Delphine Fleury, Stephen Jefferies, Haydn Kuchel and Peter Langridge **Genetic and genomic tools to improve drought tolerance in wheat** Journal of Experimental Botany, 2010; 61(12):3211-3222

© The Author [2010]. Published by Oxford University Press [on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology]. All rights reserved.

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in **Journal of Experimental Botany** following peer review. The version of record Delphine Fleury, Stephen Jefferies, Haydn Kuchel and Peter Langridge **Genetic and genomic tools to improve drought tolerance in wheat** Journal of Experimental Botany, 2010; 61(12):3211-3222 *is available online at:* <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq152</u>

PERMISSIONS

http://www.oxfordjournals.org/en/access-purchase/rights-and-permissions/selfarchiving-policyb.html

Accepted Manuscript

The accepted manuscript is defined here as the final draft author manuscript, as accepted for publication by a journal, including modifications based on referees' suggestions, before it has undergone copyediting and proof correction.

Authors may upload their accepted manuscript PDF to an institutional and/or centrally organized repository, provided that public availability is delayed until **12 months after first online publication** in the journal.

When uploading an accepted manuscript to a repository, authors should include the following acknowledgment as well as a link to the version of record. This will guarantee that the version of record is readily available to those accessing the article from public repositories, and means that the article is more likely to be cited correctly.

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in [insert journal title] *following peer review. The version of record* [insert complete citation information here] *is available online at: xxxxxxx* [insert URL that the author will receive upon publication here].

21 August 2018

1 Genetic and genomic tools to improve drought tolerance in wheat

- 2 Delphine Fleury^{*} and Peter Langridge
- 3 Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics ACPFG, University of Adelaide,
- 4 PMB1, Glen Osmond SA 5064, Australia
- 5 * To whom correspondence should be addressed: E-mail:
 6 <u>delphine.fleury@acpfg.com.au</u>

7 Abstract

Tolerance to drought is a quantitative trait, with a complex phenotype, often 8 confounded by plant phenology. Breeding for drought tolerance is further 9 10 complicated since several types of abiotic stress, such as high temperatures, high irradiance and nutrient toxicities or deficiencies can challenge crop plants 11 12 simultaneously. Although marker-assisted selection is now widely deployed in wheat, it has not contributed significantly to cultivar improvement for adaptation to 13 low yielding environments and breeding has relied largely on direct phenotypic 14 selection for improved performance in these difficult environments. The limited 15 success of the physiological and molecular breeding approaches now suggests that a 16 17 careful rethink is needed of our strategies to better understand and breed for drought tolerance. A research program for increasing drought tolerance of wheat should 18 19 tackle the problem in a multi-disciplinary approach, considering interaction between multiple stresses and plant phenology, and integrating the physiological dissection of 20 drought tolerance traits and the genetic and genomics tools, such as quantitative trait 21 loci (QTL), microarrays and transgenic crops. In this paper, recent advances in the 22 23 genetics and genomics of drought tolerance in wheat and barley are reviewed and used as a base for revisiting approaches to analyze drought tolerance in wheat. We 24

then describe a strategy where we target a specific environment and select appropriate germplasm adapted to the chosen environment based on extensive definition of the morpho-physiological and molecular mechanisms of tolerance of the parents. This information was used to create structured populations and develop models for QTL analysis and positional cloning.

30 The complexity of drought tolerance

Drought tolerance is defined as the ability of a plant to live, grow and reproduce 31 satisfactorily with limited water supply or under periodic conditions of water deficit 32 (Turner, 1979). Crop plants should not only have the ability to survive under drought 33 but also the ability to produce a harvestable yield. Research into the molecular 34 35 aspects of drought tolerance has tended to focus on plant survival at the expense of yield. However, severe water deficits are rare in viable agriculture, and asking how 36 crops respond to or survive extreme drought is unlikely to have much of a practical 37 38 impact (Passioura, 2002). The aim is not to 'convert wheat to a cactus' but to allow 39 wheat to continue to grow and yield grain under water limited conditions.

Drought tolerance is a quantitative trait, with complex phenotype and genetic control 40 41 (McWilliam, 1989). Understanding the genetic basis of drought tolerance in crop plants is a pre-requisite for developing superior genotypes through conventional 42 breeding. Given the complexity of the genetic control of drought tolerance 43 (multigenic, low-heritability and high G x E interactions), marker assisted selection 44 45 has not contributed significantly to cultivar improvement for dry environments and breeding has relied on direct phenotypic selection. There are additional problems in 46 47 investigating the genomics of drought tolerance in species such as wheat: most pathways and candidates can be more effectively studied in model species with 48

49 smaller and sequenced genomes such as *Arabidopsis* and even amongst the cereals 50 there is more extensive data available for rice and maize when compared to wheat. 51 However, recent technological advances and the imperative to ensure sustainable 52 food production has driven research programs to genetically improve this crop 53 despite the size and complexity of the genome (bread wheat is hexaploid with 16 Gb; 54 Feuillet *et al.*, 2008).

55 Breeding for drought tolerance is further complicated by the fact that several types of abiotic stress can challenge crop plants simultaneously. High temperatures, high 56 irradiance, scarcity of water and nutrient deficiencies are commonly encountered 57 58 under normal growing conditions but may not be amenable to management through traditional farm practices. Certain soil properties such as composition and structure 59 can also affect the balance of these different stresses (examples reviewed by 60 61 Whitmore and Whalley, 2009). Higher plants have evolved multiple, interconnected strategies that enable them to survive unpredictable environmental fluctuations. 62 63 However, these strategies are not always well developed in the cereal cultivars grown by farmers. At the molecular scale, pathways and gene networks between 64 abiotic stresses overlap; for example, about 40% of drought or high salinity inducible 65 genes are also induced by cold stress in rice (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 66 2007). Some biochemical mechanisms may have opposing effects under different 67 stresses; therefore tackling tolerance to one stress may lead to sensitivity to another. 68 For example, some plants avoid heat stress by increasing stomatal conductance and 69 consequently evaporative cooling. However, closing the stomata helps to decrease 70 the loss of water and maintain turgor under conditions of low soil water potential. 71 72 The two mechanisms will conflict when high temperature and drought occur simultaneously, which is frequently the case in a Mediterranean climate. Moreover, 73

the osmo-protectant amino acid proline has a toxic effect under heat stress and its accumulation may not be an appropriate tolerance mechanism in field conditions when heat and drought stresses are combined (Rizhsky *et al.*, 2004; Salekdeh *et al.*, 2009). Although the reductionist approach of studying isolated stress has considerably increased our knowledge of tolerance mechanisms, interaction between multiple stresses and stress combinations should be studied so as to make progress relevant to field conditions.

