

# Genetic variability, association and path analysis in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea)

Balvir Lodhi, NK Thakral\*, Ram Avtar and Amit Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar- 125 004, Haryana, India \*Corresponding author: nareshthakral@gmail.com (Received: 11 February 2013; Revised: 10 July 2013; Accepted: 18 October 2013)

## Abstract

Ninety diverse genotypes of Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.] were evaluated for fifteen quantitative traits. Both phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were higher for important traits including number of secondary branches/ plant, seed yield/ plant, and 1000-seed weight. High heritability in conjunction with high genetic advance were observed for seed yield/ plant, number of secondary branches/ plant, 1000- seed weight, number of seeds/ siliqua, primary branch angle, number of primary branches/ plant, siliqua angle, siliquae on main shoot, and siliqua length suggesting predominant role of additive gene action for expression of these traits. Seed yield/ plant was found to be positively and significantly correlated with number of primary branches/ plant, number of seeds/ siliqua; seed yield/ plant had negative association with oil content. Path analysis revealed that main shoot length, number of primary branch angle showed positive direct effect on seed yield/ plant which suggested that selection for number of primary branches/ plant, primary branch angle, main shoot length of primary branch angle showed positive direct effect on seed yield/ plant which suggested that selection for number of primary branches/ plant, primary branch angle, main shoot length, number of primary branches/ plant, number of seeds/ siliqua would be quite effective in improving seed yield in Indian mustard.

Key words: Brassica juncea, genetic advance, genetic variability, heritability, path analysis

# Introduction

Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.] is one of the most important oilseed crops of the country, and it occupies considerably large acerage among the Brassica group of oil seed crops. India stands second in both acreage and production of rapeseed and mustard in Asia. The crops are cultivated on an area of 6.51 million ha with a net production of 7.67 million tonnes, and an average vield of 1179 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2011). In India, mustard and rapeseed are grown largely in Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Haryana, Assam, Gujarat, Punjab, West Bengal, and Madhya Pradesh. The success of any breeding programme depends upon the genetic variability engraved in the breeding material. The assessment of parameters including phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation,

heritability in broad sense, and genetic advance as % of mean is a pre-requisite for making effective selection. Yield is a complex trait, polygenic in inheritance, more prone to environmental fluctuations than ancillary traits such as branches/ plant, seeds/siliqua, main shoot length, and 1000-seed weight. Understanding the association between yield and its components is of paramount importance for making the best use of these relationships in selection (Sarawgi et al., 1997). The path coefficient analysis helps breeders to explain direct and indirect effects, and hence been extensively used in breeding experiments in different crop species (Ali et al., 2003; Akbar et al., 2003). The present investigation was undertaken to assess the genetic variability, trait association, and path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Ninety diverse genotypes of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss.) were grown at the research area of the Oilseeds Section, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CCS HAU, Hisar during rabi, 2010-2011 in randomized block design with three replications within plot size of 1.5 x 5 m. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants for fifteen traits, including days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of primary branches/plant, number of secondary branches/plant, primary branch angle, main shoot length (cm), number of siliquae on main shoot, siliqua density, arrangement of siliqua based upon angle from main shoot, siliqua length (cm), seeds/siliqua, seed yield/plant (g), 1000-seed weight (g), and oil content (%). The phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV and PCV), heritability in broad sense, genetic advance as % of mean, correlation coefficients at genotypic and phenotypic level, and path coefficient analysis computed using standard statistical methods.

