

GENERIC PROPERTIES OF THE EIGENVALUE OF THE  
LAPLACIAN FOR COMPACT RIEMANNIAN  
MANIFOLDS

SHIGETOSHI BANDO AND HAJIME URAKAWA\*

(Received December 4, 1980, revised April 8, 1982)

**Introduction.** In this paper, we discuss generic properties of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian for compact Riemannian manifolds without boundary.

Throughout this paper, let  $M$  be an arbitrary fixed connected compact  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension  $n$  without boundary, and  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metrics on  $M$ . For  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ , let  $\Delta_g$  be the Laplacian (cf. (2.1)) of  $(M, g)$  acting on the space  $C^\infty(M)$  of all  $C^\infty$  real valued functions on  $M$  and

$$0 = \lambda_0(g) < \lambda_1(g) \leq \lambda_2(g) \leq \cdots \uparrow \infty$$

the eigenvalues of the Laplacian  $\Delta_g$  counted with their multiplicities. We regard each eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , as a function of  $g$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let us consider the following problem: "Does each eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$  depend continuously on  $g$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to the  $C^\infty$  topology?"

The continuous dependence of the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet problem upon variations of domains is well known (cf. [CH, p. 290]). Variations of coefficients of elliptic differential operators were dealt with by Kodaira-Spencer [KS] who gave a proof of the continuity of eigenvalues. In this paper, we give a simple proof of the above problem.

To answer the above problem, in §1, we introduce a complete distance  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  which gives the  $C^\infty$  topology. Then, in §2, we assert that each  $\lambda_k(g)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , depends continuously on  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  with respect to the topology on  $\mathcal{M}$  induced by the distance  $\rho$ . More precisely, we have

**THEOREM 2.2.** *For each positive number  $\delta$  and each  $g, g' \in \mathcal{M}$ , the inequality  $\rho(g, g') < \delta$  implies that*

$$\exp(-(n+1)\delta) \leq \lambda_k(g)/\lambda_k(g') \leq \exp((n+1)\delta),$$

for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  (where  $n = \dim M$ ).

---

\* Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (No. 8015-56740005), the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

That is, if two Riemannian metrics  $g$  and  $g'$  are close to each other with respect to the distance  $\rho$ , then the ratio  $\lambda_k(g)/\lambda_k(g')$  is close to one uniformly in  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus we have immediately the following corollary. A similar result was obtained by [KS].

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *The multiplicity  $m_k(g)$  of each eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$ , i.e.,  $m_k(g) = \#\{i; \lambda_i(g) = \lambda_k(g)\}$ , depends upper semi-continuously on  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ : For each  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that  $\delta(g, g') < \delta$  implies  $m_k(g') \leq m_k(g)$ .*

These results are useful in investigating generic properties of Riemannian metrics. As one of these applications, we give a simple and constructive proof of the following theorem of Uhlenbeck (cf [U], [T]):

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension not less than two. Then the set  $\mathcal{S} = \{g \in \mathcal{M}; \text{all eigenvalues } \lambda_k(g), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ have multiplicity one}\}$  is a residual set in the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ , i.e., a countable intersection of open dense subsets.*

Therefore  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset of the second category and dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e., for most Riemannian metrics, all the eigenvalues of the Laplacian have multiplicity one. A similar result was obtained by Bleecker-Wilson [BW]. They showed that, for each Riemannian metric  $g$ , there exists a residual set of  $f$  in  $C^\infty(M)$  for which all the eigenvalues of the Riemannian metric  $\exp(f)g$  have multiplicity one. Their result implies the density of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , but it does not necessarily imply that  $\mathcal{S}$  is residual in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Secondly, we show the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension not less than two. If a Riemannian metric  $g$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e., if all the eigenvalues of the Laplacian  $\Delta_g$  have multiplicity one, then the group of all isometries of  $(M, g)$  is discrete.*

Combining this with Theorem 3.1, we have:

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension not less than two. Then the set of all elements  $g$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with discrete isometry group contains a residual subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

That is, for most Riemannian metrics of a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension not less than two, the isometry groups are trivial. This corollary was obtained by Ebin (cf. [E<sub>1</sub>, Proposition 8.3]) in a different manner.

We express our thanks to Professors P. Bérard, T. Kotake, M. Tani-

kawa and S. Tanno for their advice and criticism during the preparation of this paper.

**1. Complete distance on the set of Riemannian metrics.** Let  $M$  be a compact  $n$ -dimensional  $C^\infty$  manifold without boundary. Let  $S(M)$  be the space of all  $C^\infty$  symmetric covariant 2-tensors on  $M$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metrics on  $M$ . In this section, we define a complete distance on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

1.1. *Fréchet space  $S(M)$ .* Following [E<sub>2</sub>] and [GG], we introduce a Fréchet norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $S(M)$ . We fix a finite covering  $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  of  $M$  such that the closure of  $U_\lambda$  is contained in the open coordinate neighborhood  $V_\lambda$ . For  $h \in S(M)$ , we denote by  $h_{ij}$  the components of  $h$  with respect to coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on  $V_\lambda, \lambda \in A$ . For every non-negative integer  $k$  and  $\lambda \in A$ , put

$$|h|_{\lambda,k} = \sup_{U_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |\partial^{|\alpha|}(h_{ij})/\partial(x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial(x_n)^{\alpha_n}|,$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  denotes an  $n$ -tuple of non-negative integers  $\alpha_i$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ . Define a norm  $|\cdot|_k$  on  $S(M)$  by  $|h|_k = \sum_{\lambda \in A} |h|_{\lambda,k}$ ,  $h \in S(M)$ , and a Fréchet norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $S(M)$  by

$$|h| = \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-k} |h|_k (1 + |h|_k)^{-1}, \quad h \in S(M).$$

We can define a distance  $\rho'$  on  $S(M)$  by  $\rho'(h_1, h_2) = |h_1 - h_2|$ ,  $h_1, h_2 \in S(M)$ . Then it is well-known that  $S(M)$  is a Fréchet space, that is, the metric space  $(S(M), \rho')$  is complete.