In addition to these confounding environmental factors, a drought research program 81 should also consider plant phenology. By completing its life cycle before the onset 82 of severe water deficit, plants are often able to escape drought (Chaves et al., 2003). 83 This mechanism of avoidance is deployed by rapid phenological development, 84 developmental plasticity and remobilization of pre-anthesis assimilates to grain 85 86 (Turner, 1979). A short life cycle is particularly advantageous in environments with terminal drought stress or where physical or chemical barriers inhibit root growth 87 88 (Bidinger and Witcombe, 1989; Blum, 1988; Turner, 1986). The plant's response to drought can be confounded by the environmental covariates as a result of differing 89 phenology. Plant maturity strongly influences grain yield under dry conditions (Jiang 90 and Zeng, 1995; Ouk et al., 2006). A further confounding factor is plant 91 morphology, particularly plant height and tillering. Small plants with few tillers can 92 show higher Water Use Efficiency (WUE, ratio of the volume of water consumed to 93 the total biomass produced, or ratio of biomass to total evapotranspiration) than tall 94 multi-tillered plants. Since the genotypic variation of WUE is mainly driven by 95 variations in water use rather than by variations in plant assimilation, the selection 96 97 for high WUE may result in smaller plants, instead of high yield under drought (Blum, 2005). Some QTLs for carbon isotope discrimination (a measurement of 98

99 WUE) in wheat were actually associated with variation in heading date and plant 100 height (Rebetzke et al., 2008). Breeding for a shortened crop lifecycle has been a very successful strategy in Mediterranean conditions (Araus et al., 2002). However, 101 102 in well-developed agricultural regions, crop flowering time has already been optimised by breeders so that the plant's phenology matches its environment 103 104 (Passioura, 2007). Therefore research should now focus on optimizing vegetative 105 development to manage biomass and ensure effective assimilates remobilization to grain when water supply becomes limiting. 106

107 QTLs of drought tolerance in wheat and barley

Most QTLs for drought tolerance in wheat and its close relative barley have been 108 109 identified through yield and yield component measurements under water limited conditions (Maccaferri et al., 2008; Mathews et al., 2008; McIntyre et al., 2009; 110 111 Quarrie et al., 2006; von Korff et al., 2008). Although yield is the most relevant trait 112 to breeders, it is very difficult to accurately describe with respect to water use and to 113 identify candidate regions for positional cloning. Few studies have identified QTLs associated with specific components of drought response (Table 3). Although the 114 115 development of gene-based molecular markers and genome sequencing should accelerate positional cloning (reviewed in (Collins et al., 2008), the genomic regions 116 associated to individual QTL are still very large and are usually unsuitable for 117 118 screening in a breeding program.

Despite their importance in drought tolerance, reproductive organs and the root have
attracted little attention in genetic studies. The effect of drought on reproductive
processes has been extensively described in cereals (see review by Barnabas *et al.*,
2008). Passioura (2007) suggested that floral infertility resulting from water deficit

123 could be a promising target for improvement but no QTL studies for this trait have been published in wheat or barley. Improving the competence of the root systems to 124 extract water from the soil also seems an obvious target for genetic analysis. A 125 126 simulation analysis of root trait modification suggested that an extra 10 mm of water extracted during the grain filling would increase yield by 500 kg.ha⁻¹, representing a 127 25% increase of the average wheat yield in Australia (~2000 kg.ha⁻¹) (Manschadi et 128 al., 2006). The identification of markers or genes associated with root growth and 129 130 architecture would be particularly useful for breeding programs to improve root traits 131 by molecular marker-assisted selection. Few papers have described work on the identification of QTLs for root traits in wheat. Ma et al., (2005) found a QTL for 132 133 root-growth rate under Al treatment. QTLs of root traits (primary/lateral root length 134 and number, root dry matter) under control conditions and during nitrogen deficiency 135 were identified in wheat (Laperche et al., 2006). Relative root growth was also used by Jefferies et al., (1999) to map QTL for tolerance to toxic levels of soil boron. 136 137 However, QTLs corresponding to root architecture in dry environments are yet to be 138 discovered in wheat and barley.

Over the past few years there have been several mapping studies that have targeted drought tolerance and other abiotic stress tolerance loci associated with performance in low yielding environments (Table 4). However, despite this substantial research effort the only markers that have found their way into practical plant breeding programs are those for boron and aluminium tolerance (Gupta *et al.*, 2010).

144 'Omics of drought tolerance

145 The tools of genomics offer the means to produce comprehensive datasets on 146 changes in gene expression, protein profiles and metabolites that result from 147 exposure to drought. Comparison of gene expression in Arabidopsis and rice showed that the two species share many common stress-inducible genes (Shinozaki and 148 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2007). Abiotic stress tolerance involves similar transcription 149 150 factors in both dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants and some molecular mechanisms of drought tolerance have been extensively described (reviewed by 151 152 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006). It includes signal transduction cascade and activation/regulation of transcription, functional protection of proteins by late-153 154 embryogenesis abundant proteins (eg dehydrins) and chaperon proteins (eg heat 155 shock proteins), accumulation of osmolytes (proline, glycine betaine, trehalose, mannitol, myo-inositol), induction of chemical antioxidants (ascorbic acid and 156 157 glutathione) and enzymes reducing the toxicity of reactive oxygen species 158 (superoxide dismutase, glutathione S-transferase). Homologous genes of these 159 different classes were also identified in transcriptomic experiments comparing wheat lines grown under well watered and water stressed conditions (Aprile et al., 2009; 160 161 Ergen and Budak, 2009; Ergen et al., 2009; Mohammadi et al., 2007, 2008a; Xue et al., 2006). 162

Despite the existence of common regulatory mechanisms across species, the 163 conservation of the molecular response to dehydration across experiments (Aprile et 164 al., 2009; Mohammadi et al., 2007) is low due to variation in stress dynamics, stage 165 of development and tissue analyzed. Interestingly, microarray assays revealed 166 unexpected results such as a decrease in the expression of glutathione-related genes 167 168 following withholding of water in a tolerant synthetic wheat line (Mohammadi et al., 2007), or the accumulation of proline in drought sensitive emmer wheat line (Ergen 169 170 and Budak, 2009), suggesting that some pathways/mechanisms are dependent upon genotype and the duration, intensity and type of stress applied. 171

172 Comparison of transcript profiles between tolerant and susceptible lines under water stress has revealed differences in regulatory pathways. A drought tolerant emmer 173 wheat genotype showed induction in *bZIP* and *HD-ZIP* gene expression 174 175 (transcription factors known to be related to the ABA regulatory pathway) in response to shock-like drought stress, whereas the sensitive genotype induced some 176 177 genes encoding transcription factors that bind to ethylene responsive elements. The two genotypes also showed differences in expression of the *phospholipase* C gene, 178 179 involved in 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP3) signaling, and MAPK cascade elements (Ergen 180 et al., 2009).

181 A direct link between a gene expression profiles and specific physiological mechanisms has not been demonstrated, probably because the drought stress 182 scenario and the germplasm used for microarrays and physiological experiments are 183 184 seldom the same. Mohammadi et al. (2007) identified drought responsive genes in the root of a synthetic hexaploid wheat line considered as a drought avoider. This 185 186 line retained a higher net photosynthetic rate and relative water content compared to 187 a sensitive line, Opata. However, these researchers could not establish a causal relationship between the transcripts and the maintenance of relative water content. In 188 189 another experiment, the synthetic line showed higher cell membrane stability and a lower rate of water loss from excised leaves, longer roots, larger root:shoot ratios 190 and a larger number of seminal roots than Opata (Mohammadi et al., 2008a). 191

A broad strategy for studying the genetics of drought tolerance in low yielding environments

194 Three major approaches for improving drought tolerance in wheat have been195 employed for many years:

 The empirical selection for yield under water limited condition. This has been widely used and the good performance of modern cultivars is testimony to the success of this approach. However, there are clear signs that the rates of gain are declining and are insufficient to meet demand (Tester and Langridge, 200 2010).

