

GENERALIZED AND CLASSICAL SOLUTIONS OF THE NONLINEAR STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

BY

VICTOR L. SHAPIRO⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT. New regularity results in domains of Euclidean 3-space are established for the generalized solutions of the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes equations in terms of Dini criteria on the external force.

1. Introduction. Let Ω be a domain (bounded or unbounded) in Euclidean 3-space E_3 , and let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a fixed continuous vector defined in Ω . The pair \mathbf{v}, p will be said to be a classical solution of the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes equations if \mathbf{v} is in $C^2(\Omega)$, p is in $C^1(\Omega)$, and

$$(1.1) \quad \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla p = -\mathbf{f}, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$$

in Ω where ν is a positive constant.

Following the notation in [4], we shall designate by $\dot{J}(\Omega)$ the set of vectors which are in $C^\infty(\Omega)$, solenoidal in Ω , and which vanish outside of a compact subset of Ω : In $\dot{J}(\Omega)$ we introduce the inner product

$$(1.2) \quad \{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}\} = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^3 w_{x_k} \cdot v_{x_k} dx$$

where $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ designates the usual dot product

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^3 w_j v_j.$$

By $H(\Omega)$ we shall designate the Hilbert space which is the closure of $\dot{J}(\Omega)$ under the norm induced by (1.2).

We shall say \mathbf{v} is a generalized solution of the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes equations if \mathbf{v} is in $H(\Omega)$, if \mathbf{f} is locally in $L^1(\Omega)$, and if

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} (\nu v_{x_k} \cdot \phi_{x_k} - v_k \mathbf{v} \cdot \phi_{x_k}) dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \phi dx \quad \text{for all } \phi \text{ in } \dot{J}(\Omega).$$

Received by the editors January 11, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 76D05, 35Q10; Secondary 35D10.

Key words and phrases. Stationary Navier-Stokes equations, generalized solutions, classical solutions, nonlinear partial differential equations, Dini condition.

⁽¹⁾ Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, USAF, under Grant No. AFOSR 73-2456. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

Next, we let Ω_1 designate a subdomain of Ω whose closure is compact in Ω , and we denote the diameter of Ω_1 by $\delta(\Omega_1)$. Also, we suppose f is in $C^0(\overline{\Omega_1})$. Then for $0 < t \leq \delta(\Omega_1)$, we set

$$(1.4) \quad \omega(t, f, \Omega_1) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq t; x, y \in \Omega_1} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

We shall say f satisfies a Dini condition locally in Ω if f is in $C^0(\Omega)$ and if for every $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$, with Ω_1 as above, the following holds:

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^{\delta(\Omega_1)} \omega(t, f, \Omega_1) t^{-1} dt \text{ is finite.}$$

Next, we let Ω_2 be a subdomain of Ω_1 whose closure is compact in Ω_1 and assume that (1.5) holds. We shall say g is in $C^{\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}(\Omega_2)$ if there is a constant A such that for x, y in Ω_2 ,

$$(1.6) \quad |g(x) - g(y)| \leq A \omega^*(|x - y|, f, \Omega_1),$$

where for $0 < t \leq \delta(\Omega_1)$,

$$(1.7) \quad \omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1) = \int_0^t \omega(s, f, \Omega_1) s^{-1} ds + t \int_t^{\delta(\Omega_1)} \omega(s, f, \Omega_1) s^{-2} ds.$$

For future reference we note that an integration by parts also gives the representation

$$(1.8) \quad \omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1) = \int_0^t \int_s^{\delta(\Omega_1)} \omega(r) r^{-2} dr ds.$$

We observe at this juncture from (1.7) that (1.5) implies that $\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Also we note that if f is in $C^\theta(\Omega_1)$, $0 < \theta < 1$, and g is in $C^{\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}(\Omega_2)$, then g is in $C^\theta(\Omega_2)$. Furthermore, we observe that if there is constant A' such that for x, y in Ω ,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq A' |\log|x - y||^{-(1+\theta)}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1,$$

then g in $C^{\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}(\Omega_2)$ implies that there is a constant A such that

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq A |\log|x - y||^{-\theta} \text{ for } x, y \text{ in } \Omega_2.$$

g in $C^{1+\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}(\Omega_2)$ will mean that g is in $C^1(\Omega_2)$ and g_{x_j} is in $C^{\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. g in $C^{2+\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}(\Omega_2)$ will be defined in a similar manner using the second derivatives of g .

In this paper, we intend to establish the following results:

THEOREM 1. *Let Ω be a domain contained in E_3 . Suppose that f satisfies a Dini condition locally in Ω . Suppose furthermore that v is in $H(\Omega)$ and is also a generalized solution of the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes equations, i.e., v satisfies (1.3). Then the following hold:*

- (i) *there is a v' in $C^2(\Omega)$ such that $v' = v$ almost everywhere in Ω ;*
- (ii) *there is a p in $C^1(\Omega)$ such that the pair v', p is a classical solution of the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes equations, i.e., v', p satisfies (1.1);*

(iii) if Ω_1 and Ω_2 are bounded subdomains of Ω with $\bar{\Omega}_2 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$ and if \mathbf{f} is not identically constant in Ω_1 , then \mathbf{v}' and p are in $C^{i+\omega^*(t,\mathbf{f},\Omega_1)}(\Omega_2)$ where $i = 2$ and 1 , respectively.

THEOREM 2. Let Ω be a domain contained in E_3 , and let Ω' be a subdomain contained in Ω . Suppose that $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is locally in $L^2(\Omega)$ and is in $C^n(\Omega')$, n a nonnegative integer. Suppose also that for $k = 1, 2, 3$, every partial derivative of order n of f_k satisfies a Dini condition locally in Ω' . Suppose furthermore that \mathbf{v} is in $H(\Omega)$ and is also a generalized solution of the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes equations. Then the following hold:

- (i) there is a \mathbf{v}' in $C^{n+2}(\Omega')$ such that $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}$ almost everywhere in Ω' ;
- (ii) there is a p in $C^{n+1}(\Omega')$ such that the pair \mathbf{v}', p is a classical solution of the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes equations in Ω' .

