Genetics of Smoking and Risk of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Diseases: A Mendelian Randomization Study

Michael G. Levin, MD^{1,2,3}; Derek Klarin, MD^{4,5}; Themistocles L. Assimes, MD, PhD^{6,7,8}; Matthew S. Freiberg, MD^{9,10,11}; Erik Ingelsson, MD, PhD^{7,8,12}; Julie Lynch, PhD^{13,14}; Pradeep Natarajan, MD^{15,16,17,18}; Christopher O'Donnell, MD¹⁸; Daniel J. Rader, MD^{2,19,20}; Philip S. Tsao, PhD^{6,21}; Kyong-Mi Chang, MD^{2,3}; Benjamin F. Voight, PhD^{3,19,20,22}; Scott M. Damrauer, MD^{3,23}; on behalf of the VA Million Veteran Program

¹Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA ²Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

³Corporal Michael J. Crescenz VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA

⁴Malcolm Randall VA Medical Center, Gainesville, FL

⁵Department of Surgery, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

⁶Palo Alto VA Healthcare System, Palo Alto, CA

⁷Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

⁸Stanford Cardiovascular Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

⁹Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee

¹⁰Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Centers (GRECC), Veterans Affairs Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Nashville, Tennessee

¹¹Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee

¹²Stanford Diabetes Research Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

¹³Edith Nourse VA Medical Center, Bedford, MA

¹⁴VA Informatics and Computing Infrastructure, Salt Lake City, UT

¹⁵Cardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

¹⁶Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA

¹⁷Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

¹⁸VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA

¹⁹Department of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

²⁰Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

²¹Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, and Stanford Cardiovascular Institute, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA

²²Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

²³Department of Surgery, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

Corresponding Author:

Scott M. Damrauer 3900 Woodland Avenue Philadelphia PA 19104 Phone: 215-823-5880 <u>scott.damrauer@va.gov</u> <u>damrauer@upenn.edu</u>

Word Count: 2996 (Abstract, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion)

ABSTRACT:

Importance: Smoking is associated with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, but the relative contribution to each subtype (coronary artery disease [CAD], peripheral artery disease [PAD], and large-artery stroke) remains less well understood.

Objective: To determine the effect of smoking on risk of coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, and large-artery stroke.

Design: Mendelian randomization study using summary statistics from genome-wide associations of smoking (up to 462,690 individuals), coronary artery disease (up to 60,801 cases, 123,504 controls), peripheral artery disease (up to 24,009 cases, 150,983 controls), and large-artery stroke (up to 4,373 cases, 406,111 controls)

Setting: Population-based study of primarily European-ancestry individuals

Participants: Participants in genome-wide association studies of smoking, coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, and stroke.

Exposures: Genetic liability to smoking defined by lifetime smoking index: an integrated measure of smoking status, age at initiation, age at cessation, number of cigarettes smoked per day, and declining effect of smoking on health outcomes).

Main Outcome Measure: Risk of coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, and largeartery stroke.

Results: Genetic liability to smoking was associated with increased risk of PAD (OR 2.13; 95% CI 1.78-2.56; $P = 3.6 \times 10^{-16}$), CAD (OR 1.48; 95% CI 1.25-1.75; $P = 4.4 \times 10^{-6}$), and stroke (OR 1.4; 95% CI 1.02-1.92; P = 0.036). Risk of PAD in smokers was greater than risk of large-artery

stroke ($p_{difference} = 0.025$) or CAD ($p_{difference} = 0.0041$). The effect of smoking on ASCVD remained independent from the effects of smoking on traditional cardiovascular risk factors.

Conclusions and Relevance: Genetic liability to smoking is a strong, causal risk factor for CAD,

PAD, and stroke, although the effect of smoking is strongest for PAD. The effect of smoking is

independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors.

Key Words: smoking; genetics; atherosclerosis; Mendelian Randomization; cardiovascular

disease; coronary artery disease; peripheral artery disease; stroke

Running Title: Smoking and Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease

INTRODUCTION:

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) can affect multiple vascular beds throughout the body, with clinical manifestations including coronary artery disease (CAD), stroke, and peripheral artery disease (PAD). Smoking tobacco is consistently among the leading risk factors for ASCVD; however, the relative contribution of smoking to the individual ASCVD outcomes remains less well studied. Observational studies have examined these ASCVD outcomes together, with a recent study by Ding et. al. finding the strongest effect of smoking on incident PAD compared to CAD or stroke.^{1–4} Observational study designs may be limited, however, by modest overall sample size, measurement error, and risk of residual confounding.⁵

A number of studies over the past several decades have identified detrimental effects of smoking on traditional cardiovascular risk factors including blood pressure, lipids, and diabetes.^{6,7} Smoking also has additional independent effects on inflammation, endothelial function, and platelet aggregation.⁶ Despite the clear observational links between smoking and atherosclerosis, whether the effect of smoking on ASCVD is primarily mediated through correlated alterations of traditional cardiovascular risk factors, or operates via independent mechanisms is less clear. As the detrimental effects of smoking may persist for decades,⁴ clarifying the basis of the smoking-atherosclerosis relationship could enable more targeted risk-reduction strategies among both current and former smokers, and identify novel treatment strategies for those at highest risk of ASCVD.

Recently, large genome-wide association studies of smoking, coronary artery disease, stroke, and peripheral artery disease have identified genetic loci associated with each of these conditions.^{8–11} The Mendelian randomization (MR) framework leverages the natural

randomization of genetic variation at conception (under certain assumptions) to mitigate the risk of confounding when estimating relationships between traits. Therefore, this approach may allow for more precise quantification of potential differences between exposure-outcome pairs.¹² The method has further been extended to consider exposures jointly, a form of mediation analysis that enables the estimation of the direct effect of each exposure on an outcome of interest.¹³

Here, leveraging population-scale human genetics data from genome-wide association studies, we utilized the MR framework with genetic variants as instrumental variables to: (i) estimate the total causal effect of smoking on coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, and large-artery stroke, the primary manifestations of ASCVD; (ii) quantify differences in the estimates of effects of smoking between ASCVD outcomes; (iii) validate the effect of smoking on traditional cardiovascular and inflammatory risk factors for ASCVD; and (iv) assess the extent to which traditional cardiovascular and inflammatory risk factors mediate the relationship between smoking and ASCVD outcomes.