Define physiological ideotypes for improved yield under water limited
 conditions, identify sources of variation for these traits and introduce these
 traits into elite varieties (Reynolds *et al.*, 2009; Richards *et al.*, 2010).
 Although this approach has been followed for several decades it has met with
 only limited success. The use of carbon isotope discrimination to screen for
 WUE is probably the only trait to lead to new cultivars.

207 3. Marker assisted selection based around screening for desirable alleles at QTL 208 for drought tolerance. Despite many publications of QTL associated with 209 drought tolerance (Table 4) a recent survey of molecular markers being 210 deployed in wheat breeding programs failed to identify a single case where a drought or drought related marker was being implemented (Gupta et al., 211 212 2010). The survey found that almost 50 loci were currently being tracked with molecular markers but the only loci associated with performance in low 213 214 yielding environments were for tolerance to high soil boron (Bol), 215 aluminium toxicity (Almt-1), nematode resistance (cereal cyst and root lesion 216 nematodes) and plant height. This strongly implies that the previous drought 217 QTL studies have failed to identify loci of value to wheat breeding programs. 218 The limited success of the physiological and molecular breeding approaches until

now suggests a careful rethink is needed of our strategies to better understand andbreed for drought tolerance. Some of the new plant genomic techniques and

platforms may allow us to overcome the previous limitations but some may simplylead us further down the tried and failed paths.

A research program for increasing drought tolerance of wheat should tackle the 223 problem in a multi-disciplinary approach, integrating the physiological dissection of 224 drought tolerance traits and the genetic and genomics tools, such as quantitative trait 225 loci (QTL), microarrays and transgenic crops. To do so, teams should include 226 molecular biologists, physiologists and breeders. This is the approach taken in major 227 228 companies which have successfully demonstrated application of patented technologies for drought tolerance in the field (Passioura, 2007). In this paper, we 229 230 describe a strategy where we target a specific environment, select appropriate germplasm adapted to the given environment to create structured populations, 231 232 extensively describe the morpho-physiological and molecular mechanisms of 233 tolerance of the parents and combine this knowledge in models for QTL analysis and positional cloning (Figure 1). These various components of the drought genetics 234 235 strategy are discussed below.

236 Target a realistic and specific environment

Firstly, if the strategy is to have practical relevance it should look at drought tolerance under field conditions. Molecular biologists have often reported the effect of genes on drought tolerance in unrealistic environments (dessication of detached leaves or of seedlings transferred from hydroponics to air, osmotic shock by applying polyethylene glycol) and rarely proved their phenotype in the field and their expected value in breeding (Blum, 2005; Passioura, 2007).

243 Secondly, it is clear that there are different types of drought and that plants have244 developed different morpho-physiological mechanisms to address them. For

245 example, low water use by moderated growth is an advantage in dry land conditions 246 of stored soil moisture (eastern Australia type) but might be detrimental in other environments where drought may develop at any time during the season (Western 247 248 Australia type) (Blum, 2005). Plants have developed different root architecture to optimize the timing of water extraction from soil depending of the drought 249 250 conditions. A compact and deep root system with a uniform root branching pattern 251 reduces water use early in the season but increases access to water during grain 252 filling and increases yield in conditions of stored soil moisture (Manschadi et al., 253 2006). In the Mediterranean environment, with seasonal rainfall and terminal 254 drought, a large and shallow root system allows water extraction early in the season in the top soil layers where the water is available (Manschadi et al., 2006). 255

Due to strong G x E interactions, a QTL can have positive, null or negative additive 256 257 effects depending on the drought conditions (Collins et al., 2008). Many QTLs identified for response to drought are not "stable" in different environments. Yang et 258 259 al., (2007) found that 7 of 10 significantly additive QTLs for stem water-soluble 260 carbohydrates content (compound which are stored for further grain filling in dry conditions) in wheat interacted with the environment. Thus, a research program on 261 262 drought tolerance should first define a target drought scenario. Salekdeh et al. (2009) proposed the creation of a Minimum Information About Drought Experiment 263 (MIADE) as a standard for the drought community, similar to the MIAME standard 264 for transcriptomics data. The environment of South Australia, which is the focus of 265 266 the strategy presented in this paper, is a Mediterranean-type of drought (Izanloo et al., 2008). Wheat production relies on rainfall during the growing season when 267 268 precipitation decreases with the rise of temperature during spring. The availability of water is cyclic with a succession of precipitation and drought periods from anthesis 269

to grain-filling stages. The drought is combined with a cyclic heat stress between
rainfall events. Additional stress factors such as high wind, high irradiance, low air
humidity, hostile subsoil with salinity, boron toxicity and nutrient deficiency are
common in Southern Australia.

274 **Population structure for genetic study of drought tolerance**

The size and structure of the population used for genetic analysis must be carefully 275 assessed. Previous attempts by our group to use association mapping or 276 277 multiparental populations to study the genetics of drought were not regarded as successful. These studies simply identified loci controlling phenology, largely 278 279 maturity, height and tillering, as major components of the drought response. The loci identified were all previously known and had little practical relevance. As described 280 281 below, our approach was to use several large populations based exclusively on 282 parents adapted to our targeted environment but differing in drought responses.

283 Germplasm should be selected based on the likelihood that the lines will produce valuable new genetic combination of direct and immediate relevance to breeding 284 programs developing cultivars for the target environment. Selection for drought 285 286 tolerance should not have a significant negative effect on other selection targets in a breeding program, such as maturity, height, disease resistance and grain quality. The 287 use of elite varieties in the targeted environment has some benefits: the lines can be 288 used directly in breeding program. Moreover, alleles discovered in non-elite 289 germplasm might not lead to improvement because it was already selected during the 290 291 development of elite wheat cultivars (Collins et al., 2008).

Based around comprehensive field data generated during a severe drought in the
2001/2002 season, a genetics-based drought strategy was devised with Australian

294 wheat breeders to select parental lines for the development of segregating populations. Elite cultivars and breeding lines were screened and assessed based on 295 their grain yield under severe water limitation in South Australian environment. A 296 297 trait summary for the parental lines described below is presented in Table 1. The two lines Excalibur and RAC875 represent major sources of drought tolerance in the 298 Southern Australian environment. RAC875 was also believed to show superior 299 tolerance to heat stress during grain filling relative to other material in the breeding 300 programs. In the severe drought over the 2006 season where average yields at our 301 302 field sites were only 0.8 t/ha, Excalibur and RAC875 were consistently higher yielding than other varieties (116% and 122% of the site means, respectively). 303 304 Excalibur and RAC875 show similar behaviour under drought and out-yielded the 305 variety Kukri, which was chosen as drought sensitive parent, by 10 to 40% under 306 severe water stress (data provided by Dr. Steve Jefferies). Fig. 2 represents the percentage of yield production of four of the wheat cultivars on the basis of site 307 means with an average grain yield below 3.0 t.ha⁻¹ (the data is based on the 2009 308 National Varietal trials and did not include RAC875). The parental line Drysdale 309 was selected based on the carbon isotope discrimination screen, while Gladius is a 310 new variety released in 2007 and is based on Excalibur and RAC875 311 312 (http://pbr.ipaustralia.plantbreeders.gov.au/). Gladius has been the highest yielding 313 variety in the severe droughts of 2001/2002 and 2006/2007. Thus, this population will allow us to investigate the significance of the C-isotope discrimination trait 314 relative to other factors and also allow confirmation of loci identified from the other 315 316 two populations. Importantly, Gladius also shows the heat tolerance seen in RAC875. This is likely to be significant both in determining general tolerance to 317

drought stress but may also be of importance in lifting yield and grain quality inirrigated environments.

