Research article

Open Access

Gene expression profiling of alveolar soft-part sarcoma (ASPS)

Luke H Stockwin¹, David T Vistica², Susan Kenney², David S Schrump⁴, Donna O Butcher⁵, Mark Raffeld³ and Robert H Shoemaker^{*2}

Address: ¹Drug Mechanisms Group, Developmental Therapeutics Program, SAIC-Frederick Inc., National Cancer Institute at Frederick, Frederick, MD 21702, USA, ²Screening Technologies Branch, Developmental Therapeutics Program, National Cancer Institute at Frederick, Frederick, MD 21702, USA, ³Laboratory of Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA, ⁴Thoracic Oncology Section, Surgery Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA and ⁵Pathology/Histotechnology Laboratory, SAIC-Frederick Inc., National Cancer Institute at Frederick, Frederick, MD 21702, USA

Email: Luke H Stockwin - Stockwin@ncifcrf.gov; David T Vistica - vistica@dtpax2.ncifcrf.gov; Susan Kenney - skenney@ncifcrf.gov; David S Schrump - david_schrump@nih.gov; Donna O Butcher - butcherd@ncifcrf.gov; Mark Raffeld - mraff@mail.nih.gov; Robert H Shoemaker* - shoemaker@dtpax2.ncifcrf.gov

* Corresponding author

Published: 15 January 2009

BMC Cancer 2009, 9:22 doi:10.1186/1471-2407-9-22

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/9/22

© 2009 Stockwin et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 15 September 2008 Accepted: 15 January 2009

Abstract

Background: Alveolar soft-part sarcoma (ASPS) is an extremely rare, highly vascular soft tissue sarcoma affecting predominantly adolescents and young adults. In an attempt to gain insight into the pathobiology of this enigmatic tumor, we performed the first genome-wide gene expression profiling study.

Methods: For seven patients with confirmed primary or metastatic ASPS, RNA samples were isolated immediately following surgery, reverse transcribed to cDNA and each sample hybridized to duplicate high-density human UI33 plus 2.0 microarrays. Array data was then analyzed relative to arrays hybridized to universal RNA to generate an unbiased transcriptome. Subsequent gene ontology analysis was used to identify transcripts with therapeutic or diagnostic potential. A subset of the most interesting genes was then validated using quantitative RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry.

Results: Analysis of patient array data versus universal RNA identified elevated expression of transcripts related to angiogenesis (ANGPTL2, HIF-I alpha, MDK, c-MET, VEGF, TIMP-2), cell proliferation (PRL, IGFBP1, NTSR2, PCSK1), metastasis (ADAM9, ECM1, POSTN) and steroid biosynthesis (CYP17A1 and STS). A number of muscle-restricted transcripts (ITGB1BP3/MIBP, MYF5, MYF6 and TRIM63) were also identified, strengthening the case for a muscle cell progenitor as the origin of disease. Transcript differentials were validated using real-time PCR and subsequent immunohistochemical analysis confirmed protein expression for several of the most interesting changes (MDK, c-MET, VEGF, POSTN, CYP17A1, ITGB1BP3/MIBP and TRIM63).

Conclusion: Results from this first comprehensive study of ASPS gene expression identifies several targets involved in angiogenesis, metastasis and myogenic differentiation. These efforts represent the first step towards defining the cellular origin, pathogenesis and effective treatment strategies for this atypical malignancy.

Background

Alveolar soft-part sarcoma (ASPS) is an extremely rare (1 diagnosis per 10 million population per year) soft tissue sarcoma with an indolent course and generally poor prognosis [1-3]. The disease manifests in young adults (15–35 years), where it is usually diagnosed from a painless mass in the lower limbs, head or neck [1,4]. ASPS is surprisingly slow growing with a clinical course averaging 15 years, where late stage disease is associated with metastasis to multiple sites including the lungs, bone, lymph nodes and brain [4]. The protracted ontogeny of ASPS makes it relatively resistant to classical chemotherapy and current treatment options are limited to surgical resection and localized radiotherapy.

Histologically, ASPS is composed of organoid nests of polygonal tumor cells encompassed by a dense capillary vasculature, giving rise to the 'alveolar' appearance [1,2]. In spite of several reports suggesting ASPS arises from a myogenic progenitor, the tissue ontogeny of ASPS is still contested, primarily because consistent detection of muscle markers (e.g. MYOD1 or MYOG) has not been demonstrated [5,6]. ASPS cells also contain numerous periodic acid Schiff-positive, diastase-resistant crystalline structures, recently identified as containing monocarboxylate transporter 1 (MCT1) and the cellular chaperone CD147 [7].

At the cytogenetic level, ASPS exhibits a conserved abnormality in the form of an unbalanced translocation der(17)t(X;17)(p11;q25) which fuses the N-terminal region of the alveolar soft part locus gene (ASPL), located at 17q25, to the C-terminal region of the transcription factor E3 (TFE3), located at Xp11. Two alternative fusion junctions have been observed resulting in the expression of two distinct fusion transcripts, ASPL-TFE3 type 1 and type 2 [8]. TFE3 is a ubiquitous basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) leucine zipper transcription factor, which binds positive strand e-box motifs [CANNTG] [9]. High-affinity interactions with DNA occur when TFE3 is present as a homodimer or when combined with other bHLH factors including MITF, TFEB and TFEC [10]. Conversely, ASPL has only been partially characterized as a tethering protein that forces retention of GLUT4-containing vesicles in the cytoplasm in the absence of insulin [10,11].

The current consensus is that aberrant TFE3 transcriptional activity modulated by the ASPL fusion partner is likely responsible for elements of ASPS pathogenesis. Further support for the primacy of TFE3 comes from the detection of alternate TFE3 fusion proteins (PRCC-TFE3, PSF-TFE3, NONO-TFE3) in a subset of renal carcinomas [12-15]. As regards novel properties of ASPL-TFE3, one study using an anti-TFE3 antibody demonstrated 'aberrantly strong' nuclear staining in ASPS patients suggesting either enhanced nuclear trafficking, protein stability or expression levels [16]. More recently, fusion proteins containing TFE3 have been shown to directly activate transcription of *c-MET* (Hepatocyte growth factor receptor) through a consensus e-box [17]. Given that c-MET plays an important role in cell survival and angiogenesis, this important observation forges a link between ASPL-TFE3 and disease pathogenesis, intimating that genes with similar promoter elements may also be activated [18].

