

**GENERAL CONFORMAL ALMOST SYMPLECTIC
N-LINEAR CONNECTIONS
IN THE BUNDLE OF ACCELERATIONS**

Monica Purcaru and Nicoleta Aldea

Abstract

The aim of this paper¹ is to find the transformation for the coefficients of an N-linear connection on $E = Osc^2M$, by a transformation of nonlinear connections, to define in the bundle of accelerations the general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connection notion and to determine the set of all general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connections on E. We treat also some special classes of general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connections on E.

1 Introduction

The literature on the higher order Lagrange spaces geometry highlights the theoretical and practical importance of these spaces: [4] – [7].

Motivated by concrete problems in variational calculation, higher order Lagrange geometry, based on the k-osculator bundle notion, has witnessed a wide acknowledgment due to the papers: [4] – [7], published by Radu Miron and Gheorghe Atanasiu.

The geometry of k-osculator spaces presents not only a special theoretical interest, but also an applicative one.

Due to its content, the present paper continues a trend of interest with a long tradition in the modern differential geometry, i.e. the study of remarkable geometrical structures.

In the present paper we find the transformations for the coefficients of an N-linear connection on $E = Osc^2M$, by a transformation of nonlinear connections, (§2).

We define the general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connection notion on E, (§3).

¹2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 53C05.

Key words and phrases. 2-osculator bundle, general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connection, conformal almost symplectic d-structure

We determine the set of all these connections and we treat also some special class of general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connections on E, (§4).

This paper is a generalization of the papers:[10] – [13]. Concerning the terminology and notations, we use those from: [4], [9], which are essentially based on M.Matsumoto's book: [2].

2 The set of the transformations of N-linear connections in the 2-osculator bundle

Let M be a real n-dimensional C^∞ -manifold and let (Osc^2M, π, M) be its 2-osculator bundle, with $E = Osc^2M$ the total space.

The local coordinates on E are denoted by: $(x^i, y^{(1)i}, y^{(2)i})$, briefly: $(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})$.

If N is a nonlinear connection on E, with the coefficients $(N_{(1)j}^i, N_{(2)j}^i)$, then let D be an N-linear connection on E, with the coefficients $D\Gamma(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{(1)jk}^i, C_{(2)jk}^i)$.

If \bar{N} is another nonlinear connection on E, with the coefficients $\bar{N}_{(1)j}^i(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})$, $\bar{N}_{(2)j}^i(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})$, then there exist a uniquely determined tensor fields $A_{(\alpha)j}^i \in \tau_1^1(E)$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ such that:

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{N}_{(\alpha)j}^i = N_{(\alpha)j}^i - A_{(\alpha)j}^i, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2).$$

Conversely, if $N_{(\alpha)j}^i$ and $A_{(\alpha)j}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ are given, then $\bar{N}_{(\alpha)j}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, given by (2.1) is a nonlinear connection.

Let us suppose that the mapping $N \rightarrow \bar{N}$ is given by (2.1).

According to Cap.III, §3.3, [4], we have:

$$D \frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}} = L_{jk}^i \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}, \quad D \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\beta)k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}} = C_{(\beta)jk}^i \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}},$$

$$(\beta = 1, 2; \alpha = 0, 1, 2; y^{(0)i} = x^i) \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \bar{N}_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)j}} - \bar{N}_{(2)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}}, \quad \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(1)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}} - \bar{N}_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}}, \quad \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(2)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}.$$

It follows first of all that the transformation (2.1) preserve the coefficients $C_{(2)jk}^i$.

Taking in account the fact that:

$$\frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + A_{(1) i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)j}} + A_{(2) i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}}, \quad \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(1)i}} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{(1)i}} + A_{(1) i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}},$$