For two populations, Excalibur x Kukri and RAC875 x Kukri, doubled haploid (DH) 320 populations (approximately 300 for each cross) and genetic maps have been 321 322 generated, and seeds have been multiplied in Australia, in India (DRW, Karnal), in Mexico (CIMMYT) and Syria (ICARDA). Field data was collected from trials sown 323 in Australia and Mexico in 2006, 2007 and 2008. Both crosses have also been used 324 325 to generate large single seed descent populations (SSD or recombinant inbred lines, RILs) of 3,000 lines, which have been taken to F5. For the population of Gladius x 326 327 Drysdale, 5,000 RILs have been produced, and a subset of 250 lines used for preparation of a preliminary map. In total, over 10,000 lines have been developed for 328 the drought work. This represents a unique resource for studying the genetic control 329 330 of drought tolerance in wheat. The nature of the populations means that they are of immediate relevance to wheat breeding programs targeted to low yielding 331 332 Mediterranean type environments and they are being used directly for selecting new 333 breeding lines.

334 The basic strategy for deploying these populations is to use the small doubled haploid populations and 250 randomly selected SSD lines for the Gladius x Drysdale 335 for preliminary mapping of a wide range of drought related traits under controlled 336 glasshouse and field conditions. In addition, confounding factors such as boron and 337 salinity tolerance and nematode resistance and tolerance (both cereal cyst and root 338 339 lesion nematodes) have been assessed. Since the major loci controlling boron tolerance and nematode resistance are known (Gupta et al., 2010), these can be 340 341 readily accounted for but salt and nematode tolerance must be measured. The field

data have been generated at multiple sites in Australia and at droughted and irrigatedtrials at the CIMMYT station at Obregon in Mexico.

The SSD populations are sufficiently large (3,000 for each cross) to permit 344 immediate transfer to positional cloning projects and detailed genetic dissection of 345 traits. They also provide an opportunity to explore epistatic interactions. As loci 346 influencing particular components of drought tolerance are identified these will be 347 used to divide the population for a second round of analysis. With populations of 348 3,000 lines we can explore the behaviour of five or six loci alone or in combination. 349 This will allow complex genetic interactions to be explored. In particular, this 350 351 approach may permit analysis of genome interactions. Subsets of lines can also be selected to fix genes of major effect such as the flowering time genes Vrn or Ppd to 352 353 overcome the confounding effect of maturity on other traits (Distelfeld *et al.*, 2009).

354 Extensive description of the drought tolerant and sensitive parental lines

During the period of population development, detailed analyses of the parents can help define the physiological, biochemical and molecular components of drought response and the difference in behavior of parents. This information provides an opportunity for determining the relative significance and reliability of different phenotyping options in the segregating populations and also provides valuable resources to support gene discovery work.

The growth of the three key parents, Kukri, Excalibur and RAC875 has been analysed in detail in controlled conditions and under a cyclic drought regime that reproduces the Southern Australian environment or Mediterranean type drought stress (Izanloo *et al.*, 2008). Interestingly, Excalibur and RAC875 showed different strategies of tolerance to the same drought scenario. In the drought treatment,

366 RAC875 produced fewer tillers, maintained a higher number of grains per tiller and 367 showed moderate osmotic adjustment (Table 2). The mechanism of tolerance of RAC875 seemed more conservative: under both well-watered and dry conditions, 368 369 plants stored more water soluble carbohydrates in the stem, and leaves were more waxed and thicker, showing constitutive aspects of tolerance to drought. The 370 371 genotype Excalibur showed higher osmotic adjustment, low ABA content, high 372 stomatal conductance and rapid recovery after stress. The plant morphology and 373 yield component reflected this responsive mechanism: leaves rolled in dry conditions 374 reducing radiation interception; a high number of tillers were produced and then aborted in water-limiting conditions; the plants were able to compensate for the tiller 375 376 abortion by producing more grains per tiller after recovery. Excalibur showed a more 377 responsive mechanism with a strong interaction with environmental conditions.

378 Another component of the parental analysis is the generation of molecular and 379 biochemical data on the drought responses. 'Omics profiles of the wheat parents 380 Excalibur, RAC875 and Kukri have been developed during a cyclic drought under 381 the same conditions as used for the morpho-physiological study by Izanloo et al. (2008). We have used the Triticum aestivum 17K oligo microarray developed by the 382 Genome Canada/Genome Prairie/Genome Quebec program Functional Genomics of 383 Abiotic Stress (FGAS), the USDA-ARS-Genomics and Gene Discovery Research 384 Unit and the Australian Centre Plant Functional Genomics. The probe sequences of 385 the microchip were from cold-stressed cv Norstar crown and leaf, cold and salt 386 387 stressed root, unstressed controls, NSF-mapped ESTs, wheat zygotic and early embryo and ESTs of genes involved in cell-wall metabolism. Two treatments (cyclic 388 389 drought and well-watered) of the three wheat lines, 5 sampling time-points (during development of drought, before and after rewatering) with 5 biological replicates per 390

391 sampling time-point and 3 tissues per replicate (leaf, stem and spike) generated more 392 than 450 samples. Preliminary results of leaf samples showed a total of 6,537 393 differentially expressed genes. A database, DroughtComparator, is being developed 394 to support analysis and interpretation of the data. This database will be publicly 395 released on completion of the experiment. A cDNA series, for use in mRNA 396 quantification by Q-PCR, is now being used to validate the gene expression profiles.

Metabolite profiles of leaves and grains have also been generated during the same 397 experiment. The results showed significantly different responses to drought stress for 398 RAC875, Kukri and Excalibur. Interestingly, the control and water-stress data 399 400 formed distinct clusters for Excalibur, the drought responsive genotype. Other cell components such as fructans, betaines, lipids, waxes are being measured. Detailed 401 402 comparison with the transcript data should help us to understand the biochemical 403 bases and regulation of the different tolerance mechanisms of Excalibur and RAC875. 404

405 Use of mathematical models for QTL analysis

A growing concept in biology is the use of mathematical models to understand complex trait such as yield during drought. The procedure consists of dissecting the phenotype and the response to environment into elementary and simpler responses (Reymond *et al.*, 2003; Tardieu, 2003). Such modeling has been successfully used to study leaf growth in maize (Chenu *et al.*, 2009). Manschadi *et al.*, (2006) proposed integration of a physiological understanding with plant breeding to develop mechanistic crop models and design ideotypes to targeted environments.

413 The problem of non-stable QTL because of the differences of environmental 414 conditions between experiments (Reymond *et al.*, 2003) may be overcome by 415 measuring accurately the environmental variables and using ecophysiological 416 models. Combining QTL and ecophysiological models can help predict a phenotype from combinations of alleles by analyzing QTL for each parameter of the model. For 417 418 example, the response of leaf growth to temperature and water deficit in maize has 419 been broken down into traits such as intrinsic elongation rate (Reymond et al., 2003; 420 Tardieu, 2003). The QTL for each trait did not coincide with the same regions of the 421 genome suggesting that the traits were regulated by different genes. In this approach 422 the QTL correspond to well-defined functions and hypotheses about the function of 423 the genes underlying the QTL are likely to be more accurate and the number of 424 candidate genes narrower than in convention QTL mapping.