In (iii) of Theorem 1 above, if \mathbf{f} is identically constant in Ω_1 , then it follows from Theorem 2 that \mathbf{v}' and p are in $C^\infty(\Omega_1)$. Theorem 1 above is to be viewed as giving an extension of the second part of [4, Theorem 6, p. 131], and Theorem 2 above as giving an extension of the second part of [2, Theorem 4.2, p. 79].

In Euclidean 2-space, the analogues of Theorems 1 and 2 above are also valid.

2. Stokes flow and multiple Fourier series. To establish the above theorem, we shall need some lemmas connecting multiple Fourier series with the equations giving rise to a Stokes flow, namely

$$(2.1) \quad \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} - \nabla p = \mathbf{f}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0.$$

We shall use the following notation: $T_3 = \{x: -\pi \leq x_j < \pi, j = 1, 2, 3\}$; m will designate an integral lattice point; for a function g in $L^1(T_3)$, we shall set

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{g}(m) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{T_3} g(x) e^{-i(m,x)} dx.$$

Also, $(x, y) = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3$ will designate the usual inner product; $(x, x)^{1/2}$ will be designated by $|x|$; $B(x, r)$ will designate the open 3-ball with center x and radius r , and $S(x, r)$ will designate its boundary. In particular, $S(0, 1)$ will represent the unit 2-sphere $\{x: |x| = 1\}$.

Next, we discuss the theory of periodic singular integrals. K will be called a Calderón-Zygmund kernel if

$$(2.3) \quad K(x) = Z(x/|x|)|x|^{-3} \quad \text{for } 0 < |x| < \infty,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad Z \text{ is a function in } C^\gamma[S(0, 1)], \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{S(0,1)} Z(\xi) dS(\xi) = 0.$$

For x in $E_3 - \bigcup_{m \neq 0} \{2\pi m\}$, we define

$$(2.6) \quad K^{**}(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq R} [K(x + 2\pi m) - K(2\pi m)],$$

and observe from [1, pp. 251–252] that the series on the right-hand side of (2.6) converges uniformly on compact subsets of $E_3 - \bigcup_{m \neq 0} \{2\pi m\}$. In particular,

$$(2.7) \quad K^{**} \text{ is a bounded continuous function on } T_3.$$

Next, we observe from (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_3 - B(0, \epsilon)} K(x) dx \text{ exists and is finite.}$$

Using (2.7) and (2.8), we define the constant c_K by

$$(2.9) \quad c_K = (2\pi)^{-3} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_3 - B(0, \epsilon)} [K(x) + K^{**}(x)] dx,$$

and set

$$(2.10) \quad K^*(x) = K(x) + K^{**}(x) - c_K \text{ for } x \text{ in } E_3 - \bigcup_m \{2\pi m\}.$$

We note that

$$(2.11) \quad K^* \text{ is a periodic function of period } 2\pi \text{ in each variable in } E_3 - \bigcup_m \{2\pi m\}.$$

Also, we note that

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_3 - B(0, \epsilon)} K^*(x) dx = 0.$$

For g a continuous periodic function in E_3 (henceforth, periodic will mean periodic of period 2π in each variable), we shall set

$$(2.13) \quad \omega(t, g, T_3) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq t, x, y \text{ in } E_3} |g(x) - g(y)|,$$

and shall say (as before) that g satisfies a Dini condition on T_3 if

$$(2.14) \quad \int_0^1 \omega(t, g, T_3) t^{-1} dt \text{ is finite.}$$

Next, for $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and $0 < t \leq 1$, we shall set

$$(2.15) \quad \omega^{**}(t, g, \gamma) = \int_0^t \omega(s, g, T_3) s^{-1} ds + t^\gamma \int_t^1 \omega(s, g, T_3) s^{-(1+\gamma)} ds.$$

We shall say h is in $C^{\omega^{**}(t, g, \gamma)}(T_3)$ if h is a continuous periodic function in E_3 and if, furthermore, there is a constant A such that for

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &x, y \text{ in } E_3 \text{ and } |x - y| \leq 1, \\ &|h(x) - h(y)| \leq A \omega^{**}(|x - y|, g, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

With $K^*(x)$ defined by (2.10) and g a periodic function in E_3 in $L^1(T_3)$, we shall designate the following limit, provided it exists, by

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{g}_{K^*}(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{T_3 - B(0, \epsilon)} g(x - y) K^*(y) dy.$$

The first lemma we intend to establish is the following:

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that g is a continuous periodic function satisfying a Dini condition on T_3 . Then $\tilde{g}_{K^*}(x)$ exists for every x and is in $C^{\omega^{**}(t, g, \gamma)}(T_3)$.*

For the 1-dimensional analogue of the above result, we refer the reader to [6, p. 121].

Since g meets a Dini condition on T_3 and since $Z(\xi)$ is uniformly bounded for $|\xi| = 1$, it follows immediately from (2.3) that for every x ,

$$(2.18) \quad \int_{B(0, 1)} |g(x - y) - g(x)| |K(y)| dy < +\infty.$$

But then as a consequence of this fact, (2.10), (2.12), and (2.17), we have that \tilde{g}_{K^*} exists and is finite for every x and equals

$$(2.19) \quad \tilde{g}_{K^*}(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{T_3} [g(x - y) - g(x)] K^*(y) dy.$$

Therefore for $|z| < 10^{-1}$, we also have from the periodicity of g , (2.11), and (2.18) that

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{g}_{K^*}(x + z) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{T_3} [g(x - y) - g(x + z)] K^*(y + z) dy$$

for x in T_3 .

