

GEOMETRIC STRUCTURES ON SPACES OF WEIGHTED SUBMANIFOLDS

by

Brian Lee

A thesis submitted in conformity with the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
Graduate Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto

Copyright © 2009 by Brian Lee

Abstract

Geometric Structures on Spaces of Weighted Submanifolds

Brian Lee

Doctor of Philosophy

Graduate Department of Mathematics

University of Toronto

2009

In this thesis we use a diffeo-geometric framework based on manifolds that are locally modeled on “convenient” vector spaces to study the geometry of some infinite dimensional spaces. Given a finite dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) , we construct a weak symplectic structure on each leaf \mathbf{I}_w of a foliation of the space of compact oriented isotropic submanifolds in M equipped with top degree forms of total measure 1. These forms are called *weightings* and such manifolds are said to be *weighted*. We show that this symplectic structure on the particular leaves consisting of weighted Lagrangians is equivalent to a heuristic weak symplectic structure of Weinstein [23]. When the weightings are positive, these symplectic spaces are symplectomorphic to reductions of a weak symplectic structure of Donaldson [3] on the space of embeddings of a fixed compact oriented manifold into M . When M is compact, by generalizing a moment map of Weinstein we construct a symplectomorphism of each leaf \mathbf{I}_w consisting of positive weighted isotropics onto a coadjoint orbit of the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of M equipped with the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic structure. After defining notions of Poisson algebras and Poisson manifolds, we prove that each space \mathbf{I}_w can also be identified with a symplectic leaf of a Poisson structure. Finally, we discuss a kinematic description of spaces of weighted submanifolds.

Acknowledgements

I benefited from the help of many people, and am sincerely grateful to them all: Jerry Mitrovica, Askold Khovanskii, George Elliott, Irina Paramonova, Lena, Elena Borisovna, Group No. 50, Sergei Yakovlev, Ludmilla Pavlovna, Viktor Albertovich, Kathi Bauch, Sally Eickenhorst, Kentaro Hori, Dong Feng Gao, Geoff Potvin, Behi Fatholahzadeh, Mitch Thomson, Ayse Alemdar, Saba Zuberi, Jon Ford, Fred Kuehn, Ted Shepherd, Lisa Jeffrey, Pinaki Mondal, Eckhard Meinrenken, Yael Karshon, Boris Khesin, and Patrick Iglesias-Zemmour.

I thank my family for all that is good in me. I thank my wife Diana for our rare romance. And I thank science for the honour which it was to serve her.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Basic Definitions	8
3	Isodrastic Foliations	24
4	Weighted Lagrangians	36
5	Positive Weighted Lagrangians	49
6	Embeddings into a Symplectic Manifold	55
7	Reduction of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$	61
8	Weighted Isotropics	71
9	Coadjoint Orbits of $\text{Ham}(M)$	75
10	Poisson Structures	78
11	Momentum Weighted Lagrangians	85
12	Momentum Weighted Metrics	88
	Bibliography	92

Chapter 1

Introduction

In the same way that finite dimensional manifolds are locally modeled on \mathbb{R}^n , many collections of geometric objects can be viewed as infinite dimensional manifolds locally modeled on interesting geometric spaces. For example, if N and M are smooth manifolds then the following local models are known:

Collection \mathcal{M}	Modeling Space at $x \in \mathcal{M}$
diffeomorphisms of N	vector fields on N
Riemannian metrics on N	symmetric 2-tensors on N
smooth maps from N to M	sections of x^*TM
Lagrangian submanifolds	closed 1-forms on x

These local models represent certain choices, as many geometric structures coincident in finite dimensions diverge in infinite dimensions. For example, there are typically more derivations than equivalence classes of paths; there are many ways to define the dual of a tangent space; there may fail to exist holomorphic charts even when the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes, etc. Accordingly, there are many frameworks available to study differential geometric structures in infinite dimensions. Depending on the problem, one might choose

to work with Fréchet manifolds [7], Diffeology [15], Differential Spaces [13], the Global Analysis framework of Frölicher, Kriegl and Michor [6, 9], etc., or just choose to work heuristically.

Once a framework has been chosen, and a local model identified, the geometry of a collection \mathcal{M} can be explored using the following correspondence: structures inherent to objects in \mathcal{M} induce global structures on \mathcal{M} . For example, if N and M are as above then

- the set of Riemannian metrics on N inherits weak Riemannian structures (Ebin 1970 [5], Smolentzev 1994 [14]).
- if M is symplectic and $L \rightarrow M$ is a prequantization line bundle, then the space of sections $\Gamma(L)$ inherits a weak symplectic structure (Donaldson 2001 [4]).
- the set of embeddings of N into M is the total space of a principal fiber bundle with structure group $\text{Diff}(N)$, the diffeomorphisms of N , and base the set of submanifolds of M diffeomorphic to N (Binz, Fischer 1981 [1]).

In this thesis we study a particularly interesting example of this phenomenon involving Lagrangian submanifolds equipped with certain measures. From the very beginning, we study these objects in the “Convenient Setup” of Frölicher, Kriegl, and Michor (see [9]).

The starting point for this framework is the definition of smooth curves in locally convex spaces called *convenient* vector spaces. Once the smooth curves have been specified, smooth maps between convenient vector spaces can be defined as maps which send smooth curves to smooth curves. Smooth manifolds then are defined as sets that can be modeled on convenient vector spaces via charts, whose transition functions are smooth. Once the appropriate notions of smoothness are specified, objects in differential geometry are defined by choosing how to generalize finite dimensional constructions to infinite dimensions (e.g. Lie groups, principal G bundles, vector fields, differential forms, etc.) An important feature of this approach is that the modeling space E_U for each chart (φ, U)

can be different for different chart neighbourhoods U . This differs from the usual description of finite dimensional manifolds which are always modeled on the same vector space \mathbb{R}^n . This flexibility is useful in describing the local structure of many infinite dimensional manifolds, including the collection of Lagrangian submanifolds in a symplectic manifold.

In 1990 Alan Weinstein [23] introduced a foliation \mathcal{F} of the space of Lagrangian submanifolds in a fixed symplectic manifold (M, ω) . A leaf of \mathcal{F} consists of Lagrangians that can be joined by flowing along Hamiltonian vector fields. \mathcal{F} lifts to a foliation \mathcal{F}_w of the space of pairs (L, ρ) , where L is a Lagrangian in M equipped with a smooth density ρ of total measure 1. Weinstein called such pairs *weighted Lagrangians* and leaves of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_w *isodrasts*. He showed that each leaf \mathbf{I}_w of \mathcal{F}_w can be given a weakly nondegenerate symplectic structure Ω^W . He also showed that the leaves consisting of Lagrangians equipped with positive densities can be identified with coadjoint orbits of the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms. All of these constructions were done on a heuristic level.

Instead of starting with the Lagrangian submanifolds directly, we instead begin by showing that the set of Lagrangian embeddings of a fixed compact oriented manifold L_0 into M is the total space of a principal fiber bundle with structure group $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$, the orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of L_0 . The base $\text{Lag}(M)$ is naturally identified with the space of oriented Lagrangian submanifolds in M diffeomorphic to L_0 . We define a foliation \mathcal{E} of the total space which descends to the isodrastic foliation \mathcal{F} of the space of Lagrangians. Similarly, the product of the space of Lagrangian embeddings with the space of top degree forms on L_0 that integrate to 1 is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle. The base of this bundle can be identified with the set of pairs (L, ρ) , where L is an oriented Lagrangian in M diffeomorphic to L_0 equipped with a top degree form ρ (not necessarily non-vanishing) satisfying $\int_L \rho = 1$. The foliation \mathcal{E} gives a foliation \mathcal{E}_w of the total space that descends to the isodrastic foliation \mathcal{F}_w of the base. We define a basic 2-form Ω on the leaves of \mathcal{E}_w which descends to a weakly nondegenerate symplectic

structure on the leaves of \mathcal{F}_w . We then show that the tangent spaces to the space of pairs (L, ρ) in the “Convenient Setup” can be identified with the tangent spaces in Weinstein’s heuristic construction, and that Ω corresponds to Ω^W . In this way we make precise Weinstein’s original construction.

The set of pairs (L, ρ) consisting of Lagrangians equipped with volume forms of total measure 1 is an open subset of the set of all weighted Lagrangians. The leaves of \mathcal{F}_w in this open subset of positive weighted Lagrangians inherit the symplectic structure Ω and provide a link between Weinstein’s symplectic structure and a symplectic structure defined by Simon Donaldson on the space of smooth mappings between manifolds described briefly as follows.

In 1999 Donaldson [3] heuristically wrote down a symplectic structure Ω^D on the space of smooth mappings $C^\infty(S_0, M)$ of a compact oriented manifold S_0 , equipped with a fixed volume form η_0 , into a symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Under some topological restrictions on ω and S_0 , Donaldson described a moment map μ for the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ -action of volume preserving diffeomorphisms on $C^\infty(S_0, M)$. This $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ -action restricts to a Hamiltonian action on the space of embeddings $\text{Emb}(S_0, M) \subset C^\infty(S_0, M)$, with respect to the restrictions of Ω^D and μ . By a lemma of Moser, symplectic quotients of $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ by $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ can be identified with spaces of submanifolds in M equipped with volume forms of fixed total measure. In fact when S_0 is half the dimension of M the level surface $\mu^{-1}\{0\}$ consists of Lagrangian embeddings. This suggests that when η_0 has total measure 1 the symplectic quotients of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ should be related to the leaves of \mathcal{F}_w consisting of positive weighted Lagrangians.

The main result of this thesis is that reductions of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ can be defined, in the “Convenient Setup”, without any topological restrictions on ω or S_0 and that these reductions are symplectomorphic to leaves of \mathcal{F}_w consisting of positive weighted Lagrangians when the dimension of S_0 is half the dimension of M . In this way we obtain not only a rigorous formulation of Donaldson’s heuristic constructions, but also a

precise relationship between Weinstein’s symplectic structure and Donaldson’s symplectic structure. Namely, symplectic quotients of Donaldson’s symplectic space can be identified with Weinstein’s symplectic spaces in the particular case of leaves consisting of positive weighted Lagrangians.

For S_0 of dimension less than or equal to half the dimension of M , reductions of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ are still well defined in the “Convenient Setup” and yield symplectic spaces consisting of positive weighted isotropic submanifolds in M . This suggests that the symplectic structure Ω on weighted Lagrangians should have a generalization to weighted isotropics. We show that indeed such a generalization exists, and that the corresponding symplectic spaces in the particular case of leaves consisting of positive weighted isotropics are symplectomorphic to reductions of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$. In this way we obtain a generalization of our observed relationship between Weinstein’s symplectic structure and Donaldson’s symplectic structure to the case of weighted isotropics.

Our next result takes its cue from this generalization to weighted isotropics. Namely, we show that the symplectic spaces of positive weighted isotropics are symplectomorphic to coadjoint orbits of the group $\text{Ham}(M)$ of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of M equipped with the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic structure. This symplectomorphism is given by a generalization of the moment map written down by Weinstein in his identification of positive weighted Lagrangians with coadjoint orbits of $\text{Ham}(M)$.

These positive weighted isotropics have yet another interpretation akin to leaves of Poisson manifolds in finite dimensions. Given a finite dimensional Poisson manifold $(P, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, for each smooth function $f \in C^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$ on P there exists a unique vector field X_f on P satisfying $dg(X_f) = \{f, g\}$ for all $g \in C^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$. The leaves swept out by integral curves to such vector fields X_f are symplectic manifolds. This picture can be adapted to infinite dimensions in the following sense. Given an infinite dimensional manifold P , for a subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset C^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$ we define a Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on \mathcal{A} and a Poisson algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ in the usual way. If for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a

unique vector field X_f on P satisfying $dg(X_f) = \{f, g\}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{A}$, then the directions swept out by such vector fields on each point in P define a distribution on P . We call maximal integral manifolds of this distribution *leaves*. By defining a Poisson algebra on $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$, which restricts to a Poisson algebra on the space of isotropic embeddings, which descends to a Poisson algebra on the space of positive weighted isotropic submanifolds, we show that the reductions of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ are symplectic leaves of a Poisson structure.

As a result we arrive at three different interpretations of the symplectic spaces consisting of positive weighted isotropics. Namely, they can be identified with reductions of the space of embeddings $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$, with coadjoint orbits of the group $\text{Ham}(M)$ of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms, and with symplectic leaves of Poisson structures.

We then take a kinematic approach to the leaves of the foliation \mathcal{F} of the space of Lagrangian submanifolds to obtain a phase space symplectic structure. That is, by viewing the leaves of \mathcal{F} as possible configurations for a submanifold moving in M , on each Lagrangian we can associate “conjugate momenta” with top degree forms that integrate to 0. We call such pairs (L, χ) with L in a leaf of \mathcal{F} satisfying $\int_L \chi = 0$ *momentum weighted Lagrangians*. By writing down what should be the canonical 1-form on this set of momentum weighted Lagrangians and calculating its exterior derivative, we obtain a weakly symplectic structure.

Finally, we apply this kinematic approach to the set of pseudo Riemannian metrics of a fixed signature on a finite dimensional manifold N . This collection can be viewed as a set of submanifolds by identifying each metric with its graph as a section. Weightings then can be assigned to each metric by pulling up a structure assigned to N . By equipping each metric in this way with a compactly supported symmetric 2-tensor on N , we show that the set of all such weighted metrics has a natural exact symplectic structure.

1.1 Conventions

Unless stated otherwise, all finite dimensional manifolds are smooth, connected, and paracompact. For manifolds M and N and vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, we will use the following notation:

$C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$	smooth functions on M
$C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$	smooth functions of compact support on M
$\text{Diff}(M)$	diffeomorphisms of M
$\text{Diff}_+(M)$	orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of M
$C^\infty(M, N)$	smooth mappings from M to N
$\text{Emb}(M, N)$	smooth embeddings from M to N
$\mathfrak{X}(M)$	vector fields on M
$\Omega^k(M)$	k -forms on M
$\mathbb{T}_l^k(M)$	(k, l) -tensor fields on M
$\Gamma(E)$	sections of $E \rightarrow M$
$\iota(X)$	interior derivative with respect to X
\mathcal{L}_X	Lie derivative with respect to X .

In the absence of summation signs repeated indices are summed over.

Chapter 2

Basic Definitions

We begin by describing the “Convenient Setup” of Frölicher, Kriegl, and Michor in order to establish what we will mean by smoothness, tangent vectors, etc. on some infinite dimensional manifolds. Many definitions will be taken verbatim from [9]. All references like [9, X.X] in this chapter refer to sections in [9].

2.1 Locally Convex Spaces

A *real topological vector space* E is a vector space equipped with a topology under which addition $+: E \times E \rightarrow E$ and scalar multiplication $\mathbb{R} \times E \rightarrow E$ are continuous.

A subset C in E is said to be

1. *circled* if $\lambda C \subset C$ whenever $|\lambda| \leq 1$.
2. *convex* if $\lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C \subset C$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ satisfying $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$.
3. *absolutely convex* if C is circled and convex.

A *locally convex space* is a Hausdorff topological vector space E , for which every neighbourhood of 0 contains an absolutely convex neighbourhood of 0.

2.2 Bounded Sets

A subset C of a locally convex space E is *bounded* if for each neighbourhood U of 0 there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that $C \subset \rho U$. The family of all bounded sets in E is called the *bornology* of E . A linear map $T : E \rightarrow F$ between locally convex spaces is *bounded* if it maps bounded sets to bounded sets [9, 1.1].

2.3 Smooth Curves

Let E be a locally convex space. A curve $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ is called *differentiable* if the *derivative* $c'(t) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [c(t+h) - c(t)]$ at t exists for all t . A curve $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ is called *smooth* if all iterated derivatives exist. The set of all smooth curves in E will be denoted by $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, E)$ [9, 1.2].

One would hope that reasonable definitions of smoothness would imply that “diffeomorphisms” are homeomorphisms. For this purpose we will make use of another topology on locally convex spaces.

2.4 The c^∞ -Topology

The c^∞ -*topology* on a locally convex space E is the finest topology for which all smooth curves $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ are continuous [9, 2.12]. The c^∞ -topology is finer than the locally convex topology on E [9, 4.7]. If E is a Fréchet space, (i.e. a complete and metrizable locally convex space), then the two topologies coincide [9, 4.1, 4.11].

2.5 Convenient Vector Spaces

A *convenient vector space* is a locally convex space E with the following property: For any $c_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, E)$ there is a $c_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, E)$ with $c_2' = c_1$. Any c^∞ -closed subspace of a

convenient vector space is convenient. [9, 2.12, 2.13, 2.14].

2.6 Space of Curves

The set of smooth curves $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, E)$ in a convenient vector space E has a natural convenient structure. Moreover, a locally convex space E is convenient if and only if $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, E)$ is convenient [9, 3.7].

We would like to study sets that can be locally modeled on convenient vector spaces. To define “smooth transition functions” we need to define smooth mappings between convenient vector spaces.

2.7 Convention

For the rest of this chapter E and F will denote convenient vector spaces.

2.8 Mappings Between Convenient Vector Spaces

Let $U \subset E$ be a c^∞ -open subset. A mapping $f : U \rightarrow F$ is called *smooth* if it maps smooth curves in U to smooth curves in F . Let $C^\infty(U, F)$ denote the set of all smooth mappings $f : U \rightarrow F$, equipped with the finest topology on $C^\infty(U, F)$ for which all maps $c^* : C^\infty(U, F) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, F)$, given by pullback along smooth curves (i.e. $c^*(f) = f \circ c$), are continuous. Then $C^\infty(U, F)$ is a convenient vector space [9, 3.11].

2.9 Spaces of Linear Mappings

Let $L(E, F)$ denote the set of all bounded linear mappings from E to F . Then $L(E, F)$ is contained in $C^\infty(E, F)$ [9, 2.11] and inherits a convenient structure [9, 3.17]. The set of invertible maps in $L(E, F)$ with bounded inverse will be denoted by $GL(E, F)$.

2.10 The Differentiation Operator and Chain Rule

Let $U \subset E$ be a c^∞ -open subset. The differentiation operator

$$\begin{aligned} d : C^\infty(U, F) &\rightarrow C^\infty(U, L(E, F)) \\ df(x)v &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t} \end{aligned}$$

exists, is linear and bounded (smooth). Note that the above limit is taken in the locally convex topology of F . Also the chain rule

$$d(f \circ g)(x)v = df(g(x))dg(x)v$$

holds [9, 3.18].

2.11 Examples of Convenient Vector Spaces

The following spaces have natural convenient structures:

$L_{\text{alt}}^k(E, F)$	alternating multilinear maps $E \times \dots \times E \rightarrow F$ [9, 5.9, 5.13]
$C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$	smooth functions on a finite dimensional manifold M [9, 6.1]
$C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$	smooth functions of compact support on a finite dimensional manifold M [9, 6.2]
$\Gamma_c(Q)$	compactly supported smooth sections of a vector bundle $Q \rightarrow M$ with finite dimensional total space, base, and fibers [9, 30.4].

2.12 Manifolds

A *chart* (U, φ) on a set M is a bijection $\varphi : U \rightarrow E_U$ from a subset $U \subset M$ onto a c^∞ -open set in a convenient vector space E_U . A family of charts $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is called an *atlas* for M , if the U_α cover M and all *transition functions* $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} := \varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1} : \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow$

$\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ are smooth. Two atlases are *equivalent* if their union is again an atlas on M . A *smooth manifold* M is a set together with an equivalence class of atlases on it [9, 27.1].

2.13 Smooth Mappings Between Manifolds

A mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ between manifolds is *smooth* if for every $x \in M$ and chart (V, ψ) on N with $f(x) \in V$ there exists a chart (U, φ) on M with $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset V$ such that $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth. So a mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ is smooth if and only if it maps smooth curves to smooth curves. A smooth mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a *diffeomorphism* if it is a bijection and if its inverse is smooth [9, 27.2]. The set of smooth maps from M to N will be denoted by $C^\infty(M, N)$.

2.14 Submanifolds

A subset N of a smooth manifold M is called a *submanifold*, if for each $x \in N$ there is a chart (U, φ) of M such that $\varphi(U \cap N) = \varphi(U) \cap F_U$, where F_U is a c^∞ -closed linear subspace of the convenient model space E_U [9, 27.11]. A curve in a submanifold N of M is smooth if and only if it is smooth as a curve in M .

2.15 Tangent Spaces of a Convenient Vector Space

Let $a \in E$. A *tangent vector* with base point a is a pair (a, X) with $X \in E$. For each neighbourhood U of a in E , a tangent vector (a, X) defines a derivation $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $X_a f := df(a)(X)$ [9, 28.1].

2.16 Remark

In [9] these tangent vectors are called *kinematic tangent vectors* since they can be realized as derivatives $c'(0)$ at 0 of smooth curves $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$. This is to distinguish them from more general derivations which are called *operational tangent vectors*.

