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## § 1. Introduction

In this paper we solve the subfield problem of a generic cubic polynomial $g(t, Y)$ for the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ of degree 3 by using a certain sextic polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$ which is generic for the direct product $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ of the two groups $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$. We also study the descent genericity of the polynomial $P(t, Z)$ explicitly. See § 2 for the notion about the genericity of a polynomial and the subfield problem of the polynomial.

Let $k$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2,3$ and $k(t)$ the rational function field over $k$ in one variable $t$. Let $g(t, Y)$ be a cubic polynomial over $k(t)$ of the form

$$
g(t, Y)=Y^{3}-t Y-t=Y^{3}-t(Y+1) .
$$

Let $k(\mathfrak{t})$ be the rational function field over $k$ with two variables $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ where $\mathfrak{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. We define a sextic polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z) \in k(\mathfrak{t})[Z]$ over $k(\mathfrak{t})$ by

$$
P(t, Z)=Z^{6}-r_{1} Z^{4}+r_{1} Z^{3}+r_{0} Z^{2}-2 r_{0} Z+r_{0}
$$

where $r_{1}$ and $r_{0}$ are rational functions in $k(\mathfrak{t})$ such that

$$
r_{1}=\frac{t_{1} t_{2}\left(2\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)-27\right)}{\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad r_{0}=\frac{t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}}{\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Let $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ be two elements in an extension $K$ of $k$ such that $b_{1} b_{2}\left(4 b_{1}-27\right)\left(4 b_{2}-\right.$ 27) $\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}-27\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \neq 0$. Let $M_{i}$ denote the minimal splitting fields of $g\left(b_{i}, Y\right)$ over $K$ and put $n_{i}=\left[M_{i}: K\right]$, respectively. When a polynomial $F \in K[X]$ over $K$ satisfies $F=\prod_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}$ for irreducible polynomials $F_{j}$ over $K$ of degree $d_{j}$ with $1 \leq d_{1} \leq d_{2} \leq \cdots \leq d_{r}$, we say that the decomposition type $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} F$ of $F$ over $K$ is $\left[d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{r}\right]$.

Theorem 1.1 (Proposition 3.2). We assume $n_{1} \leq n_{2}$.
(1) If $n_{1}=1$, then $M_{1} \subseteq M_{2}$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left[n_{2}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{2}\right]$.
(2) When $n_{1}=n_{2}=2$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,1,2,2]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1}=M_{2} \\
{[2,4]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1} \neq M_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3) If $n_{1}=2$ and $n_{2}=3$, then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.
(4) When $n_{1}=2$ and $n_{2}=6$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[3,3]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1} \subset M_{2} \\
{[6]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1} \not \subset M_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(5) When $n_{1}=n_{2}=3$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,1,1,3]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1}=M_{2} \\
{[3,3]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1} \neq M_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(6) If $n_{1}=3$ and $n_{2}=6$, then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.
(7) When $n_{1}=n_{2}=6$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,2,3]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1}=M_{2}, \\
{[3,3]} & \text { if and only if }\left[M_{1} \cap M_{2}: K\right]=2, \\
{[6]} & \text { if and only if } M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K
\end{array}\right.
$$

Corollary 1.2. With the same notation as in Theorem 1.1, the equation $M_{1}=$ $M_{2}$ holds if and only if $P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$ has a solution in $K$.

Proposition 1.3 (Corollary 2.7). The sextic polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$ is generic for $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ over $k$.

The exceptional case that $b_{1} b_{2}\left(4 b_{1}-27\right)\left(4 b_{2}-27\right)\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}-27\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)=0$ is as follows.

Lemma 1.4 (Lemma 3.8). We have $M_{i}=K$ if $b_{i}\left(4 b_{i}-27\right)=0$. When $\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}-\right.$ $\left.27\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)=0$, it holds that $M_{1}=M_{2}$.

Remark 1.5. By using an other method with the representation of a cubic field embedding in the ring of $3 \times 3$ matrices over $\mathbb{Q}$, Miyake [6] gave a solution for the isomorphism problem of $g(t, Y)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is, a condition so that $\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} g\left(b_{1}, Y\right)=$ $\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} g\left(b_{2}, Y\right)$ for $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$. His result is one of the motivations of this paper.

In § 2 we recall the notion on the genericity of a regular polynomial and introduce the subfield problem of the polynomial. We show the genericity of $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$ for $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ over $k$ (Proposition 1.3). In $\S 3$ we study the specialization of $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$ and solve the subfield problem of $g(t, Y)$ (Theorem 1.1). In § 4 we exhibit the discriminants of the polynomials described in $\S 2$. In $\S 5$ we study the descent genericity of $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$ and present explicit generic polynomials $P_{H}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)$ for all subgroups $H$ of $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ as degenerations of $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$.
Acknowledgement. The author is supported by the 21st Century COE Program "Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality".

## §2. Genericity of the sextic polynomial

We first recall the notion on the genericity of a regular polynomial (cf. Jensen-Ledet-Yui [3]) and introduce the subfield problem of the polynomial. Let $k$ be a field and $G$ a finite group. The rational function field $k\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$ over $k$ with $m$ variables $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}$ is denoted by $k(\mathfrak{t})$ where $\mathfrak{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$. For a polynomial $F(X) \in K[X]$ over a field $K$ let us denote by $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} F(X)$ the minimal splitting field of $F(X)$ over $K$. We say a polynomial $F(\mathfrak{t}, X) \in k(\mathfrak{t})[X]$ is a $k$ regular $G$-polynomial or a regular polynomial over $k$ for $G$ if $L=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathfrak{t})} F(\mathfrak{t}, X)$ is a Galois extension with $\operatorname{Gal}(L / k(\mathfrak{t})) \simeq G$ and $L \cap \bar{k}=k$ where $\bar{k}$ is an algebraic closure of $k$. For example, if $n$ is a positive integer greater than 2 , then the Kummer polynomial $X^{n}-t \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[X]$ is a regular polynomial for the cyclic group $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ of order $n$ not over $\mathbb{Q}$ but over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ where $\zeta_{n}$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. A $k$-regular $G$-polynomial $F(\mathfrak{t}, X) \in k(\mathfrak{t})[X]$ is called to be generic over $k$ if $F(t, X)$ yields all the Galois $G$-extensions containing $k$, that is, for every Galois extension $L / K$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K) \simeq G$ and $K \supseteq k$ there exists a $K$-specialization $\mathfrak{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right), a_{i} \in K$ so that $L=\operatorname{Spl}_{K} F(\mathfrak{a}, X)$. The subfield problem for a regular polynomial $F(\mathfrak{t}, X)$ is to determine in terms of $\mathfrak{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)$ whether $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} F(\mathfrak{a}, X) \subseteq \operatorname{Spl}_{K} F(\mathfrak{b}, X)$ or not.

