



Genome of the pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis* recovered from a biofilm in a hospital sink using a high-throughput single-cell genomics platform

Jeffrey S. McLean, Mary-Jane Lombardo, Michael G. Ziegler, et al.

Genome Res. published online April 5, 2013

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.150433.112](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.150433.112)

Supplemental Material <http://genome.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2013/03/12/gr.150433.112.DC1.html>

P<P Published online April 5, 2013 in advance of the print journal.

Open Access Freely available online through the *Genome Research* Open Access option.

Creative Commons License This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

Email alerting service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#)

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>

Method

Genome of the pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis* recovered from a biofilm in a hospital sink using a high-throughput single-cell genomics platform

Jeffrey S. McLean,^{1,2,7} Mary-Jane Lombardo,¹ Michael G. Ziegler,³ Mark Novotny,¹ Joyclyn Yee-Greenbaum,¹ Jonathan H. Badger,¹ Glenn Tesler,⁴ Sergey Nurk,⁵ Valery Lesin,⁵ Daniel Bami,¹ Adam P. Hall,¹ Anna Edlund,¹ Lisa Z. Allen,¹ Scott Durkin,¹ Sharon Reed,³ Francesca Torriani,³ Kenneth H. Nealon,^{1,2} Pavel A. Pevzner,^{5,6} Robert Friedman,¹ J. Craig Venter,¹ and Roger S. Lasken^{1,7}

¹Microbial and Environmental Genomics, J. Craig Venter Institute, San Diego, California 92121, USA; ²Department of Earth Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089, USA; ³Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA; ⁴Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA; ⁵Algorithmic Biology Laboratory, St. Petersburg Academic University, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, 19042, Russia; ⁶Department of Computer Science, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

Although biofilms have been shown to be reservoirs of pathogens, our knowledge of the microbial diversity in biofilms within critical areas, such as health care facilities, is limited. Available methods for pathogen identification and strain typing have some inherent restrictions. In particular, culturing will yield only a fraction of the species present, PCR of virulence or marker genes is mainly focused on a handful of known species, and shotgun metagenomics is limited in the ability to detect strain variations. In this study, we present a single-cell genome sequencing approach to address these limitations and demonstrate it by specifically targeting bacterial cells within a complex biofilm from a hospital bathroom sink drain. A newly developed, automated platform was used to generate genomic DNA by the multiple displacement amplification (MDA) technique from hundreds of single cells in parallel. MDA reactions were screened and classified by 16S rRNA gene PCR sequence, which revealed a broad range of bacteria covering 25 different genera representing environmental species, human commensals, and opportunistic human pathogens. Here we focus on the recovery of a nearly complete genome representing a novel strain of the periodontal pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (*P. gingivalis* JCVI SCO01) using the single-cell assembly tool SPAdes. Single-cell genomics is becoming an accepted method to capture novel genomes, primarily in the marine and soil environments. Here we show for the first time that it also enables comparative genomic analysis of strain variation in a pathogen captured from complex biofilm samples in a healthcare facility.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Ongoing efforts to understand the genomic diversity of microbes in nature and in human health are hampered by the limited availability of cultivated organisms and their genomes (The Human Microbiome Jumpstart Reference Strains Consortium 2010). Only 1%–10% of known bacterial species (Rappe and Giovannoni 2003) are thought to be currently cultivated, although great progress is being made for some bacterial communities; for example, about half of bacterial species within the human oral cavity have been cultivated (Dewhirst et al. 2010). The recent advancements in DNA sequencing of single bacterial cells (Raghunathan et al. 2005) have accelerated the discovery of uncultivated microbes (Lasken 2012), providing genomic assemblies for species previously known only from 16S rRNA clone libraries and metagenomic data (Marcy et al. 2007; Podar et al. 2007; Binga et al.

2008; Eloe et al. 2011; Youssef et al. 2011; Dupont et al. 2012). This newly developed methodology provides a culture-independent approach to capture the genomes of uncultivated organisms, which can then be integrated into many intensive genomics-based studies. A high-throughput strategy was recently established to sequence and assemble single-cell genomes of bacteria (Chitsaz et al. 2011) and viruses (Allen et al. 2011), including novel uncultivated bacteria from environmental samples (Chitsaz et al. 2011; Eloe et al. 2011; Dupont et al. 2012). The workflow consists of (1) delivery of single bacterial cells into 384-well microtiter wells by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS); (2) use of a robotic platform to perform 384-well automated cell lysis and amplification of DNA by the multiple displacement amplification (MDA) method (Dean et al. 2001, 2002; Hosono et al. 2003) to create libraries of genomic DNA derived from single cells; (3) PCR and cycle sequencing of 16S rRNA genes to profile the taxonomy and diversity of the libraries; (4) selection of candidate amplified genomes for whole-genome sequencing; and (5) sequencing and assembly of selected genomes using assembly tools designed specifically for MDA-amplified single cells (Chitsaz et al. 2011; Bankevich et al. 2012). A highly integrated

⁷Corresponding authors

E-mail jmclean@jcvl.org

E-mail rlasken@jcvl.org

Article published online before print. Article, supplemental material, and publication date are at <http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.150433.112>. Freely available online through the *Genome Research* Open Access option.

robotic platform, described in this study for the first time, was used to increase the throughput, ease, and overall cost of processing single cells.

Here we have focused this approach on the indoor environment. Despite the fact that a typical person spends ~90% of their time indoors (Klepeis et al. 2001), there is little known about the microbial diversity of this environment. Of particular interest is the prevalence of species affecting human health, including both opportunistic and primary pathogens. Recent studies of indoor environments using culture-independent molecular methods indicate an unexpectedly high bacterial diversity on surfaces within daycare facilities and public bathroom facilities (Lee et al. 2007; Flores et al. 2011), where the majority of organisms in the latter environment were human associated (Flores et al. 2011). Another study shows that bacterial diversity is lower in indoor air at a healthcare facility compared with outdoor air; however, the indoor air contained a higher number of potential human pathogens as shown by 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses (Kembel et al. 2012). Biofilms in particular are thought to be reservoirs of disease-causing organisms in both outdoor and indoor environments. Several pathogens, including *Escherichia coli*, *Vibrio cholerae* (Shikuma and Hadfield 2010), and *Helicobacter pylori* (Percival and Thomas 2009; Linke et al. 2010), have been detected in biofilms within water distribution systems. In addition, the long-term persistence of *Legionella pneumophila*, the causative agent of Legionnaire's disease, in biofilms within natural and human-impacted freshwater environments is well known (Walker et al. 1993; Murga et al. 2001; Declerck 2010; Gao et al. 2011). Recent 16S rRNA gene molecular surveys have revealed a significant load of *Mycobacterium avium* in showerhead biofilms (Feazel et al. 2009), and studies on biofilms growing on shower curtains suggest that these communities also harbor potential opportunistic pathogens that can threaten immune-compromised patients (Kelley et al. 2004). In another study, the source of a deadly outbreak of a multidrug-resistant strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was traced to biofilms in hand hygiene sink drains, where its viable cells could be identified (Hota et al. 2009).

