

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Rokem, Jonathan and Vaughan, Laura (2018) Geographies of ethnic segregation in Stockholm: The role of mobility and co-presence in shaping the diverse city. *Urban Studies* . ISSN 0042-0980.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098018795561>

Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/72038/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>



Geographies of Ethnic Segregation in Stockholm: The Role of Mobility and Co-presence in Shaping the 'Diverse' City

| | |
|--|--|
| Journal: | <i>Urban Studies</i> |
| Manuscript ID | CUS-270-18-03.R1 |
| Manuscript Type: | Article |
| Discipline: Please select a keyword from the following list that best describes the discipline used in your paper.: | Geography |
| World Region: Please select the region(s) that best reflect the focus of your paper. Names of individual countries, cities & economic groupings should appear in the title where appropriate.: | Europe, Western Europe |
| Major Topic: Please identify up to 5 topics that best identify the subject of your article.: | Transport, Housing, Agglomeration/Urbanisation, Diversity/Cohesion/Segregation, Inequality |
| You may add up to 2 further relevant keywords of your choosing below.: | Mobility and Co-Presence, Stockholm |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Geographies of Ethnic Segregation in Stockholm: The Role of Mobility and Co-Presence in Shaping the 'Diverse' City

Abstract

This paper assesses how urban segregation and ethnic diversity in Stockholm have been shaped by spatial, policy and migration trajectories over time. Much of the urban studies and planning literature defines segregation as a measure of residential mixing. In contrast, our research suggests that segregation could be understood as a lack of opportunities for interaction in public space. In the case of Stockholm, space syntax network analysis and the establishment of ethnicity as a statistical category, suggests that despite the social infrastructure provided by the Swedish state, the city's specific spatial configuration alongside its policies of housing allocation have resulted in severe constraints on the potential for co-presence between new immigrants and the native Swedish population. Spatial analysis suggests the city's public transport infrastructure is a contributory factor in maintaining separation between foreign-born and ethnic Swedes. Coupled with a high level of social deprivation amongst new immigrants, the result is a multi-dimensional spatial segregation process that persists amongst the second immigrant generation, reinforcing ethnic and socio-economic area-based housing segregation. We conclude that despite Sweden's long-standing political vision of social integration, its capital is suffering from increasing ethnic spatial differentiation, which will most likely persist unless a greater consideration of spatial connectivity and an introduction of ethnic and racial equality data in policy and practice is brought to bear.

Keywords

Transport, Housing, Agglomeration, Urbanisation, Diversity, Cohesion, Segregation, Inequality, Mobility, Co-Presence, Stockholm

Introduction

This paper focuses on research into the political, spatial and social factors in shaping urban ethnic segregation in Stockholm. We describe a paradoxical situation, whereby Sweden, internationally renowned as “the model of a tolerant, egalitarian, multicultural welfare state” (Schierup and Ålund, 2011: 45) with a long history of social equality and economic and political justice (Pred 1997), has a markedly high rate of ethnic residential segregation, with barriers to overcoming this segregation through mobility and co-presence across the city. The Swedish state has shaped policies regarding housing allocation, which have been quite successful in achieving positive social outcomes in the context of a mostly homogenous nation.

Yet in more recent years, with the arrival of a large number of migrants and refugees mostly from post-conflict zones in Africa and the Middle East, major cities such as Stockholm have undergone a dramatic shift in their demographic patterns. Sweden is, in fact, one of the North Atlantic societies that has most immigrants and inhabitants of ‘foreign background’ in its population (Schierup and Ålund, 2011: 46). While the same principles of equity in treatment remain, ethnicity is not part of the housing allocation process due to the lack of formal ethnic and racial statistics (Håkansson Bolve et al 2017). The result is, given the lack of available public housing in central districts, immigrants find themselves living in relatively remote spatially segregated suburban areas, in largely non-native Swedish clusters (Andersson 1999; Andersson et-al 2010).

This paper aims to investigate the extent to which the spatial configuration of the city – coupled with its mass-transit metro infrastructure and changing housing market– shapes access to education and opportunities for work as well as the potential for interaction between the city’s ethnic minority population and ethnic Swedes. This research builds on previous work, which has discussed how spatial configuration can contribute to physical co-presence between different ethnic groups in general (Urry, 2002; Valentine, 2008) and in Sweden in particular (Legeby, 2010; 2013).

In the following sections we provide a theoretical background to the subject of enquiry. This is followed by a brief introduction to the case and its policy context. Following a description of the methods employed in the empirical study, the main bulk of the paper is dedicated to

1
2
3 presenting the spatial and demographic analysis of the city of Stockholm.¹ The paper ends
4 with conclusions and further thoughts on the possible policy interventions that the results of
5 our research could give rise to.
6
7

8 9 **Defining urban segregation and diversity**

10 Defining *urban segregation* is not an easy task and is regarded as “a multi-dimensional
11 process requiring a multi-disciplinary approach” (Vaughan and Arbaci, 2011: 128). Similar to
12 past critiques of the focus on area-based housing segregation (Musterd and Ostendorf, 2014)
13 and the spatial reduction of segregation to the social division of space (Netto, 2016), we
14 propose there is a need to construct a more flexible, mobility-based nomenclature of
15 segregation.
16
17

18
19
20
21
22 Studies of urban segregation cover a wide spectrum of theoretical and conceptual approaches:
23 ranging from the effects of contemporary processes of globalisation on social inequalities in
24 cities, and the injustices of state-led spatial planning and housing policies; while other studies
25 relate to how migration and ethnicity affect the long-term conditions of spatial and social
26 segregation in cities (Musterd, 1998; Schönwälder, 2007). Despite the apparent benefits of
27 ethnic clustering beyond the first generation in sustaining community ties and rules of
28 endogamy in certain populations, it is frequently seen as problematic. There is evidence that
29 longer term minority clustering coupled with structural challenges in housing and planning
30 policy can have a negative effect on factors such as social mobility or access to work
31 (Musterd, et al., 2008). Arguably the location of such clusters (namely whether they are close
32 to centres of social or work activity) is another critical factor in shaping opportunities for
33 immigrants to overcome social and economic segregation.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Residential diversity has become in recent times a central topic of concern for planning
44 policy and practice, as well as for urban theory in general (Fincher and Iveson, 2008: 2), with
45
46