Therefore, as a slow growing yet highly vascular sarcoma, ASPS is an intriguing candidate for gene expression analysis. In this study, freshly isolated patient RNA was used to generate a transcriptomic profile for ASPS. Results demonstrated elevated expression for factors involved in angiogenesis including: angiopoietin-like 2 (*ANGPTL2*), the HGF receptor (*c-MET*), Hypoxia-Inducible factor 1 α (*HIF1A*), midkine (*MDK*) and vascular endothelial growth factors A/B (*VEGF/VEGFB*). Further exploration identified muscle-specific beta 1 integrin binding protein (*ITGB1BP3/MIBP*), muscle-specific RING finger protein 1 (*TRIM63*) and Myogenic factors 5 and 6 (*MYF5/6*) as potential ASPS markers. Overall, these data identify molecular targets with the potential to aid the diagnosis and treatment of ASPS.

Methods

A list of antibody sources, dilutions, retrieval conditions and positive control tissues used in immunohistochemical analysis is provided [see Additional file 1]. Unless otherwise indicated in the following methods, all other chemicals and inhibitors were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

ASPS diagnosis and RNA isolation

Tumors were obtained from surgery following informed consent under NCI clinical research protocol 05-C-N138, approved by the U.S. National Cancer Institute (NCI) Institutional Review Board (IRB), and with the assistance of the Alliance Against Alveolar Soft-Part Sarcoma (TAAASPS). This research is in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration for conduct of research utilizing human subjects. A representative tumor sample (0.5–1.0 cm) was fixed in buffered 10% formalin for histopathology. The following criteria were employed for the diagnosis of ASPS: the presence of organoid nests of cells surrounded by endothelial-lined vascular channels in H&E stained material [1]; cytoplasmic PAS positive crystals following digestion with diastase [7]; the presence of the ASPL-TFE3 type 1 or type 2 fusion transcript [8], nuclear staining with antibodies to the transcription factor TFE3 [16] and to either the ASPL-TFE3 type 1 or type 2 fusion protein [19]. For isolation of RNA, a portion of tumor tissue was immediately placed in RNA later solution (Ambion, Austin, TX) and stored at 4°C. Total RNA was prepared using the RNeasy Fibrous Midi Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of RNA was determined on a Nanodrop spectrophotometer, and the A260/A280 values were greater than 1.9. The integrity and size distribution of RNA were evaluated on 1% denaturing NuSieve agarose gels (FMC, Rockland, ME) followed by ethidium bromide staining.

Reverse transcription

Total RNA was isolated from cells using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and reverse transcribed using Omniscript RT according to the manufacturers' instructions. A standard reaction comprised 2 μ g total RNA, 0.5 mM each dNTP, 2 μ M random decamers (Ambion, Austin, TX) and 4 units of reverse transcriptase in 20 μ l total volume of 1× RT buffer. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 90 min at 37 °C and the cDNA product diluted to 1 μ g/ml and stored at -80 °C.

Microarray data acquisition

Microarray data was collected at Expression Analysis, Inc. (Durham, NC) using the GeneChip[®] Human U133 plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix), according to standardized operating procedures. Overall, analysis was performed on RNA from seven ASPS patients and universal RNA, where all samples were analyzed in duplicate. The universal reference RNA (Clontech) is a mixture of RNAs from a collection of adult human tissues (male and female) representing a broad range of expressed genes. Affymetrix array data files (.CEL) have been deposited with the Gene Expression omnibus <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE13433</u>.

Microarray data analysis

The Genesifter suite (VizX labs, Seattle, WA) was used for analysis of microarray data. In brief, compressed .CEL files containing array data were loaded into the Genesifter web portal <u>http://www.genesifter.net</u>. Using the advanced upload function, probe-level data was then compiled, normalized and transformed using GC-RMA [20]. Pairwise analysis was then performed comparing universal RNA arrays with ASPS patient arrays. The following criteria were applied to filter the differentially expressed transcript list, a fold change of >3 and a Wilcoxon rank sum test where p < 0.05 with Benjamini-Hochberg estimation of false discovery rate (FDR). The list of differentially regulated transcripts was then exported into excel for gene ontogeny analysis.

Quantitative RT-PCR

SYBR Green chemistry was used to detect primer specific amplicons. For each reaction, 12.5 μ l Quantitect SYBR Green PCR mastermix (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) was diluted 1:2 in DNase free water containing 5 ng cDNA and 1 μ M of specific primer pair. Reactions were performed in triplicate and universal 18S RNA primers (Ambion, Austin, TX) were used to normalize cDNA amplification. The fluorochrome ROX, included in the PCR mastermix, was used as a passive reference. Reactions were performed using an ABI7500 thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Cycling conditions consisted of a single 10 min/95 °C activation step followed by 50 cycles of 95 °C/15 s, 60 °C/60 s and 72 °C/60 s with fluorescence measurements taken in the elongation step of each cycle. Fold changes and p values were then calculated by copying CT data into a Superarray analysis template (Superarray Inc. Frederick, MD). For each primer pair melting curve analysis was used to confirm the presence of a single amplicon. Sequences of primers used in quantitative RT-PCR are provided [see Additional file 2].

Immunohistochemistry

In brief, tissue sections $(5 \,\mu m)$ affixed to glass slides, were deparaffinized and treated for 15 minutes in methanol containing 0.6% H₂O₂ followed by either 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) or 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a microwave vacuum histoprocessor (RHS-1; Milestone, Italy). The temperature was gradually increased to 100°C over 10 minutes and maintained at 100°C for an additional 10 minutes. Sections were cooled, rinsed sequentially in H₂O and PBS for 10 minutes each. Primary antibodies, used at dilutions indicated [see Additional file 1] were overlayed onto the tissue sections and incubated either overnight or for 30 min at room temperature. Sections were rinsed in PBS for 10 minutes and incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody for 30 minutes. Sections were rinsed in PBS for 10 minutes and processed according to instructions detailed in the detection kits.

Results

Microarray analysis

For seven patients with confirmed ASPS, fresh tumor specimens were obtained directly after surgery, total RNA was extracted, quality assured and then reverse transcribed to cDNA. Variables for these tumors in terms of sex, age, primary/metastatic disease and ASPL-TFE3 fusion type (1/2) are shown in Table 1. After reverse transcription, the seven

Table I: ASPS	Patient	Demographics
---------------	---------	--------------

Patient	Age	Sex	ASPL-TFE3 Fusion Type	Primary or Metastasis
I	26	М	2	P (Thigh)
2	39	F	I	M (Mandible)
3	25	Μ	2	P (Hip)
4	15	F	2	M (Lung)
5	14	Μ	2	P (Calf)
6	27	F	I	P (Thigh)
7	22	М	2	M (Lung)

ASPL-TFE3 fusion type determined using RT-PCR according to Ladanyi *et al.* 2001 [8]

cDNAs were each hybridized to duplicate high-density U133 plus 2 microarrays (~47,400 transcript). Two additional arrays, hybridized to universal cDNA (Promega) were included as standards. The choice of universal RNA normalization, as opposed to normalizing against a single normal tissue or alternate pooled sarcoma ensured that an relatively unbiased (basal) gene expression profile was generated. Analysis of combined ASPS patient arrays versus universal RNA was performed using the pairwise analysis function within GeneSifter (VizX labs), where the duplicate universal RNA arrays (control group) were analyzed against duplicate arrays for all seven ASPS patients (test group). A list of differentially expressed transcripts was then generated according to the following criteria; GC-RMA normalization, <0.05 adjusted p-value, Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery estimates with > +/-3 fold changes in expression. The generated output consisted of 7367 differentially regulated transcripts, where 4731 (64.2%) were downregulated and 2636 (35.7%) showed upregulated expression [see Additional file 3].

