# Genomic duplication resulting in increased copy number of genes encoding the sister chromatid cohesion complex conveys clinical consequences distinct from Cornelia de Lange 
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#### Abstract

Cornelia de Lange Syndrome (CdLS) is a multisystem congenital anomaly disorder. Heterozygous point mutations in three genes (NIPBL, SMC3 and SMCIA), encoding components of the sister chromatid cohesion apparatus, are responsible for $\sim 50-60 \%$ of CdLS cases. Recent studies have revealed a high degree of genomic rearrangements (e.g. deletions and duplications) in the human genome, which result in gene copy number variations (CNV). CNVs have been associated with a wide range of both Mendelian and complex traits including disease phenotypes such as Charcot-


[^0]Marie-Tooth type 1A, Pelizaeus-Merzbacher, Parkinson, Alzheimer, autism and schizophrenia. Increased versus decreased copy number of the same gene can potentially cause either similar or different clinical features. We identified duplications on chromosomes 5 or X using genome wide array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (aCGH). The duplicated regions contain either the NIPBL or the SMC1A genes. Junction sequences analyses revealed the involvement of three genomic rearrangement mechanisms. The patients share some common features including mental retardation, developmental delay, sleep abnormalities, and crainofacial and limb defects. The systems affected are the same as in CdLS, but clinical manifestations are distinct from CdLS; particularly the absence of the CdLS facial gestalt. Our results confirm the notion that duplication CNV of genes can be a common mechanism for human genetic diseases. Defining the clinical consequences for a specific gene dosage alteration represents a new "reverse genomics" trend in medical genetics that is reciprocal to the traditional approach of delineation of the common clinical phenotype preceding the discovery of the genetic etiology.
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## Introduction

The human genome has been found to contain a large number of CNVs [1-3], which encompass $\sim 12 \%$ of the genome and $14.5 \%$ of the genes implicated in human diseases. Copy number alteration of dosage sensitive genes has long been linked to genetic diseases. Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1A [CMT1A, MIM118220] and hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies [HNPP, MIM162500] result from reciprocal duplication and deletion of the dosage sensitive PMP22 gene, respectively [4-7]. Deletions and duplications of PLP1 (proteolipid protein gene) both result in Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease [PMD, MIM312080], an X-linked dysmyelinating disorder [8-10]. Recent studies have linked CNVs to a broader range of diseases including complex traits, such as Parkinson [11], Alzheimer [12], autism [13-14] and schizophrenia [15-18]. These findings indicate that CNV assessment should be incorporated into the investigations of the genetic bases of diseases including inherited, complex, and sporadic traits [19].

Copy number variation (CNV) results from genomic rearrangements such as duplication and deletion. Three mechanisms have been implicated for the genomic rearrangements including nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR), nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) and the recently proposed DNA replication mechanism of Fork Stalling and Template Switching (FoSTeS) [20-24]. NAHR accounts for the majority of the genomic disorders [20] associated with recurrent rearrangements. It occurs mostly between low copy repeats (LCRs) and occasionally using repetitive sequences such as $A l u$ or L 1 as recombination substrates. NHEJ has been associated with several non-recurrent rearrangements [22]. Further study of the molecular mechanism for non-recurrent rearrangements, especially the complex rearrangements, has recently led to FoSTeS, a replication-based mechanism [24].

Cornelia de Lange Syndrome [CdLS, MIM122470] is a multiple congenital anomaly condition with growth and mental retardation, characteristic facial features, limb defects, neurobehavioral problems, and abnormalities in gastrointestinal, genitourinary and cardiovascular systems [25-27]. The prevalence is estimated to be $1 / 10,000$ [28]. Marked phenotypic variability has been documented. According to the severity of the phenotype, patients have been classified as classical and mild types [25,26,29,30]. Classical facial features consist of synophrys, long eyelashes, long philtrum, thin upper lip and down turned mouth and provide a readily recognizable facial gestalt characteristic of the syndrome.

