

## GENERALIZED EXPONENTS VIA HALL-LITTLEWOOD SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

R. K. GUPTA

The generalized exponents of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of a compact Lie group are important invariants first constructed and studied by Kostant in the early 1960s. Their actual computation has remained quite enigmatic. What was known ([K] and [Hs, Theorem 1]) suggested to us that their computation lies at the heart of a rich combinatorially flavored theory.

This note announces several results all tied together by Theorem 2.3 below, which selects the natural generalizations of the Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions, rather than the irreducible characters, as the best basis of the character ring. Full details will appear elsewhere.

**1. Statement of problem.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a complex semisimple Lie algebra with adjoint group  $G$ . Via the adjoint action, the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  becomes a graded representation of  $G$ . Kostant studied this representation in his fundamental paper [K]; his results are well known.  $S(\mathfrak{g}) = I \otimes H$  is a free module over the  $G$ -invariants  $I$  generated by the harmonics  $H$ . Moreover,  $I$  is a polynomial ring on homogeneous generators of known degrees, and  $H = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} H^p$  is a graded, locally finite  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation.

Hence, to study the isotypic decomposition of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ , one forms for each irreducible  $G$ -representation  $V$  the polynomial in an indeterminate  $q$ :

$$(1.1) \quad F(V) := \sum_{p \geq 0} \langle V, H^p \rangle q^p.$$

Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the usual form  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  on the representation ring of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Kostant's problem asks us to determine  $F(V)$ ; he called the integers  $e_1, \dots, e_s$  with  $F(V) = \sum_{i=1}^s q^{e_i}$  the *generalized exponents of  $V$* .

The polynomial  $F(V)$  turns out to be a rather deep invariant of the representation  $V$ . For instance, the  $F(V)$  are certain Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for the affine Weyl group (combine [Hs, Theorem 1] and [Ka, Theorem 1.8]), and they describe a certain group cohomology [FP, Theorem 6.1]).

**2. A bilinear form.** Our idea is to interpret  $F$  as a bilinear form on the character ring  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Precisely, *define* a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -valued symmetric bilinear form  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  on  $\Lambda[q]$  by setting

$$(2.1) \quad \langle\langle \operatorname{ch}(V_1), \operatorname{ch}(V_2) \rangle\rangle := F(V_1 \otimes V_2^*),$$

---

Received by the editors July 28, 1986 and, in revised form, December 10, 1986.  
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 22E46, 17B10, 05A15; Secondary 05A17.

Research supported by a NATO Postdoctoral Fellowship.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
 0273-0979/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

for any two  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representations  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , and extending  $q$ -bilinearly. (Here  $\text{ch}(V)$  and  $V^*$  mean the character and dual of  $V$ .) Our (2.1) makes sense as (1.1) actually defines  $F$  on any representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We will present a basis in which our new form  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  diagonalizes. First fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and some familiar associated objects. Let  $\Phi$  be the root system with  $\Phi^+$  a choice of positive roots. Form the lattice  $\mathcal{P}$  of integral weights and its subset  $\mathcal{P}^{++}$  of dominant ones. Let  $W$  be the Weyl group with length function  $l$ . Set

$$t_\pi(q) := \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w \cdot \pi = \pi}} q^{l(w)}, \quad \text{for } \pi \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Use exponential notation for characters.

Define, for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{++}$ , the *Hall-Littlewood characters*

$$(2.2) \quad P_\lambda := t_\lambda(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} w \left( e^\lambda \prod_{\varphi > 0} \frac{1 - qe^{-\varphi}}{1 - e^{-\varphi}} \right).$$

These characters are the classical Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions (see [M, III]) when  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ ; they appear in this more general context in work of Kato [Ka].

