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## GEOMETRIC FOURIER ANALYSIS

by Antonio CORDOBA

In this paper we present several results related to maximal and square functions whose proofs have a similar flavour: after some algebraic manipulation and the use of the uncertainty principle they are reduced to certain properties of the geometry of "rectangles" in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.
A. In $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ let us consider the angles

$$
\omega_{j}=\frac{2 \pi j}{\mathrm{~N}}, j=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-1, \mathrm{~N} \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}
$$

and let us denote by $H_{j}$ the Hilbert transform in the direction $\omega_{j}$ and by $S_{j}$ the projection, at the Fourier transform side, over the angles

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{j}=\{\xi, 2 \pi j / \mathrm{N} \leqslant \arg (\xi) \leqslant 2 \pi(j+1) / \mathrm{N}\} \\
\text { i.e. } \widehat{\mathrm{S}_{j} f(\xi)=\chi_{\Delta_{j}}(\xi) \hat{f( }(\xi)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 1. - There exist constants independent of $\mathrm{N}, 0<a$, $c<\infty$, so that
i) $\left\|\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\mathrm{H}_{j} f_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4} \leqslant \mathrm{C}(\log \mathrm{N})^{a}\left\|\left(\sum\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}$
ii) $\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|S_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4} \leqslant C[\log N]^{a}\|f\|_{4}$.
B. Let $\gamma:[0,1] \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$ be a smooth curve crossing a finite number of times each hyperplane of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Given a real number $N \gg 1$ let us consider the family $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{N}}$ of cylinders of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ having eccentricity $=$ height/radius $=\mathrm{N}$ and direction in the curve $\gamma$. With a locally integrable function $f$ we may consider its maximal function $\mathrm{M} f$ given by the formula

$$
\mathrm{M} f(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{R} \in \mathscr{G}_{\mathrm{N}}} \frac{1}{\mu\{\mathrm{R}\}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}|f(y)| d \mu(y)
$$

where $\mu$ denotes Lebesgue's measure in $\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$.

Theorem 2. - There exists a constant $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$, independent of N , such that $\|\mathrm{M} f\|_{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}[\log \mathrm{N}]^{2}\|f\|_{2}$.

## A. The square function.



$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} f(x)=\left(\sum\left|\mathrm{S}_{j} f(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\widehat{\mathrm{~S}_{j} f(\xi)=\chi_{\Delta_{j}}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)} \\
\Delta_{j}=\{\xi: 2 \pi j / \mathrm{N} \leqslant \arg (\xi) \leqslant 2 \pi(j+1) / \mathrm{N}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Part (i) of theorem 2 was proved in ref. [4] and, therefore, we shall concentrate in part (ii). Although we have not made a careful analysis of the nature of the best constant $a$, it has to be strictly positive, as an adequate Kakeya's set argument can show. On the other hand, interpolating with the $L^{2}$-result, one may obtain $\|\mathrm{S} f\|_{p} \leqslant \mathrm{C}[\log \mathrm{N}]^{a(p)}\|f\|_{p}, 2 \leqslant p \leqslant 4$, which it is the best range of $p$ 's where such an inequality can hold. We shall proceed proving a previous lemma.

In $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ let us consider a cubic lattice $\mathscr{E}=\left\{\mathrm{Q}_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu \in Z^{n}}$ i.e. the $\mathrm{Q}_{\nu}^{\prime} s$ are congruent cubes with disjoint interiors and such that $\mathrm{R}^{n}=\cup \mathrm{Q}_{\nu}$. Define, for each $\nu$, the operators $\widehat{\mathrm{P}_{\nu} f}=\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{\nu}} \cdot \hat{f}$ and the square function $G f(x)=\left(\Sigma\left|\mathrm{P}_{\nu} f(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Lemma. - For each $s>1$ there exists a finite constant $\mathrm{C}_{s}$ so that for every $f, \omega \in \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ we have:

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|\mathrm{G} f(x)|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{s} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{s} \omega(x) d x
$$

where $\mathrm{A}_{s} \omega=\left[\left(\omega^{s}\right)^{*}(x)\right]^{1 / s}$ and *-denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