### **Results and Discussion**

Wide range of variation was observed for most of the traits like seed yield per plant, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, primary branch angle, main shoot length, siliquae on main shoot, siliqua density, siliqua angle, siliqua length, number of seeds / siliqua, 1000- seed weight, and oil content (Table 1). Estimates of PCV and GCV were observed higher for various traits including number of secondary branches/ plant, seed yield, and 1000- seed weight. Similar findings were reported for different traits in Indian mustard by Singh (2004) and Yadava et al. (2011). The coefficient of variation doesn't offer the full scope of heritable variation. It can be determined with greater degree of accuracy when heritability in conjunction with genetic advance is studied. Hence, heritability and genetic advance are important parameters to study the scope of improvement in various characters through selection. High heritability estimates along with high genetic advance are more helpful in predicting the gain under selection than heritability estimates alone. In the present study, high heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed for seed yield/ plant, number of secondary branches/ plant, 1000seed weight, number of seeds/ siliqua, primary branch angle, number of primary branches/ plant, siliqua angle, siliquae on main shoot, and siliqua length. This indicated that improvement in these traits could be made by simple selection. Panse

Table 1: Estimates of genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) co-efficient of variation, heritability (bs) and genetic advance (% of mean) for seed yield and component traits in Indian mustard

Characters	Mean	Ran	ige	GCV	PCV	Heritability	Genetic advance
		Min.	Max.			(%)	(% of mean)
Days to flowering	53.3	44.0	59.0	5.6	6.1	83.8	10.6
Days to maturity	146.1	141.0	150.3	1.3	1.6	60.4	2.0
Plant height	2.2	1.8	2.5	5.3	7.1	56.4	8.2
No. of 1 <sup>o</sup> branches/plant	4.5	3.1	7.4	17.7	20.5	74.6	31.4
No. of 2 <sup>o</sup> branches/plant	15.0	10.1	32.1	35.6	36.6	94.6	71.3
Primary branch angle	31.7	18.0	45.9	16.6	17.9	86.4	31.8
Main shoot length	82.9	71.0	110.3	10.0	11.5	75.6	18.0
Siliquae on main shoot	49.9	38.3	83.7	15.5	17.4	85.0	28.6
Siliqua density	1.7	1.2	2.3	12.5	15.4	64.8	20.7
Siliqua angle	26.1	14.5	40.7	15.8	17.1	85.1	30.0
Siliqua length	4.4	3.4	6.1	12.2	13.2	85.2	23.1
No. of seeds/ siliqua	14.9	11.0	21.6	16.5	16.8	92.2	31.9
1000- seed weight	5.3	3.5	6.7	26.1	26.2	99.1	53.5
Oil content	39.4	36.8	43.2	4.1	4.3	88.4	7.9
Seed yield/plant	26.0	13.8	40.3	32.0	32.8	95.5	64.4