The gene networks are regulated and coordinated so that a plant react in a predictable 425 way to a given environmental condition (Tardieu, 2003). The 'omics datasets serve 426 427 to help develop models of networks and pathways that are triggered in particular genotypes in response to drought. The network information can feed directly into 428 429 building models of the relationship of specific pathways and processes to the 430 physiological responses to drought. The comparison of gene regulatory networks and QTL for each parameter of the model could also help identify candidate genes for 431 QTL cloning. By studying all possible aspects from molecular aspects to plant 432 physiology in the same germplasm (RAC875, Excalibur, Kukri) and in a specific 433 drought scenario (terminal drought of South Australia), we should be able to dissect 434 the phenotype from gene to a plant mechanism and build the appropriate models. 435 The approach is empowered by the use of the same germplasm for creating the 436 genetic populations (DH and RIL) and allowing the analysis of different allelic 437 combinations. 438

439 The QTL under fine-mapping at the ACPFG

440 Genetic maps using DArT and SSR markers have been constructed for Excalibur x 441 Kukri, RAC875 x Kukri and Gladius x Drysdale populations. For each population, between 600 and 1,000 markers have been included in the maps. The two Kukri 442 443 populations were grown at over ten sites in Southern Australian and at the CIMMYT field site in Obregon, for the past three years. There are now data available for over 444 445 20 sites per year. In addition to standard assessment of lines during the growing season and recording of detailed climatic data at each site, the soil at the field sites 446 447 was sampled extensively and assessed for nutrient levels and the presence of soil 448 pathogens and pests. The sites range from severe drought stress with average yields at below 0.5 t.ha⁻¹ to sites where average yields were around 7 t.ha⁻¹. The Excalibur x 449 450 Kukri population has also been screened for tolerance to resistance to nematodes 451 (both cereal cyst and root lesion), boron toxicity, sodium exclusion and high pH 452 using established lab based hydroponic assays.

453 The first targets for positional cloning were common loci that appeared in both Kukri 454 populations. For the target loci around 6,000 RILs are available to support fine mapping. Four loci have been identified for positional cloning: a locus on 455 456 chromosome 1B associated with yield under drought, a locus on 3B associated with yield under heat stress and also canopy temperature suppression, a 6A locus that 457 458 shows a correlation between flag leaf width and grain size and a 7A locus that shows 459 increased spike length, higher grain number and increased yield, particularly under 460 severe drought stress (Izanloo et al., unpublished results). Loci of potential value to 461 the breeding programs will be confirmed using the larger RILs populations and through analysis of selected lines from the Gladius x Drysdale population. These will 462 463 be made available to breeding programs as rapidly as possible.

464 Moving forward to positional cloning of drought tolerance QTLs

465 Fine mapping of a drought response may define a QTL to a region containing a large number of genes. Genes that show a drought responsive expression pattern or may 466 467 encode an enzyme or other protein involved in a metabolic pathway that responds to 468 drought stress, would become strong candidates for further analysis. In maize, 22 differentially expressed genes were identified in a microarray experiment on four 469 470 susceptible and tolerant recombinant inbred lines, co-located on the genetic map with QTLs for drought tolerance (Marino et al., 2009). A physical map of genes involved 471 in drought tolerance has been attempted in wheat by Ramalingam et al. (2006) who 472 assigned 259 EST (811 loci) to chromosome deletion bins of wheat. However, the 473 analysis of transcript profiles in wheat is impaired by the absence of a genome 474 sequence and knowledge of homeologous sequences. The comparison of QTL and 475 476 microarray data is also difficult due to the low number of sequence-based markers in the wheat genetic map. To overcome the problem, a SNP database is under 477

478 construction based on deep sequencing (over 1 million reads) of normalized full479 length cDNA of the Australian parental lines described above. Preliminary results
480 indicated that this work will generate around 30,000 SNPs per cross, which should
481 greatly facilitate the fine-mapping of the QTL and the use of grass synteny to select
482 candidate genes for cloning. It will also facilitate the genetic mapping of drought
483 responsive genes in Excalibur and RAC875 identified by the microarray and reverse
484 genetics programs.

Major changes in gene expression, protein or metabolite profiles can be directly 485 mapped onto the mapping populations. Coincidence of loci controlling gene 486 expression (eQTL), protein (pQTL) or metabolite (mQTL) with physiological or 487 yield related loci, indicates possible biochemical processes underlying the 488 physiological response. The co-localization of eQTL and physiological QTL for 489 490 diverse traits showed that it may facilitate the identification of candidate genes and accelerate positional cloning (Kliebenstein, 2009). The gene expression profile of 491 492 lines in a mapping population using Affymetrix microarrays successfully identified 493 eQTL associated with seed development in wheat (Jordan et al., 2007) and resistance to leaf rust in barley (Chen et al., 2010b). This method also allows the identification 494 of *trans* eQTL and provides valuable information on the regulatory network involved 495 in different tolerance mechanisms. However, the cost of microarray analysis for a 496 segregating population is prohibitive. To reduce the cost of such experiment, Xue et 497 al. (2006) compared the transcript profiles of selected progeny lines showing 498 499 difference in transpiration efficiency (carbon isotope discrimination). They identified 93 differentially expressed genes between high and low transpiration efficiency lines 500 501 of a wheat progeny Quarrion/Genaro. In a similar approach, recombinant lines of the Excalibur/Kukri and RAC875/Kukri population will be selected based on the 502

503 outcomes of field screening and will also feed into the metabolomics and 504 transcription profiling projects.

505 Conclusions

Our knowledge of the mechanism of drought tolerance has been enhanced by 506 research programs targeting specific physiological, genetics or molecular aspect of 507 the drought response. However, in wheat these approaches have not lead to an 508 increase in tolerance over that already achieved by breeders using empirical 509 510 selection. Although the idea of linking physiology, 'omics and quantitative genetics have been already proposed, only few research programs have taken this integrative 511 512 approach. The great strength of genetic and genomics analysis in wheat has been the ability to generate large populations and well developed field phenotyping 513 514 capabilities. However, genetic studies have often been too ambitious and not permitted effective dissection of the drought response or focused on specific drought 515 scenarios or regimes. Analysis of response to drought has been further complicated 516 517 by the absence of a genome sequence and the generally poor genomics resources has 518 been limiting. New developments in sequencing, marker development and genome analysis have created the opportunity to revisit the way in which we structure 519 populations for analysis and tackle specific components of drought tolerance. 520 521 Phenotyping has now become the major cost and rate-limiting step in the genetic analysis of drought tolerance and many other traits, and the development of rapid 522 and cheap procedures to characterize components of the drought response will be 523 524 critical in improving genetic resolution.