1.2. *Complete distance of  $\mathcal{M}$ .* For each point  $x$  in  $M$ , let  $P_x$  (resp.  $S_x$ ) be the set of all symmetric positive definite (resp. merely symmetric) bilinear forms on  $T_x M \times T_x M$ , where  $T_x M$  is the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x \in M$ . We define a distance  $\rho''_x$  on  $P_x, x \in M$ , by

$$\rho''_x(\varphi, \psi) = \inf\{\delta > 0; \exp(-\delta)\varphi < \psi < \exp(\delta)\varphi\},$$

where, for  $\varphi, \psi$  in  $S_x, \varphi < \psi$  means that  $\psi - \varphi \in S_x$  is positive definite on  $T_x M \times T_x M$ . In fact,  $\rho''_x$  defines clearly a distance on  $P_x$ . Let  $G_x, x \in M$ , be the group of all non-singular linear mappings of  $T_x M$  onto itself. For  $A \in G_x$  and  $\varphi \in S_x$ , put  $\varphi^A(u, v) = \varphi(A(u), A(v))$  for  $u, v \in T_x M$ . We fix a basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $T_x M$  and identify  $S_x$  with the set  $S(n)$  of all real symmetric matrices of degree  $n$  by  $S_x \ni \varphi \mapsto (\varphi(e_i, e_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in S(n)$ . Denote by  $\Phi$  this identification of  $S_x$  with  $S(n)$ . Let  $P(n)$  be the set of all positive definite matrices in  $S(n)$ . Then we have the following lemma immediately.

LEMMA 1.1. (i)  $\rho''_x(\varphi^A, \psi^A) = \rho''_x(\varphi, \psi)$  for every  $A \in G_x$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in P_x$ .

(ii) Let  $\varphi_0 \in P_x$  be the element such that  $\Phi(\varphi_0)$  is the identity matrix. Then we have

$$\rho_x''(\varphi, \varphi_0) = \|\log \Phi(\varphi)\|, \quad \varphi \in P_x.$$

Here we denote by  $\log A$ ,  $A \in P(n)$ , the inverse image of the exponential mapping of  $S(n)$  onto  $P(n)$  and by  $\|H\|$ ,  $H \in S(n)$ , the operator norm of  $H$ , that is,  $\|H\| = \sup\{\|H(x)\|; x \in \mathbf{R}^n \text{ and } \|x\| = 1\}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

(iii) The metric space  $(P_x, \rho_x'')$  is complete.

(iv) Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $P_x$  which converges to an element  $\varphi$  in  $P_x$  with respect to the distance  $\rho_x''$ . Then  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_j(u, v) = \varphi(u, v)$  for every  $u, v \in T_x M$ .

DEFINITION. We define a distance  $\rho''$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  by

$$\rho''(g_1, g_2) = \sup_{x \in M} \rho_x''((g_1)_x, (g_2)_x), \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M},$$

and a distance  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  by

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \rho'(g_1, g_2) + \rho''(g_1, g_2), \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then, by Lemma 1.1, we have:

PROPOSITION 1.2. The metric space  $(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$  is complete.

PROOF. We prove this in the usual manner. Let  $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ . Then it is also a Cauchy sequence in both metric spaces  $(S(M), \rho')$  and  $(\mathcal{M}, \rho'')$ . Since the metric space  $(S(M), \rho')$  is complete, there exists an element  $g$  in  $S(M)$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho'(g_j, g) = 0$ . In particular, for each  $x \in M$  and  $u, v \in T_x M$  we have

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (g_j)_x(u, v) = g_x(u, v).$$

On the other hand, because of  $\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \rho''(g_i, g_j) = 0$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive number  $N$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \rho_x''((g_i)_x, (g_j)_x) \leq \rho''(g_i, g_j) < \varepsilon$$

for every  $i, j \geq N$  and  $x \in M$ . Then the sequence  $\{(g_j)_x\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space  $(P_x, \rho_x'')$ , hence it converges to an element  $\tilde{g}_x$  in  $P_x$  with respect to  $\rho_x''$ . By Lemma 1.1 (iv), we have  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (g_j)_x(u, v) = \tilde{g}_x(u, v)$ ,  $u, v \in T_x M$ , so we obtain  $g = \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Therefore, combining this with the inequalities (1.2), we have  $\rho_x''((g_i)_x, g_x) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in M$ . Thus we obtain  $\rho''(g_i, g) \leq \varepsilon$  for  $i \geq N$ , that is,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho''(g_i, g) = 0$ . Therefore the sequence  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  with respect to the distance  $\rho$ . q.e.d.

**2. Continuity of eigenvalues.** 2.1. *Preliminaries.* For every  $g$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $-\Delta_g$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator acting on the space  $C^\infty(M)$  of all real valued  $C^\infty$  functions on  $M$ , that is,

$$(2.1) \quad -\Delta_g = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij}(\partial^2/\partial x_i \partial x_j - \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial/\partial x_k).$$

Here  $(g^{ij})$  is the inverse matrix of the component matrix  $(g_{ij})$  of the Riemannian metric  $g$  with respect to a local coordinate  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on  $M$ , and  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  is the Christoffel symbol:

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^n g^{km}(\partial g_{mi}/\partial x_j + \partial g_{mj}/\partial x_i - \partial g_{ji}/\partial x_m).$$

Let  $(, )_g$  be the inner product on  $C^\infty(M)$  given by

$$(2.3) \quad (f_1, f_2)_g = \int_M f_1(x)f_2(x)dv_g(x), \quad f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M),$$

and put  $\|f\|_g = ((f, f)_g)^{1/2}$  for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . Here  $dv_g(x)$  is the canonical measure of  $(M, g)$  given locally by

$$(2.4) \quad dv_g(x) = (\det(g_{ij}))^{1/2} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \quad (\text{cf. [BGM, p. 10]}).$$

Define as usual the inner product  $(, )_g$  on the space  $A^1(M)$  of all real valued  $C^\infty$  1-forms on  $M$  by

$$(2.5) \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2)_g = \int_M \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_g(x) dv_g(x), \quad \omega_1, \omega_2 \in A^1(M),$$

and put  $\|\omega\|_g = ((\omega, \omega)_g)^{1/2}$  for  $\omega \in A^1(M)$ . The pointwise inner product  $\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_g(x)$  of  $\omega_i \in A^1(M)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is given by

$$(2.6) \quad \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_g(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij}(x)a_{1i}(x)a_{2j}(x), \quad x \in M,$$

where  $\{a_{ki}(x)\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , are the components of the cotangent vectors  $(\omega_k)_x$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , with respect to the local coordinate  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

2.2. *Max-mini principle.* Since  $M$  is compact, the spectrum of the Laplacian  $\Delta_g$  is a discrete set of non-negative eigenvalues with finite multiplicities. We arrange the eigenvalues as

$$0 = \lambda_0(g) < \lambda_1(g) \leq \lambda_2(g) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k(g) \leq \cdots \uparrow \infty.$$

Here the eigenvalues are counted repeatedly as many times as their multiplicities. For example if the multiplicity of  $\lambda_1(g)$  is  $h$  and  $k \leq h$ , then the  $k$ -th eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$  of  $(M, g)$  is  $\lambda_1(g)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_2(g) = \cdots = \lambda_k(g) = \lambda_1(g)$ . Then we have the following useful Max-mini principle.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *For  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ , the  $k$ -th eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$  of the Laplacian*

$\Delta_g$  is given as follows: For every  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $L_{k+1}$  in  $C^\infty(M)$ , put

$$A_g(L_{k+1}) = \sup \{ \|df\|_g^2 / \|f\|_g^2; 0 \neq f \in L_{k+1} \}.$$

Then we have

$$\lambda_k(g) = \inf_{L_{k+1}} A_g(L_{k+1}),$$

where  $L_{k+1}$  varies over all  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $C^\infty(M)$ .

