Токуо J. Матн. Vol. 31, No. 1, 2008

# Generalized ( $\kappa$ , $\mu$ )-contact Metric Manifolds with $\xi \mu = 0$

### Themis KOUFOGIORGOS<sup>1</sup> and Charalambos TSICHLIAS

University of Ioannina<sup>1</sup>

(Communicated by M. Guest)

**Abstract.** This paper analytically describes the local geometry of a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  with  $\kappa < 1$  which satisfies the condition "the function  $\mu$  is constant along the integral curves of the characteristic vector field  $\xi$ ". This class of manifolds is especially rich, since it is possible to construct in  $R^3$  two families of such manifolds, for any smooth function  $\kappa$  ( $\kappa < 1$ ) of one variable. Every family is determined by two arbitrary functions of one variable.

## 1. Introduction

The class of 3-dimensional generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-contact metric manifolds, which we study in this paper, is important because it contains several interesting classes of Riemannian manifolds, such as Sasakian,  $\eta$ -Einstein and ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-contact metric manifolds. In what follows in this section we refer to these classes of manifolds as well as to our motivation to study generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-contact metric manifolds which satisfy the condition  $\xi \mu = 0$ .

In [2] Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou studied for the first time the class of (2m+1)dimensional contact metric manifolds  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  for which the vector field  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -nullity distribution, for some real numbers  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  ( $\kappa \leq 1$ ). The curvature tensor R of the above class of manifolds satisfies the condition

$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\kappa I + \mu h)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \tag{(*)}$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , where *I* is the identity and *h* denotes, up to a scaling factor, the Lie derivative of the structure tensor  $\phi$  in the direction of  $\xi$ . For convenience, we will call such a contact metric manifold a " $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold". The special case  $\kappa = 1$  characterizes the well known class of Sasakian manifolds, while the case  $\mu = 0$  characterizes the class of  $\eta$ -Einstein manifolds. Within contact geometry,  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold of constant curvature belongs to this class. A  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with  $\kappa < 1$ , is locally homogeneous and its local geometry is now completely known (see [2], [3], [4]). In particular, a 3-dimensional  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with  $\kappa < 1$ , is locally isometric to one of the Lie groups SU(2), SO(3), SL(2, R), O(1, 2), E(2), E(1, 1) equipped with a left invariant metric (see [2] for more details).

Received July 24, 2006; revised November 16, 2007

In [5] the authors of the present paper gave an answer to the following question: Do contact metric manifolds exist satisfying the condition (\*), with  $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$  non-constant smooth functions ? The answer is affirmative only for the 3-dimensional case. So in [5] a new class of 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds was introduced. A manifold of this class will be referred to as "a generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifold". We note that in contrast to ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifolds the generalized ( $\kappa, \mu$ )-manifolds are not locally homogeneous. Within contact geometry, a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold, with  $\kappa < 1$ ,  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is characterized by the fact that the vector field  $\xi$  defines almost everywhere in M a harmonic map from M into its unit tangent sphere bundle  $T_1M$  equipped with the Sasakian metric [7]. In [6] the generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ manifolds, which satisfy the assumption  $\| \operatorname{grad} \kappa \| = c$  (constant  $\neq 0$ ) have been studied. These manifolds satisfy the condition  $\xi \mu = 0$  as well. On the other hand it is well known [5, examples 1, 2] that there exist generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifolds with  $\xi \mu = 0$  and non-constant  $\| \operatorname{grad} \kappa \|$ . This has been our motivation for studying generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds with  $\xi \mu =$ 0. We would like to emphasize that, as will be shown in this paper, the class of generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds with  $\xi \mu = 0$  is much more interesting than the class of generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ manifolds with  $\| \operatorname{grad} \kappa \| = \operatorname{constant}$ . For example, in the latter class the scalar curvature is a non-constant negative function, while the first class includes manifolds in which the scalar curvature can have any sign or be constant.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains necessary details about contact metric manifolds. In section 3, we give some results concerning generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifolds. In the last section we locally classify and construct any generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifold with  $\xi\mu = 0$ . All manifolds are assumed to be connected.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some basic facts about contact metric manifolds. We refer the reader to [1] for a more detailed treatment. A differentiable (2m+1)- dimensional manifold M is called a **contact manifold** if it carries a global differential 1-form  $\eta$  such that  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^m \neq 0$  everywhere on M. The form  $\eta$  is usually called the **contact form** of M. It is well known that a contact manifold admits an almost contact metric structure  $(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ , i.e. a global vector field  $\xi$ , which is called the **characteristic vector field**, a (1, 1)-tensor field  $\phi$  and a Riemannian metric g such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi$$
,  $\eta(\xi) = 1$ ,  $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$ , (2.1)

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . Moreover,  $(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  can be chosen such that

$$d\eta(X,Y) = g(X,\phi Y), \quad X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$
(2.2)

and we then call the structure a **contact metric structure**. A manifold *M* carrying such a structure is said to be a **contact metric manifold** and it is denoted by  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ . As a consequence of the above relations we have  $\eta(\xi) = 1$ ,  $\phi \xi = 0$ ,  $\eta \circ \phi = 0$  and  $d\eta(\xi, X) = 0$ . If  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection of  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ , then following [1], we define

the (1, 1)-tensor fields h and l by  $h = (1/2)(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi)$  and  $l = R(.,\xi)\xi$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$  is the Lie differentiation in the direction of  $\xi$  and R is the curvature tensor, which is given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z, \qquad (2.3)$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . The tensor fields h, l are self adjoint and satisfy  $h\xi = 0, l\xi = 0, \text{Tr } h = \text{Tr } h\phi = 0, \phi h + h\phi = 0$ . Since h anti-commutes with  $\phi$ , if  $X \neq 0$  is an eigenvector of h corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $\phi X$  is also an eigenvector of h corresponding to the eigenvalue  $-\lambda$ . Therefore, on any contact metric manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  the following formulas are valid  $\nabla \xi = -\phi - \phi h$  (and so  $\nabla_{\xi} \xi = 0$ ),  $\nabla_{\xi} h = \phi - \phi l - \phi h^2$ ,  $\nabla_{\xi} \phi = 0$  and  $\phi l \phi - l = 2(\phi^2 + h^2)$ . A contact metric structure  $(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  on M gives rise to an almost complex structure on the product  $M \times R$ . If this structure is integrable, then the contact metric manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is Sasakian if and only if  $R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$ , for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

By a **generalized** ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifold we mean a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold such that

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (\kappa I + \mu h)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.4)$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , where  $\kappa, \mu$  are smooth non-constant real functions on M. In the special case, where  $\kappa, \mu$  are constant, then  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is called a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold. We note that h = 0 and  $\kappa = 1$  on any Sasakian manifold.