Next, we set

$$(2.21) \quad I(s) = \int_{B(0, s)} \omega(|x|, g, T^3) |K^*(x)| dx,$$

and observe from (2.19) and (2.20) that for $|z| < 10^{-1}$ and x in T_3 ,

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^3 |\tilde{g}_{K^*}(x + z) - \tilde{g}_{K^*}(x)| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{T_3 - B(0, 3|z|)} [g(x - y) - g(x)] [K^*(y + z) - K^*(y)] dy \right| \\ & \quad + |g(x + z) - g(x)| \left| \int_{T_3 - B(0, 3|z|)} K^*(y + z) dy \right| + 2I(4|z|). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is clear from the observation made after (2.6) that there is a constant $c_{K, 1}$ such that

$$(2.23) \quad |K^{**}(x + z)| \leq c_{K, 1} \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } T_3 \text{ and } |z| < 10^{-1}.$$

Letting $T_3 + z = \{y : y = x + z, x \text{ in } T_3\}$, we see from (2.3) and (2.4) that there is a constant $c_{K, 2}$ such that

$$(2.24) \quad \left| \left\{ \int_{(T_3+z)-B(z,3|z|)} - \int_{T_3-B(z,3|z|)} \right\} K(y) dy \right| \leq c_{K,2} |z|$$

for $|z| < 10^{-1}$.

Also, we note that there is a constant $c_{K,3}$ such that

$$\int_{2|z| \leq |y| \leq 4|z|} |K(y)| dy \leq c_{K,3} \quad \text{for } |z| < 10^{-1}.$$

Consequently,

$$(2.25) \quad \left| \left\{ \int_{T_3-B(z,3|z|)} - \int_{T_3-B(0,3|z|)} \right\} K(y) dy \right| \leq c_{K,3}$$

for $|z| < 10^{-1}$.

Observing that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_3-B(0,\epsilon)} K(y) dy$ exists and is finite, we obtain from (2.10), (2.23), (2.24), and (2.25) that there is a constant $c_{K,4}$ such that

$$(2.26) \quad \left| \int_{T_3-B(0,3|z|)} K^*(y+z) dy \right| \leq c_{K,4} \quad \text{for } |z| < 10^{-1}.$$

Next, from (2.3) and (2.4), we observe (as in [1, p. 263, l. 17]) that there is a $c_{K,5}$ such that

$$(2.27) \quad |K(y+z) - K(y)| \leq c_{K,5} |z|^\gamma |y|^{-(3+\gamma)}$$

for $3|z| \leq |y| < \infty$ and $|z| < 10^{-1}$.

Also, from (2.6) and (2.27), we observe that there is a constant $c_{K,6}$ such that

$$(2.28) \quad |K^{**}(y+z) - K^{**}(y)| \leq c_{K,6} |z|^\gamma$$

for y in $T_3 - B(0, 3|z|)$ and $|z| < 10^{-1}$.

As a consequence of (2.27), (2.28), and (2.10), we obtain that the first term on the right-hand side of the inequality in (2.22) is majorized by

$$c_{K,5} |z|^\gamma \int_{T_3-B(0,3|z|)} |g(x+y) - g(x)| |y|^{-(3+\gamma)} dy$$

$$+ c_{K,6} |z|^\gamma \int_{T_3-B(0,3|z|)} |g(x+y) - g(x)| dy.$$

From (2.22), (2.26), and this last fact, we conclude that there is a constant $c_{K,7}$ such that

$$(2.29) \quad \sup_{x \in T_3} |\tilde{g}_{K^*}(x+z) - \tilde{g}_{K^*}(x)|$$

$$\leq c_{K,7} \left[\omega(|z|, g, T_3) + I(4|z|) + |z|^\gamma \int_{T_3-B(0,3|z|)} \omega(|y|, g, T_3) |y|^{-(3+\gamma)} dy \right]$$

for $|z| < 10^{-1}$.

From (2.3), (2.4), (2.7), and (2.10), we see that there is a constant $c_{K,8}$ such that

$$(2.30) \quad |K^*(x)| \leq c_{K,8} |x|^{-3} \quad \text{for } 0 < |x| \leq 1.$$

Consequently, we infer from (2.29) and (2.30) that there is a constant $c_{K,9}$ such that

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in T_3} |\tilde{g}_{K^*}(x+z) - \tilde{g}_{K^*}(x)| \\ & \leq c_{K,9} \left[\int_0^{|z|} \omega(t, g, T_3) t^{-1} dt + |z|^\gamma \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(t, g, T_3) t^{-(1+\gamma)} dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $|z| < 10^{-1}$.

From (2.31) and the hypothesis of the lemma, we conclude immediately that \tilde{g}_{K^*} is a continuous periodic function. Next, we observe that the expression in brackets on the right-hand side of the inequality in (2.31) is an increasing function of $|z|$ for $0 < |z| < 1$. Consequently, we infer from (2.15) and (2.31) that

$$|\tilde{g}_{K^*}(x) - \tilde{g}_{K^*}(y)| \leq 10c_{K,9} \omega^{**}(|x-y|, g, \gamma)$$

for $x, y \in E_3$ and $|x-y| \leq 1$.

Therefore, \tilde{g}_{K^*} is in $C^{\omega^{**}(t,g,\gamma)}(T_3)$, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

The next lemma we establish is the following:

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that g is a continuous periodic function satisfying a Dini condition on T_3 . Then for $j, k, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$, there are functions g_{jk} and $g_{jk\alpha\beta}$ in $C^{\omega^{**}(t,g,1)}(T_3)$ with $g_{jk}(\hat{0}) = g_{jk\alpha\beta}(\hat{0}) = 0$ such that for $m \neq 0$,*

$$(2.32) \quad g_{jk}(\hat{m}) = m_j m_k |m|^{-2} \hat{g}(m)$$

and

$$(2.33) \quad g_{jk\alpha\beta}(\hat{m}) = m_j m_k m_\alpha m_\beta |m|^{-4} \hat{g}(m).$$

We shall establish the above lemma for $g_{jk\alpha\beta}$, i.e., we shall show (2.33) holds. A similar proof will prevail for g_{jk} to show that (2.32) holds.

In order to accomplish the assertion concerning $g_{jk\alpha\beta}$, we recall the definition of a spherical harmonic polynomial of order n , n a nonnegative integer. In particular, $Y_n(x)$ is called a spherical harmonic polynomial of order n if Y_n is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n with the added fact that $\Delta Y_n(x) = 0$ for all x . ($Y_n \equiv 0$ will also be called a spherical harmonic of order n .)