Heterozygous mutations in the NIPBL gene [MIM608667] have been found in $\sim 50 \%$ of the CdLS cases [31-36]. Genotype-phenotype correlation analyses revealed that patients carrying NIPBL point mutations tend to have a more severe phenotype than mutation negative patients [31,34-36]. Furthermore, there is a trend that for some clinical features, such as growth delay and limb defects, individuals with missense mutations have a milder phenotype than those with truncating mutations. This suggests that $N I P B L$ is a dosage sensitive gene. Recently, mutations in two additional cohesin subunit encoding genes, SMC3 [MIM606062] and SMC1A [MIM300040], have been found to contribute to $\sim 5 \%$ of CdLS cases [37-38]. These patients demonstrated a mild to moderate phenotype.

Our medical genetics diagnostic laboratory [39-40] identified six cases carrying duplications on chromosome 5 or on the X chromosome with the duplicated regions encompassing NIPBL or SMC1A. This presented a unique opportunity to study the dosage effect of the genes encoding the subunits of the cohesion complex using a "reverse genomics" approach [41]. These patients have mental retardation, developmental delay, neurobehavioral abnormalities, and craniofacial and limb dysmorphisms. The affected systems are the same as in CdLS. Genome wide 244 K oligonucleotide aCGH analyses were performed to refine the size extent, and gene contents of the duplicated intervals and "genomotype" - phenotype correlations were analyzed. Junction sequences were obtained for four patients to study the mechanisms resulting in the duplications. Our results not only confirm that CNV of genes should be considered in searching for etiology of human genetic diseases, but also represent a new "reverse genomics" trend, which is defining the clinical consequences for CNV of a specific genomic interval and attempts to determine the specific gene(s) whose dosage alteration is potentially causative for the phenotype.

## Materials and Methods

## Subjects and clinical evaluation

Patients were recruited by their referring physicians after our medical genetics diagnostic laboratory initial genomic analyses were performed by the clinical implementation of array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (aCGH) using a targeted array. Clinical phenotype was assessed by experienced medical geneticists. Studies were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Baylor College of Medicine and all participants or parental guardians volunteered after informed consent.

## Genome wide 244k oligonucleotide aCGH analyses

To perform genome wide 244 K oligonucleotide aCGH analyses, genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood with a Puregene kit (Gentra Systems). aCGH analyses were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (Agilent Technologies). DNA samples ( $\sim 2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) from patients and gender-matched normal individuals as reference were digested with AluI and RsaI and labeled with Cy5 or Cy3 using a BioPrime array CGH genomic labeling module (Invitrogen). After hybridization, slides were scanned and analyzed for relative gain or loss of fluorescent signals from hybridization of the patient and reference DNAs. Genomic region analyses were performed according to the human reference sequence build 36.1 (UCSC genome browser: http://genome.ucsc.edu) with the software provided by Agilent.

## The junctional sequences analyses

Long range PCR primers were designed from the aCGH genomic coordinates to traverse the position of change from gain to "no gain". Under the assumption of tandem duplication, outwardly facing primers were used to amplify breakpoint junctions. Obtained PCR products were sent for Sanger dideoxy DNA sequencing (SeqWright, Texas).

## Results

## Chromosomal Microarray Analyses (CMA)

Six unrelated patients were found to harbor gains of a gene encoding a cohesion subunit on either chromosome 5 (NIPBL) or the X chromosome (SMC1A) by CMA [39] (table 1). The gains were confirmed by interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analyses that revealed the extra copy mapped adjacent to the region consistent with interstitial duplication (data not shown). A genomic interval interrogated by BAC clones RP11-317I23, RP11-7M4 (contains NIPBL) and RP11-209M10 on chromosome 5 was found to be duplicated in patients 1 and 3. Patients 2 and 5 contain the duplications interrogated by RP11-7M4 and RP11-209M10. A region identified by clones RP11-317I23 and RP11-7M4 is duplicated in patient 4. Patient 6 has a gain in copy number in the proximal region of the short arm of chromosome X covered by BAC clones RP11-416B14, RP11-52N6 (contains SMC1A), RP11-70P16. The duplicated regions contain genes in which point mutations result in CdLS. The gains were not detected in the available parental samples (both parents for two patients and 3 maternal samples for three patients). These specific CNVs were not present in the CNV databases of genomic variants (http://projects.tcag.ca/variation/).

## High resolution genomic analyses of duplications

In order to analyze the duplications at a higher resolution, we performed genome wide 244 k oligonucleotide aCGH analyses (table 1, fig. 1). Patients 1 and 2 appear to have a similar sized 390 kb duplication on chromosome 5, which includes the NIPBL gene and exon 3 to the $3^{\prime}$ UT region of the $F L J 13231$ gene, a gene of unknown function. Patient 3 carries a larger $(1.07 \mathrm{Mb})$ duplication on chromosome 5, which contains SLC1A3, NUP155, and exons 1 to 9 of the WDR70 gene in addition to the NIPBL and FLJ13231 genes. NIPBL is the only gene located within the 250 kb duplication in patient 4 . A 330 kb duplication has
been found in patient 5, which includes exons 39 to 47 of NIPBL, FLJ13231, NUP155, and exons 1 and 2 of $W D R 70$. Patient 6 has a 7.14 Mb duplication on X chromosome, which contains about 174 genes (fig. 2). Among them are the WAS, CLCN5, and SMC1A genes responsible for Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, X-linked nephrolithiasis, and CdLS respectively [37,42,43]. This large duplication also includes the HUWE1 gene; duplication and point mutation of which have recently been associated with X-linked mental retardation (XLMR) in males [44]. The high resolution 244 k Agilent array results are consistent with the CMA findings and further refine the size, extent, and genomic content of duplication and breakpoint region. Additional CNVs identified are provided as a supplementary table.

## Breakpoint junctional sequence analyses

To further fine map the duplications and potentially infer rearrangement mechanism(s) from the junctional sequences, we performed breakpoint mapping. The PCR amplification of breakpoint junctions were achieved in 4 out of 6 patients (Pt 2, 3, 4, and 5, fig. 3). Although the oligonucleotide aCGH analyses mapped the breakpoints of patients 1 and 2 to the same genomic interval, the primers that successfully amplified the breakpoint junction of patient 2 failed in patient 1 . Therefore these two duplications were not identical. At the breakpoint junction of patient 2 , only one base pair was shared between the proximal and distal reference sequences, suggesting the involvement of the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) mechanism in this rearrangement. The rearrangement in patient 3 was complex. An additional small ( 176 bp ) inverted duplication was observed between the two copies of the large genomic duplication. The distal breakpoint was hypothesized to be caused by nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR) between two L1 repeats. However, since a 12-bp microhomology (ACAATTAAAAGA) was found, the distal breakpoint was also consistent with our recently proposed DNA replication based FoSTeS (replication Fork Stalling and Template Switching) mechanism while the microhomology could alternatively act in template switching and annealing between replication forks, restarting DNA replication, and generating the DNA rearrangement. No microhomology but the addition of a T was observed in the proximal breakpoint, which was consistent with NHEJ. Similar to patient 2, only one base pair was shared by reference sequences in the breakpoint of patient 4 , suggesting that NHEJ caused the duplication. In patient 5, the microhomology of TTT was consistent with NHEJ or FoSTeS $\times 1$. In aggregate, the above observations suggest different mechanisms (NHEJ, FoSTeS, and/or NAHR) are responsible for the nonrecurrent genomic duplications that can include the NIPBL gene.

## Clinical phenotype

Clinical features are summarized in table 2. All patients had developmental delay and mental retardation. The majority of the patients had low birth weight and became overweight in adulthood. Hypotonia and seizures were commonly seen and periventricular leukomalacia (2/2) was observed in two head imaging studies (one CT and one MRI) available. Patients shared some craniofacial dysmorphic features including microbrachycephaly (3/6), frontal bossing (3/6), a short philtrum (4/6), low-set ears (3/6), with one patient having a significant skull deformity (patient 4, fig. 4G and 4H). The patients also had long-fingers (3/6) and neurobehavioral abnormalities including sleep
disturbances (3/6) (table 2). Available individual clinical details are provided as supplementary material.

## Discussion

Both increased and decreased copy number of a dosage sensitive gene can cause congenital diseases. This is the case both for autosomal genes and genes on the X chromosome. Reciprocal duplication and deletion of the PMP22 gene result in CMT1A and HNPP respectively [4-6], both are inherited neuropathies but with distinct clinical presentations. Williams -Beuren syndrome (WBS) and its reciprocal duplication syndrome are the results of deletion and duplication of a region on 7q11.23 [45-48]. WBS is featured by cognitive deficiency with relative preservation of linguistic abilities, neurobehavioral problems, recognizable facial dysmorphism, and cardiac and ophthalmologic abnormalities. Patients with the apparent reciprocal duplication of the WBS region have mild dysmorphisms and a severe delay in expressive language [46-48]. In most cases, the increased dosage from duplication causes a milder phenotype than deletion of the same region as in the SmithMagenis syndrome [SMS, MIM182290] and its reciprocal duplication syndrome, PotockiLupski syndrome [PTLS, MIM610883] [49-50], as well as in the duplication and loss of function of the MECP2 gene [51-53]. Patients with SMS have characteristic craniofacial, skeletal and neurobehavioral features as well as defects in multiple organ systems. PTLS is featured by mental retardation and behavioral problems including autistic features and sleep apnea. Sometimes both duplication and deletion result in similar phenotype as in PelizaeusMerzbacher disease (PMD), an X-linked dysmyelinating disorder [8-10].

Heterozygous mutations of the NIPBL or the SMC1A genes results in CdLS, which is characterized by mental retardation, developmental delay, neurobehavioral abnormalities, and craniofacial and limb defects $[25,26,32,33,37]$. We identified six patients with genomic duplications containing either NIPBL $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ or SMC1A. These individuals also have mental retardation, developmental delay, and neurobehavioral abnormalities such as hypotonia and sleep disturbances (table 2). Only a limited number of patients have been observed [five that have duplications including NIPBL and only one whose large > 7 Mb duplication includes SMC1A] and the clinical manifestations may be complicated by in utero exposures (maternal diabetes for patient 4 and ethanol during the pregnancy of patient 5) and different sized genomic duplications. Nevertheless, the patients clearly do not have CdLS and thus we can conclude that duplications harboring genes for the cohesion complex present with phenotypes distinct from those observed with haploinsufficiency effects for the same genes.

Common craniofacial features include frontal bossing, broad nasal root, low set ears, short philtrum and high palate. The shared facial defects make the patients appear similar to each other (fig. 4), but with this limited patient set from different ages no recognizable facial gestalt is apparent. The craniofacial features are different from those that are typical for CdLS, the latter of which include synophrys, long eyelashes, long philtrum, thin upper lip and down turned mouth [25-26]. However, some facial abnormalities have been frequently observed in patients with CdLS, such as low set ears, high palate, and ocular anomalies. Patients with duplication of the gene for cohesion complex proteins also have increased weight and long fingers, which are opposite to characteristics observed in CdLS.

NIPBL is the only gene duplicated in patient 4 (table 1). But it is hard to determine whether the severe skull deformity is the result of the duplication. The skull deformity complicated the assessment of the phenotype. Further follow up will hopefully reveal the effect of the duplication. Patients 1 and 2 have a similar sized genomic duplication, which results in the duplication of NIPBL and exon 3 and 3' untranslated region of FLJ13231, a gene of unknown function. Both NIPBL and FLJ13231 are duplicated in patient 3, who has clinical features similar to patients 1 and 2 . The duplication in patient 5 results in the duplication of FLJ13231 and Nup155, as in patient 3. But the NIPBL gene is only partially duplicated, which most likely does not result in the increased expression of NIPBL. Indeed, the phenotype in patient 5 is the least similar to other patients, and the phenotypic analysis is likely complicated by the maternal ingestion of alcohol in the first trimester. Based on these observations, NIPBL is likely the major dosage sensitive gene for the phenotype, but more patients are necessary to further delineate the effects of the increased dosage of the NIPBL gene.

Patient 6 has a large duplication containing the SMC1A gene. Her phenotype is similar to patient 1 and 2 ; however, with only one patient available it is difficult to assess if other genes also contribute to the phenotype. The duplication contains the $W A S$ gene, responsible for Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, featured by recurrent infections and eczema [42], that have also been observed in patient 6 . Whether this is the result of the duplication of WAS needs further investigation. This female patient is also duplicated for the HUWE1 gene, duplication or point mutation of which has been recently associated with XLMR in males. Five of the families segregating XLMR in association with duplication of HUWE1 also include the SMC1A within their duplications [44]. However, these males are described as having nonsyndromic MR [44]. For all six patients reported herein with duplications including genes encoding subunits of the cohesin complex, other genomic CNV were identified (supplementary table), but most are present in the database of genomic variants. Whether such variants can sometimes modify the ultimate phenotype is unclear at this time.

Three mechanisms have been implicated for the genomic rearrangements including NAHR, NHEJ and recently proposed FoSTeS [20-24]. NAHR occurs mostly between low copy repeats (LCRs) and occasionally through repetitive sequences such as Alu or L1. Sequence analysis surrounding the duplication breakpoints failed to detect any LCRs (data not shown) and our junction analyses revealed the involvement of all three mechanisms. Despite the similar duplication in aCGH analyses for patients 1 and 2, our results indicate that a more complicated rearrangement may have occurred in patient 1.

A tremendous amount of copy number variations (CNVs) has been identified in the human genome recently [1-3]. CNVs have been associated with multiple congenital diseases as well as some complex traits such as autism and schizophrenia [13-15]. Our findings confirm the notion that CNV of genes involved in dominant Mendelian traits could be a common mechanism for human genetic diseases. We further document that phenotypes conveyed by duplication of dosage sensitive gene may be milder than those caused by loss-of-function due to haploinsufficiency caused by deletion or point mutation. The distinct phenotype conveyed by duplication versus deletion may challenge discovery of duplication alleles at a given disease locus.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
aCGH analyses for patients. Map of the NIPBL region on chromosome 5 (top) with the extent of the gains detected shown by horizontal bars. Below are the aCGH results from the Agilent 244 K arrays. A gain, as evidenced by $\log _{2}$ ratios $>0.5$, is denoted by red dots with each signifying the relative signal from a single interrogating oligonucleotide. To the right are given the sizes of the individual duplications.


Figure 2.
aCGH analyses for patient 6 . Shown on top is the SMC1A region on chromosome X . The gain detected is shown by a horizontal bar. Below is the aCGH result with the size given at the right.

Pt 2
Prox_Ref CTAAAACAAATACAAAAAAATTATGCCTACATACATAACTTTTTAAAAAACTAATCTATAAATGGCAGAAT
Pt2
CTAAAACAAATACAAAAAAATTATGCCTACATACATAGCTTTTCTTATGTTTTAAGGAAAATTGATGGTT Dist_Ref AGCTTTTGTTTTTTGTTTTGAAGGAGATGAGGGTTGCAGCTTTTTCTTATGTTTTAAGGAAAATTGATGGTT


DistRefl+ GAAATATCGTAAAATTGACACCCTAACATTACATTAARAGRGCTAGAGAAGCAAGAGCAAACACATTCA
Pt3_1+ GAAATATCGTAAAATTGACACCCTAACATTACATTTARATGAACTAGAAAAGCAAGAGCAAACACATTCA
ProxRefl- GGAAAGATCCAAAATTGACACCCTAATGTCACAATTARAAGAACTAGAAAAGCAAGAGCAAACACATTCA
ProxRef2- ACAAAATTGATAGACCACTAGCAAGACTAATAAAGAAGAAAAGAGAGAAGAATCAAATAGATGCAATAAA Pt3_2+ ACAAAATTGATAGACCACTAGCAAGACTAATATCGAAAGATAACCATAGAATACAATTTATTCCAGTTA DistRef2+ AAGAATGAAGAAAAAACTCCCCAAAGTCCACCACCGAAAGATAACCATAAAATACAGF86I8T1


Pt 4
Prox_Ref CATCTGTCGTTAAGTCAGGGTCTGCAGGACAGTCCCCCACAGGTGGTGACCCCGATGTGACCAACACTGC
Pt4 CATCTGTCGTTAAGTCAGGGTCTGCAGGACAGTGGCTTATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGA Dist_Ref GITACAATATAGACAGACGAGTTAGAAACCCATGGCTTATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGA


Pt 5
Prox_Ref CCCACTAATITTITGTATITTTAGITGAGATAGATTTCACCATGITGGCCAGGCGCAGTGGATCACGCCT Pt5 CCCACTAATTITTTTGTATTTTTAGTTGAGATAGATTTTTGAAAATTACATATAGCACATAGAGTAAAAAT Dist_Ref TTGAAACCTTATCATTTTATTCCTCCTCCATCCCTTTTTGAAAATTACATATAGCACATAGAGTAAAAAT


Figure 3.
Breakpoint sequence analyses. The amplification of breakpoint junctions were achieved in four patients (Pt 2, 3, 4, and 5). The duplication regions were shown in dash rectangles. The breakpoint coordinates (NCBI Build 36.1) were also shown. The 1-bp mismatch (marked by asterisk) between the breakpoint junction sequence of patient 3 and its distal reference sequence resulted from the SNP-rs6861831.


Figure 4.
Photographs of patients. Front and side views of patients 1 (A, B), 2 (C, D) and 5 (I, J); (E) patient 3 at 25 years of age; $(\mathrm{F})$ patient 3 at 12 years of age; K : patient 6 . Note the mild craniofacial defects. G and H: CT scan of skull of patient 3. Note the severe skull deformity. We have obtained the written consent from the patient or his/her legal guardian for publication of the images in print and online.

| Pt | chromosome | Duplication Size (Mb) | Genomic region ${ }^{*}$ | Gene(s) | BACs detecting duplication in CMA analysis | CMA version |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5 | 0.39 | $36845462-37231819$ | NIPBL, FLJI3231 (exon 3-3'UT) | RP11-317123, RP11-7M4, RP11-209M10 | Version 5 BAC array |
| 2 | 5 | 0.39 | $36845462-37231819$ | NIPBL, FLJI3231 (exon 3-3'UT) | RP11-7M4, RP11-209M10 | Version 6 oligo array |
| 3 | 5 | 1.07 | $36487985-37556112$ | SLCIA3, NIPBL, FLJ13231, NUP155, WDR70 <br> (exon 1-9) | RP11-317123, RP11-7M4, RP11-209M10 | Version 6 oligo array |
| 4 | 5 | 0.25 | $36870689-37120908$ | NIPBL | RP11-317123, RP11-7M4 | Version 6 oligo array |
| 5 | 5 | 0.33 | $37084359-37417228$ | NIPBL (exon 39-47), FLJ13231, NUP155, <br> WDR70 (exon 1-2) | RP11-7M4, RP11-209M10 | Version 6 oligo array |
| 6 | X | 7.14 | $46824905-53961694$ | $\sim 174$ genes including WAS, CLCN5, SMC1A | RP11-416B14, RP11-52N6, RP11-70P16 | Version 6 BAC array |