it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^k} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(2)j}} &= D \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} = \bar{L}_{jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} = D_{(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} + A_{(1) k}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)l}} + A_{(2) k}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)l}})} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} = \\ &= D \frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} + A_{(1) k}^l D_{(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)l}} + N_{(1) l}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)m}})} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} + A_{(2) k}^l D \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} = \\ &= L_{jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} + A_{(1) k}^l C_{(1)jl}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} + A_{(1) k}^l N_{(1) l}^m C_{(2)jm}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} + A_{(2) k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} = \\ &= (L_{jk}^i + A_{(1) k}^l C_{(1)jl}^i + A_{(1) k}^l N_{(1) l}^m C_{(2)jm}^i + A_{(2) k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}. \\ D \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(1)k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(2)j}} &= D \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(1)k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} = \bar{C}_{(1)jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} = D_{(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)k}} + A_{(1) k}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)l}})} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} = \\ &= D \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} + A_{(1) k}^l D \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} = C_{(1)jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} + A_{(1) k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} = \\ &= (C_{(1)jk}^i + A_{(1) k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the change we are looking for is:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{L}_{jk}^i = L_{jk}^i + A_{(1) k}^l C_{(1)jl}^i + A_{(1) k}^l N_{(1) l}^m C_{(2)jm}^i + A_{(2) k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i \\ \bar{C}_{(1)jk}^i = C_{(1)jk}^i + A_{(1) k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i, \\ \bar{C}_{(2)jk}^i = C_{(2)jk}^i. \end{cases}$$

So, we have proved:

Proposition 2.1 *The transformation (2.1) of nonlinear connections imply the transformations (2.2) for the coefficients $D\Gamma(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{(1)jk}^i, C_{(2)jk}^i)$ of the N-linear connection D.*

Now, we can prove:

Theorem 2.1 *Let N and \bar{N} be two nonlinear connections on E, with the coefficients $(N_{(1) j}^i, N_{(2) j}^i)$, $(\bar{N}_{(1) j}^i, \bar{N}_{(2) j}^i)$ -respectively. If $D\Gamma(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{(1)jk}^i, C_{(2)jk}^i)$ and $D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) = (\bar{L}_{jk}^i, \bar{C}_{(1)jk}^i, \bar{C}_{(2)jk}^i)$ are two N-, respectively \bar{N} -linear connections on the differentiable manifold E, then there exists only one quintet of tensor fields $(A_{(1) j}^i, A_{(2) j}^i, B_{jk}^i, D_{(1)jk}^i, D_{(2)jk}^i)$ such that:*

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{N}_{(\alpha)j}^i = N_{(\alpha)j}^i - A_{(\alpha)j}^i, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2), \\ \overline{L}_{jk}^i = L_{jk}^i + A_{(1)k}^l C_{(1)jl}^i + A_{(1)k}^l N_{(1)l}^m C_{(2)jm}^i + A_{(2)k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i - B_{jk}^i, \\ \overline{C}_{(1)jk}^i = C_{(1)jk}^i + A_{(1)k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i - D_{(1)jk}^i, \\ \overline{C}_{(2)jk}^i = C_{(2)jk}^i - D_{(2)jk}^i. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. The first equality (2.3) determines uniquely the tensor fields $A_{(\alpha)j}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, [3]. Since $C_{(\alpha)jk}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ are tensor fields, the second equation (2.3) determines uniquely the tensor field B_{jk}^i . Similarly the third and the fourth equation (2.3) determine the tensor fields $D_{(1)jk}^i$ and $D_{(2)jk}^i$ respectively.

We have immediately:

Theorem 2.2 If $D\Gamma(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{(1)jk}^i, C_{(2)jk}^i)$ are the coefficients of an N -linear connection D on E and $(A_{(1)j}^i, A_{(2)j}^i, B_{jk}^i, D_{(1)jk}^i, D_{(2)jk}^i)$ is a quintet of tensor fields on E , then: $D\overline{\Gamma}(\overline{N}) = (\overline{L}_{jk}^i, \overline{C}_{(1)jk}^i, \overline{C}_{(2)jk}^i)$ given by (2.3) are the coefficients of an \overline{N} -linear connection \overline{D} on E .

The tensor fields $(A_{(1)j}^i, A_{(2)j}^i, B_{jk}^i, D_{(1)jk}^i, D_{(2)jk}^i)$ are called the difference tensor fields of $D\Gamma(N)$ to $D\overline{\Gamma}(\overline{N})$ and the mapping $D\Gamma(N) \rightarrow D\overline{\Gamma}(\overline{N})$ given by (2.3) is called a transformation of N -linear connection to \overline{N} -linear connection, [2].