There is great interest, therefore, to investigate biofilms as reservoirs of pathogens at higher resolution than allowed by the most commonly used detection and identification methodologies. Culture-independent surveys using the 16S rRNA gene as a marker are currently the most widely used approach; however, genetic strain differences reflecting pathogenicity are often difficult to resolve due to this gene being highly conserved among many bacterial strains. Quantitative PCR and direct culturing are focused on either a handful of predetermined pathogens or what can be readily cultivated. Metagenomic surveys are becoming common, but so far, our ability is limited to accurately predict taxonomic affiliation at species or strain levels from highly diverse and complex data sets. Additionally, a whole-genome comparative genomic study on the evolution and transmission of a pathogen requires substantial amounts of DNA or a cultured strain, which often cannot be obtained. It has been demonstrated in a controlled experiment with 10 pg of extracted DNA provided as a template that MDA-amplified genotyping call and accuracy rates were only slightly lower than those for genomic DNA isolated directly from cultured cells (Giardina et al. 2009). Using single-cell genomic approaches, partial to near complete genomes should be obtainable without cultivation, from difficult samples within critical indoor environments such as healthcare facilities. In-depth analyses of these genomic data can then provide accurate and detailed information of strain-specific pathogen-gene signatures and other virulence factors.

The aim of this study was to investigate for the first time the bacteria present in a healthcare facility with a high-throughput single-cell genomics approach. Based on the known prevalence of pathogens in biofilms, we focused on a sink drain biofilm from a public restroom adjoining an emergency waiting room. Sequencing 16S rRNA genes PCR-amplified from 416 single-cell MDA reactions, we found 18 candidate commensal and potentially pathogenic species that were selected for 454 shallow sequencing. Initial read mapping and de novo assembly of the low-coverage 454 sequence data confirmed that we had obtained genomic sequences for the pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae* as well as bacterial species highly similar to and those reported to be potentially pathogenic, including *Sphingobacterium spiritivorum* (Tronel et al. 2003; Kampfer et al. 2005), *Leptotrichia buccalis* (Hamman et al. 1993; Hot et al. 2008), as well as the host-associated oral bacteria, *Streptococcus mitis* and *Veillonella parvula*. Of particular note, we found three MDA products with sequences for the oral pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, which is a periodontal pathogen involved in periodontal bone loss that has also been linked to progression of atherosclerotic disease (Pussinen et al. 2007; Yilmaz 2008). *P. gingivalis* possesses many virulence factors, including functions that allow it to survive intracellularly and to be transmitted between different types of host cells (Li et al. 2008). Despite being detected at a very low abundance in the oral cavity, *P. gingivalis* can strongly disrupt the host-microbial homeostasis (Hajishengallis et al. 2011). As with many pathogens, the environmental reservoirs and mode(s) of transmission of *P. gingivalis* are not fully understood, yet it is a globally important pathogen with only three sequenced genomes available at the time of this report. It was recently stated by a CDC report that nearly 50% of American adults have mild, moderate, or severe periodontitis, and this percentage rises to 70% in adults greater than age 65 (Eke et al. 2012). To our knowledge, there are no previous reports detecting *P. gingivalis* outside of a host.

Three MDA-amplified genomes with 16S rRNA gene sequences identified as *P. gingivalis* were chosen for additional deep sequencing on the Illumina GA IIX platform, and the resulting reads were mapped to *P. gingivalis* genomes. One MDA-read data set had ~90% sequence coverage to *P. gingivalis* strain TDC60, which was isolated from a patient in Japan with severe periodontitis (Watanabe et al. 2011). A new single-cell de novo assembly algorithm, SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012), was used to generate contigs of the highest-coverage MDA product, which produced a 2.35-Mb draft genome (PGJCVI SC001). Comparative genomics and pangenome analyses were performed with the three other available *P. gingivalis* genomes; virulent strains W83 (Nelson et al. 2003) and TDC60 (Watanabe et al. 2011), and the less virulent strain ATCC 33277 (Naito et al. 2008). We demonstrate that single-cell genomics is a powerful approach that can produce highly accurate sequence data, enabling comparative genomic studies of pathogens obtained from a complex heterogeneous environmental sample.

Results

Sampling and sorting cells from biofilms

Seawater-derived samples contain relatively high bacterial counts and were a rich source for sorting single cells by FACS (Chitsaz et al. 2011; Dupont et al. 2012) with ~20%–30% of single-cell amplifications yielding amplified genomic DNA based on sequencing of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA genes (Methods). In

contrast, attempts to randomly sort single bacterial cells from the indoor environment, such as from surface swabs (data not shown) and sink drains, yielded <1% amplified bacterial genomes due to failure to lyse cells or due to noise from fluorescence background signals of nonbacterial particles during sorting. To reduce the background noise of nonbacterial events, which obscure bacterial cells stained with SYBR Green, the biofilm sample was vortexed, filtered through a 5- μ m filter, and processed through a Nycodenz cushion (Methods). After processing, the percentage of positive stained events that were in the bacterial size range approached 20% of the total particle count (Supplemental Fig. S1). This positive gate was used to sort single events into 384-well microtiter plates.

Microtiter plates containing single sorted events were then processed on the highly integrated high-throughput single-cell platform (Methods; Supplemental Fig. S2). In total, 78 MDAs of 416 sorted wells were identified as candidates based on the taxonomy of their 16S rRNA gene sequence. This 19% overall success rate does not include 16S rRNA gene sequences that can be attributed to common bacterial MDA reagent contaminants detected in control MDA reactions without a sorted cell (NTC, no template control). NTCs were always run in parallel with each sort of single cells to determine the relative amount and identity of contaminating bacterial DNA in the MDA reagents; this is a necessary standard practice in single-cell genomics due to the highly processive strand displacement activity of the phi29 DNA polymerase and the near-ubiquitous presence of bacterial DNA in reagents (Allen et al. 2011; Blainey and Quake 2011; Woyke et al. 2011). Based on 16S rRNA gene analysis, a wide diversity of genera was found in this random sort from the sink biofilm community (Fig. 1).

Screening MDA products using multiplexed 454 sequencing

A total of 18 candidate MDA products from the sink biofilm sample were of interest in terms of their relationship to human health being reported as potentially pathogenic or a commensal species. These were each sequenced as barcoded libraries on one-fourth of a 454 plate. A range of 5500–13,500 reads was obtained for the 18




Figure 1. Distribution of 78 candidate 16S rRNA sequences found in single-cell sorted wells from the sink biofilm sample. 16S rRNA sequences from single-cell amplifications observed for this FACS sorted sample.

libraries, with overall average read length of 321 bp. Complex environmental samples, such as a sink biofilm, may be difficult to lyse, had poorer amplification, and are more likely to contaminate single-cell MDA reactions with free DNA from other organisms. The 454 data sets for the 18 candidate MDAs were therefore screened for quality, contamination, and overall suitability for analysis by several criteria: (1) the presence of reads having BLAST matches (NCBI nr database) to the same genera indicated by the 16S rRNA gene; (2) confirmation of identity for contigs generated from de novo assembly; and (3) successful mapping of reads to a representative sequenced genome. A total of nine of the original 18 MDAs met these criteria. These comprise species previously reported to be pathogenic or potentially pathogenic, including *S. pneumoniae* (1 MDA product), *S. spiritivorum* (1), *L. buccalis* (1), *P. gingivalis* (3), *S. mitis* (2), and the commensal oral bacteria *V. parvula* (1). These 454 reads were assembled, and the open reading frames were annotated to determine the genes captured from these species, as well as to assess potential for full genome sequencing (Supplemental Table S1). Although many of these products were very intriguing, *P. gingivalis* was chosen for detailed analysis because there was more than one MDA representing a genome, they passed all the quality criteria, and all three of the MDAs contained a high proportion of genomic sequences from *P. gingivalis* (labeled MDA 1, 2, and 3). These were chosen for deep sequencing using the Illumina GAIIx platform on one-third of a lane each.

Read-based analyses of the *P. gingivalis* MDA products

Although *Porphyromonas* is a widespread and well recognized pathogen, only three *P. gingivalis* genomes have been sequenced: strain W83 (virulent) (Nelson et al. 2003), ATCC 33277 (less virulent than W83) (Naito et al. 2008), and the most recent, TDC60 (virulent) (Watanabe et al. 2011). A majority of the raw 100-bp paired-end reads for each of the three MDA products yielded BLAST hits to the newly completed genome of a virulent *P. gingivalis* strain (TDC60) from a severe periodontal lesion in a Japanese patient (Watanabe and Frommel 1993), confirming the 16S rRNA gene PCR sequence matches. The total reads passing quality filtering, along with the results of mapping the reads of the single cells to strain TDC60, are summarized in Table 1. Coverage of the reference genome varied with 41%, 87%, and 91% for MDA 1, 2, and 3, respectively. As with many MDA-derived single-cell genomes, the coverage depth varied widely across the genome: The average coverage for MDA3 was 237 \times , with ~90% of the genome covered at 10 \times or greater (Supplemental Fig. S3). Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and deletion insertion and polymorphism (DIP) analyses revealed 847 shared SNPs between the three amplified genomes with respect to TDC60, with 791 in coding regions (202 missense) (Supplemental Fig. S4). There were 75 shared DIPs in total. Although MDA1 had the highest number of reads, it had the lowest reference genome coverage. Mapping of reads from all three MDAs did not increase the percentage of the reference genome mapped compared with coverage by MDA3 alone.

De novo assembly of *P. gingivalis* genomes

All three MDA sequence data sets were assembled de novo. Our assembly analysis described here is restricted to MDA3, which corresponds to the MDA having the highest genome coverage to TDC60. Two newly developed de novo assembly tools specifically

Table 1. Individual amplified single cells and combined single-cell read mapping against *P. gingivalis* TDC60 reference

	MDA1	MDA2	MDA3	MDA123
Total read count	8,873,267	990,119	5,756,473	15,619,859
Percent of reference mapped (%)	40	87	91	91
Maximum coverage ^a	20,717	1074	10,059	21,726
Average coverage ^b	366	41	238	644

^aThe highest coverage in the region.

^bAverage coverage is calculated by summing up the bases of the aligned part of all the reads divided by the length of the reference sequence including zero coverage regions.

designed to assemble reads generated from single-cell MDA reactions, Euler correction with Velvet-Single Cell E+V-SC (Chitsaz et al. 2011) and SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012), were compared (Table 2) with the Velvet assembly tool (Zerbino and Birney 2008). For single-cell projects, these significantly improve on traditional assemblers (designed for mono-species cultured samples), since they are able to cope with the wide variations in coverage and elevated numbers of chimeric reads and read pairs characteristic of MDA reactions. Completeness of the assemblies was assessed by using Plantagora (Barthelson et al. 2011) to compare with the reference. Plantagora determines “misassembly breakpoints” with the assumption that the data set being assembled is a sample of the reference genome. It is emphasized here that since contigs are compared against a reference from a similar but nonidentical strain, the term “misassembly breakpoints” does not apply and the term “breakpoints versus TDC60” is used instead. Assemblers were compared based on the fraction of the genome covered by the assembled contigs, and an adjusted N_{50} , in which assembly contigs are broken into multiple contigs at the breakpoints determined by Plantagora. Sequence in the contigs that does not align to the TDC60 reference is not counted. Note that the adjusted N_{50} is likely to eliminate misassemblies from the N_{50} computation (which result in incorrectly overestimating N_{50}), but this is at the expense of underestimating the true N_{50} . While assembly of sample MDA3 with Velvet resulted in only 52% coverage of the TDC60 reference genome with a very small adjusted N_{50} (Table 2), assemblies with Velvet-SC and SPAdes resulted in 88% and 90% coverage, respectively, which are close to the 91% coverage by reads (Table 1). The adjusted N_{50} was 10,732 for Velvet-SC and 13,589 for SPAdes. For MDA2, SPAdes yielded a much better assembly than Velvet-SC: 58% coverage of TDC60 for Velvet-SC versus 78% for SPAdes (while the reads have 87% coverage) (see Table 1), and adjusted N_{50} of 1495 for Velvet-SC versus 5887 for SPAdes. Based on this benchmarking, SPAdes assemblies only were used for further analyses.

Following assembly, contigs resulting from nontarget environmental sequences, as well as MDA contaminants, were identified and removed from the

three MDA assemblies using a combination of BLAST and the Automated Phylogenetic Inference System (APIS) (Badger et al. 2006), as described previously (Chitsaz et al. 2011; Dupont et al. 2012). APIS performs a BLAST analysis of each open reading frame (ORF) against reference genomes and, when possible, generates a phylogenetic tree for each ORF. APIS analysis confirmed that the majority of ORFs on the contigs were placed within the genera *Porphyromonas*. APIS also helped to identify contaminant contigs as those containing ORFs that were phylogenetically similar to each other and distinct from the rest of the assembly (Chitsaz et al. 2011; Dupont et al. 2012). We also assessed the relative proportion and phylogenetic association of target and nontarget contigs by MGTAXA (<http://mgtaxa.jcvi.org>) (Supplemental Fig. S5), which performs taxonomic classification of metagenomic sequences with machine learning techniques. This process also confirmed that the majority of contigs for MDA3 belonged to *P. gingivalis*.

We investigated the three assembled genomes by remapping the three sets of MDA reads to the final contigs generated by MDA3. We reported SNPs using the same criteria as described above, revealing an insignificant number of SNPs in a few genes between the data sets (Supplemental Table S2). We also confirmed that the three shared identical 16S rRNA gene sequences based on 938 bp of alignment from initial PCR amplified 16S rRNA gene results as well as identical full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences in the three assemblies. Although the data may provide support that these three cells are likely the same strain and the assemblies represent the same genome, it is not conclusive, so we chose to treat them as separate genomes rather than combine reads to attempt to generate a better assembly. Given the fact that MDA3 had the highest mapped coverage of the reference genome and the largest number of contigs classified as *P. gingivalis*, we further restricted our analyses to MDA3. In total, 288 contigs from MDA3 were chosen for further annotation and genomic comparisons.

Table 2. Comparison of assemblies of single-cell *P. gingivalis* MDA3

Assembly	SPAdes	E+V-SC	Velvet
Number of contigs ^a	614	452	3162
Total length	2,537,623^b	2,352,771	1,442,312
Largest contig	101,845	82,017	3788
N_{50}	23,369	13,391	283
Adjusted N_{50} ^d	13,589	10,732	220
Reference length (strain TDC60)	2,339,898	2,339,898	2,339,898
Number of breakpoints vs. TDC60	115	96	7
Number of contigs with breakpoints	65	55	5
Number of bases in contigs with breakpoints	1,235,715	866,637	6678
Number of unaligned contigs ^e	351 + 23 part	91 + 40 part	493 + 74 part
Number of unaligned bases	194,790	135,565	205,376
Average identity (%) ^f	96.720	96.830	98.860
Mapped genome (%) ^g	90.139	87.682	52.175

^aQuantities in the table are based on the contigs of size at least 201 bases.

^bThe best value in each category is boldfaced when possible; some categories cannot be interpreted as having a best value.

^c N_{50} is the largest contig length, L , such that contigs of size $\geq L$ comprise at least half of the bases in the reference genome (TDC60).

^dAdjusted N_{50} is computed by first aligning the contigs to the TDC60 reference genome, removing the nonaligning parts, and breaking the contigs up into blocks at alignment boundaries. With an exact reference genome, this would prevent misassemblies (incorrect contig joins) and contaminants from inflating the value of N_{50} ; however, there may be true differences between this strain and TDC60, which this adjustment penalizes. The remaining statistics are also based on the same alignments and alignment breakpoints.

^eA contig is partially aligned (“part”) when it has alignment(s) to the reference genome, but they comprise <99% of the contig’s length.

^fThe rate of matches in the portions of the contigs that align to the TDC60 reference genome.

^gThe fraction of the TDC60 reference genome to which contigs are aligned.

General genomic features

The single-cell genome assembled from MDA3, designated PG JCVI SC001, was 2.35 Mb in size and is similar to the other three *P. gingivalis* genomes for a number of genome parameters (Table 3). A total of 1869 genes (86%) were found to have some level of homology in ATCC33277, W83, or TDC60 genomes (Supplemental Table S3), with a total of 1500 genes making up the pan-genome encompassing all three genomes (Supplemental Fig. S6). The 524 genes unique to PG JCVI SC001, i.e., no ortholog to reference genomes, were primarily annotated as hypothetical proteins (Table 4; see full table in Supplemental Table S4). Contigs were then ordered based on the TDC60 reference genome and concatenated to provide a scaffold for further comparative genomics. The circular representation of the genome (Fig. 2) displays the ordered contigs and predicted CDSs, as well as BLASTN analyses to the three *P. gingivalis* genomes and a distant relative, *Prevotella buccae* ATCC33574.

Multiple locus sequence typing

We used the seven gene multiple locus sequence typing (MLST) scheme for *P. gingivalis* (Jolley et al. 2004; Enersen et al. 2008a) and sequence types (STs) from human periodontitis isolates of *P. gingivalis* in the MLST database (<http://www.pubmlst.org/pgingivalis>) (Jolley et al. 2004) to type the PG JCVI SC001 genome. MLST detects allelic variation at multiple housekeeping loci accumulating slowly in bacterial populations. This database has been used to investigate the clonality of *P. gingivalis*, which was previously reported to have a weakly clonal population structure comparable with *Neisseria meningitidis* (Enersen 2011). Using the PG JCVI SC001 contig sequences as input, the MLST database searches revealed that the sequenced single cell has five exact matches to previously sequenced genes of the seven MLST loci (*ftsQ*, *gpdXJ*, *hagB*, *mcmA*, *pepO*, *pga*, *recA*). Strain TDC60 also had only five exact matches to the database, indicating that they have a unique allele pattern for *hagB* (SC001), *gpdXJ* (TDC60), and *pga* (both) absent in the database. Using existing MLST database tools that generate trees based on the allelic profiles of the 138 sequence types (<http://www.pubmlst.org>), we confirmed that the nearest sequence type to PG JCVI SC001 is ST-68, having three identical matches (*pepO*, *pga*, and *recA*) (Supplemental Fig. S7).

Analysis of virulence factors

Diversity in *P. gingivalis* is reported to arise by genetic recombination rather than mutation (Frandsen et al. 2001; Koehler et al.

Table 4. JCVI SC001 specific CDS (top 12 of 524) identified via reciprocal best BLAST analysis

Raw count	% ^a	Annotation
246	46.68	Hypothetical protein
91	17.27	Conserved hypothetical protein
31	5.88	Conserved domain protein
4	0.76	Cleaved adhesin domain protein
4	0.76	Site-specific recombinase, phage integrase family
3	0.57	Pcfj-like protein
3	0.57	Peptidase, S9A/B/C family
3	0.57	TraM recognition site of TraD and TraG
3	0.57	Transposase, IS4-like family protein
3	0.57	Lipoprotein, putative
3	0.57	DNA-binding helix–turn–helix protein
3	0.57	Glycosyltransferase, group 1 family protein

^aPercentage of CDS specific to JCVI SC001.

2003; Nadkarni et al. 2004). In addition to the MLST data, the structure and function of major virulence factors, such as fimbriae (*fimA*) and the capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis locus (CPS), provide insight into strain variation and degrees of pathogenicity (Lamont and Jenkinson 1998). The single-cell read data and de novo-assembled contigs provide the opportunity to examine strain variation and pathogenicity of this uncultivated strain. In some cases, mapping reads to the reference TDC60 genome provided deep coverage sufficient to detect SNPs, whereas in other highly variable regions, such as the CPS region, reads could not be accurately mapped. The CPS region could, however, be recovered in the assembled contigs and used for comparative genome analyses.

Coverage and analysis of fimbriae gene

It is becoming evident that the fimbriae A gene (*fimA*), encoding the major fimbrial subunit of *P. gingivalis*, is one of the main virulent factors of this organism. Based on *fimA*, *P. gingivalis* is classified into six genotypes (genotype I, Ib, II, III, IV, and V). Epidemiological studies have shown that advanced periodontitis patients harbor *fimA* type II (Enersen et al. 2008a,b; Enersen 2011). It should be noted that *fimA* type II *P. gingivalis* is most frequently detected in cardiovascular disease patients (Nakagawa et al. 2006) and has been shown to invade epithelial cells (Nakagawa et al. 2006). TDC60 has type II fimbriae, and our analysis of read mapping and de novo assemblies confirms that our single-cell *fimA* sequences are related to the *fimA* of TDC60. A total of six SNPs in *fimA* are shared by all three MDAs with >10× coverage (Fig. 3), giving further confidence that these six SNPs are valid and not assembly error or MDA artifacts.

Variation in the capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis locus

The CPS locus has been described as a virulence factor of various pathogenic bacteria involved in evasion of the host immune system. Encapsulated *P. gingivalis* strains such as W83 have been shown to be more virulent than nonencapsulated strains (e.g., ATCC 33277 in the mouse infection model) (Laine and van Winkelhoff 1998; Brunner et al. 2010a,b). The CPS loci were poorly covered by reads of all three MDA data sets, suggesting that these may be

Table 3. General features of the PG JCVI SC001 genome and comparisons with sequenced *P. gingivalis* genomes

Strain	CRISPR count	GC%	Coding base count	Genome size	Gene count	CDS count	CDS %	RNA count
ATCC	3	48	2,046,172	2,354,886	2155	2090	96.98	65
W83	4	48	1,954,527	2,343,476	1984	1909	96.22	75
TDC60	5	48	2,040,041	2,339,898	2283	2217	97.11	66
JCVI SC001 ^a	1	48	2,0327,27	2,350,571	2344	2293	97.88	45
JCVI SC001 ^b	1	48	1,948,482	2,350,571	2165	2120	97.98	48

^aBased on Glimmer gene prediction and JCVI prokaryotic annotation pipeline.

^bBased on XBase (<http://www.xbase.ac.uk/annotation/>) gene annotation (using *P. gingivalis* W83 as a reference).




Figure 2. Circular representation of the draft JCVI SC001 genome. The assembled draft genome is the SPAdes assembly of MDA3 with the contigs ordered to the TDC60 reference genome. From the inner to the outer ring: coordinates in the assembled and concatenated JCVI SC001 genome, G+C content, GC skew, ordered contigs, predicted CDS, TBLASTN alignment showing percent identity against *P. gingivalis* TDC60, W83, ATCC 33277, and *Prevotella buccae* ATCC33574 (near neighbor) reference genomes.

highly variable regions within the genome. The arrangement of the genes between the three sequenced genomes and JCVI SC001 single-cell genome from MDA3 (Fig. 4) reveals how the

immunity to phage (Jansen et al. 2002; Barrangou et al. 2007). Amplification and de novo assembly was successful for the previously identified CRISPR (designated 36-30) within W83; this

region is bounded by shared homology in the gene encoding glycosyl transferase, group 4 family protein, and *epsC* (UDP-*N*-acetyl-D-mannosaminuronic acid dehydrogenase) upstream and a pair of downstream genes encoding UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase (*epsD*) and DNA-binding protein HU. The synteny of genes in this region was more similar between the virulent TDC60 (Watanabe et al. 2011) and the less virulent ATCC 33277 than between the two more virulent strains W83 and TDC60. Our MDA3 assembly has only five ORFs between *epsD* and *epsC* and appears very distinct compared with the reference sequences. A recent report has shown that a loss in the ability to produce a capsule, by deletion of the glycosyl transferase, group 4 family protein, increases biofilm formation by W83 and ATCC 33277. Although we do not have an isolate to test if these single cells would produce a capsule, one may speculate based on the genomic data that PG JCVI SC001 lacks a capsule.

Evidence of unique CRISPR region

Differences between species can be observed in clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) sequences, which are noncontinuous direct repeats separated by variable (spacer) sequences that have been shown to confer




Figure 3. Single nucleotide polymorphisms and read coverage across *fimA* of the reference strain TDC60. (Row 1) Reference gene *fimA*; (row 2) shared SNPs across the three single-cell genomes with 100% frequency at a coverage of 10 \times ; (row 3) shared SNPs at a coverage of 30 \times ; (rows 4–8) SNPs at 10 \times and 30 \times for each single-cell amplification; (rows 9–10) mapped deletions; (rows 11–13) mapped reads; (row 14) mapped 454 reads.




Figure 4. Comparison of the polysaccharide capsule locus found in MDA3 (*bottom*) with W83, ATCC 33277, and TDC60. Genes of the same color are from the same orthologous group.

CRISPR contains eight repeats of 36 bp. Comparisons of this region with other genomes (Fig. 5) reveal identical repeat sequences between all genomes. This region, however, varies in the number of repeats, number of spacer sequences, and spacer identity. Additional confirmed CRISPR repeat sequences of 46 bp (five repeats and four spacers) as well as putative CRISPR direct repeat sequences of 30, 38, 45, and 52 bp, and associated spacers were found in PG JCVI SC001 using the CRISPR finder database (<http://crispr.u-psud.fr/crispr/>). The 36-30 region is not flanked by CRISPR-associated (*cas*) genes in W83 or TDC60, and all three MDA assemblies also failed to capture any *cas* genes or any homologous genes related to the *cas* system. Zegans et al. (2009) noted that loss or disruption of five of the six *cas* genes results in a restoration of biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains that were infected with a lysogenic phage. It is interesting to speculate that a lack of *cas* genes in PG JCVI SC001 may also provide an advantage for this strain to integrate into a biofilm community, although no prophage regions were detected in the MDA products.

Discussion

A vast majority of bacteria in the environment as well as those associated with the human microbiome have eluded standard culturing approaches, and therefore their physiology and their gene content are unknown. This leaves a large gap in our knowledge of the potential roles for these organisms in the environment and also in human health and disease. This is the first report describing the re-

covery of genomes of bacterial pathogens from single cells out of an environmental sample. We demonstrate that a single-cell approach enables analysis of the genetic diversity between the captured environmental cells and sequenced pathogen genomes, permits identification of variations in virulence factors, and supports discovery of variant genes in the genome. Single-cell genomics comparing multiple single cells enables analysis of genetic diversity within a population and, although it has inherent biases of its own, may potentially be free from biasing effects that can occur during subculturing, such as gene loss (Karch et al. 1992; Nair et al. 2004). Based on the work reported here, capturing genomes from environmental samples using single-cell approaches could support studies on the prevalence and genotype of pathogens from environmental sources and may ultimately help reveal their possible modes of transmission between the host and environment.




Figure 5. Comparison of a clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat region (CRISPR). Successful multiple displacement amplification and de novo assembly of the repeats in CRISPR region 36-30. This region was first identified in strain W83, and all three genomes have 100% identical repeat sequences. The regions vary in the number of repeats, number of spacer sequences, and spacer identity.

Methods

Sample collection and isolation of bacterial cells from sink material

Sink drain samples were collected with sterile cotton-tipped swabs from a publicly accessible restroom adjacent to an emergency waiting room within a Medical School Hospital (University of California, San Diego, Medical Center, Hillcrest, San Diego, CA, USA). The initial sample was fixed with ethanol and vortexed briefly for 20 sec (Supplemental Fig. S1). The sample was filtered through a 35- μ m filter. A 2-mL cushion of prechilled Nycodenz gradient solution (Nycoprep Universal, Axis Shield) was placed in a 17-mL ultracentrifuge tube, and 6 mL of supernatant was placed gently over the Nycodenz cushion. The pair of balanced tubes was centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C in an ultracentrifuge SW32.1 rotor. The visible cloudy interface containing the bacterial cells was collected gently, mixed by inversion to create a suspension, and diluted 1000-fold for flow cytometry. For further details, see the Supplemental Methods.

FACS detection and single-cell sorting

FACS detection was performed on the Nycodenz fractionated bacteria. Filter-sterilized (0.2 μ m) phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 1 \times) was used as sheath fluid and for sample dilution. Unstained and SYBR Green I (0.5 \times) stained material was 35- μ m filtered, and a 1:1000 dilution was assessed for event rate at low flow rate (<2000 total events/sec) and adjusted if necessary. The low flow rate is critical to reduce the likelihood of sorting coincident events. Fluorescent events were collected using gating parameters FSC-PMT versus SSC and FSC-PMT versus SYBR Green (Supplemental Fig. S1). One thousand events from each gate were sorted at a lower purity setting onto glass slides for viewing with fluorescent microscopy on an Olympus I \times 70 inverted fluorescence microscope at 60 \times magnification to confirm the presence of cellular morphologies. A bead targeting strategy (see Supplemental Methods) was used to ensure that only single cells were sorted from the sample into each well of a 384-well PCR plate (FrameStar). Single-cell events were sorted into 4 μ L of a low EDTA TE (10 mM Tris, 0.1 mM EDTA at pH 8.0) and immediately frozen on dry ice and held there until transfer to -80°C for storage prior to processing. For further details, see the Supplemental Methods.

Multiple displacement amplification

MDA of single-cell genomes was performed in a 384-well format using the GenomiPhi HY kit (GE Healthcare) with a custom Agilent BioCel robotic system (see detailed schematic in Supplemental Fig. S2). Briefly, cells were lysed by addition of 2 μ L of alkaline lysis solution (645 mM KOH, 265 mM DTT, 2.65 mM EDTA at pH 8.0), then incubated for 10 min at 4°C. After lysis, 7 μ L of a neutralization solution (2.8 μ L of 1290 mM Tris-Cl at pH 4.5 and 4.2 μ L of GE Sample Buffer) was added, followed by 12 μ L of GenomiPhi master mix (10.8 μ L of GE Reaction Buffer and 1.2 μ L of GE Enzyme Mix) for a reaction volume of 25 μ L. Reactions were incubated for 16 h at 30°C, followed by a 10-min inactivation step at 65°C. MDA yield was determined by Picogreen assay. Three hundred eighty-four no template control (NTC) MDA reactions were included to reveal any contaminating sequences and processed in parallel through 16S PCR analysis. These negative controls lacking a sorted cell were run in parallel to determine the relative amount and identity of contaminating bacterial DNA in the MDA reagents, a necessary standard practice in single-cell genomics due to the highly processive strand displacement activity of the phi29 DNA polymerase (Allen

et al. 2011; Blainey and Quake 2011; Woyke et al. 2011). For further details, see the Supplemental Methods.

PCR and analysis of 16S rRNA genes

16S rRNA genes were amplified from diluted MDA product using universal bacterial primers 27f and 1492r (Lane 1991) according to previously established protocols (Chitsaz et al. 2011; Eloe et al. 2011; Dupont et al. 2012) (Supplemental Methods). BLASTN analysis against the SILVA SSU ref NR 102 database (Pruesse et al. 2007) was performed to classify the 16S rRNA gene sequences taxonomically and to determine their relationship to sequenced bacterial genomes and 16S rRNA gene sequences. An additional BLASTN analysis was performed against a curated database of near full-length to full-length 16S sequences (at least 900 bp) from human fecal sample 16S rRNA sequences (at least 900 bp) from several survey studies (Eckburg et al. 2005; Gill et al. 2006; Dethlefsen et al. 2008; Tap et al. 2009; Turnbaugh et al. 2009) as well as 16S rRNA sequences from the Human Oral Microbiome Database (HOMD). In both cases, sequences were clustered by cd-hit at the 99% level to remove redundancy. This reduced the combined data set of 57,894 sequences to 28,335 OTU representatives at 99% identity. Because the survey sequences had no taxonomy assigned to them, they were classified using the SILVA taxonomy via the classification feature of mothur (Schloss et al. 2009). MDA products with 16S rRNA gene taxonomy similar to those NTC reactions were excluded from further analysis. For further details, see the Supplemental Methods.

Sequencing

Nextera transposition-based 454 compatible fragment libraries were constructed for each MDA as per the manufacturer's instructions (Epicentre Technologies) using MDA genomic DNA as template, including incorporation of the 48 Nextera 454 barcodes and use of Zymo DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 columns (Zymo Research) following the transposition and PCR amplification steps. Nextera transposition-based Illumina fragment libraries were constructed for each MDA as per the manufacturer's instructions (Epicentre Technologies). The library concentrations were determined by absorbance on a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. The column-purified barcoded fragment libraries were pooled at \sim 1:1, without size selection, and emulsion PCR and sequencing were performed at JTC. Illumina sequencing (Bentley 2006) was performed on the MDAs using the Genome Analyzer II System according to the manufacturer's specifications. The three MDAs assigned to *P. gingivalis* were barcoded and pooled on a half-lane; this generated 11.5 GB of data and 47 million reads that passed quality score >20.

Reference mapping

Reference mapping was conducted using the CLC Genomics Workbench, with the reference *P. gingivalis* (NC-015571). Mapping parameters were as follows: local alignment with mismatch cost 2, insertion cost 3, deletion cost 3, length fraction 0.9, and similarity 0.9 (90% of the read length needed to be aligned at 90% similarity).

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and deletion insertion and polymorphism (DIP) analysis

Parameters for SNP analysis using the CLC Genomics Workbench were max # of gaps and mismatches 2, minimum average of quality of surrounding bases 30, minimum quality of central base 30, minimum coverage 10, minimum paired coverage = 10, minimum variant frequency 80%. DIP analysis parameters using the CLC

Genomics Workbench were minimum coverage 4, minimum variant frequency 35%. Coverage above 30× with a minimum count of 10 reads with a frequency of 80% cutoff was considered for the detection of shared SNPs.

Single-cell assemblies

Assemblies were produced using Velvet-SC (Chitsaz et al. 2011) and SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012). Both of these assemblers are based on the de Bruijn graph, and both have been adapted for uneven coverage found in single-cell MDA data sets. SPAdes was also adapted for the elevated numbers of chimeric reads and read pairs in MDA-amplified data sets. For Velvet-SC, we assembled the data with k -mer size $k = 55$. For SPAdes, we iterated over k -mer sizes $k = 21, 33, \text{ and } 55$. As described in Results, we selected the SPAdes assembly of MDA3 for detailed analysis.

Contig analyses

Assemblies were manually curated using a conservative approach for single-cell MDA data as described in Chitsaz et al. (2011), Elo et al. (2011), and Dupont et al. (2012). Briefly, all contigs <150 bp in length were removed. Taxonomic affiliations of the predicted protein sequences on the contigs were assigned using APIS (Badger et al. 2006), and contigs that contained a majority of proteins with taxonomic affiliations other than the genus-level classification *Porphyromonas* were removed. Further verification of correct taxonomic classification of the contigs was performed using MGTAXA software, which performs taxonomic classification of metagenomic sequences and is fundamentally based on the frequency of k -mers (<http://mgtaxa.jcvi.org>). Although the use of combined approaches for enrichment of target contigs of interest can remove a fraction of potentially new genomic information, it also provided confidence in the final data sets since taxonomic affiliation of the sequences are in accordance with the 16S rRNA phylogeny.

Gene annotation

The assembly from MDA3 that represented most of the genome was annotated using the JCVI prokaryotic annotation pipeline (<http://www.jcvi.org/cms/research/projects/annotation-service/overview/>). In addition, the XBASE rapid annotation service (<http://www.xbase.ac.uk/annotation/>) was used to annotate homologs in this genome to *P. gingivalis* strain W83, which XBASE provides as the nearest reference genome for the purpose of rapid annotation.

Orthology

Reciprocal best BLASTp analysis of genes for reference genomes was *P. gingivalis* TDC60, ATCC33277, W83, and predicted genes of PG JCVI SCO01 at a cutoff of 1×10^{-9} . Annotations for genes that were found only in PG JCVI SCO01 (524, 22.8%) were from the JCVI prokaryotic annotation pipeline.

Multi Locus Sequence Typing

This publication made use of the *P. gingivalis* Multi Locus Sequence Typing website (<http://pubmlst.org/pgingivalis/>) developed by Keith Jolley and sited at the University of Oxford.

Data access

The genome data have been submitted to the NCBI GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>) under accession number PRJNA167667 ID:167667.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants to R.F., J.C.V., and R.S.L. by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (Sloan Foundation-2007-10-19); to J.S.M. from the National Institutes of Health (1R01GM095373 and 1R01DE020102); to P.P. and G.T. from the National Institutes of Health (NIH 3P41RR024851-02S1); to P.A.P. from the Government of the Russian Federation (grant 11.G34.31.0018); and to M.G.Z. from the National Institutes of Health (UL1TR000100). We thank Mark Adams for helpful discussions and Mathangi Thiagaran (J. Craig Venter Institute) for bioinformatics support.

References

- Allen LZ, Ishoey T, Novotny MA, McLean JS, Lasken RS, Williamson SJ. 2011. Single virus genomics: A new tool for virus discovery. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e17722.
- Badger JH, Hoover TR, Brun YV, Weiner RM, Laub MT, Alexandre G, Mrazek J, Ren Q, Paulsen IT, Nelson KE, et al. 2006. Comparative genomic evidence for a close relationship between the dimorphic prosthecate bacteria *Hyphomonas neptunium* and *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Bacteriol* **188**: 6841–6850.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Pribelski AD et al. 2012. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* **19**: 455–477.
- Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA, Horvath P. 2007. CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* **315**: 1709–1712.
- Barthelson R, McFarlin AJ, Rounsley SD, Young S. 2011. Plantagora: Modeling whole genome sequencing and assembly of plant genomes. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e28436.
- Bentley DR. 2006. Whole-genome re-sequencing. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **16**: 545–552.
- Binga EK, Lasken RS, Neufeld JD. 2008. Something from (almost) nothing: The impact of multiple displacement amplification on microbial ecology. *ISME J* **2**: 233–241.
- Blainey PC, Quake SR. 2011. Digital MDA for enumeration of total nucleic acid contamination. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**: e19.
- Brunner J, Scheres N, El Idrissi NB, Deng DM, Laine ML, van Winkelhoff AJ, Crielaard W. 2010a. The capsule of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* reduces the immune response of human gingival fibroblasts. *BMC Microbiol* **10**: 5.
- Brunner J, Wittink FR, Jonker MJ, de Jong M, Breit TM, Laine ML, de Soet JJ, Crielaard W. 2010b. The core genome of the anaerobic oral pathogenic bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *BMC Microbiol* **10**: 252.
- Chitsaz H, Yee-Greenbaum JL, Tesler G, Lombardo MJ, Dupont CL, Badger JH, Novotny M, Rusch DB, Fraser LJ, Gormley NA, et al. 2011. Efficient de novo assembly of single-cell bacterial genomes from short-read data sets. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 915–921.
- Dean FB, Nelson JR, Giesler TL, Lasken RS. 2001. Rapid amplification of plasmid and phage DNA using Phi 29 DNA polymerase and multiply-primed rolling circle amplification. *Genome Res* **11**: 1095–1099.
- Dean FB, Hosono S, Fang L, Wu X, Faruqi AF, Bray-Ward P, Sun Z, Zong Q, Du Y, Du J, et al. 2002. Comprehensive human genome amplification using multiple displacement amplification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **99**: 5261–5266.
- Declerck P. 2010. Biofilms: The environmental playground of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Environ Microbiol* **12**: 557–566.
- Dethlefsen L, Huse S, Sogin ML, Relman DA. 2008. The pervasive effects of an antibiotic on the human gut microbiota, as revealed by deep 16S rRNA sequencing. *PLoS Biol* **6**: e280.
- Dewhirst FE, Chen T, Izard J, Paster BJ, Tanner AC, Yu WH, Lakshmanan A, Wade WG. 2010. The human oral microbiome. *J Bacteriol* **192**: 5002–5017.
- Dupont CL, Rusch DB, Yooseph S, Lombardo MJ, Richter RA, Valas R, Novotny M, Yee-Greenbaum J, Selengut JD, Haft DH, et al. 2012. Genomic insights to SAR86, an abundant and uncultivated marine bacterial lineage. *ISME J* **6**: 1186–1199.
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargeant M, Gill SR, Nelson KE, Relman DA. 2005. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. *Science* **308**: 1635–1638.
- Eke PI, Dye BA, Wei L, Thornton-Evans GO, Genco RJ. 2012. Prevalence of periodontitis in adults in the United States: 2009 and 2010. *J Dent Res* **91**: 914–920.
- Eloe EA, Fadrosch DW, Novotny M, Zeigler Allen L, Kim M, Lombardo MJ, Yee-Greenbaum J, Yooseph S, Allen EE, Lasken R, et al. 2011. Going

