UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Gene flow and selection interact to promote adaptive divergence in regions of low recombination.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/88z1q14t

Journal Molecular ecology, 26(17)

ISSN 0962-1083

Authors

Samuk, Kieran Owens, Gregory L Delmore, Kira E <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2017-09-01

DOI

10.1111/mec.14226

Peer reviewed

Gene flow and selection interact to promote adaptive divergence in regions of low recombination

3

4 Abstract

Adaptation to new environments often occurs in the face of gene flow. Under these conditions, 5 gene flow and recombination can impede adaptation by breaking down linkage disequilibrium 6 between locally adapted alleles. Theory predicts that this decay can be halted or slowed if adaptive 7 alleles are tightly linked in regions of low recombination, potentially favoring divergence and 8 adaptive evolution in these regions over others. Here, we compiled a global genomic dataset of over 9 1300 individual threespine stickleback from 52 populations and compared the tendency for adaptive 10 alleles to occur in regions of low recombination between populations that diverged with or without 11 gene flow. In support of theory, we found that adaptive alleles (Fsr and dxy outliers) tend to occur 12 more often in regions of low recombination in populations where divergent selection and gene flow 13 jointly occur. This result remained significant when we: employed different genomic window sizes; 14 controlled for the effects of mutation rate and gene density; controlled for overall genetic 15 differentiation; varied the genetic map used to estimate recombination and used a continuous (rather 16 than discrete) measure of geographic distance as proxy for gene flow/shared ancestry. We argue that 17 our study provides the first statistical evidence that gene flow per se shapes genomic patterns of 18 differentiation by biasing where divergence occurs in the genome. 19

20

21 Introduction

Understanding the genetic basis of adaptation is a fundamental goal of evolutionary biology. 22 Yet, we still know little about the myriad interacting factors that determine the number, genomic 23 location and effect size of loci underlying adaptive traits. Recent work suggests that interactions 24 between two common evolutionary forces, natural selection and gene flow, may profoundly shape 25 where adaptation occurs in the genome (Kirkpatrick & Barton 2006; Noor & Feder 2006; Yeaman & 26 Whitlock 2011; Nachman & Payseur 2012; Aeschbacher et al. 2016). When divergent selection and 27 gene flow co-occur (hereafter 'DS-GF'), hybridization between migrant and local individuals breaks 28 down positive linkage disequilibrium (LD) between sets of locally adapted alleles, impeding 29 adaptation (Kirkpatrick & Barton 2006; Nachman & Payseur 2012; Sousa & Hey 2013). This decay 30 of positive LD can be slowed if locally adapted alleles are tightly genetically linked, e.g. physically 31

close on the same chromosome, or occurring together in a region of low recombination (Rieseberg

2001; Noor et al. 2001a; Navarro & Barton 2003; Yeaman & Whitlock 2011). Accordingly, theory

³⁴ predicts that DS-GF will drive a tendency for locally adapted alleles to be tightly linked in the

35 genome, either by physical proximity or by co-localization in regions of low recombination (Yeaman

³⁶ & Whitlock 2011; Bürger & Akerman 2011; Aeschbacher *et al.* 2016).

Recent studies have offered mixed support for this prediction. Roesti et al. (2013) and Marques et al. (2016) both report that parapatric pairs of stickleback ecotypes exhibit elevated divergence in region of low recombination (suggesting that gene flow and selection may interact as predicted), while Renaut et al. (2013) and Burri et al. (2015) found no relationship between gene flow, selection and recombination in sunflowers and flycatchers respectively.

However, definitively testing the prediction that gene flow and selection interact to promote 42 divergence in regions of low recombination requires a system in which we can carry out replicated 43 comparisons of the genomic distribution of adaptive alleles between populations with and without 44 gene flow, and populations with and without divergent selection. This has not yet been possible, as 45 previous studies have focused on individual populations or several pairs of populations (Roesti et al. 46 2013; Renaut et al. 2013; Marques et al. 2016). It is also necessary to disentangle the effects of 47 selection and gene flow from other processes that can generate clustering of adaptive alleles. For 48 example, linked selection - hitchhiking and background selection - is widely known to cause 49 clustering of diverged loci (e.g. a single adaptive allele and surrounding linked neutral alleles), an 50 effect that is amplified in regions of low recombination even in the absence of gene flow 51 (Charlesworth 2012; Cutter & Payseur 2013). In addition, recombination may itself be mutagenic, 52 which would result in decreased rates of divergence in regions of low recombination (Hairston et al. 53 2005; Nachman & Payseur 2012). Isolating the effects of these various processes has thus far proved 54 challenging (Renaut et al. 2013; Burri et al. 2015). 55

To approach this problem, we assembled a large population genomic dataset of threespine 56 sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) from across the northern hemisphere (Figure S1, Table S1). 57 Threespine sticklebacks are a holarctic species of fish that have evolved into a variety of unique 58 59 forms over the last 10,000 years (McKinnon & Rundle 2002). Notably, the various forms of stickleback have evolved repeatedly in the presence and absence of gene flow (McKinnon & Rundle 60 2002). This allows for statistical comparisons of the genomic distribution of adaptive alleles among 61 groups of population pairs experiencing varying levels of divergent selection and gene flow. Here, 62 we focused on comparing population pairs in which divergent selection occurs in the face of gene 63

flow to population pairs experiencing selection alone, gene flow alone, or neither. Using this
 approach, we tested the theoretical prediction that when divergent selection and gene flow co-occur,

⁶⁶ adaptive alleles are more likely to fix in regions of low recombination and/or occur in tightly linked

⁶⁷ clusters throughout the genome.

68

69 **Results**

70 **Population genomic dataset**

We obtained DNA sequences from databases and generated new genomic data for 20 71 populations. The combined dataset included genomic data from 1356 individuals from 52 unique 72 populations, each belonging to one of seven described ecotypes: oceanic, lake, stream, benthic, 73 limnetic, white, and Sea of Japan (Figure S1, Table S1). The genomic data were a mixture of 74 Restriction Amplified Digest (RAD), Genotyping-By-Sequencing (GBS), and whole genome re-75 sequencing datasets. We used a single bioinformatics pipeline to standardize the identification of 76 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across all study populations (see Methods). Using a variety 77 of criteria (see Methods), we classified each pair of populations into four discrete "evolutionary 78 regimes": divergent selection with gene flow (DS-GF), divergence selection in allopatry (DS-Allo), 79 parallel selection with gene flow (PS-GF), and parallel selection in allopatry (PS-Allo). 80