525 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr Ute Baumann and Dr Ali Izanloo for providing criticalinformation on the parental lines used in this study. The work was supported through

- funding from the Australian Research Council, The Grains Research and
 Development Corporation, The Government of South Australia, and the Government
 of NSW.
- 531 **References**
- 532 Aprile A, Mastrangelo AM, De Leonardis AM, Galiba G, Roncaglia E, Ferrari
- 533 F, De Bellis L, Turchi L, Giuliano G, Cattivelli L. 2009. Transcriptional profiling
- 534 in response to terminal drought stress reveals differential responses along the wheat
- 535 genome. *BioMed Central Genomics* **10**, 279.
- 536 Araus JL, Slafer GA, Reynolds MP, Royo C. 2002. Plant breeding and drought in
- 537 C3 cereals: what should we breed for? *Annals of Botany* **89 Spec No**, 925-940.
- 538 Baga M, Chodaparambil SV, Limin AE, Pecar M, Fowler DB, Chibbar RN.
- 539 2007. Identification of quantitative trait loci and associated candidate genes for low-
- 540 temperature tolerance in cold-hardy winter wheat. Functional and Integrative
- 541 *Genomics* **7**, 53-68.
- 542 Balint AF, Roder MS, Hell R, Galiba G, Borner A. 2007. Mapping of QTLs
- 543 affecting copper tolerance and the Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn contents in the shoots of wheat
- seedlings. *Biologia Plantarum* **51**, 129-134.
- 545 Balint AF, Szira F, Roder MS, Galiba G, Borner A. 2009. Mapping of loci
- 546 affecting copper tolerance in wheat The possible impact of the vernalization gene
- 547 *Vrn-A1. Environmental and Experimental Botany* **65**, 369-375.
- 548 Barnabas B, Jager K, Feher A. 2008. The effect of drought and heat stress on
- reproductive processes in cereals. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **31**, 11-38.
- 550 Bidinger FR, Witcombe JR. 1989. Evaluation of specific drought avoidance traits
- as selection criteria for improvement of drought resistance. In: Baker FWG, ed.
- 552 Drought resistance in cereals: C.A.B International, 151-164.

- Blum A. 1988. *Plant breeding for stress environments*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC
 Press.
- 555 **Blum A**. 2005. Drought resistance, water-use efficiency, and yield potential are 556 they compatible, dissonant, or mutually exclusive? *Australian Journal of* 557 *Agricultural Research* **56**, 1159-1168.
- 558 Cai SB, Bai GH, Zhang DD. 2008. Quantitative trait loci for aluminum resistance
- in Chinese wheat landrace FSW. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **117**, 49-56.
- 560 Chaves MM, Maroco JP, Pereira JS. 2003. Understanding plant responses to
- drought from genes to the whole plant. *Functional Plant Biology* **30**, 239-264.
- 562 Chen GX, Krugman T, Fahima T, Chen KG, Hu YG, Roder M, Nevo E, Korol
- 563 A. 2010a. Chromosomal regions controlling seedling drought resistance in Israeli
- wild barley, *Hordeum spontaneum* C. Koch. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*565 57, 85-99.
- 566 Chen X, Hackett CA, Niks RE, Hedley PE, Booth C, Druka A, Marcel TC, Vels
- 567 A, Bayer M, Milne I, Morris J, Ramsay L, Marshall D, Cardle L, Waugh R.
- 2010b. An eQTL analysis of partial resistance to *Puccinia hordei* in barley. *PLoS One* 5, e8598.
- 570 Chenu K, Chapman SC, Tardieu F, McLean G, Welcker C, Hammer GL. 2009.
- Simulating the yield impacts of organ-level quantitative trait loci associated with
 drought response in maize: a "gene-to-phenotype" modeling approach. *Genetics* 183,
 1507-1523.
- 574 Collins NC, Tardieu F, Tuberosa R. 2008. Quantitative trait loci and crop
 575 performance under abiotic stress: where do we stand? *Plant Physiology* 147, 469576 486.

577 Diab AA, Teulat-Merah B, This D, Ozturk NZ, Benscher D, Sorrells ME. 2004.

- 578 Identification of drought-inducible genes and differentially expressed sequence tags
- in barley. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **109**, 1417-1425.
- 580 Distelfeld A, Li C, Dubcovsky J. 2009. Regulation of flowering in temperate
 581 cereals. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 12, 178-184.
- 582 Ergen NZ, Budak H. 2009. Sequencing over 13,000 expressed sequence tags from
- six subtractive cDNA libraries of wild and modern wheats following slow drought
- stress. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **32**, 220-236.
- 585 Ergen NZ, Thimmapuram J, Bohnert HJ, Budak H. 2009. Transcriptome 586 pathways unique to dehydration tolerant relatives of modern wheat. *Functional and*
- 587 *Integrative Genomics* **9**, 377-396.
- Feuillet C, Langridge P, Waugh R. 2008. Cereal breeding takes a walk on the wild
 side. *Trends in Genetics* 24, 24-32.
- 590 Guo PG, Baum M, Varshney RK, Graner A, Grando S, Ceccarelli S. 2008.
- 591 QTLs for chlorophyll and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in barley under post-
- flowering drought. *Euphytica* **163**, 203-214.
- 593 Gupta P, Langridge P, Mir R. 2010. Marker-assisted wheat breeding: present
- status and future possibilities. *Molecular Breeding* doi: 10.1007/s11032-009-9359-7.
- 595 Izanloo A, Condon AG, Langridge P, Tester M, Schnurbusch T. 2008. Different
- 596 mechanisms of adaptation to cyclic water stress in two South Australian bread wheat
- cultivars. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 3327-3346.
- 598 Jefferies SP, Barr AR, Karakousis A, Kretschmer JM, Manning S, Chalmers
- 599 **KJ, Nelson JC, Islam AKMR, Langridge P**. 1999. Mapping of chromosome 600 regions conferring boron toxicity tolerance in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.).
- 601 *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **98**, 1293-1303.

- Jiang C, Zeng ZB. 1995. Multiple trait analysis of genetic mapping for quantitative
 trait loci. *Genetics* 140, 1111-1127.
- **Jordan MC, Somers DJ, Banks TW**. 2007. Identifying regions of the wheat genome controlling seed development by mapping expression quantitative trait loci.
- 606 *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **5**, 442-453.
- 607 Kirigwi FM, Van Ginkel M, Brown-Guedira G, Gill BS, Paulsen GM, Fritz AK.
- 608 2007. Markers associated with a QTL for grain yield in wheat under drought.
 609 *Molecular Breeding* 20, 401-413.
- Kliebenstein D. 2009. Quantitative genomics: analyzing intraspecific variation
 using global gene expression polymorphisms or eQTLs. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 60, 93-114.
- Langridge P, Paltridge N, Fincher G. 2006. Functional genomics of abiotic stress
 tolerance in cereals. *Briefings in Functional Genomics and Proteomics* 4, 343-354.
- Laperche A, Le Gouis J, Hanocq E, Brancourt-Hulmel M. 2008. Modelling
 nitrogen stress with probe genotypes to assess genetic parameters and genetic
 determinism of winter wheat tolerance to nitrogen constraint. *Euphytica* 161, 259271.
- Laperche A, Devienne-Barret F, Maury O, Le Gouis J, Ney B. 2006. A
 simplified conceptual model of carbon/nitrogen functioning for QTL analysis of
 winter wheat adaptation to nitrogen deficiency. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*113, 1131-1146.
- Li HB, Vaillancourt R, Mendham N, Zhou MX. 2008. Comparative mapping of
 quantitative trait loci associated with waterlogging tolerance in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *BioMed Central Genomics* 9, doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-401.