REMARK. The usual Mini-max principle is of the following type: For  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L_k$  of  $C^\infty(M)$ , put

$$\tilde{A}_g(L_k) = \inf \{ \|df\|_g^2 / \|f\|_g^2; 0 \neq f \in C^\infty(M) \text{ and } f \perp L_k \},$$

where  $f \perp L_k$  means that  $f$  is orthogonal to each element in  $L_k$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$ . Then  $\lambda_k(g)$  is given by

$$\lambda_k(g) = \sup_{L_k} \tilde{A}_g(L_k).$$

Here  $L_k$  runs over all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $C^\infty(M)$ . Notice that the orthogonality of  $f$  to  $L_k$  depends on the Riemannian metric  $g$ . So we can not use this Mini-max principle to prove Theorem 2.2.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1. For completeness, we give here a proof of Proposition 2.1. We take a complete orthonormal basis  $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  of  $C^\infty(M)$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$  so that each  $u_k$  is an eigenfunction of  $\Delta_g$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Each  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  can be expanded as  $f = \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i(f)u_i$ ,  $x_i(f) \in \mathbf{R}$ , in the sense of the uniform convergence or the  $L^2$ -convergence with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$ . In the following we omit the subscript  $g$  and simply denote  $A(L_{k+1}) = A_g(L_{k+1})$ ,  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_g$ , etc.

Let  $L_{k+1}^\circ$  be the  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $C^\infty(M)$  generated by  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^k$ . Then, since  $A(L_{k+1}^\circ) = \lambda_k$ , we have  $\lambda_k \geq \inf_{L_{k+1}} A(L_{k+1})$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_k > \inf_{L_{k+1}} A(L_{k+1})$ . Then there exists a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $L_{k+1}$  of  $C^\infty(M)$  such that  $\lambda_k > A(L_{k+1})$ . Then by definition each  $f \in L_{k+1}$  satisfies  $A(L_{k+1}) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i(f)^2 \geq \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i x_i(f)^2$ . Thus we have

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{A(L_{k+1}) \geq \lambda_i} (A(L_{k+1}) - \lambda_i)x_i(f)^2 \geq \sum_{A(L_{k+1}) < \lambda_i} (\lambda_i - A(L_{k+1}))x_i(f)^2.$$

Now let  $m = \max\{i; \lambda_i \leq A(L_{k+1})\}$ . Define a linear mapping  $\Phi$  of  $L_{k+1}$  into  $C^\infty(M)$  by

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{i=0}^m x_i(f)u_i \quad \text{for } f = \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i(f)u_i \in L_{k+1}.$$

Then the dimension of the image of  $L_{k+1}$  under  $\Phi$  is smaller than  $k + 1$ . Indeed, for each  $i = 0, \dots, m$ , the fact that  $\lambda_i \leq A(L_{k+1}) < \lambda_k$  implies that

$\dim \Phi(L_{k+1}) \leq m + 1 < k + 1$ . Therefore there exists a non-zero element  $f_0$  in  $L_{k+1}$  such that  $\Phi(f_0) = 0$ , that is,  $x_i(f_0) = 0$  for  $i$  with  $\lambda_i \leq \Lambda(L_{k+1})$ . We apply (2.7) to this  $f_0$  in  $L_{k+1}$ . If the left hand side of (2.7) is equal to zero, then each term on the right hand side is zero. Thus  $x_i(f_0) = 0$  for  $i$  with  $\lambda_i > \Lambda(L_{k+1})$ . Therefore we obtain  $f_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i(f_0)u_i = 0$ , which is a contradiction. q.e.d.

**2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2.** In this subsection, we show Theorem 2.2. For each positive number  $\delta$  and  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ , we denote by  $U_\delta(g)$  (resp.  $V_\delta(g)$ ) the set  $\{g' \in \mathcal{M}; \rho(g', g) < \delta\}$  (resp.  $\{g' \in \mathcal{M}; \rho''(g', g) < \delta\}$ ). We note  $U_\delta(g) \subset V_\delta(g)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\delta$  be a positive number and let  $g$  be in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then*

(2.8)  $g' \in V_\delta(g)$  implies  $\exp(-(n + 1)\delta) \leq \lambda_k(g)/\lambda_k(g') \leq \exp((n + 1)\delta)$ , for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus

(2.9)  $g' \in V_\delta(g)$  implies  $|\lambda_k(g') - \lambda_k(g)| \leq (\exp((n + 1)\delta) - 1)\lambda_k(g)$ , for each  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

By Theorem 2.2, we have the following:

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *The multiplicity  $m_k(g)$  of each eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$ , that is,  $m_k(g) = \#\{i; \lambda_i(g) = \lambda_k(g)\}$  depends upper semi-continuously on  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ : For each  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that*

$$g' \in V_\delta(g) \text{ implies } m_k(g') \leq m_k(g).$$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a local coordinate on an open set  $U$  of  $M$ . For each  $\delta > 0$  and  $g' \in V_\delta(g)$ , the component matrices  $(g_{ij}), (g'_{ij})$  of  $g, g'$  satisfy

$$(\exp(-\delta)g'_{ij}) < (g_{ij}) < (\exp(\delta)g'_{ij})$$

as symmetric matrices on  $U$  by the definition of the distance  $\rho''$ . Then we have

$$\exp((-n/2)\delta)(\det(g'_{ij}))^{1/2} < (\det(g_{ij}))^{1/2} < \exp((n/2)\delta)(\det(g'_{ij}))^{1/2}$$

and

$$(\exp(-\delta)g'^{ij}) < (g^{ij}) < (\exp(\delta)g'^{ij}).$$

Hence, for each  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $\omega \in A^1(M)$  with support contained in  $U$ , we obtain

