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#### Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the curvature behavior of fourdimensional shrinking gradient Ricci solitons. For such a soliton $M$ with bounded scalar curvature $S$, it is shown that the curvature operator Rm of $M$ satisfies the estimate $|\mathrm{Rm}| \leqslant c S$ for some constant $c$. Moreover, the curvature operator Rm is asymptotically nonnegative at infinity and admits a lower bound $\mathrm{Rm} \geqslant-c(\ln (r+1))^{-1 / 4}$, where $r$ is the distance function to a fixed point in $M$. As an application, we prove that if the scalar curvature converges to zero at infinity, then the soliton must be asymptotically conical. As a separate issue, a diameter upper bound for compact shrinking gradient Ricci solitons of arbitrary dimension is derived in terms of the injectivity radius.


## 1. Introduction

This paper primarily concerns the geometry of the so-called shrinking gradient Ricci solitons. Recall that a complete manifold $(M, g)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton if the equation

$$
\operatorname{Ric}+\operatorname{Hess}(f)=\lambda g
$$

holds for some function $f$ and scalar $\lambda$. Here, Ric is the Ricci curvature of $(M, g)$ and $\operatorname{Hess}(f)$ is the Hessian of $f$. Note that if the potential function $f$ is constant or the soliton is trivial, then the soliton equation simply says the Ricci curvature is constant. So Ricci solitons are natural generalizations of Einstein manifolds. A soliton is called shrinking, steady and expanding, accordingly, if $\lambda>0, \lambda=0$ and $\lambda<0$. By scaling the metric $g$, one customarily assumes $\lambda \in\{-1 / 2,0,1 / 2\}$. Solitons may be regarded as self-similar solutions to the Ricci flows. As such, they are important in the singularity analysis of Ricci flows. Indeed, according to [EMT11], the blow-ups around a type-I singularity point always converge to nontrivial gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. It is thus a central issue in the study of Ricci flows to understand and classify gradient Ricci solitons.

Aside from the Einstein manifolds, the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ together with the potential function $f(x)=(\lambda / 2)|x|^{2}$ gives another important example of gradient Ricci solitons. For dimension $n=2$, these are the only examples of gradient shrinking Ricci solitons [CK04]. For dimension $n=3$, Perelman made the breakthrough in [Per02] and showed that a threedimensional noncollapsing shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded curvature must be a quotient of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$, or of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. His result played a crucial role in the affirmative resolution of the Poincaré conjecture. The extra conditions were later removed through the efforts of Naber [Nab10], Ni and Wallach [NW08] and Cao et al. [CCZ08]. We refer the readers to [Bre13] for the classification of steady gradient Ricci solitons.
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One salient feature of three-dimensional shrinking Ricci solitons is that their curvature operator must be nonnegative [Ham95]. This has been of great utility in Perelman's argument. Unfortunately, for dimension four or higher this is no longer true, as demonstrated by the example constructed in [FIK03]. Also, the existence of examples (see [Cao10] for a list) other than those already mentioned complicates the classification outlook.

The main purpose here is to investigate the curvature behavior of four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci solitons. Our first result concerns the control of the curvature operator. Note that for dimension three, the curvature operator, being nonnegative, is obviously bounded by the scalar curvature. For dimension four, while the curvature operator no longer has a fixed sign, we show that such a conclusion still holds. In particular, it implies that the curvature operator must be bounded if the scalar curvature is bounded.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
|\operatorname{Rm}| \leqslant c S \quad \text { on } M .
$$

Our second result provides a lower bound for the curvature operator of a four-dimensional shrinking Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature. It shows that the curvature operator becomes asymptotically nonnegative at infinity. The result may be viewed as an extension of the Hamilton and Ivey curvature pinching estimate for the three-dimensional case. Note that Naber [Nab10] has classified all four-dimensional shrinking Ricci solitons with nonnegative and bounded curvature operator. In passing, we would also like to point out that Cao and Chen [CC13] have obtained some interesting classification results by imposing assumptions of a different nature on the curvature tensor.

Theorem 1.2. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature. Then its curvature operator is bounded below by

$$
\operatorname{Rm} \geqslant-\left(\frac{c}{\ln (r+1)}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

where $r$ is the distance function to a fixed point in $M$.
In both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the constant $c>0$ depends only on the upper bound $A$ of the scalar curvature on $M$ and the geometry of the geodesic ball $B_{p}\left(r_{0}\right)$, where $p$ is a minimum point of $f$ and $r_{0}$ is determined by $A$.