- Ma HX, Bai GH, Lu WZ. 2006. Quantitative trait loci for aluminum resistance in
 wheat cultivar Chinese Spring. *Plant and Soil* 283, 239-249.
- Ma HX, Bai GH, Carver BF, Zhou LL. 2005. Molecular mapping of a quantitative
 trait locus for aluminum tolerance in wheat cultivar Atlas 66. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 112, 51-57.
- 631 Ma LQ, Zhou EF, Huo NX, Zhou RH, Wang GY, Jia JZ. 2007. Genetic analysis
- of salt tolerance in a recombinant inbred population of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Euphytica* 153, 109-117.
- 634 Maccaferri M, Sanguineti MC, Corneti S, Ortega JL, Salem MB, Bort J,
- 635 DeAmbrogio E, del Moral LF, Demontis A, El-Ahmed A, Maalouf F, Machlab
- 636 H, Martos V, Moragues M, Motawaj J, Nachit M, Nserallah N, Ouabbou H,
- 637 Royo C, Slama A, Tuberosa R. 2008. Quantitative trait loci for grain yield and
- 638 adaptation of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) across a wide range of water
- 639 availability. *Genetics* **178**, 489-511.
- 640 Manschadi AM, Christopher J, Devoil P, Hammer GL. 2006. The role of root
- 641 architectural traits in adaptation of wheat to water-limited environments. *Functional*
- 642 *Plant Biology* **33**, 823-837.
- 643 Marino R, Ponnaiah M, Krajewski P, Frova C, Gianfranceschi L, Pe ME, Sari-
- 644 Gorla M. 2009. Addressing drought tolerance in maize by transcriptional profiling
- and mapping. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* **281**, 163-179.
- 646 Mathews KL, Malosetti M, Chapman S, McIntyre L, Reynolds M, Shorter R,
- 647 van Eeuwijk F. 2008. Multi-environment QTL mixed models for drought stress
- adaptation in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **117**, 1077-1091.
- 649 McIntyre CL, Mathews KL, Rattey A, Chapman SC, Drenth J, Ghaderi M,
- 650 **Reynolds M, Shorter R**. 2009. Molecular detection of genomic regions associated

- with grain yield and yield-related components in an elite bread wheat cross evaluated
- under irrigated and rainfed conditions. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 120, 527541.
- McWilliam J. 1989. The dimensions of drought. In: Baker F, ed. *Drought resistance in cereals*. Wallingford, UK: C A B International, 1-11.
- 656 **Mohammadi M, Kav NN, Deyholos MK**. 2007. Transcriptional profiling of 657 hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) roots identifies novel, dehydration-658 responsive genes. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **30**, 630-645.
- 659 Mohammadi M, Kav NN, Deyholos MK. 2008a. Transcript expression profile of
- 660 water-limited roots of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* 'Opata'). *Genome* **51**, 357-
- 661 <u>367</u>.
- Mohammadi V, Zali AA, Bihamta MR. 2008b. Mapping QTLs for heat tolerance
 in wheat. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology* 10, 261-267.
- 664 Navakode S, Weidner A, Varshney RK, Lohwasser U, Scholz U, Borner A.
- 665 2009. A QTL analysis of aluminium tolerance in barley, using gene-based markers.
- 666 *Cereal Research Communications* **37**, 531-540.
- 667 Ouk M, Basnayake J, Tsubo M, Fukai S, Fischer KS, Cooper M, Nesbitt H.
- 668 2006. Use of drought response index for identification of drought tolerant genotypes
- 669 in rainfed lowland rice. *Field Crops Research* **99**, 48-58.
- 670 **Passioura J**. 2007. The drought environment: physical, biological and agricultural
- 671 perspectives. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **58**, 113-117.
- 672 Passioura JB. 2002. Environmental biology and crop improvement. Functional
- 673 *Plant Biology* **29**, 537-546.

- 674 Peleg Z, Fahima T, Krugman T, Abbo S, Yakir D, Korol AB, Saranga Y. 2009.
- 675 Genomic dissection of drought resistance in durum wheat x wild emmer wheat
- recombinant inbreed line population. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **32**, 758-779.
- 677 Quarrie SA, Quarrie SP, Radosevic R, Rancic D, Kaminska A, Barnes JD,
- 678 Leverington M, Ceoloni C, Dodig D. 2006. Dissecting a wheat QTL for yield
- 679 present in a range of environments: from the QTL to candidate genes. Journal of
- 680 *Experimental Botany* **57**, 2627-2637.
- 681 Ramalingam J, Pathan MS, Miftahudin OF, Ross K, Ma XF, Mahmoud AA,
- 682 Layton J, Rodriguez-Milia MA, Chikmawati T, Valliyodan B, Skinner R,
- 683 Matthews DE, Gustafson JP, Nguyen HT. 2006. Structural and functional analyses
- 684 of the wheat genomes based on expressed sequence tags (ESTs) related to abiotic
- 685 stresses. *Genome* **49**, 1324-1340.
- Rebetzke GJ, Condon AG, Farquhar GD, Appels R, Richards RA. 2008.
 Quantitative trait loci for carbon isotope discrimination are repeatable across
 environments and wheat mapping populations. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*118, 123-137.
- Reymond M, Muller B, Leonardi A, Charcosset A, Tardieu F. 2003. Combining
 quantitative trait loci analysis and an ecophysiological model to analyze the genetic
 variability of the responses of maize leaf growth to temperature and water deficit. *Plant Physiology* 131, 664-675.
- Reynolds M, Manes Y, Izanloo A, Langridge P. 2009. Phenotyping approaches for
 physiological breeding and gene discovery in wheat. *Annals of Applied Biology* 155,
 309-320.
- Richards RA, Rebetzke GJ, Watt M, Condon AG, Spielmeyer W, Dolferus R.
 2010. Breeding for improved water productivity in temperate cereals: phenotyping,

- quantitative trait loci, markers and the selection environment. *Functional PlantBiology* 37, 85-97.
- Rizhsky L, Liang HJ, Shuman J, Shulaev V, Davletova S, Mittler R. 2004. When
 Defense pathways collide. The response of Arabidopsis to a combination of drought
 and heat stress. *Plant Physiology* 134, 1683-1696.
- Salekdeh GH, Reynolds M, Bennett J, Boyer J. 2009. Conceptual framework for
 drought phenotyping during molecular breeding. *Trends in Plant Science* 14, 488496.
- 707 Salem KFM, Roder MS, Borner A. 2007. Identification and mapping quantitative
- trait loci for stem reserve mobilisation in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Cereal
- 709 *Research Communications* **35**, 1367-1374.
- 710 Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2007. Gene networks involved in drought
- stress response and tolerance. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **58**, 221-227.
- 712 Tardieu F. 2003. Virtual plants: modelling as a tool for the genomics of tolerance to
- 713 water deficit. *Trends in Plant Science* **8**, 9-14.
- 714 Tester M, Langridge P. 2010. Breeding technologies to increase crop production in
- 715 a changing world. *Science* **327**, 818-822.
- 716 Teulat B, Borries C, This D. 2001. New QTLs identified for plant water status,
- 717 water-soluble carbohydrate and osmotic adjustment in a barley population grown in
- a growth-chamber under two water regimes. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **103**,
- 719 161-170.
- 720 Teulat B, Merah O, Sirault X, Borries C, Waugh R, This D. 2002. QTLs for
- 721 grain carbon isotope discrimination in field-grown barley. *Theoretical and Applied*
- 722 *Genetics* **106**, 118-126.