[^1]Genomic regions duplicated in patients

| ıd!̣3snuew doułn $\forall$ Vd-HIN |  |  |  | ıd!̣snuew doułn $\forall$ Vd-HIN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patient | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | CdLS* |
| Gender | F | F | F | M | F | F |  |
| Age | 18 years | 6 years | 30 years | 8 months | 5 years | 6 years |  |
| Birth height | NA | 3\% | 3\% | NA | NA | normal | low |
| Birth weight | NA | <5\% | <5\% | 2.29 kg | 50\% | normal | low |
| Height | normal | normal | 162.6 cm | <5\% | <3\% | normal | Short stature |
| Weight | > 95\% | 90\% | 59.2 Kg | <5\% | 50\% | \| $>98 \%$ | low |
| Mental retardation | + | + | + | NA | + | 1+ | $1+$ |
| Developmental delay | + | + | + | + | + | $1+$ | + (global psychomotor delay) |
| Behavioral abnormalities | Sleep disturbance <br> Self-injurious <br> Repetitive stereotypic movements <br> Obsessive-compulsive behavior | Sleep disturbance | Suggested diagnosis of pervasive disorder | NA | No particular abnormalities | Sleep abnormalities <br> Aggressive <br> Pain insensitivity <br> Anxiety | Self-injurious <br> Autistic-like features <br> Hyperactivity <br> Attention disorder <br> Anxiety <br> Compulsive disorders <br> Sleep disturbance |
| Hypotonia | - | + | NA | + | - | $1+$ | + |
| Seizures | - | staring spells | NA | no | + | +, staring spells | + |
| Facial features and skull defects | Macrocephaly <br> Broad, tall forehead <br> Bitemporal narrowing | Frontal bossing | Frontal bossing <br> Biparietal narrowing | Multiple suture craniosynostosis turricephaly brachiocephaly | Large flat forehead | Microcephaly | Microbrachycephaly |

durosnuew .ouinv $\forall d$-HIN
Id!usnuew douln $\forall d$-HIN
Id!usnuew rouin $\forall d$-HIN

| Ocular anomalies | Short and upslanting palpebral fissures Exotropia | Astigmatism Strabismus | Hypotelorism Right epicanthic fold | Hypertelorism Proptosis | Short palpebral fissures | Long palpebral fissures Excess skin infraorbitally Esotropia | Palpebral ptosis <br> Myopia <br> Nystagmus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nose | Bulbous nasal tip <br> Broad nasal root | flat, wide base |  |  | Groove between nasal root and the face | Tubular nose with a pointed tip <br> Prominent columella | Small nose <br> Depressed nasal bridge <br> Anterverted nostrils |
| Mouth | Short philtrum <br> Down-turned corners of mouth <br> Prognathism <br> Narrow palate | Short philtrum | Short philtrum Micrognathia Highly arched palate | High-arched palate | Poorly defined philtrum Several incisors missing | High palate | Micrognathia <br> Crescent-shaped mouth <br> Long philtrum <br> Thin lips <br> High arched palate |
| Ears | Low set | Low set, posteriorly rotated, cupped |  | Low set, small, and simplified in shape | Small pits anterior to the ear helixes <br> Hearing disorder |  | Low set <br> Posteriorly augulated <br> Hearing disorder |
| Hairs, eyebrow |  |  | Low posterior hairline | Hair scant Absent eyebrows |  | Thick hair Heavy, long eyebrows | Low posterior hairline Synophrys Long eyelashes |
| Limb defects | Large hands, feet <br> Very long fingers <br> Right thumb: ulnar deviation <br> Single palmer crease | Long fingers <br> Right knee genu valgum | Normal | Single transverse palmar crease on the left hand | Short 5th fingers and a prominent hockey stick crease | Long gracile fingers <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ finger clinodactyly <br> Pes planus | Small hands and feet <br> Short digits <br> Phocomelia <br> Oligodactyly <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ finger clinodactyly <br> Proximally placed thumbs <br> Single palmer crease |
| Other defects | Scoliosis |  |  | Agenesis of the corpus callosum |  | Hirsutism <br> Eczema <br> Recurrent sinus and ear infections | Hirsutism <br> Gastroesophageal reflux <br> Heart defects |
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