Next fix j, k, α, β . Then it follows from [3, p. 147] that there are spherical harmonic polynomials Y_4 and Y_2 and a constant c such that for every x ,

$$x_j x_k x_\alpha x_\beta = Y_4(x) + |x|^2 Y_2(x) + |x|^4 c.$$

Consequently,

$$(2.34) \quad m_j m_k m_\alpha m_\beta = Y_4(m) + |m|^2 Y_2(m) + |m|^4 c.$$

Comparing the right-hand side of (2.33) with (2.34), we see that (2.33) will be established once we show the following:

There are functions h and h' in $C^{\omega^{**}(t,g,1)}(T_3)$
 (2.35) with $\hat{h}(0) = \hat{h}'(0) = 0$ such that for $m \neq 0$,

$$\hat{h}(m) = Y_4(m)|m|^{-4}\hat{g}(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}'(m) = Y_2(m)|m|^{-2}\hat{g}(m).$$

We shall establish (2.35) for h . A similar proof will work for h' . In order to do this, we set

(2.36)
$$K(x) = Y_4(x)|x|^{-7} \quad \text{for } x \neq 0.$$

Since $\int_{S(0,1)} Y_4(\xi) dS(\xi) = 0$, we see from (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) that

(2.37)
$$K \text{ is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel where } Z(x/|x|) = Y_4(x)|x|^{-4} \text{ and } Z \text{ is in } C^1[S(0, 1)].$$

Consequently from Lemma 1 and (2.37) we have, with \tilde{g}_{K^*} defined by (2.17), that

(2.38)
$$\tilde{g}_{K^*} \text{ is in } C^{\omega^{**}(t,g,1)}(T_3).$$

From [1, p. 259], we next observe that

(2.39)
$$\tilde{g}_{K^*}(m) = K^*(m)\hat{g}(m),$$

where

$$K^*(m) = (2\pi)^{-3} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_3 - B(0, \epsilon)} e^{-i(m,x)} K^*(x) dx.$$

From (2.12) we obtain that

(2.40)
$$K^*(0) = 0,$$

and from [1, pp. 257–261] that there is a nonzero constant c' such that

(2.41)
$$K^*(m) = c'Y_4(m)|m|^{-4} \quad \text{for } m \neq 0.$$

We set $h(x) = \tilde{g}_{K^*}(x)/c'$ and observe from (2.38) that h is in $C^{\omega^{**}(t,g,1)}(T_3)$ and from (2.39), (2.40), and (2.41) that $\hat{h}(0) = 0$ and $\hat{h}(m) = Y_4(m)|m|^{-4}\hat{g}(m)$ for $m \neq 0$. Consequently (2.35) is established for $h(x)$, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

Next, for the sake of completeness, we establish the following remark.

REMARK 1. *Let g and g_j be continuous periodic functions, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose that $\hat{g}_j(m) = im_j\hat{g}(m)$ for every lattice point m . Then g is in $C^1(E_3)$ and $\partial g/\partial x_j = g_j$.*

We prove the above remark by showing

(2.42)
$$\partial g(x)/\partial x_1 = g_1(x) \quad \text{for all } x.$$

Since a similar proof will show that the analogue of (2.42) holds for $j = 2, 3$, the establishing of (2.42) is equivalent to establishing the remark.

To do this, set, for $t > 0$,

$$(2.43) \quad g(x, t) = \sum_m \hat{g}(m) e^{i(m,x) - |m|t},$$

and define $g_1(x, t)$ in an analogous manner using $\hat{g}_1(m)$ instead of $\hat{g}(m)$. Consequently,

$$\partial g(x, t) / \partial x_1 = \sum_m i m_1 \hat{g}(m) e^{i(m,x) - |m|t},$$

and we conclude from the hypothesis of the remark that

$$(2.44) \quad \partial g(x, t) / \partial x_1 = g_1(x, t) \quad \text{for } t > 0 \text{ and every } x.$$

As a consequence of (2.44), we have that for $s > 0$,

$$(2.45) \quad g(x_1 + s, x_2, x_3, t) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \int_0^s g_1(x_1 + r, x_2, x_3, t) dr.$$

From [5, p. 56], we see that, respectively, $g(x, t)$ and $g_1(x, t)$ tend uniformly to $g(x)$ and $g_1(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. We conclude from this fact and (2.45) that

$$g(x_1 + s, x_2, x_3) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_0^s g(x_1 + r, x_2, x_3) dr.$$

But this fact implies that (2.42) holds and the proof of Remark 1 is complete.

In a similar manner, we observe that the following remark can be established.

REMARK 2. Let g and g_{jk} be continuous periodic functions, $j, k = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose that $\hat{g}_{jk}(m) = -m_j m_k \hat{g}(m)$ for every lattice point m . Then g is in $C^2(E_3)$ and $\partial^2 g / \partial x_j \partial x_k = g_{jk}$.

The proof of Remark 2 proceeds analogously to that of Remark 1 except that second differences are used. We leave the details of the proof of the reader.

Next, we introduce the functions

$$(2.46) \quad H, u_j^k, \text{ and } q_j, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \text{ which are periodic in } E_3 - \bigcup_m \{2\pi m\}, \text{ and in } L^2(T_3), L^2(T_3) \text{ and } L^1(T_3), \text{ respectively.}$$

In particular,

$$(2.47) \quad H\hat{\gamma}(0) = q_j\hat{\gamma}(0) = u_j^{k\hat{\gamma}}(0) = 0,$$

and for $m \neq 0$,

$$(2.48) \quad H\hat{\gamma}(m) = |m|^{-2}, \quad q_j\hat{\gamma}(m) = i m_j |m|^{-2}, \\ \nu u_j^{k\hat{\gamma}}(m) = [-\delta_j^k + m_j m_k |m|^{-2}] |m|^{-2},$$

where δ_j^k is the Kronecker δ .

To be specific, we define $H(x, t)$, $q_j(x, t)$ and $u_k^j(x, t)$ for $t > 0$ in a manner analogous to (2.43) using (2.47) and (2.48). Then in [5, p. 72], it is shown that the limits of $H(x, t)$ and $q_j(x, t)$ exist and are finite as $t \rightarrow 0$ for x in $E_3 - \bigcup_m \{2\pi m\}$. Defining $H(x)$ and $q_j(x)$, respectively, as these limits, it is shown

furthermore in [5, p. 72] that these functions have the properties enumerated in (2.46).