47
48 ¹ Stockholm’s geographical area is defined in the paper as “the built up area of Stockholm”
49 (this is also the area covered by the spatial analysis described in this paper). The
50 ‘Municipality of Stockholm’ refers to the city, within its municipal boundary and ‘Greater
51 Stockholm’ refers to the regional administrative boundaries and includes the twenty-six
52 municipalities that make up Greater Stockholm.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the premise being that heterogeneity is a public good. It stems from a long tradition of
4 segregation studies, which have sought to measure ethnic diversity and residential
5 segregation through statistical indicators, such as indices of dissimilarity and isolation, which
6 compute quite simplistic black/white differences, based on where people live. The narrow
7 focus of such studies has been frequently criticized (Phillips, 2007), whilst a lack of
8 understanding of the ultimate aims of urban segregation policies continues to hamper debate.
9 For example, if spatial segregation is bad, where is the evidence that integration – or
10 residential mixing – is necessarily good? In this paper we suggest that a more varied
11 consideration of the ethnic, cultural, religious and national background of migrant
12 communities and individuals is needed to better understand the position of minorities in
13 contemporary society.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Conceptions of diversity and co-presence in Sweden**

23 It is important to bear in mind the specificity of the Swedish policy context. The Swedish
24 social democratic government, established the welfare state "folkhemmet" ("peoples home")
25 in the 1930s (Legeby, 2010: 31). The goal was to create an equal classless society, an
26 approach regarded as the "middle-way" between socialism and capitalism. To achieve this
27 result subsequent social democratic governments, who dominated Swedish politics for most
28 of the twentieth century, went on to create a comprehensive public housing policy system,
29 which constituted a housing solution for most of Swedish society well into the 1970s
30 (Andersson and Turner, 2014). Importantly, the Swedish system differed from the more
31 selective social housing policy mechanisms more common throughout much of Western
32 Europe (Schönwälder, 2007).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Swedish official demographic data does not record ethnicity (Håkansson Bolve et al 2017).
42 Instead it refers to all citizens with a foreign background as 'immigrants'. This definition
43 includes all those born abroad or with two parents born outside of Sweden. The presumption
44 is that once an individual has settled in the country, their ethnic origins cease to be accounted
45 for in housing allocation. While the reasoning for this stems from a social-democratic
46 approach to equality, it can result in a lack of consideration of the needs of people from
47 specific ethnic, cultural or religious backgrounds (ibid 2017).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The policy stems from a desire to focus on socio-economic inequality with no direct
4 consideration of ethnic background (Andersson 1999), the result is a conceptual dichotomy,
5 which, as Barinaga (2010) has pointed out, results in an over-emphasis on: “the difference
6 between the national and the foreigner, the Swede and the immigrant, us and them”. (ibid:
7 199).

8
9
10
11
12 According to Esping-Anderson's welfare state typology, Sweden has been a model social
13 democratic country (Esping-Andersen, 1990/2013). It is frequently cited along with the other
14 Nordic countries for its high living standards and has been regarded internationally as an
15 ‘ideal type’ with a well-managed governance system and public sector (Righard et al 2015).
16 One central aspect of Sweden's urban development has been the political social welfare
17 system, and its strong government control over planning and building and a national goal of
18 making social services easily accessible (Cars and Harsman, 2001: 87).

19
20
21
22
23
24
25 For Sweden, the post war years were a time of urgent need for mass scale housing, which led
26 to the government’s ambitious "Million Homes Program" a national housing scheme to build
27 one million new homes between 1965-1974, mainly in new self-contained modernist urban
28 developments in the outer suburbs of the larger cities (Hall and Vidén, 2005: 301). This
29 program was economically based and resulted in a wide range of building typologies even
30 including detached housing. However, it was dominated and mainly known by its large-scale
31 modernistic neighbourhoods. These followed a *Neighbourhood Unit* type of layout, which
32 typically result in inward-looking local centres, which suffer from poor city-wide connections
33 (Karimi and Vaughan, 2014).

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42 Figure 1 shows the location of some of the larger Million Homes Programme areas in
43 Stockholm’s urban periphery. It overlays this on a map coloured up according to the
44 proportion of minorities in each geographic area, using data from 2015.² It presents a picture
45 of how past decisions on allocating housing to new immigrants – according to where public
46 housing is available – have resulted in immigrant populations being disproportionately

47
48
49
50
51 _____
52 ² The data source, the ODB (Area Database), is the most detailed and comprehensive
53 database available on Greater Stockholm; see methods section for detailed overview
54 [accessed in May-June 2016].

1
2
3 located in the urban periphery. Notably, the economic situation of these areas is markedly
4 poorer than average for the city (Stockholm Municipality, 2016). This map is the starting
5 point of our analysis: to investigate whether the spatial pattern and the planning and public
6 transport infrastructure of the city are a contributory factor in its social segregation between
7 immigrants and minorities and native Swedish people.
8
9
10

11
12 **[Insert Figure 1 here]**
13
14
15

16 The growing ethnic divide is further illustrated in two recent studies revealing the scope of
17 urban unrest in the margins of Sweden's larger metropolitan areas. Schierup and Ålund
18 (2011) analysed the connection between educational opportunities, ethnicity, class and gender
19 – distinguishing Stockholm's centre from its multi-ethnic periphery (Ibid: 52). In a
20 quantitative analysis of urban unrest, specifically looking at the location of car burnings,
21 Malmberg et al (2013: 1044) found a statistically significant correlation between loci of
22 urban unrest and "racial"³ residential segregation in Swedish cities.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 It is important also to consider the spatial dimension of segregation and diversity. In urban
31 studies diversity is normally considered to be a simple matter of mixing of classes of use or
32 of people, with little account for the spatial interrelationships between the individual elements
33 counted. Previous research into co-presence in Swedish cities has argued that
34 neighbourhoods that do not afford mixing between immigrant and native populations "may
35 be left in the shadow of information and knowledge that potentially can contribute [to]
36 overcoming social exclusion." (Legeby, et al., 2015: 108:4). Mixing in public space provides
37 opportunities to experience and recognise people from other backgrounds; to create everyday
38 encounters (Franzén, 2009; Valentine, 2008).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49
50 ³ Note the authors use the term racial, rather than ethnic which is what we use in this paper.