3 The notion of general conformal almost symplectic N -linear connection in the bundle of accelerations

Let M be a real $n = 2n'$ -dimensional C^∞ -manifold and let $(Osc^2 M, \pi, M)$ be its 2-osculator bundle. The local coordinates on the total space $E = Osc^2 M$ are denoted by $(x^i, y^{(1)i}, y^{(2)i})$.

We consider on E an almost symplectic d -structure, defined by a d -tensor field of the type $(0, 2)$, let us say $a_{ij}(x^i, y^{(1)i}, y^{(2)i})$, alternate:

$$(3.1) \quad a_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) = -a_{ji}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}),$$

and nondegenerate:

$$(3.2) \quad \det \|a_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})\| \neq 0, \forall y^{(1)} \neq 0, \forall y^{(2)} \neq 0.$$

We associate to this d -structure Obata's operators:

$$(3.3) \quad \Phi_{sj}^{ir} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_s^i \delta_j^r - a_{sj} a^{ir}), \Phi_{sj}^{*ir} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_s^i \delta_j^r + a_{sj} a^{ir}),$$

where (a^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of (a_{ij}) :

$$(3.4) \quad a_{ij} a^{jk} = \delta_i^k.$$

Obata's operators have the same properties as the ones associated with the metrical d -structure on E , [8].

Let $\mathcal{A}_2(E)$ be the set of all alternate d -tensor fields of the type $(0, 2)$ on E . As is easily shown, the relation for $b_{ij}, c_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_2(E)$ defined by:

$$(3.5) \quad b_{ij} \sim c_{ij} \iff \{\exists \rho(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathcal{F}(E) | b_{ij} = e^{2\rho} c_{ij}\}$$

is an equivalent relation on $\mathcal{A}_2(E)$.

Definition 3.1 *The equivalent class: \hat{a} of $\mathcal{A}_2(E)_{/\sim}$, to which the almost symplectic d -structure a_{ij} belongs, is called a conformal almost symplectic d -structure on $E = \text{Osc}^2 M$.*

Every $a'_{ij} \in \hat{a}$ is a d -tensor field alternate and nondegenerate, expressed by:

$$(3.6) \quad a'_{ij} = e^{2\rho} a_{ij}.$$

Obata's operators are defined for $a'_{ij} \in \hat{a}$ by putting $(a'^{ij}) = (a'_{ij})^{-1}$. Since equation (3.6) is equivalent to:

$$(3.7) \quad (a'^{ij}) = e^{-2\rho} a^{ij},$$

we have

Proposition 3.1 *Obata's operators depend on the conformal almost symplectic d -structure \hat{a} , and do not depend on its representative $a'_{ij} \in \hat{a}$.*

Let N be a nonlinear connection on E with the coefficients $(N_{(1)j}^i, N_{(2)j}^i)$ and let D be an N -linear connection on E with the coefficients in the adapted basis $\{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}\} : D\Gamma(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{(1)jk}^i, C_{(2)jk}^i)$.

Definition 3.2 An N -linear connection D on E , is said to be a general conformal almost symplectic N -linear connection on E , if it verifies the following relations:

$$(3.8) \quad a_{ij|k} = K_{ijk}, \quad a_{ij} \Big|_k^{(\alpha)} = Q_{(\alpha)ijk}, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2),$$

where K_{ijk} , $Q_{(\alpha)ijk}$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, are tensor fields of the type $(0, 3)$, having the properties of antisymmetry in the first two indices:

$$(3.9) \quad K_{ijk} = -K_{jik}, \quad Q_{(\alpha)ijk} = -Q_{(\alpha)jik}, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2),$$

$\mathbb{I}, \Big|^{(\alpha)}$, denote the h - and v_α -covariant derivatives, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, with respect to $D\Gamma(N)$.

Particularly, we can give:

Definition 3.3 An N -linear connection D on E , for which there exists a 1-form ω in $\mathcal{X}^*(Osc^2 M)$, $(\omega = \tilde{\omega}_i dx^i + \dot{\omega}_{(1)i} \delta y^{(1)i} + \dot{\omega}_{(2)i} \delta y^{(2)i})$ such that:

$$(3.10) \quad a_{ij|k} = 2\tilde{\omega}_k a_{ij}, \quad a_{ij} \Big|_k^{(\alpha)} = 2 \dot{\omega}_{(\alpha)k} a_{ij}, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2),$$

where \mathbb{I} and $\Big|^{(\alpha)}$ denote the h - and v_α -covariant derivatives $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ with respect to $D\Gamma(N)$, is said to be compatible with the conformal almost symplectic structure \hat{a} , or a conformal almost symplectic N -linear connection on E with respect to the conformal almost symplectic structure \hat{a} , corresponding to the 1-form ω , and is denoted by: $D\Gamma(\omega)$.