81

⁸² Localizing candidates for adaptive divergence

In accordance with previous work, we found a general pattern of divergence being higher in 83 regions of low recombination (Figure 1). We identified adaptively differentiated regions of the 84 genome by separately locating SNPs and 75 kilobase pair windows that exhibited unusually high 85 levels of genetic divergence in each pair-wise comparison. For all loci (SNPs or windows), we used 86 two metrics of divergence: $F_{\rm ST}$ and dxy, each analyzed independently. We considered loci with 87 divergence scores larger than the 95th percentile of the total distribution to be putatively adaptive 88 89 loci. While other forces may have caused divergence at these loci, loci subject to divergent selection should be enriched in this set (Narum & Hess 2011). For convenience, we refer to these hereafter as 90 'outlier SNPs' and 'outlier windows'. For each window, we also estimated mutation rates using a 91 phylogenetic approach, and obtained estimates of gene density for each window from the 92 ENSEMBL database. 93

94

95 Divergence in regions of low recombination

96

97

98

For each pairwise comparison we used logistic regression to fit outlier status of windows (outlier vs. non-outlier) to their estimated rates of recombination, while controlling for mutation rate and gene density. The slopes of these regressions were then compared among the four gene

99 flow/selection regimes using a permutation test (see Methods)

In agreement with previous work (Noor & Bennett 2009; Roesti et al. 2013; Renaut et al. 2013; 100 Marques et al. 2016), we found that Fsr outlier windows occurred most often in regions of low 101 recombination, even between allopatric populations and between populations inhabiting similar 102 environments (Figure 2). However, as predicted, this tendency was significantly more extreme in 103 DS-GF comparisons compared to other evolutionary regimes (Figure 2; Figure S2, permutation test 104 on difference in correlation coefficients between regimes: two-sided p = 0.0002). The result 105 remained significant after re-analysis using a window size of 150kb (permutation test, p < 0.0002) 106 and when recombination rates were estimated using a genetic map derived from North American 107 stickleback populations (Glazer et al. 2015; permutation test, p < 0.0024). 108

dxy outliers also showed a tendency (albeit non-significant) to occur most often in regions of 109 low recombination (Figure S2; permutation test: two-sided p = 0.475). That said, our estimates of 110 dxy from GBS/RAD dataset had considerable levels of noise, likely due to low marker density in the 111 75kb windows. We thus repeated the dxy analysis, but restricted the analysis to whole genome 112 datasets (see Methods). Using this reduced dataset and 75 kb windows, we found that the 113 relationship between dxy (both outlier status and mean dxy) and recombination was negative in DS-114 GF comparison and positive in DS-Allo comparisons (Figure 3). This difference in slopes between 115 regimes was highly significant (likelihood ratio test: $\gamma_{22} = 28.85$, p = 5.41×10-5). Thus, DS-GF 116 comparisons exhibited unusually high levels of both relative and absolute divergence in regions of 117 low recombination. 118

119

120 Ruling out potential sources of bias

121 Discretization of geographic distance

122 The use of a continuous measure of geographic distance led to qualitatively similar results for both

123 Fsr and dxy (Figure S5). The tendency for outliers of any type to occur in regions of low

- recombination was inversely correlated with geographic distance, but only when populations
- exhibited divergent adaptation (Figure S5; permutation test on differences in divergent vs. parallel

126 slopes: two-sided p = 0.0002).

127

128 Differences in genome-wide Fst

Previous studies have reported that the relationship between divergence and recombination might 129 scale with genome-wide divergence (Lowry et al. 2008; Burri et al. 2015). However, we found that the 130 tendency for FsT outlier windows to occur in regions of low-recombination was negatively associated 131 with genome-wide F_{ST} (Figure 4, permutation test on correlation, two-sided p = 0.0001). This 132 133 suggests that the correlation between geography (as a proxy for gene flow) and FsT in our dataset likely biased our results in the *opposite* direction of our findings: as a regime, DS-GF had the greatest 134 number of low-Fst comparisons (Figure 4, red points). Further, we found that if we restricted our 135 analyses in Figure 2 to comparisons in which genome-wide F_{ST} is in the range shared across all 136 regimes (0.185 - 0.675), the tendency for DS-GF comparisons to exhibit more FsT outliers in 137 regions of low recombination remained significant (Figure S4, permutation test: two-sided p = 138 0.0002). Moreover, when analysed in a similar fashion, the enrichment of dxy outliers in regions of 139 low recombination in DS-GF populations was also significant (Figure S4, permutation test: two-140 sided p = 0.0002). 141

142

143 Differences in heterozygosity vs. recombination among regimes

Intra-population heterozygosity (*H*s) was generally lower in regions of low recombination (as expected from linked selection in general), but DS-GF comparisons did not exhibit unusually low levels of heterozygosity these regions (Figure S2; permutation test: two-sided p = 0.755). This suggests that the tendency for outliers to occur more often in regions of low recombination in DS-GF comparisons is not an artifact of reduced diversity in those specific comparisons.

149

150 Clustering of outlier SNPs

In addition to our windowed analyses, we performed a separate analysis to test if individual outlier SNPs from DS-GF comparisons were more clustered than outlier SNPs in other regimes. To do this, we calculated (a) the nearest neighbor distance in centimorgans (cM) between outlier SNPs relative to nearest neighbor distance between all SNPs; and (b) the coefficient of variation of genetic distances (in cM) between outlier SNPs. Importantly, these clustering metrics control for variation in SNP density among genomic regions, and thus are not biased by differences in sequencing coverage. DS-GF population pairs showed more clustering of *F*st outlier SNPs than population pairs in

other gene flow/selection regimes (Figure S4). Specifically, DS-GF outlier SNPs were on average approximately one standard deviation closer together in map distance than expected on the basis of overall SNP density (Figure S4, permutation test: two-sided p < 0.0001). Coefficients of variation

161 for the distance between *F*sr outlier SNPs showed similar results (Figure S4, permutation test: two-

sided p < 0.0001), again indicating the highest levels of clustering in DS-GF comparisons.

163

164 Discussion

The role of gene flow in shaping the course of evolution remains a key topic in modern evolutionary genetics. Here, we found that in stickleback populations experiencing divergent selection in the face of gene flow (DS-GF), signatures of adaptation are unusually frequent in regions of low recombination. This finding is consistent with theory predicting that maladaptive gene flow favors genetic clustering of adaptive alleles (Yeaman & Whitlock 2011; Bürger & Akerman 2011; Aeschbacher *et al.* 2016).