We should point out that these conclusions are only effective for nontrivial solitons. In fact, the potential function $f$ of the soliton is exploited in an essential way in our proofs by working on the level sets of $f$. Note that the level set is of three dimensions. So its curvature tensor is determined by its Ricci curvature. This fact is crucial to our argument. It enables us to control the curvature tensor of the ambient manifold by its Ricci curvature, which leads to an estimate of the Ricci curvature by the scalar curvature. The fact that the Ricci curvature controls the growth of the full curvature tensor is already known from the work of the first named author and Wang [MW11b]. However, the argument and estimate there are global in nature, whereas the estimate here is valid in the pointwise sense and hence is stronger.

The fact that the curvature operator of four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci solitons enjoys control similar to that in the dimension three case seems to provide a glimpse of hope for a possible classification of the solitons. In fact, under the assumption that the scalar curvature converges to zero at infinity, we are able to prove a sharp decay estimate for the Riemann
curvature tensor and consequently conclude that such a soliton must in fact be smoothly asymptotic to a cone at infinity. Here, by a cone we mean a manifold $[0, \infty) \times \Sigma$ endowed with Riemannian metric $g_{c}=d r^{2}+r^{2} g_{\Sigma}$, where $\left(\Sigma, g_{\Sigma}\right)$ is a closed ( $n-1$ )-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Define $E_{R}=(R, \infty) \times \Sigma$ for $R \geqslant 0$ and define the dilation by $\lambda$ to be the map $\rho_{\lambda}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{0}$ given by $\rho_{\lambda}(r, \sigma)=(\lambda r, \sigma)$. Then a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is said to be $C^{k}$ asymptotic to the cone $\left(E_{0}, g_{c}\right)$ if, for some $R>0$, there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi: E_{R} \rightarrow M \backslash \Omega$ such that $\lambda^{-2} \rho_{\lambda}^{*} \Phi^{*} g \rightarrow g_{c}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in $C_{l o c}^{k}\left(E_{0}, g_{c}\right)$, where $\Omega$ is a compact subset of $M$.

We have the following result.
Theorem 1.3. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a complete four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with scalar curvature converging to zero at infinity. Then there exists a cone $E_{0}$ such that $(M, g)$ is $C^{k}$ asymptotic to $E_{0}$ for all $k$.

A recent result due to Kotschwar and Wang [KW15] states that two shrinking gradient Ricci solitons must be isometric if they are $C^{2}$ asymptotic to the same cone. Together with our result, this implies that the classification problem for four-dimensional shrinking Ricci solitons with scalar curvature going to zero at infinity is reduced to a classification problem for the limiting cones.

As a separate issue, we have also attempted to address the question whether the limit of compact shrinking gradient Ricci solitons remains compact. This question may be rephrased into one of obtaining a uniform upper bound for the diameter of such solitons. Note that in the opposite direction, Futaki and Sano [FS13], see also an improvement in [FLL13], have already established a universal diameter lower bound for (nontrivial) compact shrinking gradient Ricci solitons. It remains to be seen whether a universal diameter upper bound is available without any extra assumptions.

Theorem 1.4. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton of dimension $n$. Then the diameter of $(M, g)$ has an upper bound of the form

$$
\operatorname{diam}(M) \leqslant c(n, \operatorname{inj}(M))
$$

where $\operatorname{inj}(M)$ is the injectivity radius of $(M, g)$.
If one assumes in addition that the Ricci curvature of the soliton is nonnegative, then the conclusion follows from [FMZ08]. We also remark that the assumption on the injectivity radius seems to be natural in view of the noncollapsing result for Ricci flows proved by Perelman [Per02]. Combined with [CZ10], our result implies an upper bound for the volume, depending on the injectivity radius alone.

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2, after some preliminary estimates, we show that four-dimensional shrinking Ricci solitons with bounded scalar curvature must have bounded Riemann curvature tensor. The techniques and results are further developed in §3 to prove Theorem 1.1. These estimates are then applied in $\S 4$ to establish the curvature pinching estimate (Theorem 1.2). In $\S \S 5$ and 6 , we prove the conical structure result (Theorem 1.3) and the diameter upper bound (Theorem 1.4), respectively.