Let *M* be a (2m + 1)-dimensional contact metric manifold. By a  $D_a$ -homothetic deformation [8], we mean a change of structure tensors of the form

$$\bar{\eta} = a\eta, \quad \bar{\xi} = (1/a)\xi, \quad \bar{\phi} = \phi, \quad \bar{g} = ag + a(a-1)\eta \otimes \eta,$$
(2.5)

where *a* is a positive number. It is well known that  $M(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{g})$  is also a contact metric manifold. The tensor *h* and the curvature tensor *R* transform in the following manner ([2]):

$$h = (1/a)h \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$a\bar{R}(X,Y)\bar{\xi} = R(X,Y)\xi + (a-1)^{2}(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) - (a-1)\{(\nabla_{X}\phi)Y - (\nabla_{Y}\phi)X + \eta(X)(Y+hY) - \eta(Y)(X+hX)\},$$
(2.7)

for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . Additionally, it is well known [9, pp 446–447], that any 3-dimensional contact metric manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX)$$
(2.8)

for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . Substituting (2.8) in (2.7) and using (2.6), (2.7), we see that if  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold, then  $M(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{g})$  is also a generalized

 $(\bar{\kappa}, \bar{\mu})$ -manifold (see [5]) with

$$\bar{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa + a^2 - 1}{a^2}, \quad \bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu + 2(a - 1)}{a}.$$
 (2.9)

Finally, we mention that on any Riemannian manifold (M, g), the metric g and the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  are related by the formula

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y)$$

$$-g(X, [Y, Z]) + g(Y, [Z, X]) + g(Z, [X, Y])$$
(2.10)

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

### **3.** Generalized $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds

This section contains some basic results concerning generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifolds.

LEMMA 3.1. On any generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  the following formulas are valid

$$h^2 = (\kappa - 1)\phi^2, \quad \kappa = \frac{\text{Tr}\,l}{2} \le 1,$$
 (3.1)

$$\xi \kappa = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$h \operatorname{grad} \mu = \operatorname{grad} \kappa \,, \tag{3.3}$$

$$Q\xi = 2\kappa\xi\,,\tag{3.4}$$

where Q is the Ricci operator  $(QX = \sum_{i=1}^{3} R(X, E_i)E_i)$ , where  $\{E_i\}$ , i = 1, 2, 3, is an orthonormal frame and  $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

PROOF. For the proof of Lemma see [6].

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold. Then, for any point  $P \in M$ , with  $\kappa(P) < 1$  there exist a neighbourhood U of P and an h-frame on U, i.e. orthonormal vector fields  $\xi, X, \phi X$ , defined on U, such that

$$hX = \lambda X$$
,  $h\phi X = -\lambda\phi X$ ,  $h\xi = 0$ ,  $\lambda = \sqrt{1-\kappa}$  (3.5)

at any point  $q \in U$ . Moreover, putting  $A = X\lambda$  and  $B = \phi X\lambda$ , the following formulas are valid on U:

$$\nabla_X \xi = -(\lambda + 1)\phi X, \quad \nabla_{\phi X} \xi = (1 - \lambda)X, \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\nabla_{\xi} X = -\frac{\mu}{2} \phi X , \quad \nabla_{\xi} \phi X = \frac{\mu}{2} X , \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\nabla_X X = \frac{B}{2\lambda} \phi X, \quad \nabla_{\phi X} \phi X = \frac{A}{2\lambda} X,$$
(3.8)

$$\nabla_{\phi X} X = -\frac{A}{2\lambda} \phi X + (\lambda - 1)\xi , \quad \nabla_X \phi X = -\frac{B}{2\lambda} X + (\lambda + 1)\xi , \quad (3.9)$$

GENERALIZED ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS WITH  $\xi \mu = 0$ 

$$[\xi, X] = \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right)\phi X, \qquad [\xi, \phi X] = \left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right)X, \qquad (3.10)$$

$$[X, \phi X] = -\frac{B}{2\lambda}X + \frac{A}{2\lambda}\phi X + 2\xi, \qquad (3.11)$$

$$X\mu = -2X\lambda = -2A, \qquad (3.12)$$

$$\phi X \mu = 2\phi X \lambda = 2B , \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\xi A = \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) B, \qquad (3.14)$$

$$\xi B = \left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right)A, \qquad (3.15)$$

$$[\xi, \phi \operatorname{grad} \lambda] = 0, \qquad (3.16)$$

$$(\phi \operatorname{grad} \lambda)\mu = 4AB, \qquad (3.17)$$

$$XB = \phi XA = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \xi \mu + \frac{1}{4\lambda} (\phi \operatorname{grad} \lambda) \mu \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi \mu + \frac{1}{\lambda} AB \right), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\Delta \lambda = XA + \phi XB - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (A^2 + B^2), \qquad (3.19)$$

$$\xi XA = 2\left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right)XB + 2AB, \qquad (3.20)$$

$$\xi \phi XB = 2\left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) XB + 2AB, \qquad (3.21)$$

$$\xi \| \operatorname{grad} \lambda \|^2 = \xi (A^2 + B^2) = 4\lambda AB$$
, (3.22)

$$\xi \Delta \lambda = 2\lambda \xi \mu + 4AB \,, \tag{3.23}$$

where  $\Delta \lambda$  is the Laplacian of  $\lambda$ , ( $\Delta \lambda = \text{div grad } \lambda$ ).

PROOF. For the proofs of (3.5)–(3.11) see [5], [6]. The proofs of (3.12), (3.13) are immediate consequences of (3.3), (3.5) and the symmetry of h. In order to prove (3.14) we calculate, using (3.2) and (3.10),

$$\xi A = \xi X \lambda = [\xi, X] \lambda + X \xi \lambda = \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) \phi X \lambda = \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) B.$$

The relation (3.15) is proved similarly. Using (3.2) and the first of (2.1) we have

 $\operatorname{grad} \lambda = AX + B\phi X$ ,  $\phi \operatorname{grad} \lambda = A\phi X - BX$ .