51 The distinction between the two is that "race is usually perceived as more unitary for example
52 black or white, and is socially imposed and hierarchal. Ethnicity is more flexible and one can
53 have multiple ethnic backgrounds" (Conley 2002: 550).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 With the growth of the digital economy; the role of face-to-face, spatially-based exchange in
4 furthering economic (and indeed social or cultural) exchange is recognised as necessary for
5 overcoming fear and for building trust (Phillips, 2010). Given that social activity takes place
6 at multiple scales and times across the city, urban research today is increasingly focused on
7 bridging across multiple spatial factors: street networks, urban form, land use diversity, and
8 their connection to social change over time as well as physical and 'virtual' forms of
9 communication, (Andersson and Musterd, 2010). Nevertheless, the literature on daily
10 encounters in public space tends to be divided on whether this translates into meaningful
11 face-to-face interaction or if it remains superficially at the level of familiarity.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 Whether it leads to interaction or not, recent research in Swedish cities has shown that public
20 space can play a key role in the matter of segregation (Legeby 2013, Legeby, et al, 2015).
21 Central public spaces create heterogeneous *co-presences* since they attract movement from
22 diverse parts of the city “facilitating good conditions for exchange in these co-presences of
23 difference, whether it is exchange of a social, economic or informational kind” (Sarraf 2015:
24 97). One central question is how exchanges between different ethnic groups might be realised
25 in cities that are residentially segregated across ethnic, social and economic lines. As we have
26 argued elsewhere in the case of Jerusalem, the essential factor in overcoming segregation is
27 for individuals to move through public space to create opportunities for interaction with other
28 groups (Rokem and Vaughan, 2017). Thus, the spatial layout of cities is paramount to their
29 functioning and success, especially in the urban periphery. This has been shown to be the
30 case in London, (Vaughan, et al, 2010) for example, but it is evidently not the case in
31 Stockholm (Legeby 2013; Legeby et al 2015).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Immobility, or being trapped within one’s neighbourhood, constitutes one of the main causes
42 of social exclusion (Massey 1994). We propose that mapping the potential for mobility and
43 interaction allows for a view of segregation as more multifarious and complex, than the
44 dominant focus on residential patterns – suggesting urban segregation to be simultaneously a
45 political, social, economic, ethnic and racial artefact of an individual’s mobility in the city.
46 Segregation alone is not necessarily a problem and could be viewed simply as spatial
47 congregation but overcoming a combination of segregation and immobility is an urgent
48 challenge when seeking spatial and social justice.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Background to the case

In recent decades the 'Swedish model' is showing signs of a steady increase in socio-economic inequality. The increase is especially significant when considering statistics at the municipal level, with a widening gap of municipal socio-economic differences. Unlike the situation in Southern Europe and the UK, which are accustomed to waves of immigration over the years, until the late 1980s, Sweden maintained a relatively low percentage of migration, especially from outside Europe (Pred, 2000).

One example of an effort to tackle segregation in Sweden is the "social mix policy" dating from the 1970s (Holmqvist and Bergsten, 2009), bolstered by more recent policy initiatives to tackle segregation and foster integration through programmes to distribute resources equally and to encourage mixing (Andersson et al 2010). Since the mid-1990s the list of "problem areas" has been constantly updated and several policy interventions have attempted to target the same marginalised places (Marcus 2007). Many of the areas targeted by the special government policy initiatives are in Million Homes Program suburbs built 1965-74, with residents from a foreign background mainly housed in multifamily apartment complexes (Andersson et al 2010).

There is an on-going debate between Swedish policy makers and researchers about the classification of the segregation problem as 'ethnic'⁴ or 'socio economic'. Although new immigrants receive a substantial share of public service expenditure there is a gap in terms of employment opportunities and choice of housing options between 'ethnic others' and 'ethnic Swedes'. Nevertheless, housing policy continues to be based on financial and social criteria rather than ethnicity and cultural heritage (Holmqvist and Bergsten, 2009: 480) and immigrants arriving with a refugee status to Sweden are required to have been resident for at least four years before receiving full citizenship⁵. These barriers to social mobility are reflected in the recent establishment by the current government of an Equality Authority. This new agency was launched in January 2018 with the official task of tackling growing

⁴ We frame "ethnic group" to a group of individuals who have migrated from one country to another and have at least one unique cultural or religious affiliation.

⁵ <https://www.migrationsverket.se/English/Private-individuals/Becoming-a-Swedish-citizen/Citizenship-for-adults/Time-in-Sweden.html> [accessed: 1st January 2018].

1
2
3 societal divides Sweden, and, for the first time to collect equality data to better map and cater
4 for minority groups' needs⁶.
5
6

7
8 The Million Homes Program constitutes as much as 20-25% of today's Swedish housing
9 stock. Recent research points to evidence that despite its ambitions, both its physical layout
10 and the housing policies resulted in de facto residential segregation (Legeby, 2010: 14), with
11 most immigrants in the past two decades (many of whom came from African and Asian
12 countries) being allocated dwellings in "particularly vulnerable and excluded areas" (to use
13 some of the governmental policy language), spatially and socially differentiated from the
14 Swedish population (Central Statistics Sweden, 2008). In the first instance it was
15 predominately labour migrants and working-class Swedes who settled in the newly built
16 areas. This changed with the 'second wave of migration' and the arrival of asylum seekers and
17 refugees from conflict zones such as Bosnia and Iraq, who were housed in vacant Million
18 Homes Program areas, which happened to be amongst the most remotely situated housing
19 areas in the city (Andersson 1999).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Swedish official analysis of the distribution of housing type by country of origin over the
30 period 1997-2006 (Central Statistics Sweden 2008: 49) reveals a minimal change in tenure
31 over time for each of the ethnic groups in the country, from Swedish, Nordic and 'other'
32 European, to Asian and African. The data shows that while people from a European
33 background have changed tenure, with a move into the private sector, over 80% of African
34 and 60% of the Asian population, mainly "refugees and asylum seeking migrants" according
35 to the report, lived in public rented apartments in the study period. In contrast, the
36 government study shows over 60% of ethnic Swedes living in single-family dwellings and
37 least likely to be living in publicly rented multi family accommodation. Given the likelihood
38 that these apartments will have been those located at the urban fringes, this provides
39 corroborating evidence that the current spatial differentiation of ethnic groups by tenure and
40 housing location has been a feature of the city for at least a decade. Indeed, as our mapping of
41 geographic location of "economic migrants" and "refugee" countries of origin reveals (see
42 figure 3), this trend has most likely intensified over time. In the following section, we
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 ⁶ <https://www.jamstalldhetmyndigheten.se> [accessed: 18th February 2018]
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 consider social and spatial aspects of these areas within the Swedish capital's urban
4 periphery.
5
6

7
8 Stockholm's street network configuration is a result of its geography, with its archipelago
9 landscape as well as its planning, resulting in a city comprised of spatially disconnected
10 enclaves (Marcus 2007: 256). The latest statistics for Stockholm show the city has a
11 population of 935,619 and a total foreign-born population (including those with two parents
12 born abroad) of 31.5% with a sharp difference of 20% in the inner-city districts and 38.5% in
13 the outer districts (Stockholm Municipality, 2016). The city's ethnic segregation is
14 characterized by a concentration of several ethnic groups with a foreign background in a
15 rather small number of districts at the city fringes with relatively high levels of ethnic
16 minority unrest (Malmberg et al 2013). The clustering of immigrants in specific segregated
17 areas has created neighbourhoods where non-immigrant Swedish citizens rarely visit,
18 especially as our spatial analysis shows (Figure 1), the likelihood of passing through, whether
19 by metro or by other transport means, becomes highly unlikely.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Until the 1980s the capital of Sweden was essentially homogenous (although that description
30 is contentious, as there has always been a steady movement of populations within
31 Scandinavia, especially from Finland and other Nordic countries). Sweden has in recent years
32 received one of the greatest numbers of refugees in proportion to its population, second only
33 to Austria and Hungary. Those of foreign origin, (first and second-generation), account for
34 over 20 percent of Sweden's total population; of these, more than half are from non-
35 European backgrounds (Schierup and Ålund, 2011: 46). Although in Sweden's larger cities,
36 the proportion of the population from immigrant backgrounds is considerably higher. In
37 Stockholm the diverse ethnic minority population is predominantly situated in its peripheral
38 suburbs, with high concentrations of people from a variety of non-Swedish, and especially
39 non-European backgrounds, in a small number of spatially segregated locales (see Figure 3).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Methods

The statistics used in our analysis were taken from the (ODB⁷) area database, the most detailed and comprehensive database available for the Stockholm County Council Area covering greater Stockholm. The Stockholm Regional Planning Administration, a division of the Stockholm County Council that oversees planning and future growth manages the ODB database. The database provides detailed statistics for a variety of topics and scales including national background and spatial location over time. The areas used in our analysis are called 'base areas' and are the smallest statistical units available in Sweden. For the purposes of our study the official statistics record of country of birth served as a substitute for ethnicity.

The theoretical complexity of segregation demands a methodological approach that can handle the many competing factors, which shape this socio-spatial aspect of urban life. In this study we have used a combination of space syntax methods, along with detailed demographic data and interviews. The qualitative materials for this research were gathered through a synthesis of fieldwork and interviews (2013: N=20 and 2016: N=10) conducted with municipal planners and community activists in Stockholm combined with a range of secondary material including professional reports, planning documents and newspaper articles. Due to the quantitative focus of this paper and limited space the qualitative materials of the research is only mentioned in brief.

Space syntax analysis of street network configuration is an established method for urban analysis that uses graph-mathematical measures of the relative accessibility of the street network to model the potential for movement across urban systems. It stems from a wide body of theoretical and empirical research which has established that, all things being equal, a significant proportion of movement through urban streets is determined by the structure of

⁷ ODB database: <https://www.h6.scb.se/osdb2015/Default.aspx?ReturnUrl=odb> [Accessed: June 2016] for further information about the data base see: Larsson, B. (2016) Beskrivning av statistiken i ODB-områdesdatabasen. https://www.h6.scb.se/osdb2015/docs/Definitions/Statistiken_i_omradesdatabasen.pdf [Accessed: December 2017].

1
2
3 the grid itself, rather than by specific attractors or generators of activity (Hillier, 1996: Hillier
4 and Iida, 2005).⁸
5
6

7
8 We modelled the pedestrian routes throughout the city of Stockholm by creating a street
9 network geographical information (GIS) model covering the full extents of its built-up area
10 (the street network layout was provided by Dr Ann Legeby from Royal Institute of
11 Technology, Stockholm).⁹ The space syntax method converts the street network into a
12 relational graph and then analyses these using graph theory principles. Detailed GIS data on
13 the metro system and demographic data for 2015 at the local “base area” (the smallest
14 statistical geographic unit available) were provided by the Stockholm County Council,
15 Growth and Regional Planning Department and the Stockholm ODB Database.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 The spatial model itself covered Greater Stockholm to the widest extents of its built-up area.
23 We used two principal space syntax measures of route accessibility, *Choice* and *Integration*,
24 at two scales of analysis, 800 metres and 2000 metres¹⁰. The two scales approximate a
25 standard 10-minute walking distance and the maximum distance that would be reasonable to
26 walk to public transport, respectively.
27
28
29
30

31
32 ⁸ The space syntax method takes a detailed street map and transforms this into a
33 representation comprising the network of the fewest lines that cover the entire street system.
34 It measures the network as a configuration, namely computing the topological distance (how
35 many changes of direction it takes) from one line to another, within a set distance. Distance
36 takes account of change of direction and angle of incidence between lines. A body of
37 research over several decades has found strong correlations between observed flows of
38 pedestrian or motorized traffic and the space syntax measures (see Hillier and Iida, 2005).
39
40
41
42

43 ⁹ This only covers those parts of Greater Stockholm that are built up.
44

45 ¹⁰ Integration (normalized, hence ‘NAIN’) is a measure of the proximity of one street
46 segment to all other street segments within a specified search radius. Choice (NACH)
47 accounts for the centrality of a street segment on routes between any two street segments
48 within a specified search radius. A street segment will have a higher value of choice if it is
49 traversed many times on the shortest angular path between a pair of origins and destinations.
50 Integration and choice are similar to the standard network analysis measures of angular
51 closeness and angular betweenness centrality, respectively.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Spatial analysis: segregation, mobility and co-presence in Stockholm

Since the last time we met [in 2012] there is no change with the regeneration of [a peripheral Million Homes Programme neighbourhood in Stockholm] main public spaces. The funding, which was promised by the municipality, has not yet been received. [...] The public areas next to the main square and public transport stop have become a place for criminal gang activity and drug dealing by some of the local youth. This is major concern for residents and the police are involved, but they are not solving the problem. To prevent this deterioration, we need major investment in the local public realm.¹¹

(A local municipality area development officer, interview, 9th November 2016).

The above quote is taken from an interview conducted with a local area officer to follow-up on an interview conducted four years ago. The officer serves in the local authority for one of the suburbs in the urban periphery of Stockholm. Strikingly, the quote highlights the officer's perception of a lack of investment in the locality. It also points to the view of the periphery as being a place apart from the city centre. Importantly, the interview highlights the on-going perception of there being a process of decline in the built fabric of the area around the metro station, which has contributed to social deterioration. The degradation of the area is apparently the outcome of that lack of government funding and the interviewee suggests that the continuation of allocation of immigrants to the same location is deepening already existing problems.

The following analysis seeks to test whether there is supporting evidence for the perception held by the local officer. It opens with a review of the spatial structure of the city, followed

¹¹ The lead author conducted interviews in Stockholm with planning professionals and local community activists during two separate research projects. First, for his PhD field research 2011-2013 (N=30 interviews) and, second, for the EU funded Marie Curie Contested Urbanism Project 2015-2017 (N=10 Interviews). Follow-up interviews with the same individuals were conducted where possible, allowing a longer sequential and temporal perspective such as in the quote above.

1
2
3 by analysis of the city's demographics. The way in which ethnic diversity plays out spatially
4 and through accessibility form the latter part of the analysis.
5
6
7

8 **Ethnic diversity and the geography of difference** 9

10 Legeby's (2013, 120:8) citywide space syntax analysis of Greater Stockholm's patterns of
11 accessibility finds that while the centre of the city is highly integrated, it is poorly connected
12 with its periphery. This is especially challenging in the swathe of neighbourhoods to the
13 south-west, where a "wedge of spatial segregation" results in a lack of connections between
14 local areas. She also finds that this lack of overlap between citywide and local street networks
15 results in limited job opportunities and economic activity for those living on the city margins.
16
17
18
19
20

21 Figure 2 shows a neighbourhood scale analysis of Stockholm's street network, modelling
22 space syntax accessibility for every street segment in the city to a distance of 2000m (the
23 network is coloured in a temperature scale, so that the warmer the colour, the greater the
24 opportunities of movement). Similar to the findings of Legeby's (2013) analysis citywide
25 network connectivity, these results show that Stockholm's urban core is highly centralised,
26 with a very well connected centre, the remainder of the region is comprised of an array of
27 island-like local centres, with the peripheral neighbourhoods having a reduced local
28 centrality. The spatial disconnection both at city and neighbourhood scales raises the question
29 of whether public transport mobility can help overcome social segregation and geographical
30 disconnection. This is analysed further in the following section.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **[Insert Figure 2 here]**
40
41

42 As mentioned above, classic studies of segregation start from a conception of it being a
43 binary measure, with the most extreme cases involving the majority of a single ethnic group
44 living in clusters where they form the majority population. The situation in Stockholm (and in
45 other major Swedish cities) is quite different. The centre is predominately homogeneous, and
46 consists mostly of native Swedish-born population. In the suburban fringes there are areas
47 that are socio-economically and ethnically demographically similar to the inner city, which
48 are usually geographically separate from those areas with a high proportion of immigrants.
49 The latter are highly diverse in their ethnic makeup, and with a lower average income. There
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 are also significant differences in tenure. For example, a high percentage of the African and
4 Asian population of Sweden lives in public housing. There is also a major shift from public
5 rental housing to private ownership over time, but with only a minimal change in the
6 distribution of housing type by country of origin between 1997 and 2006 (Central Statistics
7 Sweden, 2008).
8
9
10

11
12 Figures 3a and 3b show the spatial location of Stockholm's minority population, by taking
13 each local area and calculating the proportion of economic migrants (a) or refugees and
14 asylum seekers (b).¹² We have divided the data into these two groups to examine whether
15 people from different waves of immigration and countries of origin have differing spatial
16 distributions. Table 1 shows the background detail of this distinction, listing the dominant
17 countries of origin in each of the two groups.
18
19
20
21
22

23
24 **[Insert Figures 3a and 3b here]**

25 **[Insert Table 1 here]**
26
27

28
29 Figure 3 highlights how the two groups have markedly different spatial distributions: there
30 are fewer high density clusters of economic migrants (especially in the outer periphery) than
31 of refugee/asylum seekers (meaning that they are more likely to be sharing space with native
32 Swedish people); in addition, economic migrants have a much higher presence in the
33 spatially advantageous central areas, than do the other minority groups.
34
35
36
37

38 The differences may be because most refugees and asylum seekers have arrived in the past
39 two decades, while the economic migrants have mostly arrived from the 1960s onwards and
40 have thus had more opportunities to make preferential moves into the centre. However, there
41 are also underlying processes which have limited the opportunities of more recent migrants to
42 make such moves. These include language barriers and access to employment as well as a
43
44
45
46

47
48 ¹² Our distinction between refugees and asylum seekers and economic labour migrants is
49 based on their countries of origin. Certain overlaps exist between the use of "economic
50 migrants" and "refugees asylum seekers" groupings and we are not suggesting that two are
51 entirely discrete. The distinction between the groups is meant as a general illustration of the
52 different spatial patterns – see Table 1 for detailed statistical figures from the ODB database.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 limited rental housing market (especially for those without financial means). Nevertheless,
4 the current situation illustrated by Figure 3 shows that the main railway routes are within the
5 immediate reach of many more areas with a dominant economic migrant presence, than those
6 with a dominant refugee and asylum seeker presence.
7
8
9

10 **Opportunities for interaction**

11 The geographical layout of the city of Stockholm, highlighted by the space syntax analysis
12 above, constitutes a disconnected urban fabric with a densely gridded centre, connected via a
13 network of bridges and tunnels to the periphery. For those who have access to cars, or live
14 within reasonable commuting distance, the discontinuity of the urban fabric is not a major
15 obstacle. In contrast, the peripheral suburbs have a sparser provision of public transport,
16 making it difficult to rely on this mode of transport for travel between different million
17 homes program areas; in addition, the lack of public transport limits opportunities to gain
18 access to the inner city for those who are young, old or unemployed and do not have access to
19 private transport.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Thus, even if the connective tissue of streets and motorways was sufficient, there are few
29 opportunities to commute with ease across the network. Given that most of the refugees and
30 asylum seekers are clustered in the southern and northern periphery (Figure 3a), and given
31 that the spatial analysis highlights that these areas are relatively disconnected from their
32 surroundings (especially in the southern suburbs), the question then posed is whether public
33 transport assists in helping the inhabitants of these peripheral areas in connecting with other
34 parts of the city. This is likely to be especially important, given that statistics show that
35 households in Stockholm's periphery are very dependent on cars (60% in the outer areas use
36 cars as their first mode of choice), yet a correlation between disposable income and
37 household car ownership (based on data from 1999-2008) reveals that the lower income
38 sectors of Swedish society, who are comprised predominantly of immigrants, are much less
39 likely to own a car (Pyddoke and Creutzer, 2014).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The extent to which these key points of encounter between the city's populations are
50 accessible can be modelled. This is done by considering where there is most likely to be a
51 cross-over between different flows of movement through the city. (see original proposition in
52 Hillier et al, 1987 and further testing in Vaughan et al., 2010).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

[Insert Figure 4 here]

Thus, the premise of the following analysis is to test whether a person's opportunity to travel to central Stockholm differs according to their ethnic or immigrant status. Figure 4 shows this analysis in a model of how many streets around each of the metro stations is within reach of the city's immigrant and native populations. It first takes a distance of 800m from each station and marks it with a green line. It then colours up only those streets which are within the top 5% of accessibility for both integration and choice. The colours used correspond to the proportion of minorities living within the area. So, for example, the streets coloured in purple (0>10% minorities) *and* sitting within blue dotted areas are accessible on foot from any metro station. Going down the scale to those streets with 70% or more minorities, there are only a handful, 37 that are within any reasonable walking distance from a metro station (see Table 2 for full breakdown). We have not computed travel distance in this analysis, but it is worth noting that the metro only arrives every quarter or half hour during the off-peak periods, namely evenings and weekends and it takes 38 minutes to get to city's Central Station from the red line metro's last stop in Norsborg.¹³

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

[Insert Table 2 here]

Figure 4 and Table 2 highlight the fact that areas with high minority presence (50≥100% non-ethnic Swedish) have negligible access to the metro and no more than 0.6% of all high value, accessible segments are within any of the reasonable walking distances and can be as distant as 2000m. Even this small amount is, upon closer inspection, part of the university district and likely to be populated by international students¹⁴, rather than long-term immigrants. In contrast, areas with less than 50% non-Swedish nationals, have a large proportion of all the

46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

¹³ Stockholm Metro timetable: <https://sl.se/ficktid/vinter/vtbana.pdf> [accessed February 2018]

¹⁴ We have singled out international students as this group came up in our analysis as a cluster of foreign-born population living close to the centre. In most cases students from abroad arrive for a determined amount of time. They usually go back to their country of origin once they have completed their degree and have a more mobile lifestyle with a different set of criteria in housing choices from migrants.

1
2
3 strategically accessible segments across the city. Notably, none of the minority ethnic clusters
4 (see areas coloured orange in Figure 1) falls within the buffers at all.
5
6
7

8 **Conclusions**

9 Greater Stockholm's inherent spatial fragmentation was manageable for many years, so long
10 as the population was reasonably equal in its social hierarchy. What we have shown in this
11 paper is how a series of planning and policy decisions coupled with global events have
12 wrought a situation that arguably could not have been foreseen when the city first expanded
13 in the 1950s. The space syntax analysis has highlighted the fragmented nature of the urban
14 fabric in the city periphery, which, coupled with modernist planning using the
15 *Neighbourhood Unit* concept, housing allocation policies, and a distributed public transport
16 infrastructure feeding the outer suburbs, have exacerbated social and economic separation
17 between incomers and locals. The analysis has shown that the spatial reach of the city's
18 archipelago of peripheral neighbourhoods is limited. We have highlighted some of the main
19 purpose and reasons for travel and why lack of mobility is critical in reducing opportunities
20 for co-presence and reinforces ethnic segregation. The main challenge is that while most of
21 the everyday basic needs such as schools and local shops can be accessed more locally this
22 does not permit co-presence and potential interaction with the native Swedish population,
23 who as we have pointed out have little reason to visit the remote parts of Stockholm where
24 most immigrants reside. The statistical analysis provides some confirmation of our
25 interpretation that the everyday reality of life in the Stockholm suburbs is one of limited
26 interaction between ethnic minorities and native Swedish people. This is especially evident
27 when the ethnic statistics are broken down into sub-groups of 'refugees' and 'economic
28 migrants'. Given the vulnerability of the refugees, we suggest they require attention in future
29 Swedish housing policies and planning strategies to ameliorate the effects of spatial
30 segregation on their opportunities for mobility and, consequently, their ability to interact with
31 native Swedes. We have aimed to give a more complex and diverse picture of the spatial
32 distribution and opportunities for mobility by migrants in Sweden and Stockholm more
33 specifically. The illustration of the general geographical spread of each of these groups – and
34 especially the predominantly larger presence of economic migrants closer to centre – is a
35 significant finding that demands further research. The main aim as we point out above is to
36 challenge some of the political and policy related arguments about the 'dense immigrant
37 clusters' revealing their ethnic diversity and nuanced spatial layout.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Our findings suggest that with current use of socio-economic data in Sweden to allocate and
5 develop public housing and transport policies several factors and local needs are being left
6 out. There are clearly different housing needs for different communities and for this reason
7 targeting this diversity currently masked as (immigrant integration challenges) in planning
8 and housing policy is precisely one of our main points in this paper. We propose this is a first
9 important and constructive step to inform urban policy about immigrant diversity and it's
10 local needs beyond it's current practice to be further developed in future research and
11 impacting decision-making. This holds wider societal relevance for other cities in Europe and
12 further afield that are experiencing similar flows of migration.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 Our theoretical review highlighted how segregation needs to be considered as a multivariate
22 problem, entailing spatial as well as social and economic divisions. Paradoxically the
23 demographic composition of Stockholm reveals that the most segregated areas are also the
24 most diverse and what are considered the most prosperous parts of the city are also the most
25 homogenous. As such, our analysis demonstrates that a more nuanced understanding of
26 diversity is essential for a thorough consideration of segregation in the contemporary city.
27 The results showing spatial differences between economic migrants and refugees reveal how
28 both the political status as well as the cultural capital of incoming migrants can shape their
29 spatial and socio-economic integration. Our research has shown that the well-meaning
30 Swedish national policy of subsuming all incomers under a single label of 'ethnic other'
31 masks a highly complex range of integration trajectories. Our argument for a need to address
32 the socio-spatial complexity of integration in Sweden is substantiated by the recent political
33 debate in the country concerning the need to map ethnicity and race. This debate has led to
34 the launch of a new Swedish government equality authority,¹⁵ which aims to tackle questions
35 similar to those raised in this paper.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 We have also critiqued the problematic focus on residential segregation more generally in the
47 urban studies literature and also within policy and practice in Sweden. Our analysis of
48 opportunities for interaction showed that there is an almost binary difference between
49 Swedish areas and minority areas, with the latter highly disadvantaged from the point of view
50
51
52
53

54
55 ¹⁵ <https://www.jamstalldhetsmyndigheten.se> [accessed: 18th February 2018]
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of access to the spatial core of the city. Given the complexity of segregation in Stockholm,
4 this situation is unlikely to be solved simply by knitting the urban fabric together. Nor are
5 area-based policies effective on their own, as our local informant pointed out in the quote
6 above. We believe that only a root and branch rethinking of the use of ethnicity in statistical
7 data, exemplified by the spatial analysis in this paper, coupled with housing policies and
8 transport infrastructure accessibility (to include spatial as well as social diversity) can start to
9 ease the situation on the ground.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 **References**

- 21 Andersson, R. (1999) 'Divided Cities' as a policy-based notion in Sweden. *Housing Studies*,
22 14 (5): 601-624
23
24 Andersson, R. Bråmås, Å. and Holmqvist, E. (2010) 'Counteracting Segregation: Swedish
25 Policies and Experiences', *Housing Studies*, 25: 2, 237-256
26
27 Andersson R., and Musterd S. (2010) What Scale Matters? Exploring the Relationships
28 between Individuals' Social Position, Neighbourhood Context and the Scale of the
29 Neighbourhood, *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography*, 92, 23-43
30
31 Andersson R., and Turner L. M. (2014) Segregation, Gentrification, and Residualisation:
32 From Public Housing to Market-Driven Housing Allocation in Inner City Stockholm,
33 *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 14, 3-29
34
35 Barinaga E. (2010) Powerful Dichotomies: Inclusion & Exclusion in the Information Society.
36 Stockholm School of Economics
37
38 Cars G., and Harsman B. (2001) Rethinking Swedish National Planning, National-level
39 Planning in Democratic Countries: An International Comparison of City and Regional
40 Policy-making, 4, 85
41
42 Central Statistics Sweden (2008) Integration - a Description of the Conditions in Sweden
43 (Swedish). In: Sweden C. S. ed Central Statistics Sweden, Stockholm
44
45 Conley, D. 2002. Introduction to the Special Issue on Race and Ethnicity. *Sociological*
46 *Forum* 17 (4):549-551.
47
48 Esping-Andersen G. (1990/2013) The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism. John Wiley &
49 Sons
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Fincher R., and Iveson K. (2008) *Planning and Diversity in the City: Redistribution,*
4 *Recognition and Encounter.* Palgrave Macmillan
5
6 Franzén M. (2009) *Matters of Urban Segregation.* Proceedings of the 7th International Space
7 *Syntax Symposium,* 105
8
9 Hall T., and Vidén S. (2005) *The Million Homes Programme: A Review of the Great*
10 *Swedish Planning Project,* *Planning Perspectives,* 20, 301-328
11
12 Håkansson-Bolve, M, Jebari, K. Magnusson, M. Manzizila, G. and Vartanova, I. (2017)
13 *Knowledge-enhancing measures on afrophobia: A pilot study (Swedish) Institute for*
14 *Future Studies Research Report,* Stockholm.
15
16 Hillier B. (1996) *Cities as Movement Economies,* *Urban Design International,* 1, 49-60
17
18 Hillier B., and Iida S. (2005) *Network and Psychological Effects in Urban Movement,* in:
19 *Anthony G. Cohn and Mark D. M. eds Lecture Notes in Computer Science Springer:*
20 *Springer Berlin / Heidelberg* 475-490
21
22 Hillier B., Burdett R., Peponis J, et al. (1987) *Creating life: Or, does architecture*
23 *determine anything? *Architecture & Behaviour* 3: 233–250.*
24
25
26
27
28
29 Holmqvist E., and Bergsten Z. (2009) *Swedish Social Mix Policy : A General Policy without*
30 *an Explicit Ethnic Focus,* *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment:*
31
32 Karimi K., and Vaughan L. (2014) *An Evidence-Based Approach to Designing New Cities:*
33 *The English New Towns Revisited,* in: Carmona M. ed *Explorations in Urban Design:*
34 *An Urban Design Research Primer* Ashgate: Surrey, UK ; Burlington, VT 261-276
35
36
37 Legeby A. (2010) *From Housing Segregation to Integration in Public Space: A Space Syntax*
38 *Approach Applied on the City of Södertälje,* *The Journal of Space Syntax,* 1, 92-107
39
40 Legeby A. (2013) *Configuration and Co-Presence: The Underpinnings of Job Opportunities*
41 *In: Proceedings of the Ninth International Space Syntax Symposium* Sejong
42 *University Press,* South Korea 120:121-117
43
44
45 Legeby A., Berghauser Pont M., and Marcus L. (2015) *Streets for Co-Presence? Mapping*
46 *Potentials.* In: *Proceedings of the 10th International Space Syntax Symposium*
47 *University College London,* London 108.101-108.118
48
49
50 Lilja E., and Pemer P. (2010) *Housing Segregation Reasons and Mechanisms. ,* *Karlskrona:*
51 *Bovarket (Swedish)*
52
53 Malmberg B., Andersson E., and Östh J. (2013) *Segregation and Urban Unrest in Sweden,*
54 *Urban Geography,* 34, 1031-1046
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Marcus L. (2007) Social housing and segregation in Sweden - from residential segregation to
4 social integration in public space. *Progress in Planning*, 67 (3): 251-263
5
6 Marcuse P. (2007) Putting Space in Its Place: Reassessing the Spatiality of the Ghetto and
7
8 Advanced Marginality, *City*, 11, 378 - 383
9
10 Massey D. (1994) *Space, Place and Gender*. Cambridge: Polity
11
12 Musterd S. (1998) Conditions for Spatial Segregation: Some European Perspectives,
13
14 *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 22, 665-673
15
16 Musterd S., Andersson R., Galster G., and Kauppinen T. M. (2008) Are Immigrants' Earnings
17
18 Influenced by the Characteristics of Their Neighbours?, *Environment and Planning A*,
19
20 40, 785-805
21
22 Musterd S., and Ostendorf W. (2014) *Urban Segregation and the Welfare State*. London:
23
24 Routledge
25
26 Netto V. M. (2016) *The Social Fabric of Cities*. Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge
27
28 Phillips D. (2007) Ethnic and Racial Segregation: A Critical Perspective., *Geography*
29
30 *Compass*, 1, 1138–1159
31
32 Phillips D. (2010) Minority Ethnic Segregation, Integration and Citizenship: A European
33
34 Perspective, *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 36, 209 - 225
35
36 Pred A. (1997) Somebody Else, Somewhere Else: Racisms, Racialized Spaces and the
37
38 Popular Geographical Imagination in Sweden, *Antipode*, 29, 383-416
39
40 Pred A. (2000) *Even in Sweden: Racisms, Racialized Spaces, and the Popular Geographical*
41
42 *Imagination*. Univ of California Press
43
44 Pyddoke R., and Creutzer C. (2014) *Household Car Ownership in Urban and Rural Areas in*
45
46 *Sweden 1999–2008*. CTS-Centre for Transport Studies Stockholm
47
48 Righard E., Johansson M., and Salonen, T. (2015) *Social Tranformations in Scandinivian*
49
50 *Cities*, Nordic Academic Perss
51
52 Rokem J., and Vaughan L. (2017) *Segregation, Mobility and Encounters in Jerusalem: The*
53
54 *Role of Public Transport Infrastructure in Connecting the ‘Divided City’, Urban*
55
56 *Studies*
57
58 Sarraf M. (2015). *Spatiality of Multiculturalism* (PhD dissertation). KTH Stockholm.
59
60 Retrieved January 2018 from <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:kth:diva-167636>