METHODS:

Genetic Instrument Selection

The lifetime smoking index is a continuous measure that accounts for self-reported smoking status, age at initiation, age at cessation, number of cigarettes smoked per day, and a simulated half-life constant that captures the declining effect of smoking on health outcomes following a given exposure.¹¹ A genetic instrument for lifetime smoking index was constructed from summary statistics of a genome-wide association study of UK Biobank participants (UK

Biobank; N = 462,690) using independent (r^2 = 0.001, distance = 10,000 kb, European-ancestrv participants of the 1000 genomes project) genome-wide significant ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) variants as the exposure, as previously described.¹¹ Each standard-deviation increase in the lifetime smoking index instrument corresponds to an individual smoking 20 cigarettes daily for 15 years and stopping 17 years ago, or smoking 60 cigarettes daily for 13 years and stopping 22 years ago. The corresponding SNP effects for CAD⁸ (CARDIoGRAMplusC4D 1000 Genomes; N = 60,801 cases, 123,504 controls), PAD⁹ (Million Veterans Program; N = 24,009 cases, 150,983 controls), and large-artery stroke¹⁰ (MEGASTROKE; N = 4,373 cases, 406,111 controls) were used as the outcomes, without the use of proxy SNPs. The smoking index instrument was previously validated using an independent set of participants and with positive-control outcomes of coronary artery disease and lung cancer. To further validated the instrument, two-sample MR was performed using lifetime smoking index as the exposure, and smoking initiation, age of smoking initiation, smoking cessation, and cigarettes per day,¹⁴ as reported by GSCAN, as outcomes. To evaluate the strength of the smoking index instrument, the F-statistic was calculated.15

Mendelian Randomization

In the primary analysis, the total effect of lifetime smoking index on ASCVD outcomes (CAD, PAD, and stroke) was estimated using random-effects inverse-variance weighted MR within the *TwoSampleMR* package in R.¹⁶⁵ In sensitivity analyses, fixed-effects inverse-variance weighted, maximum likelihood, weighted-median, penalized weighted-median, and MR-PRESSO methods were performed to account for potential violations of the instrumental variable

assumptions, heterogeneity, and error in the instrument-exposure associations.^{5,17,18} Diagnostic leave-one-out, single-SNP, funnel-plot analyses were performed. The Egger bias intercept test was used to detect evidence of horizontal pleiotropy. In sensitivity analysis, a genetic instrument for smoking initiation was used as the exposure.¹⁴ Because two-sample Mendelian randomization of binary exposures provides effect estimates per 1-unit change in the exposure, results of the effect of the smoking initiation exposure on ASCVD outcomes are expressed as odds of the outcome per 2.72-fold (1 log-odds unit) increase in the odds of ever smoking.¹⁹ For the primary analysis, power calculations were performed to estimate the minimum effect for which the study had an 80% power to detect a difference at a two-tailed significance level of p < 0.05. Differences in the effect of smoking on ASCVD outcomes in each vascular bed was estimated using a test of interaction.²⁰

The effect of lifetime smoking on cardiometabolic risk factors was estimated using random-effects inverse-variance weighted two-sample MR. Outcomes were obtained from publicly available summary statistics from genome-wide association studies of continuous traits (total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, systolic blood pressure, estimated glomerular filtration rate, circulating C-reactive protein levels, circulating IL1B, circulating IL6, and circulating IL6R levels), and binary traits (type II diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, chronic kidney disease, overweight) identified using the MR-Base platform **(Supplemental Table 1)**.^{21–28} Because the lifetime smoking index exposure instrument was derived from UK Biobank participants, and MR estimates derived from studies with a high proportion of overlapping samples may be biased, studies of cardiometabolic outcomes that included non-UK Biobank

participants were preferred when available.²⁹ The smoking initiation exposure, which included both UK Biobank participants and participants from several other studies, was also used in sensitivity analysis to further minimize bias from participant overlap. The MR Steiger test of directionality was performed to validate direction of association between smoking (as the exposure) and cardiometabolic risk factors (as the outcomes).³⁰

To determine whether any effect of smoking on ASCVD may be mediated through traditional cardiovascular risk factors, multivariable MR was performed.^{31,32} Independent ($r^2 =$ 0.001, distance = 10,000 kb) genome-wide significant (p < 5 x 10⁻⁸) variants associated with traditional cardiovascular and inflammatory risk factors (LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, BMI, type II diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure, and circulating IL6 levels) were identified using the MR-Base platform. The direct effect of lifetime smoking index was then estimated in models accounting for each traditional risk factor alone, and in a model considering all risk factors jointly.

Statistical Analysis

For the primary analysis of smoking on ASCVD outcomes, the statistical significance threshold was set at a two-sided p < 0.05. For the secondary analysis of smoking on cardiometabolic risk factors, correction for multiple comparisons was made using the Bonferroni method (p < 0.05/21 = 0.0024). Cardiometabolic traits with nominal associations ($0.0024 \le p < 0.05$) were considered suggestive. In the multivariable analysis considering joint effects of smoking and risk factors on ASCVD outcomes, the statistical significance threshold

was set at a two-sided p < 0.05. All analyses were performed using R version 3.6.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

RESULTS:

Selection of Genetic Variants as Proxies for Smoking

Of the 126 independent SNPs associated with lifetime smoking index, 116, 107, and 105 were available in the stroke, CAD, and PAD summary statistics **(Supplemental Table 2)**. For the lifetime smoking index genetic instrument, the F-statistic was greater than 10 (range 25-163, mean 41), suggesting low risk of weak-instrument bias. To validate the genetic instrument for lifetime smoking index, MR was performed using smoking traits from the GSCAN consortium as outcomes. Lifetime smoking index significantly increased risk of smoking initiation and cessation, increased amount of smoking (cigarettes per day), and decreased age of smoking initiation **(Supplemental Figure 1)**.

Effect of Smoking on Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Outcomes

For the primary analysis, power calculations indicated the lifetime smoking index instrument provided >80% power to detect ORs >1.15 for CAD, >1.22 for PAD, and >1.52 for large-artery stroke. In inverse-variance weighted Mendelian randomization analysis, each 1 standard deviation increase in genetic liability to lifetime smoking index increased risk of PAD (OR 2.13; 95% CI 1.78-2.56; P = 3.6×10^{-16}), CAD (OR 1.48; 95% CI 1.25-1.75; P = 4.4×10^{-6}), and stroke (OR 1.4; 95% CI 1.02-1.92; P = 0.036) (

Figure 1). The Egger bias intercept test identified horizontal pleiotropy for the smoking-CAD pathway (intercept = 0.01, p = 0.046) **(Supplemental Table 3)**, although the findings remained robust in sensitivity analyses using MR methods that account for the possibility of horizontal pleiotropy **(Supplemental Figures 2-5)**, and when using an alternative genetic instrument for smoking (smoking initiation) **(Supplemental Figure 6)**.