In the following we construct the sextic polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$ and show the genericity of $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$. Let $k$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2,3$ and $k(s)$ the rational function field over $k$ in one variable $s$. Let $f(s, X)$ be a cubic polynomial over $k(s)$ of the
form

$$
f(s, X)=X^{3}-3 s X^{2}-(3 s+3) X-1=X^{3}-3 X-1-3 s\left(X^{2}+X\right)
$$

which is called the simplest cubic polynomial of Shanks type [10]. It is known that $f(s, X)$ is generic for $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ over $k$ (cf. [9]). Let $A_{2}(X)$ and $A_{3}(X)$ be linear fractionals over $k$ such that $A_{2}(X)=-X-1$ and $A_{3}(X)=-(X+1) / X$. Then one has $A_{2}^{2}(X)=A_{3}^{3}(X)=X$. It is easy to check

Lemma 2.1. We have $f\left(A_{2}(s), X\right)=-f\left(s, A_{2}(X)\right)$. Every solution $x \in \overline{k(s)}$ of $f(s, X)=0$ satisfies that $f(s, X)=(X-x)\left(X-A_{3}(x)\right)\left(X-A_{3}^{2}(x)\right)$.

Let us recall a descent Kummer theory studied in a previous paper [5] (see also Morton [7], Chapman [1] and Ogawa [8]). Let $+_{T}$ be a composite law on $T=$ $\mathbb{P}^{1}-\left\{\zeta, \zeta^{-1}\right\}$ such that $a_{1}+{ }_{T} a_{2}=\left(a_{1} a_{2}-1\right) /\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+1\right)$ for $a_{1}, a_{2} \in T$ where $\zeta$ is a primitive third root of unity in $\bar{k}$. Then $T$ is an abelian group with $+_{T}$. In fact, $T$ is an algebraic torus of dimension 1 with group isomorphism $\varphi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}, a \mapsto(a-$ $\zeta) /\left(a-\zeta^{-1}\right)$ over $k(\zeta)$. The composite law $+_{T}$ satisfies $a_{1}+_{T} a_{2}=\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \varphi\left(a_{2}\right)\right)$. The identity $0_{T}$ of $T$ is $\infty=\varphi^{-1}(1)$. The inverse $-_{T} a$ of $a \in T$ is equal to $-a-1$. The 3 -torsion subgroup $T[3]=\operatorname{Ker}([3]: T \rightarrow T)$ of $T$ is generated by $-1=\varphi^{-1}(\zeta)$ where $[n]$ is the multiplication by $n$ map on $T$. For an $x \in \overline{k(s)}$ the equation $f(s, x)=0$ holds if and only if $[3](x)=s$. Thus the subfield problem of $f(s, X)$ can be solved by the cohomological argument related to the group $T$ (see [5]). One can consider the functions $A_{2}(X)$ and $A_{3}(X)$ as $A_{2}(X)={ }_{T} X$ and $A_{3}(X)=X+_{T}(-1)$, respectively. Lemma 2.1 implies

Corollary 2.2. We have

$$
f(s, X) f\left(-{ }_{T} s, X\right)=\left(X^{2}+X+1\right)^{3}-9\left(s^{2}+s+1\right)\left(X^{2}+X\right)^{2},
$$

whose zero set is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(x) & =\left\{x, A_{3}(x), A_{3}^{2}(x), A_{2}(x), A_{3} A_{2}(x), A_{3}^{2} A_{2}(x)\right\} \\
& =\left\{x,-\frac{x+1}{x},-\frac{1}{x+1},-x-1,-\frac{x}{x+1}, \frac{1}{x}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a solution $x \in \overline{k(s)}$ of $f(s, X)=0$.

Let $g(t, Y)$ be as in Introduction and $\delta \in \overline{k(t)}$ a square root of the discriminant of the polynomial $g(t, Y)$, that is,

$$
g(t, Y)=Y^{3}-t Y-t=Y^{3}-t(Y+1)
$$

and $\delta^{2}=4 t^{3}-27 t^{2}$. It is known that $g(t, Y)$ is generic for $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ over $k$ (cf. [9]).
Lemma 2.3. If $s$ and $t$ have a relation $s=(9 t-\delta) /(2 \delta) \in k(t, \delta)$, then

$$
\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t)} g(t, Y)=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t, \delta)} f(s, X)=k(t, x)
$$

for a solution $x \in \overline{k(t)}$ of $f(s, X)=0$. The Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(k(t, x) / k(t))$ is equal to $\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ where $\sigma$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(k(t, x) / k(t))$ satisfy $\sigma(x)=x+{ }_{T}(-1)$ and $\tau(x)=-{ }_{T} x$, respectively.