- deeper: Metagenome of a hadopelagic microbial community. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e20388.
- Enersen M. 2011. Porphyromonas gingivalis: A clonal pathogen? Diversities in housekeeping genes and the major fimbriae gene. *J Oral Microbiol* **3**. doi: 10.3402/jom.v3i0.8487.
- Enersen M, Olsen I, Caugant DA. 2008a. Genetic diversity of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* isolates recovered from single “refractory” periodontitis sites. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 5817–5821.
- Enersen M, Olsen I, Kvalheim O, Caugant DA. 2008b. *fimA* genotypes and multilocus sequence types of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* from patients with periodontitis. *J Clin Microbiol* **46**: 31–42.
- Feazel LM, Baumgartner LK, Peterson KL, Frank DN, Harris JK, Pace NR. 2009. Opportunistic pathogens enriched in showerhead biofilms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 16393–16399.
- Flores GE, Bates ST, Knights D, Lauber CL, Stombaugh J, Knight R, Fierer N. 2011. Microbial biogeography of public restroom surfaces. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e28132.
- Frandsen EV, Poulsen K, Curtis MA, Kilian M. 2001. Evidence of recombination in *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and random distribution of putative virulence markers. *Infect Immun* **69**: 4479–4485.
- Giao MS, Azevedo NF, Wilks SA, Vieira MJ, Keevil CW. 2011. Interaction of *Legionella pneumophila* and *Helicobacter pylori* with bacterial species isolated from drinking water biofilms. *BMC Microbiol* **11**: 57.
- Giardina E, Pietrangeli I, Martone C, Zampatti S, Marsala P, Gabriele L, Ricci O, Solla G, Asili P, Arcudi G, et al. 2009. Whole genome amplification and real-time PCR in forensic casework. *BMC Genomics* **10**: 159.
- Gill SR, Pop M, Deboy RT, Eckburg PB, Turnbaugh PJ, Samuel BS, Gordon JI, Relman DA, Fraser-Liggett CM, Nelson KE. 2006. Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. *Science* **312**: 1355–1359.
- Hajishengallis G, Liang S, Payne MA, Hashim A, Jotwani R, Eskan MA, McIntosh ML, Alsam A, Kirkwood KL, Lambris JD, et al. 2011. Low-abundance biofilm species orchestrates inflammatory periodontal disease through the commensal microbiota and complement. *Cell Host Microbe* **10**: 497–506.
- Hammann R, Iwand A, Brachmann J, Keller K, Werner A. 1993. Endocarditis caused by a *Leptotrichia buccalis*-like bacterium in a patient with a prosthetic aortic valve. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **12**: 280–282.
- Hosono S, Faruqi AE, Dean FB, Du Y, Sun Z, Wu X, Du J, Kingsmore SF, Egholm M, Lasken RS. 2003. Unbiased whole-genome amplification directly from clinical samples. *Genome Res* **13**: 954–964.
- Hot A, Coppere B, Ninet J, Thiebault A. 2008. Lemierre syndrome caused by *Leptotrichia buccalis* in a neutropenic patient. *Int J Infect Dis* **12**: 339–340.
- Hota S, Hirji Z, Stockton K, Lemieux C, Dedier H, Wolfaardt G, Gardam MA. 2009. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization and infection secondary to imperfect intensive care unit room design. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* **30**: 25–33.
- The Human Microbiome Jumpstart Reference Strains Consortium. 2010. A catalog of reference genomes from the human microbiome. *Science* **328**: 994–999.
- Jansen R, Embden JD, Gaastra W, Schouls LM. 2002. Identification of genes that are associated with DNA repeats in prokaryotes. *Mol Microbiol* **43**: 1565–1575.
- Jolley KA, Chan MS, Maiden MC. 2004. mlstDBNet—distributed multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) databases. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**: 86.
- Kampfer P, Engelhart S, Rolke M, Sennekamp J. 2005. Extrinsic allergic alveolitis (hypersensitivity pneumonitis) caused by *Sphingobacterium spiritovorum* from the water reservoir of a steam iron. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**: 4908–4910.
- Karch H, Meyer T, Russmann H, Heesemann J. 1992. Frequent loss of Shiga-like toxin genes in clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* upon subcultivation. *Infect Immun* **60**: 3464–3467.
- Kelley ST, Theisen U, Angenent LT, St Amand A, Pace NR. 2004. Molecular analysis of shower curtain biofilm microbes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 4187–4192.
- Kembel SW, Jones E, Kline J, Northcutt D, Stenson J, Womack AM, Bohannon BJM, Brown GZ, Green JL. 2012. Architectural design influences the diversity and structure of the built environment microbiome. *ISME J* **6**: 1469–1479.
- Klepeis NE, Nelson WC, Ott WR, Robinson JP, Tsang AM, Switzer P, Behar JV, Hern SC, Engelmann WH. 2001. The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): A resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* **11**: 231–252.
- Koehler A, Karch H, Beikler T, Flemmig TF, Suerbaum S, Schmidt H. 2003. Multilocus sequence analysis of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* indicates frequent recombination. *Microbiology* **149**: 2407–2415.
- Laine ML, van Winkelhoff AJ. 1998. Virulence of six capsular serotypes of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in a mouse model. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* **13**: 322–325.
- Lamont RJ, Jenkinson HF. 1998. Life below the gum line: Pathogenic mechanisms of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **62**: 1244–1263.
- Lane DJ. 1991. 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics* (ed. Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow M), pp. 115–175. Wiley, New York.
- Lasken RS. 2012. Genomic sequencing of uncultured microorganisms from single cells. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**: 631–640.
- Lee L, Tin S, Kelley ST. 2007. Culture-independent analysis of bacterial diversity in a child-care facility. *BMC Microbiol* **7**: 27.
- Li L, Michel R, Cohen J, Decarlo A, Kozarov E. 2008. Intracellular survival and vascular cell-to-cell transmission of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *BMC Microbiol* **8**: 26.
- Linke S, Lenz J, Gemein S, Exner M, Gebel J. 2010. Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* in biofilms by real-time PCR. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* **213**: 176–182.
- Marcy Y, Ouverney C, Bik EM, Losekann T, Ivanova N, Martin HG, Szeto E, Platt D, Hugenholtz P, Relman DA, et al. 2007. Dissecting biological “dark matter” with single-cell genetic analysis of rare and uncultivated TM7 microbes from the human mouth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 11889–11894.
- Murga R, Forster TS, Brown E, Pruckler JM, Fields BS, Donlan RM. 2001. Role of biofilms in the survival of *Legionella pneumophila* in a model potable-water system. *Microbiology* **147**: 3121–3126.
- Nadkarni MA, Nguyen KA, Chapple CC, DeCarlo AA, Jacques NA, Hunter N. 2004. Distribution of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* biotypes defined by alleles of the *kgp* (Lys-gingipain) gene. *J Clin Microbiol* **42**: 3873–3876.
- Nair S, Alokam S, Kothapalli S, Porwollik S, Proctor E, Choy C, McClelland M, Liu SL, Sanderson KE. 2004. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi strains from which SPI7, a 134-kilobase island with genes for Vi exopolysaccharide and other functions, has been deleted. *J Bacteriol* **186**: 3214–3223.
- Naito M, Hirakawa H, Yamashita A, Ohara N, Shoji M, Yukitake H, Nakayama K, Toh H, Yoshimura F, Kuhara S, et al. 2008. Determination of the genome sequence of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* strain ATCC 33277 and genomic comparison with strain W83 revealed extensive genome rearrangements in *P. gingivalis*. *DNA Res* **15**: 215–225.
- Nakagawa I, Inaba H, Yamamura T, Kato T, Kawai S, Ooshima T, Amano A. 2006. Invasion of epithelial cells and proteolysis of cellular focal adhesion components by distinct types of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* fimbriae. *Infect Immun* **74**: 3773–3782.
- Nelson KE, Fleischmann RD, DeBoy RT, Paulsen IT, Fouts DE, Eisen JA, Daugherty SC, Dodson RJ, Durkin AS, Gwinn M, et al. 2003. Complete genome sequence of the oral pathogenic bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis* strain W83. *J Bacteriol* **185**: 5591–5601.
- Percival SL, Thomas JG. 2009. Transmission of *Helicobacter pylori* and the role of water and biofilms. *J Water Health* **7**: 469–477.
- Podar M, Abulencia CB, Walcher M, Hutchison D, Zengler K, Garcia JA, Holland T, Cotton D, Hauser L, Keller M. 2007. Targeted access to the genomes of low-abundance organisms in complex microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**: 3205–3214.
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glockner FO. 2007. SILVA: A comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**: 7188–7196.
- Pussinen PJ, Tuomisto K, Jousilahti P, Havulinna AS, Sundvall J, Salomaa V. 2007. Endotoxemia, immune response to periodontal pathogens, and systemic inflammation associate with incident cardiovascular disease events. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **27**: 1433–1439.
- Raghunathan A, Ferguson HR Jr, Bornarth CJ, Song W, Driscoll M, Lasken RS. 2005. Genomic DNA amplification from a single bacterium. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**: 3342–3347.
- Rappe MS, Giovannoni SJ. 2003. The uncultured microbial majority. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **57**: 369–394.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, et al. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 7537–7541.
- Shikuma NJ, Hadfield MG. 2010. Marine biofilms on submerged surfaces are a reservoir for *Escherichia coli* and *Vibrio cholerae*. *Biofouling* **26**: 39–46.
- Tap J, Mondot S, Levenez F, Pelletier E, Caron C, Furet JP, Ugarte E, Munoz-Tamayo R, Paslier DL, Nalin R, et al. 2009. Towards the human intestinal microbiota phylogenetic core. *Environ Microbiol* **11**: 2574–2584.
- Tronel H, Plesiat P, Ageron E, Grimont PA. 2003. Bacteremia caused by a novel species of *Sphingobacterium*. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **9**: 1242–1244.
- Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Duncan A, Ley RE, Sogin ML, Jones WJ, Roe BA, Affourtit JP, et al. 2009. A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature* **457**: 480–484.
- Walker JT, Sonesson A, Keevil CW, White DC. 1993. Detection of *Legionella pneumophila* in biofilms containing a complex microbial consortium by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis of genus-specific hydroxy fatty acids. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **113**: 139–144.

- Watanabe K, Frommel TO. 1993. Detection of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in oral plaque samples by use of the polymerase chain reaction. *J Dent Res* **72**: 1040–1044.
- Watanabe T, Maruyama F, Nozawa T, Aoki A, Okano S, Shibata Y, Oshima K, Kurokawa K, Hattori M, Nakagawa I, et al. 2011. Complete genome sequence of the bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis* TDC60, which causes periodontal disease. *J Bacteriol* **193**: 4259–4260.
- Woyke T, Sczyrba A, Lee J, Rinke C, Tighe D, Clingenpeel S, Malmstrom R, Stepanauskas R, Cheng JF. 2011. Decontamination of MDA reagents for single cell whole genome amplification. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e26161.
- Yilmaz O. 2008. The chronicles of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*: The microbium, the human oral epithelium and their interplay. *Microbiology* **154**: 2897–2903.
- Youssef N, Blainey P, Quake S, Elshahed M. 2011. Partial genome assembly for a candidate division OP11 single cell from an anoxic spring (Zodletone Spring, Oklahoma). *Appl Environ Microbiol* **77**: 7804–7818.
- Zegans ME, Wagner JC, Cady KC, Murphy DM, Hammond JH, O'Toole GA. 2009. Interaction between bacteriophage DMS3 and host CRISPR region inhibits group behaviors of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **191**: 210–219.
- Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* **18**: 821–829.

Received November 1, 2012; accepted in revised form February 28, 2013.