We next determined if the causal effect of smoking differed between the ASCVD endpoints. The primary inverse-variance weighted effect estimate of smoking initiation on PAD was significantly greater than the estimates for large-artery stroke (OR_{PAD} 2.13 vs. OR_{stroke} 1.4; $p_{difference} = 0.025$) or CAD (OR_{PAD} 2.13 vs. OR_{CAD} 1.48; $p_{difference} = 0.0041$).

Effect of Smoking on Cardiometabolic Risk Factors

We next considered the effect of increasing genetic liability to lifetime smoking on other cardiometabolic traits that are known ASCVD risk factors. Increasing genetic liability to lifetime smoking increased the risk of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, waist circumference, body mass index, and waist-to-hip ratio, with a suggestive (p < 0.05) increase in the risk of being overweight (Figure 2). In sensitivity analysis considering a genetic instrument for smoking initiation as the exposure, results were similar (Supplemental Figure 7). The MR Steiger testing confirmed the direction of these findings (Supplemental Table 4).

Cardiometabolic Risk Factors as Mediators of Smoking-ASCVD Risk

To evaluate whether increasing genetic liability to smoking increases risk of ASCVD directly, or whether the effect is mediated by traditional cardiovascular risk factors (type 2

diabetes, lipids, body mass index, and systolic blood pressure), we performed multivariable Mendelian randomization to estimate the direct effects of smoking. Increasing genetic liability to smoking increased risk of PAD, CAD, and stroke, after accounting for effects of smoking on each risk factor independently, and in a combined model considering all risk factors **(Figure 3)**. There was no significant attenuation of the effect estimates after accounting for traditional risk factors, or in a model further including interleukin-6 as a marker of inflammatory risk of ASCVD.

DISCUSSION:

Using Mendelian randomization, we leveraged population-scale human genetics to estimate the causal risk of smoking on cardiometabolic risk factors and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease outcomes across diverse vascular beds (CAD, PAD, and stroke). By drawing from multiple large genome-wide association studies, we were able to consider an order-of-magnitude more cases of smoking, cardiometabolic risk factors, and ASCVD outcomes compared to previous observational studies. While observational studies remain at risk of bias due to residual confounding, the genetic instrumental variables utilized here in the Mendelian randomization framework provide effect estimates that are unconfounded from environmental factors. Because genetic variants are randomly assorted during meiosis, mimicking randomization in a clinical trial, we were able to estimate putative causal relationships between smoking and cardiometabolic traits.

Our results suggest that smoking has a direct atherogenic effect that varies across vascular beds. This finding is largely consistent with prior investigations of smoking on ASCVD. While the association between smoking and ASCVD has been demonstrated previously in observational studies, our Mendelian randomization analysis provides strong evidence consistent with a causal relationship. Our finding that smoking appears to more strongly influence the risk of PAD compared to CAD or stroke is consistent with recent results from the ARIC cohort, in which the effect of smoking was greatest for PAD.⁴ While the mechanism behind the stronger relationship between smoking and PAD is not clear, structural and functional differences within the vascular beds and the complex interplay between smoking and other ASCVD risk factors may contribute.^{33,34}

The genetic liability to smoking is also associated with cardiometabolic traits that are themselves risk factors ASCVD. The MR finding that increasing genetic liability to smoking is associated with type 2 diabetes is consistent with recent observational and MR studies.^{6,35–37} We also identified increasing genetic liability to smoking as a risk factor for hypertension and increased waist circumference, body mass index, and waist-to-hip ratio, although prior studies have suggesting conflicting effects of smoking on these traits.^{38–43} A prior single-sample MR analysis from the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT Study) found a protective effect of smoking on BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference, but found no associations with blood pressure, lipids, or glucose levels.⁴⁰ This study may have been limited by the singlesample design, modest study size, and weak single-SNP (rs1051730) instrument for smoking, which all may have contributed to bias toward observational estimates.⁴⁴ A more recent MR study has identified a bidirectional relationship between smoking traits and BMI.⁴⁵ Conflict among observational studies may be related to residual confounding or reverse causality. MR assumes that genetic variants proxying an exposure produce similar effects to the exposure itself, although this assumption may not always be valid. For example, lifetime exposure to

adverse genetics may have different health consequences when compared to more concentrated environmental exposures, highlighted by the much larger protective effects of genetically lower LDL-cholesterol and systolic blood pressure on risk of coronary heart disease in comparison to effect estimates from randomized trials of treatments for these risk factors.^{46,47}

The effect of increasing genetic liability to smoking on ASCVD outcomes appears to be independent from the effects of smoking on traditional cardiovascular risk factors. The point estimate of the direct effect of smoking (when jointly considering smoking and cardiometabolic risk factors) was similar (or greater) than the total effect, suggesting the possibility of causal interaction between smoking and traditional risk factors, which could be investigated using factorial MR in a single-sample setting.⁴⁸ Proposed mechanisms by which smoking may independently contribute to cardiovascular events include hypercoagulability, increased myocardial work, decreased oxygen delivery (due to elevated carboxyhemoglobin levels), coronary vasoconstriction, and increased catecholamine levels, among others.⁴⁸

The finding that smoking confers strong independent risk for ASCVD even when considering other traditional cardiovascular risk factors has important public health implications. More precise estimation of the effect of smoking on ASCVD outcomes may help calibrate the expected benefit of smoking cessation initiatives, and efforts to reduce the burden of cardiovascular disease should continue to focus on smoking cessation.