## 2. Curvature estimates

In this section, we show that the curvature operator of a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton must be bounded if its scalar curvature is bounded. We first recall some general facts concerning shrinking gradient Ricci solitons which will be used throughout the paper.
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For $\left(M^{n}, g, f\right)$ a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton, it is known [Ham95] that $S+|\nabla f|^{2}-f$ is constant on $M$, where $S$ is the scalar curvature of $M$. So, by adding a constant to $f$ if necessary, we may normalize the soliton such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S+|\nabla f|^{2}=f \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a result of Chen [Che09, Cao10], the scalar curvature $S>0$ unless $M$ is flat, so in the following, we assume without loss of generality that $S>0$. Tracing the soliton equation we get $\Delta f+S=n / 2$. Combined with (2.1), this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f}(f)=\frac{n}{2}-f \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\Delta_{f}=\Delta-\langle\nabla f, \nabla\rangle$ is the weighted Laplacian on $M$, which is self adjoint on the space of square integrable functions with respect to the weighted measure $e^{-f} d v$.

Concerning the potential function $f$, Cao and Zhou [CZ10] have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} r(x)-c_{1}\right)^{2} \leqslant f(x) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2} r(x)+c_{1}\right)^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r(x) \geqslant c_{2}$. Here $r(x):=d(p, x)$ is the distance of $x$ from $p$, a minimum point of $f$ on $M$, which always exists. Constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ can be chosen to depend only on dimension $n$.

Throughout the paper, we use the definitions

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(t) & =\{x \in M: f(x) \leqslant t\} \\
\Sigma(t) & =\partial D(t)=\{x \in M: f(x)=t\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.3), these are compact subsets of $M$.
We recall the following equations for curvatures. For proofs, one may consult [PW10].

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} S & =S-2|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2} \\
\Delta_{f} R_{i j} & =R_{i j}-2 R_{i k j l} R_{k l} \\
\Delta_{f} \mathrm{Rm} & =\mathrm{Rm}+\mathrm{Rm} * \mathrm{Rm}  \tag{2.4}\\
\nabla_{k} R_{j k} & =R_{j k} f_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{j} S \\
\nabla_{l} R_{i j k l} & =R_{i j k l} f_{l}=\nabla_{j} R_{i k}-\nabla_{i} R_{j k}
\end{align*}
$$

In this section we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leqslant A \quad \text { on } M \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $A>0$. Obviously, there exists $r_{0}>0$, depending only on $A$, such that

$$
|\nabla f| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f} \geqslant 1 \quad \text { on } M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)
$$

Our argument is based on the following important observation.
Proposition 2.1. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton. Then for a universal constant $c>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{Rm}| \leqslant c\left(\frac{|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}|}{\sqrt{f}}+\frac{|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2}+1}{f}+|\mathrm{Ric}|\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$.
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Proof. We work on $\Sigma:=\Sigma(t), t \geqslant r_{0}$. By the Gauss curvature equation, for an orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ tangent to $\Sigma$, the intrinsic Riemann curvature tensor $R_{a b c d}^{\Sigma}$ of $\Sigma$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b c d}^{\Sigma}=R_{a b c d}+h_{a c} h_{b d}-h_{a d} h_{b c}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{a b c d}=\operatorname{Rm}\left(e_{a}, e_{b}, e_{c}, e_{d}\right)$ is the Riemann curvature tensor of $M$ and $h_{a b}$ the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$. In what follows, the indices $a, b, c, d \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $i, j, k, l \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Since $\Sigma=\{f=t\}$, we have

$$
h_{a b}=\frac{f_{a b}}{|\nabla f|} .
$$

Using the fact that $|\nabla f| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f}$ on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right)+f_{a b}=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{a b}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{a b}\right| \leqslant \frac{c}{\sqrt{f}}(|\operatorname{Ric}|+1) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Sigma$ is a three-dimensional manifold, its Riemann curvature is determined by its Ricci curvature $\operatorname{Ric}^{\Sigma}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b c d}^{\Sigma}=\left(R_{a c}^{\Sigma} g_{b d}-R_{a d}^{\Sigma} g_{b c}+R_{b d}^{\Sigma} g_{a c}-R_{b c}^{\Sigma} g_{a d}\right)-\frac{S^{\Sigma}}{2}\left(g_{a c} g_{b d}-g_{a d} g_{b c}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{\Sigma}$ is the scalar curvature of $\Sigma$ and $R_{a b}^{\Sigma}:=\operatorname{Ric}^{\Sigma}\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right)$.
By tracing (2.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a c}^{\Sigma}=R_{a c}-R_{a 4 c 4}+H h_{a c}-h_{a b} h_{b c}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{a 4 c 4}=\operatorname{Rm}\left(e_{a}, \nu, e_{c}, \nu\right)$, with $\nu=\nabla f /|\nabla f|$ being the normal vector of $\Sigma$. Tracing this one more time, we have