Proof. For $s=(9 t-\delta) /(2 \delta)$ and $\gamma=3 t / \delta \in k(t, \delta)$, one can see that $f(s, \gamma Y+s)=$ $\gamma^{3} g(t, Y)$. This means that $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t, \delta)} g(t, Y)=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t, \delta)} f(s, X)$. Note that $\delta= \pm\left(y_{1}-\right.$ $\left.y_{2}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{3}\right)\left(y_{3}-y_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Spl}_{k(t)} g(t, Y)$ where $g(t, Y)=\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(Y-y_{i}\right)$ for $y_{i} \in \overline{k(t)}$. Thus we have $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t)} g(t, Y)=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t, \delta)} g(t, Y)=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t, \delta)} f(s, X)$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t, \delta)} f(s, X)=k(t, \delta, x)$. Since $s=[3] x \in k(x)$ and $\delta=9 t /(2 s+1) \in k(t, x)$, we have $k(t, \delta, x)=k(t, x)$. Here $g(t, Y)$ is a cubic Eisenstein polynomial at the prime divisor $t$ and the discriminant $\delta^{2}$ of $g(t, Y)$ is not square in $k(t)$. Thus it holds that $[k(t, x): k(t)]=\left[\operatorname{Spl}_{k(t)} g(t, Y): k(t)\right]=6$. The element $x$ is a zero of $f(s, X) f\left(-{ }_{T} s, X\right)=\left(X^{2}+X+1\right)^{3}-27 t\left(X^{2}+X\right)^{2} /(4 t-27)$ which is defined over $k(t)$. This means that $f(s, X) f\left(-{ }_{T} s, X\right)$ is the minimal polynomial of $x$ over $k(t)$. Corollary 2.2 implies that there exist elements $\sigma$ and $\tau$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(k(t, x) / k(t))$ such that $\sigma(x)=A_{3}(x)$ and $\tau(x)=A_{2}(x)$. Since the set $\left\{\sigma^{i} \tau^{j}(x) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=$ $\mathcal{A}(x)$ has order 6 , so does the subgroup $\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(k(t, x) / k(t))$. Hence we have $\operatorname{Gal}(k(t, x) / k(t))=\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.

Let $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z) \in k(\mathfrak{t})[Z]$ be the sextic polynomial as in Introduction, i.e.,

$$
P(t, Z)=Z^{6}-r_{1} Z^{4}+r_{1} Z^{3}+r_{0} Z^{2}-2 r_{0} Z+r_{0}
$$

where $r_{1}=t_{1} t_{2}\left(2\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)-27\right) /\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}$ and $r_{0}=t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2} /\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}$.
Proposition 2.4. We have $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathfrak{t})} P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathfrak{t})} g\left(t_{1}, Y\right) \cdot \operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathfrak{t})} g\left(t_{2}, Y\right)$.

For $i=1$ and 2 let $\delta_{i}$ be square roots of $4 t_{i}^{3}-27 t_{i}^{2}$ in $\overline{k(\mathfrak{t})}$ and put $s_{i}=\left(9 t_{i}-\delta_{i}\right) /\left(2 \delta_{i}\right)$, respectively. Let us define $s_{ \pm}=s_{1} \pm_{T} s_{2}$ and $u_{ \pm}=9\left(s_{ \pm}^{2}+s_{ \pm}+1\right)$, respectively.

Lemma 2.5. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{ \pm}=\frac{27 t_{1} t_{2}-3\left(\delta_{1} t_{2} \pm \delta_{2} t_{1}\right) \mp \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{6\left(\delta_{1} t_{2} \pm \delta_{2} t_{1}\right)} \\
& u_{ \pm}=\frac{t_{1} t_{2}\left(2\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)-27\right) \mp \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{2\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$u_{+}+u_{-}=r_{1}$ and $u_{+} u_{-}=r_{0}$.

Proof. It follows from the definition that

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{+} & =\frac{\left(\frac{9 t_{1}-\delta_{1}}{2 \delta_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{9 t_{2}-\delta_{2}}{2 \delta_{2}}\right)-1}{\frac{9 t_{1}-\delta_{1}}{2 \delta_{1}}+\frac{9 t_{2}-\delta_{2}}{2 \delta_{2}}+1} \\
& =\frac{\left(9 t_{1}-\delta_{1}\right)\left(9 t_{2}-\delta_{2}\right)-4 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{18\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{27 t_{1} t_{2}-3\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)-\delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{6\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{+} & =9\left(\left(s_{+}+1 / 2\right)^{2}+3 / 4\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{27 t_{1} t_{2}-\delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{2\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)}\right)^{2}+\frac{27}{4} \\
& =\frac{\left(27 t_{1} t_{2}-\delta_{1} \delta_{2}\right)^{2}+27\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}}{4\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(27 t_{1}^{2}+\delta_{1}^{2}\right)\left(27 t_{2}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}\right)}{4\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{4 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{3}}{\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{4 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{3}\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}-\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(\delta_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}-\delta_{2}^{2} t_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{4 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{3}\left(4 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{2}+4_{2}^{3} t_{1}^{2}-54 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2} t_{1} t_{2}\right)}{16\left(t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{2}-t_{2}^{3} t_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{t_{1} t_{2}\left(2\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)-27\right)-\delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{2\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $-{ }_{T} s_{2}=-\left(9 t_{2}-\delta_{2}\right) /\left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-1=\left(9 t_{2}-\delta_{2}^{\prime}\right) /\left(2 \delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\delta_{2}^{\prime}=-\delta_{2}$. This means that $s_{-}$and $u_{-}$are obtained from $s_{+}$and $u_{+}$with substituting $-\delta_{2}$ in $\delta_{2}$,
respectively. Here it holds that $u_{+}+u_{-}=r_{1}$. By the argument above we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{+} u_{-} & =\frac{4 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{3}}{\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}+\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}} \frac{4 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{3}}{\left(\delta_{1} t_{2}-\delta_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{16 t_{1}^{6} t_{2}^{6}}{16\left(t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{2}-t_{2}^{3} t_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}}{\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x_{i}$ be solutions of $f\left(s_{i}, X\right)=0$ in $\overline{k(\mathfrak{t})}$, respectively. Let us denote the field $k\left(\mathfrak{t}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ by $L$. We define an element $\xi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i\right) \in L$ by

$$
\xi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i\right)=\left[i_{1}\right] x_{1}+_{T}\left[i_{2}\right] x_{2}+_{T}[i](-1)
$$