2.17 The Tangent Bundle

Let M be a smooth manifold with an atlas $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$. On the disjoint union

$$\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times E_\alpha \times \{\alpha\}$$

define the following equivalence relation:

$$(x, v, \alpha) \sim (y, w, \beta) \iff x = y \text{ and } d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(\varphi_\beta(y)) w = v.$$

A *tangent vector at $x \in M$* is an equivalence class $[(x, v, \alpha)]$. The quotient $\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times E_\alpha \times \{\alpha\} / \sim$ will be called the *tangent bundle of M* and will be denoted by TM .

Let $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ denote the projection $[(x, v, \alpha)] \mapsto x$. TM inherits a smooth manifold structure from M . For $x \in M$ the set $T_x M := \pi^{-1}(x)$ is called the *tangent space at x* . Since each transition function $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ is smooth, each differential $d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ is bounded linear, which means each tangent space $T_x M$ has a well defined bornology independent of the choice of chart (cf. [9, 1.1, 2.11]).

Alternatively, we can describe tangent vectors to a smooth manifold by means of equivalence classes of smooth curves. We will say that two smooth curves c_1 and c_2 in M are equivalent at $x \in M$, (and write $c_1 \sim_x c_2$), if $c_1(0) = x = c_2(0)$ and $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \varphi_\alpha \circ c_1(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \varphi_\alpha \circ c_2(t)$ for a chart φ_α in an atlas $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ on M . The tangent space at x then is equal to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, M) / \sim_x$ (compare with [9, 28.12]).

2.18 Tangent Mappings

Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth mapping between manifolds. Then f induces a linear map $df(x) : T_xM \rightarrow T_{f(x)}N$ for each $x \in M$ by the following formula. If $X = [c] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, M) / \sim_x$ then $df(x)X := [f \circ c]$. This defines a fiberwise linear map $df : TM \rightarrow TN$ called the *differential of f* (compare with [9, 28.15]).

2.19 Distributions

A *distribution* on a smooth manifold M is an assignment to each point $x \in M$ a C^∞ -closed subspace \mathcal{D}_x of T_xM . If $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{D}_x\}$ is a distribution on a manifold M and $i : N \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion map of a connected submanifold N of M , then N is called an *integral manifold* of \mathcal{D} if $di(T_xN) = \mathcal{D}_{i(x)}$ for all $x \in N$. An integral manifold of \mathcal{D} is called *maximal* if it is not properly contained in any other integral manifold.

Let \mathcal{D} be a distribution on a manifold M . The set of locally defined vector fields X on M satisfying $X(x) \in \mathcal{D}_x$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$.

2.20 Remark

In finite dimensions such distributions defined without any assumptions regarding continuity or smoothness are sometimes called “generalized distributions”. If a generalized distribution \mathcal{D} is “smooth” in the sense that every $v \in \mathcal{D}_x \subset T_xM$ can be realized as $X(x)$ for a locally defined vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$, then there exist results on integrability of such distributions (see e.g. [18], [19], [16], [17].)

2.21 Foliations

Let M be a smooth manifold. A *foliation* of M is a distribution $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_x\}$ on M , for which there exists an atlas $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ of charts $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow E_\alpha$ on M and a family of c^∞ -closed subspaces $\{F_\alpha \subset E_\alpha\}$, such that the inverse image under φ_α of translations of F_α are integral manifolds of \mathcal{F} , and such that if $N \subset U_\alpha$ is an integral manifold of \mathcal{F} then $\varphi_\alpha(N)$ is contained in a translation of F_α . The charts φ_α will be called *distinguished charts*.

Let $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow E_\alpha$ be a distinguished chart of a foliation \mathcal{F} of M and $y + F_\alpha$ a translation of $F_\alpha \subset E_\alpha$. Then $\psi_{\alpha,y} := \varphi_\alpha|_{\varphi^{-1}(y+F_\alpha)} - y$ defines a chart into F_α , and the set of all such charts $\psi_{\alpha,y}$ defines an alternative smooth structure on the set M modeled on the spaces F_α . The set M equipped with this alternative manifold structure will be denoted by $M^\mathcal{F}$. A *leaf* of the foliation \mathcal{F} is a connected component of $M^\mathcal{F}$. Since $T_x M^\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_x$ for all $x \in M$, every leaf is a maximal integral manifold of \mathcal{F} (compare with [9, 27.16]).

2.22 Remark

Our definition of foliation differs from the definition in [9, 27.16] because we wish to describe foliations on manifolds modeled on different convenient spaces in different charts.

2.23 Fiber Bundles

A *fiber bundle* (Q, p, M) consists of manifolds Q (the *total space*), M (the *base*), and a smooth mapping $p : Q \rightarrow M$ (the *projection*) such that for every $x \in M$ there exists an open neighbourhood U of x , a smooth manifold S_U , and a diffeomorphism ψ such that

the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 p^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & U \times S_U \\
 & \searrow p & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
 & & U.
 \end{array}$$

Such a pair (U, ψ) as above is called a *fiber bundle chart*. A *fiber bundle atlas* $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a set of fiber bundle charts such that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an open cover of M . If we fix a fiber bundle atlas, then $\psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}(x, s) = (x, \psi_{\alpha\beta}(x, s))$ where $\psi_{\alpha\beta} : (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times S_\beta \rightarrow S_\alpha$ is smooth, and where $\psi_{\alpha\beta}(x, \cdot)$ is a diffeomorphism of S_β onto S_α for each $x \in U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. The mappings $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$ are called the *transition functions* of the bundle. When $S_{U_\alpha} = S$ for all charts (U_α, ψ_α) for some smooth manifold S , then S is called the *standard fiber* (compare with [9, 37.1]).

2.24 Remark

Our definition of a fiber bundle differs from the definition in [9, 37.1] in the sense that it allows for different S_U for different neighbourhoods U .

2.25 Vector Bundles

Let (Q, p, M) be a fiber bundle. A fiber bundle chart (U, ψ) is called a *vector bundle chart* if S_U is a convenient vector space. Two vector bundle charts (U_α, ψ_α) and (U_β, ψ_β) are *compatible* if the transition function $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$ is bounded and linear in the fibers, i.e. $\psi_{\alpha\beta}(x, s) = (x, \phi_{\alpha\beta}(x) s)$ for some mapping $\phi_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow GL(S_\beta, S_\alpha) \subset L(S_\beta, S_\alpha)$. A *vector bundle atlas* is a fiber bundle atlas $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ consisting of pairwise compatible vector bundle charts. Two vector bundle atlases are *equivalent* if their union is again a vector bundle atlas. A *vector bundle* (Q, p, M) is a fiber bundle together with an equivalence class of vector bundle atlases (compare with [9, 29.1]).

2.26 Remark

Here again our definition differs from that in [9, 29.1] in that we allow for different S_U in different neighbourhoods U . However, this more general version of vector bundles is subsequently used implicitly throughout the text (see e.g. [9, 29.9] and [9, 29.10] where the tangent bundle TM of any smooth manifold M is taken to be a vector bundle).

2.27 Constructions with Vector Bundles

If $Q \rightarrow M$ and $R \rightarrow M$ are vector bundles then we have vector bundles Q^* , $L(Q, R)$, and $L_{\text{alt}}^k(Q, R)$ whose fibers over $x \in M$ are $(Q_x)^*$ (the space of bounded linear functionals on Q_x), $L(Q_x, R_x)$ and $L_{\text{alt}}^k(Q_x, R_x)$ respectively [9, 29.5].

2.28 Remark

We will always use E^* to denote the space of bounded linear functionals on a locally convex space E . In [9] E^* is reserved for the space of continuous (in the locally convex topology) linear functionals while E' is used to denote the space of bounded linear functionals.

2.29 Cotangent Bundles

Since TM is a vector bundle for any manifold M , the bundle $(TM)^*$ with fiber over $x \in M$ equal to $(T_x M)^*$ is also a vector bundle. This vector bundle is called the *cotangent bundle of M* and will be denoted by T^*M [9, 33.1].

2.30 Spaces of Sections of Vector Bundles

A *section* of a vector bundle $p : Q \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map $s : M \rightarrow Q$ such that $p \circ s = \text{Id}_M$. The set of sections of $p : Q \rightarrow M$ will be denoted by $\Gamma(Q)$, and the set of sections with compact support by $\Gamma_c(Q)$. The space $\Gamma(Q)$ has a natural convenient structure [9, 30.1]. If M is finite dimensional and paracompact then $\Gamma_c(Q)$ has a natural convenient structure [9, 30.4], and if $W \subset Q$ is an open subset, then $\{s \in \Gamma_c(Q) \mid s(M) \subset W\}$ is c^∞ -open in $\Gamma_c(Q)$ [9, 30.10]. If $p : Q \rightarrow M$ is a finite dimensional vector bundle over a finite dimensional paracompact base, then the c^∞ -topology on $\Gamma_c(Q)$ is induced from the Whitney C^∞ -topology on $C^\infty(M, Q)$ (which coincides with the Fréchet topology; see Sec. 2.4) [9, 41.13].

We will be interested primarily in sets that can be locally modeled on spaces of sections of vector bundles. To understand notions of smoothness on such sets, it is enough to identify the smooth curves.

2.31 Curves in Spaces of Sections

Let $Q \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle. A curve $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma(Q)$ is smooth if and only if the associated map $c^\wedge : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow Q$ defined by $c^\wedge(t, x) := c(t)(x)$ is smooth [9, 30.8].

2.32 Example: Manifold of Mappings

Let M and N be finite dimensional manifolds. The space $C^\infty(M, N)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on spaces $\Gamma_c(f^*TN)$ of compactly supported sections of the pullback bundle along $f \in C^\infty(M, N)$ [9, 42.1]. The charts can be described as follows. Choose a Riemannian metric on N and let $\exp : TN \supset U \rightarrow N$ be the smooth exponential map of this metric. If $\pi_N : TN \rightarrow N$ denotes the projection of the tangent bundle, then we can assume that $(\pi_N, \exp) : U \rightarrow N \times N$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open neighbourhood

W of the diagonal. For $f, g \in C^\infty(M, N)$, we will write $f \sim g$ if f and g differ only on a compact set in M . The charts (V_f, ψ_f) indexed by $f \in C^\infty(M, N)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_f &:= \{g \in C^\infty(M, N) \mid g \sim f, (f(x), g(x)) \in W \text{ for all } x \in M\} \\ \psi_f &: V_f \rightarrow \Gamma_c(f^*TN) \\ \psi_f(g)(x) &:= \left(x, \exp_{f(x)}^{-1}(g(x))\right) = \left(x, ((\pi_N, \exp)^{-1}(f(x), g(x)))\right) \end{aligned}$$

2.33 Vector Fields

Let M be a smooth manifold. A *vector field* X on M is a smooth section of the tangent bundle TM [9, 32.1]. The set of all vector fields on M will be denoted by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$. Each vector field X specifies a map

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \\ f &\mapsto Xf \\ Xf(x) &:= df(x)X(x). \end{aligned}$$

2.34 The Lie Bracket

Let X and Y be smooth vector fields on a manifold M . The *Lie bracket* $[X, Y]$ of X and Y is the vector field on M given by the expression

$$[X, Y] = dY(X) - dX(Y)$$

The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ defines a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ [9, 32.5, 32.8].

2.35 Differential Forms

A *differential k -form* on a manifold M is a section $\omega \in \Gamma(L_{\text{alt}}^k(TM, M \times \mathbb{R}))$. The set of all differential k -forms will be denoted by $\Omega^k(M)$ [9, 33.22].

2.36 The Pullback of a Differential Form

Let $f : N \rightarrow M$ be a smooth mapping and $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ be a differential k -form on M . The *pullback* $f^*\omega \in \Omega^k(N)$ of ω is defined by

$$f^*\omega_x(X_1, \dots, X_k) := \omega_{f(x)}(df(x)X_1, \dots, df(x)X_k)$$

[9, 33.9].

2.37 The Insertion Operator

For a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ on a manifold M , the *insertion operator* $\iota(X)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(X) : \Gamma(L_{\text{alt}}^k(TM, M \times \mathbb{R})) &\rightarrow \Gamma(L_{\text{alt}}^{k-1}(TM, M \times \mathbb{R})) \\ (\iota(X)\omega)(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}) &:= \omega(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

[9, 33.10].

2.38 The Exterior Derivative

Let $U \subset E$ be C^∞ -open and $\omega \in C^\infty(U, L_{\text{alt}}^k(E, \mathbb{R}))$ be a differential k -form on U . The *exterior derivative* $d\omega \in C^\infty(U, L_{\text{alt}}^{k+1}(E, \mathbb{R}))$ of ω is the skew symmetrization of the differential $d\omega$:

$$(d\omega)(x)(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i d\omega(x)(X_i) \left(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k \right).$$

If ω is a differential k -form on a manifold M , then this local formula defines a differential $k+1$ -form $d\omega$ on M . The above local expression for the exterior derivative induces the global formula

$$\begin{aligned} (d\omega)(x)(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i X_i \left(\omega \circ \left(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega \circ \left([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $X_0, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ [9, 33.12].

2.39 Lie Groups

A *Lie group* G is a smooth manifold and a group such that multiplication $\mu : G \times G \rightarrow G$ and inversion $\nu : G \rightarrow G$ are smooth. The *Lie algebra* of a Lie group G is the tangent space to G at the identity e , which inherits a Lie bracket from the identification with left invariant vector fields. The Lie algebra will be denoted either by \mathfrak{g} or $\text{Lie}(G)$ [9, 36.1, 36.3].

2.40 Basic Differential Forms

Let $l : G \times M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth action of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold M . Let $l_g : M \rightarrow M$ denote the left multiplication mapping $x \mapsto g \cdot x$. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ the *generating vector field* ξ_M is defined by $\xi_M(x) := dl_{(e,x)}(\xi, 0)$. A differential k -form $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ on M is *G -invariant* if $l_g^*\omega = \omega$ for all $g \in G$ and *horizontal* if $\omega(\xi_M, \cdot) = 0 \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. A differential k -form $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ is *basic* if it is both G -invariant and horizontal. The set of all basic k -forms on M will be denoted by $\Omega_{\text{hor}}^k(M)^G$ [9, 37.23].

2.41 Principal G Bundles

Let G be a Lie group. A *principal G bundle* (P, p, M, G) is a fiber bundle with standard fiber G whose transition functions act on G via left translation: There is a family of smooth mappings $\{\phi_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow G\}$ that satisfy the cocycle condition $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(x)\phi_{\beta\gamma}(x) = \phi_{\alpha\gamma}(x)$ for $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$, $\phi_{\alpha\alpha}(x) = e$ (the identity in G), and $\psi_{\alpha\beta}(x, g) = \phi_{\alpha\beta}(x) \cdot g$ [9, 37.7, 37.8]. The pull back through the projection $p^* : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{hor}}^k(P)^G$ is an isomorphism [9, 37.30].

2.42 Diffeomorphism Groups

The following diffeomorphism groups are examples of infinite dimensional Lie groups:

- the group $\text{Diff}(M)$ of diffeomorphisms of a finite dimensional paracompact manifold M ; the Lie algebra is the space $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ of compactly supported vector fields on M . In fact, $\text{Diff}(M)$ is open in $C^\infty(M, M)$ so the tangent space at each $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is equal to $\Gamma(f^*TM)$ [9, 43.1].
- the group $\text{Symp}(M)$ of symplectomorphisms of a (finite dimensional) symplectic manifold (M, σ) ; the Lie algebra is the space $\mathfrak{X}_c^{\text{symp}}(M)$ of compactly supported symplectic vector fields [9, 43.12]. ($\phi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is a symplectomorphism if $\phi^*\sigma = \sigma$; $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a symplectic vector field if $\mathcal{L}_X\sigma = 0$.)
- the group $\text{Ham}(M)$ of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of a (finite dimensional) symplectic manifold (M, σ) ; the Lie algebra is the space $\mathfrak{X}_c^{\text{ham}}(M)$ of compactly supported Hamiltonian vector fields [9, 43.12, 43.13]. ($X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a Hamiltonian vector field if $\iota(X)\sigma$ is exact; $\phi \in \text{Symp}(M)$ is a Hamiltonian symplectomorphism if it is the time 1 flow of a time dependent Hamiltonian vector field.)

2.43 Remark

In heuristic approaches to infinite dimensional Lie groups, the Lie algebra to the group $\text{Diff}(M)$ of diffeomorphisms of a finite dimensional manifold M is often taken to be the space of smooth vector fields on M . In the convenient setup, the Lie algebra of $\text{Diff}(M)$ is given by the space $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ of compactly supported vector fields on M because of the choice of charts.

2.44 The Adjoint Representation

Let $GL(E)$ denote the set of bounded invertible linear transformations of E . Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Every element $g \in G$ defines an automorphism $\psi_g : G \rightarrow G$ by conjugation: $\psi_g(a) := gag^{-1}$. The *adjoint representation of G* denoted by $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}) \subset L(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is given by $\text{Ad}(g) := d_e\psi_g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ for $g \in G$. The *adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g}* denoted by $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) := L(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is given by $\text{ad} := d_e\text{Ad}$ [9, 36.10].

2.45 Weak Symplectic Manifolds

A 2-form $\sigma \in \Omega^2(M)$ on a manifold M is called a *weak symplectic structure on M* if it is closed ($d\sigma = 0$) and if its associated vector bundle homomorphism $\sigma^\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ is injective. This last condition is equivalent to weak nondegeneracy: for every $x \in M$ and $v \in T_xM$ there exists a $w \in T_xM$ such that $\sigma_x(v, w) \neq 0$. If $\sigma^\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ is invertible with a smooth inverse then σ is called a *strong symplectic structure on M* [9, 48.2]. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ will be called *Hamiltonian* if $\iota(X)\sigma = dH$ for some $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, and the function H will be called a *Hamiltonian of X* .

Chapter 3

Isodrastic Foliations

In this chapter we will describe our approach towards describing Lagrangian submanifolds as Lagrangian embeddings modulo reparametrizations. We will show that the space of Lagrangian embeddings into a fixed symplectic manifold (M, ω) is a smooth manifold which has a natural foliation \mathcal{E} . Moreover, the space of Lagrangian embeddings of the form $L_0 \hookrightarrow M$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle over the space of Lagrangian submanifolds in M . The leaves of \mathcal{E} will turn out to be orbits of the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms under the natural left composition action. Meanwhile the foliation \mathcal{E} descends to a foliation \mathcal{F} of the space of Lagrangian submanifolds in M . In all of these constructions, the key will be to use Weinstein's Lagrangian Neighbourhood Theorem which says that any symplectic manifold near a Lagrangian L looks like a neighbourhood of the zero section in the cotangent bundle T^*L .

Let (M, ω) be a finite dimensional symplectic manifold. Let L_0 be an oriented, compact manifold of half the dimension of M .

3.1 Notation

By $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ we will denote the set of Lagrangian embeddings of L_0 into (M, ω) . That is,

$$\text{Lag}(L_0, M) := \{i \in \text{Emb}(L_0, M) \mid i^*\omega = 0\}.$$

Let $Z^k(N)$ and $B^k(N)$ denote the set of closed and exact k -forms respectively on a manifold N . That is,

$$Z^k(N) := \text{Ker}(d) \cap \Omega^k(N)$$

$$B^k(N) := \text{Im}(d) \cap \Omega^k(N).$$

We will show that $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ is a smooth manifold by defining an atlas of charts using the following Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem of Weinstein:

3.2 Theorem

(see Th. 6.1 and Cor. 6.2 in [21]) *Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Then there exists an open neighbourhood U of L and a symplectic embedding $\psi : U \rightarrow T^*L$ such that $\psi|_L = 1_L$ and $\psi^*\omega_{T^*L} = \omega$.*

3.3 Proposition

$\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on the space $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$.

Proof. The idea of the proof is as follows. By Th. 3.2, Lagrangians near a given Lagrangian can be identified with the graphs of closed 1-forms in T^*L_0 . It follows that Lagrangian embeddings near a given one can be identified with closed 1-forms viewed as maps from L_0 to T^*L_0 precomposed with diffeomorphisms of L_0 .

Given $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$, by Th. 3.2 the embedding i can be extended on a neighbourhood W_i of the zero section in T^*L_0 to a symplectic embedding $\lambda_i : W_i \rightarrow M$. Let V_e be

a chart neighbourhood of the identity map $e \in \text{Diff}(L_0)$ and denote by $\psi_e : V_e \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ the corresponding chart as part of an atlas on $\text{Diff}(L_0)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &:= \{l \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M) \mid l = \lambda_i \circ \alpha \circ a, \alpha \in Z^1(L_0), \\ &\quad \alpha(L_0) \subset W_i, a \in V_e\}, \\ \varphi_i &: U_i \rightarrow Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0), \\ \varphi_i(l) &:= (\alpha, \psi_e(a)). \end{aligned}$$

The space $\mathfrak{X}(L_0) = \Gamma(TL_0)$ is convenient by Sec. 2.11. The space $Z^1(L_0)$ is a c^∞ -closed subspace of $\Gamma(T^*L_0)$ since it is the kernel of the continuous map $d : \Gamma(T^*L_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*L_0)$, and therefore it is convenient. The set $\{\alpha \in Z^1(L_0) \mid \alpha(L_0) \subset W_i\}$ is c^∞ -open in $Z^1(L_0)$ (see Sec. 2.30). Thus φ_i is a bijection of U_i onto a c^∞ -open subset of $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$.