(2.10)  $\exp((-n/2)\delta)\|f\|_{\delta'}^2 \leq \|f\|_{\delta}^2 \leq \exp((n/2)\delta)\|f\|_{\delta'}^2,$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \exp\left(-\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\delta\right)\|\omega\|_{g'}^2 \leq \|\omega\|_g^2 \leq \exp\left(\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\delta\right)\|\omega\|_{g'}^2,$$

by the definitions of the inner products on  $C^\infty(M)$  and  $A^1(M)$  and by the above inequalities. Making use of the partition of unity, we have (2.10) and (2.11) for every  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $\omega \in A^1(M)$ . Thus we have

$$\exp(-(n + 1)\delta)\|df\|_{g'}^2/\|f\|_{g'}^2 \leq \|df\|_g^2/\|f\|_g^2 \leq \exp((n + 1)\delta)\|df\|_{g'}^2/\|f\|_{g'}^2,$$

for every non-zero element  $f$  in  $C^\infty(M)$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\exp(-(n + 1)\delta)\lambda_k(g') \leq \lambda_k(g) \leq \exp((n + 1)\delta)\lambda_k(g'). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

REMARK. From the above proof, for each  $g, g' \in \mathcal{M}$ , if  $g'$  is close to  $g$  with respect to the  $C^0$ -topology, then the ratio  $\lambda_k(g)/\lambda_k(g')$  is close to one for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . But notice that the coefficients of the first order terms of the Laplacians  $\Delta_g$  and  $\Delta_{g'}$  are not in general close to each other (cf. 2.1)).

**3. Genericity of eigenvalues with multiplicity one.** 3.1. *Uhlenbeck's theorem.* A subset  $S$  of a topological space  $X$  is residual if  $S$  is a countable intersection of open dense subsets of  $X$ . A topological space  $X$  is called a Baire space if any residual subset of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ . It is well known that a complete metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is a Baire space and a residual set in the complete metric space is a subset of the second category. Under these terminologies, we can state Uhlenbeck's theorem:

**THEOREM 3.1** (cf. [U] and [T]). *Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension not less than two. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metrics on  $M$  and  $\rho$  the complete distance on  $\mathcal{M}$  as in §1. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all elements  $g$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  all of whose eigenvalues of  $\Delta_g$  have multiplicity one, that is,*

$$\mathcal{S} = \{g \in \mathcal{M}; \lambda_0(g) < \lambda_1(g) < \lambda_2(g) < \dots < \lambda_k(g) < \dots\}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a residual set in  $(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ .*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 can be carried out as follows: Let  $\mathcal{S}_k$  be the set of all elements in  $\mathcal{M}$  of which the first  $k$  eigenvalues have multiplicity one, that is,

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{g \in \mathcal{M}; \lambda_0(g) < \lambda_1(g) < \dots < \lambda_{k-1}(g) < \lambda_k(g)\},$$

for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S}_1 \supset \mathcal{S}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{S}_k \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_k.$$



Then it remains to prove the following two theorems.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Each  $\mathcal{S}_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , is open in  $(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ .*

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension not less than two. Then each  $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , is dense in  $\mathcal{S}_k$  with respect to the topology induced by  $(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ .*

**3.2. The isometry group.** Before going into the proof of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we discuss the genericity of Riemannian metrics with trivial isometry group.

For  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ , we denote the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_g$  by

$$0 < \lambda_1(g) = \dots = \lambda_{j_1}(g) < \lambda_{j_1+1}(g) = \dots = \lambda_{j_2}(g) < \dots, \text{ etc.}$$

Put  $\lambda_{j_0}(g) = \lambda_0(g) = 0$ . Let  $V_k$  be the eigenspace of  $\Delta_g$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j_k}(g), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Notice that  $\dim V_k = j_k - j_{k-1}$ . Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  be a complete basis of  $C^\infty(M)$  such that  $\Delta_g u_i = \lambda_i(g)u_i$  and  $(u_i, u_j)_g = \delta_{ij}, i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Take a large integer  $r$  so that the mapping  $\iota: M \ni x \mapsto \iota(x) = (u_0(x), u_1(x), \dots, u_{i_{N-1}}(x)) \in \mathbf{R}^N, N = 1 + j_1 + \dots + j_r$ , is an embedding of  $M$  into  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . The Lie group  $G$  of all isometries of  $(M, g)$  acts on  $C^\infty(M)$  by  $\Phi^*u(x) = u(\Phi^{-1}(x)), x \in M, u \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $\Phi \in G$ . Then  $\Phi^*, \Phi \in G$ , are linear mappings of  $C^\infty(M)$  into itself and satisfy the conditions  $(\Phi^*u, \Phi^*v)_g = (u, v)_g$  and  $\Phi_1^* \circ \Phi_2^* = (\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2)^*$  for  $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $\Phi, \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in G$ . Moreover, since  $\Delta_g(\Phi^*u) = \Phi^*(\Delta_g u)$ , we see that  $\Phi^*$  maps each eigenspace  $V_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$ , into itself. Then we obtain a Lie group homomorphism  $\iota^*$  of  $G$  into the orthogonal group  $O(V)$  of the Euclidean space  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot)_g), V = \sum_{k=0}^r V_k$ , by  $G \mapsto \Phi^* \in O(V)$ . Note that the homomorphism  $\iota^*$  is one to one since so is  $\iota$ . Now, if  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ , then each  $V_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is one dimensional. Thus the Lie subgroup  $\iota^*(G)$  of  $O(V)$  is discrete. Since  $\iota^*$  is injective,  $G$  itself is discrete. Therefore we have:

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *If  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ , that is, if all the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_g$  have multiplicity one, then the group of all isometries of  $(M, g)$  is discrete.*

Combining this with Theorem 3.1, we have:

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold of dimension not less than two. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metrics on  $M$  and  $\rho$  the complete distance on  $\mathcal{M}$  as in §1. Then the set of all elements  $g$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with discrete isometry group contains a residual subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

**REMARK.** The above corollary was obtained in [E<sub>1</sub>, Proposition 8.3, p. 35] in a different manner.

3.3. *Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Let  $g$  be an arbitrary element in  $\mathcal{S}_k$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We prove that there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that  $V_\delta(g)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{S}_k$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \min\{\lambda_{j+1}(g) - \lambda_j(g); j=0, 1, \dots, k-1\} > 0$ . We choose  $\delta > 0$  so small that  $\varepsilon(2\lambda_k(g))^{-1} > \exp((n+1)\delta) - 1$ . Then, for  $g' \in V_\delta(g)$  and  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \lambda_{j+1}(g) - \lambda_j(g) \\ &\leq |\lambda_{j+1}(g) - \lambda_{j+1}(g')| + |\lambda_{j+1}(g') - \lambda_j(g')| + |\lambda_j(g') - \lambda_j(g)| \\ &\leq (\exp((n+1)\delta) - 1)(\lambda_{j+1}(g) + \lambda_j(g)) + |\lambda_{j+1}(g') - \lambda_j(g')| \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(by Theorem 2.2)} \\ &\leq 2\lambda_k(g)(\exp((n+1)\delta) - 1) + |\lambda_{j+1}(g') - \lambda_j(g')|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$0 < \varepsilon - 2\lambda_k(g)(\exp((n+1)\delta) - 1) \leq |\lambda_{j+1}(g') - \lambda_j(g')|,$$

$j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ , which implies  $g' \in \mathcal{S}_k$ . We have  $V_\delta(g) \subset \mathcal{S}_k$ . q.e.d.