for integers $i_{1}, i_{2}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here -1 is a non-trivial 3 -torsion element in $T$. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite set consisting of elements $\xi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i\right) \in L$ with $i_{1}, i_{2} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and $i \in\{0,1,2\}$. We denote by $\beta(X)$ a rational function $\left(X^{2}+X+1\right) /\left(X^{2}+X\right) \in k(X)$. For $j=1,2, \ldots, 6$ let $z_{j} \in \beta(\Lambda)$ be elements in $L$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
z_{1}=\beta(\xi(1,1,0)), & z_{2}=\beta(\xi(1,1,1)), & z_{3}=\beta(\xi(1,1,2)) \\
z_{4}=\beta(\xi(1,-1,0)), & z_{5}=\beta(\xi(1,-1,1)), & z_{6}=\beta(\xi(1,-1,2)) .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.6. We have $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)=\prod_{j=1}^{6}\left(Z-z_{j}\right)$.
Proof. Let us assume that $\xi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i\right)=\xi\left(i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$ for integers $i_{1}, i_{2}, i, i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}$ and $i^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it holds that $\left[i_{1}\right] s_{1}+_{T}\left[i_{2}\right] s_{2}=\left[i_{1}^{\prime}\right] s_{1}+{ }_{T}\left[i_{2}^{\prime}\right] s_{2}$ for $[3] \xi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i\right)=\left[i_{1}\right] s_{1}+{ }_{T}\left[i_{2}\right] s_{2}$. The elements $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are linearly independent in the group $T\left(k\left(\mathfrak{t}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right)$, which means that $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)=\left(i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Since -1 is a non-trivial 3 -torsion, one has $i \equiv i^{\prime}$ $(\bmod 3)$. Thus the set $\Lambda$ has 12 elements. The elements $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2} \in \Lambda$ satisfy $\beta\left(\xi_{1}\right)=\beta\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ if and only if $\xi_{1}$ is equal to $\xi_{2}$ or $-_{T} \xi_{2}$. This shows that $z_{j}$ are distinct from each other. For $[3] \xi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i\right)=\left[i_{1}\right] s_{1}+{ }_{T}\left[i_{2}\right] s_{2}$ the element $\xi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i\right)$ is a solution of $f\left(\left[i_{1}\right] s_{1}+{ }_{T}\left[i_{2}\right] s_{2}, X\right)=0$. Thus one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\xi \in \Lambda}(X-\xi) \\
= & f\left(s_{1}+{ }_{T} s_{2}, X\right) f\left(s_{1} T_{T} s_{2}, X\right) f\left(-{ }_{T} s_{1}+_{T} s_{2}, X\right) f\left(-_{T} s_{1}-_{T} s_{2}, X\right) \\
= & f\left(s_{+}, X\right) f\left(-{ }_{T} s_{+}, X\right) f\left(s_{-}, X\right) f\left(-{ }_{T} s_{-}, X\right) \\
= & \left(\left(X^{2}+X+1\right)^{3}-u_{+}\left(X^{2}+X\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(X^{2}+X+1\right)^{3}-u_{-}\left(X^{2}+X\right)^{2}\right) \\
= & \left(X^{2}+X+1\right)^{6}-r_{1}\left(X^{2}+X+1\right)^{3}\left(X^{2}+X\right)^{2}+r_{0}\left(X^{2}+X\right)^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to $P(\mathfrak{t}, \beta(X))\left(X^{2}+X\right)^{6}$. This implies that $z_{j}$ are solutions of $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)=0$. Since $z_{j}$ are distinct, we have $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)=\prod_{j=1}^{6}\left(Z-z_{j}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Lemma 2.3 implies that $L=k\left(\mathfrak{t}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is equal to the composite field $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathbf{t})} g\left(t_{1}, Y\right) \operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathbf{t})} g\left(t_{2}, Y\right)$ of two extensions $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathbf{t})} g\left(t_{1}, Y\right)$ and $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathfrak{t})} g\left(t_{2}, Y\right)$ over $k(\mathfrak{t})$. Let $G$ be the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / k(\mathfrak{t}))$. Lemma 2.3 means that there exist elements $\sigma_{1}, \tau_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$ in $G$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\sigma_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+{ }_{T}(-1), & \tau_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=-{ }_{T} x_{1}, & \sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, & \tau_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \\
\sigma_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, & \tau_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, & \sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}+{ }_{T}(-1), & \tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=-{ }_{T} x_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Then it holds that $G=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \tau_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \tau_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle\sigma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle \simeq\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$. It follows from the definition that $z_{j} \in L$. One can calculate $\sigma_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=\sigma_{1}(\beta(\xi(1,1,0)))=$ $\beta\left(\sigma_{1}(\xi(1,1,0))\right)=\beta(\xi(1,1,1))=z_{2}$. In the same way as above we see the actions on $z_{j}$ of some elements in $G$ as follows:

|  | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ | $z_{5}$ | $z_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\sigma_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{5}$ | $z_{6}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| $\tau_{1}$ | $z_{4}$ | $z_{6}$ | $z_{5}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{2}$ |
| $\sigma_{2}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{6}$ | $z_{4}$ | $z_{5}$ |
| $\tau_{2}$ | $z_{4}$ | $z_{5}$ | $z_{6}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ |

The elements $\rho\left(z_{j}\right)$ for $\rho \in G$ and $z_{j} \in L$ are denoted at the ( $\rho, z_{j}$ )-components in the table above, respectively. Note that for each $z_{j}$ there exists an element $\rho \in G$ such that $z_{j}=\rho\left(z_{1}\right)$. Let $G_{j}$ be the stabilizer of $z_{j}$ in $G$, that is, $G_{j}=$ $\left\{\rho \in G \mid \rho\left(z_{j}\right)=z_{j}\right\}$. Then it holds that $G_{1}=\left\langle\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2}, \tau_{1} \tau_{2}\right\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. It is seen that $G_{j}=\rho G_{1} \rho^{-1} \simeq G_{1}$ if $z_{j}=\rho\left(z_{1}\right)$ for $\rho \in G$. Here one has the sequence of the extension fields $L / L^{G_{j}} / k\left(\mathfrak{t}, z_{j}\right) / k(\mathfrak{t})$. By considering the orders of the Galois groups we have $[L: k(\mathfrak{t})]=36$ and $\left[L: L^{G_{j}}\right]=6$. Since $z_{j}$ are conjugate to each other over $k(\mathfrak{t})$, the degrees $\left[k\left(\mathfrak{t}, z_{j}\right): k(\mathfrak{t})\right]$ are equal to 6 . This shows that $L^{G_{j}}=k\left(\mathfrak{t}, z_{j}\right)$ for every $j$. It satisfies that $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\left\langle\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right\rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_{3}, G_{1} \cap G_{4}=\left\langle\tau_{1} \tau_{2}\right\rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_{2}$ and $G_{1} \cap G_{2} \cap G_{4}=\{1\}$. This implies that $L=L^{G_{1} \cap G_{2} \cap G_{4}}=k\left(\mathfrak{t}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{4}\right)$. Hence we conclude $L=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(\mathfrak{t})} P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$.
Proposition 2.4 and the genericity of $g(t, Y)$ imply
Corollary 2.7 (Proposition 1.3). The polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$ is generic for $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ over $k$.

