$

**REMARK 4.** (i) The conditions needed for the proofs of Theorems 5 and 7 motivated the choices of  $X_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  and  $Y_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  of Corollary 2. The main point in Corollaries 2 and 3 is that they provide the means to estimate the quantities which involve the sequence  $X_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  by using the simpler corresponding  $Y_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  sequence.

(ii) We note that inequalities (1.1) and (1.3) stay true if we replace  $\theta_0$  in the definitions of  $X_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  and  $Y_{\varepsilon, \theta}$  by  $\|\theta\|_2$ .

Henceforth, let  $K$  be an arbitrary closed convex body with nonempty interior in  $R^N$  and the origin  $O$  in its interior. Let  $\rho_K$  be the gauge functional of  $K$ , defined by  $\rho_K(x) = \min\{t \geq 0; t^{-1}x \in K\}$ . The dual body to  $K$ ,  $K^*$ , with respect to a given inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined on  $R^N$  is the convex body defined by

$$K^* = \{y \in R^N; \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}.$$

It is well known that  $x \in K$  if and only if  $\langle x, y \rangle \leq 1$  for all  $y \in K^*$  and  $\rho_K(x) = \max\{\langle x, y \rangle; \rho_{K^*}(y) = 1\}$ . If  $K$  is centrally symmetric, then  $\rho_K(x) = \|x\|$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the norm of the Banach space whose unit ball is  $K$ . We shall denote by  $|\cdot|$  the norm defined by  $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$  ( $x \in R^N$ ).  $\|\cdot\|_2$  will denote the usual Euclidean norm. It will be generally clear from the context what inner product norm is used to define  $K^*$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset R^N$ , and  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be the unit vector basis of the  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space  $l_2^n$ . Consider the linear Gaussian map  $G_\omega$  from  $l_2^n = (R^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  to  $(R^N, |\cdot|)$ ,*

$$G_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m g_{i,j}(\omega) e_i \otimes y_j.$$

Then

$$(1.4) \quad E\left(\rho_K\left(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega) y_j\right)\right) - a_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m) \leq E\left(\min_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K(G_\omega(x))\right) \\ \leq E\left(\max_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K(G_\omega(x))\right) \leq E\left(\rho_K\left(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega) y_j\right)\right) + a_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m),$$

where  $\varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m) = \sup\{(\sum \langle y_j, \eta \rangle)^2\}^{1/2}; \eta \in K^*\}$  and

$$a_n = \sqrt{2} \Gamma((n+1)/2) / \Gamma(n/2) \quad (a_n \sim n^{-1/2} \uparrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty).$$

PROOF. A simple computation shows that  $\alpha_n = E(\sum_1^n g_i^2(\omega))^{1/2}$ . Let  $\mathbf{E}$  be the unit sphere  $\{\|\varepsilon\|_2 = 1\}$  of  $l_2^n$  and  $\Theta$  be the set  $\{(\langle y_1, \eta \rangle, \dots, \langle y_m, \eta \rangle); \eta \in \partial K^*\}$ .  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\Theta$  are compact sets in  $R^n$  and  $R^m$ , respectively, and by continuity, inequality (1.3) holds in this case too. We conclude by noting that inequality (1.4) is the interpretation of inequality (1.3).  $\square$

Let us denote by  $d_n(K) = \inf\{c \geq 0; \text{there exists an } n\text{-dimensional subspace } E_n \text{ and an ellipsoid } L \subset E_n \text{ with center at } O, \text{ such that } L \subset K \cap E_n \subset cL\}$ . Notice that  $d_n(K)$  does not depend on the choice of the inner product chosen for  $R^N$ . Corollary 6 provides an estimate for  $d_n(K)$ .