Validation and gene ontology analysis

Microarrary data was then interrogated with reference to gene ontology (GO) databases with the overall aim of identifying a subset of genes for validation with quantitative RT-PCR. Transcripts with potential disease relevance or drugability, such as those implicated in angiogenesis, metastasis, proliferation and drug resistance were of particular interest. Reference databases used to mine gene ontology information included Ingenuity Pathways Analysis <u>http://www.Ingenuity.com</u>, Entrez Gene <u>http://</u> www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, Genecards http://www.gene cards.org/index.shtml and Symatlas http://symat las.gnf.org/SymAtlas/. A subset of relevant genes was then validated using SYBR-green based quantitative RT-PCR. In total, primers were designed for 61 genes and expression relative to universal RNA determined for all seven ASPS patient samples. A summary of results from both microarray analysis and quantitative RT-PCR, subdivided into molecular function is shown in Figure 1. Results demonstrated concordance in direction of change between techniques with acceptable p-values, supporting differential regulation of the gene subset. However, for several transcripts a significant discrepancy was evident in the extent of change between the different techniques. Although some differences can be anticipated based on primer/ probe specificity, methods for calculating fold change and dynamic range of detection, results for several transcripts were considerable (PRM1/2 and UMOD). For example, protamine 1 was downregulated 1160.1 fold by microarray versus 75.4 fold for RT-PCR. This result should be regarded as a 'zero' result for the microarray rather than a true representation of fold change and highlights a potential drawback in the use of universal RNA as a standard

given that it favors extreme differentials that may reside outside of the quantitation range of a technique.

Immunohistochemistry

A tissue array of paraffin-embedded ASPS tumors was then constructed for immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis. Each array contained multiple sections from each of the seven patients, where ASPS origin was confirmed by staining with H&E, PAS/diastase and α-TFE3 antibody. Staining protocols were then established using several commercially available antibodies against targets identified during gene expression analysis. Representative examples of IHC staining patterns for each experimental antibody are shown in Figure 2. Results demonstrated that an antibody against HIF-1a showed nuclear localized staining consistent with the regulation of HIF-1 α expression by hypoxia gradients. An Anti-VEGF antibody produced intense and consistent staining of endothelial cells, highlighting the potential importance of this mediator in establishing ASPS morphology and potentially also in disease progression. A similar staining pattern was evident using antibodies against the secreted protein Periostin (POSTN), suggesting that endothelial cells are either the source of or ultimate target of this molecule. Conversely, midkine (MDK) staining was found across all patients and localized to the cytoplasm of the ASPS tumor cells themselves. Interestingly, for c-MET, all ASPS tumors were positive with expression localized to both cytoplasm and nucleus, which is consistent with it's recognized cycling between these two cellular compartments. Some of the most intense staining was observed for an antibody against CYP17A1, which localized to tumor cell cytoplasm. Lastly, antibodies against the muscle-specific proteins ITGB1BP3/MIBP and TRIM63 reacted consistently with ASPS tumor sections with cytosolic localization. These experiments suggest that detection of mRNA reflects, at least qualitatively, expression of protein. More importantly, immunohistochemistry data provides confidence that biological inferences can be drawn from the broader transcriptomic analysis.

Discussion

Our current understanding of ASPS disease origin, pathogenesis and effective treatment strategies is rudimentary. In this study, we began to address these issues by generating a genome-wide ASPS transcriptome using freshly isolated patient RNA. The quality of target RNA is a central issue in successful gene expression analysis, especially when using high-feature microarrays. Therefore, the decision was taken to focus efforts on RNA freshly isolated from a small number of ASPS patients rather than utilizing degraded RNA from archived paraffin-embedded specimens. Results demonstrated that ASPS has a molecular signature encompassing genes with therapeutic and diagnostic potential (Figure 3). Similarly, identification of