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The  $P_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{++}$ , form an orthogonal  $\mathbf{Z}[q]$ -basis of  $\Lambda[q]$  with respect to the form  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ , and*

$$\langle\langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle\rangle = t_0(q)/t_\lambda(q).$$

We prove this by comparing  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  via the expansion  $\sum_{p \geq 0} \text{ch}(H^p)q^p = t_0(q) \prod_{\varphi} (1 - qe^\varphi)^{-1}$ , as we know [G1, Theorem 2.5] the basis of  $\Lambda[[q]]$  dual to  $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{++}}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

Kato [Ka] expressed the irreducible characters  $\chi_\pi = \text{ch}(V_\pi)$ ,  $V_\pi$  the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation of highest weight  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{++}$ , in terms of the  $P_\lambda$ :  $\chi_\pi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{++}} m_\pi^\lambda(q) P_\lambda$ . The polynomials  $m_\pi^\lambda(q)$  are Lusztig’s  $q$ -analogs of  $\lambda$ -weight multiplicity in  $V_\pi$  [L]; they satisfy  $m_\pi^\lambda(1) = \dim(V_\pi^\lambda)$ . We get

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}^{++}$ ,*

$$F(V_\alpha \otimes V_\beta^*) = \langle\langle \chi_\alpha, \chi_\beta \rangle\rangle = \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}^{++}} m_\alpha^\theta(q) m_\beta^\theta(q) t_0(q)/t_\theta(q).$$

As Kostant [K] proved  $F(V)|_{q=1} = \dim(V^0)$  for all  $V$ , our formula is a “ $q$ -analog” of the fact

$$F(V_\alpha \otimes V_\beta^*)|_{q=1} = \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}^{++}} \dim(V_\alpha^\theta) \dim(V_\beta^\theta) \#(W \cdot \theta).$$

**3. Combinatorics of mixed-tensor  $SL_n$ -representations.** We set  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$  to illustrate the effective use of §2 in evaluating  $F$  on irreducibles.

We have formulated a stability theory (1981) for the generalized exponents based on a “mixed-tensor” parameterization  $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}$  of the irreducible  $PGL_n$ -representations, using certain pairs  $\alpha, \beta$  of partitions. First we discuss combinatorics of  $SL_n$ -representations.

The Weyl group of  $SL_n$  is the symmetric group  $S_n$ ;  $\Lambda$  is the ring of symmetric functions in  $x_i = \exp(t_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , for  $t_i$  the coordinates on diagonal matrices in  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ .  $\mathcal{P}^{++}$  identifies with the set of partitions of less than  $n$  rows via  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i t_i \leftrightarrow (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ . (Note  $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 0$ .) Then,  $\chi_\lambda = s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , the classical *Schur function*, and  $P_\lambda = P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n; q)$ . See [M, I(3), III(2.6)] for the combinatorial theory.

Write partitions  $\gamma$  as nonincreasing sequences  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots)$ , ignoring trailing zeroes, with *length*  $l(\gamma) = \#\{i \mid \gamma_i \neq 0\}$  and *magnitude*  $|\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots = \text{degree}(s_\gamma)$ . Also write  $V_\gamma^{[n]}$ , rather than  $V_\gamma$ .

Then  $m_\lambda^\mu(q) = 0$  unless  $|\lambda| - |\mu| = kn$ , some  $k$ , in which case  $m_\lambda^\mu(q) = K_{\lambda, \pi}(q)$ , the *Kostka-Foulkes polynomial* attached to Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $\pi = \mu + (k^n)$ , by [M, III, 6, Example 3].

Given *partitions*  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $l(\alpha) + l(\beta) \leq n$ , we defined  $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}$  as the Cartan piece in  $V_\alpha^{[n]} \otimes (V_\beta^{[n]})^*$ , i.e., the irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -component generated by the tensor product of the highest weight vectors in each factor. It follows that  $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]} = V_\gamma^{[n]}$  for  $\gamma$  the componentwise sum (put  $s = l(\alpha)$ ,  $t = l(\beta)$ ):

$$\gamma = \text{prt}_n(\alpha, \beta) := \left( \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-s-t}, -\beta_t, \dots, \beta_1 \right) + \left( \underbrace{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_1}_n \right).$$