This finding has several key implications for our understanding of the genetics of adaptation. 171 First, we provide key support for theoretical predictions (Navarro & Barton 2003; Yeaman & 172 Whitlock 2011; Nachman & Payseur 2012; Aeschbacher et al. 2016) that DS-GF should exhibit 173 unique patterns of genomic divergence. Testing these predictions has been a major challenge, 174 because it is difficult to control for, or rule out the effects of other evolutionary processes – 175 divergent selection per se being the most important (see below). Given that gene flow and selection 176 often co-occur in nature, and our results imply that the relative strengths of these processes are likely 177 an important determinate of the genomic architecture of adaptation in general (Schluter & Rambaut 178 1996; Nosil et al. 2009; Feder et al. 2012). Secondly, our results suggest that by constraining where 179 divergence can occur, gene flow may cause the "usable area" of the genome to become effectively 180 smaller. This may represent a general constraint on adaptation, and could be an important 181 contribution to our ability to explain and predict where adaptation occurs in the genome. Another 182 key implication of this constraint is that by limiting the useable areas of the genome, gene flow may 183 indirectly increase the probability that the same loci will be reused during phenotypic evolution in 184 general. Thus, we might predict that pairs of DS-GF populations (perhaps even ones where selective 185 pressures are different) should display unusual levels of concordance in the loci involved in 186 187 divergence, and that these loci will occur in regions of low recombination. Interestingly, many QTLs involved in parallel adaptation in sticklebacks localize to regions of low recombination in the 188 genome (Noor et al. 2001b; Peichel & Marques 2017) 189

190 Note that the analyses presented here were not designed to detect changes in genome 191 structure or the modification of recombination rate among populations. We assume that

recombination rates are highly conserved between threespine stickleback populations. This is likely a 192 reasonable assumption given that (a) recombination maps are highly similar among threespine 193 stickleback populations from Europe and the United States (Roesti et al. 2013; Glazer et al. 2015) and 194 (b) homologous chromosomes in the distantly-related ninespine stickleback show very similar 195 patterns of recombination (Rastas et al. 2016). While modification of recombination can be 196 197 important in some systems, our results pertain to the (likely far more common) scenario in which many loci with potentially varying linkage relationships underlie adaptation and DS-GF favors 198 genetic architectures in which adaptive alleles are tightly linked over other architectures (Yeaman & 199 Whitlock 2011). Future studies could extend our framework to study how gene flow shapes the 200 evolution of recombination rate and genome structure. 201

202

203 The costs of low recombination

By definition, loci in regions of low recombination have increased physical linkage to all 204 nearby loci. We have argued this linkage can facilitate the formation of clusters of adaptive alleles, 205 which are more likely to persist in the face of gene flow. However, low recombination also makes it 206 more difficult to (a) establish LD between adaptive alleles that arise on different backgrounds and 207 (b) break down LD among adaptive alleles and deleterious alleles that happen to arise nearby (the 208 Hill-Robertson effect, (Barton 2010). What then, is happening in the case of DS-GF populations? 209 One possibility is that recombination is still sufficiently common in regions of low recombination to 210 mitigate Hill-Robertson effects. This would imply that the extent of adaptation in regions of low 211 recombination is a complex balance between selection, migration, recombination and the rate of 212 deleterious mutation (Yeaman & Whitlock 2011; Bürger & Akerman 2011; Marques et al. 2016). 213 Another possibility is that the cumulative selective effects of a block of linked adaptive alleles are 214 large enough to negate all but the strongest deleterious mutations. This latter scenario would imply 215 that the (putatively adaptive) clusters of linked alleles are gradually accumulating weakly deleterious 216 alleles, and thus may eventually decay (Kirkpatrick 2016). 217

218

219 Heterogenous genomic divergence

Our findings also suggest that the patterns of heterogenous genomic divergence observed in many speciation studies (Marko & Hart 2011; Feder *et al.* 2012) may be partly a product of the interaction between gene flow and selection. Explaining this phenomenon has become a major question in speciation genetics, and many recent studies have shown that patterns of heterogenous divergence in the genome are correlated with recombination rate (Roesti *et al.* 2013; Renaut *et al.* 2013; Burri *et al.* 2015). The association between diversity, divergence and recombination is widely thought to be the result of linked selection, i.e. background selection and hitchhiking (Charlesworth 2012). Our results suggest that there is a general negative association between recombination rate and both diversity and divergence (probably generated by background selection) and this relationship can be further shaped by the effects of selection (hitchhiking) and gene flow (decay of divergence in regions of high recombination and/or favoring linkage between adaptive alleles).

Interestingly, previous work (Renaut et al. 2013; Burri et al. 2015) found no relationship 231 between gene flow and patterns of genomic divergence. One reason for this may simply be power: 232 our dataset had many individuals and populations, and included pairs of populations across the 233 speciation continuum (in terms of magnitude and time of divergence, geography and type of 234 selection). In the case of Burri et al. (2015), there also appears to be limited amounts of actual 235 introgression between flycatcher populations (although hybridization occurs), weakening any 236 potential pattern. Another possible explanation is that statistically detectable clustered genetic 237 architectures may require long temporal scales and/or recurrent bouts of gene flow to develop. 238 Although most stickleback populations are less than 10 000 years old, the stickleback 239 metapopulation has repeatedly cycled between adapting to freshwater environments during 240 interglacial periods, followed by extinction of these populations during glacial periods (Taylor & 241 McPhail 2000; Hendry et al. 2009). However, gene flow between freshwater and marine populations 242 has likely allowed ancient freshwater haplotypes to persist in marine populations throughout this 243 process (Schluter & Conte 2009). This recurrent process coupled with large effective population 244 sizes of marine stickleback may have increased the opportunity for clustered sets of co-selected 245 alleles to arise and persist. 246

247

248 The effect of divergent selection

Divergent selection alone is predicted to generate a correlation between recombination rate and genomic divergence across the genome (Barton 2010). This effect is particularly apparent in reduced representation datasets, such as the RAD and GBS datasets we analyzed here (Lowry *et al.* 2016). Our data support this prediction: all "divergent selection" comparisons (DS-GF and DS-Allo) show increased divergence in regions of low recombination (e.g. Figure 2B, red and yellow lines). However, the divergence-recombination correlation is significantly more negative in DS-GF populations, which we interpret as a unique joint effect of gene flow and divergent selection. Note that this pattern held when the analysis was restricted to whole-genome data (Figure 3), suggesting
that low marker density is not the sole source of the low-recombination bias (although undoubtedly
a contributor). Interestingly, gene flow alone (e.g. parallel selection + gene flow, blue lines in Figures
2 and 4) appears to not be sufficient to generate a bias for divergence in regions of low

recombination.