COROLLARY 6. *In the notation of Theorem 5, if  $E(\rho_K(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega)y_j)) > \alpha_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m)$ , then  $d_n(K) \leq b$ , where*

$$b = \frac{E(\rho_K(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega)y_j)) + \alpha_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m)}{E(\rho_K(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega)y_j)) - \alpha_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m)}.$$

PROOF. For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $F(\omega) = \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K(G_\omega(x))$  and  $f(\omega) = \min_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K(G_\omega(x))$ . Then by (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\rho_K\left(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega)y_j\right)\right) - \alpha_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m) &\leq E(f(\omega)) \leq E(F(\omega)) \\ &\leq E\left(\rho_K\left(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega)y_j\right)\right) + \alpha_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists  $\omega_0 \in \Omega$  for which  $[F(\omega_0)]/[f(\omega_0)] \leq b$ . It follows now from the inequality  $f(\omega_0)\|x\|_2 \leq \rho_K(G_{\omega_0}(x)) \leq F(\omega_0)\|x\|_2$  ( $x \in l_2^n$ ) that if  $E_n = \text{span}\{G_{\omega_0}(x); x \in l_2^n\}$  and  $L = (F(\omega_0))^{-1}G_{\omega_0}(B_2^n)$  is the ellipsoid (where  $B_2^n$  is the unit ball of  $l_2^n$ ), then

$$L \subset K \cap E_n \subset bL. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 7. *There exist universal positive constants  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  such that for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and integer  $n$ , if  $N$  is an integer satisfying  $N \geq \alpha_1 \exp(\beta_1 n / \varepsilon^2)$ , then any  $N$ -dimensional convex body  $K$  satisfies  $d_n(K) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon)$ .*

PROOF. Recall the original proof of the Dvoretzky–Rogers lemma for arbitrary convex bodies in  $R^N$  ([2], Lemma 1): If  $K$  is an  $N$ -dimensional convex body with the origin in its interior, there exists an inner product norm  $|\cdot|$  defined on the vector space  $R^N$  and a sequence  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset K \cap K^*$  such that:

- (i)  $1 = |y_j| = \rho_K(y_j)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N$ ).
- (ii) There exists an orthonormal basis  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^N$  for  $(R^N, |\cdot|)$  such that  $y_k = \sum_{i=1}^k y_{k,i} u_i$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} y_{k,i}^2 = 1 - y_{k,k}^2 \leq (k-1)/N$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq N$ .
- (iii)  $\rho_K(\sum_{j=1}^m t_j y_j) \leq (2 + m(m-1)/N)^{1/2} (\sum_{j=1}^m t_j^2)^{1/2}$  for every  $1 \leq m \leq N$  and for all real numbers  $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^m$ .

The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is the one induced by the ellipsoid of maximal volume contained in  $K$  and  $\{y_j\}_1^N$  are contact points of the ellipsoid with the boundary  $\partial K$ ; thus  $\{y_j\}_1^N \subset \partial K^*$ .

By (iii),  $\varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \leq \sqrt{2 + m(m-1)/N}$  and by (i),

$$E\left(\rho_K\left(\sum_1^m g_j y_j\right)\right) \geq E\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \langle \sum g_j y_j, y_k \rangle\right) \geq E\left(\max_k \left(g_k - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^m |\langle y_j, y_k \rangle g_j|\right)\right),$$

but if  $1 \leq i < k \leq m$ , then by (ii),

$$\langle y_i, y_k \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^i |y_{i,j} y_{k,j}| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} y_{k,j}^2\right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} y_{k,j}^2\right) \leq \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{N}};$$

hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^m |\langle y_j, y_k \rangle g_j| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m g_j^2\right)^{1/2} (m-1)/N^{1/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\rho_K\left(\sum_1^m g_j y_j\right)\right) &\geq E\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} g_k - \left(\sum_1^m g_j^2\right)^{1/2} (m-1)/N^{1/2}\right) \\ &\geq c_1 \sqrt{\log m} - \sqrt{m^3/N}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 > 0$  is a positive constant [we use the fact that  $E(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} g_k)$  is asymptotically equivalent to  $\sqrt{\log m}$ ].