Table 2: Genotypic (a Indian mustard	lbove di:	agonal) (	and phe	notypic (1	oelow di	agonal) (	correlati	ion coeff	icients a	mong se	ed yield	and its co	ompone	nt charac	ters in
Characters	Days	Days	Plant	No.	No.	Primary	Main	Siliquae	Siliqua	Siliqua	Siliqua	No.	1000	Oil	Seed
	to	to	height	of $1^0$	of $2^0$	branch	shoot	uo	density	angle	length	of	-seed	content	yield/
f	lowering 1	maturity		branches i	branches	angle	length	main				seeds/	Weight		plant
				/plant	/plant			shoot				Siliqua	(g)		
Days to flowering	1.00	0.19	0.01	-0.08	-0.18	-0.02	-0.02	0.08	-0.11	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.26	-0.17	-0.02
Days to maturity	$0.25^{*}$	1.00	0.20	-0.03	-0.16	-0.02	-0.06	-0.30	0.31	0.03	0.27	0.02	0.30	-0.03	0.18
Plant height	-0.01	0.08	1.00	0.24	-0.02	-0.04	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.22	0.06	-0.29	-0.10	-0.17	0.14
No. of 1 <sup>°</sup> branches/plant	-0.06	-0.05	0.15	1.00	0.59	0.03	0.46	0.49	-0.17	0.04	0.16	-0.09	-0.17	-0.35	$0.44^{**}$
No. of 2 <sup>0</sup> branches/plant	-0.15	-0.09	0.01	$0.52^{**}$	1.00	0.06	0.61	0.51	-0.10	-0.01	0.20	0.12	-0.23	-0.26	$0.30^{*}$
Primary branch angle	-0.04	-0.03	-0.03	0.04	0.06	1.00	0.06	-0.07	0.12	-0.10	0.25	0.30	0.39	0.02	0.27*
Main shoot length	-0.01	-0.04	0.04	$0.34^{**}$	0.53**	0.03	1.00	0.57	0.12	0.08	0.30	0.04	-0.19	-0.28	$0.21^{*}$
Siliquae on main shoot	0.04	-0.21*	0.07	$0.38^{**}$	$0.44^{**}$	-0.05	0.47**	1.00	-0.73	-0.04	-0.02	0.20	-0.19	-0.23	0.17
Siliqua density	-0.06	0.19	-0.06	-0.13	-0.06	0.07	$0.24^{*}$	-0.73*	1.00	0.09	0.26	-0.23	0.10	0.06	-0.04
Siliqua angle	0.06	0.06	0.14	0.03	0.01	-0.08	0.06	-0.03	0.05	1.00	0.05	-0.10	-0.27	-0.07	-0.08
Siliqua length	0.03	0.19	0.02	0.13	0.18	$0.22^{*}$	$0.22^{*}$	-0.03	0.19	0.03	1.00	0.18	0.32	-0.10	$0.22^{*}$
No .of seeds/ siliqua	0.01	0.01	-0.21*	-0.06	0.13	$0.27^{*}$	0.05	0.18	-0.16	-0.08	0.16	1.00	0.19	-0.07	$0.32^{**}$
1000- seed weight	$0.24^{*}$	$0.23^{*}$	-0.07	-0.15	-0.21*	$0.36^{**}$	-0.16	-0.17	0.08	-0.25*	$0.30^{**}$	0.18	1.00	0.17	0.05
Oil content	-0.16	-0.02	-0.12	-0.28**	-0.24*	0.01	-0.23*	-0.19	0.06	-0.06	-0.09	-0.06	0.15	1.00	0.30**
Seed yield/plant	-0.02	0.14	0.09	0.39**	0.29**	$0.25^{*}$	$0.21^{*}$	0.16	-0.03	-0.06	$0.23^{*}$	0.30**	0.05	-0.28**	1.00