For example,  $\mathbf{C} = V_{(0), (0)}^{[n]}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g} = V_{(1), (1)}^{[n]}$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Fix  $n \geq 1$ . Then the  $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy  $l(\alpha) + l(\beta) \leq n$  and  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ , form an exhaustive, repetition-free list of the irreducible finite-dimensional representations of  $PGL_n$ .*

**4. Stability for  $PGL_n$  harmonics.** Stability was our original reason for foming the  $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}$ . Write  $H_n^p$  for the degree  $p$  harmonics.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Fix  $p \geq 0$ . Then the number of irreducible  $PGL_n$ -components of  $H_n^p$  is constant for  $n \geq 2p$ . Moreover, the decomposition stabilizes:  $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}$  occurs in  $H_n^p$  only when  $r = |\alpha| = |\beta| \leq p$ , and  $\langle V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}, H_n^p \rangle$  stabilizes for  $n \geq p + r$ . Thus, for some finite set  $J^p$  of partition pairs of common magnitude and some integers  $c_{\alpha, \beta}^p$ ,*

$$H_n^p \simeq \bigoplus_{(\alpha, \beta) \in J^p} c_{\alpha, \beta}^p V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2p.$$

Our original proof worked by a combinatorial analysis of the pieces in  $S(\text{End } \mathbf{C}^n)$  using the Cauchy and Littlewood-Richardson rules. We, R. Stanley, and P. Hanlon then studied the stable series  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]})$ . See [S, Hn, and G2].

The key question raised by 4.1 is the *determination of the  $F(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]})$  as functions of two variables  $q$  and  $n$*  (with  $n \geq l(\alpha) + l(\beta)$  always implicit).

For each value of  $n$ ,  $F(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}) \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$  is controlled by the partitions  $\lambda = \text{prt}_n(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\mu = (\beta_1^n)$  of magnitude  $n\beta_1$ . Precisely,  $F(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{[n]}) = K_{\lambda, \mu}(q)$  (this follows by combining [Hs, Theorem 1] with [M, III, 6, Example 3]).

However, in §5 we prove that  $F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]})$  as a function of  $q$  and  $n$  is really “controlled” just by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (symmetrically, as  $F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}) = F(V_{\beta,\alpha}^{[n]})$ ). Given a partition  $\alpha$ , let  $h_1(\alpha), \dots, h_r(\alpha)$  be its hook numbers and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  its conjugate partition (see [M, I, 1]). Set  $e(\alpha) := \sum_{i \geq 1} i\alpha_i$ . Previously, we knew only

PROPOSITION 4.2. Assume  $|\alpha| = r$ .

(i) If  $\beta = (1^r)$ , then

$$F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}) = q^{e(\tilde{\alpha})} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - q^{n-r-\tilde{\alpha}_i+i}) / (1 - q^{h_i(\alpha)}).$$

(ii) If  $\beta = (r)$ , then  $F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}) = s_\alpha(q, \dots, q^{n-1})$ .

5. A formula for  $F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]})$ . Let us extend the  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$  to skew-partitions  $\alpha/\pi$  (cf. [M, I, 1.5]). Although the latter are not partitions, they behave as such. The skew-Schur function is defined by  $s_{\alpha/\pi} = \sum_{\gamma} (s_\pi s_\gamma, s_\alpha) s_\gamma$ . Now define  $K_{\alpha/\pi,\theta}(q)$  as the coefficient of  $P_\theta$  in  $s_{\alpha/\pi}$ . Set

$$b_\theta(q) := \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^{m_i}), \quad \text{for } \theta = (i^{m_i}); \quad b_{(0)} := 1.$$

THEOREM 5.1. Fix  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = r$ . Then

$$F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}) = \sum_{\substack{\pi,\theta \\ |\pi|+|\theta|=r}} (-1)^{|\pi|} K_{\alpha/\pi,\theta}(q) K_{\beta/\tilde{\pi},\theta}(q) \frac{(1 - q^n) \cdots (1 - q^{n-l(\theta)+1})}{b_\theta(q)}.$$

To prove this, we express  $V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}$  in terms of the  $V_\gamma^{[n]} \otimes (V_\delta^{[n]})^*$  using essentially a formula of Littlewood, and then apply 2.4.