If we select  $m = \lceil N^{1/3} \rceil$  and substitute the last inequality in Corollary 6, recalling that  $a_n \leq \sqrt{n}$ , it follows that there exist universal constants  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 > 0$  for which  $d_n(K) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon)$ , whenever  $N \geq \alpha_1 \exp(\beta_1 n/\varepsilon^2)$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 8.** It is easy to see that every  $n$ -dimensional ellipsoid  $L$  in  $(R^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  has an  $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ -dimensional spherical cross section, i.e., there exists a subspace  $H$  of dimension  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  such that  $H \cap L = aB_2^N \cap H$ , where  $a > 0$  is an appropriate constant and  $B_2^n$  is the ball of  $(R^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  [1]. Hence, by Theorem 7,  $K$  has an  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -dimensional cross section which is almost spherical. This is the consequence stated in the introduction.

At this point, we include some remarks on the concentration of a Gaussian operator about its mean. An inequality of Maurey and Pisier (cf. [9]) states that if  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$  satisfies  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sigma \|x - y\|_2$  for all  $x, y \in R^n$ , then for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad P(t; |f(t) - Ef(t)| > \lambda) \leq 2 \exp(-2\pi^{-2} \lambda^2 / \sigma^2),$$

where  $P$  is the canonical Gaussian measure on  $R^n$ .

As before, let  $K \subset R^N$  be an arbitrary convex body with the origin  $O$  in its interior and let  $G_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m g_{i,j}(\omega) e_i \otimes y_j$  be a Gaussian operator from  $l_2^n$  to

$R^N$ , where  $\{y_j\}_1^m \subset R^N$  and  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  is the unit vector basis of  $l_2^n$ . Consider the two functions

$$f(t) = \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m t_{i,j} \xi_i y_j \right)$$

and

$$g(t) = \min_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m t_{i,j} \xi_i y_j \right),$$

which map  $R^{nm}$  to  $R$ . It is easily seen that for all  $x = (\xi_i)_1^n \in R^n$  and  $s, t \in R^{nm}$ ,

$$\rho_K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m t_{i,j} \xi_i y_j \right) \leq \rho_K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m s_{i,j} \xi_i y_j \right) + \rho_K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (t_{i,j} - s_{i,j}) \xi_i y_j \right)$$

and, since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (t_{i,j} - s_{i,j}) \xi_i y_j \right) &\leq \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (t_{i,j} - s_{i,j}) \xi_i \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|x\|_2 \|t - s\|_2 \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m), \end{aligned}$$

it follows immediately that both  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  satisfy the Lipschitz condition with the same  $\sigma = \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m)$ . Thus, both functions are concentrated around their means according to inequality (1.5). By inequality (1.4), it follows that if  $E(\rho_K(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega) y_j))$  is much greater than  $a_n \varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m)$ , then for "large" values of  $\lambda/\varepsilon_2(\{y_j\}_1^m)$ , both  $\max_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K(G_\omega(x))$  and  $\min_{\|x\|_2=1} \rho_K(G_\omega(x))$  are well concentrated around their means, which are approximately equal to  $E(\rho_K(\sum_1^m g_j(\omega) y_j))$ .

We shall now apply the following result taken from [5] to get some additional refinements.

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $\{X_{ijk}\}$  and  $\{Y_{ijk}\}$  be two centered Gaussian processes indexed by  $\{(i, j, k); 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq p\}$ , which satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1)  $E(X_{ijk}^2) = E(Y_{ijk}^2)$  for all  $(i, j, k)$ .
- (2) For any two triples  $\alpha = (i, j, k)$  and  $\beta = (i', j', k')$ ,  $E(X_\alpha X_\beta) \geq E(Y_\alpha Y_\beta)$  if  $i = i'$  and  $j \neq j'$ , and  $E(X_\alpha X_\beta) \leq E(Y_\alpha Y_\beta)$  in all other cases.