From the last relation, (3.10), (3.14) and (3.15) we obtain

$$[\xi, \phi \operatorname{grad} \lambda] = [\xi, A\phi X - BX]$$
  
=  $(\xi A)\phi X + A[\xi, \phi X] - (\xi B)X - B[\xi, X] = 0$ 

In order to prove (3.17) we use (3.12) and (3.13) and we obtain

$$(\phi \operatorname{grad} \lambda)\mu = (A\phi X - BX)\mu = A\phi X\mu - BX\mu = 4AB$$

Letting the vector field  $[X, \phi X]$ , given by (3.10), act on the function  $\lambda$  and by using (3.2), we obtain

$$X(\phi X\lambda) - \phi X(X\lambda) = -\frac{B}{2\lambda}X\lambda + \frac{A}{2\lambda}\phi X\lambda + 2\xi\lambda$$

or,

$$XB - \phi XA = -\frac{AB}{2\lambda} + \frac{AB}{2\lambda} = 0.$$

Similarly, from the action of vector field  $[X, \phi X]$  on the function  $\mu$  and the use of the last relation, (3.12), (3.13) and (3.17) we obtain

$$XB = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi \mu + \frac{1}{\lambda} AB \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \xi \mu + \frac{1}{4\lambda} (\phi \operatorname{grad} \lambda) \mu \right\}.$$

Using the definition of the Laplacian and the relations (3.2), (3.8), (3.18) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Delta \lambda &= X X \lambda + \phi X \phi X \lambda + \xi \xi \lambda - (\nabla_X X) \lambda - (\nabla_{\phi X} \phi X) \lambda - (\nabla_{\xi} \xi) \lambda \\ &= X A + \phi X B - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (A^2 + B^2) \,. \end{split}$$

For the proofs of (3.21), (3.22), using (3.10), (3.12)–(3.15), (3.18), we calculate

$$\begin{split} \xi XA &= [\xi, X]A + X\xi A = \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) \phi XA + X \left\{ \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) B \right\} \\ &= \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) XB + \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) XB + B \left\{ X\lambda - X(\frac{\mu}{2}) \right\} \\ &= 2 \left(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) XB + 2AB \,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \xi \phi XB &= [\xi, \phi X]B + \phi X \xi B = \left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) XB + \phi X \left\{ \left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) A \right\} \\ &= \left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) XB + \left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) \phi XA + A \left\{\phi X\lambda + \phi X \left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &= 2 \left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) XB + 2AB \,. \end{split}$$

The relation (3.22) is an immediate consequence of (3.14) and (3.15). Differentiating (3.19) with respect to  $\xi$  and using (3.20)–(3.22), (3.2) and (3.18), then (3.23) follows, and thus the proof of Lemma is completed.

LEMMA 3.3. On any generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  with  $\kappa < 1$ , the scalar curvature S = Tr Q is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Delta \lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|\operatorname{grad} \lambda\|^2 + 2(\kappa - \mu), \quad \lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}.$$
(3.24)

PROOF. Using (2.3), (3.6)–(3.9), we calculate

$$\begin{split} R(X,\phi X)\phi X &= \nabla_X \nabla_{\phi X} \phi X - \nabla_{\phi X} \nabla_X \phi X - \nabla_{[X,\phi X]} \phi X \\ &= \nabla_X \left(\frac{A}{2\lambda} X\right) - \nabla_{\phi X} \left(-\frac{B}{2\lambda} X + (1+\lambda)\xi\right) - \nabla_{-\frac{B}{2\lambda} X + \frac{A}{2\lambda}} \phi_{X+2\xi} \phi X \\ &= X \left(\frac{A}{2\lambda}\right) X + \frac{A}{2\lambda} \nabla_X X + \phi X \left(\frac{B}{2\lambda}\right) X + \frac{B}{2\lambda} \nabla_{\phi X} X \\ &- (\phi X\lambda)\xi - (1+\lambda) \nabla_{\phi X} \xi + \frac{B}{2\lambda} \nabla_X \phi X - \frac{A}{2\lambda} \nabla_{\phi X} \phi X - 2 \nabla_{\xi} \phi X \\ &= \frac{\lambda X A - A^2}{2\lambda^2} X + \frac{AB}{4\lambda^2} \phi X + \frac{\lambda \phi X B - B^2}{2\lambda^2} X \\ &+ \frac{B}{2\lambda} \left(-\frac{A}{2\lambda} \phi X + (\lambda - 1)\xi\right) - B\xi - (1+\lambda)(1-\lambda) X \\ &+ \frac{B}{2\lambda} \left(-\frac{B}{2\lambda} X + (1+\lambda)\xi\right) - \frac{A^2}{4\lambda^2} X - \mu X \\ &= \left\{\frac{1}{2\lambda} (XA + \phi X B) - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} (A^2 + B^2) - (1-\lambda^2) - \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} (A^2 + B^2) - \mu\right\} X . \end{split}$$

Combining this and (3.19) we obtain

$$R(X,\phi X)\phi X = \left\{\frac{1}{2\lambda}\Delta\lambda - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}(A^2 + B^2) - \kappa - \mu\right\}X$$

and thus

$$g(R(X,\phi X)\phi X,X) = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\Delta\lambda - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}(A^2 + B^2) - \kappa - \mu \,.$$

The relation (3.24) is an immediate consequence of (3.5), (3.4) and  $S = \text{Tr } Q = g(QX, X) + g(Q\phi X, \phi X) + g(Q\xi, \xi)$ .

# 4. Generalized $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds with $\xi \mu = 0$

In the following Theorem, the generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifolds with  $\kappa < 1$  that satisfy the condition  $\xi \mu = 0$ , are locally described.

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with  $\kappa < 1$  and  $\xi \mu = 0$ . Then

1) At any point of M, precisely one of the following relations is valid:  $\mu = 2(1 + \sqrt{1-\kappa})$ , or  $\mu = 2(1 - \sqrt{1-\kappa})$ 

2) At any point  $P \in M$  there exists a chart (U, (x, y, z)) with  $P \in U \subseteq M$ , such that i) the functions  $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$  depend only on the variable z

ii) if  $\mu = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$ , (resp.  $\mu = 2(1 - \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$ ), the tensor fields  $\eta, \xi, \phi, g$  are given by the relations,

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \eta = dx - adz \quad (\text{resp. } \eta = dx - adz)$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ -a & -b & 1 + a^2 + b^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{resp. } g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ -a & -b & 1 + a^2 + b^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -ab \\ 0 & b & -1-b^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -b \end{pmatrix} \quad \left( \text{resp. } \phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a & ab \\ 0 & -b & 1+b^2 \\ 0 & -1 & b \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

with respect to the basis  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$ , where a = 2y + f(z) (resp. a = -2y + f(z)),  $b = 2\lambda(z)x - \frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}y + h(z)$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda(z) = \sqrt{1 - \kappa(z)}$ ,  $\lambda'(z) = \frac{d\lambda}{dz}$  and f(z), h(z) are arbitrary smooth functions of z.