Setting $G(x) = -\sum_{m \neq 0} e^{i(m,x)} |m|^{-4}$ and observing that $\Delta G(x) = H(x)$ for x in $E_3 - \bigcup_m \{2\pi m\}$, we see that G is in $C^\infty(E_3 - \bigcup_m \{2\pi m\})$. Defining $vu_j^k(x) = -\delta_j^k H(x) + \partial^2 G(x)/\partial x_j \partial x_k$, we observe furthermore from the Riesz-Fischer theorem that u_j^k does indeed have the properties enumerated in (2.46).

For $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ a continuous periodic vector in E_3 (i.e., f_j is a continuous periodic function for $j = 1, 2, 3$), we shall set

$$\omega(t, \mathbf{f}, T_3) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq t, x, y \text{ in } E_3} |\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y)|$$

in a manner analogous to (2.13) for continuous periodic functions. In a similar manner, the definition that \mathbf{f} satisfies a Dini condition on T_3 , as well as the notions of $\omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, \gamma)$ and $C^{\omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, \gamma)}(T_3)$ defined in (2.15) and (2.16), respectively, are carried over to vectors. Likewise, the notions of $C^{1+\omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, \gamma)}(T_3)$ and $C^{2+\omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, \gamma)}$ are to be given the obvious interpretations.

The next lemma we establish is the following:

LEMMA 3. *Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a continuous periodic vector defined in E_3 satisfying a Dini condition on T_3 . Suppose also that $f_k^\wedge(0) = 0, k = 1, 2, 3$. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, set*

$$(2.49) \quad v_j(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{T_3} u_j^k(y) f_k(x-y) dy$$

and

$$(2.50) \quad p(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{T_3} q_k(y) f_k(x-y) dy.$$

Then p and v_j are continuous periodic functions in E_3 which are in $C^{1+\omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, 1)}(T_3)$ and $C^{2+\omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, 1)}(T_3)$, respectively. Furthermore,

$$(2.51) \quad \begin{aligned} v \Delta v_j - \partial p / \partial x_j &= f_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial v_j / \partial x_j &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to establish the lemma, we set

$$(2.52) \quad v_j^k(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{T_3} u_j^k(y) f_k(x-y) dy$$

and observe from the hypothesis of the lemma, (2.46), and (2.52) that

$$(2.53) \quad v_j^k \text{ is a continuous periodic function in } E_3.$$

From (2.52), we next observe that for every lattice point m ,

$$(2.54) \quad v_j^k \wedge(m) = u_j^k \wedge(m) f_k \wedge(m).$$

Now for $m \neq 0$, we have from (2.48) that

$$(2.55) \quad \nu m_\alpha m_\beta u_j^{k\wedge}(m) \hat{f}_k(m) = -\delta_j^k m_\alpha m_\beta |m|^{-2} \hat{f}_k(m) + m_\alpha m_\beta m_j m_k |m|^{-4} \hat{f}_k(m).$$

Since by hypothesis, f_k satisfies a Dini condition on T_3 , we see from Lemma 2 that there is a function

$$(2.56) \quad h_{\alpha\beta jk} \text{ in } C^{\omega^{**}(t, f_k, 1)}(T_3)$$

such that

$$(2.57) \quad m_\alpha m_\beta u_j^{k\wedge}(m) \hat{f}_k(m) = \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta jk}(m) \text{ for every } m.$$

We consequently conclude from Remark 2, (2.53), (2.54), (2.56), and (2.57) that

$$(2.58) \quad v_j^k \text{ is in } C^{2+\omega^{**}(t, f_k, 1)}(T_3).$$

Since $\omega(t, f_k, T_3) \leq \omega(t, f, T_3)$, we conclude from (2.49), (2.52), and (2.58) that

$$(2.59) \quad v_j \text{ is a continuous periodic function in } C^{2+\omega^{**}(t, f, 1)}(T_3).$$

A similar proof using $q_k^\wedge(m)$ and Remark 1 establishes that

$$(2.60) \quad p \text{ is a continuous periodic function in } C^{1+\omega^{**}(t, f, 1)}(T_3).$$

Next, it follows from an easy computation, using (2.48), that

$$(2.61) \quad \begin{aligned} -\nu |m|^2 v_j^\wedge(m) - im_j p^\wedge(m) &= f_j^\wedge(m), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 im_j v_j^\wedge(m) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every lattice point m .

But then (2.51) follows immediately from (2.59), (2.60), (2.61), and the well-known uniqueness theorem for Fourier coefficients. The proof of Lemma 3 is therefore complete.

Next, we establish the following lemma which is essentially a corollary of Lemma 3.

LEMMA 4. *Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a periodic vector in $C^n(E_3)$, n a nonnegative integer. Suppose that for $k = 1, 2, 3$, every partial derivative of order n of f_k satisfies a Dini condition on T_3 , and suppose also that $f_k^\wedge(0) = 0$. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let v_j be defined by (2.49) and let p be defined by (2.50). Then p and v_j are periodic functions in $C^{n+1}(E_3)$ and $C^{n+2}(E_3)$, respectively, and the pair v, p satisfies the system of equations in (2.51).*

To prove Lemma 4, we proceed by induction. The case $n = 0$ follows immediately from Lemma 3. Assume therefore that the lemma is true for $n \leq N$. To complete the proof of the lemma, it remains to show the following:

(2.62) If f_k is in $C^{N+1}(E_3)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$, where N is a non-negative integer, and if every partial derivative of order $N + 1$ of f_k satisfies a Dini condition on T_3 , then p and v_j are in $C^{N+2}(E_3)$ and $C^{N+3}(E_3)$, respectively.