The collection $(U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)}$ defines a smooth atlas on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$, since the chart changings φ_{ik} are smooth by smoothness of the exponential map, by smoothness of each symplectic embedding λ_i , and by Sec. 2.31. \square

To explicitly describe the tangent space to $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ at a point i , we will make use of the following notation.

3.4 Notation

If S_0 is a manifold (not necessarily of half the dimension of M), then for every $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ we can view the tangent bundle TS_0 as a subbundle of the pullback bundle i^*TM . The symplectic form ω defines a vector bundle isomorphism $\omega^\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$, which induces a vector space isomorphism $\mu : \Gamma(i^*TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(i^*T^*M)$. There is a natural surjection from the pullback bundle i^*T^*M onto the cotangent bundle T^*S_0 . This induces a linear map $\nu : \Gamma(i^*T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*S_0) = \Omega^1(S_0)$. For $X \in \Gamma(i^*TM)$, let $\alpha_X \in \Omega^1(S_0)$ denote the image of X under the composition $\nu \circ \mu$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
X &\mapsto \alpha_X \in \Omega^1(S_0) \\
\alpha_X(\xi) &:= \omega_{i(x)}(X(x), di(x) \cdot \xi) \quad \forall \xi \in T_x S_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) &:= \{X \in \Gamma(i^*TM) \mid \alpha_X \in Z^1(S_0)\}, \\
\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) &:= \{X \in \Gamma(i^*TM) \mid \alpha_X \in B^1(S_0)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

3.5 Remark

If $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ then $\alpha_Y = 0$ for all $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ since such embeddings are Lagrangian.

3.6 Proposition

For each $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L_0) \xrightarrow{f_1} \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) \xrightarrow{f_2} Z^1(L_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

where $f_1(Y) = di(Y)$ and $f_2(X) = \alpha_X$, is a $\text{Diff}(L_0)$ -equivariant exact sequence.

Proof. If $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ and $X \in \Gamma(i^*TM)$, then $\alpha_X = 0$ if and only if X is tangent to $i(L_0)$ since i is Lagrangian. Thus $\text{Ker}(f_2) = \text{Im}(f_1)$. To check that f_2 is onto, let $\alpha \in Z^1(L_0)$. By Th. 3.2, it is enough to prove the assertion when $M = T^*L_0$ and i is the zero section inclusion. Let $\pi : T^*L_0 \rightarrow L_0$ denote the canonical projection. Define $Z_\alpha \in \mathfrak{X}(T^*L_0)$ by

$$\iota(Z_\alpha)\omega := \pi^*\alpha.$$

Then $\alpha_{Z_\alpha \circ i} = \alpha$, which means f_2 is surjective and so the sequence is exact. \square

3.7 Remark

Each symplectic embedding $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$ defines a splitting map $s_i : Z^1(L_0) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ of the exact sequence (3.1) given by

$$s_i(\alpha) := d\lambda_i \circ Z_\alpha|_{L_0}$$

where $\iota(Z_\alpha)\omega_{T^*L_0} = \pi^*\alpha$. Under this splitting $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) = Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$.

3.8 Proposition

For each $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$,

$$T_i\text{Lag}(L_0, M) = \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM).$$

Proof. Let j_t be a smooth curve in $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ such that $j_0 = i$. For each $x \in L_0$ we have that $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} j_t(x) \in T_{i(x)}M$, which means $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} j_t \in \Gamma(i^*TM)$. The fact that $j_t^*\omega = 0$ implies that $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} j_t \in \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$. If (U_l, φ_l) is a chart corresponding to a symplectic embedding $\lambda_l : T^*L_0 \supset W_l \rightarrow M$ with $i \in U_l$, then the derivative $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \varphi_l(j_t)$ in $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0) \subset T(T^*L_0)|_{L_0}$ is canonically identified with $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} j_t$ via

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \varphi_l(j_t) = \alpha \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} j_t + d\pi \circ d\lambda_l^{-1} \circ \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} j_t.$$

So, $T_i\text{Lag}(L_0, M) \subset \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$.

Conversely, suppose that $X \in \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ and denote by $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$ the symplectic embedding associated to the chart (U_i, φ_i) . Define a smooth curve in $\text{Symp}(T^*L_0)$ by $\psi_t(x, p) := (x, p + t\alpha_X(x))$ and a vector field on L_0 by $Y := d\pi \circ d\lambda_i^{-1} \circ X$. If a_t denotes the flow generated by Y on L_0 and \mathbf{O} denotes the zero section, then $d\lambda_i \circ \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} (\psi_t \circ \mathbf{O} \circ a_t) = X$. Thus $X \in \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ corresponds to the class $[\lambda_i \circ \psi_t \circ \mathbf{O} \circ a_t]$ in $T_i\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$. \square

The set $\text{Ham}(M)$ of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms is a subgroup of $\text{Symp}(M)$ (see e.g. [12]). So left composition defines an action of $\text{Ham}(M)$ on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ via

$$\phi \cdot i := \phi \circ i.$$

3.9 Proposition

The spaces $\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \subset \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ and charts (U_i, φ_i) for $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ define a foliation \mathcal{E} of $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$, whose leaves consist of $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{E}_i := \Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM)$ for $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$. We will first show that $\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM)$ is a c^∞ -closed (i.e. convenient) subspace of $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ for all $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$. If $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ is identified with $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ via the splitting map $s_i : Z^1(L_0) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$, then to show that $\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM)$ is c^∞ -closed in $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ it is enough to show that $F := B^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ is c^∞ -closed in $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$. Let $c_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$ be a smooth curve in F . If $c_1(t) = (df_t, Y_t)$ then $p_1 : t \mapsto f_t$ can be chosen to be a smooth curve in $C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$. Since $C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$ is convenient, there exists a smooth curve $p_2 : t \mapsto g_t$ in $C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$ such that $p_2' = p_1$. Similarly for the curve $q_1 : t \mapsto Y_t$ there exists a smooth curve $q_2 : t \mapsto Z_t$ in $\mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ such that $q_2' = q_1$. Then $c_2(t) := (dg_t, Z_t)$ is an antiderivative of c_1 , i.e. $c_2' = c_1$. This means F is a convenient subspace.

We will next show that the $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits in $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ are maximal integral manifolds of $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_i\}$. The tangent vectors to a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit at a point $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ are of the form $X_H \circ i$ where X_H is a Hamiltonian vector field on M . Since $\alpha_{X_H \circ i} = i^*dH$ it follows that $T_i(\text{Ham}(M) \cdot i) \subset \mathcal{E}_i$. Conversely, if $X \in \mathcal{E}_i$ then there exists a Hamiltonian vector field X_H defined on a neighbourhood of $i(L_0)$ satisfying $X = X_H \circ i$. By multiplying H by a cutoff function which is equal to 1 near $i(L_0)$ we may assume that X_H is defined on all of M . It follows that $\mathcal{E}_i \subset T_i(\text{Ham}(M) \cdot i)$. So $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits are integral manifolds. To show they are maximal, we first consider the case when $M = T^*L_0$. Let $i : L_0 \hookrightarrow T^*L_0$ denote the zero section inclusion and (U_i, φ_i) the corresponding chart

on $\text{Lag}(L_0, T^*L_0)$. Let j_t be a smooth curve in an integral manifold N contained in U_i . For every t , $\frac{d}{dt}j_t \in \Gamma_{\text{exact}}(j_t^*T(T^*L_0))$ which means $\alpha_{\frac{d}{dt}j_t} = dh_t$ for a family of functions $h_t \in C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$. This family h_t can be chosen to be a smooth curve in $C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$. Since j_t is contained in U_i , there exist smooth curves β_t in $Z^1(L_0)$ and a_t in $\text{Diff}(L_0)$ such that $j_t = \beta_t \circ a_t$. Since $\frac{d}{dt}(\beta_t \circ a_t) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\beta_t\right) \circ a_t + d\beta_t\left(\frac{d}{dt}a_t\right)$, it follows that $-a_t^*\frac{d}{dt}\beta_t = dh_t$ for all t . Thus, $\beta_t = \psi_t \circ \beta_0$ where $\psi_t(x, p) = \left(x, p - \left(d \int_0^t h_s \circ a_s^{-1} ds\right)_x\right)$, which means β_t is contained in the $\text{Ham}(T^*L_0)$ orbit through β_0 . For each t we can write $\beta_0 \circ a_t = b_t \circ \beta_0$ where $b_t \in \text{Diff}(\text{Graph}(\beta_0))$ in such a way that b_t is a smooth curve in $\text{Diff}(\text{Graph}(\beta_0))$. Define $c_t := b_t \circ b_0^{-1}$. By means of Th. 3.2 we can identify an open neighbourhood of $\text{Graph}(\beta_0)$ with an open neighbourhood of the zero section in $T^*\text{Graph}(\beta_0)$. Under this identification, if C_t denotes the cotangent lift of c_t , i.e. $C_t(y, z) = (c_t(y), (c_t^{-1})^*z)$, then $\beta_0 \circ a_t = C_t \circ b_0 \circ \beta_0$. Since c_t is a smooth curve in $\text{Diff}(\text{Graph}(\beta_0))$ passing through the identity map, the cotangent lift C_t is a smooth curve in $\text{Ham}(T^*\text{Graph}(\beta_0))$. Thus $j_t = \beta_t \circ a_t = \psi_t \circ C_t \circ b_0 \circ \beta_0$ lies in the $\text{Ham}(T^*L_0)$ orbit through $b_0 \circ \beta_0$, which means the integral manifold N is contained in a $\text{Ham}(T^*L_0)$ orbit. For the general case when M is any symplectic manifold, the previous discussion implies that the intersection of any integral manifold with a chart neighbourhood U_i on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ lies in a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit. Thus any integral manifold containing a point $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ is contained in $\text{Ham}(M) \cdot i$, which means that such orbits are maximal integral manifolds.

Finally, we will show that the atlas $(U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)}$ consists of distinguished charts. The affine translations of F in $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ consist of elements (α, Y) which are pairwise cohomologous in the first factor, i.e. $(\alpha, Y) \in (\alpha_0, Y_0) + F$ if and only if $\alpha - \alpha_0 \in B^1(L_0)$. Let (U_i, φ_i) be a chart on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ with corresponding symplectic embedding $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$. The zero section in T^*L_0 can be deformed to the graph of any 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(L_0)$ on L_0 by taking the time 1 flow of the transformation $(x, p) \mapsto (x, p + t\alpha_x)$ of the cotangent bundle. When α is closed this transformation is symplectic; when α is exact it is a Hamiltonian symplectomorphism. So the graph of any exact form

can be obtained by deforming the zero section in T^*L_0 along a Hamiltonian vector field. Conversely, suppose that $\phi \in \text{Ham}(T^*L_0)$ is a Hamiltonian symplectomorphism and $\{\psi_t\}$ is a collection of symplectomorphisms satisfying $\psi_0 = \text{Id}$, $\psi_1 = \phi$, and $\dot{\psi}_t = X_{H_t} \circ \psi_t$ for some family of Hamiltonian vector fields X_{H_t} on T^*L_0 . If \mathbf{O} denotes the zero section, then $j_t := \varphi_i(\lambda_i \circ \psi_t \circ \mathbf{O})$ is a smooth curve in $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ with time derivative equal to $(\alpha_{d\lambda_i \circ \psi_t \circ \mathbf{O}}, d\pi \circ \dot{\psi}_t \circ \mathbf{O})$ for all t . Since $\alpha_{d\lambda_i \circ \psi_t \circ \mathbf{O}} = (\psi_t \circ \mathbf{O})^* dH_t$, the curve j_t must be contained in $B^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$, which means $\phi \circ \mathbf{O} = \psi_1 \circ \mathbf{O}$ is the graph of an exact 1-form precomposed with a diffeomorphism of L_0 . It follows that two 1-forms are cohomologous if and only if their graphs in T^*L_0 can be joined by flowing along a Hamiltonian vector field. So a curve in U_i lies in a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit if and only if it is mapped into a translation of F under φ_i . Thus inverse images of translations of F are integral manifolds of \mathcal{E} and intersections of integral manifolds with each chart neighbourhood U_i get mapped into translations of F under φ_i . \square

3.10 Definition

In the spirit of Weinstein's terminology in [23], we will call the foliation \mathcal{E} the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$. An individual leaf of \mathcal{E} will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$.

The group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ acts freely on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ via

$$a \cdot i := i \circ a^{-1}.$$

The quotient $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ is naturally identified with the set of oriented, compact Lagrangian submanifolds in M diffeomorphic to L_0 .

3.11 Notation

Set

$$\text{Lag}(M) := \text{Lag}(L_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(L_0).$$

3.12 Proposition

The set $\text{Lag}(M)$ of oriented Lagrangians in M diffeomorphic to L_0 is a smooth manifold modeled on spaces $Z^1(L)$ for $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$. The tangent spaces to $\text{Lag}(M)$ are given by

$$T_L \text{Lag}(M) = Z^1(L)$$

and for each representative $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ in the class $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$,

$$T_{[i]} \text{Lag}(M) = \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0).$$

Proof. We will first describe the manifold structure on $\text{Lag}(M)$. For each Lagrangian $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$, by Th. (3.2) there exists a symplectic embedding $\lambda_L : W_L \rightarrow T^*L$ of an open neighbourhood of L onto an open neighbourhood of the zero section in the cotangent bundle. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_L &:= \{N \in \text{Lag}(M) \mid N \subset W_L, \lambda_L(N) = \text{Graph}(\alpha), \alpha \in Z^1(L)\}, \\ \varphi_L &: U_L \rightarrow Z^1(L), \\ \varphi_L(N) &:= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The mapping φ_L maps U_L onto the set $\{\alpha \in Z^1(L) \mid \alpha(L) \subset \lambda_L(W_L)\}$ which is c^∞ -open in $Z^1(L)$. Thus the collection $(U_L, \varphi_L)_{L \in \text{Lag}(M)}$ defines a smooth atlas on $\text{Lag}(M)$ as the transition functions φ_{LN} are smooth by smoothness of the symplectic embeddings λ_L .

As for the tangent spaces, suppose that K_t is a smooth curve in $\text{Lag}(M)$ such that $K_0 = L$. If $(U_{L'}, \varphi_{L'})$ is a chart with $L \in U_{L'}$, and $\varphi_{L'}(K_t) = \text{Graph}(\alpha_t)$ for a smooth

curve α_t in $Z^1(N)$, then the derivative $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_N(K_t) \in Z^1(L')$ canonically defines an element $\beta \in Z^1(L)$ via

$$\alpha_0^* \beta := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_{L'}(K_t).$$

Thus, $T_L \text{Lag}(M) \subset Z^1(L)$. Conversely, each $\beta \in Z^1(L)$ defines a smooth curve in $\text{Symp}(T^*L)$ via $\psi_t(x, p) := (x, p + t\beta(x))$. If \mathbf{O} denotes the zero section of T^*L , then $\text{Graph}(\psi_t \circ \mathbf{O})$ is a smooth curve in $\text{Lag}(T^*L)$. If $\lambda_L : M \supset W_L \rightarrow T^*L$ is the symplectic embedding associated to the chart (U_L, φ_L) , then $c(t) := \lambda_L^{-1}(\text{Graph}(\psi_t \circ \mathbf{O}))$ is a smooth curve in $\text{Lag}(M)$ such that $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_L \circ c(t) = \beta$. So $Z^1(L) \subset T_L \text{Lag}(M)$.

We will now describe the identification of tangent spaces of $\text{Lag}(M)$ with spaces $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)/\mathfrak{X}(L_0)$. Let $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ be a representative in the class $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$. Let $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$ be the symplectic embedding chosen in the definition of the chart (U_i, φ_i) on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$, and $s_i : Z^1(L_0) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ the corresponding splitting map (see Remark 3.7). Then the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1(L) &\rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)/\mathfrak{X}(L_0) \\ \alpha &\mapsto [s_i(i^*\alpha)] \end{aligned}$$

is a vector space isomorphism. □

3.13 Proposition

The manifold $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle over $\text{Lag}(M)$.

Proof. We begin by describing a fiber bundle atlas. Let $p : \text{Lag}(L_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Lag}(M)$ denote the projection to the quotient. For $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ let $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$ be the symplectic embedding chosen in defining the chart (U_i, φ_i) on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$. Define

$$U_{[i]} := \{N \in \text{Lag}(M) \mid N = \lambda_i(\text{Graph}(\alpha)), \alpha \in Z^1(L_0), \alpha(L_0) \subset W_i\}.$$

Then $p^{-1}(U_{[i]})$ consists of all $l \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ such that $l = \lambda_i \circ \alpha \circ a$ where $\alpha \in Z^1(L_0)$, $\alpha(L_0) \subset W_i$, and $a \in \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$. Define $\psi_{[i]} : p^{-1}(U_{[i]}) \rightarrow U_{[i]} \times \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ by

$$\psi_{[i]}(\lambda_i \circ \alpha \circ a) := (\lambda_i(\text{Graph}(\alpha)), a)$$

so that the collection $(U_{[i]}, \psi_{[i]})_{i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)}$ defines a fiber bundle atlas.

If $N \in U_{[i][j]} := U_{[i]} \cap U_{[j]}$, and $\psi_{[j]}^{-1}(N, a) = \lambda_j \circ \alpha \circ a$ then

$$\psi_{[i][j]}(N, a) = (N, \pi \circ \lambda_i^{-1} \circ \lambda_j \circ \alpha \circ a).$$

So if $N \in U_{[i][j]}$ and $N = \lambda_j(\text{Graph}(\alpha))$ then define $u_{[i][j]} : U_{[i][j]} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ by

$$u_{[i][j]}(N) := \pi \circ \lambda_i^{-1} \circ \lambda_j \circ \alpha.$$

It follows that if $N \in U_{[i]} \cap U_{[j]} \cap U_{[k]}$ and $N = \lambda_j(\text{Graph}(\alpha)) = \lambda_k(\text{Graph}(\beta))$ then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{[i][j]}(N) u_{[j][k]}(N) &= \pi \circ \lambda_i^{-1} \circ \lambda_j \circ \alpha \circ \pi \circ \lambda_j^{-1} \circ \lambda_k \circ \beta \\ &= \pi \circ \lambda_i^{-1} \circ \lambda_k \circ \beta \\ &= u_{[i][k]}(N), \end{aligned}$$

$$u_{[i][i]}(N) = \text{Id}_{L_0},$$

$$\psi_{[i][j]}(N, a) = u_{[i][j]} \circ a.$$

So the fiber bundle atlas $(U_{[i]}, \psi_{[i]})_{i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)}$ and the collection of maps $u_{[i][j]} : U_{[i][j]} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ define a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ structure. \square

The group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms $\text{Ham}(M)$ acts on $\text{Lag}(M)$ via

$$\text{Ham}(M) \curvearrowright \text{Lag}(M) : \phi \cdot L := \phi(L).$$

As in the proof of Prop. 3.9, the family of subspaces $B^1(L) \subset T_L \text{Lag}(M)$ and charts (U_L, φ_L) for $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$ define a foliation \mathcal{F} on $\text{Lag}(M)$. This foliation has finite codimension since the transverse space at each Lagrangian L is modeled on $H^1(L)$.

3.14 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{F} will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Lag}(M)$, and each leaf of \mathcal{F} will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Lag}(M)$.

The foliation \mathcal{E} gives the set $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ the structure of a smooth manifold $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)^\mathcal{E}$ modeled on spaces $\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM)$ for $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$. Similarly, the foliation \mathcal{F} gives $\text{Lag}(M)$ the structure of a smooth manifold $\text{Lag}(M)^\mathcal{F}$ modeled on spaces $B^1(L)$ for $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$. As in Prop. 3.13, fiber bundle charts can be chosen to define a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle $p : \text{Lag}(L_0, M)^\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Lag}(M)^\mathcal{F}$. This bundle restricts to a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle over each connected component of $\text{Lag}(M)^\mathcal{F}$, i.e. over each isodrast in $\text{Lag}(M)$.

Chapter 4

Weighted Lagrangians

In this chapter we introduce the notion of weightings and weighted submanifolds. The set $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ of pairs (i, η) consisting of Lagrangian embeddings $i : L_0 \hookrightarrow M$ and top degree forms η that satisfy $\int_{L_0} \eta = 1$ has the smooth structure of the Cartesian product $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) \times \left\{ \eta \in \Omega^n(L_0) \mid \int_{L_0} \eta = 1 \right\}$. The foliation \mathcal{E} of $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ canonically induces a foliation \mathcal{E}_w of $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$. The space $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle, whose base can be identified with the set $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ of Lagrangians in M equipped with a top degree form of total measure 1. The foliation \mathcal{E}_w descends to a foliation \mathcal{F}_w of the base, so that $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_w}$ (cf. Sec. 2.21) is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle over $\text{Lag}_w(M)^{\mathcal{F}_w}$. On each leaf of \mathcal{E}_w we define a 2-form Ω , basic with respect to this principal group action, which descends to a weak symplectic structure on $\text{Lag}_w(M)^{\mathcal{F}_w}$. Finally, we show that the tangent spaces of $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ and of leaves of \mathcal{F}_w can be identified with the tangent space descriptions in Weinstein's original construction, and that Weinstein's symplectic structure Ω^W corresponds to our symplectic structure Ω .