**PROOF.** Let  $\{\xi, X, \phi X\}$  be an *h*-frame, such that

$$hX = \lambda X$$
,  $h\phi X = -\lambda\phi X$ ,  $\lambda = \sqrt{1-\kappa}$ 

in an appropriate neighbourhood of an arbitrary point of *M*. Using the hypothesis  $\xi \mu = 0$  and the relations (3.16), (3.17), (3.14), (3.15) of Lemma 3.2, we successively obtain

$$\begin{split} &[\xi,\phi\,\mathrm{grad}\,\lambda]\mu=0\\ &\xi(\phi\,\mathrm{grad}\,\lambda)\mu-(\phi\,\mathrm{grad}\,\lambda)\xi\mu=0\\ &\xi(AB)=0\\ &A\xi B+B\xi A=0\\ &A^2\bigg(\lambda-1+\frac{\mu}{2}\bigg)+B^2\bigg(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\bigg)=0\,. \end{split}$$

Differentiating the last relation with respect to  $\xi$  and using the relations (3.2),  $\xi \mu = 0$ , (3.14), (3.15) we are led through simple calculations to

$$\left(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\right)\left(\lambda-1+\frac{\mu}{2}\right)AB=0.$$
(4.1)

We put  $F = (1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2})(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2})$  and consider the set  $N = \{P \in M | (\operatorname{grad} \lambda)(P) \neq 0\}$ . We will prove that F = 0 at any point of N. Let  $P \in N$  be such that  $F(P) \neq 0$ . From (4.1) we obtain (AB)(P) = 0. We distinguish the cases  $\{A(P) = B(P) = 0\}, \{A(P) \neq 0, B(P) = 0\}$ and  $\{A(P) = 0, B(P) \neq 0\}$ . The first case is impossible, because the relations A(P) =B(P) = 0 and (3.2) lead to  $(\operatorname{grad} \lambda)(P) = 0$ . Let us suppose that  $\{A(P) \neq 0, B(P) = 0\}$ . Since the function F is continuous, we find that a neighbourhood  $U \subseteq N$  exists, with  $P \in U$ such that  $F \neq 0$  at any point of U. Similarly, due to the fact that the function A is continuous on its domain, a neighbourhood V of P exists with  $P \in V \subset U$ , such that  $A \neq 0$  at any point of V, and thus B = 0 on V. Differentiating B = 0 with respect to  $\xi$  and using (3.15) we obtain  $A(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2} = 0$  at any point of V and thus F = 0on V, which is a contradiction. Similarly, by supposing that  $\{A(P) = 0, B(P) \neq 0\}$  we are led to a contradiction. Therefore, F = 0 at any point of N. In what follows, we will work on the complement N<sup>c</sup> of set N, in order to prove that F = 0 on M. If N<sup>c</sup> =  $\emptyset$ , then F = 0 on *M*. If  $N^c \neq \emptyset$ , then grad  $\lambda = 0$  on  $N^c$  and thus the function  $\lambda$  is constant at any connected component of the interior  $(N^c)^o$  of  $N^c$ . From the constancy of  $\lambda$  and the relations (3.12), (3.13),  $\xi \mu = 0$ , the function  $\mu$  is also constant. As a result we find that F is constant on any connected component of  $(N^c)^o$ . Because M is connected and F = 0 on N and F =constant on any connected component of  $(N^c)^o$  we conclude that F = 0, or equivalently  $(1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2})(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}) = 0$  at any point of *M*. In what follows, we consider the open and disjoint sets

$$C = \left\{ P \in M \middle/ \left( 1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2} \right)(P) \neq 0 \right\} \text{ and } D = \left\{ P \in M \middle/ \left( \lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right)(P) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

We have  $C \cup D = M$ . In fact, if there was  $P \in M$ , with  $P \notin C$  and  $P \notin D$ , then we would obtain  $\lambda(P) = 0$ , or equivalently  $\kappa(P) = 1$ , which is impossible by the assumption of the Theorem. Since *M* is connected we conclude that  $\{C = M \text{ and } D = \emptyset\}$  or  $\{C = \emptyset \text{ and } D = M\}$ . Regarding the first case we obtain  $1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2} = 0$ , or equivalently  $\mu = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$  at any point of *M*. Similarly, regarding the second case we obtain  $\mu = 2(1 - \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$ . Therefore, the proof of (1) is completed. Now, we will examine the cases  $\mu = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$  and  $\mu = 2(1 - \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$  separately.

Case 1.  $\mu = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa}) = 2(1 + \lambda).$ 

Let  $P \in M$  and  $\{\xi, X, \phi X\}$  be an *h*-frame on an appropriate neighborhood V of P. From the assumption  $\mu = 2(1 + \lambda)$  and (3.12) we obtain A = 0 and thus the relations (3.10), (3.11) are

$$[\xi, X] = 0, \quad [\xi, \phi X] = 2\lambda X, \quad [X, \phi X] = -\frac{B}{2\lambda} X + 2\xi.$$
(4.2)

Because the linearly independent vector fields  $\xi$ , X satisfy the relation  $[\xi, X] = 0$  on V, the distribution which is spanned by  $\xi$  and X is integrable and so for any point  $q \in V$ , there exists

a chart (U, (x, y, z)) such that  $P \in U \subset V$  and

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \tag{4.3}$$

at any point of U. The vector field  $\phi X$  can be written on U as

$$\phi X = a \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + c \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \qquad (4.4)$$

where *a*, *b*, *c* are smooth functions defined on *U*. Since  $\xi$ , *X*,  $\phi X$  are linearly independent, we have  $c \neq 0$  at any point of *U*. By using (4.3), (3.2) and  $X\lambda = A = 0$  we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} = 0$$
 and  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} = 0$ 

From these relations we conclude that the function  $\lambda$  depends only on the variable *z*, i.e.  $\lambda = \lambda(z)$ , and thus from (4.4) we obtain

$$B = \phi X \lambda = c \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} \,. \tag{4.5}$$

By using (4.2)–(4.4) we obtain

$$2\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2\lambda X = [\xi, \phi X] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right]$$
$$= \frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = 2\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = 0.$$
 (4.6)

Similarly, from (4.3), (4.4) and the third equation of (4.2) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 2, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = -\frac{B}{2\lambda}, \quad \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = 0.$$
 (4.7)