<sup>\*,.\*\*</sup> significant at p=0.05 and p=0.01, respectively

Days         Days         Plant         No.         No.         Finanty         Main         Sulfquase         Sul	ct and i	ndirect (	effects o	f differe	ent charae	cters on	seed yie	ld in <i>Inc</i>	<i>lian</i> mus	stard		į		000	5	
to         height         of $1^{\circ}$ of $2^{\circ}$ branch         shoot         on         density           ng maturity $j$ plant $j$ plant $j$ plant $j$ plant $shoot$ $on$ $density$ $-0.00$ $0.00$	Days		Days	Plant	No.	No.	Primary	Main	Siliquae	Siliqua	Siliqua	Siliqua	No.	1000	Oil	Ŋ.
ing maturitybranches <branches<branches< th="">anglelengthmain shoot<math>\gamma</math> plant<math>\gamma</math> plant<math>\gamma</math> plant<math>\gamma</math> shootshoot0<math>-0.00</math><math>0.00</math><math>0.00</math><math>0.00</math><math>-0.00</math><math>0.00</math>3<math>0.15</math><math>0.03</math><math>-0.00</math><math>0.00</math><math>-0.00</math><math>0.00</math>4<math>-0.01</math><math>0.02</math><math>-0.00</math><math>-0.00</math><math>0.00</math><math>0.00</math>0<math>0.02</math><math>0.02</math><math>-0.00</math><math>-0.01</math><math>0.00</math><math>0.00</math>0<math>0.02</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.02</math><math>0.00</math>0<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.00</math><math>0.00</math>0<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>0<math>-0.00</math><math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>0<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>0<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>0<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>0<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>1<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>1<math>-0.00</math><math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>1<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>1<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math>1<math>-0.00</math><math>0.01</math><math>0.01</math><td>to</td><td></td><td>to</td><td>height</td><td>of <math>1^0</math></td><td>of <math>2^0</math></td><td>branch</td><td>shoot</td><td>uo</td><td>density</td><td>angle</td><td>length</td><td>of</td><td>seed</td><td>content</td><td>(coi coeffi see</td></branches<branches<>	to		to	height	of $1^0$	of $2^0$	branch	shoot	uo	density	angle	length	of	seed	content	(coi coeffi see
	lowe	guing	maturity		branches 1	oranches	angle	length	main				seeds/	Weight		relati cients d yiel
0         -0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         0.00         -0.00         0.00         -0.00         0.00         -0.00         0.00					/plant	/plant			shoot				Siliqua	(g)		on with d)
33 $0.15$ $0.03$ $-0.00$ $-0.02$ $-0.00$ $-0.01$ $-0.04$ $0.05$ $00$ $0.02$ $0.02$ $0.02$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $04$ $-0.01$ $0.12$ $0.51$ $0.29$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $00$ $-0.00$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $00$ $-0.00$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $00$ $-0.00$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $00$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $00$ $-0.00$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $0.$	0-	00	-0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.0011	0.00	-0.02
00         0.02         0.09         0.02         -0.00         -0.00         -0.01         0.02         0.00 <t< td=""><td>0.0</td><td>33</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.03</td><td>-0.00</td><td>-0.02</td><td>-0.00</td><td>-0.01</td><td>-0.04</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.0446</td><td>-0.00</td><td>0.18</td></t<>	0.0	33	0.15	0.03	-0.00	-0.02	-0.00	-0.01	-0.04	0.05	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.0446	-0.00	0.18
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	00	0.02	0.09	0.02	-0.00	-0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	-0.01	-0.0091	-0.01	0.14
00 $-0.00$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.$	Ŷ	.04	-0.01	0.12	0.51	0.29	0.01	0.23	0.24	-0.08	0.02	0.08	-0.04	-0.0846	-0.17	0.44
(00) $-0.00$ $-0.01$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.02$ $(01)$ $-0.04$ $0.04$ $0.27$ $0.36$ $0.03$ $0.58$ $0.33$ $0.07$ $(08)$ $0.22$ $-0.01$ $0.12$ $0.07$ $-0.56$ $0.97$ $0.71$ $(01)$ $-0.02$ $-0.01$ $0.12$ $0.07$ $-0.56$ $0.97$ $0.71$ $(01)$ $-0.02$ $-0.00$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $(01)$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $0.00$ $-0.01$ $0.00$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $- 0.01$ $0.01$ $- 0.01$ $0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$ $- 0.01$	Ŷ	00.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	-0.00	-0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.0026	-0.00	0.30
0.01 $-0.04$ $0.04$ $0.27$ $0.36$ $0.03$ $0.58$ $0.33$ $0.07$ $0.08$ $0.29$ $-0.01$ $-0.48$ $-0.49$ $0.07$ $-0.56$ $-0.97$ $0.71$ $0.01$ $-0.00$ $-0.01$ $0.12$ $0.07$ $-0.56$ $-0.97$ $0.71$ $0.01$ $-0.02$ $-0.00$ $0.07$ $-0.09$ $0.52$ $-0.71$ $0.01$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $-0.00$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.00$ $-0.00$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.02$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.02$ $-0.03$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$ $-0.01$	Ŷ	00.00	-0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.01	0.14	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-0.01	0.03	0.04	0.0523	0.00	0.27
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ŷ	.01	-0.04	0.04	0.27	0.36	0.03	0.58	0.33	0.07	0.05	0.17	0.02	-0.1054	-0.16	0.21
.08         -0.22         -0.01         0.12         0.07         -0.08         -0.09         0.52         -0.71           .01         -0.00         -0.02         -0.00         0.00         0.01         -0.01         0.00         -0.01           .00         -0.00         -0.00         0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.01           .01         -0.01         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00           .01         -0.01         -0.03         0.04         0.11         0.01         -0.08           .01         -0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         -0.01         -0.00           .02         0.03         0.03         -0.01         -0.01         -0.01         -0.01	9	.08	0.29	-0.01	-0.48	-0.49	0.07	-0.56	-0.97	0.71	0.04	0.02	-0.20	0.1830	0.21	0.17
.01         -0.00         -0.02         -0.00         0.00         0.01         -0.01         0.00         -0.01           .00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00         -0.00           .01         0.01         -0.10         -0.03         0.04         0.11         0.01         -0.08           .01         -0.01         0.01         -0.01         0.01         -0.01         0.01           .01         -0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         -0.01         -0.08           .02         0.01         0.01         0.01         -0.01         0.01         -0.01	0	08	-0.22	-0.01	0.12	0.07	-0.08	-0.09	0.52	-0.71	-0.07	-0.18	0.15	-0.0675	-0.04	-0.04
100         -0.01         -0.01         -	Ŷ	.01	-0.00	-0.02	-0.00	0.00	0.01	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.11	-0.01	0.01	0.0295	0.08	-0.08
01         0.01         -0.10         -0.03         0.04         0.11         0.01         0.07         -0.08           .01         -0.01         0.00         0.01         0.01         -0.01         -0.01         -0.00           .02         0.00         0.02         0.03         -0.03         -0.03         -0.01         -0.01         -0.01	Ŷ	00.	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.01	-0.00	-0.0037	0.00	0.23
.01         -0.01         0.00         0.01         0.01         -0.01         0.01         -0.00           02         0.00         0.02         0.03         0.03         -0.00         0.02         -0.01	0	01	0.01	-0.10	-0.03	0.04	0.11	0.01	0.07	-0.08	-0.04	0.06	0.36	0.0652	-0.02	0.32
02 0.00 0.02 0.03 0.03 -0.00 0.03 0.02 -0.01	Ŷ	.01	-0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.00	0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.0371	-0.01	0.05
	0	.02	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	-0.00	0.03	0.02	-0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.0161	-0.10	-0.30