4.1 Definition

A *weighting* of a compact oriented manifold L is a top degree form ρ on L satisfying $\int_L \rho = 1$. A pair (L, ρ) will be called a *weighted manifold*.

4.2 Notation

Let $\Omega_1^n(S_0)$ denote the set of n -forms on a manifold S_0 that integrate to 1 (where $n = \dim S_0$), $\Omega_0^n(S_0)$ the set of n -forms on S_0 that integrate to 0, and $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ the product $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) \times \Omega_1^n(L_0)$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1^n(S_0) &:= \left\{ \eta \in \Omega^n(S_0) \mid \int_{S_0} \eta = 1 \right\}, \\ \Omega_0^n(S_0) &:= \left\{ \vartheta \in \Omega^n(S_0) \mid \int_{S_0} \vartheta = 0 \right\}, \\ \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M) &:= \text{Lag}(L_0, M) \times \Omega_1^n(L_0).\end{aligned}$$

Integration along L_0 defines a continuous linear functional $\int_{L_0} : \Omega^n(L_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the convenient vector space $\Omega^n(L_0) = \Gamma(\wedge^n T^*L_0)$. So the kernel $\Omega_0^n(L_0)$ is a c^∞ -closed (convenient) subspace. The space $\Omega_1^n(L_0)$ is an affine translation of $\Omega_0^n(L_0)$, which means it is a smooth manifold modeled on $\Omega_0^n(L_0)$. So $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on the space $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ with the product atlas $(U_{(i,\eta)}, \varphi_{(i,\eta)})_{(i,\eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)}$. That is, if $(U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)}$ is the atlas on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ defined in Prop. 3.3 then the charts $(U_{(i,\eta)}, \varphi_{(i,\eta)})$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}U_{(i,\eta)} &:= U_i \times (\eta + \Omega_0^n(L_0)), \\ \varphi_{(i,\eta)} &: U_{(i,\eta)} \rightarrow Z^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0), \\ \varphi_{(i,\eta)}(l, \eta + \vartheta) &:= (\varphi_i(l), \vartheta) = (\alpha, Y, \vartheta).\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

This atlas and the subspace $B^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ define a foliation \mathcal{E}_w on $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$.

4.3 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{E}_w will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ and a leaf of \mathcal{E}_w will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$.

For each $(i, \eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$, the tangent space $T_{(i, \eta)}\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ equals the space $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$. Meanwhile, the tangent space to an isodrast \mathbf{H}_w in $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ at (i, η) is given by $T_{(i, \eta)}\mathbf{H}_w = \Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$. To each point $(i, \eta) \in \mathbf{H}_w$ in an isodrast we assign a skew-symmetric bilinear form on $T_{(i, \eta)}\mathbf{H}_w$ via the expression

$$\Omega_{(i, \eta)}((X_1, \vartheta_1), (X_2, \vartheta_2)) := \int_{L_0} [\omega(X_1, X_2)\eta + h_1\vartheta_2 - h_2\vartheta_1] \quad (4.2)$$

where $\alpha_{X_k} = dh_k$ for some $h_k \in C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$. This assignment does not depend on the choice of primitives h_k since the top degree forms ϑ_k integrate to 0. Equivalently, the pointwise assignment in (4.2) can be viewed as an assignment on the smooth manifold $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_w}$.

4.4 Proposition

The pointwise assignment in (4.2) on $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_w}$ defines a basic differential 2-form Ω with respect to the group action $\text{Diff}_+(L_0) \curvearrowright \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_w} : a \cdot (i, \eta) := (i \circ a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^\eta)$.*

Proof. We will first show that the assignment Ω defines a differential 2-form on each leaf \mathbf{H}_w of \mathcal{E}_w . The assignment in 4.2 defines a map $\Omega : \mathbf{H}_w \rightarrow L_{\text{alt}}^2(T\mathbf{H}_w, \mathbf{H}_w \times \mathbb{R})$. To check that this map is smooth, it is enough to check it in each chart. If $(U_{(i, \eta)}, \varphi_{(i, \eta)})$ is a chart on \mathbf{H}_w then Ω defines a map from $U_{(i, \eta)}$ to $L_{\text{alt}}^2(\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0), \mathbb{R})$ (after $B^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ has been identified with $\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \times \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ via the splitting map $s_i : Z^1(L_0) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ (see Remark 3.7)). This map is smooth if it maps smooth curves in $U_{(i, \eta)}$ to smooth curves in $L_{\text{alt}}^2(\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0), \mathbb{R})$. A curve in $L_{\text{alt}}^2(\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0), \mathbb{R})$ is smooth if and only if the associated map

$\mathbb{R} \times (\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. Thus to verify that Ω is smooth, it is enough to check the following statement: If $(M, \omega) = (T^*L_0, \omega_{T^*L_0})$, $i : L_0 \hookrightarrow T^*L_0$ denotes the zero section inclusion, $(\alpha_t \circ a_t, \eta_t)$ is a smooth curve in \mathbf{H}_w , $(X_1(t), \vartheta_1(t))$ and $(X_2(t), \vartheta_2(t))$ are smooth curves in $\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*T(T^*L_0)) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ satisfying $\alpha_{X_k(t)} = dh_k(t)$ for smooth curves $h_k(t)$ in $C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$, $Z_1(t)$ and $Z_2(t)$ are the unique time dependent vector fields on T^*L_0 satisfying $\iota(Z_k(t))\omega = \pi^*\alpha_{X_k(t)}$ for all t , $Y_k(t) := X_k(t) - Z_k(t)|_{L_0} \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$, and $s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is a smooth map, then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ t &\mapsto \int_{L_0} \left[\omega \left(Z_1(t) \circ \alpha_{s(t)} \circ a_{s(t)} + d\alpha_{s(t)} \cdot Y_1(t)_{a_{s(t)}}, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. Z_2(t) \circ \alpha_{s(t)} \circ a_{s(t)} + d\alpha_{s(t)} \cdot Y_2(t)_{a_{s(t)}} \right) \eta_{s(t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h_1(t) \vartheta_2(t) - h_2(t) \vartheta_1(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

is smooth. Since this statement follows from the smoothness of all quantities in the integral, Ω is indeed a section of $L_{\text{alt}}^2(T\mathbf{H}_w, \mathbf{H}_w \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_w$.

We will now show that Ω is basic with respect to the action of $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ on $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_w}$. If (i_t, η_t) is a smooth curve in $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_w}$ with time derivative $(X, \vartheta) \in \Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ at $t = 0$, then the tangent vector to the curve $l_a(i_t, \eta_t) = (i_t \circ a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^* \eta_t)$ at $t = 0$ is given by $(X \circ a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^* \vartheta)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} l_a^* \Omega_{(i, \eta)}((X_1, \vartheta_1), (X_2, \vartheta_2)) &= \left[\int_{L_0} \omega(X_1 \circ a^{-1}, X_2 \circ a^{-1}) (a^{-1})^* \eta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h_1 \circ a^{-1} (a^{-1})^* \vartheta_2 - h_2 \circ a^{-1} (a^{-1})^* \vartheta_1 \right] \\ &= \Omega_{(i, \eta)}((X_1, \vartheta_1), (X_2, \vartheta_2)), \end{aligned}$$

which means Ω is $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ -invariant. To check that Ω is horizontal, let $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$ be in the Lie algebra of $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$. If a_t is a smooth curve in $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ through the identity map with time derivative Y at $t = 0$, then the generating vector field at a point $(i, \eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ is given by

$$Y_{\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)}(i, \eta) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (i \circ a_t^{-1}, (a_t^{-1})^* \eta) = (-Y, -\mathcal{L}_Y \eta)$$

Since

$$\Omega_{(i,\eta)}((Y, \mathcal{L}_Y \eta), (X_2, \vartheta_2)) = \int_{L_0} [\omega(Y, X_2) \eta - h_2 \mathcal{L}_Y \eta] = 0$$

(after integrating by parts, $\int_{L_0} \mathcal{L}_Y h_2 \eta = - \int_{L_0} h_2 \mathcal{L}_Y \eta$), we conclude that Ω is also horizontal and thus basic. \square

The quotient $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ is naturally identified with the set of weighted Lagrangians. Explicitly, the identification between $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ and the set of pairs (L, ρ) is via the correspondence $[(i, \eta)] \leftrightarrow (L, \rho)$ where $L = i(L_0)$ and $i^* \rho = \eta$.

4.5 Notation

Set

$$\text{Lag}_w(M) := \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(L_0).$$

4.6 Proposition

$\text{Lag}_w(M)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on spaces $Z^1(L) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L)$ for $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$. For each representative (i, η) in the class $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)$,

$$T_{(L,\rho)} \text{Lag}_w(M) = \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^* TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L) / \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y \eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)\}.$$

Proof. For each $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)$, by Th. 3.2 there exists a symplectic embedding $\lambda_{(L,\rho)} : M \supset W_{(L,\rho)} \rightarrow T^*L$ of a neighbourhood of L onto a neighbourhood of the zero section in the cotangent bundle. If $\pi_{T^*L} : T^*L \rightarrow L$ denotes the cotangent bundle projection, then the restriction of π_{T^*L} to the graph of any 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(L)$ in T^*L

defines a diffeomorphism of that graph onto L . Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_{(L,\rho)} : &= \left\{ (N, \sigma) \in \text{Lag}_w(M) \mid N \subset W_{(L,\rho)}, \lambda_{(L,\rho)}(N) = \text{Graph}(\alpha), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \alpha \in Z^1(L), \sigma = \lambda_{(L,\rho)}^*(\pi_{T^*L|_{\text{Graph}(\alpha)}})^*(\rho + \theta), \theta \in \Omega_0^n(L) \right\}, \\ \varphi_{(L,\rho)} : & U_{(L,\rho)} \rightarrow Z^1(L) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L), \\ \varphi_{(L,\rho)}(N, \sigma) &:= (\alpha, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

All chart changings are smooth again by the smoothness of the symplectic embeddings λ_L , so the collection $(U_{(L,\rho)}, \varphi_{(L,\rho)})_{(L,\rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)}$ defines a smooth atlas on $\text{Lag}_w(M)$.

We will now describe the identification of tangent spaces of $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ with spaces $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0) / \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y \eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)\}$. Let $(i, \eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ be a representative in the class $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}(M)$. Let $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$ denote the symplectic embedding chosen in the definition of the chart (U_i, φ_i) on $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$, and $s_i : Z^1(L_0) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ the corresponding splitting map. Then the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} T_{[(i,\eta)]} \text{Lag}_w(M) &\rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) \times \Omega_0^n(L_0) / \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y \eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)\} \\ (\alpha, \theta) &\mapsto [(s_i(i^*\alpha), \theta)] \end{aligned}$$

is a vector space isomorphism. □

The canonical projection $\text{Lag}_w(M) \rightarrow \text{Lag}(M)$, which forgets the weightings, pulls back \mathcal{F} to a foliation \mathcal{F}_w on $\text{Lag}_w(M)$. That is, the collection of subspaces $\{B^1(L) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L)\}$ indexed by $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)$ and the atlas $(U_{(L,\rho)}, \varphi_{(L,\rho)})_{(L,\rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)}$ on $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ define a foliation \mathcal{F}_w on $\text{Lag}_w(M)$.

4.7 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{F}_w will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ and a leaf \mathbf{I}_w of \mathcal{F}_w will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Lag}_w(M)$.

4.8 Proposition

The smooth manifold $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}^w}$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle over $\text{Lag}_w(M)^{\mathcal{F}^w}$. The basic 2-form Ω on $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}^w}$ descends to a weak symplectic structure on $\text{Lag}_w(M)^{\mathcal{F}^w}$. Thus each isodrast in $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ is a weakly symplectic manifold.

Proof. We begin by describing a fiber bundle atlas. For $(i, \eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}^w}$, let $\lambda_{(i, \eta)} : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$ denote the symplectic embedding chosen in defining the chart $(U_{(i, \eta)}, \varphi_{(i, \eta)})$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_{[(i, \eta)]} : &= \{(N, \sigma) \in \text{Lag}_w(M) \mid N = \lambda_{(i, \eta)}(\text{Graph}(\alpha)), \\ &\alpha \in B^1(L_0), \sigma = \lambda_{(i, \eta)}^{-1} \Big|_N^* \pi^*(i^* \rho + \vartheta), \\ &\vartheta \in \Omega_0^n(L_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p : \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}^w} \rightarrow \text{Lag}_w(M)^{\mathcal{F}^w}$ denotes the projection to the quotient, then

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-1}(U_{[(i, \eta)]}) &= \{(l, \kappa) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}^w} \mid l = \lambda_{(i, \eta)} \circ \alpha \circ a, \alpha \in B^1(L_0), \\ &\alpha(L_0) \subset W_i, a \in \text{Diff}_+(L_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\psi_{[(i, \eta)]} : p^{-1}(U_{[(i, \eta)]}) \rightarrow U_{[(i, \eta)]} \times \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ by

$$\psi_{[(i, \eta)]}((\lambda_{(i, \eta)} \circ \alpha \circ a, \kappa)) := \left(\left(\lambda_{(i, \eta)}(\text{Graph}(\alpha)), \lambda_{(i, \eta)}^{-1} \Big|_N^* \pi^*(a^{-1})^* \kappa \right), a \right)$$

so that the collection $(U_{[(i, \eta)]}, \psi_{[(i, \eta)]})_{(i, \eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)}$ defines a fiber bundle atlas.

If $(N, \sigma) \in U_{[(i, \eta)][(j, \nu)]}$, and $\psi_{[(j, \nu)]}^{-1}((N, \sigma), a) = (\lambda_j \circ \alpha \circ a, \kappa)$ then

$$\psi_{[(i, \eta)][(j, \nu)]}((N, \sigma), a) = \left((N, \sigma), \pi \circ \lambda_{(i, \eta)}^{-1} \circ \lambda_{(j, \nu)} \circ \alpha \circ a \right).$$

So if $(N, \sigma) \in U_{[(i, \eta)][(j, \nu)]}$ and $N = \lambda_j(\text{Graph}(\alpha))$ then define $u_{[i][j]} : U_{[(i, \eta)][(j, \nu)]} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ by

$$u_{[i][j]}((N, \sigma)) := \pi \circ \lambda_{(i, \eta)}^{-1} \circ \lambda_{(j, \nu)} \circ \alpha.$$

It follows that if $(N, \sigma) \in U_{[(i,\eta)]} \cap U_{[(j,\nu)]} \cap U_{[(k,\mu)]}$, $N = \lambda_{(j,\nu)}(\text{Graph}(\alpha))$, and $N = \lambda_{(k,\mu)}(\text{Graph}(\beta))$ then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{[i][j]}((N, \sigma)) u_{[j][k]}((N, \sigma)) &= \pi \circ \lambda_i^{-1} \circ \lambda_j \circ \alpha \circ \pi \circ \lambda_j^{-1} \circ \lambda_k \circ \beta \\ &= \pi \circ \lambda_i^{-1} \circ \lambda_k \circ \beta \\ &= u_{[i][k]}((N, \sigma)), \end{aligned}$$

$$u_{[i][i]}((N, \sigma)) = \text{Id}_{L_0},$$

$$\psi_{[(i,\eta)][(j,\nu)]}((N, \sigma), a) = u_{[(i,\eta)][(j,\nu)]} \circ a.$$

Hence, the fiber bundle atlas $(U_{[(i,\eta)]}, \psi_{[(i,\eta)]})_{(i,\eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)}$ and the collection of maps $u_{[(i,\eta)][(j,\nu)]} : U_{[(i,\eta)][(j,\nu)]} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ define a principal $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ structure.

Since Ω defines a basic 2-form on the total space $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}^w}$, it descends to a differential 2-form (also denoted Ω) on $\text{Lag}_w(M)^{\mathcal{F}^w}$ (see Sec. 2.41).

We will now check closedness of Ω locally in a chart $(U_{(L,\rho)}, \varphi_{(L,\rho)})$. On $U_{(L,\rho)}$ tangent vectors can be identified with pairs (Z, ϑ) where $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(T^*L_0)$ is a vector field on the cotangent bundle satisfying $\iota(Z)\omega = \pi^*dh$ for $h \in C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$, and $\vartheta \in \Omega_0^n(L_0)$. Under such an identification, if (i, η) is a representative in the class $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)$, and if we identify M with T^*L_0 using the symplectic embedding $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$, then terms like $\omega(X_1, X_2)\eta = i^*[\omega(Z_1, Z_2)]\eta$ in the expression for Ω vanish since the Z_k 's are tangent to the cotangent fibers. So on $U_{(L,\rho)}$,

$$\Omega_{(N,\sigma)}((X_1, \vartheta_1), (X_2, \vartheta_2)) = \int_{L_0} (h_1\vartheta_2 - h_2\vartheta_1). \quad (4.3)$$

It follows that $d\Omega = 0$ since locally Ω does not depend on (N, σ) .

Finally, weak nondegeneracy follows from the local expression for Ω in (4.3) and the fact that the h_k 's and ϑ_k 's can be chosen independently. Indeed, if h_1 is nonzero on some open subset $V \subset L_0$, then we can take h_2 to be zero and choose ϑ_2 such that it is supported on V and $\int_{L_0} h_1\vartheta_2$ is nonzero. If ϑ_1 is nonzero on an open subset $V \subset L_0$, then we can choose ϑ_2 to be zero and choose h_2 to be supported on V so that $\int_{L_0} h_2\vartheta_1$ is nonzero. \square

$\text{Lag}_w(M)$ can also be described as the set of equivalence classes $(L, [\tilde{\rho}])$ where $\tilde{\rho}$ is an n -form on a neighbourhood of L satisfying $\int_L \tilde{\rho} = 1$, and $\tilde{\rho}_1 \sim \tilde{\rho}_2$ if and only if $\tilde{\rho}_1$ and $\tilde{\rho}_2$ have the same pullback to L . In [23] Weinstein used this approach and heuristically viewed $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ and each leaf \mathbf{I}_w of \mathcal{F}_w as infinite dimensional manifolds. He viewed tangent vectors as equivalence classes of paths in $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ and \mathbf{I}_w to give the following description of their tangent spaces and wrote down a closed, weakly nondegenerate, skew-symmetric bilinear form Ω^W on each isodrast \mathbf{I}_w :

4.9 Theorem

(See Th. 3.2 & Lemma 3.3 in [23]). *The tangent space to $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ at (L, ρ) can be identified with the set of quadruples $(L, \tilde{\rho}, X, \tilde{\theta})$, where $\tilde{\rho}$ is an n -form on a neighbourhood of L satisfying $\int_L \tilde{\rho} = 1$, X is a symplectic vector field on a neighbourhood of L , and $\tilde{\theta}$ is an n -form on a neighbourhood of L satisfying $\int_L L_X \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\theta} = 0$, subject to the following equivalence relation. $(L, \tilde{\rho}_1, X_1, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sim (L, \tilde{\rho}_2, X_2, \tilde{\theta}_2)$ if and only if the following conditions hold: (1) $\tilde{\rho}_1$ and $\tilde{\rho}_2$ have the same pullback to L ; (2) $X_1 - X_2$ is tangent to L ; (3) the pullbacks to L of $L_{X_1} \tilde{\rho}_1 + \tilde{\theta}_1$ and $L_{X_2} \tilde{\rho}_2 + \tilde{\theta}_2$ are equal.*

The tangent vectors to an isodrast \mathbf{I}_w are represented by equivalence classes $\left[(L, \tilde{\rho}, X_f, \tilde{\theta}) \right]$ where X_f is a Hamiltonian vector field on a neighbourhood of L . \mathbf{I}_w admits a closed, weakly nondegenerate, skew-symmetric bilinear form Ω^W defined by

$$\Omega_{(L, \rho)}^W(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \int_L \left[\{f_1, f_2\} \rho + f_1 (L_{X_{f_2}} \tilde{\rho}_2 + \tilde{\theta}_2) - f_2 (L_{X_{f_1}} \tilde{\rho}_1 + \tilde{\theta}_1) \right]. \quad (4.4)$$

We will show that this heuristic description of the tangent spaces and bilinear structure Ω^W due to Weinstein can be derived from the smooth structures on $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ and \mathbf{I}_w defined in Prop. 4.6 and from the weak symplectic structure Ω on \mathbf{I}_w (see (4.2)).

4.10 Notation

For $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)$ let $Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{symp}}$ denote the space of equivalence classes $\left[\left(L, \tilde{\rho}, X, \tilde{\theta} \right) \right]$ where X is a symplectic vector field defined on a neighbourhood of L . Let $Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}}$ denote the space of equivalence classes $\left[\left(L, \tilde{\rho}, X_f, \tilde{\theta} \right) \right]$ where X_f is a Hamiltonian vector field defined on a neighbourhood of L .

4.11 Proposition

For every representative $(i, \eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ in the class $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_w(M)$ there exists a vector space isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{(i,\eta)}^{\text{symp}} : T_{(L,\rho)}\text{Lag}_w(M) &= \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) \times \Omega_0^n(L_0) / \\ &\quad \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y\eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)\} \rightarrow Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{symp}} \end{aligned}$$

For every representative $(i, \eta) \in \text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$ in the class (L, ρ) in an isodrast \mathbf{I}_w , this restricts to a vector space isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{(i,\eta)}^{\text{ham}} : T_{(L,\rho)}\mathbf{I}_w &= \Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) \times \Omega_0^n(L_0) / \\ &\quad \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y\eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)\} \rightarrow Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the induced vector space identification $T_{(L,\rho)}\mathbf{I}_w \simeq Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}}$, if $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in T_{(L,\rho)}\mathbf{I}_w$ correspond to $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}}$ then $\Omega_{(L,\rho)}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \Omega_{(L,\rho)}^W(\xi_1, \xi_2)$.

Proof. For $(L, \rho) = [(i, \eta)] \in \text{Lag}_w(M)$ let $\lambda_{(i,\eta)} : T^*L_0 \supset W_{(i,\eta)} \rightarrow M$ denote the symplectic embedding chosen for the chart $(U_{(i,\eta)}, \varphi_{(i,\eta)})$ on $\text{Lag}_w(L_0, M)$. Let $[(X, \vartheta)] \in T_{(L,\rho)}\text{Lag}_w(M)$ be a tangent vector, with representative (X, ϑ) such that $d\lambda_{(i,\eta)}^{-1} \circ X$ is tangent to the cotangent fibers in T^*L_0 . Let Z_{α_X} be the unique vector field on T^*L_0 satisfying $\iota(Z_{\alpha_X})\omega_{T^*L_0} = \pi^*\alpha_X$. Set $\tilde{\rho} := \left(\lambda_{(i,\eta)}^{-1} \right)^* \pi^*i^*\rho$, $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_X} := d\lambda_{(i,\eta)}(Z_{\alpha_X})$ and

$\tilde{\vartheta} := \left(\lambda_{(i,\eta)}^{-1}\right)^* \pi^* \vartheta$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{symp}} : T_{(L,\rho)}\text{Lag}_w(M) &\rightarrow Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{symp}} \\ \tau_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{symp}}([(X, \vartheta)]) &:= \left[\left(L, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{Z}_{\alpha_X}, \tilde{\vartheta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The linear map $\tau_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{symp}}$ has an inverse given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{symp}} &\rightarrow T_{(L,\rho)}\text{Lag}_w(M) \\ \left[\left(L, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\vartheta} \right) \right] &\mapsto \left[\left(\tilde{X} \circ i, i^* \left(L_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\vartheta} \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism $\tau_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}} : T_{(L,\rho)}\mathbf{I}_w \rightarrow Q_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}}$ is described similarly.

Finally if $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in T_{(L,\rho)}\mathbf{I}_w$ with $\zeta_k = [(X_k, \vartheta_k)]$, with representatives (X_k, ϑ_k) such that $d\lambda_{(i,\eta)}^{-1} \circ X_k$ is tangent to the cotangent fibers in T^*L_0 , then $\omega(X_1, X_2) = 0$. So if $\alpha_{X_k} = dh_k$, $\tilde{h}_k := \left(\lambda_{(i,\eta)}^{-1}\right)^* \pi^* h_k$, and $\tilde{\vartheta}_k := \left(\lambda_{(i,\eta)}^{-1}\right)^* \pi^* \vartheta_k$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{(L,\rho)}^W \left(\tau_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}}(\zeta_1), \tau_{(L,\rho)}^{\text{ham}}(\zeta_2) \right) &= \int_L \left(\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{\vartheta}_2 - \tilde{h}_2 \tilde{\vartheta}_1 \right) \\ &= \int_{L_0} \left(h_1 \vartheta_2 - h_2 \vartheta_1 \right) \\ &= \Omega_{(L,\rho)}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.12 Example

Let $M = S^2$ and $L_0 = S^1$. Since S^1 is one dimensional, all embeddings are Lagrangian and all 1-forms on S^1 are closed. So $\text{Lag}(S^1, S^2) = \text{Emb}(S^1, S^2)$ and for every embedding i we have that $T_i \text{Lag}(S^1, S^2) = \Gamma(i^*TS^2)$.

For any point $[(i, \eta)] = (L, \rho)$ in a leaf \mathbf{I}_w , if j is a compatible almost complex structure on S^2 , i.e. $g(\cdot, \cdot) := \omega_{S^2}(\cdot, j\cdot)$ defines a Riemannian metric on S^2 , then for every tangent vector $\xi \in T_{[(i,\eta)]}\mathbf{I}_w$ there exists a unique representative $(X, \vartheta) \in \xi$ with $X(x) \in jT_{i(x)}L$ for every $x \in L_0$. For such choices of representatives the expression for Ω becomes

$$\Omega([(X_1, \vartheta_1)], [(X_2, \vartheta_2)]) = \int_{S^1} (h_1 \vartheta_2 - h_2 \vartheta_1)$$

where $\alpha_{X_k} = dh_k$ for $h_k \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ (because the subspaces $jT_{i(x)}L \subset T_{i(x)}S^2$ are Lagrangian).

Each ϑ_k can be written as $r_k(x) dx$ for some function r_k on S^1 . Meanwhile, any function f on S^1 has a Fourier series expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) e^{in\pi x}$$

which reduces the expression for Ω to

$$\Omega(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\widehat{h}_1(n) \widehat{\vartheta}_2(n) - \widehat{h}_2(n) \widehat{\vartheta}_1(n) \right).$$

This expression is a countably infinite version of the standard symplectic vector space structure.

4.13 Remark

Weinstein's original construction was more general than we have described so far. It included the case of Lagrangians which are neither compact nor oriented. In this case Weinstein used compactly supported densities instead of volume forms.

4.14 Example

Let $(M, \omega) = (\mathbb{R}^2, dq \wedge dp)$ and $L_0 = \mathbb{R}$. As in Ex. 4.12, \mathbb{R} is one dimensional which means $\text{Lag}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) = \text{Emb}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and for every embedding i we have that $T_i \text{Lag}(L_0, M) = \Gamma(i^*TM)$. Moreover, since $H^1(L_0) = 0$ the leaves of \mathcal{E} consist of path connected components in $\text{Emb}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$. Thus the leaves of \mathcal{F} consist of oriented one dimensional submanifolds in \mathbb{R}^2 diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

Though L_0 is not compact, we can still use compactly supported 1-forms as weightings. A leaf \mathbf{I}_w then of \mathcal{F}_w consists of isotopic one dimensional submanifolds in \mathbb{R}^2 diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} , equipped with compactly supported 1-forms. Any tangent vector $X \in T_i \text{Lag}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$

can be written in components as $X = \tilde{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \tilde{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$. Since any 1-form η on \mathbb{R} can be written as $\tilde{\eta}(x) dx$ for some function $\tilde{\eta}$, the expression for Ω on such a leaf \mathbf{I}_w becomes

$$\Omega(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{q}_1(x) \tilde{p}_2(x) \tilde{\eta} - \tilde{q}_2(x) \tilde{p}_1(x) \tilde{\eta} + \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{\vartheta}_2 - \tilde{h}_2 \tilde{\vartheta}_1 \right) dx.$$

Chapter 5

Positive Weighted Lagrangians

In this chapter we will consider an open subset $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ of $\text{Lag}_w(M)$ consisting of Lagrangians weighted with volume forms. All constructions involving not necessarily positive weightings from before carry over to this case. In particular there is a foliation \mathcal{F}_{pw} of $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ whose leaves have a weak symplectic structure. The space $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ also has a different description. By fixing a positive weighting η_0 , the space of positive weighted Lagrangians can be identified with the quotient of $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ by the group of diffeomorphisms that preserve η_0 . This identification is $\text{Ham}(M)$ equivariant and makes use of Moser's Lemma [10].

Fix L_0 to be a compact oriented manifold and (M, ω) a symplectic manifold with $\dim L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$ as before.

5.1 Notation

Let $\text{Vol}_1(S_0)$ denote the set of volume forms on a compact oriented manifold S_0 that integrate to 1 and $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)$ the product $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) \times \text{Vol}_1(L_0)$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_1(S_0) &:= \left\{ \eta \in \Omega^n(S_0) \mid \eta \text{ nowhere vanishing, } \int_{S_0} \eta = 1 \right\}, \\ \text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M) &:= \text{Lag}(L_0, M) \times \text{Vol}_1(L_0). \end{aligned}$$

For every $\eta \in \text{Vol}_1(L_0)$, there exists a c^∞ -open neighbourhood U_η of $0 \in \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ such that $\eta + U_\eta \subset \text{Vol}_1(L_0)$. Thus $\text{Vol}_1(L_0)$ is a c^∞ -open subset of the affine space $\Omega_1^n(L_0)$. It follows that $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) \times \text{Vol}_1(L_0)$ is a smooth manifold with an atlas $(U_{(i,\eta)}, \varphi_{(i,\eta)})_{(i,\eta) \in \text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)}$ given by (4.1) except that now

$$U_{(i,\eta)} = U_i \times (\eta + U_\eta).$$

The atlas $(U_{(i,\eta)}, \varphi_{(i,\eta)})_{(i,\eta) \in \text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)}$ and the subspace $B^1(L_0) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L_0) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ define a foliation \mathcal{E}_{pw} on $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)$.

5.2 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{E}_{pw} will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)$ and a leaf of \mathcal{E}_{pw} will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)$.

The quotient of $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)$ by the $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ action

$$a \cdot (i, \eta) := (i \circ a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^* \eta)$$

can be identified with the set of oriented Lagrangians in M equipped with positive weightings.

5.3 Notation

Set

$$\text{Lag}_{pw}(M) := \text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(L_0).$$

As in Prop. 4.6, the set $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ admits an atlas of charts $(U_{(L,\rho)}, \varphi_{(L,\rho)})$ indexed by $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ modeled on spaces $Z^1(L) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L)$ for $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$. For each representative (i, η) in the class $(L, \rho) \in \text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ the tangent space to $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ at (L, ρ) is equal to $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0) / \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y \eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)\}$. The canonical projection $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M) \rightarrow \text{Lag}(M) : (L, \rho) \mapsto L$ pulls back the foliation \mathcal{F} on $\text{Lag}(M)$ to a foliation \mathcal{F}_{pw} on $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$.

5.4 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{F}_{pw} will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ and a leaf of \mathcal{F}_{pw} will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$.

Using a result of Moser [10], we can describe isodrasts in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ more explicitly. Moser's Lemma states that if Λ_0 and Λ_1 are two volume forms on a compact manifold N , such that $\int_N \Lambda_0 = \int_N \Lambda_1$, then there exists an isotopy $\psi_t \in \text{Diff}_+(N)$ satisfying $\psi_1^* \Lambda_0 = \Lambda_1$. Thus a positive weighting on a Lagrangian L can be moved to any other positive weighting via an isotopy of L . Any such isotopy ψ_t can be lifted to a Hamiltonian isotopy of M in the following way. Choose a symplectic embedding $\lambda : M \supset U \rightarrow T^*L$ of a neighbourhood U of L onto a neighbourhood of the zero section in T^*L . If ψ_t^\sharp denotes the cotangent lift of ψ_t , i.e. $\psi_t^\sharp(x, p) := (\psi_t(x), (\psi_t^{-1})^* p)$, then $\tau_t := \lambda^{-1} \circ \psi_t^\sharp \circ \lambda$ extends ψ_t to a Hamiltonian isotopy of a neighbourhood of L . Let H_t be a time dependent family of Hamiltonians corresponding to τ_t . If χ is a cutoff function supported near L , and equal to 1 near L , then the family χH_t generates a Hamiltonian isotopy of M which restricts to ψ_t on L . It follows that the isodrasts in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ are equal to the $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ under the action

$$\phi \cdot [(i, \eta)] := [(\phi \circ i, \eta)] \leftrightarrow \phi \cdot (L, \rho) := (\phi(L), (\phi^{-1})^* \rho).$$

We can also describe $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ and each leaf $\mathbf{I}_w \subset \text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ in a different way. Suppose that L_0 is equipped with a fixed volume form η_0 that integrates to 1.

5.5 Notation

Let $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ denote the group of diffeomorphisms of a manifold S_0 that preserve a fixed volume form η_0 , and $\mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)$ the set of divergence free vector fields on S_0 . That

is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0) &:= \{a \in \mathrm{Diff}(S_0) \mid a^*\eta_0 = \eta_0\}, \\ \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0) &:= \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(S_0) \mid \mathcal{L}_\xi \eta_0 = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

The space $\mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ (see Th. 43.7 in [9]). Meanwhile, $\mathrm{Diff}(L_0, \eta_0)$ acts freely on $\mathrm{Lag}(L_0, M)$ via

$$a \cdot i := i \circ a^{-1}.$$

5.6 Proposition

*The quotient space $\mathrm{Lag}(L_0, M) / \mathrm{Diff}(L_0, \eta_0)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on spaces $\Gamma_{\mathrm{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$ for $i \in \mathrm{Lag}(L_0, M)$. Moreover, there exists a natural $\mathrm{Ham}(M)$ equivariant diffeomorphism $v : \mathrm{Lag}(L_0, M) / \mathrm{Diff}(L_0, \eta_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lag}_{pw}(M)$.*

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned}v : \mathrm{Lag}(L_0, M) / \mathrm{Diff}(L_0, \eta_0) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Lag}_{pw}(M) \\ [i] &\mapsto [(i, \eta_0)].\end{aligned}$$

Then v is injective since

$$[(i_1, \eta_0)] = [(i_2, \eta_0)] \Rightarrow i_2 = i_1 \circ a^{-1}, \quad (a^{-1})^* \eta_0 = \eta_0$$

for some $a \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(L_0)$. To check surjectivity suppose that $(L, \rho) = [(i, \eta)] \in \mathrm{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ is a positive weighted Lagrangian. By Moser's Lemma, since η and η_0 are volume forms on L_0 that both induce the orientation of L_0 and integrate to 1, there exists an isotopy $\psi_t \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(L_0)$ such that $\psi_1^* \eta_0 = \eta$. Thus

$$v([i \circ \psi_1^{-1}]) = [(i \circ \psi_1^{-1}, \eta_0)] = [(i, \eta)].$$

We will now describe charts into spaces $\Gamma_{\mathrm{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$. Let $i \in \mathrm{Lag}(L_0, M)$ and let $\lambda_i : T^*L_0 \supset W_i \rightarrow M$ be the symplectic embedding chosen in defining the chart

(U_i, φ_i) on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$. Given a representative X of a class $[X] \in \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$, the section $d\lambda_i^{-1} \circ X \in \Gamma(T(T^*L_0)|_{L_0})$ can be decomposed as $d\lambda_i^{-1} \circ X = Z_{\alpha_X}|_{L_0} + Y$ where $\iota(Z_{\alpha_X})\omega_{T^*L_0} = \pi^*\alpha_X$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)$. For a different choice of representative, this decomposition changes only in the component Y tangent to L_0 . Thus this decomposition defines a vector space isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 : \Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0) &\rightarrow Z^1(L_0) \oplus (\mathfrak{X}(L_0) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)) \\ [X] &\mapsto (\alpha_X, [Y]) \end{aligned}$$

where $d\lambda_i^{-1} \circ X = Z_{\alpha_X}|_{L_0} + Y$.

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2 : \mathfrak{X}(L_0) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0) &\rightarrow \Omega_0^n(L_0) \\ [Y] &\mapsto \mathcal{L}_Y \eta_0. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that ζ_2 is a vector space isomorphism. It is injective since $\mathcal{L}_{Y_1} \eta_0 = \mathcal{L}_{Y_2} \eta_0$ implies that $Y_1 - Y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$. To check surjectivity, choose a metric g_0 on L_0 such that the induced volume form $\mu(g_0)$ equals η_0 . Suppose that $\vartheta = \vartheta' \cdot \eta_0 \in \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ for $\vartheta' \in C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$. By the Hodge Decomposition Theorem (see e.g. [20]), there exists a function $h' \in C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$ (unique up to constants) such that $\Delta h' = \vartheta'$. For such an h' , it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla h'} \eta_0 = \Delta h' \cdot \eta_0 = \vartheta$.

The isomorphisms ζ_1 and ζ_2 combine to define a vector space isomorphism ζ from $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$ to $Z^1(L_0) \oplus \Omega_0^n(L_0)$. Let $E_{U_{[i]}}$ denote $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$ equipped with the convenient structure induced by this isomorphism. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_{[i]} &:= \{[l] \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0) \mid [(l, \eta_0)] = (N, \sigma) \in U_{[(i, \eta_0)]} \\ &\quad N = \lambda_{(i, \eta)}(\text{Graph}(\alpha)), \alpha \in Z^1(L_0), \\ &\quad \sigma = \lambda_{(i, \eta)}^{-1} \Big|_N^* \pi^*(i^*\rho + \vartheta), \vartheta \in \Omega_0^n(L_0)\}, \\ \varphi_{[i]} &: U_{[i]} \rightarrow E_{U_{[i]}}, \\ \varphi_{[i]}([l]) &:= \zeta^{-1}(\alpha, \vartheta), \end{aligned}$$

where $(U_{[(i,\eta_0)]}, \varphi_{[(i,\eta_0)]})$ is one of the charts on $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$. The charts $(U_{[i]}, \varphi_{[i]})$ indexed by $i \in \text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ define a smooth atlas on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$.

From the definition of the smooth structure on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) / \mathfrak{X}(L_0, \eta_0)$, it follows that the map v is a diffeomorphism. Since the $\text{Ham}(M)$ action commutes with the $\text{Diff}(L_0, \eta_0)$ action on $\text{Lag}(L_0, M)$ and with the $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ action on $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)$, we have well defined $\text{Ham}(M)$ actions on the quotients. Thus for $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M)$,

$$v(\phi \cdot [i]) = v([\phi \circ i]) = [(\phi \circ i, \eta_0)] = \phi \cdot [(i, \eta_0)] = \phi \cdot v([i])$$

which verifies the $\text{Ham}(M)$ equivariance of v . \square

As in the case of not necessarily positive weighted Lagrangians, the smooth manifold $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_{pw}}$ is the total space of a $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ bundle over $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)^{\mathcal{F}_{pw}}$. We can define a basic 2-form Ω on $\text{Lag}_{pw}(L_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_{pw}}$ by the expression

$$\Omega_{(i,\eta)}((X_1, \vartheta_1), (X_2, \vartheta_2)) := \int_{L_0} [\omega(X_1, X_2)\eta + h_1\vartheta_2 - h_2\vartheta_1] \quad (5.1)$$

where $\alpha_{X_k} = dh_k$ for $h_k \in C^\infty(L_0, \mathbb{R})$. This then descends to a weak symplectic structure (also labeled Ω) on $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)^{\mathcal{F}_{pw}}$. So in particular the isodrasts in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ are weakly symplectic manifolds.

Chapter 6

Embeddings into a Symplectic Manifold

In this chapter we will make precise a heuristic construction by Donaldson [3] of a symplectic structure and moment map for a diffeomorphism group action restricted to the space of embeddings.

Let S_0 be a fixed finite dimensional, compact, and oriented manifold equipped with a volume form η_0 , and let (M, ω) be a finite dimensional symplectic manifold. The set of embeddings $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ of S_0 into M is an open subset of the space $C^\infty(S_0, M)$ of all smooth maps. Thus $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on spaces $\Gamma(i^*TM)$ for $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$. Assign to each point $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ a skew symmetric bilinear form on $T_i\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ via the expression

$$\Omega_i^D(X_1, X_2) := \int_{S_0} \omega(X_1, X_2) \eta_0 \quad (6.1)$$

for $X_1, X_2 \in T_i\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$.

6.1 Proposition

The pointwise assignment Ω^D in (6.1) defines a weak symplectic structure on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$.

Proof. Checking smoothness amounts to checking the following statement: If $X_1(t)$ and $X_2(t)$ are smooth time dependent vector fields on M , i_t is a smooth curve in $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$, and $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ t &\mapsto \int_{S_0} \omega_{i_{s(t)}}(X_1(t) \circ i_{s(t)}, X_2(t) \circ i_{s(t)}) \eta_0 \end{aligned}$$

is smooth. This statement follows from the smoothness of all functions in the integrand.

We will now prove closedness by choosing special extensions of tangent vectors to vector fields on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$. Let $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in T_i \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ be tangent vectors. Let Z_1, Z_2 and Z_3 be vector fields defined on a neighbourhood of $i(S_0)$ in M such that $Z_k \circ i = X_k$. Let ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 be vector fields defined on the chart neighbourhood $U_i \subset \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ by the expression $\xi_k(j) := Z_k \circ j$. For these particular vector fields, Lie brackets like $[\xi_1, \xi_2]$ at a point $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ can be written in terms of the Lie bracket $[Z_1, Z_2]$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi_1, \xi_2](i) &= d\xi_2(i) \cdot \xi_1(i) - d\xi_1(i) \cdot \xi_2(i) \\ &= dZ_2 \cdot Z_1 \circ i - dZ_1 \cdot Z_2 \circ i \\ &= [Z_1, Z_2] \circ i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega_i^D(X_1, X_2, X_3) &= (d\Omega^D(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3))_i \\ &= (\xi_1 \Omega^D(\xi_2, \xi_3) + \xi_2 \Omega^D(\xi_3, \xi_1) + \xi_3 \Omega^D(\xi_1, \xi_2) \\ &\quad - \Omega^D([\xi_1, \xi_2], \xi_3) - \Omega^D([\xi_2, \xi_3], \xi_1) - \Omega^D([\xi_3, \xi_1], \xi_2))_i \\ &= \int_{S_0} d\omega(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \eta_0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by closedness of ω .