$$
S^{\Sigma}=S-2 R_{44}+H^{2}-|h|^{2} .
$$

Hence, by (2.8) and $S \leqslant 2 \mid$ Ric $\mid$, we see that

$$
\left|S^{\Sigma}\right| \leqslant c\left(\frac{\mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2}+1}{f}+\mid \text { Ric } \mid\right)
$$

for some constant $c$. We now observe that by (2.4) we have an estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{i j k 4}\right|=\frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\left|R_{i j k l} f_{l}\right| \leqslant 4 \frac{|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|}{\sqrt{f}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this in (2.10) implies that

$$
\left|R_{a c}^{\Sigma}\right| \leqslant c\left(\frac{|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|}{\sqrt{f}}+\frac{\mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2}+1}{f}+\mid \text { Ric } \mid\right) .
$$

Hence, we conclude from (2.9) and (2.7) that

$$
\left|R_{a b c d}\right| \leqslant c\left(\frac{|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}|}{\sqrt{f}}+\frac{|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}+1}{f}+|\operatorname{Ric}|\right) .
$$

Together with (2.11), this proves the proposition.
We now establish the following lemma. It is inspired by Hamilton's work in [Ham82].
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Lemma 2.2. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature. Then, for any $0<a<1$, the function $u:=|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Delta_{f} u \geqslant\left(2 a-\frac{c}{1-a} \frac{S}{f}\right) u^{2} S^{a-1}-c u^{3 / 2} S^{a / 2}-c u
$$

on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$, for some universal constant $c>0$.
Proof. Note that by Proposition 2.1 and (2.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \geqslant & 2|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2}-c|\operatorname{Rm}||\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \\
\geqslant & 2|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2}-\frac{c}{\sqrt{f}}|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}||\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \\
& -\frac{c}{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4}-c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{3}-\frac{c}{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

For $1>a>0$, direct computation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f}\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}\right)= & \left.S^{-a} \Delta_{f}\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}\right)+|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \Delta_{f}\left(S^{-a}\right)+\left.2\left\langle\nabla S^{-a}, \nabla\right| \operatorname{Ric}\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
= & \left.S^{-a} \Delta_{f}\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}\right)+\left.2\left\langle\nabla S^{-a}, \nabla\right| \operatorname{Ric}\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
& +|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}\left(-a S^{-a}+2 a|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a-1}+a(a+1)|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-a-2}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.2\left\langle\nabla S^{-a}, \nabla\right| \operatorname{Ric}\right|^{2}\right\rangle \geqslant & -4 a|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}||\nabla S|^{-a-1}|\operatorname{Ric}| \\
\geqslant & -a(a+1)|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-a-2}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \\
& -\frac{4 a}{a+1}|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this into (2.13) and combining with (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}\right) \geqslant & \frac{2(1-a)}{1+a}|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}-\frac{c}{\sqrt{f}}|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}||\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}-\frac{c}{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4} S^{-a} \\
& -c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{3} S^{-a}-\frac{c}{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}-a|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}+2 a|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4} S^{-a-1} \\
\geqslant & \left(2 a-\frac{c}{1-a} \frac{S}{f}\right)|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4} S^{-a-1}-c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{3} S^{-a}-c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last line, we have used that

$$
\frac{c}{\sqrt{f}}|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}||\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a} \leqslant \frac{2(1-a)}{1+a}|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}+\frac{1+a}{8(1-a)} \frac{c^{2}}{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4} S^{-a}
$$

It follows that

$$
\Delta_{f} u \geqslant\left(2 a-\frac{c}{1-a} \frac{S}{f}\right) u^{2} S^{a-1}-c u^{3 / 2} S^{a / 2}-c u .
$$