From  $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = 0$  it follows that c = c(z) and because of the fact that  $c \neq 0$ , we can suppose that c = 1, through a reparametrization of the variable z. For the sake of simplicity we will continue to use the same coordinates (x, y, z), taking into account that c = 1 in the relations that we have occurred. From the solution of the system of the differential equations

$$\left\{\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 0, \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 2, \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = 2\lambda, \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = -\frac{B}{2\lambda}\right\}$$
(4.8)

where  $B = \phi X \lambda = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} = \lambda'(z)$ , we easily obtain

$$a = a(x, y, z) = 2y + f(z)$$

GENERALIZED ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS WITH  $\xi \mu = 0$ 

$$b = b(x, y, z) = 2\lambda(z)x - \frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}y + h(z),$$

where f(z), h(z) are arbitrary smooth functions of z defined on U. In what follows, we will calculate the tensor fields g,  $\eta$ ,  $\phi$  with respect to the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ . For the components  $g_{ij}$  of the Riemannian metric g, we calculate, using (4.3), (4.4, with c = 1), (4.8)

$$g_{11} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = g(\xi, \xi) = 1, \quad g_{22} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = g(X, X) = 1$$

$$g_{12} = g_{21} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = g(\xi, X) = 0,$$

$$g_{13} = g_{31} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \phi X - a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$$

$$= g(\xi, \phi X) - ag_{11} - bg_{12} = -a$$

$$g_{23} = g_{32} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \phi X - a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$$

$$= g(X, \phi X) - ag_{12} - bg_{22} = -b$$

$$1 = g(\phi X, \phi X) = a^2g_{11} + b^2g_{22} + g_{33} + 2abg_{12} + 2ag_{13} + 2bg_{23}$$

$$= a^2 + b^2 + g_{33} - 2a^2 - 2b^2 = g_{33} - a^2 - b^2,$$

from which we obtain  $g_{33} = 1 + a^2 + b^2$ . The components of the tensor field  $\phi$  are immediate consequences of

$$\begin{split} \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) &= \phi\xi = 0, \quad \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = \phi X = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) &= \phi\left(\phi X - a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = \phi^2 X - a\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - b\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= -X - b\left(a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - ab\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ &= -ab\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - (1 + b^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{split}$$

The expression for the contact form  $\eta$ , immediately follows from

$$\eta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = \eta(X) = g(X,\xi) = 0$$
$$\eta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z},\xi\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z},\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = g_{13} = -a$$

and thus the proof of the case 1 is completed.

Case 2.  $\mu = 2(1 - \sqrt{1 - \kappa}) = 2(1 - \lambda).$ 

We work as in case 1, considering an *h*-frame  $\{\xi, X, \phi X\}$ . Using the assumption  $\mu = 2(1 - \lambda)$  and (3.13) we obtain B = 0 and thus the relation (3.10) is written as

$$[\xi, X] = 2\lambda\phi X, \quad [\xi, \phi X] = 0, \quad [X, \phi X] = \frac{A}{2\lambda}\phi X + 2\xi.$$

From  $[\xi, \phi X] = 0$  we conclude that around any point  $P \in M$  there is a chart (U, (x, y, z)) such that

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \phi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

on U. We put

$$X = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where a, b, c are smooth functions defined on U. The continuation of the proof is similar to the proof of the case 1 and for this reason we omit it. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

In the next Theorem, generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-manifolds with  $\kappa < 1$  and  $\xi \mu = 0$  are locally constructed.

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $\kappa : I \subset R \to R$  be a smooth function defined on an open interval I, such that  $\kappa(z) < 1$  for any  $z \in I$ . Then, we can construct two families of generalized  $(\kappa_i, \mu_i)$ -manifolds  $M(\eta_i, \xi_i, \phi_i, g_i)$ , i = 1, 2, in the set  $M = R^2 \times I \subset R^3$ , so that, for any  $P(x, y, z) \in M$ , the following are valid:

$$\kappa_1(P) = \kappa_2(P) = \kappa(z), \quad \mu_1(P) = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa(z)}) \quad and \quad \mu_2(P) = 2(1 - \sqrt{1 - \kappa(z)}).$$

Each family is determined by two arbitrary smooth functions of one variable.

PROOF. We put  $\lambda = \sqrt{1-\kappa} > 0$ ,  $\lambda'(z) = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z}$  and we consider on *M* the linearly independent vector fields

$$\xi_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and}$$

$$Y_1 = (2y + f(z))\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(2\lambda(z)x - \frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}y + h(z)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad (4.9)$$

where f(z), h(z) are arbitrary functions of z. We define the tensor fields  $\eta_1$ ,  $\phi_1$ ,  $g_1$  as follows:  $g_1$  is the Riemannian metric on M, with respect to which the vector fields  $\xi_1$ ,  $X_1$ ,  $Y_1$  are orthonormal;  $\eta_1$  is the 1-form on M which is defined from  $\eta_1(Z) = g_1(Z, \xi_1)$  for any  $Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ ;  $\phi_1$  is the (1, 1)-tensor field that is defined by the relations  $\phi_1\xi_1 = 0$ ,  $\phi_1X_1 = Y_1$ and  $\phi_1Y_1 = -X_1$ . Initially we will show that  $M(\eta_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, g_1)$  is a contact metric manifold.

From (4.9) we easily obtain

$$[\xi_1, X_1] = 0, \quad [\xi_1, Y_1] = 2\lambda(z)X_1, \quad [X_1, Y_1] = -\frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}X_1 + 2\xi_1.$$
(4.10)