## § 3. Solution of the subfield problem on the generic cubic polynomial

In this section we solve the subfield problem of the cubic polynomial $g(t, Y)$ by using the sextic polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$.

Let $b \in K$ be an element in an extension $K$ of $k$ with $b(4 b-27) \neq 0$. Let $\delta$ be a square root of $4 b^{3}-27 b^{2}$ in $\bar{K}$ and put $a=(9 b-\delta) /(2 \delta) \in K(\delta)$. Let $Q_{b}(K)$ be the set of solutions $w \in K$ of the quadratic equation $W^{2}=4 b^{3}-27 b^{2}$ and $C_{b}(K)$ that of the cubic one $g(b, Y)=0$.

Lemma 3.1. For a solution $x \in \bar{K}$ of $f(a, X)=0$, we have $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} g(b, Y)=K(x)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(K(x) / K)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{3} & \text { if } Q_{b}(K)=\emptyset \text { and } C_{b}(K)=\emptyset \\
\langle\sigma\rangle & \simeq \mathcal{C}_{3} & \text { if } Q_{b}(K) \neq \emptyset \text { and } C_{b}(K)=\emptyset, \\
\langle\iota\rangle & \mathcal{C}_{2} & \text { if } Q_{b}(K)=\emptyset \text { and } C_{b}(K) \neq \emptyset, \\
\{1\} & & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\sigma(x)=A_{3}(x)=x+{ }_{T}(-1), \tau(x)=A_{2}(x)=-{ }_{T} x$ and $\iota(x)=A_{3}^{i} A_{2}(x)$ for an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 one sees $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} g(b, Y)=K(x)$. Let $G_{0}$ be the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(K(x) / K)$. Since $g(b, Y)$ is cubic, $G_{0}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$. The sets $Q_{b}(K)$ (resp. $C_{b}(K)$ ) are empty if and only if $G_{0}$ contains subgroups which are isomorphic to the cyclic groups $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ ). It determines the group structure of $G_{0}$ completely. Let $G_{0}(x)$ be the orbit of $x$ by $G_{0}$, that is, $G_{0}(x)=\left\{\rho(x) \mid \rho \in G_{0}\right\}$. Note that $x$ is a solution of $f(a, X) f\left(-{ }_{T} a, X\right)=0$ which is a equation over $K$. Thus $G_{0}(x)$ has elements as those of $\mathcal{A}(x)$ at Corollary 2.2. If $G_{0} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{3}$, then $G_{0}(x)$ is the same form as $\mathcal{A}(x)$, whose order is equal to 6. Thus one has $G_{0}=\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle$. When $G_{0} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{3}$, the set $G_{0}(x)$ has three elements. Note that $A_{2}(X), A_{3} A_{2}(X)$ and $A_{3}^{2} A_{2}(X)$ are linear fractionals of period 2. Thus we have $G_{0}(x)=\left\{x, A_{3}(x), A_{3}^{2}(x)\right\}$, which means that $G_{0}=\langle\sigma\rangle$. If $G_{0} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{2}$, then $G_{0}=\langle\iota\rangle$ where $\iota(x)=A_{3}^{i} A_{2}(x)$ for an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The integer $i$ depends on the choice of the solution $x$. In fact, if $x$ satisfies $\iota(x)=A_{3}^{i} A_{2}(x)$ for an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $x^{\prime}=A_{3}^{i}(x)$ is a solution of $f(a, X)=0$ such that $\iota\left(x^{\prime}\right)=A_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. It is obvious for the case $G_{0}=\{1\}$.

Let $F \in K[X]$ be a polynomial over $K$ and $d_{1} \leq d_{2} \leq \cdots \leq d_{r}$ positive integers. If there exist irreducible polynomials $F_{j}$ over $K$ of degree $d_{j}$ such that $F=\prod_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}$, then we say that the decomposition type of $F$ over $K$ is $\left[d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{r}\right]$ and denote it by $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} F$. Let $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ be two elements in $K$ such that $b_{1} b_{2}\left(4 b_{1}-27\right)\left(4 b_{2}-\right.$
27) $\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}-27\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \neq 0$. Now put $M_{i}=\operatorname{Spl}_{K} g\left(b_{i}, Y\right)$ and $n_{i}=\left[M_{i}: K\right]$, respectively. One can calculate the integers $n_{i}$ by using Lemma 3.1. We obtain a criterion whether $M_{1} \subseteq M_{2}$ or not in terms of the decomposition type $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$ of $P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$ over $K$ for $\mathfrak{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ as follows.

Proposition 3.2 (Theorem 1.1). We assume $n_{1} \leq n_{2}$.
(1) If $n_{1}=1$, then $M_{1} \subseteq M_{2}$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left[n_{2}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{2}\right]$.
(2) When $n_{1}=n_{2}=2$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,1,2,2]} & \text { if } M_{1}=M_{2} \\
{[2,4]} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3) If $n_{1}=2$ and $n_{2}=3$, then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.
(4) When $n_{1}=2$ and $n_{2}=6$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[3,3]} & \text { if } M_{1} \subset M_{2} \\
{[6]} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

(5) When $n_{1}=n_{2}=3$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,1,1,3]} & \text { if } M_{1}=M_{2} \\
{[3,3]} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

(6) If $n_{1}=3$ and $n_{2}=6$, then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.
(7) When $n_{1}=n_{2}=6$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,2,3]} & \text { if } M_{1}=M_{2} \\
{[3,3]} & \text { if }\left[M_{1} \cap M_{2}: K\right]=2 \\
{[6]} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $L$ be the composite field $M_{1} M_{2}$ and $G$ the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$. For $i=1$ and 2 let $\delta_{i}$ be square roots of $4 b_{i}^{3}-27 b_{i}^{2}$ in $\bar{K}$ and put $a_{i}=\left(9 b_{i}-\delta_{i}\right) /\left(2 \delta_{i}\right)$, respectively. Let $x_{i}$ be solutions of $f\left(a_{i}, X\right)=0$ in $\bar{K}$. In the same way as for the case of the function field $k(\mathfrak{t})$ described at the previous section, we define $z_{j} \in L$ for integers $j=1,2, \ldots, 6$. Since $\operatorname{disc}_{Z} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$ is not equal to 0 due to Lemma 4.2 below, the elements $z_{j}$ are distinct from each other.