(1978) expressed that high heritability together with high genetic advance was an indicative of additive gene effects, and high heritability associated with low genetic advance was indication of dominance and epistatic effects. These results are in conformity with those obtained by Singh (2004), Muhammad et al. (2007), Acharya and Pati (2008), Singh and Singh (2010) and Yadava et al. (2011) in Indian mustard. In contrast to present results, Mahla (2003) reported high heritability estimates for days to flowering and oil content, whereas, Larik and Rajput (2000) reported low genetic advance for plant height and days to maturity. The variation in the findings of different studies could be ascribed to differences in environment, and also due to different material used.

In the present study, the genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in magnitude than their respective phenotypic correlation coefficients for most of the traits indicating the depression of phenotypic expression by the environmental influence. Seed yield/ plant was found to be positively and significantly correlated with number of primary branches/ plant, number of secondary branches/ plant, primary branch angle, main shoot length, siliqua length, and number of seeds/ per siliqua (Table 2). Such positive association of seed yield/ plant with primary branches/ plant, secondary branches/ plant, number of seeds/ siliqua was also observed by Ramanjaneyulu and Giri (2007), Verma et al. (2008), Singh and Singh (2010), and Singh et al. (2003) for main shoot length, and Malik et al. (2000) for siliqua length. However seed yield was negatively and significantly correlated with oil content. Similar findings were observed by Singh and Chowdhury (1983) in Indian mustard.