The current study must be interpreted within the context of its limitations. The study focused primarily on individuals of European ancestry, which may limit generalization to other populations, highlighting the need for genomic studies in diverse ancestral groups. The

Mendelian randomization framework relies on a key assumption that the risk conferred by an exposure is equivalent whether mediated by genetics or environment, and that genetic risk is conferred through the exposure of interest rather than via pleiotropic effects ¹². Although findings were consistent in sensitivity analyses using MR methods robust to the presence of pleiotropy, there may be gene-environment interactions, like those previously demonstrated at the ADAMTS7 locus for CAD and the CHRNA3 locus for PAD, that modify and alter the relationship between smoking and ASCVD outcomes ^{9,49}. Although differences in the underlying structure of the ASCVD studies could affect the estimate of differential risk between the ASCVD outcomes, the two-sample Mendelian randomization framework tends to bias causal estimates toward the null, lending further confidence in our overall finding that smoking is strongly associated with increased risk of all ASCVD outcomes. Finally, future study of additional smoking-related traits, like duration/quantity of smoking and smoking cessation, and other MR methods may provide additional insight into potential differential effects of these traits in different vascular beds, clarifying recent observational findings that these traits may affect ASCVD risk.4,50

CONCLUSION:

Overall, increasing genetic liability to smoking increases risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases, with the strongest effect on peripheral artery disease, independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

This research is based on data from the MVP, Office of Research and Development, Veterans Health Administration and was supported by award no. MVP000. This work was supported by US Department of Veterans Affairs grants IK2-CX001780 (SMD), and I01-BX003362 (PST). This publication does not represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States government. This work was supported by American Heart Association Strategically Focused Research Network in Vascular Disease grant 18SFRN33960373 (MSF); National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute grants HL125032 (MSF) and R01HL142711 (PN); National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases DK101478 and HG010067 (BFV); and a Linda Pechenik Montague Investigator Award (BFV). Data on coronary artery disease have been contributed by the CARDIOGRAMplusC4D and UK Biobank CardioMetabolic Consortium CHD working group who used the UK Biobank Resource (application number 9922). Data have been downloaded from <u>www.CARDIOGRAMPLUSC4D.ORG</u>. GWAS summary statistics for ischemic stroke have been contributed by the MEGASTROKE investigators. The MEGASTROKE project received funding from sources specified at

http://www.megastroke.org/acknowledgements.html.

DISCLOSURES:

SMD receives research support to his institution from CytoVAS and RenalytixAI and reports consulting fess from Calico Labs, all outside the current work. PN reports grant support from Amgen, Apple, and Boston Scientific, and consulting fees from Apple and Blackstone Life Sciences, all outside the current work.

DATA AVAILABILITY:

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ETHICAL APPROVAL:

This study utilized only publicly available, deidentified summary statistics from previously published works, making it exempt from Institutional Review Board review at the University of Pennsylvania.

REFERENCES:

- Lubin JH, Couper D, Lutsey PL, Woodward M, Yatsuya H, Huxley RR. Risk of cardiovascular disease from cumulative cigarette use and the impact of smoking intensity. *Epidemiology*. 2016;
- 2. Price JF, Mowbray PI, Lee AJ, Rumley A, Lowe GDO, Fowkes FGR. Relationship between smoking and cardiovascular risk factors in the development of peripheral arterial disease and coronary artery disease. Edinburgh Artery Study. *Eur Heart J*. 1999;
- 3. Gordon T, Kannel WB. Predisposition to Atherosclerosis in the Head, Heart, and Legs: The Framingham Study. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc*. 1972;
- Ding N, Sang Y, Chen J, Ballew SH, Kalbaugh CA, Salameh MJ, Blaha MJ, Allison M, Heiss G, Selvin E, Coresh J, Matsushita K. Cigarette Smoking, Smoking Cessation, and Long-Term Risk of 3 Major Atherosclerotic Diseases. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;
- 5. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple

genetic variants using summarized data. Genet Epidemiol. 2013;37:658-665.

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease:
 The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease. 2010.
- Minami J, Ishimitsu T, Matsuoka H. Effects of Smoking Cessation on Blood Pressure and Heart Rate Variability in Habitual Smokers [Internet]. 1999 [cited 2020 Feb 1]. Available from: http://www.hypertensionaha.org
- 8. Nikpay M, Goel A, Won HH, Hall LM, Willenborg C, Kanoni S, Saleheen D, Kyriakou T, Nelson CP, CHopewell J, Webb TR, Zeng L, Dehghan A, Alver M, MArmasu S, Auro K, Bjonnes A, Chasman DI, Chen S, Ford I, Franceschini N, Gieger C, Grace C, Gustafsson S, Huang J, Hwang SJ, Kim YK, Kleber ME, Lau KW, Lu X, Lu Y, Lyytikäinen LP, Mihailov E, Morrison AC, Pervjakova N, Qu L, Rose LM, Salfati E, Saxena R, Scholz M, Smith A V., Tikkanen E, Uitterlinden A, Yang X, Zhang W, Zhao W, De Andrade M, De Vries PS, Van Zuydam NR, Anand SS, Bertram L, Beutner F, Dedoussis G, Frossard P, Gauguier D, Goodall AH, Gottesman O, Haber M, Han BG, Huang J, Jalilzadeh S, Kessler T, König IR, Lannfelt L, Lieb W, Lind L, MLindgren C, Lokki ML, Magnusson PK, Mallick NH, Mehra N, Meitinger T, Memon FUR, Morris AP, Nieminen MS, Pedersen NL, Peters A, Rallidis LS, Rasheed A, Samuel M, Shah SH, Sinisalo J, EStirrups K, Trompet S, Wang L, Zaman KS, Ardissino D, Boerwinkle E, Borecki IB, Bottinger EP, Buring JE, Chambers JC, Collins R, Cupples L, Danesh J, Demuth I, Elosua R, Epstein SE, et al. A comprehensive 1000 Genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. Nat Genet. 2015;47:1121-1130.

9. Klarin D, Lynch J, Aragam K, Chaffin M, Assimes TL, Huang J, Lee KM, Shao Q, Huffman JE,

Natarajan P, Arya S, Small A, Sun Y V., Vujkovic M, Freiberg MS, Wang L, Chen J, Saleheen D, Lee JS, Miller DR, Reaven P, Alba PR, Patterson O V., DuVall SL, Boden WE, Beckman JA, Gaziano JM, Concato J, Rader DJ, Cho K, Chang K-M, Wilson PWF, O'Donnell CJ, Kathiresan S, Tsao PS, Damrauer SM. Genome-wide association study of peripheral artery disease in the Million Veteran Program. *Nat Med* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Jul 28];Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-019-0492-5

10. Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, Sargurupremraj M, Okada Y, Mishra A, Rutten-Jacobs L, Giese A-K, van der Laan SW, Gretarsdottir S, Anderson CD, Chong M, Adams HHH, Ago T, Almgren P, Amouyel P, Ay H, Bartz TM, Benavente OR, Bevan S, Boncoraglio GB, Brown RD, Butterworth AS, Carrera C, Carty CL, Chasman DI, Chen W-M, Cole JW, Correa A, Cotlarciuc I, Cruchaga C, Danesh J, de Bakker PIW, DeStefano AL, den Hoed M, Duan Q, Engelter ST, Falcone GJ, Gottesman RF, Grewal RP, Gudnason V, Gustafsson S, Haessler J, Harris TB, Hassan A, Havulinna AS, Heckbert SR, Holliday EG, Howard G, Hsu F-C, Hyacinth HI, Ikram MA, Ingelsson E, Irvin MR, Jian X, Jiménez-Conde J, Johnson JA, Jukema JW, Kanai M, Keene KL, Kissela BM, Kleindorfer DO, Kooperberg C, Kubo M, Lange LA, Langefeld CD, Langenberg C, Launer LJ, Lee J-M, Lemmens R, Leys D, Lewis CM, Lin W-Y, Lindgren AG, Lorentzen E, Magnusson PK, Maguire J, Manichaikul A, McArdle PF, Meschia JF, Mitchell BD, Mosley TH, Nalls MA, Ninomiya T, O'Donnell MJ, Psaty BM, Pulit SL, Rannikmäe K, Reiner AP, Rexrode KM, Rice K, Rich SS, Ridker PM, Rost NS, Rothwell PM, Rotter JI, Rundek T, Sacco RL, et al. Multiancestry genome-wide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. Nat Genet [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 Feb 12];50:524–537. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/articles/s41588-018-0058-3

- Wootton RE, Richmond RC, Stuijfzand BG, Lawn RB, Sallis HM, Taylor GMJ, Hemani G, Jones HJ, Zammit S, Davey Smith G, Munafò MR. Evidence for causal effects of lifetime smoking on risk for depression and schizophrenia: a Mendelian randomisation study. *Psychol Med*. 2019;1–9.
- 12. Davies NM, Holmes M V., Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: A guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ*. 2018;362.
- 13. Burgess S, Thompson DJ, Rees JMB, Day FR, Perry JR, Ong KK. Dissecting causal pathways using mendelian randomization with summarized genetic data: Application to age at menarche and risk of breast cancer. *Genetics*. 2017;207:481–487.
- 14. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, Datta G, Davila-Velderrain J, McGuire D, Tian C, Zhan X, Agee M, Alipanahi B, Auton A, Bell RK, Bryc K, Elson SL, Fontanillas P, Furlotte NA, Hinds DA, Hromatka BS, Huber KE, Kleinman A, Litterman NK, McIntyre MH, Mountain JL, Northover CAM, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Sazonova O V., Shelton JF, Shringarpure S, Tian C, Tung JY, Vacic V, Wilson CH, Pitts SJ, Mitchell A, Skogholt AH, Winsvold BS, Sivertsen B, Stordal E, Morken G, Kallestad H, Heuch I, Zwart JA, Fjukstad KK, Pedersen LM, Gabrielsen ME, Johnsen MB, Skrove M, Indredavik MS, Drange OK, Bjerkeset O, Børte S, Stensland SØ, Choquet H, Docherty AR, Faul JD, Foerster JR, Fritsche LG, Gabrielsen ME, Gordon SD, Haessler J, Hottenga JJ, Huang H, Jang SK, Jansen PR, Ling Y, Mägi R, Matoba N, McMahon G, Mulas A, Orrù V, Palviainen T, Pandit A, Reginsson GW, Skogholt AH, Smith JA, Taylor AE, Turman C, Willemsen G, Young H, Young KA, Zajac GJM, Zhao W, Zhou W, Bjornsdottir G, Boardman JD, Boehnke M, Boomsma DI, Chen C,

Cucca F, Davies GE, Eaton CB, Ehringer MA, Esko T, Fiorillo E, Gillespie NA, et al.

Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat. Genet. 2019;

- Shim H, Chasman DI, Smith JD, Mora S, Ridker PM, Nickerson DA, Krauss RM, Stephens
 M. A multivariate genome-wide association analysis of 10 LDL subfractions, and their response to statin treatment, in 1868 Caucasians. *PLoS One*. 2015;
- Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, Laurin C, Burgess S,
 Bowden J, Langdon R, Tan VY, Yarmolinsky J, Shihab HA, Timpson NJ, Evans DM, Relton C,
 Martin RM, Davey Smith G, Gaunt TR, Haycock PC. The MR-base platform supports
 systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife*. 2018;
- 17. Verbanck M, Chen C-Y, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet*. 2018;
- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genet Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Feb 28];40:304–14. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27061298
- 19. Burgess S, Labrecque JA. Mendelian randomization with a binary exposure variable: interpretation and presentation of causal estimates. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2018;
- 20. Altman DG, Bland JM. Interaction revisited: The difference between two estimates. *BMJ*.2003;
- 21. Pattaro C, Teumer A, Gorski M, Chu AY, Li M, Mijatovic V, Garnaas M, Tin A, Sorice R, Li Y,

Taliun D, Olden M, Foster M, Yang Q, Chen MH, Pers TH, Johnson AD, Ko YA, Fuchsberger C, Tayo B, Nalls M, Feitosa MF, Isaacs A, Dehghan A, D'Adamo P, Adeyemo A, Dieffenbach AK, Zonderman AB, Nolte IM, Van Der Most PJ, Wright AF, Shuldiner AR, Morrison AC, Hofman A, Smith A V., Dreisbach AW, Franke A, Uitterlinden AG, Metspalu A, Tonjes A, Lupo A, Robino A, Johansson Å, Demirkan A, Kollerits B, Freedman BI, Ponte B, Oostra BA, Paulweber B, Krämer BK, Mitchell BD, Buckley BM, Peralta CA, Hayward C, Helmer C, Rotimi CN, Shaffer CM, Müller C, Sala C, Van Duijn CM, Saint-Pierre A, Ackermann D, Shriner D, Ruggiero D, Toniolo D, Lu Y, Cusi D, Czamara D, Ellinghaus D, Siscovick DS, Ruderfer D, Gieger C, Grallert H, Rochtchina E, Atkinson EJ, Holliday EG, Boerwinkle E, Salvi E, Bottinger EP, Murgia F, Rivadeneira F, Ernst F, Kronenberg F, Hu FB, Navis GJ, Curhan GC, Ehret GB, Homuth G, Coassin S, Thun GA, Pistis G, Gambaro G, Malerba G, Montgomery GW, Eiriksdottir G, Jacobs G, Li G, Wichmann HE, et al. Genetic associations at 53 loci highlight cell types and biological pathways relevant for kidney function. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7.

22. Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Peloso GM, Gustafsson S, Kanoni S, Ganna A, Chen J, Buchkovich ML, Mora S, Beckmann JS, Bragg-Gresham JL, Chang H-Y, Demirkan A, Den Hertog HM, Do R, Donnelly LA, Ehret GB, Esko T, Feitosa MF, Ferreira T, Fischer K, Fontanillas P, Fraser RM, Freitag DF, Gurdasani D, Heikkilä K, Hyppönen E, Isaacs A, Jackson AU, Johansson Å, Johnson T, Kaakinen M, Kettunen J, Kleber ME, Li X, Luan J, Lyytikäinen L-P, Magnusson PKE, Mangino M, Mihailov E, Montasser ME, Müller-Nurasyid M, Nolte IM, O'Connell JR, Palmer CD, Perola M, Petersen A-K, Sanna S, Saxena R, Service SK, Shah S, Shungin D, Sidore C, Song C, Strawbridge RJ, Surakka I, Tanaka T, Teslovich

TM, Thorleifsson G, Van den Herik EG, Voight BF, Volcik KA, Waite LL, Wong A, Wu Y, Zhang W, Absher D, Asiki G, Barroso I, Been LF, Bolton JL, Bonnycastle LL, Brambilla P, Burnett MS, Cesana G, Dimitriou M, Doney ASF, Döring A, Elliott P, Epstein SE, Ingi Eyjolfsson G, Gigante B, Goodarzi MO, Grallert H, Gravito ML, Groves CJ, Hallmans G, Hartikainen A-L, Hayward C, Hernandez D, Hicks AA, Holm H, Hung Y-J, Illig T, Jones MR, Kaleebu P, Kastelein JJP, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2019 Jun 1];45:1274–1283. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24097068

 Xue A, Wu Y, Zhu Z, Zhang F, Kemper KE, Zheng Z, Yengo L, Lloyd-Jones LR, Sidorenko J, Wu Y, Agbessi M, Ahsan H, Alves I, Andiappan A, Awadalla P, Battle A, Beutner F, Bonder MJJ, Boomsma D, Christiansen M, Claringbould A, Deelen P, Esko T, Favé MJ, Franke L, Frayling T, Gharib S, Gibson G, Hemani G, Jansen R, Kähönen M, Kalnapenkis A, Kasela S, Kettunen J, Kim Y, Kirsten H, Kovacs P, Krohn K, Kronberg-Guzman J, Kukushkina V, Kutalik Z, Lee B, Lehtimäki T, Loeffler M, Marigorta UM, Metspalu A, Milani L, Müller-Nurasyid M, Nauck M, Nivard M, Penninx B, Perola M, Pervjakova N, Pierce B, Powell J, Prokisch H, Psaty B, Raitakari O, Ring S, Ripatti S, Rotzschke O, Ruëger S, Saha A, Scholz M, Schramm K, Seppälä I, Stumvoll M, Sullivan P, Teumer A, Thiery J, Tong L, Tönjes A, van Dongen J, van Meurs J, Verlouw J, Völker U, Võsa U, Yaghootkar H, Zeng B, McRae AF, Visscher PM, Zeng J, Yang J. Genome-wide association analyses identify 143 risk variants and putative regulatory mechanisms for type 2 diabetes. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9.
 Sun BB, Maranville JC, Peters JE, Stacey D, Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Burgess S, Jiang T, Paige

E, Surendran P, Oliver-Williams C, Kamat MA, Prins BP, Wilcox SK, Zimmerman ES, Chi A,

Bansal N, Spain SL, Wood AM, Morrell NW, Bradley JR, Janjic N, Roberts DJ, Ouwehand WH, Todd JA, Soranzo N, Suhre K, Paul DS, Fox CS, Plenge RM, Danesh J, Runz H, Butterworth AS. Genomic atlas of the human plasma proteome. *Nature*. 2018;558:73–79.

25. Locke AE, Kahali B, Berndt SI, Justice AE, Pers TH, Day FR, Powell C, Vedantam S, Buchkovich ML, Yang J, Croteau-Chonka DC, Esko T, Fall T, Ferreira T, Gustafsson S, Kutalik Z, Luan J, Mägi R, Randall JC, Winkler TW, Wood AR, Workalemahu T, Faul JD, Smith JA, Hua Zhao J, Zhao W, Chen J, Fehrmann R, Hedman ÅK, Karjalainen J, Schmidt EM, Absher D, Amin N, Anderson D, Beekman M, Bolton JL, Bragg-Gresham JL, Buyske S, Demirkan A, Deng G, Ehret GB, Feenstra B, Feitosa MF, Fischer K, Goel A, Gong J, Jackson AU, Kanoni S, Kleber ME, Kristiansson K, Lim U, Lotay V, Mangino M, Mateo Leach I, Medina-Gomez C, Medland SE, Nalls MA, Palmer CD, Pasko D, Pechlivanis S, Peters MJ, Prokopenko I, Shungin D, Stančáková A, Strawbridge RJ, Ju Sung Y, Tanaka T, Teumer A, Trompet S, van der Laan SW, van Setten J, Van Vliet-Ostaptchouk J V., Wang Z, Yengo L, Zhang W, Isaacs A, Albrecht E, Ärnlöv J, Arscott GM, Attwood AP, Bandinelli S, Barrett A, Bas IN, Bellis C, Bennett AJ, Berne C, Blagieva R, Blüher M, Böhringer S, Bonnycastle LL, Böttcher Y, Boyd HA, Bruinenberg M, Caspersen IH, Ida Chen Y-D, Clarke R, Warwick Daw E, de Craen AJM, et al. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. Nature [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Jun 1];518:197–206. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25673413

26. Berndt SI, Gustafsson S, Mägi R, Ganna A, Wheeler E, Feitosa MF, Justice AE, Monda KL, Croteau-Chonka DC, Day FR, Esko T, Fall T, Ferreira T, Gentilini D, Jackson AU, Luan J, Randall JC, Vedantam S, Willer CJ, Winkler TW, Wood AR, Workalemahu T, Hu YJ, Lee SH,

Liang L, Lin DY, Min JL, Neale BM, Thorleifsson G, Yang J, Albrecht E, Amin N, Bragg-Gresham JL, Cadby G, Heijer M Den, Eklund N, Fischer K, Goel A, Hottenga JJ, Huffman JE, Jarick I, Johansson Å, Johnson T, Kanoni S, Kleber ME, König IR, Kristiansson K, Kutalik Z, Lamina C, Lecoeur C, Li G, Mangino M, McArdle WL, Medina-Gomez C, Müller-Nurasyid M, Ngwa JS, Nolte IM, Paternoster L, Pechlivanis S, Perola M, Peters MJ, Preuss M, Rose LM, Shi J, Shungin D, Smith AV, Strawbridge RJ, Surakka I, Teumer A, Trip MD, Tyrer J, Van Vliet-Ostaptchouk J V., Vandenput L, Waite LL, Zhao JH, Absher D, Asselbergs FW, Atalay M, Attwood AP, Balmforth AJ, Basart H, Beilby J, Bonnycastle LL, Brambilla P, Bruinenberg M, Campbell H, Chasman DI, Chines PS, Collins FS, Connell JM, O Cookson W, De Faire U, De Vegt F, Dei M, Dimitriou M, Edkins S, Estrada K, Evans DM, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 11 new loci for anthropometric traits and provides insights into genetic architecture. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45:501–512.