			Microarray		Q-RI-PCR			Patient #				
	Gene ID	Gene Name	Ratio	p value	Ratio	p value 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Angiogenesis	ANGPTL2	Angiopoietin-like 2	72.9	1.4E-09	152.2	5.1E-04						
	HIF1A	Hypoxia-inducible factor 1, alpha subunit	6.2	8.1E-10	4.2	7.1E-02						
	MDK	Midkine	59.5	1.5E-07	34.5	6.0E-02						
	MET	Met proto-oncogene (HGF Receptor)	10.8	1.0E-06	7.7	4.1E-02						
	PLG	Plasminogen	-49.6	1.1E-24	-110.6	9.7E-03						
	STAB1	Stabilin-1	9.2	6.1E-06	6.4	1.4E-01						
TIMP2		Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2	14.2	1.3E-05	3.6	3.4E-03						
	VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor	3.9	9.3E-06	6.7	1.4E-03						
	VEGEB	Vascular endothelial growth factor B	4.9	57E-05	80.8	3 4E-02						
Growth Factors/	IGFBP1	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1	91.1	1.8E-03	43.6	2.0E-01						
Mediators	NTSR2	Neurotensin recentor 2	85.0	1.0E-04	52.2	3.9E-03		_				
linealatere	PCSK1	Proprotein convertase subtilisinkexin 1	24.4	4 7E-05	31.9	1.5E-01						
	PRI	Prolactin	750.5	7 9E-04	155.5	2.7E-03		-				
DNA/RNA metabolism	IHDK3	Inosital hevakisphasphate kinase 3	34.3	3 2E-09	14.2	2.7E-00						
	PRM1	Protamine 1	-1160 1	2 3E-24	-75.4	6.4E-02						
	DDM2	Protamine 2	1900.5	1 75 20	-75.4	2.15.01						
		Liriding phoenhordoog	-1090.5	1.76-29	-4.0	1 15 04						
		Carbovy montidooo Z	00.9	1.0E-00	00.0	1.1E-04		_				_
Developmental		Carboxypepilidase 2	20.2	4.20-03	26.6	2.3E-04		_				_
		Chappentain Nech	51.4	1.0E-07	20.0	3.7E-04		-				
	GPINIMB		123.3	2.3E-08	906.9	4.3E-04	_	-				
	INHBE		854.3	1.2E-12	126.7	5.7E-04						
	PRKAGZ	AMP-activated protein kinase gamma2	66.6	1.1E-07	161.9	1.2E-06						
	PSG9	Pregnancy specific beta-1-glycoprotein 9	82.0	4.4E-02	30.7	1.8E-01						
	BCAT1	Branched-amino-acid aminotransferase	11.6	9.6E-05	7.9	6.7E-02						
	CPXM2	Carboxypeptidase-like protein X2	18.5	3.5E-06	35.3	2.5E-03						
Tissue Restricted	CST2	Cystatin SA	102.8	3.4E-03	710.8	7.9E-02						
	ENO3	Enolase 3 (beta, muscle)	43.2	1.1E-05	49.5	1.0E-04						
	FAP	Human integral membrane serine protease	28.9	9.5E-04	2.8	4.3E-02						
	HES4	Homo sapiens bHLH factor	13.8	1.1E-05	7.0	6.9E-02						
	ITGB1BP3	Muscle-specific beta 1 integrin binding	1238.8	4.3E-13	482.3	5.8E-03						
	KBTBD10	Sarcosin (Kelch-related protein 1)	371.0	6.4E-09	95.0	2.0E-02						
	MYF6	Myogenic factor 6 (Herculin)	300.6	1.2E-10	27.8	6.4E-04						
	NEB	Nebulin	159.4	2.5E-07	11.6	1.2E-01						
	PLOD2	Lysine hydroxylase 2	20.0	1.1E-05	23.7	1.1E-03						
	RAPSN	43kDa postsynaptic protein	12.9	3.6E-07	7.7	2.5E-02						
	SRPX2	Sushi-repeat protein	32.6	3.7E-07	14.9	2.0E-03						
	TRIM63	Muscle-specific RING finger protein 1	726.5	7.9E-11	141.7	6.2E-02						
Drug Resistance	ABCB5	ATP-binding cassette sub-family B5	227.4	1.1E-02	8.7	1.2E-01						
-	ABCC9	ATP-binding cassette, sub-family C9	20.9	3.4E-03	24.5	2.1E-02						
	NAT8	N-acetyltransferase 8	-917.7	7.7E-23	-4.1	1.9E-03						
Cell Cvcle	ABP1	Amiloride binding protein 1	-269.5	1.7E-18	-13.0	5.8E-05						
	CCND1	Cyclin D1	13.6	8.4E-06	86.1	8.3E-03						
	UMOD	Uromodulin	-2235.2	1.6E-22	-43.2	2.7E-03						
Steroid Biosynthesis	CYP17A1	Steroid 17-alpha-hydroxylase	33.2	2.2E-02	454.3	7.1E-03						
·····	STS	Steroid sulfatase (microsomal)	62.4	2.6E-05	68.9	2.6E-03						
Metastasis	ADAM9	Disintegrin metalloproteinase domain 9	9.0	5 2E-04	33.6	1 2E-03						
motuotuoto	CHI3L2	Chitinase	34.6	2.3E-02	129.7	2.9E-02						
	CTSK	Cathensin K	19.2	1.0E-05	368.3	8 2E-05						
	ECM1	Extracellular matrix protein 1	19.6	1 1E-04	198.6	1 1E-01						
	POSTN	Periostin	71.0	84F-04	138.7	2.9E-02	-					
Apontosis	BIRC7	Livin inhibitor-of-apontosis	32.6	4.5E-04	79.1	6 0E-03						
Apoptosis	CASPA		7.0	4.3E-04	27.3	1.3E-03		-				
Adhesion Molecules		Catopin dolta 2	1.3	1 75 15	21.5	5.1E.02						
	SCAPP1	Calefilli della-2	-43.7	1.7E-15	-3.0	1.6E.02						
The second states and second	DULUDO	Bull Li areteia DEC2	31.9	4.0E-09	141.4	1.0E-03	-	-				
ranscription Factors	DELUBS	Transprintion factor NVD an10	3.0	3.9E-00	49.5	5.25-04						
Unknowno	COOstfOC	Chromosome 20 ener reading frame 20	-202.1	1.3E-20	-14.5	5.2E-05						
Unknowns	C200H26	Unromosome 20 open reading frame 26	140.8	1.9E-05	30.3	1.1E-01						
	KIAA0350	mypoinetical protein LOC23274	182.9	0.UE-08	0.00	3.1E-04						

Figure I

Validation of Microarray Data for selected transcripts by Quantitative RT-PCR. For a subset of genes identified as potentially interesting from GO analysis, quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed to validate the extent and direction of change. Results are shown subdivided into molecular function, with fold change values for both microarray and quantitative RT-PCR shown along with heat map representation of individual patient values with +/- 20 fold limits.

elevated expression for several transcripts provided clues towards the cellular origin of disease.

Altered expression of transcripts involved in the response to hypoxia and angiogenesis suggests that these pathways are active in ASPS and provides some of the most interesting candidates for therapeutic intervention. The greatest increase in angiogenesis-related genes was seen for angiopoietin-like 2 (ANGPTL2). Angiopoietins are hypoxia-regulated members of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family, where ANGPTL2 acts as an endothelial survival factor that does not bind TIE1 or TIE2 [21].

-20

0

+20



Figure 2

Analysis of marker protein expression using an ASPS tissue array. Paraffin-embedded sections from seven ASPS tumors were arrayed on glass slides and analyzed by immunohistochemistry. For all sections, ASPS origin was confirmed by detection of intracellular granules using periodic-acid Schiff diastase (PAS/D) staining and nuclear reactivity when stained with an anti-TFE3 antibody. Images shown are representative of staining patterns for the majority tumors. All Images were captured at 350 × magnification.

Increased expression was also observed for the master transcriptional regulator of the response to hypoxia, HIF- 1α (HIF1A), which is interesting given that regulation of HIF- 1α occurs predominantly through a post-translational mechanism [22]. Similarly, enhanced expression of Midkine (MDK), a hypoxia-induced growth factor involved in cell survival and migration, provides a potential target for inhibition [23]. Here, we also confirm consistent increases in expression of the hepatocyte growth factor receptor (MET). In a recent study, ASPL-TFE3 and other TFE3 fusion proteins were shown to bind and strongly activate the MET promoter [17]. Furthermore, in cells expressing TFE3, inhibition of MET using siRNA or a small molecule MET inhibitor impaired tumor growth. A similarly important observation concerned elevated

expression of two members of the VEGF family, VEGF-A and VEGF-B, supporting the utility of inhibitors such as Bevacizumab in the treatment of ASPS [24]. Increased expression of Stabilin (STAB1) may also contribute towards an angiogenic phenotype, given that this scavenger receptor is expressed on endothelial cells and inhibition with monoclonal antibodies has been shown to prevent cord formation *in vitro* [25]. In a recent study, RNA isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded ASPS tumor material was subjected to focused microarray analysis with emphasis on genes involved in angiogenesis [26]. Overall, 18 genes were found to be upregulated >1.5 fold with 8 regulated >3 fold. Cross-comparison showed that 7/18 of these genes (MDK, PTN, JAG1, TGFB1, NRP1, HIF1A and TIMP2) were represented in our transcriptome



Figure 3

Potential ASPS diagnostic and therapeutic targets identified during the study. Established therapeutic targets or those currently undergoing development are marked with a star.