This proves the result.
Proposition 2.3. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then there exist $r_{1}$ and $C$ depending only on $A$ such that

$$
|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \leqslant C \quad \text { on } M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right) .
$$
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Proof. We use Lemma 2.2 with $a:=\frac{1}{2}$ and obtain on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$

$$
\Delta_{f} u \geqslant\left(1-\frac{c}{f}\right) u^{2} S^{-1 / 2}-c u^{3 / 2}-c u
$$

where $u:=|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-1 / 2}$ and $c>0$ depends only on $A$. Obviously, there exists $r_{1}>0$, depending only on $A$, so that $1-(c / f) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
\Delta_{f} u \geqslant \frac{1}{2} u^{2} S^{-1 / 2}-c u^{3 / 2}-c u \quad \text { on } M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right) .
$$

For $R>2 r_{1}$, let $\phi$ be a smooth nonnegative function defined on the real line so that $\phi(t)=1$ for $R \leqslant t \leqslant 2 R$ and $\phi(t)=0$ for $t \leqslant R / 2$ and for $t \geqslant 3 R$. We may choose $\phi$ so that

$$
t^{2}\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}(t)+\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|(t)\right) \leqslant c
$$

We use $\phi(f(x))$ as a cutoff function with support in $D(3 R) \backslash D(R / 2)$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nabla \phi| & \leqslant \frac{c}{\sqrt{R}} \\
\left|\Delta_{f} \phi\right| & \leqslant c
\end{aligned}
$$

for a universal constant $c>0$. For the second inequality we have used (2.2), i.e. $\Delta_{f}(f)=2-f$.
A direct computation shows that the function $G:=u \phi^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{2} \Delta_{f} G & =\phi^{2} \Delta_{f}\left(u \phi^{2}\right) \\
& =\phi^{4}\left(\Delta_{f} u\right)+\phi^{2} u\left(\Delta_{f} \phi^{2}\right)+2 \phi^{2}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} u^{2} S^{-1 / 2} \phi^{4}-c u^{3 / 2} \phi^{3}-c u \phi^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla\left(u \phi^{2}\right), \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} G^{2} S^{-1 / 2}-c G^{3 / 2}-c G+2\left\langle\nabla G, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum principle implies that $G \leqslant c$, where the constant $c$ depends only on $A$. Hence, on $D(2 R) \backslash D(R)$,

$$
\mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2}=G S^{1 / 2} \leqslant c
$$

This proves the proposition.
We now prove that the curvature of four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci solitons with bounded scalar curvature is bounded as well.

Theorem 2.4. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then the Riemann curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives are bounded. More precisely, there exists $r_{1}>0$ depending only on $A$ so that for any $k \geqslant 1$,

$$
\sup _{M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right)}\left(|\mathrm{Rm}|+\left|\nabla^{k} \mathrm{Rm}\right|\right) \leqslant C_{k},
$$

where $C_{k}>0$ is a constant depending only on $A$ and $k$.
Proof. We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathrm{Rm}| \leqslant c \quad \text { on } M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.4) and the Kato inequality, one sees that

$$
\Delta_{f}|\mathrm{Rm}| \geqslant-c|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}
$$
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for a universal constant $c$. Rewrite this into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f}|\mathrm{Rm}| \geqslant|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-(c+1)|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Propositions 2.3 and 2.1, we have

$$
|\operatorname{Rm}|^{2} \leqslant c\left(\frac{1}{f}|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}|^{2}+1\right)
$$

on $M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right)$, where $c>0$ depends only on $A$. Plugging into (2.15), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f}|\mathrm{Rm}| \geqslant|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-\frac{c}{f}|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}|^{2}-c \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we know from (2.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \geqslant|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2}-c \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, combining (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}\left(|\mathrm{Rm}|+|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2}\right) & \geqslant|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-c \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(|\mathrm{Rm}|+|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}\right)^{2}-c
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, the function

$$
v:=|\mathrm{Rm}|+|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}
$$

satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Delta_{f} v \geqslant \frac{1}{2} v^{2}-c
$$

on $M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right)$ for some constant $c$ depending only on $A$. Arguing as in Proposition 2.3, we conclude that $v \leqslant c$ on $M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right)$ for a constant $c$ depending only on $A$. This implies (2.14).

Now we use Shi's derivative estimates [Shi89] to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}| \leqslant c \quad \text { on } M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c>0$ depending only on $A$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} & \geqslant 2\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}-c|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}|\mathrm{Rm}| \\
& \geqslant 2|\nabla| \nabla \mathrm{Rm}| |^{2}-c|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used (2.14). This implies

$$
\Delta_{f}|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}| \geqslant-c|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}| \quad \text { on } M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right) .
$$

We also know from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2} & \geqslant 2|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-c|\mathrm{Rm}|^{3} \\
& \geqslant 2|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $c>0$, depending only on $A$, so that on $M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right)$,

$$
\Delta_{f}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|+|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}\right) \geqslant\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|+|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}\right)^{2}-c .
$$

Now a maximum principle argument as in Proposition 2.3 shows that $|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|+|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}$ is bounded on $M \backslash D\left(r_{1}\right)$ by a constant depending only on $A$. So (2.18) follows. A similar argument can be used to obtain estimates for higher order derivatives. For details, see e.g. [CLN06].

Theorem 2.4 may be applied to recover and strengthen a compactness result for fourdimensional shrinking Ricci solitons due to Haslhofer and Müller [HM11, HM14]. Namely, a sequence of such solitons with uniformly bounded entropy, Euler characteristic number and scalar curvature must have a subsequence converging in the Cheeger-Gromov sense to an orbifold shrinking Ricci soliton. Moreover, all possible orbifold points of the limit must lie inside a fixed compact set.
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## 3. Improved curvature estimates

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. We begin with an improved estimate for Ricci curvature. From now on, $r_{0}>0$ denotes a radius depending only on $A$, the upper bound of $S$ on $M$. Unless otherwise specified, $c>0$ is a constant depending only on $A$ and the geometry of $D\left(r_{0}\right)$. These constants may change from line to line.

Proposition 3.1. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{M} \frac{\mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2}}{S} \leqslant c
$$

Proof. We use Lemma 2.2, that

$$
\Delta_{f} u \geqslant\left(2 a-\frac{c}{1-a} \frac{S}{f}\right) u^{2} S^{a-1}-c u^{3 / 2} S^{a / 2}-c u
$$

on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$, where $u:=|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-a}$ and $0<a<1$.
For $R>2 r_{0}$, let $\phi$ be a smooth nonnegative function defined on the real line so that $\phi(t)=1$ for $R \leqslant t \leqslant 2 R$ and $\phi(t)=0$ for $t \leqslant R / 2$ and for $t \geqslant 3 R$. We may choose $\phi$ so that

$$
t^{2}\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}(t)+\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|(t)\right) \leqslant c
$$

We use $\phi(f(x))$ as a cutoff function with support in $D(3 R) \backslash D(R / 2)$. Note that $|\nabla \phi| \leqslant c / \sqrt{R}$ and $\left|\Delta_{f} \phi\right| \leqslant c$, for a universal constant $c>0$. Direct computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{2} \Delta_{f}\left(u \phi^{2}\right)= & \phi^{4}\left(\Delta_{f} u\right)+\phi^{2} u\left(\Delta_{f} \phi^{2}\right)+2 \phi^{2}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle \\
\geqslant & \left(2 a-\frac{c}{1-a} \frac{S}{f}\right) u^{2} S^{a-1} \phi^{4}-c u^{3 / 2} S^{a / 2} \phi^{3} \\
& -c u \phi^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla\left(u \phi^{2}\right), \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi$ has support in $D(3 R) \backslash D(R / 2)$, we know that $f \geqslant \frac{1}{2} R$ on the support of $\phi$. Hence, we may choose $a:=1-(C / R)$ with a sufficiently large constant $C>0$ so that

$$
2 a-\frac{c}{1-a} \frac{S}{f} \geqslant 1
$$

on the support of $\phi$. As a result, the function $G:=u \phi^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\phi^{2} \Delta_{f} G \geqslant S^{a-1} G^{2}-c G^{3 / 2}-c G+2\left\langle\nabla G, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle .
$$

Since $a<1$ and $S^{a-1} \geqslant A^{a-1}$, the maximum principle implies that there exists $c>0$ such that $G \leqslant c$ on $D(2 R) \backslash D(R)$.

Hence, on $D(2 R) \backslash D(R)$,

$$
\frac{|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}}{S}=G S^{a-1} \leqslant c S^{a-1}
$$

Let us recall a result in [CLY11], that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $S f \geqslant c$ on $M$. In our context, this constant has the dependency as stated in the conclusion of the proposition.