27. Manning AK, Hivert M-F, Scott RA, Grimsby JL, Bouatia-Naji N, Chen H, Rybin D, Liu C-T, Bielak LF, Prokopenko I, Amin N, Barnes D, Cadby G, Hottenga J-J, Ingelsson E, Jackson AU, Johnson T, Kanoni S, Ladenvall C, Lagou V, Lahti J, Lecoeur C, Liu Y, Martinez-Larrad MT, Montasser ME, Navarro P, Perry JRB, Rasmussen-Torvik LJ, Salo P, Sattar N, Shungin D, Strawbridge RJ, Tanaka T, van Duijn CM, An P, de Andrade M, Andrews JS, Aspelund T, Atalay M, Aulchenko Y, Balkau B, Bandinelli S, Beckmann JS, Beilby JP, Bellis C, Bergman RN, Blangero J, Boban M, Boehnke M, Boerwinkle E, Bonnycastle LL, Boomsma DI, Borecki IB, Böttcher Y, Bouchard C, Brunner E, Budimir D, Campbell H, Carlson O, Chines PS, Clarke R, Collins FS, Corbatón-Anchuelo A, Couper D, de Faire U, Dedoussis G V, Deloukas P, Dimitriou M, Egan JM, Eiriksdottir G, Erdos MR, Eriksson JG, Eury E, Ferrucci

L, Ford I, Forouhi NG, Fox CS, Franzosi MG, Franks PW, Frayling TM, Froguel P, Galan P, de Geus E, Gigante B, Glazer NL, Goel A, Groop L, Gudnason V, Hallmans G, Hamsten A, Hansson O, Harris TB, Hayward C, Heath S, Hercberg S, Hicks AA, Hingorani A, Hofman A, et al. A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. *Nat Genet* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2020 Mar 8];44:659–69. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22581228

- 28. Shungin D, Winkler T, Croteau-Chonka DC, Ferreira T, Locke AE, Mägi R, Strawbridge RJ, Pers TH, Fischer K, Justice AE, Workalemahu T, Wu JMW, Buchkovich ML, Heard-Costa NL, Roman TS, Drong AW, Song C, Gustafsson S, Day FR, Esko T, Fall T, Kutalik Z, Luan J, Randall JC, Scherag A, Vedantam S, Wood A, Chen J, Fehrmann R, Karjalainen J, Kahali B, Liu CT, Schmidt EM, Absher D, Amin N, Anderson D, Beekman M, Bragg-Gresham JL, Buyske S, Demirkan A, Ehret G, Feitosa M, Goel A, Jackson AU, Johnson T, Kleber ME, Kristiansson K, Mangino M, Leach IM, Medina-Gomez C, Palmer CD, Pasko D, Pechlivanis S, Peters MJ, Prokopenko I, Stančáková A, Sung YJ, Tanaka T, Teumer A, Van Vliet-Ostaptchouk J V., Yengo L, Zhang W, Albrecht E, Ärnlöv J, Arscott GM, Bandinelli S, Barrett A, Bellis C, Bennett AJ, Berne C, Blüher M, Böhringer S, Bonnet F, Böttcher Y, Bruinenberg M, Carba DB, Caspersen IH, Clarke R, Daw EW, Deelen J, Deelman E, Delgado G, Doney A, Eklund N, Erdos MR, Estrada K, Eury E, Friedrich N, Garcia M, Giedraitis V, Gigante B, Go AS, Golay A, Grallert H, Grammer TB, Gräßler J, Grewal J, Groves CJ, et al. New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. *Nature*. 2015;518:187-196.

- Burgess S, Davies NM, Thompson SG. Bias due to participant overlap in two-sample
 Mendelian randomization. *Genet Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Feb 28];40:597–
 608. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27625185
- 30. Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. *PLoS Genet*. 2017;13.
- 31. Sanderson E, Davey Smith G, Windmeijer F, Bowden J. An examination of multivariable Mendelian randomization in the single-sample and two-sample summary data settings. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2019;48:713–727.
- Burgess S, Thompson SG. Multivariable Mendelian Randomization: The Use of Pleiotropic Genetic Variants to Estimate Causal Effects. *Am J Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Feb 15];181:251–260. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/aje/articlelookup/doi/10.1093/aje/kwu283
- 33. Steenman M, Espitia O, Maurel B, Guyomarch B, Heymann MF, Pistorius MA, Ory B, Heymann D, Houlgatte R, Gouëffic Y, Quillard T. Identification of genomic differences among peripheral arterial beds in atherosclerotic and healthy arteries. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8.
- 34. McGill HC, McMahan CA, Herderick EE, Tracy RE, Malcom GT, Zieske AW, Strong JP. Effects of coronary heart disease risk factors on atherosclerosis of selected regions of the aorta and right coronary artery. PDAY Research Group. Pathobiological Determinants of Atherosclerosis in Youth. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* [Internet]. 2000 [cited 2019 Aug 25];20:836–45. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10712411
- 35. Thom CS, Ding Z, Voight BF. Initiation of smoking and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes: a mendelian randomization study. *medRxiv*. 2020;2020.01.30.20019737.