A potential alternate explanation for the increase in outlier density in regions of low 261 recombination in DS-GF comparisons is that maladaptive gene flow per se increases the strength of 262 divergent selection (Lenormand 2002). Stronger selection magnifies the scale of linked selection (i.e. 263 the number of loci influenced), and this in turn could increase the negative correlation between 264 recombination and divergence (Barton 2010). We cannot completely rule out this alternative. 265 However, several facts suggest that variation in the strength of selection is not the sole explanation 266 for our results. For one, the increased clustering of divergence in regions of low recombination we 267 observe is partly generated by a deficit of highly-diverged loci in regions of high recombination (e.g. 268 high recombination regions in Figure 2A). Stronger selection per se should not result in fewer 269 divergent loci in regions of high recombination (Barton 2010; Cutter & Payseur 2013). Gene flow, 270 on the other hand, is predicted to cause such a deficit, particularly when divergent selection is also 271 acting (Yeaman & Whitlock 2011; Aeschbacher et al. 2016). Secondly, because we took an "all-272 pairwise" approach for our FsT analyses, populations experiencing unusually strong directional 273 selection are also included in DS-Allopatry comparisons. Thus, any population-specific effects were 274 balanced between comparisons of regimes. Finally, it should be noted that the connection between 275 gene flow and the strength of selection is by no means well characterized - indeed under some 276 circumstances, gene flow may actually decrease the strength of divergent selection (Rolshausen et al. 277 2015). 278

279

280 Caveats

The main strength of the approach we applied here was that it allowed for replication within each gene-flow/selection regime, which is necessary for examining statistical differences between regimes in their recombination bias. However, the number of comparisons involved (1000+) also created serious computational bottlenecks, which precluded using more sophisticated methods for detecting natural selection and gene flow (Aeschbacher *et al.* 2016). Further, we do not have detailed knowledge of the demographic history and historical rates of introgression between any of the populations studied here. Both of these factors are known to affect patterns of divergence, and can

potentially alter the relationship between divergence and recombination (Tine et al. 2014). It is 288 possible that the more extreme recombination vs. divergence bias we observed in DS-GF 289 populations was a result of an unusual demographic or introgression history that was somehow 290 confounded with the contemporary "DS-GF" classification. For example, these comparisons may be 291 enriched for populations that have experienced a period of allopatry, followed by the resumption of 292 gene flow (secondary contact). However, this would still imply that divergent selection and gene 293 flow interact to generate a low-recombination bias, as loci not involved in divergent selection should 294 still flow freely between populations. Thus, while the mechanistic details behind the patterns we 295 describe here are still unclear, we hope our study stimulates further studies of the relationship 296 between gene flow, selection and recombination in shaping patterns of divergence. 297

298

299 Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the Semiahmoo (BC) and Waycobah (NS) First Nations for granting us land 300 access for the collection of fish used directly or indirectly in this study. We also are indebted to the 301 threespine stickleback research community, whose body of work made this study possible. A.L. 302 Ferchaud, M. Roesti, M. Ravinets, J. Kitano, and T. Veen helped in obtaining the data sets used in 303 this study. G. Blackburn, M. Whitlock, L. Rieseberg, S. Yeaman, A. Geraldes, M. Roesti, M. Noor 304 and K. Ostevik and six anonymous reviewers provided key comments on ideas presented here. 305 WestGrid (Compute Canada) provided computational resources used in this project. This work was 306 supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) Discovery Grant to 307 DS. KS, GO, DR and KD were additionally supported by NSERC graduate doctoral scholarships. 308

309

The authors made the following contributions to the work presented here. Project conception and development: KS, KD, SM, GO, DR, DS; Genomic pipeline: KS, KD, SM, GO, DR; Field and lab work for new data sets: KS, DR, GO; Statistical analysis: KS, GO, DS with input from KD, SM and DR; Wrote the paper: KS with input from DS and the other authors. Correspondence and requests for material should be addressed to KS (ksamuk@gmail.com).

315

Sequenced reads for the two new datasets provided here are deposited on the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (accession #, to be made available before publication).

318

- 319 Methods
- 320

321 Github Repository

The code used to generate our dataset and perform the analyses described here is available on Github at https://github.com/ksamuk/gene_flow_linkage. Additional raw data is also hosted on Dryad (Dryad accession, to be made available). All scripts were written in Perl or R 3.2.2 (Team 2015).

326

327 Data Sources

The stickleback population genomic datasets used in this study came from two sources: 328 online databases, and new data from two of the authors. During the period from May to July 2014, 329 we periodically searched the Short Read Archive (SRA), the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) 330 and the Databank of Japan Sequence Read Archive (DRA) for "threespined/three-331 spined/threespine/three-spine stickleback", "stickleback", "Gasterosteous aculeatus". We also 332 searched for stickleback population genetic studies on Google Scholar using the same terms as 333 above, with the inclusion of "genomic", "genome scan", "population genetic", and "genetics", and 334 examined them for SRA/ENA/DRA accession numbers. Detail information for all the populations 335 included in the study is shown in Table S1 (Hohenlohe et al. 2010; Roesti et al. 2012; Catchen et al. 336

2013; Yoshida et al. 2014; Chain et al. 2014; Feulner et al. 2015).

In addition to previously published data, we prepared three new datasets from 338 benthic/limnetic, freshwater lake, and white/marine populations from various locations in Canada. 339 The libraries for these datasets were prepared using a mix of Genotyping-by-Sequencing method of 340 (Elshire et al. 2011) and whole-genome genomic DNA (TruSeq DNA PCR-Free Library Preparation 341 Kit, Illumina, California). The collection locations and sequencing methods are listed in Table S1. 342 The resultant GBS libraries were sequenced at the University of British Columbia Biodiversity 343 Sequencing Centre, and the whole-genome libraries were sent for sequencing at Genome Quebec. 344 Sequencing was performed on an Illumina Hi-Seq 2000 at both facilities. These datasets are available 345 on the SRA (accessions # to be made available). 346

- 347
- 348 Variant identification and processing

We identified variants using a standard, reference-based bioinformatics pipeline (see Github code repository for details). After demultiplexing, we used Trimmomatic v0.32 (Bolger *et al.* 2014) to

filter low quality sequences and adapter contamination. We then aligned reads to the stickleback 351 reference genome (BROAD S1, (Jones et al. 2012) using BWA v0.7.10 (Li & Durbin 2010), followed 352 by realignment with STAMPY v1.0.23 (Lunter & Goodson 2011). We then followed the GATK 353 v3.3.0 (Cachat et al. 2010) best practices workflow except that we skipped the MarkDuplicates step 354 when reads were derived from reduced representation libraries (RAD and GBS). We realigned reads 355 around indels using RealignTargetCreator, and IndelRealigner, identified variants in individuals using 356 the HaplotypeCaller, and each dataset using GenotypeGVCFs. The results were sent to a VCF file 357 containing all variant and invariant sites and converted to tabular format. All datasets were 358 combined for processing. 359

- 360
- 361

Calculation of divergence metrics

Our final dataset included individuals from 56 unique populations. As there was no *a priori* reason to select only a subset pairs of populations in the analysis, we instead performed all possible pairwise comparisons. We employ an unbiased significance testing method to overcome redundant use of populations in multiple pairs (see permutation test).

For each of the 1128 pairwise comparisons, we calculated two divergence metrics: Weir and Cockerham's *F*st (Weir & Cockerham 1984)and Nei's dxy (Nei 1987). We calculated *F*st at two scales: first, at each individual shared SNP; and second, averaged across 75 kilobase pair (kbp) windows. For all SNPs, we required: a minor allele frequency of at least 0.05, coverage in at least 5 individuals per population. For windowed analysis, we required that windows contain at least 3 variable sites genotyped in at least 5 individuals per population. The distribution of total sequenced and total variable sites for all the comparisons is shown in Figure S10.

Window-averaged Fst values were calculated by dividing the sum of the numerators of all 373 SNP-wise Fsr estimates within a given window by the sum of their denominators. We calculated dxy 374 in 75-kbp windows, including all shared variant and invariant sites in the window. We required dxy 375 windows to contain more than 500 shared sequenced sites (i.e. nucleotides with a genotype call in 376 both populations), because we found that the variance in dxy greatly increases below this threshold. 377 378 After calculating Fsr or dxy, we classified SNPs and windows exhibiting extreme values as 'outliers', defined as those in the 95th percentile or higher of Fsr or dxy. Note, only dxy window 'outliers' were 379 used because individual site dxy scores are uninformative. All calculations were performed using 380 custom Perl and R scripts (see code repository). 381

382

383 Classification of Populations

For populations with multiple individuals (48 of the 56), we classified all pair-wise 384 comparisons between our 48 populations (n = 1128 comparisons) along two axes: ecology and gene 385 flow. We scored populations as ecologically "divergent" or "parallel" based on whether they (a) 386 inhabited different ecosystems or ecological niches and/or (b) had been directly identified by 387 previous authors as ecologically divergent (Figure S1, see Table S1 for details). The correlation 388 between divergent selection and ecology in stickleback is extremely well-supported (Schluter 1993; 389 McKinnon & Rundle 2002; Hendry et al. 2009) and while the strength of divergent selection may 390 vary among comparisons, we believe this is a reasonable proxy. 391

Secondly, we scored whether there has been opportunity for gene flow between populations 392 ("gene flow" / "allopatry"), based on geographic distance and barriers. This is a common 393 assumption in comparative studies, and there is strong empirical evidence that this is a reasonable 394 assumption for threespine sticklebacks. Extensive previous work suggests that nearby stickleback 395 populations often interbreed (Hendry et al. 2009; Marques et al. 2016). This interbreeding leads to 396 gene flow, as complete reproductive isolation is extremely rare among stickleback populations 397 (McKinnon & Rundle 2002; Hendry et al. 2009). Indeed, even the most highly differentiated 398 populations (e.g. benthic to limnetic) experience ongoing gene flow (Gow et al. 2006). In some cases, 399 gene flow between nearby populations becomes opposed by divergent selection, limiting the number 400 of loci affected by gene flow, although still allowing substantial gene flow in much of the genome 401 (Roesti et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2012). Thus, the use of geographic isolation as a proxy for the 402 opportunity (past or present) for gene flow is likely highly reasonable for this species. 403

We thus considered any populations within 500km of one another as having the potential for gene flow. We calculated geographic distance (great circle distance) between all pairs of populations using the function "earth.dist" from the R package *fossil* (Vavrek 2011). Note that this classifier is conservative, as it likely causes populations that are largely allopatric (DS-Allopatry) to be classified as DS-GF, decreasing the power to detect a difference between regimes.

Note that for both classification schemes, we are not assuming a perfect, discrete mapping of selection and gene flow onto individual populations. We only assume that when considered together, populations in each category will tend to exhibit greater (or less) gene flow and/or divergent selection. In total, our classification scheme resulted in the following number of comparisons: 130 divergent selection with gene flow, 31 parallel selection with gene flow, 113 parallel selection with gene flow, and 821 divergent selection in allopatry. 415

416 Addition of Genomic Variables

We measured three genomic variables in each 75-kbp window in the divergence dataset with: recombination rate, mutation rate and gene density. Recombination rates (cM/MB) were obtained from a previously published high-density genetic map (Roesti *et al.* 2013). Where windows overlapped regions with different estimates of recombination rate, we assigned them an average of the two rates weighted by the degree of overlap.

We obtained estimates of mutation rate by estimating the synonymous substitution rate (dS)422 in a phylogenetic framework. For neutral sites, ds is an estimator of the primary mutation 423 rate(Wielgoss et al. 2011). To do this, we used the R (version 3.2.2) package biomaRt to obtain a list 424 of all annotated G. aculeatus coding DNA sequences (CDS) from ENSEMBL. For each G. aculeatus 425 CDS, we queried ENSEMBL for all homologous CDS from three other fish species: Xiphophorous 426 maculatus, Poecilia formosa, and Oreochromis niloticus. These species all have identical estimated 427 divergence times from G. aculeatus (150 MYR). We aligned each set of homologous coding sequences 428 using PRANK (Löytynoja & Goldman 2008) and analyzed the output using PAML (Branch model 429 2) to estimate ds trees. We excluded trees with fewer than three species, in order to ensure that 430 lineage-specific artefacts did not bias ds estimates. We also excluded any individual branches where 431 ds exceeded 5 standard deviations of the distribution of the ds values from all branches of every tree 432 (values exceeding this threshold were categorically the result of bad alignments). After filtering ds 433 trees, we used the R package ape (Paradis et al. 2004) to calculate the mean pairwise branch distance 434 between G. aculeatus and each other species in the tree. Because the other three species all have 435 identical divergence times from G. aculeatus, this results in a single normalized value of ds for each 436 coding sequence. After obtaining all the mutation rate estimates, we assigned them to 75 kbp 437 windows in the divergence datasets by averaging the *ds* estimates for genes in each window (if any), 438 weighted by the degree of overlap for each gene. 439

Estimates of gene density (number of genes overlapping the window) were calculated by querying ENSEMBL (Kautt *et al.* 2012) for the physical position of all genes in the stickleback genome using *bioma*Rt (Yang 2007). We then wrote a custom R script (see Github repository) to count the number of genes in each 75-kbp window along the reference genome.

444

445 Tendency for adaptive divergence in regions of low recombination

To quantify the tendency for outliers to occur in regions of low recombination in each comparison, we employed a linear modeling approach. Using the 75-kbp windows as data points, we fit a logistic regression model to each comparison dataset using the following form: Outlier status = Recombination rate + mutation rate + gene density, where outlier status is 1 if a window is an outlier (>95th percentile) and 0 otherwise. We performed separate model fits for *F*sr and dxy outliers. We also fit models of the same type using mean intra-population heterozygosity (Hs) as the response variable in order to assess its role in driving any patterns of increased divergence.

We fit these models in R (version 3.2.2) using the generalized linear model function "glm". Prior to model fitting, we filtered out pairwise population comparisons with fewer than 100 75-kbp windows represented to ensure convergence of the linear models. To assess statistical significance of the model fits, we extracted the regression coefficient for the recombination rate term from each model, representing the slope of the relationship between outlier occurrence and recombination rate. The steepness of the slope coefficients estimates the tendency for outliers to occur in regions of low recombination, controlling for the effects of mutation rate and gene density.

460

461 **Permutation tests**

To test the hypothesis that adaptation with gene flow favors divergence in regions of low 462 recombination, we employed a permutation test to assess whether the slopes from the models 463 described above differed significantly between populations differing in divergent selection and gene 464 flow. To do this, we randomly shuffled regime assignments of all the populations and estimated the 465 mean low recombination outlier tendency (the grouped mean of the regression coefficients from 466 above) for each regime in 10,000 permutations. This generated a null distribution of mean slopes for 467 each regime, accounting for sample size differences between categories (Figure S2). We then 468 calculated a two-sided P value for each empirical mean by the computing the fraction of samples in 469 the null distribution greater than the observed value and multiplying by two. Note this method of 470 analysis also employed elsewhere throughout the paper (referred to as "permutation test" wherever 471 it was applied). 472

473

474 Clustering vs. geographic distance and overall divergence

To ensure our results were not influenced by our discrete geographic categorization scheme, we examined how the tendency for *F*sr outliers to occur in regions of low-recombination varied with pairwise geographic distance. To do this, we regressed the low recombination outlier tendency

- (regression coefficients from above) on geographic distance between populations using the R
- 479 function "lm". The linear model was of the form recombination bias = distance + ecology +
- distance * ecology (interaction). We then assessed significance of the model terms using a
- 481 permutation test similar to the one previously described (see code supplement)
- The results of (Burri *et al.* 2015) and (Roesti *et al.* 2013) suggest that the tendency for *F*_{ST} outliers to occur in regions of low recombination may be highest at intermediate levels of overall genetic divergence
- ($F_{ST} = 0.3-0.5$). Overall F_{ST} thus represents a potential source of bias, as our use of geographic 485 distance as a proxy for gene flow is naturally confounded with overall F_{ST} – with isolation by 486 distance, more distant populations will have higher divergence, all else being equal. To test if this 487 may have influenced our results, we examined the correlation between low-recombination clustering 488 tendency and overall Fsr. To obtain overall Fsr estimates between each pair of populations, we 489 divided the sum of the numerator terms by the sum of the denominator terms of all locus-specific 490 Fst values for each pair (Weir & Cockerham 1984). This yielded a single average Fst value for each 491 pair of populations. We then employed the same approach as the analysis of distance, with a linear 492 model the form recombination bias = F_{ST} + ecology + F_{ST} * ecology (interaction). We assess the 493 significance of this difference again via permutation test (see code supplement). 494
- 495

496 Increased clustering of outlier SNPs

To test the hypothesis that adaptation with gene flow favors clustering (reduced genetic map distance) between outlier SNPs, we used two metrics of clustering: nearest neighbor map distance between outliers (NND) and the coefficient of variation in map distance between consecutive outliers. Both of these metrics were calculated using the SNP-level data.

We first asked: do map distances between nearest-neighbour outlier loci differ significantly 501 from the expected map distances of identical numbers of nearest-neighbour SNPs? This approach 502 was designed to account for disparities in SNP density that might occur due to differences in 503 sequencing outcomes between our various datasets. To do this, we first partitioned each SNP data 504 505 set by chromosome. Then, for each chromosome we identified the number of outlier loci using the previously described method. We then drew 10,000 samples of random SNPs from each 506 chromosome equal to the number of outliers on that chromosome, and calculated the mean map 507 distance between each SNP and its nearest neighbor in the random sample. We then compared the 508 empirical mean nearest neighbor map distance of outliers to this null distribution for each 509

chromosome within each individual comparison dataset. We then used permutation tests to compare (a) the proportion of chromosomes that were significantly over-clustered and (b) the difference between the average NND between outliers and the average NND expected between SNPs, in units of standard deviations, between the four selection and gene flow regimes.

In addition to the re-sampled approach, we also computed a coefficient of variation: the ratio of the standard deviation in map distances between consecutive SNP on the chromosome divided by the mean distance. Values exceeding one are indicative of over-dispersion (clustering), whereas values below one suggest under-dispersion (uniformity of distances). We calculated the coefficient of variation for outliers on each chromosome, and computed the mean for all chromosomes containing outliers for each comparison. We then used a permutation test (as described above) to compare the means of this quantity among gene flow/selection regimes.

521

22 Whole genome data collection

We obtained whole-genome sequences from single individuals from a total of nine stickleback 523 populations. One of these is the reference genome, derived from a marine-like individual from Bear 524 Paw Lake, Alaska (Jones et al. 2012). Four were individuals collected from two pairs of populations that have diverged into benthic and limnetic ecotypes from Paxton and Priest Lake on Texada Island 526 in BC, Canada. These two pairs of populations (one limnetic and one benthic in each lake) have 527 diverged from each other in the face of gene flow (Taylor & McPhail 2000), making them "DS-GF" 528 populations in our classification scheme. The remaining five were collected from freshwater lakes 529 with a single, non-diverged stickleback population – Hoggan, Bullock, Trout, Cranby and Stowell 530 lakes (Miller). These latter populations diverged from the marine ancestor in allopatry -i.e. they are 531 "DS-Allopatry" populations in our scheme. DNA from these individuals was extracted via phenol-532 chloroform extraction, and whole-genome library preparation carried out using Nextera DNA 533 Library Prep Kits (Illumina Inc.). All populations were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 in the 534 University of British Columbia Biodiversity Sequencing Facility. 535

536

537

Whole genome dxy calculation and analysis

We used the GATK best practices workflow described above to call variants on the eight populations above (not including the reference). We emitted VCF files containing all variant and invariant sites for each population. We then computed dxy in 75,000 base pair windows using the method described previously (see "Calculation of Divergence Metrics" above; code available in

repository). For the two pairs of DS-GF populations (Paxton and Priest), we computed dxy between 542 sympatric populations within each lake. For the remaining DS-Allopatry populations, we computed 543 dxy between each population and a marine population (Bear Paw Lake, i.e. the reference genome). 544 We allowed for missing sites, and for windows with no variable sites. Prior to analysis, we inspected 545 relationships between the number of genotyped sites in each window and dxy. We found that the 546 variance in dxy was highly inflated in windows containing fewer than 7500 genotyped sites (variant 547 and invariant). We thus excluded all windows with less than 7500 sites (out of 75,000) from the 548 analysis. As before, we classified windows with dxy values exceeding the 95th percentile as "outlier 549 windows". 550

We used a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to test if the relationship between dxy 551 outlier status (0,1) and recombination differed between DS-GF pairs and DS-Allo pairs. We used the 552 function "glmer" in the R package *lme4* (Bates et al. 2015) fit a GLMM of the following form: dxy 553 outlier status = recombination rate + regime + comparison (random effect). Outlier status was a 554 binary variable, and we thus used a binomial error function (i.e. a logistic regression). We then refit 555 the model, but included an interaction term: recombination rate \times regime. We then compared the fit 556 of the latter model to the simpler model using a likelihood ratio test, implemented via the R function 557 "anova". 558

- 559
- 560
- 561

563

562 **References**

- Aeschbacher S, Selby JP, Willis JH, Coop G (2016) *Population-genomic inference of the strength and timing of selection against gene flow.* Cold Spring Harbor Labs Journals.
- Barton NH (2010) Genetic linkage and natural selection. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 365, 2559–2569.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using Ime4.
 Journal of Statistical Software, 67.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
 Bioinformatics, 30, 2114–2120.
- Burri R, Nater A, Kawakami T *et al.* (2015) Linked selection and recombination rate variation drive
 the evolution of the genomic landscape of differentiation across the speciation continuum of
 Ficedula flycatchers. *Genome Research*, 25, 1656–1665.
- Bürger R, Akerman A (2011) The effects of linkage and gene flow on local adaptation: a two-locus
 continent-island model. *Theoretical Population Biology*, **80**, 272–288.
- Cachat JM, Stewart A, Utterback E *et al.* (2010) Deconstructing Adult Zebrafish Behavior with Swim
 Trace Visualizations. In: *Zebrafish Ecology and Behaviour*, pp. 191–201. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.
- 579 Catchen J, Bassham S, Wilson T et al. (2013) The population structure and recent colonization

- history of Oregon threespine stickleback determined using restriction-site associated DNA sequencing. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 2864–2883.
- Chain FJ, Feulner PGD, Panchal M *et al.* (2014) Extensive copy-number variation of young genes
 across stickleback populations. (J Zhang, Ed,). *PLoS Genetics*, **10**, e1004830.
- Charlesworth B (2012) The Role of Background Selection in Shaping Patterns of Molecular
 Evolution and Variation: Evidence from Variability on the Drosophila X Chromosome. *Genetics*,
 191, 233–246.
- 587 Cutter AD, Payseur BA (2013) Genomic signatures of selection at linked sites: unifying the disparity 588 among species. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **14**, 262–274.
- Elshire RJ, Glaubitz JC, Sun Q *et al.* (2011) A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. (L Orban, Ed,). *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e19379.
- Feder JL, Egan SP, Nosil P (2012) The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow. *Trends in Genetics*, **28**, 342–350.
- Feulner PGD, Chain FJ, Panchal M *et al.* (2015) Genomics of divergence along a continuum of
 parapatric population differentiation. (J Zhang, Ed,). *PLoS Genetics*, **11**, e1004966.
- Glazer AM, Killingbeck EE, Mitros T, Rokhsar DS, Miller CT (2015) Genome Assembly
 Improvement and Mapping Convergently Evolved Skeletal Traits in Sticklebacks with
 Genotyping-by-Sequencing. *G3: Genes | Genomes | Genetics*, 5, 1463–1472.
- Gow JL, Peichel CL, Taylor EB (2006) Contrasting hybridization rates between sympatric three spined sticklebacks highlight the fragility of reproductive barriers between evolutionarily young
 species. *Molecular Ecology*, 15, 739–752.
- Hairston NG Jr, Ellner SP, Geber MA, Yoshida T, Fox JA (2005) Rapid evolution and the
 convergence of ecological and evolutionary time. *Ecology Letters*, 8, 1114–1127.
- Hendry AP, Bolnick DI, Berner D, Peichel CL (2009) Along the speciation continuum in
 sticklebacks. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **75**, 2000–2036.
- Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Etter PD *et al.* (2010) Population Genomics of Parallel Adaptation in
 Threespine Stickleback using Sequenced RAD Tags (DJ Begun, Ed,). *PLoS Genetics*, 6.
- Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan YF *et al.* (2012) The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. *Nature*, **484**, 55–61.
- Kautt AF, Elmer KR, MEYER A (2012) Genomic signatures of divergent selection and speciation
 patterns in a "natural experiment," the young parallel radiations of Nicaraguan crater lake cichlid
 fishes. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 4770–4786.
- Kirkpatrick M (2016) The Evolution of Genome Structure by Natural and Sexual Selection. *The Journal of heredity*, esw041.
- Kirkpatrick M, Barton N (2006) Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and speciation. *Genetics*,
 173, 419–434.
- Lenormand T (2002) Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **17**, 183–189.
- Li H, Durbin R (2010) Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 589–595.
- Lowry DB, Hoban S, Kelley JL *et al.* (2016) Breaking RAD: An evaluation of the utility of restriction site associated DNA sequencing for genome scans of adaptation. *Molecular Ecology Resources*.
- Lowry DB, Modliszewski JL, Wright KM, Wu CA, Willis JH (2008) The strength and genetic basis
 of reproductive isolating barriers in flowering plants. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363, 3009–3021.
- Löytynoja A, Goldman N (2008) Phylogeny-Aware Gap Placement Prevents Errors in Sequence Alignment and Evolutionary Analysis. *Science*, **320**, 1632–1635.
- Lunter G, Goodson M (2011) Stampy: a statistical algorithm for sensitive and fast mapping of