Proof. - Without lack of generality we may assume that $\mathfrak{f}$ is the unit lattice i.e. $\mathrm{Q}_{\nu}$ is centered at the point $\nu \in \mathrm{Z}^{n}$ and has volume equal to one. Let $\psi$ be a smooth function with compact support and equal to 1 in $\mathrm{Q}_{0}$. For each $y \in \mathrm{Q}_{0}$ let us consider the Fourier multiplier $m_{y}(z)=\sum_{\nu} e^{2 \pi i \nu . y} \psi(z-\nu), z \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then the kernel $\mu_{y}=\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{y}$ is a measure of finite total variation uniformly in $y \in \mathrm{Q}_{0}$. More concretely: $\mu_{y}=\sum_{\nu} \hat{\psi}(y+\nu) \delta_{y+\nu} \quad$ where, as usual, $\delta_{x}$ denotes Dirac's function translated to the point $x$. Therefore, $\left|\mu_{y} * f(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{\nu}(1+|\nu|)^{2 n}|\hat{\psi}(y+\nu)|^{2}|f(x-y-\nu)|^{2}$ and, since $\hat{\psi}$ is rapidly decreasing, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mu_{y} * f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}} \sum_{\nu}(1+|\nu|)^{2 n-\mathrm{N}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} \omega(x+y+\nu) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

(we may assume that $\omega \geqslant 0$ ).
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mu_{y} * f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \\
& \quad \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}} \sum_{\nu}(1+|\nu|)^{2 n-\mathrm{N}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2}\left|\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}} \omega(x+y+\nu) d y\right| \cdot d x \\
& \quad \leqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} \omega^{*}(x) d x, \text { taking } \mathrm{N} \geqslant 4 n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $\widehat{\mathrm{T}_{\nu} f}(\xi)=\psi(\xi-\nu) \hat{f}(\xi)$, we have:

$$
\mu_{y} * f(x)=\sum_{\nu} e^{2 \pi i \nu \cdot y} \mathrm{~T}_{\nu} f(x)
$$

and therefore,

$$
\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mu_{y} * f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x d y=\sum_{\nu} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mathrm{~T}_{\nu} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x
$$

To finish we observe that $\mathrm{P}_{\nu} f=\mathrm{P}_{\nu} \mathrm{T}_{\nu} f$ and we may apply the weighted inequality of reference [5].


Let us consider for each $k \in Z$ a decomposition of the strip $2^{k} \leqslant x_{n} \leqslant 2^{k+1}$ into congruent disjoint parallelepipeds $\left\{\mathrm{Q}_{\nu}^{k}\right\}$ whose sides are paralled to the coordinate axis. Define:

$$
\mathrm{S} f(x)=\left(\sum_{k, \nu}\left|\mathrm{P}_{\nu}^{k} f(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\widehat{\mathrm{P}_{\nu}^{k}} f(\xi)=\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{\nu}^{k}}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$. Combining the Littlewood-Paley theorem with the previous result we obtain:

Corollary 1. - For each $p, 2 \leqslant p<\infty$, there exists a finite constant $\mathrm{C}_{p}$ so that $\|\mathrm{S} f\|_{p} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p}\|f\|_{p}$, for every $f \in \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof of theorem 1. - We may assume, without lack of generality, that $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{8}$ so that $0 \leqslant \frac{2 \pi j}{\mathrm{~N}} \leqslant \frac{\pi}{4}$.

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{j}=\left\{\xi=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2}, 1 \leqslant \xi_{1} \leqslant 2, \frac{2 \pi j}{\mathrm{~N}} \leqslant \arg (\xi)\right. & \left.\leqslant \frac{2 \pi(j+1)}{\mathrm{N}}\right\} \\
j & =0,1, \ldots, \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{P}_{j} f}=\chi_{\Delta_{j}} \cdot \hat{f}
$$

and we want to compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j, k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j} f(x) \mathrm{P}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} d x=\sum_{|j-k|<\mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j} f(x) \mathrm{P}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
&+\sum_{|j-k| \geqslant \mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j} f(x) \mathrm{P}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} d x=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}
\end{aligned}
$$

We decompose further each sector $\Delta_{j}$ into $N^{1 / 2}$ subsectors $\Delta_{j}^{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{j}^{\mathbf{N}^{1 / 2}}$, where

$$
\Delta_{j}^{\alpha}=\left\{\xi=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2} \in \Delta_{j} \mid \alpha \mathrm{N}^{-1 / 2} \leqslant \xi_{1}-1 \leqslant(\alpha+1) \mathrm{N}^{-1 / 2}\right\}
$$

It happens that if $|j-k| \geqslant \mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}$ the overlapping of the sets $\Delta_{j}^{\alpha}+\Delta_{k}^{\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta=1, \ldots, N^{1 / 2}$, is finite (uniformly on N ).

## Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{II} \leqslant \sum_{|j-k| \geqslant \mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\alpha} f(x) \mathrm{P}_{k}^{\beta} f(x)\right|^{2} d x \leqslant\left\|\left(\sum_{j, \alpha}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{4}
$$

where the operators $\mathbf{P}_{j}^{\alpha}$ have the obvious definition $\widehat{\mathbf{P}_{j}^{\alpha} f}=\chi_{\Delta_{j}^{\alpha}} \cdot \hat{f}$.
We claim that We claim that

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{j, \alpha}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4} \leqslant \mathrm{C}[\log \mathrm{~N}]^{1 / 4}\|f\|_{4}
$$

for some universal constant $C$.

To see this we take $\omega \geqslant 0$ in $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$ and we consider:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j, \alpha} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\alpha} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x & =\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{1}{8} \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}} \sum_{j=l \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2}}^{(l+1) \mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\alpha} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{s} \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{1}{8} \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{l}^{\alpha} f(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{M}_{s} \omega(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{Q}_{l}^{\alpha} f$ is given, at the Fourier transform side, as multiplication by the characteristic function of a rectangle, with sides parallel to the coordinates axis, and dimensions $\mathrm{N}^{-1 / 2} \times 2 \mathrm{~N}^{-1 / 2}, \mathrm{M}_{s} \omega=\left(\mathrm{M} \omega^{s}\right)^{1 / s}$, $1<s<\infty$, and M denotes the maximal function associated to the base of rectangles with directions in the set $2 \pi j / \mathrm{N}, j=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N} / 8$ (see ref. [5]).

In establishing the last estimate we have made a repeat use of the lemma. Using Holder's inequality together with the known estimates for M , we get: $\mathrm{II} \leqslant \mathrm{C}[\log \mathrm{N}]\|f\|_{4}^{4}$.

We estimate I in the following manner:
$\mathrm{I}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{\frac{1}{2} \log \mathrm{~N}} \sum_{2^{-\nu} \mathrm{N}^{1 / 2} \leqslant|j-k|<2^{-\nu+1} \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j} f(x) \mathrm{P}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} d x+\sum_{j}\left\|\mathrm{P}_{j} f\right\|_{4}^{4}$.
Since we always have $\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\mathrm{P}_{j} f\right\|_{4}^{4} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\|f\|_{4}^{4}$ and we want an estimate with a factor of $(\log N)^{a}$, we may estimate each block of the preceeding sum independently:

For each $\nu$ we decompose the secteur $\Delta_{j}$ into subsectors

$$
\Delta_{j}^{\alpha}=\left\{\xi=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2} \in \Delta_{j} \mid \alpha 2^{\nu} \mathrm{N}^{-1 / 2} \leqslant \xi_{1}-1 \leqslant(\alpha+1) 2^{\nu} \mathrm{N}^{-1 / 2}\right\}
$$

and we repeat the same arguments used in the estimation of II.
To finish we observe that, by homogeneity, we have proved the following: $\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j, n} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4} \leqslant \mathrm{C}(\log \mathrm{N})^{1 / 2}\|f\|_{4}$, uniformly on $n$, where, for each $n \in Z$

$$
\Delta_{j, n}=\left\{\xi=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2} \in \Delta_{j} \mid 2^{n} \leqslant \xi_{1} \leqslant 2^{n+1}\right\}
$$

$\widehat{\mathrm{P}_{j, n} f}=\chi_{\Delta_{j, n}} . \hat{f}$.

We decompose $\Delta_{j}=\underset{l=1}{\log \mathrm{~N}} \underset{n \equiv l(\bmod (\log \mathrm{~N}))}{ } \Delta_{j, n}$ which gives us the decomposition

$$
\left(\sum_{j}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant \sum_{l=1}^{\log \mathrm{N}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{l} f(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} ;
$$

here $\mathrm{P}_{j}^{l}$ is given by the multiplier $\underset{n \equiv l(\bmod [\log \mathrm{~N})}{ } \Delta_{j, n}$.
The point is that if $n_{1} \equiv n_{2}(\bmod [\log \mathrm{~N}])$ and, says, $n_{1}>n_{2}$, then $2^{n_{1}} \geqslant \mathrm{~N} 2^{n_{2}}$. That is: the smaller side $2^{n_{1}} / \mathrm{N}$ of the rectangles corresponding to $\Delta_{j, n_{1}}, j=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$ is bigger than the diameter of the set $\underset{\substack{n=n_{1} \bmod \left([\log \mathrm{NJ}) \\ n<n_{1}\right.}}{\cup} \Delta_{j, n}$.

Furthermore, we decompose each $\Delta_{j, n}$ into N "squares" $\left\{\Delta_{j, n}^{\alpha}\right\}$ of side $\simeq 2^{n} \mathrm{~N}^{-1}$ and following our convention we shall define the corresponding multiplier operators $\mathrm{P}_{j, n}^{\alpha}$.

To simplify notation we shall keep $l$ fixed in the following and we shall assume that the index $n$ ranges in the set of integers congruent with $l \bmod ([\log \mathrm{~N}])$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{i}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{l} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{4} \sim\left\|\left(\sum_{j, n}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j, n} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{4} \\
& =\sum_{n}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j, n} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{4}+2 \sum_{\substack{j, k \\
n_{1}>n_{2}}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j, n_{1}} f(x) \mathrm{P}_{k, n_{2}} f(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}(\log \mathrm{~N})^{2}\|f\|_{4}^{4}+2 \sum_{\substack{j, k \\
n_{1}>n_{2}}} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{2}}\left|\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{P}_{j, n_{1}}^{\alpha} f \mathrm{P}_{k, n_{2}} f\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{i, k \\
n_{1}>n_{2}}} \int\left|\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{P}_{j, n_{1}}^{\alpha} f \mathrm{P}_{k, n_{2}} f\right|^{2} d x=\sum_{\substack{j, k \\
n_{1}>n_{2}}} \int\left|\sum_{\alpha} \widehat{\mathrm{P}_{j, n_{1}}^{\alpha} f} * \widehat{\mathrm{P}_{k, n_{2}} f}\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{\substack{j, k \\
n_{1}>n_{2}}} \sum_{\alpha} \int \mid \widehat{\mathrm{P}_{j, n_{1}}^{\alpha} f} * \widehat{\left.\mathrm{P}_{k, n_{2}} f\right|^{2}} d \xi \\
&=\mathrm{C} \sum_{\substack{j, k \\
n_{1}>n_{2}}} \sum_{\alpha} \int\left|\mathrm{P}_{j, n_{1}}^{\alpha} f(x)\right|^{2}\left|\mathrm{P}_{k, n_{2}} f(x)\right|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left\|\left(\sum_{j, k, \alpha}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j, n}^{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{2}\left\|\left(\sum_{k, n}\left|\mathrm{P}_{k, n} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{2} \\
& \leqslant C\|f\|_{4}^{2}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{l} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, we have obtained the inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{l} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C}(\log \mathrm{~N})^{2}\|f\|_{4}^{4} & \\
& +\mathrm{C}\|f\|_{4}^{2}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}^{l} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From which the desired result follows very easily.
B. The maximal function.


Our hypothesis over $\gamma$ means that for each $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ and $b \in R$ the function: $t \longrightarrow \gamma(t) . \omega-b$ has a finite number of changes of signum, uniformly in $\omega$ and $b$. It should be noted that,
in general, $\mathrm{C}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ grows to infinity with this number. However, an estimate of the form $\|M f\|_{n} \leqslant \mathrm{C}(\log \mathrm{N})^{a}\|f\|_{n}$, should be true with C independent of $\gamma$. This is an interesting open problem.

For every positive integer $m$ let us consider the points of $\gamma$ given by $\omega_{m}^{j}=\gamma\left(j / 2^{m}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, 2^{m}$. Let $\Psi \geqslant 0$ be a smooth function on the real line, supported on $|t| \leqslant 2$ and equal to 1 on $|t| \leqslant 1$.

$$
\text { We define } A_{m}^{j} f(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f\left(x-t \omega_{m}^{j}\right) \Psi(t) d t
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{A}_{m} f(x)=\sup _{j=1,2, \ldots, 2^{m}}\left|\mathrm{~A}_{m}^{j} f(x)\right|
$$

Claim. $-\left\|\mathrm{A}_{m} f\right\|_{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C} m\|f\|_{2}$, for every $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, where C is independent of $m$.

We shall prove the claim by induction. The case $m=1$ is a consequence of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem. Let us assume that the result is true for $k \leqslant m-1$. It is very easy to check that $\mathrm{A}_{m} f(x) \leqslant \mathrm{A}_{m-1} f(x)+\mathrm{B}_{m} f(x)$ where

$$
\mathrm{B}_{m} f(x)=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{m}}\left|\mathrm{~A}_{m}^{j} f(x)-\mathrm{A}_{m}^{j-1} f(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore our claim is a consequence of the estimate: $\left\|\mathrm{B}_{m} f\right\|_{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\|f\|_{2}$, uniformly on $m$. To see this we use Plancherel's theorem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mathrm{~B}_{m} f(x)\right|^{2} d x & =\sum_{j=1}^{2^{m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mathrm{~A}_{m}^{j} f(x)-\mathrm{A}_{m}^{j-1} f(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m}}\left|\hat{\Psi}\left(\xi \cdot \omega_{m}^{j}\right)-\hat{\Psi}\left(\xi \cdot \omega_{m}^{j-1}\right)\right|^{2} d \xi ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and we observe that, because of our hypotheses on $\gamma$, we have: $\sum_{j=1}^{2^{m}}\left|\hat{\Psi}\left(\xi \cdot \omega_{m}^{j}\right)-\hat{\Psi}\left(\xi \cdot \omega_{m}^{j-1}\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant C_{\gamma}<\infty$ uniformly on $m$.

To continue let us observe that, in order to prove theorem 2, we can, without lack of generality, restrict to the case $r=2^{n}, n \in \mathrm{Z}$ and, because of the fixed eccentricity, we may also consider cylinders with direction in the set $\gamma\left(\frac{j}{\mathrm{~N}}\right), j=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$. Finally we may take N of the form $\mathrm{N}=2^{m}, m \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}$.

Let us define:
i) $\mathrm{T}_{2^{\nu}}^{j} f(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{R}} \frac{1}{\mu\{\mathrm{R}\}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}|f(y)| d \mu(y)$, where the supremum is taken over all cylinders with dimensions $\left(2^{\nu}\right)^{n-1} \times \mathrm{N} 2^{\nu}$ and direction $\gamma\left(\frac{j}{N}\right)$.
ii) $\mathrm{T}_{2^{\nu}} f(x)=\sup _{j} \mathrm{~T}_{2^{\nu}}^{j} f(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}^{j} f(x)=\sup _{\nu} \mathrm{T}_{2^{\nu}}^{j} f(x) \\
& \mathrm{M} f(x)=\sup _{j} \mathrm{~T}^{j} f(x)=\sup _{\nu} \mathrm{T}_{2^{\nu}} f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $\alpha>0$ we obtain, for each $j$, a sequence of disjoint cylinders $\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\lambda}^{j}\right\}_{\lambda=1,2, \ldots}$ with direction $\gamma(j / \mathrm{N})$ and such that: $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}^{j}=\left\{x: \mathrm{T}^{j} f(x)>\alpha\right\} \subset \cup \cup_{\lambda}^{j} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{\lambda}^{j}$ where $\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$ denotes the result of expanding R by the factor two. We have,

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}=\{x: \mathrm{M} f(x)>\alpha\}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}^{j}
$$

The heights of the $N$ collections of cylinders, $\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\lambda}^{j}\right\}$, $j=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$, are bounded from above. By induction we may obtain, for each $k$, a familiy of cylinders $\mathrm{B}_{k}$ with dimensions $\left(2^{\nu k}\right)^{n-1} \times 2^{\nu k} \mathrm{~N}, \nu_{0}>\nu_{1}>\ldots$ in such a way that:

1) No cylinder of $B_{k}$ is contained in the double of another cylinder of $\mathrm{B}_{j}, j \leqslant k$.
2) If $\mathrm{R} \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathrm{N}}\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\lambda}^{j}\right\}$ and if

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{R})=\left(2^{\nu}\right)^{n-1} \times 2^{\nu} \cdot \mathrm{N}, \nu_{k-1}>\nu \geqslant \nu_{k}
$$

then either $\mathrm{R} \in \mathrm{B}_{k}$ or R is contained in the double of a cylinder in $\bigcup_{j \leqslant k} \mathrm{~B}_{j}$. Obviously: $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{\mathrm{R} \in \mathrm{UB}_{k}} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$.

Let us denote by $\Delta_{k}$ the union of the families $\mathrm{B}_{j}$ 's where $\nu_{0}-k \log \mathrm{~N} \geqslant \nu_{j} \geqslant \nu_{0}-(k+1) \log \mathrm{N}$
$\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}}=\bigcup \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}}$ and let $\mathrm{E}_{i}=\underset{\mathrm{R} \in \Delta_{i}}{\cup} \mathrm{R}, ~$ $\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_{i}=\underset{\mathrm{R} \in \Delta_{i}}{\cup} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$. We know that $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha} \subset \cup \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{i}$

We can now observe that the family $\left\{\mathrm{E}_{i}\right\}$ is almost disjoint; more concretely, if $|i-j| \geqslant 2$ then $\mathrm{E}_{i} \cap \mathrm{E}_{j}=\varnothing$. This is true
because if $\mathrm{R}_{i} \in \Delta_{i}, \quad \mathrm{R}_{j} \in \Delta_{j}, i-j \geqslant 2$, then the radius of $\mathrm{R}_{i}$ is greater than the height of $\mathrm{R}_{j}$ ans, therefore, if $\mathrm{R}_{i} \cap \mathrm{R}_{j} \neq \varnothing$ then $\mathrm{R}_{j} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{i}$ which it is impossible.

Let $f_{i}=f / \mathrm{E}_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots$ and let $\mathrm{S}_{i}$ be the maximal function given in the following way: $\mathrm{S}_{i} g(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{R}} \frac{1}{\mu\{\mathrm{R}\}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}|g(y)| d \mu(y)$, where the sup is taken over the set of cylinders of dimensions $\left(2^{\nu}\right)^{n-1} \times 2^{\nu} \mathrm{N}$, where $\nu_{0}+2-i \log \mathrm{~N} \geqslant \nu \geqslant \nu_{0}+2-(i+1) \log \mathrm{N}$.

The previously obtained estimate $\left\|\mathrm{A}_{m} f\right\|_{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C} m\|f\|_{2}$ implies that $S_{i}$ is bounded on $L^{2}(R)$ with bound less than $C_{\gamma}(\log N)^{3 / 2}$.

If $x \in \widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_{i}$ there exists a cylinder $\mathrm{R} \in \Delta_{i}$ so that $x \in \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}}$ and, therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{i} f_{i}(x) & \geqslant \frac{1}{\mu\{\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\}}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|f_{i}(y)\right| d \mu(y) \\
& \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\mu\{\mathrm{R}\}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|f_{i}(y)\right| d \mu(y) \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n} \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_{l} \subset\left\{x: \mathrm{S}_{i} f_{i}(x) \geqslant 4^{-n} \alpha\right\}$, which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left\{\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\right\} \leqslant \sum_{i} \mu\left\{\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}}_{i}\right\} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}(\log \mathrm{N})^{3} \alpha^{-2} \sum_{j} \| & \left\|f_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}(\log \mathrm{N})^{3} \alpha^{-2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

A standard use of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem would yield the strong type inequality of Theorem $1 . \quad$ q.e.d.
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