(which utilizes a 3-fold cut-off). These results are noteworthy as they confirm several of our own observations and highlight a possible role for JAG1. Overall, identification of several angiogenic mediators (Angiopoietin-like 2, c-MET, HIF-1 α and VEGF) provides a molecular framework for ASPS vascular histology and supports the use of anti-angiogenic agents in treatment [24].

Increased expression of several mitogenic factors was also noted. One example was Prolactin (PRL), a 23 kDa peptide hormone implicated in a diverse range of processes including lactation and cell proliferation [27]. Prolactin is recognized as a breast cancer cell survival factor and prolactin inhibitors demonstrate the ability to inhibit cell proliferation *in vitro* and to slow tumor growth in mice [28,29]. Further transcripts involved in growth regulation showing upregulation included; insulin like growth factor binding protein 1 (IGFBP1), Neurotensin receptor 2 (NTSR2) and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 1 (PCSK1).

Several genes broadly implicated in metastasis showed elevated expression. Periostin (POSTN) is a 90 kDa disulphide-linked secreted protein which has homology to the axon guidance protein fasciclin I [30]. Periostin is expressed predominantly in fetal tissues and also in ovarian cancer, where it binds $\alpha V\beta 3/\alpha V\beta 5$ integrins and promotes cellular motility [31]. Neutralizing therapeutic antibodies directed against Periostin have already undergone pre-clinical evaluation, with promising results [32]. Extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1) meanwhile is a recently identified secreted glycoprotein, over-expressed in several epithelial malignancies, recognized as capable of promoting metastasis and angiogenesis [33]. A disin-

tegrin and metalloproteinase domain 9 (ADAM9) is a membrane bound metalloprotease, which like the other ADAM family members plays a role in cellular invasion, metastasis and angiogenesis [34]. Along with proteolytic activity, ADAM9 appears to function as an adhesion molecule mediating interactions with $\alpha\nu\beta$ 5 integrin [35]. This protein is expressed in a variety of normal tissues and shows elevated expression in certain cancers and under conditions of cellular stress [36].

Aberrant expression was also noted for transcripts implicated in embryonic development. Pregnancy-specific glycoprotein 9 (PSG9) is a secreted glycoprotein belonging to the CEA family. In colorectal cancer, PSG9 also shows elevated expression [37]. However, the function of the antigen is largely unknown, although an immunological role has been suggested [38]. Equally, glycoprotein NMB (GPNMB) is a type I membrane protein with homology to the melanoma antigen pMEL17 [39]. This antigen has been identified at the plasma membrane of several malignancies including melanoma and glioma [39,40]. A humanized toxin-conjugated NMB antibody was shown to be effective in killing antigen-positive melanoma, suggesting that this therapeutic may have potential in the treatment of other NMB positive malignancies [41]. Enhanced expression was observed for Inhibin beta E chain (INHBE), a subunit which contributes towards the activin/inhibin pleotropic hormone axis. Inhibins are heterodimers of one α and one β subunit, whereas activins are homo- or heterodimers of β subunits only. As members of the extended TGF- β super family, these activins play a number of roles including cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis [42]. Two genes involved in steroid biosynthesis, cytochrome P450-17A1 (CYP17A1) and Steroid sulfatase (STS), also show increased expression. CYP17A1 is an enzyme that converts pregnenolone and progesterone to their 17- alpha-hydroxylated products whereas STS catalyzes the conversion of sulfated steroid precursors to estrogens.

With respect to defining ASPS cellular ontogeny, Symatlas identified a preponderance of muscle-restricted genes in the ASPS transcriptome (results not shown). Classical markers of skeletal muscle development (myogenin, myoblast determination protein 1, desmin and myoglobin) were not detected at the mRNA level, mirroring reports obtained elsewhere [6]. However, myogenic factors 5 and 6 (MYF5/6), important members of the myogenic basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family of transcription factors, were upregulated. Interestingly, myogenic factors such as these bind to the same E-box consensus motif [CANNTG] as TFE3 [43]. In terms of myogenic differentiation, evidence suggests that MYF5 is involved in progenitor cell proliferation whereas myoblast determination protein 1 and myogenin are concerned

more with cell specialization and terminal differentiation [44]. A further compelling candidate for an ASPS marker was Muscle-Specific B1 Integrin Binding Protein (ITGB1BP3/MIBP). Expression of this transcript was significantly increased in ASPS relative to universal RNA (1238 up microarray; 482 up QPCR) and according to Symatlas is expressed primarily in skeletal muscle and heart. A possible link to ASPS pathogenesis may be inferred from one recent study showing that in myoblasts, ITGB1BP3 suppresses fusion and terminal differentiation [45]. This protein appears to function by selectively binding the α 7 β 1 v laminin receptor and mediating reduced laminin cell adhesion and matrix deposition [46]. Similarly, muscle specific enolase 3 (ENO3) was upregulated in all patients. This enzyme is a HIF-1 α inducible gene thought to be involved in striated muscle development and regeneration [47,48]. Increased expression was similarly observed for Muscle-specific RING finger protein 1 (TRIM63), an E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in the degradation of sarcomere-associated proteins such as troponin [49]. In combination with atrogin-1, this protein is thought to be involved in skeletal muscle atrophy [50]. A further upregulated skeletal muscle restricted transcript was Nebulin (NEB), a giant (800 kDa) protein that maintains the structural integrity of sarcomeres in skeletal muscle [51]. ASPS cells are reported to contain cytoplasmic granules containing monocarboxylate transporter 1 (MCT1) and the accessory protein Basigin (BSG/CD147) [7]. A search of our array data identified increased expression of MCT1 but not CD147.