4. *Density of  $\mathcal{S}_k$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .* 4.1. *Preparations.* In this subsection, we prove some lemmas concerning a deformation  $g(t)$  of  $g$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . They will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

LEMMA 4.1 (cf. [B, Lemma 3.15]). *For  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $h \in S(M)$ , let  $g(t) = g + th \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$  with multiplicity  $l$ . Then there exist  $A_i(t) \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $u_i(t) \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , such that*

- (i)  $A_i(t)$  and  $u_i(t)$  depend real analytically on  $t$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, l$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta_{g(t)}u_i(t) = A_i(t)u_i(t)$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, l$  and  $t$ ,
- (iii)  $A_i(0) = \lambda$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , and
- (iv)  $\{u_i(t)\}_{i=1}^l$  is orthonormal with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{g(t)}$  for each  $t$ .

For a proof, see [B, p. 137] and also Appendix.

REMARK. Lemma 4.1 does not necessarily imply Theorem 2.2, since the positive number  $\varepsilon$  may depend on  $h \in S(M)$  in general.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  and let  $a \in C^\infty(M)$  be a positive real valued function on  $M$ . Then the Laplacian  $\Delta_{ag}$  corresponding to the Riemannian metric  $ag$  on  $M$  is given by*

$$\Delta_{ag} = a^{-1}\Delta_g + (1 - n/2)a^{-2}\nabla_g(a),$$

where  $n = \dim M$  and  $\nabla_g(a)$  is the gradient vector field of the function  $a \in C^\infty(M)$  with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

PROOF. Making use of (2.1) and (2.2), we may prove this by a straightforward calculation.

LEMMA 4.3. For every  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have the following:

(i) For  $\sigma, f_1$  and  $f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have

$$(\nabla_g(\sigma)f_1, f_2)_g = (\sigma, \delta(f_2df_1))_g,$$

where  $\delta: A^1(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  is the codifferential operator with respect to  $g$ .

(ii)  $\delta(f_2df_1) = -\langle df_1, df_2 \rangle_g + f_2\Delta_g f_1$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$  is the pointwise inner product in  $A^1(M)$  relative to  $g$ .

(iii) Let  $V_\lambda$  be the eigenspace of  $\Delta_g$  belonging to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . For every  $u$  and  $v$  in  $V_\lambda$ , we have  $\delta(udv) = \delta(vdu)$ .

PROOF. (i) Since  $\nabla_g(\sigma)f_1 = \langle d\sigma, df_1 \rangle_g$ , we have  $(\nabla_g(\sigma)f_1, f_2)_g = (d\sigma, f_2df_1)_g = (\sigma, \delta(f_2df_1))_g$ . (ii) For  $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j dx_j \in A^1(M)$ ,  $\delta\omega = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \nabla_i \omega_j$ , where  $\nabla_i \omega_j$  is the covariant derivative with respect to  $g$  of the 1-form  $\omega$  by the derivative  $\partial/\partial x_i$  relative to the coordinate  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f_2df_1) &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \nabla_i (f_2df_1)_j = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} (\partial f_2 / \partial x_i) (\partial f_1 / \partial x_j) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} f_2 \nabla_i (df_1)_j \\ &= -\langle df_1, df_2 \rangle_g + f_2 \Delta_g f_1. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $\delta(udv) = -\langle du, dv \rangle_g + u\Delta_g v = -\langle du, dv \rangle_g + v\Delta_g u = \delta(vdu)$ , for  $u, v \in V_\lambda$ . q.e.d.

4.2. *Splitting the eigenvalues.* In the following, we consider a deformation  $g(t)$  of  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  given by

$$(4.1) \quad g(t) = g + t\sigma g, \quad \text{for } \sigma \in C^\infty(M).$$

For small enough  $\varepsilon(\sigma) > 0$ , we have  $g(t) \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $t$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon(\sigma)$ .

Now let  $\lambda$  be a non-zero eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$  with multiplicity  $l$  and let  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^l$  be an orthonormal system with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$  such that  $\Delta_g u_j = \lambda u_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ . Applying Lemma 4.1 to  $g(t)$ , we obtain  $A_j(t) \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $u_j(t) \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , satisfying the conditions (i)~(iv) in Lemma 4.1. By (i) in Lemma 4.1 (see also Theorem A.3 in Appendix), we can express  $A_j(t)$  and  $u_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad A_j(t) = \lambda + t\alpha_j + t^2\beta_j(t) \quad \text{for } |t| < \varepsilon(\sigma),$$

where  $\alpha_j$  is a real constant and  $\beta_j(t)$  is a real analytic real valued function in  $t$ .

$$(4.3) \quad (u_j(t), v)_g \text{ are real analytic functions in } t, |t| < \varepsilon(\sigma),$$

for every  $v \in C^\infty(M)$ . Then we have the following:

LEMMA 4.4. Let  $\lambda$  be a non-zero eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$  with multiplicity  $l$  and let  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^l$  be an orthonormal system with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$  such that

$\Delta_g u_j = \lambda u_j$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, l$ . For  $\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$ , let  $g(t)$  be a deformation of  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  given by (4.1). Let  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^l$  be the real constants given by (4.2). Then we have

$$(((1 - n/2)\mathcal{F}_g(\sigma) - \lambda\sigma)u_j, u_i)_g = \alpha_j \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq l.$$

PROOF. We apply Lemma 4.2 to  $g(t) = a(t)g$  with  $a(t) = 1 + t\sigma > 0$  for  $|t| < \varepsilon(\sigma)$ . Then we have, for every  $v \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$(a(t)\Delta_g u_j(t) + (1 - n/2)t\mathcal{F}_g(\sigma)u_j(t) - A_j(t)a(t)^2 u_j(t), v)_g = 0,$$

by  $\Delta_{g(t)} u_j(t) = A_j(t)u_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon(\sigma)$ . Differentiating both sides of the above equality at  $t = 0$ , we obtain by (4.2) and (4.3)

$$((\Delta_g - \lambda)v_j + ((1 - n/2)\mathcal{F}_g(\sigma) - \lambda\sigma - \alpha_j)u_j, v)_g = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

Thus, for an eigenfunction  $v$  of  $\Delta_g$  belonging to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (((1 - n/2)\mathcal{F}_g(\sigma) - \lambda\sigma - \alpha_j)u_j, v)_g &= -((\Delta_g - \lambda)v_j, v)_g \\ &= -(v_j, (\Delta_g - \lambda)v)_g = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

PROPOSITION 4.5. Assume  $\dim M \geq 2$ . In the situation of Lemma 4.4, there exists a function  $\sigma$  in  $C^\infty(M)$  such that, at least two of  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^l$  in (4.2) are distinct.