As for weak nondegeneracy, suppose that $X_1 \in \Gamma(i^*TM)$ is nonzero on a neighbourhood W of $x \in S_0$. Let j be a compatible almost complex structure on M (i.e. $g(\cdot, \cdot) := \omega(\cdot, j\cdot)$ is a Riemannian metric on M). Let χ be a positive function supported on W . Define $X_2 \in \Gamma(i^*TM)$ by $X_2(x) := \chi(i(x)) \cdot jX_1(x)$. It follows that

$$\int_{S_0} \omega(X_1, X_2) \eta_0 = \int_{S_0} (\chi \circ i) \cdot g(X_1, X_1) \eta_0 > 0.$$

□

6.2 Remark

Donaldson originally defined the above weakly symplectic structure Ω^D on the space of smooth mappings $C^\infty(S_0, M)$. When $S_0 = M$, the 2-form Ω^D restricts to a symplectic structure defined by Khesin and Lee on the open subset of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of M (relative to the orientation induced by the symplectic volume form, which is taken to be η_0 ; see Section 3 in [8]).

The Lie group $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ acts freely on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ via

$$a \cdot i := i \circ a^{-1}.$$

6.3 Definition

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . By \mathfrak{g}^* we will mean all bounded linear functionals on the convenient vector space \mathfrak{g} . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the canonical pairing between \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{g} . The *coadjoint representation* of G , $\text{Ad}^* : G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset L(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}^*)$, is defined by

$$\langle \text{Ad}_g^* \zeta, \xi \rangle := \langle \zeta, \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \xi \rangle \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

6.4 Definition

Let (M, σ) be a weakly symplectic smooth manifold. Let $G \times M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth action of a Lie group G on M , such that $l_g^* \sigma = \sigma$ for all $g \in G$. This G action is called *Hamiltonian* if there exists a G equivariant map (called the *moment map*)

$$\mu \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$$

such that for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, the function $\langle \mu, \xi \rangle \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a Hamiltonian for ξ_M :

$$d\langle \mu, \xi \rangle = \iota(\xi_M) \sigma.$$

6.5 Proposition

If $i^*[\omega]$ is the zero class in $H^2(S_0)$ for all $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ and $H^1(S_0) = 0$, then the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ action on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ is Hamiltonian.

Proof. We first note that the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ action on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ is symplectic:

$$\begin{aligned} (l_a^* \Omega^D)_i(X_1, X_2) &= \int_{S_0} \omega_{i \circ a^{-1}(x)}(X_1 \circ a^{-1}(x), X_2 \circ a^{-1}(x)) \eta_0(x) \\ &= \int_{S_0} \omega_{i(y)}(X_1(y), X_2(y)) (a^{-1})^* \eta_0(y) \\ &= \Omega_i^D(X_1, X_2). \end{aligned}$$

If $i^* \omega = dA$, define $\mu : \text{Emb}(S_0, M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)^*$ by

$$\langle \mu, \xi \rangle := \int_{S_0} A(\xi) \eta_0.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of A since $H^1(S_0) = 0$. The map μ is smooth by the usual local arguments. To check that μ is a moment map, let $X \in \Gamma(i^*TM)$ be a tangent vector at $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$. Let Z be a vector field on a neighbourhood of $i(S_0)$ satisfying $Z \circ i = X$, and suppose Z generates a flow τ_t on M . Let A_t be a smooth curve in $\Omega^1(S_0)$ satisfying $dA_t := (\tau_t \circ i)^* \omega$. Then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} dA_t = i^* \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \tau_t^* \omega = i^* \mathcal{L}_Z \omega = i^* (d \circ \iota(Z) \omega).$$

This together with $H^1(S_0) = 0$ imply that $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} A_t = i^*i(Z)\omega + dh$ for some $h \in C^\infty(S_0, \mathbb{R})$. It follows that

$$\langle d\mu(X), \xi \rangle = \int_{S_0} [\omega(X, i_*\xi) + dh(\xi)] \eta_0 = \Omega^D(X, i_*\xi)$$

which verifies the moment map condition.

Finally, μ is $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ equivariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu(l_a(i)), \xi \rangle &= \int_{S_0} (a^{-1})^* A(\xi) \eta_0 \\ &= \int_{S_0} A(da^{-1} \cdot \xi) \eta_0 \\ &= \langle \mu(i), \text{Ad}_{a^{-1}}\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{Ad}_a^* \mu(i), \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us now consider the special case when $\int_{S_0} \eta_0 = 1$, the manifold S_0 is half the dimension of M , and assume that the topological conditions $H^1(S_0) = 0$ and $[i^*\omega] = 0 \in H^2(S_0)$ in Prop. 6.5 hold so that we have a moment map μ on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$. The level surface $\mu^{-1}\{0\}$ is given by

$$\mu^{-1}\{0\} = \{i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M) \mid i^*\omega = 0\} = \text{Lag}(S_0, M).$$

The group $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}\{0\}$ in the usual way, with the quotient given by

$$\mu^{-1}\{0\} / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0) = \text{Lag}(S_0, M) / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0).$$

By Prop. 5.6, the set $\text{Lag}(S_0, M) / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on spaces $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) / \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)$ for $i \in \text{Lag}(S_0, M)$. In fact, the manifold $\text{Lag}(S_0, M)$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ bundle over $\text{Lag}(S_0, M) / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$. Since the 2-form $\Omega^D|_{\mu^{-1}\{0\}}$ is basic, it descends to a unique 2-form Ω_{red}^D on $\mu^{-1}\{0\} / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$.

Under the topological assumption that $H^1(S_0) = 0$, the subspace given by the isodrastic foliation \mathcal{F}_{pw} at each point equals the entire tangent space to $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ at that

point. The weak symplectic structure Ω on isodrasts in this case becomes well defined on all of $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$. In fact, the pull back of Ω under the diffeomorphism v in Prop. 5.6 is given by

$$(v^*\Omega)([X_1], [X_2]) = \int_{L_0} \omega(X_1, X_2) \eta_0 = \Omega_{\text{red}}^D([X_1], [X_2]).$$

In other words, the “symplectic quotient” $(\mu^{-1}\{0\}/\text{Diff}_+(S_0, \eta_0), \Omega_{\text{red}}^D)$ is symplectomorphic to $(\text{Lag}_{pw}(M), \Omega)$.

This last result is suggestive, leading one to wonder if the symplectic structure Ω^D on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ might be related to the symplectic structure Ω on isodrasts in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ via some sort of reduction procedure. In the next chapter we will make this relationship clear.

Chapter 7

Reduction of $\left(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D\right)$

In this chapter we will define a notion of reduction of weakly symplectic spaces with respect to a group action. We will then show that the image of $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits through isotropic embeddings in $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ under the projection to $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)/\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ are reductions. Moreover, these reductions are naturally symplectomorphic to the spaces of positive weighted isotropic submanifolds of M . In particular, when $\dim S_0 = \frac{1}{2}\dim M$, the particular reductions of $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ consisting of positive weighted Lagrangians are symplectomorphic to isodrasts in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$.

In Prop. 6.5, the topological assumption $H^1(S_0) = 0$ was essential in defining a moment map. Since the transverse spaces to the leaves of an isodrastic foliation become nontrivial exactly when $H^1(L_0)$ is nontrivial, we would like to remove such a topological condition on S_0 . This means we must use a notion of reduction that does not depend on having a moment map.

Let us begin by looking at the standard reduction of a finite dimensional symplectic manifold (P, σ) with respect to a Hamiltonian G action using a moment map μ . Suppose r is a regular value of μ . The tangent space at p to the level surface $\mu^{-1}\{r\}$ is equal to the set \mathcal{D}_p of all vectors $X \in T_p P$ satisfying $\sigma(X, \xi_P(p)) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. These subspaces \mathcal{D}_p are defined for any symplectic action on P , even in the absence of a moment

map, and define a distribution \mathcal{D} on P . If $G \curvearrowright P$ is a free symplectic action, then this distribution can be taken as the starting point of the “optimal reduction method” of Juan-Pablo Ortega and Tudor S. Ratiu [11]. We will not describe the details here, but simply note that for a symplectic G action $G \curvearrowright (P, \sigma)$

- the optimal reduction method yields symplectic spaces (P_ρ, σ_ρ) where $\rho \in P/G_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the pseudogroup of flows generated by Hamiltonian vector fields in $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{D}}(P)$ corresponding to G -invariant Hamiltonian functions;
- the “optimal momentum map” is given by the projection $\mathcal{J} : P \rightarrow P/G_{\mathcal{D}}$;
- each reduced symplectic space (P_ρ, σ_ρ) is the quotient of an integral manifold of \mathcal{D} (the level surface $\mathcal{J}^{-1}\{\rho\}$) by the stabilizer G_ρ at ρ under the action $G \curvearrowright P/G_{\mathcal{D}}$: $g \cdot [p] := [g \cdot p]$;
- if $G \curvearrowright P$ is a free Hamiltonian action with moment map μ , and the point $r \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of μ , and $\mu^{-1}\{r\}$ is connected, then $\mu^{-1}\{r\}$ is a $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ orbit ρ and the reduced symplectic space P_ρ coincides with the symplectic quotient $\mu^{-1}\{r\}/G_r$ (here G_r denotes the stabilizer of $r \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ with respect to the coadjoint action of G).

This suggests a way to define reduction in the infinite dimensional case, and motivates the following definition:

7.1 Definition

Let (P, σ) be a weakly symplectic smooth manifold. Let $G \curvearrowright P$ be a smooth free action of a Lie group G on P , such that $l_g^*\sigma = \sigma$ for all $g \in G$. The collection of subspaces

$$\mathcal{D}_x := \{X \in T_x M \mid \sigma_x(X, \xi_M(x)) = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

for $x \in P$ defines a distribution \mathcal{D} on P . Let $i_N : N \hookrightarrow P$ be a maximal integral manifold of \mathcal{D} and let $q : P \rightarrow P/G$ denote the projection to the orbit space. Suppose that

1. $q(N)$ is a smooth manifold,
2. there exists a unique weak symplectic structure σ_{red} on $q(N)$ such that $(q|_N)^* \sigma_{\text{red}} = i_N^* \sigma$.

Then the weakly symplectic manifold $(q(N), \sigma_{\text{red}})$ will be called a *reduction* or *symplectic quotient* of (P, σ) with respect to the G action.

7.2 Convention

From now on, we will make no topological assumptions on $i^* \omega$ or $H^1(S_0)$.

For the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ action on the symplectic manifold $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$, the subspaces \mathcal{D}_i can be described in very familiar terms:

7.3 Proposition

For every $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM) = \{X \in \Gamma(i^*TM) \mid \alpha_X \in B^1(S_0)\}. \quad (7.1)$$

Proof. The distribution \mathcal{D} on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_i := \{X \in \Gamma(i^*TM) \mid \Omega_i^D(X, \xi_{\text{Emb}(S_0, M)}(i)) = 0, \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)\}$$

for $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$. Since $\int_{S_0} dh(\xi) \eta_0 = 0$ for any function h on S_0 and all $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)$, it follows that $\{X \in \Gamma(i^*TM) \mid \alpha_X \in B^1(S_0)\} \subset \mathcal{D}_i$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{D}_i$, i.e. $\int_{S_0} \alpha_X(\xi) \eta_0 = \int_{S_0} \alpha_X \wedge \iota_\xi \eta_0 = 0$ for all divergence free ξ . If U is a coordinate neighbourhood in S_0 , $\eta_0 = \eta dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ on U , and f a function with $\text{supp}(f) \subset U$, then define the divergence free vector field $Y_{12} := \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)$. If

$\alpha_X = a_i dx_i$ on U , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_0} \alpha_X \wedge \iota_{Y_{12}} \eta_0 &= \int_U \left(a_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - a_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \int_U \left(\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_2} \right) f dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \end{aligned}$$

where we have used integration by parts. If this is to vanish for all f then $\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_2}$.

Similarly, by considering vector fields like $Y_{13} := \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right)$ it follows that

$\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_3}$, etc., which means α_X is closed.

Let g_0 be a Riemannian metric on S_0 whose volume form equals η_0 . For every $X \in \mathcal{D}_i$, since α_X is closed, there exists a function h on S_0 such that $\beta_X := \alpha_X - dh$ is harmonic. Moreover, $\int_{S_0} \beta_X(\xi) \eta_0 = 0$ for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)$. Define the vector field Y_{β_X} on S_0 by $\beta_X = g_0(Y_{\beta_X}, \cdot)$. Let V be a coordinate neighbourhood in S_0 , and suppose $\beta_X = b_i dx_i$ on V . On V ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Y_{\beta_X}} \eta_0 &= d \circ \iota_{Y_{\beta_X}} \left(\sqrt{\det g_0} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \right) \\ &= d \left(\sqrt{\det g_0} g_0^{ij} b_j (-1)^i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \right) \\ &= d * \beta_X = * \delta \beta_X = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which means $Y_{\beta_X} \in \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)$. So $0 = \int_{S_0} \beta_X(Y_{\beta_X}) \eta_0 = \int_{S_0} g_0(Y_{\beta_X}, Y_{\beta_X}) \eta_0$ implies that $\beta_X = 0$, which means $\alpha_X = dh$. \square

The group $\text{Ham}(M)$ acts freely on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ under the action

$$\text{Ham}(M) \curvearrowright \text{Emb}(S_0, M) : \quad \phi \cdot i := \phi \circ i.$$

In what follows we will show that $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits through isotropic embeddings are maximal integral manifolds of \mathcal{D} . For this purpose, we will need to make use of the following isotropic embedding theorem of Weinstein:

7.4 Theorem

(see [22]) *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $i : I \hookrightarrow M$ be an isotropic submanifold, i.e. $i^*\omega = 0$. The vector bundle $T^*I \oplus (TI^\omega/TI)$ admits a symplectic structure on a neighbourhood of the zero section, which is given by $\omega_{T^*I} + \omega_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ on the zero section. Furthermore, there exists a neighbourhood U_1 of I in M , a neighbourhood U_2 of I in $T^*I \oplus (TI^\omega/TI)$, and a symplectomorphism from U_1 to U_2 fixing I .*

7.5 Proposition

If $i \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ is an isotropic embedding, then the $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit \mathcal{N} through i is a maximal integral manifold of the distribution \mathcal{D} (see (7.1)). The restriction of Ω^D to \mathcal{N} in $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ descends to a unique weak symplectic structure Ω_{red}^D on the image $\mathcal{O} := q(\mathcal{N})$ in the orbit space under the projection $q : \text{Emb}(S_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Emb}(S_0, M)/\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$. Thus the symplectic spaces $(\mathcal{O}, \Omega_{\text{red}}^D)$ are reductions of $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ with respect to the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ action.

Proof. We will first show that a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit through an isotropic embedding is an integral manifold of \mathcal{D} . Suppose that $i : S_0 \rightarrow M$ is an isotropic embedding. By Th. 7.4, if $S = i(S_0)$ and $N = TS^\omega/TS$ denotes the symplectic normal bundle, then by choosing a symplectic embedding $\lambda : M \supset U \rightarrow T^*S \oplus N$ we can assume that $M = T^*S \oplus N$ and that i is the zero section inclusion. Let $\text{pr}_1 : T^*S \oplus N \rightarrow T^*S$ and $\text{pr}_2 : T^*S \oplus N \rightarrow N$ be the natural maps which forget the points in the symplectic normal and cotangent fibers respectively. That is, $\text{pr}_1(x, p, v) := (x, p)$ and $\text{pr}_2(x, p, v) := (x, v)$. Given $X \in \mathcal{D}_i$ with $\alpha_X = dh$ for some $h \in C^\infty(S_0, \mathbb{R})$, let $X = X_{\text{fib}} + X_{\text{tan}}$ denote the decomposition of X into components tangent to the fibers and tangent to S . Extend X_{fib} constantly along the fibers in $T^*S \oplus N$ to a vector field Z defined on a neighbourhood of the zero section. It follows that Z is a Hamiltonian vector field satisfying $\iota(Z)\omega = d(\text{pr}_1^*\pi_{T^*S}^*f + \text{pr}_2^*H_N)$ where $f \in C^\infty(S, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $i^*f = h$, and

$H_N \in C^\infty(N, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by $H_N(x, v) := \omega_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(\text{dpr}_2 \circ X(x), v)$. Since $\omega = \omega_{T^*S} + \omega_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ along the zero section, $\iota(X_{\text{tan}})\omega|_{TS} = 0$. Thus for each point x in the zero section, we have that $\iota(X_{\text{tan}})\omega$ defines a linear functional $(\iota(X_{\text{tan}})\omega)_x$ on the fiber $T_x^*S \oplus N_x$. The smooth function $H_{\text{tan}} : T^*S \oplus N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $H_{\text{tan}}(x, p, v) := (\iota(X_{\text{tan}})\omega)_x(p, v)$ is the primitive of a Hamiltonian vector field Z_{tan} satisfying $Z_{\text{tan}} \circ i = X_{\text{tan}}$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}_i \subset T_i(\text{Ham}(M) \cdot i)$. The converse inclusion follows from the fact that $\alpha_{X_H \circ i} = di^*H$ for any Hamiltonian vector field X_H . So $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits must be integral manifolds of \mathcal{D} . The fact that they must be maximal can be shown as in the proof of Prop. 3.9.

Let \mathcal{N} be a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit through an isotropic embedding i . The image $\mathcal{O} := q(\mathcal{N})$ is equal to the $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit in the quotient $\text{Emb}(S_0, M) / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ under the action $\phi \cdot [i] := [\phi \circ i]$, it consists of positive weighted isotropic submanifolds of M diffeomorphic to S_0 , and can be given a smooth manifold structure similar to that on the space of positive weighted Lagrangians. The space $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0) \cdot \mathcal{N}$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ bundle over \mathcal{O} . The pullback of Ω^D to $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0) \cdot \mathcal{N}$ defines a closed basic 2-form which descends to a closed 2-form Ω_{red}^D on \mathcal{O} . To check weak nondegeneracy, we first note that the 2-form Ω_{red}^D is given by the expression

$$\Omega_{\text{red}}^D([X_1], [X_2]) = \int_{S_0} \omega(X_1, X_2) \eta_0.$$

Again, by choosing a symplectic embedding $\lambda : M \supset U \rightarrow T^*S \oplus N$ we can assume that $M = T^*S \oplus N$ and that i is the zero section inclusion. Given $[X_2] \in \text{Ker}(\Omega_{\text{red}}^D)$, let $X_2 = X_{\text{fib}} + X_{\text{tan}}$ be the decomposition of X_2 into components tangent to the fibers and to the zero section respectively. Extend X_{fib} and X_{tan} to Hamiltonian vector fields Z_{fib} and Z_{tan} respectively as before. For $[X_1] \in T_{[i]}\mathcal{O}$, let Z_{f_1} be a Hamiltonian vector field on

$T^*S \oplus N$ such that $X_1 = Z_{f_1} \circ i$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \Omega_{\text{red}}^D([X_1], [X_2]) &= \int_{S_0} \omega(Z_{f_1}, X_2) \eta_0 \\
&= \int_{S_0} i^*[\omega(Z_{f_1}, Z_{\text{fib}})] \eta_0 + \int_{S_0} i^*[\omega(Z_{f_1}, Z_{\text{tan}})] \eta_0 \\
&= \int_{S_0} i^* \mathcal{L}_{Z_{\text{fib}}} f_1 \eta_0 + \int_{S_0} i^* \mathcal{L}_{Z_{\text{tan}}} f_1 \eta_0 \\
&= \int_{S_0} i^* \mathcal{L}_{Z_{\text{fib}}} f_1 \eta_0 + \int_{S_0} \mathcal{L}_Y(i^* f_1) \eta_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(S_0)$ and $i_* Y = Z_{\text{tan}} \circ i$. If f_1 is the pullback through the bundle projection of a function on the base S , then the first term vanishes and the second term vanishes if and only if $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(S_0, \eta_0)$. It follows that Z_{fib} must vanish which means $[X_2] = 0$.

Finally, uniqueness of Ω_{red}^D follows from the fact that the principal $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ bundle $\text{Emb}(S_0, \eta_0) \rightarrow \text{Emb}(S_0, \eta_0) / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ restricted to \mathcal{O} admits local sections. \square

Thus the image $\mathcal{O} := q(\mathcal{N})$ of a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit in $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ through an isotropic embedding is a symplectic quotient of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ with respect to the $\text{Diff}(S_0, M)$ action.

7.6 Convention

From now on we will assume that $\int_{S_0} \eta_0 = 1$.

7.7 Theorem

Suppose $\dim(S_0) = \frac{1}{2} \dim(M) = n$ and that \mathcal{N} is a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit through a Lagrangian embedding, i.e. \mathcal{N} is an isodrast in $\text{Lag}(S_0, M)$. If $\mathbf{I}_w := p(\mathcal{N} \times \text{Vol}_1(S_0))$, where $p : \text{Lag}_{pw}(S_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$, and $\mathcal{O} := q(\mathcal{N})$, then the reduction $(\mathcal{O}, \Omega_{\text{red}}^D)$ of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ with respect to the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ action is symplectomorphic to the isodrast (\mathbf{I}_w, Ω) in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$.