- 723 Teulat B, Zoumarou-Wallis N, Rotter B, Ben Salem M, Bahri H, This D. 2003.
- 724 QTL for relative water content in field-grown barley and their stability across
- 725 Mediterranean environments. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **108**, 181-188.
- 726 **Turner NC**. 1979. Drought resistance and adaptation to water deficits in crop plants.
- 727 In: Mussell H, C.Staples R, eds. Stress physiology in crop plants. New York: John
- 728 Wiley & Sons, 343-372.
- 729 **Turner NC**. 1986. Adaptation to water deficits: a changing perspective. *Australian*
- *Journal of Plant Physiology.* **13**, 175-190.
- von Korff M, Grando S, Del Greco A, This D, Baum M, Ceccarelli S. 2008.
- 732 Quantitative trait loci associated with adaptation to Mediterranean dryland
 733 conditions in barley. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 117, 653-669.
- Whitmore AP, Whalley WR. 2009. Physical effects of soil drying on roots and
 crop growth. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60, 2845-2857.
- 736 Witzel K, Weidner A, Surabhi GK, Varshney RK, Kunze G, Buck-Sorlin GH,
- 737 Borner A, Mock HP. 2010. Comparative analysis of the grain proteome fraction in
- barley genotypes with contrasting salinity tolerance during germination. *Plant, Cell*
- 739 *and Environment* **33**, 211-222.
- 740 Xue DW, Huang YZ, Zhang XQ, Wei K, Westcott S, Li CD, Chen MC, Zhang
- 741 GP, Lance R. 2009. Identification of QTLs associated with salinity tolerance at late
- r42 growth stage in barley. *Euphytica* **169**, 187-196.
- 743 Xue GP, McIntyre CL, Chapman S, Bower NI, Way H, Reverter A, Clarke B,
- 744 Shorter R. 2006. Differential gene expression of wheat progeny with contrasting
- result for the second s

- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2006. Transcriptional regulatory networks
 in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 57, 781-803.
- 749 Yang DL, Jing RL, Chang XP, Li W. 2007. Identification of quantitative trait loci
- and environmental interactions for accumulation and remobilization of water-soluble
- carbohydrates in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) stems. *Genetics* **176**, 571-584.
- 752

Table 1. Characteristics of wheat parents used for the drought mapping populations.

754 The traits listed are expected to affect field performance of these lines under water

Traits	RAC875	Kukri	Excalibur	Drysdale	Gladius
Drought	high	low	high	high	high
tolerance					
Heat tolerance	high	low	intermediate	-	high
Boron uptake	Low	Intermediate	Very high	Intermediate-	Intermediate-
				High	High
Sodium uptake	Low-	Intermediate	Low	High	Low-
	Intermediate				Intermediate
Zn efficiency	Low	Low	Intermediate-	Low	Unknown
			High		
Nematodes					
Cereal cyst	Unknown	Susceptible	Susceptible	Susceptible	Unknown
Root lesion	Susceptible	Susceptible	Moderately	Susceptible	Unknown
			resistant		
High pH	Intermediate	Intermediate	Intolerant	Unknown	Unknown

755 limiting conditions.

Table 2. Characteristics of the "drought tolerant" wheat genotypes RAC875 and

RAC875	Excalibur		
Lowest tiller number per se	High tiller number, more tiller abortion under		
	stress		
Thick green leaves	Higher total biomass		
Stronger leaf waxiness	Higher root-shoot ratio under water stress		
Stay-green phenotype	Highest osmotic adjustment		
Moderate osmotic adjustment	Low ABA content under stress		
Low stomatal conductance	Highest stomatal conductance		
Slower recovery in stomatal	Rapid recovery after re-watering		
aperture			
High water soluble carbohydrate			

758 Excalibur under a cyclic terminal drought regime.

759

Table 3. QTLs of physiological responses to drought stress identified in wheat and

762 barley.

Trait	Species	Drought	Chromosome	Reference
		condition	location	
Water soluble	wheat	Rainfed field	1A, 1D, 2D,	Yang et al., 2007
carbohydrate			4A, 6B, 7B,	
			7D	
Carbon isotope ratio,	durum	Rainfed field	2B, 4A, 5A,	Peleg et al., 2009
osmotic potential,	wheat		7B	
chlorophyll content, flag				
leaf rolling index				
Grain carbon isotope	barley	Mediterranean	2H, 3H, 6H,	Teulat et al., 2002
discrimination		rain fed field	7H	
Relative water content	barley	Mediterranean	6HL	Teulat et al., 2003
		rain fed field		
Leaf osmotic potential,	barley	Water-deficit	6HL	Teulat et al., 2001;
osmotic potential at full		in growth		Diab et al., 2004
turgor, osmotic		chamber		
adjustment, carbon				
isotope discrimination				
Water soluble	barley	Water-deficit	4H	Diab <i>et al.</i> , 2004
carbohydrate		in growth		
		chamber		
Chlorophyll and	barley	Post-flowering	2H, 4H, 6H,	Guo et al., 2008
chlorophyll			7H	

Relative water content	barley	Water-	1H, 2H, 6H	Chen et al., 2010a
		withholding		

Table 4. QTLs identified for tolerance to several abiotic stresses in wheat and barley

765 (update of the review by Langridge *et al.* (2006) which covered studies earlier that

766 2006).

Stress	Chromosome location	Reference
wheat		
Drought	20 QTLs	Kirigwi et al., 2007; Mathews et al.,
		2008; Salem et al., 2007
Cold	5A, 1D	Baga et al., 2007
Copper toxicity	1AL, 2DS, 3DS, 4AL,	Balint et al., 2007; Balint et al., 2009
	5AL, 5DL, 5BL and	
	7DS	
Aluminium	4DL, 3BL, 2A, 5AS	Cai et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2006
toxicity	and 2DL	
Salinity	47 QTLs	Ma et al., 2007
Heat	1B, 5B and 7B	Mohammadi et al., 2008b
Nitrogen	2D, 4B and 5A	Laperche et al., 2008
deficiency		
barley		
Drought	38 QTLs	von Korff et al., 2008
Salinity	30 QTLs	Witzel et al., 2010; Xue et al., 2009
Water-logging	20 QTLs	Li et al., 2008
Aluminium	2H, 3H and 4H	Navakode et al., 2009
toxicity		

769 **Figure legends**

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the pathway from parental lines selection to gene 770 discovery. The diagram shows the role of physiological analysis, population 771 development and phenotyping and the various 'omics technologies in supporting a 772 gene discovery path. Given the complexity and variability of the droughted 773 environment, the first task is defining the type of drought regime or regimes that are 774 being investigated. The second issue is selecting germplasm that will be suitable for 775 776 the target environments and is likely to reveal major loci associated with tolerance. These lines are used to develop the segregating populations that form the base for the 777 778 genetic analysis. Mathematical models of physiological traits and omics of parental 779 and selected recombinant lines provide functional data to select candidate genes for the QTL. A further component in the process of defining target regions and 780 781 candidates for drought tolerance in wheat is the use of the sequenced cereal genomes. The strong conservation of gene order between the grasses means that the 782 783 rice and *Brachypodium* genomes provide a valuable resource in developing markers 784 for fine mapping in a target region and for identifying candidate genes for the QTL.

785

Fig. 2. Relative yield of mapping parents in low yielding environments in 2009. The
data are taken from the National Variety Trials
(http://www.nvtonline.com.au/home.htm). The yields of the four varieties are
expressed as a percentage of the site mean. RAC875 was not included in these trials.