PROOF. Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection of  $C^\infty(M)$  onto the eigenspace  $V_\lambda$  belonging to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\Delta_g$ . For  $\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$ , define an endomorphism  $G_\sigma$  of  $V_\lambda$  into itself by

$$G_\sigma f = P \circ ((1 - n/2)\mathcal{F}_g(\sigma) - \lambda\sigma)f, \quad f \in V_\lambda.$$

Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^l$  be an arbitrary fixed orthonormal basis of  $V_\lambda$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$ . Then we have

$$(G_\sigma u_j, u_i)_g = (((1 - n/2)\mathcal{F}_g(\sigma) - \lambda\sigma)u_j, u_i)_g = \alpha_j \delta_{ij},$$

by Lemma 4.4. Thus the endomorphism  $G_\sigma$  can be expressed as a diagonal matrix with respect to  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^l$  whose diagonal entries are  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ .

Assume that  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_l$ . Then  $G_\sigma$  can be expressed as a constant multiple of the identity matrix with respect to this basis and hence with respect to any basis of  $V_\lambda$ . Therefore, in order to prove Proposition 4.5, we have only to find  $\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$  so that  $(G_\sigma u_1, u_2)_g \neq 0$ .

For  $\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G_\sigma u_1, u_2)_g &= (((1 - n/2)\mathcal{F}_g(\sigma) - \lambda\sigma)u_1, u_2)_g \\ &= (\sigma, (1 - n/2)\delta(u_2 du_1) - \lambda u_1 u_2)_g. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.  $(1 - n/2)\delta(u_2 du_1) - \lambda u_1 u_2 \not\equiv 0$ . In this case, putting  $\sigma =$

$(1 - n/2)\delta(u_2 du_1) - \lambda u_1 u_2$ , we have  $(G_\sigma u_1, u_2)_g \neq 0$ .

Case 2.  $(1 - n/2)\delta(u_2 du_1) - \lambda u_1 u_2 \equiv 0$ . In this case, we have

$$(4.4) \quad u_1 u_2 \equiv 0.$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 - n/2)\Delta_g - 2\lambda)(u_1 u_2) &= (1 - n/2)\delta d(u_1 u_2) - 2\lambda u_1 u_2 \\ &= (1 - n/2)\delta(u_1 du_2 + u_2 du_1) - 2\lambda u_1 u_2 \\ &= ((1 - n/2)\delta(u_1 du_2) - \lambda u_1 u_2) + ((1 - n/2)\delta(u_2 du_1) - \lambda u_1 u_2) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.3 (iii) and the assumption. Since  $2 - n < 0$ , if  $u_1 u_2 \not\equiv 0$ , then  $\Delta_g$  would have a negative eigenvalue, which is a contradiction. (4.4) is thus proved.

We take, as an orthonormal basis of  $V_\lambda$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_g$ ,

$$f_1 = 2^{-1}(u_1 + u_2), \quad f_2 = 2^{-1}(u_1 - u_2), \quad f_3 = u_3, \dots, \quad f_l = u_l.$$

Put  $\sigma = (1 - n/2)\delta(f_2 df_1) - \lambda f_1 f_2$ . Then we have

$$(G_\sigma f_1, f_2)_g = \int_M \sigma^2 dv_g.$$

So we have only to prove  $\sigma \neq 0$ . Otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \equiv 2\sigma &= (1 - n/2)\delta((u_1 - u_2)d(u_1 + u_2)) - \lambda(u_1 + u_2)(u_1 - u_2) \\ &= (1 - n/2)(\delta(u_1 du_1) - \delta(u_2 du_2)) - \lambda(u_1^2 - u_2^2) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &= (4^{-1}(2 - n)\delta d - \lambda)(u_1^2 - u_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $2 - n \leq 0$ , we have  $u_1^2 - u_2^2 \equiv 0$ . Therefore we obtain

$$0 = \int_M (u_1^2 - u_2^2)^2 dv_g = \int_M (u_1^4 - 2u_1 u_2 + u_2^4) dv_g = \int_M (u_1^4 + u_2^4) dv_g,$$

by (4.4), which is a contradiction. We thus obtain  $\sigma \neq 0$ . q.e.d.

4.3. *Proof of Theorem 3.3.* Let  $\dim M \geq 2$ . We show  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is dense in  $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}$ . To prove this, we construct, for each  $g \in \mathcal{S}_k$ , an element  $g'$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}$  which is arbitrarily close to  $g$ .

Let  $g \in \mathcal{S}_k$ , that is,  $\lambda_0(g) < \lambda_1(g) < \dots < \lambda_k(g)$ . Assume that the  $k$ -th eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$  has multiplicity  $l$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(g) &= \dots = \lambda_{k+l-1}(g) = \lambda \quad \text{and} \\ \lambda_0(g) &< \lambda_1(g) < \dots < \lambda_{k-1}(g) < \lambda < \lambda_{k+l}(g) \leq \dots \end{aligned}$$

Consider a deformation  $g(t) = g + t\sigma g \in \mathcal{M}$  of  $g$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon(\sigma)$ , of the type (4.1). Let  $\lambda_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , be such eigenvalues of  $\Delta_{g(t)}$  as (4.2).