Let D represent a partial derivative of order $N + 2$. Then the conclusion in (2.62) concerning v_j will follow if we show

$$(2.63) \quad Dv_j \text{ is in } C^1(E_3).$$

From (2.49), and the fact that f_k is in at least $C^1(T_3)$, we see that for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(2.64) \quad v_{jx_\alpha} = (2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{T_3} u_j^k(y) f_{kx_\alpha}(x-y) dy.$$

But now f_{kx_α} is in $C^N(E_3)$ and satisfies a Dini condition on T_3 . Therefore, by the inductive assumption,

$$(2.65) \quad v_{jx_\alpha} \text{ is in } C^{N+2}(E_3) \text{ for } \alpha, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

But then it follows from (2.65) that Dv_{jx_α} is in $C^0(E_3)$ for $\alpha, j = 1, 2, 3$. This last fact implies that Dv_j is in $C^1(E_3)$, and (2.63) is established. Consequently, v is in $C^{N+3}(E_3)$.

A similar proof shows that p is in $C^{N+2}(E_3)$. Therefore (2.62) is established. Since the fact that the pair v, p satisfies the system in (2.51) follows immediately from Lemma 3, we see that the proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

LEMMA 5. *Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a periodic vector in $C^{n+\theta}(E_3)$, n a non-negative integer and $0 < \theta < 1$. Suppose also that $f_k^c(0) = 0$. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let v_j be defined by (2.50). Then p and v_j are periodic functions in $C^{n+1+\theta}(E_3)$ and $C^{n+2+\theta}(E_3)$, respectively, and the pair v, p satisfies the system of equations in (2.51).*

To establish Lemma 5, we first of all deal with the case $n = 0$. It follows from the hypothesis of the lemma that in this case there is a constant A_1 such that $\omega(t, \mathbf{f}, T_3) \leq A_1 t^\theta$ for $0 < t \leq 1$. Since $0 < \theta < 1$, we consequently obtain from (2.15) that there is a constant A_2 such that

$$(2.66) \quad \omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, 1) \leq A_2 t \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq 1.$$

From the conclusion in Lemma 3, we have that v_j is in $C^{2+\omega^{**}(t, \mathbf{f}, 1)}(T_3)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. The fact that v_j is also a continuous periodic function in conjunction with (2.66) shows that v_j is in $C^{2+\theta}(E_3)$. In a similar manner, we have that p is in $C^{1+\theta}(E_3)$. Therefore, the case $n = 0$ of Lemma 5 is established.

We proceed by induction and assume Lemma 5 is true when $n \leq N$, N a

nonnegative integer. The proof of the lemma will be complete once we establish the following:

$$(2.67) \quad \text{If } f \text{ is in } C^{N+1+\theta}(E_3), \text{ then } p \text{ is in } C^{N+2+\theta}(E_3) \text{ and } v_j \text{ is in } C^{N+3+\theta}(E_3), j = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (2.67), we have that f is at least in $C^1(E_3)$. Consequently, it follows from (2.49) that v_{jx_α} is given by (2.64) for $j, \alpha = 1, 2, 3$. But f_{kx_α} is in $C^{N+\theta}(E_3)$. Consequently, by the inductive assumption, v_{jx_α} is in $C^{N+2+\theta}(E_3)$. This implies that v_j is in $C^{N+3+\theta}(E_3)$, and (2.67) is established for $v_j, j = 1, 2, 3$. A similar approach shows that p is in $C^{N+2+\theta}(E_3)$. (2.67) is therefore established, and the proof of Lemma 5 is complete.

Next, we state the following remark:

REMARK 3. Let p and v_j be, respectively, in $C^0[B(x^0, r_0)]$ and $C^1[B(x^0, r_0)]$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose there are constants A_j such that

$$(2.68) \quad \int_{B(x^0, r_0)} v v_j \Delta \psi + p \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} = \int_{B(x^0, r_0)} A_j \psi \, dx$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and ψ in $C_0^\infty[B(x^0, r_0)]$.

Suppose, furthermore,

$$(2.69) \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 v_{jx_j} = 0 \text{ in } B(x^0, r_0).$$

Then p and v_j are in $C^\infty[B(x^0, r_0)]$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, and

$$(2.70) \quad v \Delta v_j - \partial p / \partial x_j = A_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Using the method of spherical means (or mollifiers) to further smooth v_j and p , it can be easily shown from (2.68) and (2.69) that p is harmonic in $B(x^0, r_0)$. Weyl's lemma, in conjunction with (2.68) then gives that v_j is in $C^\infty[B(x^0, r_0)]$. (2.70) then follows from (2.68). We leave the filling in of these details to the reader and consider the proof of Remark 3 complete.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. We first of all observe that f is bounded on every compact subset of Ω . Consequently we infer from [2, pp. 79–81] that there is a pair v', p , respectively, in $C^1(\Omega)$ and $C^0(\Omega)$ such that if B is an open 3-ball with $\bar{B} \subset \Omega$, then

$$\int_B \left[v v'_j \Delta \psi + p \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \right] dx = \int_B \psi \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 v'_k v'_{jx_k} - f_j \right) dx$$

$$(3.1) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and all } \psi \text{ in } C_0^\infty(B);$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 v'_{kx_k} = 0 \text{ in } B.$$

Also,

$$(3.2) \quad v' = v \quad \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega.$$

Furthermore, if Ω_1 is a subdomain of Ω such that $\bar{\Omega}_1$ is compact in Ω ,

$$(3.3) \quad v' \text{ is in } C^{1+\theta}(\Omega_1), \text{ and } p \text{ is in } C^\theta(\Omega_1) \text{ for } 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Let Ω_1 be fixed and suppose f is not identically constant in Ω_1 . Then setting $\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1) = \delta(\Omega_1)$ for $t \geq \delta(\Omega_1)$ and $\omega^*(0, f, \Omega_1) = 0$, we observe from (1.8) that $\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)$ is a continuous concave nondecreasing function on the interval $0 \leq t < \infty$. [In particular, $\omega^*(2t, f, \Omega_1) \leq 2\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)$.] As a consequence, it is not difficult to see that, in order to establish the theorem, it is sufficient to establish the following:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{If } \bar{B}(x^0, r_0) \subset \Omega_1 \text{ with } 0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ then} \\ &\text{(a) } v' \text{ is in } C^{2+\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}[B(x^0, r_0)], \text{ and} \\ &\text{(b) } p \text{ is in } C^{1+\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}[B(x^0, r_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to establish both parts of (3.4). With no loss in generality we can assume $x^0 = 0$. Also, since $\bar{B}(0, r_0) \subset \Omega_1$ with $0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, we can find r_1, r_2 , and r_3 such that

$$(3.5) \quad r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{B}(0, r_3) \subset \Omega_1.$$