The estimates of correlation coefficient, although, indicate inter- relationship of different traits, but it does not furnish information on cause and effect. Under such situation path analysis helps the breeder to identify the index of selection. Main shoot length showed the highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant (Table 3) followed by number of primary branches/ plant, number of seeds/ siliqua, and primary branch angle; these traits also showed positive and significant correlation with seed yield/ plant. Therefore, considering these traits as selection criteria will be advantageous in bringing improvement in Indian mustard. These results are in conformity with the findings of Shalini et al. (2000), Pandey and Singh (2005), and Verma et al. (2008). Days to maturity, although, showed positive direct effects on seed yield per plant, but had nonsignificant correlation which may have negative effects via other traits. Since oil content was negatively correlated and had negative direct effect on seed yield/ plant it implies that consideration of this trait for increasing oil content is also valuable. Thus, the material studied is of diverse nature and information emanated would help in designing the selection methodology which can further be used in the breeding programme for improvement of seed yield.

#### References

- Acharya, NN and Pati, P. 2008. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Env Ecol*, **26**: 2165-2168
- Akbar, M, Tariq, M, Yaqub, M, Anwar, M, Ali, M and Iqbal, N. 2003. Variability, correlation and path coefficient studies in summer mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. Asian J Plant Sci, 2: 696-698.
- Ali, N, Farzad, J, Jaferieh, YE and Mýrza, MY. 2003. Relationship among yield components and selection criteria for yield improvement in winter rapeseed [*Brassica napus* (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. *Pak J Bot*, **35:** 167-174.
- Anonymous, 2011. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. 4th Advance Estimates released as on 19.07.2011.
- Larik AS and Rajput, LS. 2000. Estimation of selection indices in *Brassica juncea* L. and *Brassica napus. Pak J Bot*, **32:** 323-330.
- Mahla, HR, Jambhulkar, SJ, Yadav, DK and Sharma, R. 2003. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss.]. *Indian J Genet Plant Breed*, 63: 171-172.

- Malik, AM, Khan, SA, Shafiullah, Khan, AM, Khan, RB and Mohmand, 2000. Study of correlation among morphological parameters in different varietites/ accessions of *Brassica* species. *Pak J Biol Sci*, **3**: 1180-1182.
- Muhammad, A, Usman, S, Tahira, Muhammad, Y and Nasim, I. 2007. Utilization of genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for seed yield improvement in mustard (*Brassica juncea*). J Agril Res Lahore, **45**: 25-31.
- Pandey, S and Singh, Basudeo. 2005. Inter character association and path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica* juncea (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. Adv Plant Sci, 18: 511-514.
- Panse, VG and Sukhatme, PV. 1978. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR Publications, New Delhi.
- Ramanjaneyulu, AV and Giri, G. 2007. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea*(L.) Czern & Coss]. *J Res ANGRAU*, 35: 107-110.
- Sarawgi, AK, Rastogi, NK and Soni, DK. 1997. Correlation and path analysis in rice accessions from Madhya Pradesh. *Field Crops Res*, 52: 161-167
- Shalini, TS, Sheriff, Ram, Kulkarni, RS and Venkataramana, P. 2000. Correlation and path analysis of Indian mustard germplasm. *Mysore J Agril Sci*, **1**: 226-229.

- Singh, B. 2004. Character association and path analysis under dry land condition in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Cruciferae Newslett, 25: 99–100.
- Singh, BP and Chowdhury, RK.1983 Correlation and path coefficient analysis of seed yield and oil content in mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Can J Genet Cytol*, **25**: 312-317.
- Singh, M, Srivastava, RL, Prasad, Lalta and Dixit, RK. 2003. Correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. Adv Plant Sci, 16: 311-315.
- Singh, SK and Singh, AK. 2010. Inter-relationship and path analysis for seed yield in Indian mustard. *Indian J Ecol*, **37**: 8-12.
- Verma, R, Sharma, R and Sharma, SK. 2008. Association studies among yield and its component characters in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss]. Indian J Genet Plant Breed, 68: 87-89.
- Yadava, DK, Giri, SC, Vignesh, M, Vasudev, Sujata, Yadav, KA, Dass, B, Singh, R, Singh, N, Mohapatra, T and Prabhu, KV. 2011. Genetic variability and trait association studies in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J Agril Sci*, **81:** 712-716.