Theorem 5.1 leads to new, unified proofs of several old results, among them 4.1, 4.2, and the stable theorem [S, 8.1] proved by Stanley. But mainly, 5.1 gives the first real means for computing the  $F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]})$ .

COROLLARY 5.2. For some polynomial  $g^{\alpha,\beta}(q, z)$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}) = \frac{g^{\alpha,\beta}(q, q^{n-r+1})}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^r)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{g^{\alpha,\beta}(q, z)}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^r)} = \sum_{i=0}^r c_i(q) \frac{(1 - q^{r-1}z) \cdots (1 - q^{r-i}z)}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^i)},$$

for some  $c_i(q) \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$ .

We have some conjectures on the form of the  $g^{\alpha,\beta}(q, z)$ . The examples below, done by hand, are new; the first is an old conjecture. Define

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_r \\ d_1 & \cdots & d_r \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{(1 - q^{c_1}) \cdots (1 - q^{c_r})}{(1 - q^{d_1}) \cdots (1 - q^{d_r})}, \quad \text{for } c_i, d_i \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

We refrain from thinking about these unless they are polynomials in  $q$ .

EXAMPLE 5.3. If  $\alpha = \beta = (2, 1)$ , then 5.1 yields

$$F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}) = q^3 \begin{bmatrix} n+1 & n-1 & n-3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}_q + q^5 \begin{bmatrix} n-1 & n-2 & n-3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

EXAMPLE 5.4. Let us find  $F(V_\gamma^{[6]})$  when  $\gamma = (6, 4, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\gamma = \text{prt}_6(\alpha, \beta)$ , for  $\alpha = (4, 2)$  and  $\beta = (2, 2, 1, 1)$ . 5.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} F(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{[n]}) &= q^9 \begin{bmatrix} n+2 & n+1 & n-1 & n-2 & n-4 & n-5 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &+ q^{12} \begin{bmatrix} n+2 & n-1 & n-2 & n-3 & n-4 & n-5 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &+ q^{15} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 & n-2 & n-2 & n-3 & n-4 & n-5 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &+ (q^9 + q^{10} + q^{11}) \begin{bmatrix} n & n-1 & n-2 & n-3 & n-4 & n-5 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned}$$

So, at  $n = 6$ ,  $F(V_\pi^{[6]}) = 2q^9 + 3q^{10} + 7q^{11} + 9q^{12} + 13q^{13} + 13q^{14} + 15q^{15} + 12q^{16} + 11q^{17} + 7q^{18} + 5q^{19} + 2q^{20} + q^{21}$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I warmly thank University of Paris VI, I.H.E.S., and Max-Planck-Institut for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

[FP] E. M. Friedlander and B. J. Parshall, *On the cohomology of algebraic and related finite groups*, Invent. Math. **74** (1983), 85–117.  
 [G1] R. K. Gupta, *Characters and the q-analog of weight multiplicity*, I.H.E.S. preprint M/86/6 (submitted for publication).  
 [G2] R. K. Gupta, *Stability of polynomial powers of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*  (in preparation).  
 [Hn] P. Hanlon, *On the decomposition of the tensor algebra of the classical Lie algebras*, Adv. in Math. **56** (1985), 238–282.  
 [Hs] W. H. Hesselink, *Characters of the nullcone*, Math. Ann. **252** (1980), 179–182.  
 [Ka] S. Kato, *Spherical functions and a q-analogue of Kostant’s weight multiplicity formula*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), 461–468.  
 [K] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.  
 [L] G. Lusztig, *Singularities, character formulas, and a q-analog of weight multiplicities*, Analyse et Topologie sur les Espaces Singuliers (II–III), Astérisque **101–102** (1983), 208–227.  
 [M] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.  
 [S] R. Stanley, *The stable behavior of some characters of  $SL(n, C)$* , Linear and Multilinear Algebra **16** (1984), 3–27.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02912