Next, we select a function λ with the following properties:

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda \text{ is in } C_0^\infty[B(0, r_3)],$$

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = 1 \text{ in } B(0, r_2).$$

We then define for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} g_j &= \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 v'_k v'_{jx_k} - f_j \right) \quad \text{in } B(0, r_3), \\ &= 0 \quad \text{in } T_3 - \bar{B}(0, r_3) \end{aligned}$$

and extend g_j by periodicity to all of E_3 ;

From (3.3), (3.5), (3.8), and the hypothesis of the theorem, we see that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3) &\text{ is a continuous periodic vector} \\ &\text{satisfying a Dini condition on } T_3. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for $j = 1, 2, 3$, we set

$$(3.10) \quad w_j = (2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{T_3} u_j^k(y) [g_k(x-y) - \hat{g}_k(0)] dy$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad P(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{T_3} q_k(y) [g_k(x-y) - \hat{g}_k(0)] dy.$$

From (3.9) and Lemma 3, we infer immediately that

$$(3.12) \quad P \text{ and } w_j \text{ are continuous periodic functions in } C^{1+\omega^{**}(t,g,1)}(T_3) \text{ and } C^{2+\omega^{**}(t,g,1)}(T_3), \text{ respectively.}$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu \Delta w_j - \partial P / \partial x_j &= g_j - \hat{g}_j^*(0), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{k=1}^3 w_{kx_k} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

But then from (3.1), (3.5), (3.7), (3.8), (3.12), and (3.13), we have

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{B(0,r_2)} \left[\nu(v'_j - w_j) \Delta \psi + (p - P) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \right] dx = \int_{B(0,r_2)} \psi \hat{g}_j^*(0) dx$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and all ψ in $C_0^\infty[B(0, r_2)]$,

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 (v'_k - w_k)_{x_k} = 0 \quad \text{in } B(0, r_2).$$

Since $v'_j - w_j$ is in $C^1[B(0, r_3)]$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, and $p - P$ is $C^0[B(0, r_3)]$, we obtain immediately from (3.14) and Remark 3 that

$$(3.15) \quad p - P \text{ and } v'_j - w_j \text{ are in } C^\infty[B(0, r_2)] \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

But then it follows, in particular, from (3.12) and (3.15) that p is in $C^1[B(0, r_1)]$ and v'_j is in $C^2[B(0, r_1)]$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Since $B(0, r_1)$ is the prototype of a ball whose closure is contained in Ω , we conclude that

$$(3.16) \quad p \text{ is in } C^1(\Omega), \text{ and } v'_j \text{ is in } C^2(\Omega), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (3.1) and (3.16), we see that (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are established. To show part (iii) of Theorem 1, namely (3.4) with $x^0 = 0$, we proceed as follows.

We first observe from (3.8) and the fact that f_j, v'_j , and v'_{jx_k} are locally bounded in Ω that there is a constant A_1 such that

$$(3.17) \quad \omega(t, g_j, T_3) \leq \omega[t, g_j, B(0, r_3)] + A_1 \omega(t, \lambda, E_3) \text{ for } 0 < t < r_3.$$

Next, from (3.7), (3.8), and (3.16), we see that there is a constant A_2 such that

$$(3.18) \quad \omega[t, g_j, B(0, r_3)] \leq \omega[t, f, B(0, r_3)] + A_2 t$$

for $0 < t < r_3$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$.

We consequently conclude from (1.8), (2.15), (3.17), (3.18), (3.6), and (3.5) that there is a constant A_3 such that

$$(3.19) \quad \omega^{**}(t, g_j, 1) \leq \omega^*[t, f, B(0, r_3)] + A_3 t \log(1/t)$$

for $0 < t < r_3$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$.

From (3.15) and (3.16) we see that there is a constant A_4 such that for $0 < t < r_1$ and $\alpha, \beta, j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \omega[t, v'_{jx_\alpha x_\beta}, B(0, r_1)] \leq \omega[t, w_{jx_\alpha x_\beta}, B(0, r_1)] + A_4 t; \\ (b) \quad & \omega[t, p_{x_j}, B(0, r_1)] \leq \omega[t, P_{x_j}, B(0, r_1)] + A_4 t. \end{aligned}$$

We consequently conclude from (3.12), (3.19), (3.20), and the fact that $0 < r_1 < r_3 < \frac{1}{2}$ that there is a constant A_5 such that

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \omega[t, v'_{jx_\alpha x_\beta}, B(0, r_1)] \leq A_5 \{\omega^*[t, f, B(0, r_3)] + t \log(1/t)\}, \\ (b) \quad & \omega[t, p_{x_j}, B(0, r_1)] \leq A_5 \{\omega^*[t, f, B(0, r_3)] + t \log(1/t)\} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < t < r_1$.

Now by assumption f is not identically constant in Ω_1 . Consequently, it is not difficult to see (e.g., see [6, p. 45, l. 12]) that there is a positive constant A_6 such that $\omega(t, f, \Omega_1) \geq A_6 t$ for $0 < t < \delta(\Omega_1)$. But then it follows that there is another positive constant A_7 such that

$$(3.22) \quad t \log(1/t) \leq A_7 \omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1) \quad \text{for } 0 < t < r_1.$$

From the fact that $0 < r_0 < r_1$ and that $\omega^*[t, f, B(0, r_3)] \leq \omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)$ for $0 < t < r_0$, we obtain as an immediate consequence of (3.21)(a) and (3.22) that v'_j is in $C^{2+\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}[B(0, r_0)]$. From (3.21)(b) and (3.22), we likewise obtain that p is in $C^{1+\omega^*(t, f, \Omega_1)}[B(0, r_0)]$. We have therefore established (3.4), and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 2 for the case $n = 0$ is almost identical with that given for parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1. We therefore consider this case established and proceed by induction.