Because  $(\eta_1 \wedge d\eta_1)(\xi_1, X_1, Y_1) \neq 0$  everywhere on M, we conclude that  $\eta_1$  is a contact form. From the definitions of  $\phi_1$ ,  $g_1$  and the relations (4.10) it is easy to see that the following relations are valid

$$\begin{split} \phi_1^2 Z &= -Z + \eta_1(Z)\xi_1, \quad g_1(\phi_1 Z, \phi_1 W) = g_1(Z, W) - \eta_1(Z)\eta_1(W), \\ d\eta_1(Z, W) &= g_1(Z, \phi_1 W) \end{split}$$

for any  $Z, W \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . Therefore, by (2.1) and (2.2),  $M(\eta_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, g_1)$  is a contact metric manifold. Let  $\nabla$  be the Riemannian connection of  $g_1$ . Using the well known formula (see (2.10))

$$2g_1(\nabla_Z W, T) = Zg_1(W, T) + Wg_1(T, Z) - Tg_1(Z, W) - g_1(Z, [W, T]) + g_1(W, [T, Z]) + g_1(T, [Z, W])$$

for any Z, W,  $T \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , as well as (4.10),  $h\xi_1 = 0$  and  $\nabla \xi = -\phi - \phi h$ , by direct calculations we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_1 &= 0 \,, \quad \nabla_{\xi_1} X_1 = -(1+\lambda(z))Y_1 \,, \quad \nabla_{\xi_1} Y_1 = (1+\lambda(z))X_1 \,, \\ \nabla_{X_1} \xi_1 &= -(1+\lambda(z))Y_1 \,, \quad \nabla_{Y_1} \xi_1 = (1-\lambda(z))X_1 \,, \quad \nabla_{X_1} X_1 = \frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}Y_1 \,, \\ \nabla_{Y_1} Y_1 &= 0 \,, \quad \nabla_{X_1} Y_1 = -\frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}X_1 + (1+\lambda(z))\xi_1 \,, \quad \nabla_{Y_1} X_1 = (\lambda(z)-1)\xi_1 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using  $\nabla \xi_1 = -\phi_1 - \phi_1 h_1$ ,  $h_1\phi_1 + \phi_1 h_1 = 0$  and the first of (2.1) we obtain

$$h_1\phi_1X_1 = -\lambda(z)\phi_1X_1$$
 and  $h_1X_1 = \lambda(z)X_1$ .

Defining the functions  $\kappa_1, \mu_1 : M \to R$  by  $\kappa_1(x, y, z) = \kappa(z), \quad \mu_1(x, y, z) = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa(z)})$  we will show that  $M(\eta_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, g_1)$  is a generalized  $(\kappa_1, \mu_1)$ -manifold. Indeed, using (2.3) and the derivates of  $\xi_1, X_1, Y_1$  that we have calculated, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &R(\xi_1,\xi_1)\xi_1 = 0, \quad R(X_1,\xi_1)\xi_1 = \kappa_1 X_1 + \mu_1 h_1 X_1, \\ &R(Y_1,\xi_1)\xi_1 = \kappa_1 Y_1 + \mu_1 h_1 Y_1, \quad R(X_1,X_1)\xi_1 = 0, \\ &R(Y_1,Y_1)\xi_1 = 0, \quad R(X_1,Y_1)\xi_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above, as well as from the linearity of *R*, we conclude that

$$R(Z, W)\xi_1 = (\kappa_1 I + \mu_1 h_1)(\eta_1(W)Z - \eta_1(Z)W)$$

for any  $Z, W \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , i.e.  $M(\eta_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, g_1)$  is a generalized  $(\kappa_1, \mu_1)$ -manifold (with  $\xi_1 \mu_1 = 0$ ) and thus the construction of the first family is completed. The construction of the second

family occurs, if we consider the vector fields

$$\xi_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad Y_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and}$$
$$X_2 = (-2y + f(z))\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(2\lambda(z)x - \frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}y + h(z)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tag{4.11}$$

and define the tensor fields  $g_2$ ,  $\phi_2$ ,  $\eta_2$  as follows:  $g_2$  is the Riemannian metric on M with respect to which the vector fields  $\xi_2$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $Y_2$  are orthonormal. The (1, 1)-tensor field  $\phi_2$  is defined by  $\phi_2\xi_2 = 0$ ,  $\phi_2X_2 = Y_2$  and  $\phi_2Y_2 = -X_2$ . The 1-form  $\eta_2$  is defined by  $\eta_2(Z) = g_2(Z, \xi_2)$  for any  $Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

Next, we work similarly with the case 1 arriving at the conclusion that  $M(\eta_2, \xi_2, \phi_2, g_2)$  is a generalized  $(\kappa_2, \mu_2)$ -manifold, where  $\kappa_2(x, y, z) = k(z)$  and  $\mu_2(x, y, z) = 2(1 - \sqrt{1 - \kappa(z)})$ . This completes the proof of the Theorem.

In the following Proposition some conditions equivalent to  $\xi \mu = 0$  are obtained.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with  $\kappa < 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent,

- a)  $\xi \mu = 0$
- b)  $\mu = 2(1 \pm \lambda), \lambda = \sqrt{1 \kappa}$
- c)  $\xi \xi \mu = 0$
- d)  $\xi \Delta \lambda = 0.$

PROOF. Conditions (a),(b) are equivalent. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1 and (3.2). In order to complete the proof of the Proposition, we consider around an arbitrary point of *M* an *h*-frame { $\xi$ , *X*,  $\phi X$ } such that  $hX = \lambda X$ ,  $h\phi X = -\lambda \phi X$  (see Lemma 3.2). By using (3.10), (3.2) and (3.12)–(3.15) we easily obtain

$$X\xi\mu = -4B\left(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\right) \tag{4.12}$$

$$\xi X \xi \mu = -4A \left( 1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2} \right) \left( \lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) + 2B \xi \mu \tag{4.13}$$

$$[X,\xi]\xi\mu = -4A\left(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\right)\left(\lambda-1+\frac{\mu}{2}\right)$$
(4.14)

$$\phi X \xi \mu = 4A \left( \lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) \tag{4.15}$$

$$\xi \phi X \xi \mu = 4B \left( 1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2} \right) \left( \lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) + 2A \xi \mu$$
(4.16)

$$[\phi X, \xi] \xi \mu = 4B \left( 1 + \lambda - \frac{\mu}{2} \right) \left( \lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right).$$
(4.17)

Now, we will prove that  $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$ .