Lemma 3.3. If $n_{1}=1$, then $M_{1} \subseteq M_{2}$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left[n_{2}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{2}\right]$.
Proof. When $n_{1}=n_{2}=1$, we have $x_{1}, x_{2} \in K$ and $z_{j} \in K$. This means that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[1,1,1,1,1,1]$. When $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(1,2)$, we have $G=\left\langle\iota_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\iota_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\iota_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i} A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for an $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\iota_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\iota_{2}: z_{1} \mapsto z_{4} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{2} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{2}, \quad z_{3} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{3},
$$

which means that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[2,2,2]$. In the same way as above one sees $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[2,2,2]$ provided $\iota_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i} A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=$ $(1,3)$, then $G=\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Then one has

$$
\sigma_{2}: z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{4},
$$

which implies that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[3,3]$. When $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(1,6)$, we have $G=$ $\left\langle\sigma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \tau_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $\tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Then $\sigma_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$ satisfy $\sigma_{2}: z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3}, z_{4} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5}$ and $\tau_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)=z_{4}$, respectively. Thus we have $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.

Lemma 3.4. Now assume $n_{1}=2$. When $n_{2}=2$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,1,2,2]} & \text { if } M_{1}=M_{2}, \\
{[2,4]} & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $n_{2}=3$, then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$. For the case of $n_{2}=6$ we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[3,3]} & \text { if } M_{1} \subset M_{2}, \\
{[6]} & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case that $n_{1}=n_{2}=2$ and $M_{1}=M_{2}$. Then it satisfies that $G=\langle\iota\rangle$ where $\iota\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{1}} A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\iota\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{2}} A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for integers $i_{1}, i_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By replacing $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ by the solutions $x_{1}^{\prime}=A_{3}^{i_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}=A_{3}^{i_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)$, one may have $\iota\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. The replacement of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ by $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ permutes the elements $z_{j}$, however, it does not change the polynomial $P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$ and the decomposition type $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$. So we may check only the case $i_{1}=i_{2}=0$. It holds that

$$
\iota: z_{1} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{2}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{4}, \quad z_{5} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5},
$$

which means $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[1,1,2,2]$. If $n_{1}=n_{2}=2$ and $M_{1} \neq M_{2}$, then $G=$ $\left\langle\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\iota_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{1}} A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), \iota_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \iota_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\iota_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{2}} A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for integers $i_{1}, i_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. When $i_{1}=i_{2}=0$, it satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\iota_{1}: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{4} \mapsto z_{1}, & z_{2} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{2}, \quad z_{3} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{3}, \\
\iota_{2}: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{4} \mapsto z_{1}, & z_{2} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{2}, \\
z_{3} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

This shows that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[2,4]$. If $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(2,3)$, then $G=\left\langle\iota_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\iota_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{1}} A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), \iota_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for an integer $i_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the case $i_{1}=0$ one has that $\iota_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=z_{4}$ and $\sigma_{2}: z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3}, z_{4} \mapsto$
$z_{6} \mapsto z_{5}$. Thus we have $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$. Let us assume $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(2,6)$. If $M_{1} \subset M_{2}$, then $G=\left\langle\sigma_{2}, \tau\right\rangle$ where $\sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right), \tau\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{1}} A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for an integer $i_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Under the condition $i_{1}=0$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2}: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{4} \\
\tau: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{2}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{4}, \quad z_{5} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[3,3]$. If $M_{1} \not \subset M_{2}$, then $G=\left\langle\iota_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\iota_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{1}} A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), \iota_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right), \tau_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for an integer $i_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then in the same way as in the case $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(1,6)$, one has $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.

Lemma 3.5. Assume $n_{1}=3$. When $n_{2}=3$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,1,1,3]} & \text { if } M_{1}=M_{2} \\
{[3,3]} & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $n_{2}=6$, then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.

Proof. Let us assume that $n_{1}=n_{2}=3$ and $M_{1}=M_{2}$. Then it holds that $G=\langle\sigma\rangle$ where $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Here one sees

$$
\sigma:\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
z_{1} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{1}, & z_{4} \mapsto z_{4}, & z_{5} \mapsto z_{5}, \quad z_{6} \mapsto z_{6} & \text { if } i=1 \\
z_{1} \mapsto z_{1}, & z_{2} \mapsto z_{2}, & z_{3} \mapsto z_{3}, & z_{4} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{4}
\end{array} \quad \text { if } i=2 .\right.
$$

This means that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[1,1,1,3]$. When $n_{1}=n_{2}=3$ and $M_{1} \neq M_{2}$, we have $G=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\sigma_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma_{1}: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{1}, & z_{4} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{4} \\
\sigma_{2}: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{1}, & z_{4} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{4}
\end{array}
$$

which implies that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[3,3]$. If $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(3,6)$, then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$ and $G=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\sigma_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)$, $\tau_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. In the same way as in the case $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(1,6)$, one has $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.