We apply Proposition 4.5 to the eigenvalue  $\lambda = \lambda_k(g)$ . Noting that

$$g' \in V_{1/2}(g) \text{ implies } \exp(-(n + 1)/2)\lambda_m(g) \leq \lambda_m(g'), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

by (2.8), we may assume

$$\exp((n + 1)/2) \cdot (2\lambda) \leq \lambda_m(g) \text{ implies } 2\lambda \leq \lambda_m(g(t)),$$

for each  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $|t| < \varepsilon(\sigma)$ . We apply Theorem 2.2 to a finite number of eigenvalues of  $\Delta_g$  which are smaller than  $\exp((n + 1)/2) \cdot (2\lambda)$ . Then there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon'(\sigma) \leq \varepsilon(\sigma)$  such that

$$\lambda_0(g(t)) < \lambda_1(g(t)) < \dots < \lambda_{k-1}(g(t)) < \lambda_j(t) < \lambda_{k+i}(g(t)) \leq \dots,$$

for each  $|t| < \varepsilon'(\sigma)$  and  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

Now, by Proposition 4.5, we can choose  $\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$  in such a way that, at least two of  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^l$  in (4.2) are distinct. Let  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ . For this  $\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$ , we may choose a positive number  $\varepsilon''(\sigma) \leq \varepsilon'(\sigma)$  in such a way that  $\lambda_i(t) \neq \lambda_j(t)$  for all  $0 < |t| < \varepsilon''(\sigma)$ . Therefore all the first  $k$  eigenvalues of  $\Delta_{g(t)}$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon''(\sigma)$ , have multiplicity one and the  $k$ -th eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g(t))$  has multiplicity at most  $l - 1$ . Repeating this process, we can choose  $g' \in \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$  as close to  $g$  as one wants. q.e.d.

**Appendix.** In this appendix, we give a proof of Lemma 4.1. The proof given in [B] was based on Kato's perturbation theory [K, p. 375] (See also [RN, p. 373]). In its proof, it was claimed (cf. [B, p. 138]) that the family of the operators  $\Delta_{g(\kappa)}$  is of type (A) in the sense of Kato (cf. [K, p. 375]) and  $\Delta_{g(\kappa)}$  are self-adjoint. But if we choose the domain of  $\Delta_{g(\kappa)}$  as the Sobolev space  $H_2(M)$  for a fixed Riemannian metric  $\gamma$  on  $M$ , then  $\Delta_{g(\kappa)}$  are not self-adjoint with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma$  in  $H_2(M)$ . If we require the self-adjointness of  $\Delta_{g(\kappa)}$ , then we have to choose the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{g(\kappa)}$  on  $H_2(M)$ . Since the domains of  $\Delta_{g(\kappa)}$  vary as Hilbert spaces, the family of  $\Delta_{g(\kappa)}$  is not of type (A). Its proof should be modified accordingly.

First we list some notations. Throughout this appendix, let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold without boundary. Let  $C_c^\infty(M)$  be the space of all complex valued  $C^\infty$  functions on  $M$ . For a fixed Riemannian metric  $\gamma$  on  $M$ , let  $\Delta_\gamma$  be its Laplacian and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma$  be the inner product on  $C_c^\infty(M)$  defined by

$$(\phi, \psi)_\gamma = \int_M \phi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} dv_\gamma, \quad \phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(M),$$

where  $dv_\gamma$  is the canonical measure of  $(M, \gamma)$  (cf. [BGM, p. 10]). For every non-negative integer  $s$ , let  $H_s(M)$  be the Sobolev space on  $M$  (cf. [G, p. 35]) which is the completion of  $C_c^\infty(M)$  with respect to the following

inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_s$ :

$$(A. 1) \quad [\phi, \psi]_s = ((I + \Delta_r)^s \phi, \psi)_r, \quad \phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(M).$$

Here  $I$  is the identity operator and  $(I + \Delta_r)^s$  is the  $s$ -ple iteration of the operator  $I + \Delta_r$ . Put  $\|\phi\|_s = [\phi, \phi]_s^{1/2}$ ,  $\phi \in H_s(M)$ .

We define the notions of the real analytic families of vectors or bounded operators (cf. [K, p. 365]).

DEFINITION A. 1. Let  $X, Y$  be complex Banach spaces. Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbf{R}$ . A family of vectors  $x_t, t \in D$ , in  $X$  is said to be *real analytic* if it can be expanded as a convergent power series, i.e., for an arbitrary fixed  $t_0 \in D$ , there exist elements  $x_\alpha, \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , in  $X$  such that

$$x_t = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} x_\alpha (t - t_0)^\alpha, \quad \text{for every } t \in D, |t - t_0| < \varepsilon,$$

where the series converges in the sense of the strong topology of  $X$  (cf. [Y, p. 30]). A family of bounded operators  $A_t, t \in D$ , of  $X$  into  $Y$  is said to be *real analytic* if it can be expanded as a convergent power series of bounded operators, i.e., for an arbitrary fixed  $t_0 \in D$ , there exist bounded operators  $C_\alpha, \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , of  $X$  into  $Y$  such that

$$A_t = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} C_\alpha (t - t_0)^\alpha, \quad \text{for every } t \in D, |t - t_0| < \varepsilon,$$

where the series converges in the uniform topology (cf. [Y, pp. 111-112]).

Then we have:

THEOREM A. 2. Let  $D$  be a small bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}$  containing the origin 0. Let  $s_1 > s_0$  be non-negative integers. Let  $A_t, t \in D$ , be a real analytic family of bounded operators of  $H_{s_1}(M)$  into  $H_{s_0}(M)$ . Assume that

(1) each operator  $A_t, t \in D$ , is self-adjoint with the domain  $H_{s_1}(M)$  contained in  $H_{s_0}(M)$  with respect to the inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{s_0}$  (cf. [Y, p. 197]), and

(2)  $A_0$  is bounded below, i.e., there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $[A_0(x), x]_{s_0} \geq C[x, x]_{s_0}$  for all  $x \in H_{s_1}(M)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of the operator  $A_0$ . Then

(I) the kernel of  $A_0 - \lambda I$  is finite dimensional.

(II) Put  $l = \dim \ker(A_0 - \lambda I)$ . Then there exists a subdomain  $D'$  in  $D$  containing the origin and  $l$  real analytic families of vectors  $\phi_i^t, i = 1, \dots, l$ , in  $H_{s_1}(M)$  and  $l$  real analytic real valued functions  $\lambda_i^t, i = 1, \dots, l$ , in  $t \in D'$  such that

- (3)  $A_t \phi_i^t = \lambda_i^t \phi_i^t$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $t \in D'$ ,  
 (4)  $[\phi_i^t, \phi_j^t]_{g_t} = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $t \in D'$  and  
 (5)  $\lambda_0^t = \lambda$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ .

The assertion (I) is well known since the bounded self-adjoint operator  $A_0$  is bounded below. The similar assertion as (II) was stated in [RN, p. 376, Theorem], [K, p. 392, Theorem 3.9] and [R, p. 57, Theorem 1, p. 74, Theorem 3]. It can be proved by the similar way, so we omit its proof.