Thus, suppose Theorem 2 holds for the case $n \leq N$, N a nonnegative integer, and assume that f is in $C^{N+1}(\Omega')$ and that the partial derivatives of order $N + 1$ of f_k satisfy a Dini condition locally in Ω' . It then follows from the inductive assumption that there is a pair v', p such that

$$(4.1) \quad v' \text{ is in } C^{N+2}(\Omega'), \text{ and } p \text{ is in } C^{N+1}(\Omega'),$$

and, furthermore, in Ω' ,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu \Delta v'_j - p_{x_j} &= \sum_{k=1}^3 v'_k v'_{jx_k} - f_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{k=1}^3 v'_{kx_k} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v} \quad \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega'.$$

To establish Theorem 2, it is sufficient, therefore, to show the following:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{If } \bar{B}(x^0, r_0) \subset \Omega' \text{ with } 0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ then} \\ &\text{(a) } \mathbf{v}' \text{ is in } C^{N+3}[B(x^0, r_0)], \text{ and} \\ &\text{(b) } p \text{ is in } C^{N+2}[B(x^0, r_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to establish both parts of (4.4). With no loss in generality, we can assume $x^0 = 0$. Also, since $\bar{B}(0, r_0) \subset \Omega'$ with $0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, we can find r_1, r_2 , and r_3 such that

$$(4.5) \quad r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{B}(0, r_3) \subset \Omega'.$$

Next, we select a function λ with the properties enumerated in (3.6) and (3.7) and define the function g_j in T_3 for $j = 1, 2, 3$ by (3.8). We then extend the function g_j by periodicity to all of E_3 .

From the fact that \mathbf{f} is in $C^{N+1}(\Omega')$ and from (4.1), (4.5), and (3.8), we observe that

$$(4.6) \quad g_j \text{ is in } C^{N+\theta}(E_3) \text{ for } 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Next, for $j = 1, 2, 3$, and x in E_3 we define $w_j(x)$ by (3.10) and $P(x)$ by (3.11). But then it follows from Lemma 5 and (4.6) that

$$(4.7) \quad w_j \text{ is in } C^{N+2+\theta}(E_3), \text{ and } P \text{ is in } C^{N+1+\theta}(E_3)$$

and, furthermore, in E_3 ,

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu \Delta w_j - P_{x_j} &= g_j - \hat{g}_j(0), \\ \sum_{k=1}^3 w_{kx_k} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda = 1$ in $B(0, r_2)$, we see from (3.8) that $g_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 v'_k v'_{kx_j} - f_j$ in $B(0, r_2)$. Consequently, we obtain from (4.2) and (4.8) that, in $B(0, r_2)$,

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu \Delta (v'_j - w_j) - (p - P)_{x_j} &= \hat{g}_j(0), \\ \sum_{k=1}^3 (v'_j - w_j)_{x_k} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, therefore, from Remark 3 and (4.9) that $v_j - w_j$ is in $C^\infty[B(0, r_2)]$. But then from (4.7) and the fact that $r_1 < r_2$, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad v_j \text{ is in } C^{N+2+\theta}[B(0, r_1)].$$

Next, we choose η such that

$$(4.11) \quad \eta \text{ is in } C_0^\infty[B(0, r_1)]$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \eta = 1 \text{ in } B(0, r_0).$$

We define for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} g'_j &= \eta \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 v'_k v'_{jx_k} - f_j \right) \text{ in } B(0, r_1), \\ &= 0 \text{ in } T_3 - B(0, r_1) \end{aligned}$$

and extend g'_j by periodicity to all of E_3 .

Now, by assumption, f_k is in $C^{N+1}(\Omega')$ and its partial derivatives of order $N+1$ satisfy a Dini condition locally in Ω' . Consequently, it follows from (4.10) through (4.13) that

$$(4.14) \quad g'_j \text{ is a periodic function } C^{N+1}(E_3) \text{ and every partial derivative of order } N+1 \text{ of } g'_j \text{ satisfies a Dini condition on } T_3.$$

Next, with g'_j replacing g_j , we define $w'_j(x)$ by (3.10) and $P'(x)$ by (3.11). It follows immediately, therefore, from (4.14) and Lemma 4 that

$$(4.15) \quad w'_j \text{ is in } C^{N+3}(E_3), \text{ and } P' \text{ is in } C^{N+2}(E_3)$$

and, furthermore, that, in E_3 ,

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta w'_j - P'_{x_j} &= g'_j - \hat{g}_j(0), \\ \sum_{k=1}^3 w'_{kx_k} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

But from (4.11) through (4.13), $g'_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 v'_k v'_{jx_k} - f_j$ in $B(0, r_0)$. Therefore, we conclude once again from (4.2), Remark 3, and this time (4.16) that

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} v'_j - w'_j &\text{ is in } C^\infty[B(0, r_0)], \text{ and} \\ p - p' &\text{ is in } C^\infty[B(0, r_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

(4.17) in conjunction with (4.15) gives both parts of (4.4), and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

In closing, we would like to point out that using a fixed function h satisfying a Dini condition locally in Ω' and assuming that \mathbf{f} is in $C^{n+\omega(t, h, \Omega')}[\Omega'']$ where Ω'' is a subdomain with compact closure in Ω' , it is not difficult to obtain for Theorem 2 an analogue of part (iii) of Theorem 1. We leave this for the interested reader.

REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *Singular integrals and periodic functions*, *Studia Math.* **14** (1954), 249–271 (1955). MR **16**, 1017.
2. H. Fujita, *On the existence and regularity of the steady-state solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **9** (1961), 59–102. MR **24** #A2152.
3. E. W. Hobson, *The theory of spherical harmonics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1931.
4. O. A. Ladyženskaja, *Mathematical problems in the dynamics of a viscous incompressible fluid*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1961; English transl., *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*, 2nd rev. ed., Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 2, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969. MR **27** #5034a, b; **40** #7610.
5. V. L. Shapiro, *Fourier series in several variables*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70** (1964), 48–93. MR **28** #1448.
6. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*. Vol. I, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959. MR **21** #6498.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE,
CALIFORNIA 92502