For any representative $a'_{ij} \in \hat{a}$ we have:

Theorem 3.1 For $a'_{ij} = e^{2\rho} a_{ij}$, a conformal almost symplectic N -linear connection with respect to \hat{a} , corresponding to the 1-form ω , $D\Gamma(\omega)$ satisfies:

$$(3.11) \quad a'_{ij|k} = 2\tilde{\omega}'_k a'_{ij}, \quad a'_{ij} \Big|_k^{(\alpha)} = 2 \dot{\omega}'_{(\alpha)} a'_{ij}, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2),$$

where $\omega' = \omega + d\rho$.

Since in Theorem 3.1. $\omega' = 0$ is equivalent to $\omega = d(-\rho)$, we have:

Theorem 3.2 *A conformal almost symplectic N-linear connection with respect to \hat{a} , corresponding to the 1-form ω , $D\Gamma(\omega)$, is an almost symplectic N-linear connection with respect to some $a'_{ij} \in \hat{a}$, (i.e. $a'_{ij|k} = 0, a'^{(\alpha)}_{ij} \big|_k = 0$, ($\alpha = 1, 2$)), if and only if ω is exact.*

4 The set of all general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connections in the bundle of accelerations

Let $\overset{0}{N}$ and $\overset{0}{N}$ be two nonlinear connections on $E = Osc^2M$, with the coefficients $(\overset{0}{N}_{(1)j}, \overset{0}{N}_{(2)j})$ and $(\overset{0}{N}_{(1)j}, \overset{0}{N}_{(2)j})$ respectively.

Let $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N}) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{(1)jk}^i, C_{(2)jk}^i)$, be the coefficients of an arbitrary fixed $\overset{0}{N}$ -linear connection on E . Then any N -linear connection on E , with the coefficients $D\Gamma(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{(1)jk}^i, C_{(2)jk}^i)$, can be expressed in the form (2.3), taking $D\Gamma(N)$ for $D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ and $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$ for $D\Gamma(N)$, where $(A_{(1)j}^i, A_{(2)j}^i, B_{jk}^i, D_{(1)jk}^i, D_{(2)jk}^i)$ is the difference tensor fields of $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$ to $D\Gamma(N)$.

In order that $D\Gamma(N)$ is a general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connection on E , that is (3.8) holds for $D\Gamma(N)$, it is necessary and sufficient that $B_{jk}^i, D_{(1)jk}^i, D_{(2)jk}^i$ satisfy:

$$(4.1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{sj}^{*ir} B_{rk}^s = -\frac{1}{2} a^{im} [a_{mj|k}^{\overset{0}{}} + A_{(1)k}^l a_{mj} \big|_l^{\overset{(1)}{}} \\ \quad + (A_{(2)k}^l + A_{(1)k}^r \overset{0}{N}_{(1)r}^l) a_{mj} \big|_l^{\overset{(2)}{}} - K_{mjk}], \\ \Phi_{sj}^{*ir} D_{(1)rk}^s = -\frac{1}{2} a^{im} (a_{mj} \big|_k^{\overset{(1)}{}} + A_{(1)k}^l a_{mj} \big|_l^{\overset{(1)}{}} - Q_{(1)mjk}), \\ \Phi_{sj}^{*ir} D_{(2)rk}^s = -\frac{1}{2} a^{im} (a_{mj} \big|_k^{\overset{(1)}{}} - Q_{(2)mjk}), \end{array} \right.$$

where $\overset{0}{|}$ and $\overset{(\alpha)}{|}$, ($\alpha = 1, 2$), denote the h - and v_α -covariant derivatives,

$(\alpha = 1, 2)$, with respect to $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$.