Then for all  $\{\lambda_{ijk}\} \subset R$ ,

$$P \left( \bigcap_i \bigcap_j \bigcup_k [X_{ijk} \geq \lambda_{ijk}] \right) \geq P \left( \bigcup_i \bigcap_j \bigcup_k [Y_{ijk} \geq \lambda_{ijk}] \right).$$

Taking  $\lambda_{ijk} = \lambda$  for all  $(i, j, k)$ , we obtain by integration

COROLLARY 10.

$$E\left(\max_i \min_j \max_k X_{ijk}\right) \geq E\left(\max_i \min_j \max_k Y_{ijk}\right).$$

A simple example which illustrates this is

EXAMPLE 11. Let  $A, B$  be positive scalars,  $\lambda_k, \varepsilon_i$  and  $\theta_j$  be  $\pm 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq p$ ). Define

$$Y_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} = A \sum_{k,i} \lambda_k \varepsilon_i g_{k,i} + B \sum_{k,j} \lambda_k \theta_j \tilde{g}_{k,j} + \sqrt{p(A^2n + B^2m)} g$$

and

$$X_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} = A\sqrt{p} \sum_i \varepsilon_i g_i + B\sqrt{p} \sum_j \theta_j h_j + \sqrt{A^2n + B^2m} \sum_k \lambda_k f_k,$$

where  $g, \tilde{g}, f$ , and  $h$  (with the proper indices) stand for real orthonormal Gaussian r.v.'s. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} X_{\lambda', \varepsilon', \theta'}) - E(X_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} X_{\lambda', \varepsilon', \theta'}) \\ = [p - \langle \lambda, \lambda' \rangle] [A^2n + B^2m - A^2 \langle \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \rangle - B^2 \langle \theta, \theta' \rangle], \end{aligned}$$

which is zero when  $\lambda = \lambda'$  and nonnegative when  $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ . By Corollary 10, it follows that

$$E\left(\max_{\lambda} \min_{\varepsilon} \max_{\theta} X\right) \geq E\left(\max_{\lambda} \min_{\varepsilon} \max_{\theta} Y\right),$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (1.6) \quad E \left[ \max_{\lambda_k = \pm 1} \left( B \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \sum_{k=1}^p \tilde{g}_{k,j} \lambda_k \right| - A \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^p g_{k,i} \lambda_k \right| \right) \right] \\ \leq \sqrt{\frac{2p}{\pi}} \left[ Bm - An + \sqrt{p(A^2n + B^2m)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we interchange  $A$  with  $B$  and  $m$  with  $n$  in (1.6), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ \min_{\lambda_k = \pm 1} \left( B \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \sum_{k=1}^p \tilde{g}_{k,j} \lambda_k \right| - A \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^p g_{k,i} \lambda_k \right| \right) \right] \\ \geq \sqrt{\frac{2p}{\pi}} \left[ Bm - An - \sqrt{p(A^2n + B^2m)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  and  $H$  be two convex bodies, not necessarily of the same dimension, containing the origin  $O$  in their interior. Let  $\rho_k$  and  $\rho_H$  be their associated gauge functionals and let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \text{span}(K), \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \text{span}(H)$  and  $G_K: l_2^p \rightarrow \text{span}(K)$  and  $G_H: l_2^p \rightarrow \text{span}(H)$  be the random Gaussian maps defined by

$$G_K = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^n g_{k,i} e_k \otimes x_i \quad \text{and} \quad G_H = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{g}_{k,j} e_k \otimes y_j.$$

**THEOREM 12.** For any positive scalars  $A, B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & BE \left[ \rho_K \left( \sum_1^m h_j y_j \right) \right] - a_p \sqrt{A^2 \varepsilon_2^2(\{x_i\}) + B^2 \varepsilon_2^2(\{y_j\})} - AE \left[ \rho_H \left( \sum_1^n g_i x_i \right) \right] \\
 & \leq E \min_{\|\lambda\|_2=1} \{ B \rho_K(G_K(\lambda)) - A \rho_H(G_H(\lambda)) \} \\
 (*) & \leq E \max_{\|\lambda\|_2=1} \{ B \rho_K(G_K(\lambda)) - A \rho_H(G_H(\lambda)) \} \\
 & \leq BE \left[ \rho_K \left( \sum_1^m h_j y_j \right) \right] + a_p \sqrt{A^2 \varepsilon_2^2(\{x_i\}) + B^2 \varepsilon_2^2(\{y_j\})} - AE \left[ \rho_H \left( \sum_1^n g_i x_i \right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_p$  is defined as in Theorem 5.