Proof. By Prop. 5.6, we have a $\text{Ham}(M)$ equivariant diffeomorphism

$$v : \text{Lag}(S_0, M) / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0) \rightarrow \text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$$

which induces a diffeomorphism (also labeled by v)

$$v : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_w.$$

The pull back of Ω under this map is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (v^*\Omega)_{[i]}([X_1], [X_2]) &= \Omega_{[(i, \eta_0)]}([(X_1, 0)], [(X_2, 0)]) \\ &= \int_{S_0} \omega(X_1, X_2) \eta_0 \\ &= (\Omega_{\text{red}}^D)_{[i]}([X_1], [X_2]). \end{aligned}$$

So indeed, the symplectic quotient $(\mathcal{O}, \Omega_{\text{red}}^D)$ is symplectomorphic to the isodrast (\mathbf{I}_w, Ω) . □

7.8 Remark

This last theorem clarifies the relationship between Donaldson's symplectic structure Ω^D on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ and Weinstein's symplectic structure Ω^W on isodrasts in $\text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$. Namely, the isodrasts (\mathbf{I}_w, Ω^W) can be viewed as symplectic quotients of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$. And while Donaldson's and Weinstein's constructions were done heuristically, our constructions of the symplectic spaces $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ and (\mathbf{I}_w, Ω) as well as the description of the relationship between them are rigorous.

7.9 Example

Let $(M, \omega) = (\mathbb{R}^2, dq \wedge dp)$ and $S_0 = S^1$. Since S^1 is one dimensional $\text{Lag}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2) = \text{Emb}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$. However, since $H^1(S^1)$ is nontrivial, we have nontrivial isodrasts in $\text{Emb}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$ that can be described as follows.

Let $\beta = pdq$ denote the canonical 1-form on the plane. Given a map $i \in \text{Emb}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$, the action integral $A(i)$ of i is defined as the integral of β around $i(S^1)$:

$$A(i) := \int_{S^1} i^* \beta.$$

An isotopic deformation is Hamiltonian if and only if the action integrals are constant along the deformation (see Prop. 2.1 in [23])¹. The idea is as follows. Given two nearby loops in a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , we can define the difference in their action integrals to be the integral of $-\omega$ over a cylindrical surface joining the two loops. This is well defined even when ω is not exact. Lagrangians near a given $L \in \text{Lag}(M)$ can be identified with graphs of 1-forms in T^*L by Th. 3.2. Two such graphs can be joined by a Hamiltonian deformation if and only if their corresponding 1-forms are cohomologous. If γ' is a small deformation of a loop γ in the zero section corresponding to a deformation of the zero section to a Lagrangian $L' = \text{Graph}(\alpha)$, C denotes a cylinder joining γ and γ' , and if β_{T^*L} denotes the canonical 1-form of the T^*L , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C -\omega_{T^*L} &= \int_{\gamma'} \beta_{T^*L} \\ &= \int_{\gamma} \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

So a small deformation of the zero section is the graph of an exact 1-form if and only if the difference in action integrals is 0 for all loops γ and γ' in the zero section and the deformed image respectively. It follows that two graphs of 1-forms can be joined by a Hamiltonian deformation if and only if the difference in action integrals remains constant for all loops in these Lagrangians. Returning to our example, this means that the isodrasts in $\text{Emb}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$ consist of circle embeddings that can be joined by an isotopy that preserves action integrals, i.e. $\mathbf{H} \subset \text{Emb}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$ is an isodrast if and only if it consists of isotopic circle embeddings and the map $A : \text{Emb}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ sending i to its action integral $A(i)$ is constant on \mathbf{H} .

¹In fact, this was Weinstein's original motivation for the terminology "isodrast".

On the circle we can take $\eta_0 = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$ so that $\text{Diff}(S^1, \frac{dt}{2\pi})$ consists of rigid rotations of the circle. Let $\mathcal{O} := q(\mathbf{H})$ be the image of an isodrast \mathbf{H} in the orbit space. Each representative X for a tangent vector $[X] \in T_{[i]}\mathcal{O}$ can be decomposed as $X = Z + Y$ where Z is a normal to $i(S^1)$ and Y is tangent to $i(S^1)$. It follows that if $\alpha_{X_k} = dh_k$ then the reduced symplectic structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\text{red}}^D([X_1], [X_2]) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \omega(Z_1, Y_2) dt + \omega(Y_1, Z_2) \right] dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\mathcal{L}_{Y_2} h_1 dt - \mathcal{L}_{Y_1} h_2] dt \\
&= \Omega \left(\left[\left(Z_1, -\mathcal{L}_{Y_1} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right) \right], \left[\left(Z_2, -\mathcal{L}_{Y_2} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right) \right] \right) \\
&= \Omega([X_1, 0], [X_2, 0]).
\end{aligned}$$

Chapter 8

Weighted Isotropics

The results of the last chapter suggest a way to generalize Weinstein's construction of a symplectic structure on spaces of weighted Lagrangians to spaces of weighted isotropics. In this section we will pursue this idea and thereby obtain a generalization of Th. 7.7 suggested by Prop. 7.5.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and I_0 a fixed compact oriented manifold with $\dim I_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim(M)$.

8.1 Notation

Let $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$ denote the set of isotropic embeddings of I_0 into (M, ω) . That is,

$$\text{Iso}(I_0, M) := \{i \in \text{Emb}(I_0, M) \mid i^*\omega = 0\}.$$

Similar to the discussion in Prop. 3.3, Th. 7.4 can be used to equip $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$ with a smooth manifold structure locally modeled on spaces $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM)$ for $i \in \text{Iso}(I_0, M)$. For each $i \in \text{Iso}(I_0, M)$, if $I := i(I_0)$ then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma((TI)^\omega) \xrightarrow{f_1} T_i \text{Iso}(I_0, M) \xrightarrow{f_2} Z^1(I_0) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $f_1(Z) = Z \circ i$ and $f_2(X) = \alpha_X$, is an exact sequence.

Meanwhile, the atlas on $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$ and the spaces $\Gamma_{\text{exact}}(i^*TM)$ for $i \in \text{Iso}(I_0, M)$ define a foliation \mathcal{E} of $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$, whose leaves consist of $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits under the action

$$\text{Ham}(M) \circlearrowleft \text{Iso}(I_0, M) : \quad \phi \cdot i := \phi \circ i.$$

8.2 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{E} will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$, and each leaf of \mathcal{E} will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$.

The group $\text{Diff}_+(I_0)$ acts freely on $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$ via

$$a \cdot i := i \circ a^{-1}.$$

8.3 Notation

Set

$$\text{Iso}(M) := \text{Iso}(I_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(I_0)$$

If $p : \text{Iso}(I_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Iso}(M)$ denotes the projection to the quotient, then $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(I_0)$ bundle whose base $\text{Iso}(M)$ is identified with the set of oriented isotropic submanifolds in M diffeomorphic to I_0 .

8.4 Notation

Let $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)$ denote the product $\text{Iso}(I_0, M) \times \Omega_1^k(I_0)$ (where $k = \dim I_0$).

The group $\text{Diff}_+(I_0)$ acts freely on $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)$ by

$$a \cdot (i, \eta) := (i \circ a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^* \eta).$$

8.5 Notation

Set

$$\text{Iso}_w(M) := \text{Iso}_w(I_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(I_0).$$

The space $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}_+(I_0)$ bundle, whose base $\text{Iso}_w(M)$ can be identified with the space of weighted isotropic submanifolds in M . The foliation \mathcal{E} of $\text{Iso}(I_0, M)$ induces a foliation \mathcal{E}_w of $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)$ which descends to a foliation \mathcal{F}_w of $\text{Iso}_w(M)$.

8.6 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{E}_w will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)$, and each leaf of \mathcal{E}_w will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)$. Similarly, \mathcal{F}_w will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Iso}_w(M)$, and each leaf of \mathcal{F}_w will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Iso}_w(M)$.

The pointwise assignment

$$\Omega_{(i,\eta)}((X_1, \vartheta_1), (X_2, \vartheta_2)) := \int_{I_0} [\omega(X_1, X_2)\eta + h_1\vartheta_2 - h_2\vartheta_1]$$

where $\alpha_{X_k} = dh_k$ for $h_k \in C^\infty(I_0, \mathbb{R})$ defines a basic 2-form on $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)^{\mathcal{E}_w}$. It descends to a weak symplectic structure (also labeled Ω) on $\text{Iso}_w(I_0, M)^{\mathcal{F}_w}$. Here closedness and nondegeneracy of Ω on \mathbf{I}_w follow from using the local model of isotropic submanifolds afforded by Th. 7.4, and the fact that in such a model the symplectic form along the zero section in $T^*I \oplus \Gamma(TI^\omega/TI)$ is given by $\omega_{T^*I} + \omega_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ where $\omega_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ is the standard symplectic vector space structure on the fibers of $\Gamma(TI^\omega/TI)$.

8.7 Notation

Let $\text{Iso}_{pw}(I_0, M)$ denote the product $\text{Iso}(I_0, M) \times \text{Vol}_1(I_0)$ and set

$$\text{Iso}_{pw}(M) := \text{Iso}_{pw}(I_0, M) / \text{Diff}_+(I_0).$$

$\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ is an open subset of $\text{Iso}_w(M)$, and so is a smooth manifold locally modeled on spaces $\Gamma_{\text{closed}}(i^*TM) \oplus \Omega_0^k(I_0) / \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y\eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(I_0)\}$ for $(i, \eta) \in \text{Iso}_{pw}(I_0, M)$. If I_0 is equipped with a fixed volume form η_0 satisfying $\int_{I_0} \eta_0 = 1$, then as in Prop. 5.6 there is a natural $\text{Ham}(M)$ equivariant diffeomorphism $v : \text{Iso}(I_0, M) / \text{Diff}(I_0, \eta_0) \rightarrow \text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$. This leads to the following generalization of Th. 7.7:

8.8 Theorem

Suppose that \mathcal{N} is a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit through an isotropic embedding, i.e. \mathcal{N} is an isodrast in $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$. If $\mathbf{I}_w := p(\mathcal{N} \times \text{Vol}_1(S_0))$ and $\mathcal{O} := q(\mathcal{N})$, where $p : \text{Iso}_{pw}(L_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ and $q : \text{Emb}(S_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Emb}(S_0, M) / \text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ are the projections to the quotients, then the reduction $(\mathcal{O}, \Omega_{red}^D)$ of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$ with respect to the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ action is symplectomorphic to the isodrast (\mathbf{I}_w, Ω) in $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$.

The proof is completely analogous to that of Th. 7.7.

Chapter 9

Coadjoint Orbits of $\text{Ham}(M)$

In this chapter we will extend a $\text{Ham}(M)$ moment map written down by Weinstein (see Theorem 5.1 in [23]), which maps each symplectic leaf $(\mathbf{I}_w, \Omega) \subset \text{Lag}_{pw}(M)$ onto a coadjoint orbit of a central extension of $\text{Ham}(M)$. The result will be an identification of isodrasts consisting of positive weighted isotropics with coadjoint orbits of $\text{Ham}(M)$ (when M is compact).

Suppose that (M, ω) is compact. The Lie algebra of $G = \text{Ham}(M)$ can be identified with the space

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \int_M f \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0 \right\} \simeq C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) / \mathbb{R}.$$

Each positive weighted isotropic $(I, \rho) \in \text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ defines an element of the dual \mathfrak{g}^* by integration:

$$f \mapsto \int_I f \rho.$$

9.1 Theorem

Let \mathbf{I}_w be an isodrast in $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$. Then the $\text{Ham}(M)$ action on (\mathbf{I}_w, Ω) defined by $\phi \cdot (I, \rho) := (\phi(I), (\phi^{-1})^* \rho)$ is Hamiltonian with moment map $\Phi : \mathbf{I}_w \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by

$$(I, \rho) \mapsto \left(f \mapsto \int_I f \rho \right).$$

Moreover, the map Φ is a symplectomorphism onto a coadjoint orbit in \mathfrak{g}^* equipped with the usual Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form.

Proof. We will first show that the $\text{Ham}(M)$ action is symplectic. If $(I, \rho) = [(i, \eta)]$, then the $\text{Ham}(M)$ action on \mathbf{I}_w can be written as

$$\phi \cdot [(i, \eta)] := [(\phi \circ i, \eta)].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (l_\phi^* \Omega)_{[(\phi \cdot i, \eta)]}([(X_1, \vartheta_1)], [(X_2, \vartheta_2)]) &= \int_{I_0} [\omega(\text{d}\phi \cdot X_1, \text{d}\phi \cdot X_2) \eta + h_1 \vartheta_2 - h_2 \vartheta_1] \\ &= \int_{I_0} [\omega(X_1, X_2) \eta + h_1 \vartheta_2 - h_2 \vartheta_1] \\ &= \Omega_{[(i, \eta)]}([(X_1, \vartheta_1)], [(X_2, \vartheta_2)]). \end{aligned}$$

To check the moment map condition, we first note that each tangent vector $\xi \in T_{[(i, \eta)]} \mathbf{I}_w$ has a unique representative of the form $(X, 0)$. If $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ then the generating vector field $f_{\mathbf{I}_w}$ assigns to each point $[(i, \eta)] \in \mathbf{I}_w$ the tangent vector $[(X_f \circ i, 0)]$ where X_f is the Hamiltonian vector field on M corresponding to the Hamiltonian f . If $[(X, 0)] \in T_{[(i, \eta)]} \mathbf{I}_w$ is a tangent vector to the isodrast, then choose an extension of X to a Hamiltonian vector field Z defined on a neighbourhood of $I = i(I_0)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{d}\langle \Phi, f \rangle_{[(i, \eta)]}([(X, 0)]) &= \int_{I_0} i^* \mathcal{L}_Z f \eta \\ &= \int_{I_0} i^* \omega(X_f, Z) \eta \\ &= \int_{I_0} \omega(X_f \circ i, X) \eta \\ &= \Omega_{[(i, \eta)]}(f_{\mathbf{I}_w}, [(X, 0)]). \end{aligned}$$

To check that the map $\Phi : \mathbf{I}_w \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is $\text{Ham}(M)$ equivariant we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(\phi \cdot [(i, \eta)]), f \rangle &= \int_{I_0} i^* \phi^* f \eta \\ &= \int_{I_0} i^* (f \circ \phi) \eta \\ &= \langle \Phi([(i, \eta)]), \text{Ad}_{\phi^{-1}} f \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{Ad}_{\phi}^* \Phi([(i, \eta)]), f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As for the image of Φ , since the stabilizers at points $(I, \rho) \in \mathbf{I}_w$ and $\Phi(I, \rho) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}_{(I, \rho)} \text{Ham}(M) &= \{ \phi \in \text{Ham}(M) \mid \phi(I) = I, (\phi^{-1})^* \rho = \rho \} \\ &= \text{Stab}_{\Phi(I, \rho)} \text{Ham}(M), \end{aligned}$$

the map Φ maps the isodrast \mathbf{I}_w diffeomorphically onto a coadjoint orbit. Meanwhile, if $[(X_1, 0)]$ and $[(X_2, 0)]$ are tangent vectors in $T_{[(i, \eta)]} \mathbf{I}_w$, and Z_{f_1} and Z_{f_2} are extensions of X_1 and X_2 respectively to Hamiltonian vector fields defined on a neighbourhood of $I = i(I_0)$, then the pullback under Φ of the usual Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form Ω_{KKS} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^* \Omega_{KKS})_{[(i, \eta)]}([(X_1, 0)], [(X_2, 0)]) &= - \int_{I_0} i^* \{f_1, f_2\} \eta \\ &= \int_{I_0} i^* \omega(Z_{f_1}, Z_{f_2}) \eta \\ &= \Omega_{[(i, \eta)]}([(X_1, 0)], [(X_2, 0)]). \end{aligned}$$

□

9.2 Remark

This last theorem makes rigorous the heuristic moment map written down by Weinstein. Also, it extends it to a map identifying isodrasts in the space of positive weighted isotropics with coadjoint orbits in the dual of the Lie algebra of the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms.

Chapter 10

Poisson Structures

In this chapter we will define a Poisson algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ for a subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset C^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions on a smooth manifold M . Such a manifold will be called an \mathcal{A} -Poisson manifold if there are enough Hamiltonian vector fields in a sense we will specify. We define what a leaf of such an \mathcal{A} -Poisson manifold is. We then show that reductions of $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ consisting of positive weighted isotropics are symplectic leaves of a Poisson structure.

10.1 Notation

Let $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ denote the set of functions $F : \text{Emb}(S_0, M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $i_0 \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ there exists a C^∞ -open neighbourhood U of i_0 , a map $A \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, and smooth functions $h_1, \dots, h_n \in C^\infty(W, \mathbb{R})$ defined on a neighbourhood W of $i_0(S_0)$ so that

$$F(i) = A\left(\int_{S_0} (i^* h_1) \eta_0, \dots, \int_{S_0} (i^* h_n) \eta_0\right) \quad (10.1)$$

for all $i \in U$.

Since functions in $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ locally amount to integrating functions against η_0 , the set $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ is a subalgebra of smooth functions in $C^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \mathbb{R})$.

10.2 Remark

The algebra of functions $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ was chosen with the axioms of differential structures in mind (see [13]; also cf. Sec. 3 in [2]). A *differential structure* on a topological space Q is a set $C^\infty(Q)$ of continuous functions on Q with the following properties:

1. The topology of Q is generated by sets of the form $F^{-1}(V)$ where $F \in C^\infty(Q)$ and V is an open subset of \mathbb{R} .
2. If $B \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ and $F_1, \dots, F_m \in C^\infty(Q)$ then $B(F_1, \dots, F_m) \in C^\infty(Q)$.
3. If $F : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that, for every $x \in Q$ there is an open neighbourhood U of x in Q and a function $F_U \in C^\infty(Q)$ such that $F|_U = F_U$, then $F \in C^\infty(Q)$.

A topological space Q together with a differential structure $C^\infty(Q)$ is called a *differential space*. When $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ is equipped with the topology \mathcal{T} generated by sets of the form $F^{-1}(V)$ where $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ and V is open in \mathbb{R} , then the topological space $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \mathcal{T})$ together with $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ defines a differential space.

10.3 Proposition

For every $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$, the local assignments $v_F(i) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j} X_{h_j}|_{i(S_0)} \circ i$ on each neighbourhood U define a unique vector field v_F on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ satisfying $dF = \iota(v_F)\Omega^D$.

Proof. We will first compute the exterior derivative of a function in $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ locally. Suppose that $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ can be written as in (10.1) on a C^∞ -open neighbourhood U of $i_0 \in \text{Emb}(S_0, M)$. Let $X \in T_{i_0}\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ be a tangent vector at i_0 . Choose a vector field Z defined on a neighbourhood of $i(S_0)$ such that $Z \circ i = X$.

Such a Z can be viewed as a vector field v on U (or perhaps on a c^∞ -open subset $V \subset U$), assigning $Z \circ i$ to each $i \in U$. If

$$F(i) = A \left(\int_{S_0} (i^* h_1) \eta_0, \dots, \int_{S_0} (i^* h_n) \eta_0 \right)$$

on U for some $A \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and smooth functions $h_1, \dots, h_n \in C^\infty(W, \mathbb{R})$ defined on a neighbourhood W of $i_0(S_0)$, then the pointwise exterior derivative of F in the direction of v is given by

$$dF_i(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j} \int_{S_0} (i^* \mathcal{L}_Z h_j) \eta_0.$$

It follows that the vector field $v_F \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$, defined by $v_F(i) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j} X_{h_j} \circ i$ (where X_{h_j} is the Hamiltonian vector field with Hamiltonian h_j), satisfies $dF = \iota(v_F) \Omega^D$ on U . In fact, if U_1 and U_2 are c^∞ -open neighbourhoods in $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ with nonempty intersection, and

$$\begin{aligned} F(i) &= A \left(\int_{S_0} (i^* h_1) \eta_0, \dots, \int_{S_0} (i^* h_n) \eta_0 \right) \text{ on } U_1, \\ F(i) &= B \left(\int_{S_0} (i^* g_1) \eta_0, \dots, \int_{S_0} (i^* g_m) \eta_0 \right) \text{ on } U_2, \end{aligned}$$

then on $U_1 \cap U_2$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d \left(A \left(\int_{S_0} (i^* h_1) \eta_0, \dots, \int_{S_0} (i^* h_n) \eta_0 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - B \left(\int_{S_0} (i^* g_1) \eta_0, \dots, \int_{S_0} (i^* g_m) \eta_0 \right) \right) (v) \\ &= \Omega^D \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j} X_{h_j} \circ i - \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{\partial B}{\partial z_l} X_{g_l} \circ i, v \right). \end{aligned}$$

So by the nondegeneracy of Ω^D , the local assignments $v_F(i) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j} X_{h_j} \circ i$ coincide on overlapping regions and so define a vector field v_F on $\text{Emb}(S_0, M)$ satisfying $dF = \iota(v_F) \Omega^D$. Uniqueness of the Hamiltonian vector field v_F also follows from nondegeneracy of Ω^D . \square

10.4 Definition

Let M be a smooth manifold. A subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ of smooth functions together with a Lie structure $[\cdot, \cdot]$ will be called a *Poisson algebra* if

$$[FG, H] = F[G, H] + [F, H]G.$$

In this case the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ will be called a *Poisson bracket*. If $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Poisson algebra, we will say that M is an \mathcal{A} -*Poisson manifold*.