Schierup C.-U., and Ålund A. (2011) The End of Swedish Exceptionalism? Citizenship,
Neoliberalism and the Politics of Exclusion, *Race & Class*, 53, 45-64

- 1
2
3 Schönwälder K. (2007) Residential Segregation and the Integration of Immigrants: Britain,
4 the Netherlands and Sweden. Social Science Research Center Berlin., Berlin
5
6 Stockholm Municipality (2016) Stockholm Statistical Yearbook 2016. Klippan Press
7
8 (Swedish)
9
10 Urry J. (2002) Mobility and Proximity, *Sociology*, 36, 255-274
11
12 Valentine G. (2008) Living with Difference: Reflections on Geographies of Encounter,
13 *Progress in Human Geography*, 32, 323-337
14
15 Vaughan L., and Arbaci S. (2011) The Challenges of Understanding Urban Segregation,
16 *Built Environment*, 37, 128-138
17
18 Vaughan L., Jones C. E., Griffiths S., and Haklay M. (2010) The Spatial Signature of
19 Suburban Town Centres, *Journal of Space Syntax*, 1, 77-91
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

| Economic Migrants | 2013 | 2015 | Refugees and Asylum Seekers | 2013 | 2015 |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Poland | 29676 | 33072 | Iraq | 40275 | 41240 |
| Other Asian | 21242 | 23462 | Iran | 25703 | 26535 |
| Turkey | 22258 | 22511 | Other African | 20008 | 21788 |
| China | 11183 | 12032 | Syria | 13817 | 19428 |
| Thailand | 9496 | 9852 | Chile | 14448 | 14221 |
| Other South American | 9211 | 9790 | Somalia | 10610 | 11177 |
| India | 7357 | 8806 | Former Yugoslavia | 9791 | 9585 |
| Greece | 7584 | 8203 | Ethiopian | 8292 | 8681 |
| Russia | 7489 | 7956 | Eritrea | 6914 | 8481 |
| Romania | 5716 | 6587 | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 6504 | 6871 |
| Estonia | 5230 | 5294 | Afghanistan | 5595 | 6545 |
| | | | Lebanon | 6072 | 6166 |

Table 1 – Refugee and asylum seeker vs. economic migrant prominent populations in Greater Stockholm, including only groups with a significant presence at the urban scale, using 5000 people as the threshold accounting for the largest ethnic minority groups. (Data source: ODB database 2015)

| | | 0>10% | 10>30% | 30>50% | 50>70% | 70≥100% | All top 5% segments |
|--------------------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------------------|
| Non-ethnic Swedish | | | | | | | |
| Walking | 800m | 4282 | 1294 | 319 | 0 | 37 | 6154 |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|------|------|-----|---|----|------|
| Distance | 1250m | 4779 | 1555 | 344 | 0 | 37 | 6995 |
| | 2000m | 5262 | 1737 | 344 | 0 | 37 | 7694 |

Table 2: total number of segments within reach of metro stops in each base area, broken down according to the proportion of non-Swedish nationals.¹

¹ The total number of segments in the system is 160813, of which 8040 constitute the top 5% of the highest values of the combined measure.




Figure 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 2




Figure 3a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3b




Figure 4