- Illumina sequence reads. *Genome Research*, **21**, 936–939.
- Marko PB, Hart MW (2011) The complex analytical landscape of gene flow inference. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 26, 448–456.
- Marques DA, Lucek K, Meier JI *et al.* (2016) Genomics of Rapid Incipient Speciation in Sympatric
 Threespine Stickleback. *PLoS Genetics*, **12**, e1005887.
- McKinnon JS, Rundle HD (2002) Speciation in nature: the threespine stickleback model systems.
 Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 17, 480–487.
- Miller SE Intraguild predation is a mechanism of divergent selection in the threespine stickleback.
 University of British Columbia, Vancouver.
- Nachman MW, Payseur BA (2012) Recombination rate variation and speciation: theoretical
 predictions and empirical results from rabbits and mice. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 367, 409–421.
- Narum SR, Hess JE (2011) Comparison of F(ST) outlier tests for SNP loci under selection. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 11 Suppl 1, 184–194.
- Navarro A, Barton NH (2003) Accumulating postzygotic isolation genes in parapatry: A new twist
 on chromosomal speciation. *Evolution*, 57, 447–459.
- 644 Nei M (1987) Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia University Press.
- Noor MAF, Bennett SM (2009) Islands of speciation or mirages in the desert? Examining the role of restricted recombination in maintaining species. *Heredity*, **103**, 439–444.
- Noor MAF, Feder JL (2006) Speciation genetics: evolving approaches. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 7,
 851–861.
- Noor MA, Grams KL, Bertucci LA, Reiland J (2001a) Chromosomal inversions and the
 reproductive isolation of species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98, 12084–12088.
- Noor M, Cunningham AL, Larkin JC (2001b) Consequences of Recombination Rate Variation on
 Quantitative Trait Locus Mapping Studies: Simulations Based on the Drosophila melanogaster
 Genome. *Genetics*, 159.
- Nosil P, Harmon LJ, Seehausen O (2009) Ecological explanations for (incomplete) speciation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 24, 145–156.
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K (2004) APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
 language. *Bioinformatics*, 20, 289–290.
- Peichel CL, Marques DA (2017) The genetic and molecular architecture of phenotypic diversity in
 sticklebacks. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 372,
 20150486.
- Rastas P, Calboli FCF, Guo B, Shikano T, Merilä J (2016) Construction of Ultradense Linkage
 Maps with Lep-MAP2: Stickleback F 2 Recombinant Crosses as an Example. *Genome biology and evolution*, 8, 78–93.
- Renaut S, Grassa CJ, Yeaman S *et al.* (2013) Genomic islands of divergence are not affected by geography of speciation in sunflowers. *Nature Communications*, **4**, 1827.
- Rieseberg LH (2001) Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 16,
 351–358.
- Roesti M, Hendry AP, Salzburger W, Berner D (2012) Genome divergence during evolutionary
 diversification as revealed in replicate lake-stream stickleback population pairs. *Molecular Ecology*,
 21, 2852–2862.
- Roesti M, Moser D, Berner D (2013) Recombination in the threespine stickleback genome-patterns
 and consequences. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 3014–3027.
- Rolshausen G, Muttalib S, Kaeuffer R *et al.* (2015) When maladaptive gene flow does not increase
 selection. *Evolution*, 69, 2289–2302.
- ⁶⁷⁵ Schluter D (1993) Adaptive Radiation in Sticklebacks: Size, Shape, and Habitat Use Efficiency.

- 676 *Ecology*, **74**, 699.
- Schluter D, Conte GL (2009) Genetics and ecological speciation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **106 Suppl 1**, 9955–9962.
- Schluter D, Rambaut A (1996) Ecological Speciation in Postglacial Fishes [and Discussion].
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, **351**, 807–814.
- Sousa V, Hey J (2013) Understanding the origin of species with genome-scale data: modelling gene
 flow. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 14, 404–414.
- Taylor EB, McPhail JD (2000) Historical contingency and ecological determinism interact to prime
 speciation in sticklebacks, Gasterosteus. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 267,
 2375–2384.
- Team RC (2015) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, 2012). URL: http://www. R-project. org.
- Tine M, Kuhl H, Gagnaire P-A *et al.* (2014) European sea bass genome and its variation provide insights into adaptation to euryhalinity and speciation. *Nature Communications*, **5**, 5770.
- Vavrek MJ (2011) fossil: Palaeoecological and palaeogeographical analysis tools. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 14.
- Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population Structure. *Evolution*, **38**, 1358.
- Wielgoss S, Barrick JE, Tenaillon O *et al.* (2011) Mutation Rate Inferred From Synonymous
 Substitutions in a Long-Term Evolution Experiment With Escherichia coli (BJ Andrews, Ed,).
 G3: Genes | Genomes | Genetics, 1, 183–186.
- Yang Z (2007) PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24, 1586–1591.
- Yeaman S, Whitlock MC (2011) The genetic architecture of adaptation under migration-selection
 balance. *Evolution*, 65, 1897–1911.
- Yoshida K, Makino T, Yamaguchi K *et al.* (2014) Sex Chromosome Turnover Contributes to
 Genomic Divergence between Incipient Stickleback Species (J Zhang, Ed,). *PLoS Genetics*, 10,
 e1004223.
- 704
- 705 Data Accessibility
- 706 **Published genomic datasets:** The original study references and accession numbers are listed in
- Table S1. New genomic datasets: All new datasets will be made available on the SRA. Analysis
- ⁷⁰⁸ code and processed data: https://github.com/ksamuk/gene_flow_linkage.



Figure 1 | **Representative plots of genome-wide Fsr between single pairs of populations from four gene-flow and selection regimes.** Each coloured line represents a loess smooth of *Fsr* vs. chromosomal position for a single chromosome (numbered along bottom). Raw Fsr (calculated in 75000 base-pair windows) is depicted in grey behind each smoothed line. Line color corresponds to gene flow and selection regime (labeled on the right side of the plot). Below the main plots, recombination rate estimates from Roesti et al. (2013) (black lines) are shown for each chromosome. Population pairs were chosen on the basis of similarity in overall Fsr and coverage of genomic data. Detailed additional statistics (diversity, dxy, dS, etc.) for each representative comparison are provided in supplemental figures S6-S9.



Figure 2 | Patterns of low recombination bias among the four gene flow and selection regimes. (a) Representative logistic regressions of outlier status against recombination rate. Each panel corresponds to a population shown in Figure 1. Regressions are corrected for variation in mutation rate and gene density. (b) Individual logistic regression coefficients for all pairwise comparisons (points) in each gene flow / selection regime. Colored horizontal lines indicate means. Increasingly negative coefficients indicate a stronger bias for outliers to occur in the regions of low recombination. Black arrows indicate the coefficient of each representative comparison used in Figure 1 and panel (a) above.



Figure 3 | The relationship between recombination rate and dxv estimated from whole genome sequence from seven pairs of stickleback populations. Each panel depicts the relationship between recombination rate and dxv in a single population, calculated by comparing the whole genome sequences of two individuals. Each point represents the value of dxv in a single 1000 bp window. Points have been randomly down-sampled by a factor of 100 to aid in visualization. Colored lines represent lines of best fit. DS-GF (red) comparisons represent dxv between two sympatric populations (a single benthic/limnetic pair), whereas DS-Allopatry (yellow) comparisons represent dxy between two allopatric populations (solitary lake vs. marine). Values on the x axis were transformed via log(value + 1).



Figure 4 | **The relationship between the tendency for divergence outliers to occur in regions of low recombination (y-axis) and overall genetic divergence (x-axis)** when measured for (a) the *F*_{ST} outliers and (b) dxy outliers. Y-axis values are regression coefficients derived by performing logistic regressions of outlier probability vs. recombination rate for 75 kb genomic windows in each comparison. X-axis values are averages of *F*_{ST} at all loci across the genome for each comparison. Each point represents a single comparison of two populations. Colors indicate different gene flow + selection regimes, with divergent and parallel selection separated for clarity in each of (a) and (b).