Define a skew-symmetric bilinear structure $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\text{Emb}(S_0, M)}$ on $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ via

$$\{F, G\}_{\text{Emb}(S_0, M)} := -\Omega^D(v_F, v_G).$$

10.5 Proposition

The subalgebra $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ together with $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\text{Emb}(S_0, M)}$ is a Poisson algebra.

Proof. $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\text{Emb}(S_0, M)}$ satisfies Jacobi's identity pointwise since the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_M$ on M does, and is a derivation in the first argument for the same reason. (Here $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_M$ is defined by $\{f, g\}_M := -\omega(X_f, X_g)$ for $f, g \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$.) \square

By taking restrictions of functions in $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ to $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$ we obtain an algebra $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}(S_0, M))$ of smooth functions on $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$. For each $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}(S_0, M))$ and $i \in \text{Iso}(S_0, M)$ the vector $v_F(i)$ is tangent to $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$ at i . Since the functions in $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}(S_0, M))$ are $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ invariant, the algebra $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}(S_0, M))$ descends to an algebra of functions $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ on $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$.

10.6 Convention

In what follows, we will use the same letter F to denote a function in $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$, its restriction to $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$, as well as the corresponding map on the quotient $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ to avoid introducing more notation.

For $F, G \in C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ and $[i] \in \text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}_{\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)}([i]) &:= -\Omega_i^D(v_F(i), v_G(i)) \\ &= -(\Omega_{\text{red}}^D)_{[i]}(\text{dr}(v_F), \text{dr}(v_G)), \end{aligned}$$

where $r : \text{Iso}(S_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ is the projection to the quotient. Then $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ together with $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)}$ is a Poisson algebra, which means $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ is a $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ -Poisson manifold.

10.7 Definition

Let $(M, \mathcal{A}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ be an \mathcal{A} -Poisson manifold. Suppose that for every $F \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a unique vector field X_F on M such that $\text{d}G(X_F) = \{F, G\}$ for every $G \in \mathcal{A}$. The collection of vectors

$$\mathcal{C}_x := \{X_F(x) \in T_x M \mid F \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

at each $x \in M$ defines a distribution \mathcal{C} on M . A maximal integral manifold \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{C} will be called a *leaf* of the \mathcal{A} -Poisson manifold M .

10.8 Remark

In finite dimensions the distribution \mathcal{C} is integrable. In infinite dimensions it might be possible to prove an analogue of Frobenius' theorem for some spaces of mappings and apply it to our spaces.

10.9 Remark

The first condition in the previous definition is satisfied in $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ but not in $C_f^\infty(\text{Emb}(S_0, M))$ because of the directions generated by the $\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ action.

For each $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ we have that $\text{dr}(v_F)$ is the unique vector field on $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ satisfying $dF = \iota(\text{dr}(v_F))\Omega_{\text{red}}^D$ (uniqueness follows from the nondegeneracy of Ω_{red}^D on $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)^{\mathcal{F}_{pw}}$). It follows that if $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ then $\text{d}G(X_F) = \{F, G\}_{\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)}$ for all $G \in C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$. On the $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ -Poisson manifold $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$, the distribution \mathcal{C} is given by the collection of vectors

$$\mathcal{C}_{[i]} := \left\{ \text{dr}(v_F)([i]) \in T_{[i]}\text{Iso}_{pw}(M) \mid F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(S_0, M)) \right\}$$

at each $[i] \in \text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$.

10.10 Theorem

Suppose that \mathcal{N} is a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit through an isotropic embedding in $\text{Emb}(S_0, \eta_0)$, i.e., \mathcal{N} is an isodrast in $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$, with $\mathcal{O} := q(\mathcal{N})$ where $q : \text{Emb}(S_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Emb}(S_0, M)/\text{Diff}(S_0, \eta_0)$ is the projection to the quotient. Then the reduction $(\mathcal{O}, \Omega_{\text{red}}^D)$ is a symplectic leaf of the $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ -Poisson manifold $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$.

Proof. We will first show that any maximal integral manifold of \mathcal{C} is a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit in $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ under the action $\phi \cdot [i] := [\phi \circ i]$. The manifold $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$ is the total space of a principal $\text{Diff}(S_0, M)$ bundle over $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$. Each vector field v_F on $\text{Iso}(S_0, M)$ with Hamiltonian $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}(S_0, M))$ is locally of the form $v_F(i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j} X_{h_j} \circ i$. It follows that curves in $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$ are everywhere tangent to the distribution \mathcal{C} if and only if they belong to a $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbit. So the symplectic quotient $(\mathcal{O} := q(\mathcal{N}), \Omega_{\text{red}}^D)$ is a leaf of the $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ -Poisson manifold $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$. \square

10.11 Remark

By now we have revealed three different faces of isodrasts in $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$. Namely, isodrasts consisting of positively weighted isotropics can be identified with symplectic reductions

of $(\text{Emb}(S_0, M), \Omega^D)$, with coadjoint orbits of $\text{Ham}(M)$, and with symplectic leaves of the $C_f^\infty(\text{Iso}_{pw}(M))$ -Poisson manifold $\text{Iso}_{pw}(M)$.

Chapter 11

Momentum Weighted Lagrangians

In this chapter we will discuss a kinematic interpretation of isodrasts in $\text{Lag}(M)$ to motivate a different choice of “weightings” to obtain a symplectic structure.

Let us view points in an isodrast $\mathbf{I} \subset \text{Lag}(M)$ as configurations of a submanifold constrained to move in \mathbf{I} . What are the possible velocities? By Prop. 3.12 and the description of the isodrastic foliation \mathcal{F} , we know that the tangent bundle of \mathbf{I} can be described by

$$T\mathbf{I} = \{(L, dh) \in \mathbf{I} \times B^1(L) \mid L \in \mathbf{I}\}.$$

Thus the velocities at a configuration $L \in \mathbf{I}$ correspond to functions on L modulo constants, i.e. to elements of $C^\infty(L, \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}$. The conjugate momenta to configurations in \mathbf{I} (i.e. cotangent vectors) should be linear functionals from $C^\infty(L, \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}$ to \mathbb{R} , that are in 1-1 correspondence with $C^\infty(L, \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}$. This expectation that the cotangent fibers should be the "same size" as $C^\infty(L, \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}$ reflects a physical expectation that all momenta should be accessible by motions of particles in the configuration space, and that all such motions can be assigned momenta. In any case, integration against n -forms in $\Omega_0^n(L)$ certainly fits the above description. This motivates the following definition:

11.1 Definition

Let \mathbf{I} be an isodrast in $\text{Lag}(M)$. A *momentum weighting* of a Lagrangian $L \in \mathbf{I}$ is a top degree form χ on L satisfying $\int_L \chi = 0$. Pairs (L, χ) will be called *momentum weighted Lagrangians*.

11.2 Notation

Let $\text{Lag}_{mw}(L_0, M)$ denote the product $\text{Lag}(L_0, M) \times \Omega_0^n(L_0)$ and $\text{Lag}_{mw}(M)$ the quotient of $\text{Lag}_{mw}(L_0, M)$ by $\text{Diff}_+(L_0)$ under the action $a \cdot (i, \chi) := (i \circ a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^* \chi)$.

As in the the case of weighted Lagrangians, the canonical projection from $\text{Lag}_{mw}(M)$ to $\text{Lag}(M)$ pulls back the foliation \mathcal{F} to a foliation \mathcal{F}_{mw} on $\text{Lag}_{mw}(M)$, whose leaves \mathbf{I}_{mw} are $\text{Ham}(M)$ orbits under the action

$$\text{Ham}(M) \curvearrowright \text{Lag}_{mw}(M) : \phi \cdot [(i, \chi)] := [(\phi \circ i, \chi)].$$

11.3 Definition

The foliation \mathcal{F}_{mw} will be called the *isodrastic foliation* of $\text{Lag}_{mw}(M)$ and each leaf of \mathcal{F}_{mw} will be called an *isodrast* in $\text{Lag}_{mw}(M)$.

The tangent space to an isodrast \mathbf{I}_{mw} at a point $[(i, \chi)]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{[(i, \chi)]} \mathbf{I}_{mw} &= \{(X, \vartheta) \in \Gamma(i^*TM) \times \Omega_0^n(L_0) \mid \alpha_X \in B^1(L_0)\} \\ &\quad / \{(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y \eta) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

11.4 Theorem

The bilinear map

$$\Omega_{[(i, \chi)]}([(X_1, \vartheta_1)], [(X_2, \vartheta_2)]) := \int_{L_0} [\omega(X_1, X_2) \chi + h_1 \vartheta_2 - h_2 \vartheta_1]$$

where $\alpha_{X_k} = dh_k$, defines an exact weak symplectic structure on \mathbf{I}_{mw} satisfying $\Omega = -d\Theta$ where

$$\Theta_{[(i,\chi)]}([(X,\vartheta)]) := \int_{L_0} h\chi$$

and $\alpha_X = dh$.

Proof. We will compute the exterior derivative of Θ locally in charts. That is, by means of a symplectic embedding $\lambda_{(i,\chi)} : T^*L_0 \supset W_{(i,\chi)} \rightarrow M$ chosen in defining a chart $(U_{(i,\chi)}, \varphi_{(i,\chi)})$ on $\text{Lag}_{mw}(M)$, we can assume that $M = T^*L_0$ and that each tangent vector in $T_{[(i,\chi)]}\mathbf{I}_{mw}$ is represented by a pair (X, ϑ) where $\alpha_X \in B^1(L_0)$ and X is tangent to the cotangent fibers. Given a tangent vector $\xi = (X, \vartheta) \in T_{[(i,\chi)]}\mathbf{I}_{mw}$, we can extend it to a vector field on \mathbf{I}_{mw} (also labeled ξ) in the following way. Let Z_f denote the Hamiltonian vector field defined on T^*L_0 satisfying $\iota(Z_f)\omega = \pi^*\alpha_X$ where $\alpha_X = dh$ and $f = \pi^*h$. Define ξ to be the vector field on \mathbf{I}_{mw} that assigns $\xi([i', \nu']) = (Z_f \circ i', \vartheta)$ to nearby points $[(i', \chi')]$. So given tangent vectors ξ_1 and ξ_2 in $T_{[(i,\chi)]}\mathbf{I}_{mw}$, extend them to vector fields (also labeled ξ_1 and ξ_2) as just described. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_{[(i,\nu)]}(\xi_1, \xi_2) &= [\xi_1\Theta(\xi_2) - \xi_2\Theta(\xi_1) - \Theta([\xi_1, \xi_2])]_{[(i,\nu)]} \\ &= \int_{L_0} \left[\left(i^*L_{X_{f_1}}f_2 \right) \chi + (i^*f_2)\vartheta_1 - \left(i^*L_{X_{f_2}}f_1 \right) \chi - (i^*f_1)\vartheta_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (i^*\omega(X_{f_1}, X_{f_2}))\chi \right] \\ &= - \int_{L_0} (h_1\vartheta_2 - h_2\vartheta_1) \\ &= -\Omega_{[(i,\chi)]}(\xi_1, \xi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Nondegeneracy then follows from the fact that the h 's and ϑ 's are independent of one another. □

Chapter 12

Momentum Weighted Metrics

In this chapter we apply the kinematic approach of the last section to the space of pseudo-Riemannian metrics of fixed signature. We obtain a cotangent bundle and identify a Poisson algebra of functions on this space. These functions are Hamiltonians for Hamiltonian vector fields. In the particular case of Lorentzian metrics on a fixed 4-dimensional manifold, this gives the necessary ingredients for a geometric quantization of gravity in a vacuum.

12.1 Convention

From now on M will no longer necessarily be symplectic. Instead we will simply assume that M is a finite dimensional manifold.

12.2 Notation

Let $\text{Met}^q(M)$ denote the set of pseudo Riemannian metrics on M of signature q and $\Gamma_c(S^2T^*M)$ the set of compactly supported symmetric two tensors on M .

Then $\text{Met}^q(M)$ is a smooth manifold modeled on the space $\Gamma_c(S^2T^*M)$ (see Th. 45.13 in [9]). By identifying such metrics with their graphs in $\Gamma(L(TM, T^*M))$, we can view

$\text{Met}^q(M)$ as a collection of submanifolds. If as in Sec. 11 we view $\text{Met}^q(M)$ kinematically as the set of possible configurations of a submanifold moving in $\Gamma(L(TM, T^*M))$, then by analogy we should be able to describe the “conjugate momenta” in terms of some kind of “weightings” on the submanifolds.

To determine what these weightings should be, we first note that since the submanifolds in $\Gamma(L(TM, T^*M))$ corresponding to pseudo-Riemannian metrics in $\text{Met}^q(M)$ are graphs, any sections of bundles over such manifolds can be obtained as pullups of sections over corresponding bundles on the base M . Second, the weightings should give linear functionals on each tangent space to $\text{Met}^q(M)$ and be in 1-1 correspondence with $\Gamma_c(S^2T^*M)$. This motivates the following definition:

12.3 Definition

A *momentum weighting* of a pseudo Riemannian metric $g \in \text{Met}^q(M)$ of signature q is a symmetric two tensor $h \in \Gamma_c(S^2T^*M)$. Pairs (g, h) will be called *momentum weighted metrics*.

12.4 Notation

Let $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ denote the set of momentum weighted metrics of signature q . That is,

$$\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M) := \text{Met}^q(M) \times \Gamma_c(S^2T^*M).$$

We will show that $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ is the appropriate phase space for $\text{Met}^q(M)$. Define a 1-form Θ on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ via

$$\Theta_{(g,h)}(k, l) := \int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1}kg^{-1}h) \mu(g),$$

where $\text{Tr}(g^{-1}kg^{-1}h) = g^{jr}k_{rq}g^{qp}h_{pj}$, $\mu(g) = \sqrt{|\det g|} |dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n|$.

We will now explicitly calculate the exterior derivative of Θ . Given $(k_1, l_1), (k_2, l_2) \in T_{(g,h)}\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$, extend each (k_p, l_p) to a vector field ξ_p on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ satisfying $\xi_p((g', h')) = (k_p, l_p)$ for all (g', h') near (g, h) . Since as pointwise matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (g + tk)^{-1} &= -g^{-1}kg^{-1}, \\ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sqrt{|\det(g + tk)|} &= \sqrt{|\det g|} \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_{(g,h)}((k_1, l_1), (k_2, l_2)) &= [d\Theta(\xi_1, \xi_2)]_{(g,h)} \\ &= \int_M [-\text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}h) - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}h) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}h) \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1) + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}l_1)] \mu(g) \\ &\quad - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= \int_M [\text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}h) \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1) \\ &\quad - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}h) \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}l_1) - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}l_2)] \mu(g) \end{aligned}$$

12.5 Theorem

The 2-form $\Omega := -d\Theta$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{(g,h)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) &= \int_M [\text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}h) \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2) - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}h) \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}l_2) - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}l_1)] \mu(g) \end{aligned}$$

defines an exact symplectic structure on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$.

Proof. If k_1 is nonzero, then by taking $k_2 = 0$ we are left with $\int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}l_2) \mu(g)$.

Since

$$G_g(k_1, k_2) = \int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_1g^{-1}k_2) \mu(g)$$

is weakly nondegenerate (see Lemma 45.3 in [9]), l_2 can be chosen so that the integral does not vanish.

If $k_1 = 0$, then we are left with $-\int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1}k_2g^{-1}l_1)\mu(g)$ which means we can choose k_2 so that the integral does not vanish for the same reason. \square

12.6 Notation

For every section $r \in \Gamma_c(S^2T^*M)$, define the function

$$\begin{aligned} F_r & : \text{Met}_{mw}^q(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ F_r(g, h) & : = \int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1}rg^{-1}h)\mu(g). \end{aligned}$$

12.7 Proposition

For every $r \in \Gamma_c(S^2T^*M)$, the vector field ξ_{F_r} defined by

$$\xi_{F_r}(g, h) := (r, rg^{-1}h + hg^{-1}r - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}r) \cdot h)$$

is a Hamiltonian vector field on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ corresponding to the Hamiltonian function F_r , i.e. $\iota(\xi_{F_r})\Omega = dF_r$.

Proof. Given $(k, l) \in T_{(g,h)}\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$, extend (k, l) constantly to a vector field ξ on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ satisfying $\xi(g', h') = (k, l)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (dF_r)_{(g,h)}(\xi) & = \int_M [-\text{Tr}(g^{-1}kg^{-1}rg^{-1}h) - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}rg^{-1}kg^{-1}h) \\ & \quad + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}rg^{-1}h)\text{Tr}(g^{-1}k) + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}rg^{-1}l)]\mu(g) \\ & = \Omega_{(g,h)}(\xi_{F_r}, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

\square

12.8 Notation

The manifold topology on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ is finer than the trace of the Whitney C^∞ -topology on $\Gamma(L(TM, T^*M))$ (see Sec. 45.1 in [9]). Let $C_f^\infty(\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M))$ denote the set of functions $F : \text{Met}_{mw}^q(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $g_0 \in \text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ there exists a neighbourhood U of g_0 , a map $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and sections $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \Gamma_c(S^2T^*M)$ so that

$$F(g) = A\left(\int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1}r_1g^{-1}h)\mu(g), \dots, \int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1}r_n g^{-1}h)\mu(g)\right)$$

for all $g \in U$.

12.9 Remark

The algebra $C_f^\infty(\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M))$ contains the constant functions.

By an argument similar to that in Prop. 10.3, we have the following proposition:

12.10 Proposition

For every $F \in C_f^\infty(\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M))$, the local assignments $v_F(g) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j} \cdot X_{F_{r_j}}(g)$ on each neighbourhood U define a unique vector field v_F on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$ satisfying $dF = \iota(v_F)\Omega$.

It follows that $\{F, G\} := -\Omega(v_F, v_G)$ defines a Poisson bracket on $\text{Met}_{mw}^q(M)$.

Bibliography

- [1] Binz, E.; Fischer, H.R., *The manifold of embeddings of a closed manifold*, Proc. Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics, Clausthal 1978, Lecture Notes in Physics 139, Springer Verlag, 1981.
- [2] Cushman, R.; Sniatycki, J., *Differential Structure of Orbit Spaces*, Canadian J. Math. Vol. 53, No. 4 (2001), pp. 715-755.
- [3] Donaldson, S., *Moment Maps and Diffeomorphisms*, Asian J. Math., Vol. 3, No.1, (1999), pp. 1-16.
- [4] Donaldson, S., *Scalar Curvature and Projective Embeddings, I*, J. Differential Geometry **59** (2001), pp. 479-522.
- [5] Ebin, D., *The manifold of Riemannian metrics*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 15, American Mathematical Society, (1970), pp. 11-40.
- [6] Frölicher, A.; Kriegl, A., *Linear spaces and differentiation theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1988.
- [7] Hamilton, R. S., *The Inverse Function Theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society (N.S.) **7** (1982), no. 1, pp. 65-222.
- [8] Khesin, B.; Lee, P., *Poisson geometry and first integrals of geostrophic equations*, Physica D 237, (2008), pp. 2072-2077.

- [9] Kriegl, A.; Michor, P.W., *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [10] Moser, J., *On the volume elements on a manifold*, Trans. American Mathematical Society **120** (1965), pp. 280-296.
- [11] Ortega, J. P.; Ratiu, T. S., *The optimal momentum map*, Geometry, Mechanics, and Dynamics, Springer, New York, 2002, pp. 329-362.
- [12] Polterovich, L., *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*, Lectures in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [13] Sikorski, R., *Wstep do geometrii różniczkowej*, Biblioteka Matematyczna, Tom. 42, PWN, Warsaw, 1972.
- [14] Smolentsev, N. K., *Natural weak Riemannian structures in the space of Riemannian metrics*, (Russian.) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **35** (1994), no. 2., pp. 439-445.
- [15] Souriau, J. M., *Groupes différentiels*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 836, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [16] Stefan, P., *Accessibility and foliations with singularities*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, **80** (1974), No. 6, pp. 1142-1145.
- [17] Stefan, P., *Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **29** (1974), No. 3, pp. 699-713.
- [18] Sussmann, H. J., *Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of systems with singularities*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, **79** (1973), No. 1, pp. 197-199.
- [19] Sussmann, H. J., *Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 180 (1973), pp. 171-188.

- [20] Warner, F.W., *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 94, Springer, 1983.
- [21] Weinstein, A., *Symplectic Manifolds and Their Lagrangian Submanifolds*, Advances in Mathematics **6** (1971), pp. 329-346.
- [22] Weinstein, A., *Neighborhood Classification of Isotropic Embeddings*, J. Differential Geometry **16** (1981), pp. 125-128.
- [23] Weinstein, A., *Connections of Berry and Hannay Type for Moving Lagrangian Submanifolds*, Advances in Mathematics **82** (1990), pp. 133-159.