A group of smooth muscle restricted transcripts were similarly upregulated. Fibroblast activation protein α (FAP) is a gelatinase implicated in tissue remodeling and tumor invasion expressed primarily in smooth muscle and also found in some melanoma cell lines [52]. Sushi-repeatcontaining protein, X-linked 2 (SRPX2) is a poorly characterized protein expressed primarily in smooth muscle and neuronal tissue [53]. Finally, lysyl hydroxylase 2 (PLOD2) is responsible for the production of stabilizing hydroxylysine residues in collagens and shows highest expression in smooth muscle [54].

Therefore, detection of two myogenic regulatory factors MYF5/MYF6 along with several other muscle-specific transcripts including ITGB1BP3/MIBP and TRIM63 seems to favor a myogenic progenitor [44]. Several reports have explored the possible myogenic origin of ASPS [55-61]. Within these, there are conflicting reports of expression of the muscle-specific factor MyoD1 [58-60]. Our data suggest that MyoD1 is absent whereas family members MYF5 and MYF6 are expressed. We also concur with results showing that ASPS is myogenin negative [61]. Therapeutic targeting of muscle-specific protein may also have potential. For example, experiments using siRNAs are currently

underway to determine whether the reported ability of ITGB1BP3/MIBP to inhibit myoblasts terminal differentiation also extends to ASPS cells [45].

Conclusion

In conclusion, the work presented here comprises the first genome-wide microarray analysis of ASPS; generated using RNA isolated from tumors at the time of surgery. The approach used strongly suggests that for rare tumors such as ASPS, microarray analysis of small number of fresh tumor RNA samples is preferable to analysis of RNA from large numbers of archival specimens. Results identify targets with diagnostic/therapeutic potential and suggest a myogenic progenitor as the origin of disease. Our ongoing efforts towards classification of ASPS in the context of other soft-tissue sarcomas and the development of an ASPS cell line will further address the issue of cellular origin. An equally important question concerns the exact role of the ASPL-TFE3 fusion protein in tumorigenesis. The ability of fusion proteins containing TFE3 to transactivate e-box containing genes such as c-MET suggests that certain facets of the transcriptome are related to ASPL-TFE3 activity [17]. It is hoped that by making this array data publically available we will promote additional investigations centered on this unique malignancy and provide a useful reference dataset for the wider classification of soft-tissue sarcomas.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LHS was responsible for microarray analysis, figure production and the final manuscript. DTV, DSS and RS were responsible for initiating the study along design, execution and manuscript preparation. SK was responsible for ASPS tumor sample acquisition, RNA preparation and cDNA synthesis. MR and DOB were responsible for immunohistochemistry. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Additional material

Additional File 1

Immunohistochemistry: Antibody Source, Antigen Retrieval and Positive Controls. Table of conditions used for Immunohistochemistry of ASPS tumor sections. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2407-9-22-S1.doc]

Additional File 2

Primer sequences. Table of primer sequences used during SYBR green quantitative RT-PCR validation of ASPS patient cDNA. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2407-9-22-S2.xls]

Additional File 3

2407-9-22-S3.txt]

Differential Expression of ASPS Genes versus Universal RNA. Genesifter output from pairwise analysis of all ASPS patient arrays versus universal RNA. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-

Acknowledgements

This project has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under Contract No. NOI-CO-12400. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. This research was supported [in part] by the Developmental Therapeutics Program in the Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis of the National Cancer Institute. We also recognize the intellectual contributions to this manuscript made by Dr. Ming Zhao (NCI, Bethesda).

References

- Christopherson WM, Foote FW Jr, Stewart FW: Alveolar soft-part sarcomas; structurally characteristic tumors of uncertain histogenesis. Cancer 1952, 5(1):100-111.
- 2. Folpe AL, Deyrup AT: Alveolar soft-part sarcoma: a review and update. *J Clin Pathol* 2006, **59(11)**:1127-1132.
- 3. Soft Tissue Sarcomas SEER Pediatric Monograph [http:// www.seer.cancer.gov]
- Kayton ML, Meyers P, Wexler LH, Gerald WL, LaQuaglia MP: Clinical presentation, treatment, and outcome of alveolar soft part sarcoma in children, adolescents, and young adults. J Pediatr Surg 2006, 41(1):187-193.
- Wang NP, Bacchi CE, Jiang JJ, McNutt MA, Gown AM: Does alveolar soft-part sarcoma exhibit skeletal muscle differentiation? An immunocytochemical and biochemical study of myogenic regulatory protein expression. Mod Pathol 1996, 9(5):496-506.
- Gomez JA, Amin MB, Ro JY, Linden MD, Lee MW, Zarbo RJ: Immunohistochemical profile of myogenin and MyoD1 does not support skeletal muscle lineage in alveolar soft part sarcoma. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1999, 123(6):503-507.
- Ladanyi M, Antonescu CR, Drobnjak M, Baren A, Lui MY, Golde DW, Cordon-Cardo C: The precrystalline cytoplasmic granules of alveolar soft part sarcoma contain monocarboxylate transporter I and CD147. Am J Pathol 2002, 160(4):1215-1221.
- Ladanyi M, Lui MY, Antonescu CR, Krause-Boehm A, Meindl A, Argani P, Healey JH, Ueda T, Yoshikawa H, Meloni-Ehrig A, Sorensen PH, Mertens F, Mandahl N, Berghe H van den, Sciot R, Cin PD, Bridge J: The der(17)t(X;17)(p11;q25) of human alveolar soft part sarcoma fuses the TFE3 transcription factor gene to ASPL, a novel gene at 17q25. Oncogene 2001, 20(1):48-57.
 Henthorn PS, Stewart CC, Kadesch T, Puck JM: The gene encoding
- Henthorn PS, Stewart CC, Kadesch T, Puck JM: The gene encoding human TFE3, a transcription factor that binds the immunoglobulin heavy-chain enhancer, maps to Xp11.22. Genomics 1991, 11(2):374-378.
- Kuiper RP, Schepens M, Thijssen J, Schoenmakers EF, van Kessel AG: Regulation of the MiTF/TFE bHLH-LZ transcription factors through restricted spatial expression and alternative splicing of functional domains. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32(8):2315-2322.

- Tettamanzi MC, Yu C, Bogan JS, Hodsdon ME: Solution structure and backbone dynamics of an N-terminal ubiquitin-like domain in the GLUT4-regulating protein, TUG. Protein Sci 2006, 15(3):498-508.
- Sidhar SK, Clark J, Gill S, Hamoudi R, Crew AJ, Gwilliam R, Ross M, Linehan WM, Birdsall S, Shipley J, Cooper CS: The t(X;1)(p11.2;q21.2) translocation in papillary renal cell carcinoma fuses a novel gene PRCC to the TFE3 transcription factor gene. Hum Mol Genet 1996, 5(9):1333-1338.
- Weterman MA, Wilbrink M, Geurts van Kessel A: Fusion of the transcription factor TFE3 gene to a novel gene, PRCC, in t(X;1)(p11;q21)-positive papillary renal cell carcinomas. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996, 93(26):15294-15298.
- Argani P, Antonescu CR, Couturier J, Fournet JC, Sciot R, Debiec-Rychter M, Hutchinson B, Reuter VE, Boccon-Gibod L, Timmons C, Hafez N, Ladanyi M: PRCC-TFE3 renal carcinomas: morphologic, immunohistochemical, ultrastructural, and molecular analysis of an entity associated with the t(X;1)(p11.2;q21). Am J Surg Pathol 2002, 26(12):1553-1566.
- Clark J, Lu YJ, Sidhar SK, Parker C, Gill S, Smedley D, Hamoudi R, Linehan WM, Shipley J, Cooper CS: Fusion of splicing factor genes PSF and NonO (p54nrb) to the TFE3 gene in papillary renal cell carcinoma. Oncogene 1997, 15(18):2233-2239.
- Argani P, Lal P, Hutchinson B, Lui MY, Reuter VE, Ladanyi M: Aberrant nuclear immunoreactivity for TFE3 in neoplasms with TFE3 gene fusions: a sensitive and specific immunohistochemical assay. Am J Surg Pathol 2003, 27(6):750-761.
- Tsuda M, Davis IJ, Argani P, Shukla N, McGill GG, Nagai M, Saito T, Lae M, Fisher DE, Ladanyi M: TFE3 fusions activate MET signaling by transcriptional up-regulation, defining another class of tumors as candidates for therapeutic MET inhibition. Cancer Res 2007, 67(3):919-929.
- Desiderio MA: Hepatocyte growth factor in invasive growth of carcinomas. Cell Mol Life Sci 2007, 64(11):1341-1354.
- Vistica DT, Krosky PM, Kenney S, Raffeld M, Shoemaker RH: Immunohistochemical discrimination between the ASPL-TFE3 fusion proteins of alveolar soft part sarcoma. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2008, 30(1):46-52.
- Wu Z, Irizarry RA: Stochastic models inspired by hybridization theory for short oligonucleotide arrays. J Comput Biol 2005, 12(6):882-893.
- Kim I, Moon SO, Koh KN, Kim H, Uhm CS, Kwak HJ, Kim NG, Koh GY: Molecular cloning, expression, and characterization of angiopoietin-related protein. angiopoietin-related protein induces endothelial cell sprouting. J Biol Chem 1999, 274(37):26523-26528.
- 22. Harris AL: Hypoxia-a key regulatory factor in tumour growth. Nat Rev Cancer 2002, 2(1):38-47.
- 23. Kadomatsu K: The midkine family in cancer, inflammation and neural development. Nagoya J Med Sci 2005, 67:3-4.
- Azizi AA, Haberler C, Czech T, Gupper A, Prayer D, Breitschopf H, Acker T, Slavc I: Vascular-endothelial-growth-factor (VEGF) expression and possible response to angiogenesis inhibitor bevacizumab in metastatic alveolar soft part sarcoma. Lancet Oncol 2006, 7(6):521-523.
- 25. Adachi H, Tsujimoto M: FEEL-I, a novel scavenger receptor with in vitro bacteria-binding and angiogenesis-modulating activities. J Biol Chem 2002, 277(37):34264-34270.
- Lazar AJ, Das P, Tuvin D, Korchin B, Zhu Q, Jin Z, Warneke CL, Zhang PS, Hernandez V, Lopez-Terrada D, Pisters PW, Pollock RE, Lev D: Angiogenesis-promoting gene patterns in alveolar soft part sarcoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007, 13(24):7314-7321.
- Van Coppenolle F, Skryma R, Ouadid-Ahidouch H, Slomianny C, Roudbaraki M, Delcourt P, Dewailly E, Humez S, Crepin A, Gourdou I, Djiane J, Bonnal JL, Mauroy B, Prevarskaya N: Prolactin stimulates cell proliferation through a long form of prolactin receptor and K+ channel activation. *Biochem J* 2004, 377(Pt 3):569-578.
- Beck MT, Chen NY, Franek KJ, Chen WY: Prolactin antagonistendostatin fusion protein as a targeted dual-functional therapeutic agent for breast cancer. Cancer Res 2003, 63(13):3598-3604.
- Perks CM, Keith AJ, Goodhew KL, Savage PB, Winters ZE, Holly JM: Prolactin acts as a potent survival factor for human breast cancer cell lines. Br J Cancer 2004, 91(2):305-311.