Differentiating  $\xi \xi \mu = 0$  with respect to X we obtain  $X \xi \xi \mu = 0$ , or equivalently  $[X, \xi] \xi \mu + \xi X \xi \mu = 0$  and so using (4.13), (4.14) we obtain

$$B\xi\mu = 4A\left(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\right)\left(\lambda-1+\frac{\mu}{2}\right).$$
(4.18)

Similarly, differentiating  $\xi \xi \mu = 0$  with respect to  $\phi X$  and using (4.16), (4.17) we obtain

$$A\xi\mu = -4B\left(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\right)\left(\lambda-1+\frac{\mu}{2}\right).$$
(4.19)

For the functions A, B there are the following possible cases:  $\{A = 0, B = 0\}, \{AB \neq 0\}, \{A \neq 0, B = 0\}, \{A = 0, B \neq 0\}$ . The two first possibilities cannot occur. Indeed, the combination of A = 0, B = 0 with (3.2) leads to  $\kappa$  =constant which is impossible. Furthermore, if  $AB \neq 0$ , then, multiplying (4.18), (4.19) with B, A respectively and adding the relations that occur we are led to  $(A^2 + B^2)\xi\mu = 0$ , from which we obtain  $\xi\mu = 0$  or equivalently  $\mu = 2(1 \pm \lambda)$ . If  $\mu = 2(1 + \lambda)$ , then  $X\mu = 2X\lambda = 2A$ . From this and (3.12) we obtain A = 0, which is impossible. Similarly, supposing that  $\mu = 2(1 - \lambda)$  we obtain B = 0, which is also impossible. Therefore, the only possible cases are  $\{A \neq 0, B = 0\}$  and  $\{A = 0, B \neq 0\}$ . If we assume that  $\{A \neq 0, B = 0\}$ , then (4.19) gives  $\xi\mu = 0$ . Similarly, from  $\{A = 0, B \neq 0\}$  and (4.18) we obtain  $\xi\mu = 0$  and this completes the proof of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

The case (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) is obvious. In what follows, we will prove that (d) $\Leftrightarrow$ (a).

Let us suppose that (a) is valid, i.e.  $\xi \mu = 0$ . Then, as it has been proved earlier, we obtain AB = 0 and thus from (3.23) we obtain  $\xi \Delta \lambda = 0$ , i.e. the condition (d). Conversely, let us assume that  $\xi \Delta \lambda = 0$ . Then (3.23) gives

$$\xi \mu = -\frac{2}{\lambda} AB \,. \tag{4.20}$$

If AB = 0, then  $\xi \mu = 0$ . We will prove that the case  $AB \neq 0$  is impossible. Let  $AB \neq 0$ , therefore  $\xi \mu \neq 0$ . Differentiating (4.20) with respect to X and using (4.12), (3.18), (4.20) we calculate

$$-4B\left(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\right) = \frac{2}{\lambda^2}(X\lambda)AB - \frac{2}{\lambda}\{(XA)B + A(XB)\}$$
$$= \frac{2}{\lambda^2}A^2B - \frac{2B}{\lambda}XA - \frac{2A}{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{2}\xi\mu + \frac{1}{2\lambda}AB\right)$$
$$= -\frac{A}{\lambda}\xi\mu - \frac{2B}{\lambda}XA - \frac{A}{2\lambda}\xi\mu$$
$$= -\frac{3A}{2\lambda}\xi\mu - \frac{2B}{\lambda}XA$$

and so

$$\frac{2B}{\lambda}XA = 4B\left(1+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{2}\right) - \frac{3A}{2\lambda}\xi\mu.$$
(4.21)

Similarly, differentiating (4.20) with respect to  $\phi X$  and using (4.15), (3.18), (4.20) we are led to

$$\frac{2A}{\lambda}\phi XB = -4A\left(\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) - \frac{3B}{2\lambda}\xi\mu.$$
(4.22)

Multiplying (4.21) with A and (4.22) with B and adding the resulting relations, we obtain

$$\frac{2AB}{\lambda}(XA + \phi XB) = 4AB(2-\mu) - \frac{3}{2\lambda}(A^2 + B^2)\xi\mu.$$

Furthermore, by using (3.19) and (4.20), the last relation leads to

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}\Delta\lambda - \frac{A^2 + B^2}{\lambda^2} - 2(2-\mu) = 0.$$

Differentiating the last relation with respect to  $\xi$  and using  $\xi \Delta \lambda = 0$ , (3.22), we easily obtain  $\xi \mu = \frac{2}{\lambda} AB$ . From this and (4.20) we obtain the contradiction AB = 0 and thus the proof of the Proposition is completed.

REMARK. Theorem 4.1 can be reformulated by replacing the condition  $\xi \mu = 0$  with any one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 4.3.

In [6] the generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  with  $|| \operatorname{grad} \kappa || = \operatorname{constant} \neq 0$ have been studied. These manifolds satisfy  $\mu = 2(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$  (see [6], Lemma 3) and thus by (3.2), the condition  $\xi\mu = 0$  as well. Moreover, it is obvious that the function  $\kappa$  satisfies  $\kappa < 1$ . Thus this class of manifolds is a special case of generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds with  $\kappa < 1$  and  $\xi\mu = 0$ . In the process of proving Theorem 4.1 (see relation(4.8)) we have shown that for the case  $\{A = 0, B \neq 0, \mu = 2(1 + \lambda)\}$  we have

$$B = \frac{d\lambda}{dz}$$
 and so  $\phi XB = \frac{d^2\lambda}{dz^2}$ . (4.23)

From  $B = \frac{d\lambda}{dz}$ ,  $\| \operatorname{grad} \kappa \| = c$  and  $\lambda^2 = 1 - \kappa$  we are easily led to  $4\lambda^2 \left(\frac{d\lambda}{dz}\right)^2 = c^2$  and from the solution of this we obtain  $\kappa = \pm cz + d < 1$ , (*d* =constant). Furthermore, (4.23), (3.19) and (3.24) tell us that the scalar curvature of *M* is given by

$$S = -\frac{5c^2}{8\lambda^4} - 2(\lambda + 1)^2.$$
(4.24)

Similarly, regarding the case  $\{A \neq 0, B = 0, \mu = 2(1 - \lambda)\}$  we have

$$A = \frac{d\lambda}{dz}, \quad XA = \frac{d^2\lambda}{dz^2} \tag{4.25}$$

and, therefore, in this case  $\kappa = \pm cz + d < 1$  (d = constant) and

$$S = -\frac{5c^2}{8\lambda^4} - 2(\lambda - 1)^2.$$
(4.26)

From (4.24) and (4.26) we find that the scalar curvature is a strictly negative function. Furthermore, S is non-constant. Indeed, if we suppose that S = constant, then (4.24) or (4.26) show that  $\kappa$  is constant, which is impossible by definition. Summarizing the above we obtain the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with  $\| \operatorname{grad} \kappa \| = c \ (constant) \neq 0$ . Then

- a)  $\xi \mu = 0$
- b) At any point  $P \in M$ , there exist a chart (U, (x, y, z)) with  $P \in U \subseteq M$ , such that  $\kappa(x, y, z) = cz + d$ , (d = constant) and  $\mu = 2(1 \pm \sqrt{1 \kappa})$ .
- c) The scalar curvature of M is a negative non-constant function.