- Liu X, Bragg F, Yang L, Kartsonaki C, Guo Y, Du H, Bian Z, Chen Y, Yu C, Lv J, Wang K, Zhang H, Chen J, Clarke R, Collins R, Peto R, Li L, Chen Z, Chen J, Chen Z, Clarke R, Collins R, Guo Y, Li L, Lv J, Peto R, Walters R, Avery D, Bennett D, Boxall R, Bragg F, Chang Y, Chen Y, Du H, Gilbert S, Hacker A, Holmes M, Kartsonaki C, Kerosi R, Lancaster G, Lin K, McDonnell J, Millwood I, Nie Q, Radhakrishnan J, Ryder P, Sansome S, Schmidt D, Sohoni R, Stevens B, Turnbull I, Wang J, Wang L, Wright N, Yang L, Yang X, Bian Z, Chen G, Han X, Hou C, Pei P, Qu S, Tan Y, Yu C, Pang Z, Gao R, Wang S, Liu Y, Du R, Zang Y, Cheng L, Tian X, Zhang H, Lv S, Wang J, Hou W, Yin J, Jiang G, Zhou X, Yang L, He H, Yu B, Li Y, Mu H, Xu Q, Dou M, Ren J, Wang S, Hu X, Wang H, Chen J, Fu Y, Fu Z, Wang X, Weng M, Zheng X, Li Y, Li H, et al. Smoking and smoking cessation in relation to risk of diabetes in Chinese men and women: a 9-year prospective study of 0-5 million people. *Lancet Public Heal*. 2018;3:e167–e176.
- 37. Yuan S, Larsson SC. A causal relationship between cigarette smoking and type 2 diabetes mellitus: A Mendelian randomization study. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9.
- Freitas SRS, Alvim RO. Smoking and Blood Pressure Phenotypes: New Perspective for an Old Problem. 554 Am J Hypertens [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Feb 5];30. Available from: http://www.who.
- 39. Dare S, Mackay DF, Pell JP. Relationship between Smoking and Obesity: A Cross-Sectional Study of 499,504 Middle-Aged Adults in the UK General Population. *PLoS One* [Internet].
 2015 [cited 2020 Feb 5];10:e0123579. Available from: http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123579
- 40. Åsvold BO, Bjørngaard JH, Carslake D, Gabrielsen ME, Skorpen F, Davey Smith G,

Romundstad PR. Causal associations of tobacco smoking with cardiovascular risk factors: a Mendelian randomization analysis of the HUNT Study in Norway. *Int J Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2020 Feb 5];43:1458–1470. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/ije/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ije/dyu113

- Chiolero A, Jacot-Sadowski I, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. Association of Cigarettes Smoked Daily with Obesity in a General Adult Population*. *Obesity* [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2020 Feb 4];15:1311–1318. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1038/oby.2007.153
- 42. Slagter SN, van Vliet-Ostaptchouk J V, Vonk JM, Boezen HM, Dullaart RP, Kobold ACM, Feskens EJ, van Beek AP, van derKlauw MM, Wolffenbuttel BH. Associations between smoking, components of metabolic syndrome and lipoprotein particle size. *BMC Med* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2020 Feb 4];11:195. Available from: http://bmcmedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1741-7015-11-195
- 43. Winsløw UC, Rode L, Nordestgaard BG. High tobacco consumption lowers body weight: A Mendelian randomization study of the Copenhagen General Population Study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44:540–550.
- Davies NM, Holmes M V, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: A guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 Feb 15];362:k601. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30002074
- 45. Taylor AE, Richmond RC, Palviainen T, Loukola A, Wootton RE, Kaprio J, Relton CL, Smith GD, Munafò MR. The effect of body mass index on smoking behaviour and nicotine metabolism: a Mendelian randomization study. *Hum Mol Genet* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Mar 12];28:1322–1330. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-

abstract/28/8/1322/5251961

- 46. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Malarstig A, Thompson SG. Use of Mendelian randomisation to assess potential benefit of clinical intervention. BMJ. 2012;345.
- Burgess S, Harshfield E. Mendelian randomization to assess causal effects of blood lipids on coronary heart disease: Lessons from the past and applications to the future. Curr.
 Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes. 2016;23:124–130.
- 48. Benowitz NL, Gourlay SG. Cardiovascular toxicity of nicotine: Implications for nicotine replacement therapy. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 1997;29:1422–1431.
- Saleheen D, Zhao W, Young R, Nelson CP, Ho W, Ferguson JF, Rasheed A, Ou K, Nurnberg ST, Bauer RC, Goel A, Do R, Stewart AFR, Hartiala J, Zhang W, Thorleifsson G, Strawbridge RJ, Sinisalo J, Kanoni S, Sedaghat S, Marouli E, Kristiansson K, Zhao JH, Scott R, Gauguier D, Shah SH, Smith AV, Van Zuydam N, Cox AJ, Willenborg C, Kessler T, Zeng L, Province MA, Ganna A, Lind L, Pedersen NL, White CC, Joensuu A, Kleber ME, Hall AS, März W, Salomaa V, O'Donnell C, Ingelsson E, Feitosa MF, Erdmann J, Bowden DW, Palmer CNA, Gudnason V, Faire U De, Zalloua P, Wareham N, Thompson JR, Kuulasmaa K, Dedoussis G, Perola M, Dehghan A, Chambers JC, Kooner J, Allayee H, Deloukas P, McPherson R, Stefansson K, Schunkert H, Kathiresan S, Farrall M, Marcel Frossard P, Rader DJ, Samani NJ, Reilly MP. Loss of Cardioprotective Effects at the ADAMTS7 Locus as a Result of Gene-Smoking Interactions. *Circulation*. 2017;135:2336–2353.
- 50. Duncan MS, Freiberg MS, Jr RAG, Kundu S, Vasan RS, Tindle HA. Association of Smoking
 Cessation With Subsequent Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Med Assoc. 2019;322:1–
 9.

 Figure 1: Total effect of smoking on risk of peripheral artery disease (PAD), coronary artery disease (CAD), and stroke

 Exposure
 OR 95% CI
 P



In inverse-variance weighted MR, each one standard deviation increase in genetic liability to smoking was associated with significantly increased risk of PAD, CAD, and large-artery stroke. Smoking most strongly increased risk of PAD compared to large-artery stroke (p_{difference} = 0.025) and CAD (p_{difference} = 0.0041). Odds ratios are expressed per one standard deviation increase in lifetime smoking index. OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval.



Figure 2: Total effect of smoking on risk of cardiometabolic risk factors for ASCVD

Inverse-variance weighted MR was performed to determine whether genetic liability to smoking altered risk of cardiometabolic risk factors for ASCVD. Genetic liability to smoking increased risk of both (A) binary traits, and (B) continuous traits that are common risk factors for cardiometabolic disease. Effect estimates are expressed per one standard deviation increase in lifetime smoking index. OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval.



Figure 3: Direct effect of smoking on risk of PAD, CAD, and Stroke

Multivariable MR was performed to estimate the direct effect of smoking on ASCVD after accounting for the effects of smoking on other cardiovascular risk factors. The effect of smoking on PAD, CAD, and stroke was not substantially attenuated in models adjusting for traditional cardiovascular risk factors (Type 2 Diabetes, Body Mass Index, Lipids [LDL-C, HDL-C, Triglycerides], and Systolic Blood Pressure), or inflammation (IL6 levels). Odds ratios are expressed per one standard deviation increase in lifetime smoking index. OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval.