

## GEODESIC MAPPINGS BETWEEN KÄHLERIAN SPACES

Josef Mikeš, Olga Pokorná<sup>1</sup> and Galina Starko

### Abstract

Geodesic mappings from a Kählerian space  $K_n$  onto a Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$  will be investigated in this paper. We present a construction of Kählerian space  $K_n$  which admits non-trivial geodesic mapping onto Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$ .

## 1 Introduction

Geodesic mappings of special Riemannian spaces were studied by many authors (see e.g. [9], [13], [15]). Geodesic mappings of Kählerian spaces were investigated namely by N. Coburn [1], K. Yano [17], V.J. Westlake [16], K. Yano, T. Nagano [18] and others. In these works the authors prove that in the case of preserving the structure of Kählerian spaces by geodesic mappings these mappings are trivial (i.e. affine). Koga Mitsuru [5] has found more general conditions for the structure of Kählerian spaces forcing any geodesic mapping to be trivial. Similar questions for geodesic mappings of almost Hermitian spaces were investigated by A. Karmazina and I.N. Kurbatova [3].

The geodesic mappings from a Kählerian space  $K_n$  onto a Riemannian space  $\bar{V}_n$  were studied by J. Mikeš (see [6], [7], [8], [9]).

Papers of J. Mikeš, G. Starko, M. Shiha were devoted to geodesic mappings of hyperbolic and parabolic Kählerian spaces which are generalizations of classical Kählerian spaces (see [9], [11],[12]).

In the sequel, by Kählerian space we mean both classical (i.e. elliptical) as well as hyperbolic and parabolic Kählerian space.

In this paper, we investigate geodesic mappings from a Kählerian space  $K_n$  onto a Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$ . We present a construction of non-trivial Kählerian spaces  $K_n$  which are geodesically mapped onto Kählerian spaces  $\bar{K}_n$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by grant No. 201/02/0616 of The Grant Agency of Czech Republic.

## 2 Geodesic mappings of Kählerian spaces

A diffeomorphism  $f$  from a Riemannian space  $V_n$  onto a Riemannian space  $\bar{V}_n$  is called a *geodesic mapping* if  $f$  maps any geodesic line of  $V_n$  into a geodesic line of  $\bar{V}_n$  (see [2], [9], [13], [15]).

A mapping from  $V_n$  onto  $\bar{V}_n$  is geodesic if and only if, in the common coordinate system  $x$  with respect to the mapping the conditions

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h(x) = \Gamma_{ij}^h(x) + \delta_i^h \psi_j + \delta_j^h \psi_i \quad (1)$$

hold, where  $\psi_i(x)$  is a covector,  $\Gamma_{ij}^h$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h$  are the Christoffel's symbols of  $V_n$  and  $\bar{V}_n$ , respectively,  $\delta_i^h$  is the Kronecker symbol.

Conditions (1) are equivalent to

$$\bar{g}_{ij,k} = 2\psi_k \bar{g}_{ij} + \psi_i \bar{g}_{jk} + \psi_j \bar{g}_{ik}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  is the metric tensor of  $\bar{V}_n$  and ",," denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the connection of the space  $V_n$ .

Conditions (1) and (2) are called the *Levi-Civita equations*. The covector  $\psi_i$  is gradient-like, i.e.  $\psi_i = \psi_{,i}$ . If  $\psi_i \neq 0$  then a geodesic mapping is called *non-trivial*; otherwise it is said to be *trivial* or *affine*.

If a mapping  $f: V_n \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$  is geodesic then the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } \bar{R}_{ijk}^h &= R_{ijk}^h + \delta_k^h \psi_{ij} - \delta_j^h \psi_{ik}, \\ \text{b) } \bar{R}_{ij} &= R_{ij} + (n+1)\psi_{ij}, \\ \text{c) } \bar{W}_{ijk}^h &= W_{ijk}^h, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\psi_{ij} \equiv \psi_{i,j} - \psi_i \psi_j, \quad (4)$$

$R_{ijk}^h$  ( $\bar{R}_{ijk}^h$ ) are the Riemannian tensors of  $V_n$  ( $\bar{V}_n$ ),  $R_{ij}$  ( $\bar{R}_{ij}$ ) are the Ricci tensors of  $V_n$  ( $\bar{V}_n$ ),  $W_{ijk}^h$  ( $\bar{W}_{ijk}^h$ ) are the Weyl tensors of the projective curvature of  $V_n$  ( $\bar{V}_n$ ). The Weyl tensor of the projective curvature is an invariant object of the geodesic mapping.

In the present paper, by a Kählerian space we mean a wide class of spaces defined as follows [9]: a Riemannian space is called a *Kählerian space*  $K_n$  if, together with the metric tensor  $g_{ij}(x)$ , an affine structure  $F_i^h(x)$  is defined on  $K_n$  which satisfies the relations

$$F_\alpha^h F_i^\alpha = e \delta_i^h; \quad F_i^\alpha g_{\alpha j} + F_j^\alpha g_{\alpha i} = 0; \quad F_{i,j}^h = 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $e = \pm 1, 0$ . If  $e = -1$  then  $K_n$  is said to be an *elliptic Kählerian space*  $K_n^-$ , if  $e = +1$  then  $K_n$  is said to be a *hyperbolic Kählerian space*  $K_n^+$ , and if  $e = 0$  and  $\text{Rg}\|F_i^h\| = m \leq 2$  then  $K_n$  is said to be an *m-parabolic Kählerian space*  $K_n^{o(m)}$ . The space  $K_n^{o(n/2)}$  is called the *parabolic Kählerian space*  $K_n^o$ .

As in  $K_n$  the structure  $F$  is covariantly constant, from [6] follows that on a Kählerian space  $K_n$  admitting a nontrivial geodesic mapping, there exists a nonzero convergent vector field (see [6], [7], [8], [9], [12], [11]).

In every space  $K_n^-$  with a covariantly nonconstant convergent vector field there exists a coordinate system  $x$  with the following metrics and structure (see [7], [8], [9]):

$$g_{ab} = g_{a+m b+m} = \partial_{ab} f + \partial_{a+m b+m} f; \quad g_{ab+m} = \partial_{ab+m} f - \partial_{a+m b} f;$$

$$F_b^{a+m} = -F_{b+m}^a = \delta_b^a; \quad F_b^a = F_{b+m}^{a+m} = 0,$$

where  $a, b = \overline{1, m}$ ,  $m = n/2$ ,

$$f = \exp(2x^1) G(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^m, x^{2+m}, x^{3+m}, \dots, x^n).$$

If  $G \in C^3$ , then these formulas generate (provided  $|g_{ij}| \neq 0$ ) the metric of a Kählerian space  $K_n^-$ , where a non-constant convergent vector field exists.

A similar property holds (see [12]) for the hyperbolic Kählerian spaces  $K_n^+$  with metrics and structure of the type

$$g_{ab} = g_{a+m b+m} = 0; \quad g_{ab+m} = \partial_{ab+m} f;$$

$$F_b^{a+m} = F_{b+m}^a = 0; \quad F_b^a = -F_{b+m}^{a+m} = \delta_b^a,$$

where  $a, b = \overline{1, m}$ ,  $m = n/2$ ,

$$f = \exp(x^1 + x^{1+m}) G(x^2 + x^{2+m}, x^3 + x^{3+m}, \dots, x^m + x^n).$$

Metrics of parabolically Kählerian spaces  $K_n^o$ , admitting covariantly non-constant convergent vector fields were found by J. Mikeš and M. Shiha [11].

### 3 Geodesic mappings onto Kählerian spaces

In this section, we determine conditions which are necessary and sufficient for a Riemannian space  $V_n$  to admit a nontrivial geodesic mapping onto a Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$  satisfying the formulas (5). The following theorem holds:

**Theorem 1** *The Riemannian space  $V_n$  admits a nontrivial geodesic mapping onto a Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$  if and only if, in the common coordinate system  $x$  with respect to the mapping, the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad \bar{g}_{ij,k} &= 2\psi_k \bar{g}_{ij} + \psi_i \bar{g}_{jk} + \psi_j \bar{g}_{ik}, \\ b) \quad \bar{F}_{i,k}^h &= \bar{F}_k^h \psi_i - \delta_k^h \bar{F}_i^\alpha \psi_\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

hold, where  $\psi_i \neq 0$  and tensors  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  and  $\bar{F}_i^h$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ji}, \quad \det\|\bar{g}_{ij}\| \neq 0, \quad \bar{F}_\alpha^h \bar{F}_i^\alpha = \bar{e} \delta_i^h, \quad \bar{F}_i^\alpha \bar{g}_{\alpha j} + \bar{F}_j^\alpha \bar{g}_{\alpha i} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Then  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  and  $\bar{F}_i^h$  are the metric tensor and the structure of  $\bar{K}_n$ , respectively.

**Proof.** The Levi-Civita equation (6a)  $\equiv$  (2) guarantees the existence of geodesic mappings from a Riemannian space  $V_n$  onto a Riemannian space  $\bar{V}_n$  with metric tensor  $\bar{g}_{ij}$ .

The formula (6b) implies that the structure  $\bar{F}_i^h$  in  $\bar{V}_n$  is covariantly constant. Further, the algebraic conditions (7) guarantee that  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  and  $\bar{F}_i^h$  are the metric tensor and the structure of the same Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$ , respectively.

The system (6) is a system of partial differential equations with respect to the unknown functions  $\bar{g}_{ij}(x)$ ,  $\bar{F}_i^h(x)$  and  $\psi_i(x)$  which moreover must satisfy algebraic conditions (7).

## 4 Geodesic mappings between Kählerian spaces

As was said in the introduction, a geodesic mapping between Kählerian spaces  $K_n$  and  $\bar{K}_n$  which preserves the structure (i.e. in the common coordinate system  $x$  with respect to the mapping the conditions  $\bar{F}_i^h(x) = F_i^h(x)$  hold, where  $F_i^h$  and  $\bar{F}_i^h$  are structures of  $K_n$  and  $\bar{K}_n$ , respectively) is trivial (i.e. affine).

Since the structures  $F_i^h$  and  $\bar{F}_i^h$  are covariantly constant in  $K_n$  and  $\bar{K}_n$ , respectively, we can deduce from the results of [6], [9] that for the tensor  $\psi_{ij}$  under a geodesic mapping  $K_n$  onto  $\bar{K}_n$  the relation  $\psi_{ij} = 0$  holds, i.e.

$$\psi_{i,j} = \psi_i \psi_j. \quad (8)$$

It follows from the relations (3) and (8) that the Riemannian tensor for a geodesic mapping of  $K_n$  onto  $\bar{K}_n$  is invariant.

We shall construct a Kählerian space  $K_n$  admitting a nontrivial geodesic mapping; of course, the structure of  $K_n$  is not preserved.

Obviously, the existence of a nontrivial geodesic map between (pseudo-) euclidean spaces  $E_n$  and  $\bar{E}_n$  follows from the Beltrami theorem. On the other hand, under some specific conditions on the dimension and the signature of metrics, the spaces  $E_n$  and  $\bar{E}_n$  are Kählerian spaces  $K_n$  and  $\bar{K}_n$  in our sense.

For example,  $E_{2m}$  is  $K_{2m}^-$  and  $K_{2m}^+$ , too, where

$$g = (I); \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}$$

hold, respectively.

We now construct a nontrivial example of a geodesic mapping between Kählerian spaces.

Let  $K_n$  be a product of Riemannian spaces with the metric

$$ds^2 = d\tilde{s}^2 + d\tilde{\tilde{s}}^2, \quad (9)$$

where  $d\tilde{s}^2$  is the metric of the euclidean Kählerian space  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{n}}$  with the metric tensor  $\tilde{g}_{ab}$  and the structure  $\tilde{F}_b^a$ , ( $a, b, c = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{n}$ );

$d\tilde{\tilde{s}}^2$  is the metric of a Kählerian space  $\tilde{\tilde{K}}_{\tilde{\tilde{n}}}$  with the metric tensor  $\tilde{\tilde{g}}_{AB}$  and the structure  $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_B^A$ , ( $A, B, C = \tilde{n}+1, \dots, \tilde{n}+\tilde{\tilde{n}}$ ), and such that a noncovariantly constant concircular vector field  $\tilde{\xi}^h$  exists on  $K_n$ .

This space is a Kählerian space, and

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\tilde{g}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\tilde{F}} \end{pmatrix}$$

are its metrics and structure, respectively.

The spaces  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{n}}$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{K}}_{\tilde{\tilde{n}}}$  must be of the same type, i.e. both of them must be either elliptic or hyperbolic or parabolic.

We prove the following result.

**Theorem 2** *The Kählerian space  $K_n$ , constructed above, admits a nontrivial geodesic mapping onto a Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$ .*

**Proof.** In the space  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{n}}$  we shall investigate the equations (analogical to (6)):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_{abc} &= 2\tilde{\psi}_c\tilde{q}_{ab} + \tilde{\psi}_a\tilde{q}_{bc} + \tilde{\psi}_b\tilde{q}_{ac}, \\ \tilde{B}_{bc}^a &= \tilde{B}_c^a\tilde{\psi}_b - \delta_c^a\tilde{B}_b^d\tilde{\psi}_d, \\ \tilde{\psi}_{ab} &= \tilde{\psi}_a\tilde{\psi}_b, \quad \tilde{\psi}_a = \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda a} \neq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where "∇" is the covariant derivative in  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{n}}$ ;  $\tilde{q}_{ab}$ ,  $\tilde{B}_b^a$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}_a$  are some tensors satisfying the algebraic conditions

$$\tilde{B}_c^a \tilde{B}_b^c = e \delta_b^a, \quad \tilde{B}_a^c \tilde{q}_{cb} + \tilde{B}_b^c \tilde{q}_{ca} = 0, \quad \tilde{q}_{ab} = \tilde{q}_{ba}, \quad |\tilde{q}_{ab}| \neq 0. \quad (11)$$

The solution of the equations (10) satisfying (11) exists, because the equations (10) are completely integrable in the euclidean space  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{n}}$ .

On the other hand, since there exists a noncovariantly constant concircular vector field in  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{n}}$ , we can find a function  $\tilde{\xi}$  satisfying the conditions

$$2\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\xi}^A \tilde{\xi}_A, \quad \tilde{\xi}_{\rho B}^A = \delta_B^A, \quad (12)$$

where  $2\tilde{\xi}^A \equiv \tilde{\xi}_B \tilde{g}^{AB}$ ,  $\tilde{\xi}_A \equiv \tilde{\xi}_{\rho A}$ ,  $\|\tilde{g}^{AB}\| = \|\tilde{g}_{AB}\|^{-1}$  and "∇" denotes the covariant derivative of  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{n}}$ .

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{ab} &= 2k \exp(2\bar{\psi}) \tilde{\xi}^{\bar{\psi}_a \bar{\psi}_b} + \tilde{q}_{ab}, \\ \bar{g}_{aB} &= k \exp(2\bar{\psi}) \tilde{\xi}_B^{\bar{\psi}_a}, \\ \bar{g}_{AB} &= k \exp(2\bar{\psi}) \tilde{g}_{AB}, \\ \bar{F}_b^a &= \tilde{B}_b^a, \\ \bar{F}_B^a &= 0, \\ \bar{F}_B^A &= \tilde{F}_B^A, \\ \bar{F}_b^A &= \tilde{F}_B^A \tilde{\xi}^{\bar{\psi}_b} - \tilde{\xi}^A \tilde{B}_b^c \tilde{\xi}_c, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k$  is a constant such that  $|g_{ij}| \neq 0$ .

Putting  $\psi = \bar{\psi}$ , we can verify the formulas (6) and (7). Hence the tensors  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  and  $\bar{F}_i^h$  constructed by Theorem 1 are the metric and structure tensors of the Kählerian space  $\bar{K}_n$ , respectively, and  $\bar{K}_n$  is a geodesic image of  $K_n$ .

## References

- [1] N. Coburn, *Unitary spaces with corresponding geodesic*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 901–910 (1941).
- [2] L.P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1926.
- [3] A. Karmasina, I.N. Kurbatova, *On some problems of geodesic mappings of almost Hermitian spaces*, Odessk. Ped. Inst. (1990), Deposited at UkrNIINTI, Kiev, 12.3.90, No. 458-Uk90 (1990).

- [4] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [5] Koga Mitsuru, *On projective diffeomorphism not necessarily preserving complex structure*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 19, No. 2, 183–191 (1977).
- [6] J. Mikeš, *On geodesic mappings of Ricci-2-symmetric Riemannian spaces*, Mat. Zametki 28, No. 2, 313–317 (1980).
- [7] J. Mikeš, *On equidistant Kählerian spaces*, Mat. Zametki 38, No. 4, 625–633 (1985).
- [8] J. Mikeš, *On Sasakian and equidistant Kählerian spaces*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR 291, No. 1, 33–36 (1986).
- [9] J. Mikeš, *Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces*, J. Math. Sci., New York 78, 3, 311–333 (1996).
- [10] J. Mikeš, *Holomorphically projective mappings and their generalizations*, J. Math. Sci., New York 89, 3, 1334–1353 (1998).
- [11] J. Mikeš, M. Shiha, *On equidistant parabolically Kählerian spaces*, Tr. Geom. Semin. 22, 97–107 (1994).
- [12] J. Mikeš, G.A. Starko, *On hyperbolically Sasakian and equidistant hyperbolic Kählerian spaces*, Ukr. Geom. Sb. 32, Kharkov, 92–98 (1989).
- [13] A.Z. Petrov, *New Methods in Theory of General Relativity*, Nauka, Moscow, 1966.
- [14] Zh. Radulović, J. Mikeš, *Geodesic mappings of conformally Kählerian spaces*, Russ. Math. 38, No. 3, 48–50 (1994).
- [15] N.S. Sinyukov, *Geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces*, Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
- [16] W.J. Westlake, *Hermitian spaces in geodesic correspondence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5, No. 2, 301–303 (1954).
- [17] K. Yano, *Differential Geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965.

- [18] K. Yano, T. Nagano, *Some theorems on projective and conformal transformations*, Koninkl. Nederl. Akad. Wet. A60, No. 4, 451–458 (1957).

Josef Mikeš: Dept. of Algebra and Geometry, Fac. Sci., Palacky Univ.,  
Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic

*E-mail:* `Mikes@risc.upol.cz`

Olga Pokorná: Dept. of Mathematics, Czech University of Agriculture,  
Kamýcká 129, Praha 6, Czech Republic

*E-mail:* `Pokorna@tf.czu.cz`

Galina Starko: Dept. of Mathematics, Building Academy of Odessa, Ukraine.