We apply Theorem A. 2 to prove Lemma 4.1. Let  $g_t$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , be a one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics on  $M$  depending real analytically on the parameter  $t$ . In the following, we denote merely by  $\Delta_t$  (resp.  $(\cdot, \cdot)_t$ ) the Laplacian  $\Delta_{g_t}$  (resp. the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{g_t}$  on  $C_c^\infty(M)$ ) of  $(M, g_t)$ . Then we have:

**THEOREM A. 3.** *Let  $g_t$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , be the one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics on  $M$  depending real analytically on the parameter  $t$ . For any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\Delta_0$  with multiplicity  $l$ , there exist  $l$  families of  $\phi_i^t \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , which are real analytic in  $H_0(M)$ , and  $l$  real analytic real valued functions  $\lambda_i^t$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , in  $t$  such that*

- (6)  $\Delta_t \phi_i^t = \lambda_i^t \phi_i^t$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , and  $t$ ,  
 (7)  $(\phi_i^t, \phi_j^t)_t = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, l$ , and  $t$ , and  
 (8)  $\lambda_0^t = \lambda$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ .

For the proof of Theorem A. 3, we need the following:

**LEMMA A. 4.** *Let  $L_t$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , be differential operators of order  $m$  which can be expressed locally as*

$$L_t = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(t, x) D_x^\alpha.$$

Here  $D_x^\alpha = \partial^{|\alpha|} / \partial(x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial(x_n)^{\alpha_n}$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$  for an  $n$ -tuple  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  of non-negative integers, and  $a_\alpha(t, x)$  is real analytic in  $t$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , where  $x$  belongs to the local coordinate open subset. Then the family of bounded operators  $L_t$  of  $H_m(M)$  into  $H_0(M)$  is real analytic.

**PROOF.** By assumption,  $a_\alpha(t, x)$  can be expressed as  $a_\alpha(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{\alpha,k}(x) t^k$ , where  $a_{\alpha,k}(x)$  satisfy the following inequalities:

$$|a_{\alpha,k}(x)| \leq C r^k \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \quad |\alpha| \leq m, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{and } x.$$

Here the positive constants  $C$  and  $r$  do not depend on  $\alpha, k$  and  $x$ . Using the partition of unity, define differential operators  $L_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , of order  $m$  which can be expressed locally as  $L_k = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha,k}(x) D_x^\alpha$ . Since  $L_k$  satisfy the inequalities



$$\|L_k f\|_0 \leq m^n C' r^k \|f\|_m, \quad f \in H_m(M),$$

for a certain constant  $C'$ , they are bounded operators of  $H_m(M)$  into  $H_0(M)$  and the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L_k t^k$  converges to  $L_t$  in the uniform topology. q.e.d.

**PROOF OF THEOREM A. 3.** For a function  $f$  on  $M$  and for  $t$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , put

$$(U_t f)(x) = (\det(g_{ii_j}(x))/\det(g_{0i_j}(x)))^{1/4} f(x), \quad x \in M,$$

where  $g_{ii_j}$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , are the components of  $g_t$  with respect to the local coordinate  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  around  $x$ . Then the operators  $U_t$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , define a real analytic family of bounded operators of  $H_s(M)$  into itself for every non-negative integer  $s$ . By definition they are isometries of the Hilbert space  $(H_0(M), (\cdot, \cdot)_t)$  into the Hilbert space  $(H_0(M), (\cdot, \cdot)_0)$ . Since the Laplacian  $\Delta_t$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , are self-adjoint operators of  $H_0(M)$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_t$ , the operators  $\tilde{\Delta}_t$  defined by the composition  $U_t \circ \Delta_t \circ U_t^{-1}$  are self-adjoint with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ . Moreover by Lemma A. 4 the family of  $A_t = \tilde{\Delta}_t + I$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , is a real analytic family of bounded operators of  $H_2(M)$  into  $H_0(M)$  and satisfies (1), (2) of Theorem A. 2. Therefore by Theorem A. 2 there exist  $l$  real analytic families of vectors  $\tilde{\phi}_t^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , in  $H_2(M)$  and  $l$  real analytic real valued functions  $1 + \lambda_t^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , in  $t$  satisfying (3), (4) and (5). Then the vectors  $\phi_t^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , in  $H_0(M)$  defined by  $\phi_t^i = U_t^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_t^i$  satisfy  $\Delta_t \phi_t^i = \lambda_t^i \phi_t^i$  in the sense of distribution and the condition (7) in Theorem A. 3. By hypoellipticity of  $\Delta_t$  (cf. [G, p. 30]),  $\phi_t^i$  belong to  $C_c^\infty(M)$  and satisfy (6). Theorem A. 3 is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [A] J. H. ALBERT, Genericity of simple eigenvalues for elliptic PDE's, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1975), 413-418.
- [B] M. BERGER, Sur les premières valeurs propres des variétés riemanniennes, Compositio Math. 26 (2) (1973), 129-149.
- [BGM] M. BERGER, P. GAUDUCHON AND E. MAZET, Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Math. 194, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [BW] D. D. BLEECKER AND L. C. WILSON, Splitting the spectrum of a Riemannian manifold, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 11 (5) (1980), 813-818.
- [CH] R. COURANT AND D. HILBERT, Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. II, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1966.
- [E<sub>1</sub>] D. G. EBIN, The manifold of Riemannian metrics, Proceeding Symp. in pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1968), 11-40.
- [E<sub>2</sub>] D. G. EBIN, Espace des metriques riemanniennes et mouvement des fluides via les variétés d'applications, Publ. Centre Math. Ecole Polytech., 1971.
- [G] P. B. GILKEY, The Index Theorem and the Heat Equation, Publish or Perish, Boston, 1974.

- [GG] M. GOLUBITSKY AND V. GUILLEMIN, *Stable Mapping and their Singularities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [K] T. KATO, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [KS] K. KODAIRA AND D. C. SPENCER, On deformations of complex analytic structures, III, Stability theorems for complex structures, *Ann. Math.* 71 (1) (1960), 43-76.
- [R] F. RELICH, *Perturbation Theory of Eigenvalue Problems*, Gordon and Breach Scienc. Publ., New York-London-Paris, 1969.
- [RN] F. RIESZ AND B. SZ. NAZY, *Functional Analysis* (translation), Frederick Ungar Publ. Co., New York, 1955.
- [T] M. TANIKAWA, The spectrum of the Laplacian and smooth deformation of the Riemannian metric, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 55, Ser. A (1979), 125-127.
- [U] K. UHLENBECK, Generic properties of eigenfunctions, *Amer. J. Math.* 98 (1976), 1059-1078.
- [Y] K. YOSIDA, *Functional Analysis*, 4th. ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TOHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, 980  
JAPAN

AND  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION  
TOHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, 980  
JAPAN