**PROOF.** We define the sets  $\Lambda \subset R^p$ ,  $\Theta \subset R^m$  and  $\mathbf{E} \subset R^n$  to be  $\Lambda = \{(\lambda_k)_1^p; \sum \lambda_k^2 = 1\}$ ,  $\Theta = \{(\langle y_j, y \rangle)_1^m; y \in \partial K^*\}$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \{(\langle x_i, x \rangle)_1^n; x \in \partial H^*\}$ .

For each  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , define the two processes

$$Y_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} = A \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_k \varepsilon_i g_{k,i} + B \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_k \theta_j \tilde{g}_{k,j} + Cg$$

and

$$X_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} = A \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i g_i + C \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_k f_k + B \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j h_j,$$

where  $C = \sqrt{A^2 \varepsilon_2^2(\{x_i\}) + B^2 \varepsilon_2^2(\{y_j\})}$ . It is easy to see that

$E(X_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} X_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\theta}}) - E(Y_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} Y_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\theta}}) = [1 - \langle \lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle] [A^2 \langle \varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon} \rangle + B^2 \langle \theta, \tilde{\theta} \rangle - C^2]$ , which is zero when  $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$  and less than or equal to zero when  $\lambda \neq \tilde{\lambda}$ , because  $\langle \varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon} \rangle \leq \varepsilon_2^2(\{x_i\})$  and  $\langle \theta, \tilde{\theta} \rangle \leq \varepsilon_2^2(\{y_j\})$ . Hence, the conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied and it follows from Corollary 10 that

$$E \left( \max_{\lambda} \min_{\varepsilon} \max_{\theta} X_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} \right) \geq E \left( \max_{\lambda} \min_{\varepsilon} \max_{\theta} Y_{\lambda, \varepsilon, \theta} \right),$$

which is precisely the right-hand side of inequality (\*). Now, if we interchange in the right-hand side of (\*) the constants  $A$  with  $B$ ,  $x_i$  with  $y_j$ ,  $n$  with  $m$  and  $K$  with  $H$ , we obtain the left-hand side of (\*). □

**REMARK.** Inequality (1.4) can be obtained from (\*) by taking  $A = 0$ . However, if  $A, B \neq 0$ , we cannot obtain this theorem from Theorem 5.

### REFERENCES

- [1] DVORETZKY, A. (1961). Some results on convex bodies and Banach spaces. *Proc. Internat. Symp. Linear Spaces, Jerusalem*. 123–160. Jerusalem Academic Press, Jerusalem.
- [2] DVORETZKY, A. and ROGERS, C. A. (1950). Absolute and unconditional convergence in normed linear spaces. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **36** 192–197.
- [3] FIGIEL, T., LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and MILMAN, V. D. (1977). The dimensions of almost spherical sections of convex bodies. *Acta Math.* **139** 53–94.



- [4] GORDON, Y. (1985). Some inequalities for Gaussian processes and applications. *Israel J. Math.* **51** 265–289.
- [5] GORDON, Y. (1987). Elliptically contoured distributions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*. To appear.
- [6] KAHANE, J.-P. (1986). Une inegalite du type de Slepian et Gordon sur les processus Gaussiens. *Israel J. Math.* **55** 109–110.
- [7] LARMAN, D. G. and MANI, P. (1975). Almost ellipsoidal sections and projections of convex bodies. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **77** 529–546.
- [8] MILMAN, V. D. (1971). A new proof of the theorem of A. Dvoretzky on sections of convex bodies. *Functional Anal. Appl.* **5** 28–37. (Russian translation.)
- [9] PISIER, G. (1986). Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1206** 1–154. Springer, New York.
- [10] SLEPIAN, D. (1962). The one-sided barrier problem for Gaussian noise. *Bell System Tech. J.* **41** 463–501.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
HAIFA 32000  
ISRAEL