Lemma 3.6. When $n_{1}=n_{2}=6$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
{[1,2,3]} & \text { if } M_{1}=M_{2} \\
{[3,3]} & \text { if }\left[M_{1} \cap M_{2}: K\right]=2 \\
{[6]} & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. If $M_{1}=M_{2}$, then $G=\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle$ where $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i}\left(x_{2}\right)$ $\tau\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{2}} A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for integers $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $i_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the case $\left(i, i_{2}\right)=(1,0)$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\sigma: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{4}, \quad z_{5} \mapsto z_{5}, \quad z_{6} \mapsto z_{6} \\
\tau: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{2}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{4}, \quad z_{5} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5}
\end{array}
$$

This shows that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[1,2,3]$. When $\left[M_{1} \cap M_{2}: K\right]=2$, we have $G=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \tau\right\rangle$ where $\sigma_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)$ $\tau\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}^{i_{2}} A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for an integer $i_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For the case $i_{2}=0$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{4} \\
\sigma_{2}: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5} \mapsto z_{4} \\
\tau: & z_{1} \mapsto z_{1}, \quad z_{2} \mapsto z_{3} \mapsto z_{2}, \quad z_{4} \mapsto z_{4}, \quad z_{5} \mapsto z_{6} \mapsto z_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[3,3]$. If $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=K$, then $G=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\sigma_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \sigma_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{3}\left(x_{2}\right), \tau_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$, $\tau_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \tau_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. In the same way as in the case $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(1,6)$, one has $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)=[6]$.

Remark 3.7. In the proofs of Lemmas 3.3 to 3.6 one may have $i_{1}=i_{2}=0$ by replacing the solutions $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ by others solutions of $f\left(a_{1}, Y\right)=0$ and $f\left(a_{2}, Y\right)=$ 0 , respectively. We may have $i=1$ by replacing the solutions $x_{2}$ of $f\left(a_{2}, Y\right)=0$ by a solution $-{ }_{T} x_{2}$ of $f\left({ }_{T} a_{2}, Y\right)=0$. Such replacements do not change the extensions $M_{1}, M_{2}$, the polynomial $P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$ and the decomposition type $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$.

Lemmas 3.3 to 3.6 verify Proposition 3.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. For a fixed $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$, Proposition 3.2 means that the decomposition types $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{K} P(\mathfrak{b}, Z)$ are distinct if the relations between $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are different, which implies that the converses are also true. This shows Theorem 1.1 completely.
For the exceptional case that $b_{1} b_{2}\left(4 b_{1}-27\right)\left(4 b_{2}-27\right)\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}-27\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)=0$ one sees

Lemma 3.8 (Lemma 1.4). We have $M_{i}=K$ provided $b_{i}\left(4 b_{i}-27\right)=0$. When $\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}-27\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)=0$, it holds that $M_{1}=M_{2}$.

Proof. Since $g(0, Y)=Y^{3}$ and $g(27 / 4, Y)=(Y-3)(Y+3 / 2)^{2}$, one has $M_{i}=K$ if $b_{i}\left(4 b_{i}-27\right)=0$. Now assume that $b_{i}\left(4 b_{i}-27\right) \neq 0$ and $4 b_{1} b_{2}-27\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)=0$. Then one has that $\delta_{1}^{2} \delta_{2}^{2}=27^{2} b_{1}^{2} b_{2}^{2}$ and $K\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)=K\left(\delta_{1}\right)=K\left(\delta_{2}\right)$. Lemma 2.5 shows that $a_{1}+{ }_{T} a_{2}=-1 / 2$ or $a_{1}-{ }_{T} a_{2}=-1 / 2$. Since $-1 / 2=[3](-1 / 2) \in[3] T(K)$, it holds that $\operatorname{Spl}_{K\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)} f\left(a_{1}, X\right)=\operatorname{Spl}_{K\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)} f\left(a_{2}, X\right)$. Lemma 2.3 implies that $M_{i}=\operatorname{Spl}_{K\left(\delta_{i}\right)} f\left(a_{i}, X\right)$, respectively. Hence we have $M_{1}=M_{2}$.

## §4. Discriminants of the polynomials

Let us denote the discriminants of the polynomials $f(s, X)$ and $g(t, Y)$ by $\Delta_{f}(s)$ and by $\Delta_{g}(t)$, respectively.

Lemma 4.1. We have $\Delta_{f}(s)=3^{4}\left(s^{2}+s+1\right)^{2}$ and $\Delta_{g}(t)=t^{2}(4 t-27)$. Under the relations $s=(9 t-\delta) /(2 \delta)$ and $\delta^{2}=4 t^{3}-27 t^{2}$ one has $\Delta_{f}(s)=3^{6} t^{2} /(4 t-27)^{2}$ and $\Delta_{g}(t)=3^{10}\left(s^{2}+s+1\right)^{2} /(2 s+1)^{6}$.

Proof. The equations $s=(9 t-\delta) /(2 \delta)$ and $\delta^{2}=4 t^{3}-27 t^{2}$ imply that $t=3^{3}\left(s^{2}+\right.$ $s+1) /(2 s+1)^{2}$. This means that $s^{2}+s+1=3 t /(4 t-27)$.
Let $\Delta_{P}(\mathfrak{t})$ be the discriminant of the polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$.
Lemma 4.2. We have

$$
\Delta_{P}(\mathfrak{t})=\frac{t_{1}^{10} t_{2}^{10}\left(4 t_{1}-27\right)^{3}\left(4 t_{2}-27\right)^{3}\left(4 t_{1} t_{2}-27\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{18}}
$$

Proof. We first note that $P(\mathfrak{t},-Z)=g\left(u_{+}, Z\right) g\left(u_{-}, Z\right)$ whose discriminant is equal to that of $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$. Here the resultant $\operatorname{Res}_{Z}\left(g_{1}(Z), g_{2}(Z)\right)$ of two polynomials $g_{1}(Z)$ and $g_{2}(Z)$ satisfies an equation

$$
\operatorname{disc}_{Z}\left(g_{1}(Z) g_{2}(Z)\right)=\operatorname{disc}_{Z}\left(g_{1}(Z)\right) \operatorname{disc}_{Z}\left(g_{2}(Z)\right) \operatorname{Res}_{Z}\left(g_{1}(Z), g_{2}(Z)\right)^{2}
$$

(cf. [2] § 3.3). Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{disc}_{Z} g\left(u_{+}, Z\right) \operatorname{disc}_{Z} g\left(u_{-}, Z\right) \\
= & \left(4 u_{+}^{3}-27 u_{+}^{2}\right)\left(4 u_{-}^{3}-27 u_{-}^{2}\right) \\
= & r_{0}^{2}\left(16 r_{0}-108 r_{1}+729\right) \\
= & t_{1}^{4} 2_{2}^{4}\left(4 t_{1} t_{2}-27\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right)^{2} /\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Sylvester's matrix method one can calculate that $\operatorname{Res}_{Z}\left(g\left(u_{+}, Z\right), g\left(u_{-}, Z\right)\right)$ is equal to $\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right)^{3}$. It holds that $\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right)^{2}=r_{1}^{2}-4 r_{0}=t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}\left(4 t_{1}-27\right)\left(4 t_{2}-\right.$ $27) /\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{4}$. Hence the equation of the assertion follows.