Thus, we have:

Proposition 4.1 *Let $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$ be a fixed $\overset{0}{N}$ -linear connection on E . Then the set of all general conformal almost symplectic N -linear connections, $D\Gamma(N)$ is given by (2.3), where B_{jk}^i , $D_{(\alpha)jk}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, are arbitrary tensor fields satisfying (4.1). Especially, if $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$ is a general conformal almost symplectic N -linear connection, then (4.1.) becomes:*

$$(4.2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{sj}^{*ir} B_{rk}^s = -\frac{1}{2} a^{im} [A_{(1)k}^l a_{mj} \Big|_l + (A_{(2)k}^l + A_{(1)k}^r \overset{0}{N}_{(1)r}^l) a_{mj} \Big|_l], \\ \Phi_{sj}^{*ir} D_{(1)rk}^s = -\frac{1}{2} a^{im} A_{(1)k}^l a_{mj} \Big|_l, \\ \Phi_{sj}^{*ir} D_{(2)rk}^s = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

From Theorem 5.4.3[4], however, the system (4.1) has solutions in B_{jk}^i , $D_{(\alpha)jk}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$. Substituting in (2.3) from the general solution we have:

Theorem 4.1 *Let $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$ be a fixed $\overset{0}{N}$ -linear connection on E . The set of all general conformal almost symplectic N -linear connections $D\Gamma(N)$ is given by:*

$$(4.3) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_{jk}^i = L_{jk}^i + X_{(1)k}^l C_{(1)jl}^i + X_{(1)k}^l N_{(1)l}^m C_{(2)jm}^i + X_{(2)k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i + \\ \quad + \frac{1}{2} a^{im} [a_{mj}^0 + X_{(1)k}^l a_{mj}^1 + (X_{(2)k}^l + X_{(1)k}^r N_{(1)r}^0) a_{mj}^2] - \\ \quad - K_{mjk}] + \Phi_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s, \\ C_{(1)jk}^i = C_{(1)jk}^i + C_{(2)jl}^i X_{(1)k}^l + \frac{1}{2} a^{im} (a_{mj}^1 + X_{(1)k}^l a_{mj}^2) - \\ \quad - Q_{(1)mjk}) + \Phi_{sj}^{ir} Y_{(1)rk}^s, \\ C_{(2)jk}^i = C_{(2)jk}^i + \frac{1}{2} a^{im} (a_{mj}^2 - Q_{(2)mjk}) + \Phi_{sj}^{ir} Y_{(2)rk}^s, \end{array} \right.$$

where $N_{(\alpha)j}^i = N_{(\alpha)j}^i - X_{(\alpha)j}^i, X_{(\alpha)j}^i, X_{jk}^i, Y_{(\alpha)jk}^i, (\alpha = 1, 2)$ are arbitrary tensor fields, and $\overset{0}{|}, \overset{(\alpha)}{|}$, denote the h - and v_α -covariant derivatives, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, with respect to $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$.

If we take a general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connection as $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$, in Theorem 4.1, then (4.3) becomes:

$$(4.4) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_{jk}^i = L_{jk}^i + X_{(1)k}^l C_{(1)jl}^i + X_{(1)k}^l N_{(1)l}^m C_{(2)jm}^i + X_{(2)k}^l C_{(2)jl}^i + \\ \quad + \frac{1}{2} a^{im} [X_{(1)k}^l Q_{(1)mjl} + (X_{(2)k}^l + X_{(1)k}^r N_{(1)r}^0) Q_{(2)mjk}] + \Phi_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s, \\ C_{(1)jk}^i = C_{(1)jk}^i + C_{(2)jl}^i X_{(1)k}^l + \frac{1}{2} a^{im} Q_{(2)mjl} X_{(1)k}^l + \Phi_{sj}^{ir} Y_{(1)rk}^s, \\ C_{(2)jk}^i = C_{(2)jk}^i + \Phi_{sj}^{ir} Y_{(2)rk}^s, \end{array} \right.$$

where $N_{(\alpha)j}^i = N_{(\alpha)j}^i - X_{(\alpha)j}^i, X_{(\alpha)j}^i, X_{jk}^i, Y_{(\alpha)jk}^i, (\alpha = 1, 2)$ are arbitrary tensor fields and $\overset{0}{|}, \overset{(\alpha)}{|}$, denote the h - and v_α -covariant derivatives, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, with respect to $D \overset{0}{\Gamma}(\overset{0}{N})$.

Observations 4.1.