- 30. Horiuchi K, Amizuka N, Takeshita S, Takamatsu H, Katsuura M, Ozawa H, Toyama Y, Bonewald LF, Kudo A: Identification and characterization of a novel protein, periostin, with restricted expression to periosteum and periodontal ligament and increased expression by transforming growth factor beta. J Bone Miner Res 1999, 14(7):1239-1249.
- Gillan L, Matei D, Fishman DA, Gerbin CS, Karlan BY, Chang DD: Periostin secreted by epithelial ovarian carcinoma is a ligand for alpha(V)beta(3) and alpha(V)beta(5) integrins and promotes cell motility. Cancer Res 2002, 62(18):5358-5364.
- 32. Tai IT, Dai M, Chen LB: Periostin induction in tumor cell line explants and inhibition of in vitro cell growth by anti-periostin antibodies. *Carcinogenesis* 2005, **26(5)**:908-915.
- Han Z, Ni J, Smits P, Underhill CB, Xie B, Chen Y, Liu N, Tylzanowski P, Parmelee D, Feng P, Ding I, Gao F, Gentz R, Huylebroeck D, Merregaert J, Zhang L: Extracellular matrix protein I (ECMI) has angiogenic properties and is expressed by breast tumor cells. Faseb J 2001, 15(6):988-994.
- 34. Mochizuki S, Okada Y: ADAMs in cancer cell proliferation and progression. Cancer Sci 2007, 98(5):621-628.
- Zhou M, Graham R, Russell G, Croucher PI: MDC-9 (ADAM-9/ Meltrin gamma) functions as an adhesion molecule by binding the alpha(v)beta(5) integrin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001, 280(2):574-580.
- Sung SY, Kubo H, Shigemura K, Arnold RS, Logani S, Wang R, Konaka H, Nakagawa M, Mousses S, Amin M, Anderson C, Johnstone P, Petros JA, Marshall FF, Zhau HE, Chung LW: Oxidative stress induces ADAM9 protein expression in human prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2006, 66(19):9519-9526.
- Salahshor S, Goncalves J, Chetty R, Gallinger S, Woodgett JR: Differential gene expression profile reveals deregulation of pregnancy specific betal glycoprotein 9 early during colorectal carcinogenesis. BMC Cancer 2005, 5:66.
- Snyder SK, Wessner DH, Wessells JL, Waterhouse RM, Wahl LM, Zimmermann W, Dveksler GS: Pregnancy-specific glycoproteins function as immunomodulators by inducing secretion of IL-10, IL-6 and TGF-betal by human monocytes. Am J Reprod Immunol 2001, 45(4):205-216.
- Weterman MA, Ajubi N, van Dinter IM, Degen WG, van Muijen GN, Ruitter DJ, Bloemers HP: nmb, a novel gene, is expressed in lowmetastatic human melanoma cell lines and xenografts. Int J Cancer 1995, 60(1):73-81.
- Kuan CT, Wakiya K, Dowell JM, Herndon JE 2nd, Reardon DA, Graner MW, Riggins GJ, Wikstrand CJ, Bigner DD: Glycoprotein nonmetastatic melanoma protein B, a potential molecular therapeutic target in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006, 12:1970-1982.
- Tse KF, Jeffers M, Pollack VA, McCabe DA, Shadish ML, Khramtsov NV, Hackett CS, Shenoy SG, Kuang B, Boldog FL, MacDougall JR, Rastelli L, Herrmann J, Gallo M, Gazit-Bornstein G, Senter PD, Meyer DL, Lichenstein HS, LaRochelle WJ: CR011, a fully human monoclonal antibody-auristatin E conjugate, for the treatment of melanoma. Clin Cancer Res 2006, 12(4):1373-1382.
- Chen YG, Wang Q, Lin SL, Chang CD, Chuang J, Ying SY: Activin signaling and its role in regulation of cell proliferation, apoptosis, and carcinogenesis. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2006, 231(5):534-544.
- Braun T, Arnold HH: The four human muscle regulatory helixloop-helix proteins Myf3-Myf6 exhibit similar hetero-dimerization and DNA binding properties. Nucleic Acids Res 1991, 19(20):5645-5651.
- Parker MH, Seale P, Rudnicki MA: Looking back to the embryo: defining transcriptional networks in adult myogenesis. Nat Rev Genet 2003, 4(7):497-507.
- Li J, Mayne R, Wu C: A novel muscle-specific beta I integrin binding protein (MIBP) that modulates myogenic differentiation. J Cell Biol 1999, 147(7):1391-1398.
- 46. Li J, Rao H, Burkin D, Kaufman SJ, Wu C: The muscle integrin binding protein (MIBP) interacts with alpha7beta1 integrin and regulates cell adhesion and laminin matrix deposition. Dev Biol 2003, 261(1):209-219.
- Fougerousse F, Edom-Vovard F, Merkulova T, Ott MO, Durand M, Butler-Browne G, Keller A: The muscle-specific enolase is an early marker of human myogenesis. J Muscle Res Cell Motil 2001, 22(6):535-544.

- Semenza GL, Jiang BH, Leung SW, Passantino R, Concordet JP, Maire P, Giallongo A: Hypoxia response elements in the aldolase A, enolase I, and lactate dehydrogenase A gene promoters contain essential binding sites for hypoxia-inducible factor I. *J Biol Chem* 1996, 271(51):32529-32537.
- Dai KS, Liew CC: A novel human striated muscle RING zinc finger protein, SMRZ, interacts with SMT3b via its RING domain. J Biol Chem 2001, 276(26):23992-23999.
- Lecker SH: Ubiquitin-protein ligases in muscle wasting: multiple parallel pathways? Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2003, 6(3):271-275.
- McElhinny AS, Kazmierski ST, Labeit S, Gregorio CC: Nebulin: the nebulous, multifunctional giant of striated muscle. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2003, 13(5):195-201.
- 52. Scanlan MJ, Raj BK, Calvo B, Garin-Chesa P, Sanz-Moncasi MP, Healey JH, Old LJ, Rettig WJ: Molecular cloning of fibroblast activation protein alpha, a member of the serine protease family selectively expressed in stromal fibroblasts of epithelial cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, 91(12):5657-5661.
- 53. Roll P, Rudolf G, Pereira S, Royer B, Scheffer IE, Massacrier A, Valenti MP, Roeckel-Trevisiol N, Jamali S, Beclin C, Seegmuller C, Metz-Lutz MN, Lemainque A, Delepine M, Caloustian C, de Saint Martin A, Bruneau N, Depetris D, Mattei MG, Flori E, Robaglia-Schlupp A, Levy N, Neubauer BA, Ravid R, Marescaux C, Berkovic SF, Hirsch E, Lathrop M, Cau P, Szepetowski P: SRPX2 mutations in disorders of language cortex and cognition. Hum Mol Genet 2006, 15(7):1195-1207.
- Ruotsalainen H, Vanhatupa S, Tampio M, Sipila L, Valtavaara M, Myllyla R: Complete genomic structure of mouse lysyl hydroxylase 2 and lysyl hydroxylase 3/collagen glucosyltransferase. *Matrix Biol* 2001, 20(2):137-146.
- Mukai M, Torikata C, Iri H, Mikata A, Hanaoka H, Kato K, Kageyama K: Histogenesis of alveolar soft part sarcoma. An immunohistochemical and biochemical study. Am J Surg Pathol 1986, 10(3):212-218.
- Miettinen M, Ekfors T: Alveolar soft part sarcoma. Immunohistochemical evidence for muscle cell differentiation. Am J Clin Pathol 1990, 93(1):32-38.
- Coira BM, Sachdev R, Moscovic E: Skeletal muscle markers in alveolar soft part sarcoma. Am J Clin Pathol 1990, 94(6):799-800.
- Rosai J, Dias P, Parham DM, Shapiro DN, Houghton P: MyoD1 protein expression in alveolar soft part sarcoma as confirmatory evidence of its skeletal muscle nature. Am J Surg Pathol 1991, 15(10):974-981.
- 59. Cullinane C, Thorner PS, Greenberg ML, Kwan Y, Kumar M, Squire J: Molecular genetic, cytogenetic, and immunohistochemical characterization of alveolar soft-part sarcoma. Implications for cell of origin. *Cancer* 1992, **70(10)**:2444-2450.
- Tallini G, Parham DM, Dias P, Cordon-Cardo C, Houghton PJ, Rosai J: Myogenic regulatory protein expression in adult soft tissue sarcomas. A sensitive and specific marker of skeletal muscle differentiation. Am J Pathol 1994, 144(4):693-701.
- Cessna MH, Zhou H, Perkins SL, Tripp SR, Layfield L, Daines C, Coffin CM: Are myogenin and myoDI expression specific for rhabdomyosarcoma? A study of 150 cases, with emphasis on spindle cell mimics. Am J Surg Pathol 2001, 25(9):1150-1157.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/9/22/prepub