REMARK. 1. Since  $c \neq 0$  in Proposition 4.4, doing an appropriate reparametrization of the chart (U, (x, y, z)) we can find a chart (V, (x, y, z)) such that  $\kappa(x, y, z) = z$ , and thus the conclusion (b) of Proposition 4.4 is identified with the corresponding result of Theorem 5 of [6].

2. If we apply a  $D_a$ -homothetic deformation on a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g), (\kappa < 1)$ , with  $\xi \mu = 0$ , then from (2.9) it follows that the new manifold  $M(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{g})$  is a generalized  $(\bar{\kappa}, \bar{\mu})$ -manifold  $(\bar{\kappa} < 1)$  with  $\bar{\xi}\bar{\mu} = 0$  as well.

As we have seen in Proposition 4.4, in a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with  $\| \operatorname{grad} \kappa \| = c \neq 0$  the scalar curvature *S* is a non-constant negative function. In examples 4.5 and 4.6, below, we construct generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds with constant scalar curvature *S* of any sign.

EXAMPLE 4.5. For any  $c \in R$ , we will construct a family of generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ manifolds with S = c. In order to reach this construction, we consider the function  $F: R \to R$ ,  $F(z) = 8 \log z + 4z - 2(c+2)z^{-1} + d$ , where z > 0 and  $d \in R$ . Since  $\lim_{z\to+\infty} F(z) = +\infty$ , there exist  $b \in R$  and a neighborhood  $V \subset R$  with  $b \in V$ , such that the function  $g: V \to R$ ,  $g(z) = z^{3/2}(F(z))^{1/2}$ , is smooth and positive for any  $z \in V$ . Let us consider the function  $f: V \subset R \to R$  defined by

$$f(z) = \int_b^z \frac{1}{g(y)} dy \,.$$

Since  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in V$ , we find that f(z) is invertible in V. We consider now the manifold  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | z \in f(V)\}$  and the function  $\lambda : M \to \mathbb{R}: \lambda(x, y, z) = l(z) = f^{-1}(z)$ . By applying Theorem 4.2 we find that  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with  $\kappa = 1 - \lambda^2$  and  $\mu = 2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa})$ . The tensor fields  $(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  of M are defined by

the vector fields  $\xi$ , X,  $Y = \phi X$  of the relation (4.9):

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \phi X = (2y + u(z))\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (2\lambda(z)x - \frac{\lambda'(z)}{2\lambda(z)}y + h(z))\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where u(z), h(z) are arbitrary functions of z. In order to find the scalar curvature S, we calculate

$$\begin{split} \lambda' &= \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} = l'(z) = \lambda^{3/2} (8 \log \lambda + 4\lambda - (2c + 4)\lambda^{-1} + d)^{1/2} \\ \lambda''(z) &= 12\lambda^2 \log \lambda + 8\lambda^3 + \frac{3d + 8}{2}\lambda^2 - (4 + 2c)\lambda \\ A &= X\lambda = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y}, \quad XA = 0 \\ B &= \phi X\lambda = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} = \lambda', \quad \phi XB = \lambda'' \\ \| \operatorname{grad} \lambda \|^2 &= A^2 + B^2 = (\lambda')^2 \\ \kappa - \mu &= -(\lambda + 1)^2. \end{split}$$

By using these relations, as well as (3.19), (3.24) we calculate

$$\begin{split} S &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \Delta \lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \| \operatorname{grad} \lambda \|^2 + 2(\kappa - \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ XA + \phi XB - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (A^2 + B^2) \right\} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (A^2 + B^2) + 2(\kappa - \mu) \\ &= \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} - \frac{3\lambda'^2}{2\lambda^2} - 2(1 + \lambda)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ 12\lambda^2 \log \lambda + 8\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{2} (3d + 8)\lambda^2 - (4 + 2c)\lambda \right\} \\ &- \frac{3}{2\lambda^2} \lambda^3 (8 \log \lambda + 4\lambda - (2c + 4)\lambda^{-1} + d) - 2(1 + \lambda)^2 = c \,. \end{split}$$

Consequently,  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold with S = c. Since the tensor fields  $(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  depend on the arbitrary functions u(z) and h(z), a family of generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifolds finally occurs with S = c.

EXAMPLE 4.6. Using Theorem 4.2 for the smooth function  $\kappa(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2}, z > 0$ , we obtain the generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -manifold  $M(\eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ , where  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3/z > 0\}$ ,  $\kappa = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2}$  and  $\mu = 2(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2z}})$ . Using (3.19), (3.24),  $\lambda^2 = 1 - \kappa$ ,  $\mu = 2(1 - \lambda)$ , we finally find that the scalar curvature *S* of *M* is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d^2 \lambda}{dz^2} - \frac{3}{2\lambda^2} \left(\frac{d\lambda}{dz}\right)^2 - 2(1-\lambda)^2 = -2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}z}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2z^2} = -\frac{1}{2z^2}(4z^2 - 4\sqrt{2}z + 1)$$

Thus, we easily conclude that S can be of any sign.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors thank the referee for his useful corrections and suggestions.

#### References

- D. E. BLAIR, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics 203, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [2] D. E. BLAIR, T. KOUFOGIORGOS and B. PAPANTONIOU, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel Journal of Mathematics 91 (1995), 189–214.
- [3] E. BOECKX, A class of locally  $\phi$ -symmetric contact metric spaces, Archiv Math. 72 (1999), 466–472.
- [4] E. BOECKX, A full classification of contact metric ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-spaces, Illinois J. Math. 44 (2000), 212–219.
- [5] T. KOUFOGIORGOS and C. TSICHLIAS, On the existence of a new class of contact metric manifolds, Canadian Math. Bull. 43 (2000), 440–447.
- [6] T. KOUFOGIORGOS and C. TSICHLIAS, Generalized ( $\kappa$ ,  $\mu$ )-contact metric manifolds with  $|| \operatorname{grad} \kappa || = \operatorname{constant}$ , J. Geom. **78** (2003), 83–91.
- [7] D. PERRONE, Weakly  $\phi$ -symmetric contact metric spaces, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 7 (2002), 67–77.
- [8] S. TANNO, The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds, Illinois J. Math. 12 (1968), 700–717.
- [9] S. TANNO, Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds, Transactions A.M.S. 314 (1989), 349–379.

Present Addresses: THEMIS KOUFOGIORGOS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOANNINA, IOANNINA 45110, GREECE. *e-mail*: tkoufog@cc.uoi.gr

TSICHLIAS CHARALAMBOS SOULIOU 175, PETROUPOLI ATHENS 13231, GREECE. *e-mail*: c\_tsichlias@yahoo.com