## § 5. Descent genericity of the sextic polynomial

It is known due to Kemper [4] that a generic polynomial for a finite group $G$ over a field $k$ yieds not only all the Galois $G$-extensions containing $k$ but also all the Galois $H$-extensions containing $k$ for any subgroups $H$ of $G$. In this section we give explicit generic polynomials $P_{H}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)$ for all subgroups $H$ of $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ by the degenerations of the sextic polynomial $P(\mathfrak{t}, Z)$.

Let $\lambda(c), \mu(c)$ and $\nu(c) \in k(c)$ be rational functions over $k$ with one variable $c$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(c)=\frac{3^{3}\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)}{(2 c+1)^{2}}, \quad \mu(c)=\frac{c^{3}}{3^{2}(c-9)^{2}}, \\
& \nu(s)=\lambda([3](c))=\mu(\lambda(c))=\frac{3^{3}\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)^{3}}{(c-1)^{2}(2 c+1)^{2}(c+2)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where [3] is the multiplication by 3 map of the group $T$, that is, $[3](c)=\left(c^{3}-3 c-\right.$ 1) $/\left(3 c^{2}+3 c\right)$. For subgroups $H=\{1\}, \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$, we define polynomials $g_{H}(c, Y) \in k(c)[Y]$ by

$$
g_{\{1\}}(c, Y)=g(\nu(c), Y), \quad g_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(c, Y)=g(\mu(c), Y), \quad g_{\mathcal{C}_{3}}(c, Y)=g(\lambda(c), Y)
$$

and $g_{\mathfrak{S}_{3}}(c, Y)=g(c, Y)$, respectively. By the direct calculation one sees

Lemma 5.1. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{\{1\}}(c, Y)=\left(Z-\frac{3\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)}{(c-1)(c+2)}\right)\left(Z+\frac{3\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)}{(c+2)(2 c+1)}\right)\left(Z+\frac{3\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)}{(c-1)(2 c+1)}\right), \\
& g_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(c, Y)=\left(Z+\frac{c}{c-9}\right)\left(Z^{2}-\frac{c}{c-9} Z-\frac{c^{2}}{3^{2}(c-9)}\right), \\
& \operatorname{disc}_{Z}\left(g_{\{1\}}(c, Y)=\frac{3^{32} c^{2}(c+1)^{2}\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)^{6}}{(c-1)^{6}(2 c+1)^{6}(c+2)^{6}},\right. \\
& \operatorname{disc}_{Z}\left(g_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(c, Y)\right)=\frac{c^{6}(c-27)^{2}(4 c-27)}{3^{6}(c-9)^{6}}, \quad \operatorname{disc}_{Z}\left(g_{\mathcal{C}_{3}}(c, Y)\right)=\frac{3^{10}\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)^{2}}{(2 c+1)^{6}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.2. For each subgroup $H=\{1\}, \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$, the polynomial $g_{H}(c, Y)$ is generic for $H$ over $k$.

Proof. Lemma 5.1 means that $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(c)}\left(g_{\{1\}}(c, Y)\right)=k(c)$ and $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(c)}\left(g_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(c, Y)\right)=$ $k(c, \sqrt{4 c-27})$. Thus $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(c)}\left(g_{\{1\}}(c, Y)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(c)}\left(g_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(c, Y)\right)$ are generic. Lemma 2.3 implies that $\operatorname{Spl}_{k(c)}\left(g_{\mathcal{C}_{3}}(c, Y)\right)=\operatorname{Spl}_{k(c)} f(c, X)$ for $\operatorname{disc}_{Z}\left(g_{\mathcal{C}_{3}}(c, Y)\right) \in k(c)^{2}$. Since $f(c, X)$ is generic for $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ over $k$, so is $g_{\mathcal{C}_{3}}(c, Y)$.

For subgroups $H$ of $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ we define polynomials $P_{H}(\mathfrak{c}, Z) \in k(\mathfrak{c})[Z], \mathfrak{c}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{\{1\}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\nu\left(c_{1}\right), \nu\left(c_{2}\right), Z\right), & P_{\mathfrak{S}_{3}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\nu\left(c_{1}\right), c_{2}, Z\right), \\
P_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\nu\left(c_{1}\right), \mu\left(c_{2}\right), Z\right), & P_{\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}\right)^{2}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\lambda\left(c_{1}\right), \lambda\left(c_{2}\right), Z\right), \\
P_{\mathcal{C}_{3}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\nu\left(c_{1}\right), \lambda\left(c_{2}\right), Z\right), & P_{\mathcal{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\mu\left(c_{1}\right), c_{2}, Z\right), \\
P_{\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)^{2}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\mu\left(c_{1}\right), \mu\left(c_{2}\right), Z\right), & P_{\mathcal{C}_{3} \times \mathfrak{G}_{3}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\lambda\left(c_{1}\right), c_{2}, Z\right), \\
P_{\mathcal{C}_{6}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\mu\left(c_{1}\right), \lambda\left(c_{2}\right), Z\right), & P_{\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, Z\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 5.2 imply
Corollary 5.3. For every subgroup $H$ of $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{2}$ the polynomial $P_{H}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)$ is generic for $H$ over $k$.

Remark 5.4. We omit the description of the discriminants $\operatorname{disc}_{Z} P_{H}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)$ of the polynomials $P_{H}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)=P\left(\varepsilon_{1}\left(c_{1}\right), \varepsilon_{2}\left(c_{2}\right), Z\right)$ since $\operatorname{disc}_{Z} P_{H}(\mathfrak{c}, Z)$ are equal to $\Delta_{P}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\left(c_{1}\right), \varepsilon_{2}\left(c_{2}\right)\right)$, respectively.
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