(i) If we consider $X_{(\alpha)j}^i = X_{jk}^i = Y_{(\alpha)jk}^i = 0$, ($\alpha = 1, 2$), then from (4.3) we obtain the set of all general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connections, corresponding to the same nonlinear connection N, [13].

(ii) If we take $K_{ijk} = 2a_{ij}\tilde{\omega}_k$, $Q_{(\alpha)ijk} = 2a_{ij}\dot{\omega}_{(\alpha)k}$, ($\alpha = 1, 2$), such that $\omega = \tilde{\omega}_i dx^i + \dot{\omega}_{(1)i} \delta y^{(1)i} + \dot{\omega}_{(2)i} \delta y^{(2)i}$ is a 1-form in $\mathcal{X}^*(Osc^2 M)$, and if we preserve the nonlinear connection N, (*i.e.* $N = \overset{0}{N}$), then from (4.3) we obtain the set of all conformal almost symplectic N-linear connections, corresponding to the same nonlinear connection N, [12].

(iii) If we consider $K_{ijk} = 0$, $Q_{(\alpha)ijk} = 0$, ($\alpha = 1, 2$), and if we preserve the nonlinear connection N, (*i.e.* $N = \overset{0}{N}$), then from (4.3) we obtain the set of all almost symplectic N-linear connections, corresponding to the same nonlinear connection N, [11].

(iv) Finally, if we preserve the nonlinear connection N, (*i.e.* $N = \overset{0}{N}$) from (4.4), we obtain the transformations of general conformal almost symplectic N-linear connections, corresponding to the same nonlinear connection N, [13].

References

- [1] Atanasiu Gh., Ghinea I. *Connexions Finsleriennes G n rales Presque Symplectiques*, An.  ti.Univ. "A.I.Cuza" Ia i, Sect I a Mat. 25 (Supl.), 1979, 11-15.
- [2] Matsumoto M., *The theory of Finsler connections*, Publ.Study Group Geom.5, Depart.Math., Okayama Univ., 1970.
- [3] Miron R., *Introduction to the Theory of Finsler Spaces*, The Proc.of Nat.Sem. on Finsler Spaces, Bra ov, 1980, 131-183.
- [4] Miron R., *The Geometry of Higher-order Lagrange spaces. Applications in Mechanics and Physics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, FTPH82, 1997.
- [5] Miron R. and Atanasiu Gh., *Lagrange Geometry of Second Order*, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, Pergamon, 20, 4/5(1994), 41-56.
- [6] Miron R. and Atanasiu Gh., *Prolongation of Riemannian, Finslerian and Lagrangian structures*, *Rev.Roumaine Math.Pures Appl.*, 41,3/4(1996), 237-249.

- [7] Miron R. and Atanasiu Gh., *Higher-order Lagrange spaces*, Rev. Roumaine Math.Pures Appl., 41,3/4(1996), 251-262.
- [8] Miron R. and Hashiguchi M., *Metrical Finsler Connections*, Fac.Sci., Kagoshima Univ.(Math., Phys.& Chem.), 12(1979), 21-35.
- [9] Miron R. and Hashiguchi M., *Conformal Finsler Connections*, Rev.Roumaine Math.pures Appl., 26, 6(1981), 861-878.
- [10] Purcaru M., Şofroniciu I., Păun M., *The Almost Symplectic Structures in the Bundle Space of the Accelerations*, Proc. of the 23rd Conf. on Geometry and Topology, 1993,141-146.
- [11] Purcaru M., *Almost Symplectic N-Linear Connection in the Bundle of Accelerations*, Novi Sad J.Math., vol.29, no.3(1999), 281-289.
- [12] Purcaru M., Stoica E., *Conformal Almost Symplectic N-Linear Connections in the Bundle of Accelerations*, Proc. of the Fourth International Workshop on Differential Geometry and Its Applications, Braşov, 16-22 sept. 1999, 236-242.
- [13] Purcaru M., Stoica E., Târnoveanu M., *Nondegenerate Antisymmetrical Structures in the Bundle of Accelerations*, Bull.Malaysian Math.Sc.Soc. (Second Series), 25(2002), 149-156.

"Transilvania" University of Braşov, Department of Geometry, Iuliu Maniu 50, 2200 Braşov, Romania
E-mail: mpurcaru@unitbv.ro