Since $a-1=-C / R$ and $S \geqslant c / R$ on $D(2 R)$, it follows that $S^{a-1} \leqslant c$ on $D(2 R) \backslash D(R)$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}}{S} \leqslant c
$$

on $D(2 R) \backslash D(R)$. But $R$ is arbitrary. This proves the result.
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We now extend this result to the full curvature tensor.
Proposition 3.2. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{M} \frac{|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2}}{S} \leqslant c .
$$

Proof. According to Proposition 2.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathrm{Rm}|^{2} & \leqslant c\left(\frac{|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}|^{2}}{f}+\frac{c}{f^{2}}+|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant c\left(\frac{1}{f}+S\right) \\
& \leqslant c S \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In the second and third lines above we have used Proposition 3.1, Theorem 2.4 and the fact that $1 / f \leqslant c S$ from [CLY11]. This proves the proposition.

We continue with a similar estimate for the covariant derivative of curvature.
Proposition 3.3. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{M} \frac{|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}}{S} \leqslant c .
$$

Proof. Let us first prove the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f}|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} \geqslant 2\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}+3|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-c|\mathrm{Rm}||\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact,

$$
\Delta_{f}|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}=2\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}+2\left\langle\Delta_{f}(\nabla \mathrm{Rm}), \nabla \mathrm{Rm}\right\rangle .
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}\left(\nabla_{q} \mathrm{Rm}\right) & =\nabla_{p} \nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} R_{i j k l}-\nabla_{p}\left(\nabla_{q} R_{i j k l}\right) f_{p} \\
& =\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} \nabla_{p} R_{i j k l}-\nabla_{q}\left(\nabla_{p} R_{i j k l}\right) f_{p}+\operatorname{Rm} * \nabla \mathrm{Rm} \\
& =\nabla_{q} \nabla_{p} \nabla_{p} R_{i j k l}-\nabla_{q}\left(\nabla_{p} R_{i j k l} f_{p}\right)+f_{p q}\left(\nabla_{p} R_{i j k l}\right)+\mathrm{Rm} * \nabla \mathrm{Rm} \\
& =\nabla_{q}\left(\Delta_{f} R_{i j k l}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{q} R_{i j k l}+\mathrm{Rm} * \nabla \mathrm{Rm} \\
& =\frac{3}{2} \nabla_{q} R_{i j k l}+\operatorname{Rm} * \nabla \mathrm{Rm},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the Ricci identities and the formulas $R_{i j k l} f_{l}=\nabla_{j} R_{i k}-\nabla_{i} R_{j k}$ and $\Delta_{f} \mathrm{Rm}=$ $\mathrm{Rm}+\mathrm{Rm} * \mathrm{Rm}$ from (2.4). Hence, (3.2) is proved.

Using (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}\right) \geqslant & S^{-1}\left(2\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}+3|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-c|\mathrm{Rm}||\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}\right) \\
& +|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}\left(-S^{-1}+2|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}+2|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-3}\right) \\
& -4|\nabla S|\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right| S^{-2}|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}| \\
\geqslant & 2|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}-c|\mathrm{Rm}||\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In deriving the last line of (3.3) we have used that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.2|\langle\nabla| \nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}, \nabla S^{-1}\right\rangle \mid & \leqslant 4\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right||\nabla \mathrm{Rm}| S^{-2}|\nabla S| \\
& \leqslant 2\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2} S^{-1}+2|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-3}|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Using Proposition 3.2 and (2.18) we can bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
c|\operatorname{Rm}||\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1} & \leqslant c|\nabla \operatorname{Rm}| S^{-1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}+c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the function

$$
w:=|\nabla \operatorname{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}-c
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} w \geqslant w . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our goal is to show that $w$ must be bounded above. We use the maximum principle again.
Let $\psi(t)=(R-t) / R$ on $[0, R]$ and $\psi=0$ for $t \geqslant R$. Then $\psi(f)$ as a cutoff function on $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
|\nabla \psi| & =\frac{|\nabla f|}{R} \\
\Delta_{f} \psi & =\frac{1}{R}(f-2) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, for $G:=\psi^{2} w$, using (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} G \geqslant\left(1+\psi^{-1} \frac{2}{R}(f-2)-6 \psi^{-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2}\right) G+4 \psi^{-1}\langle\nabla G, \nabla \psi\rangle . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $G(q)>0$ at the maximum point $q$ of $G$. Then (3.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{R}(f-2) \psi \leqslant 6|\nabla \psi|^{2} \leqslant 6 \frac{1}{R^{2}} f . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q \in D\left(r_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{D(R / 2)}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}\right) & \leqslant c+4 \sup _{D(R / 2)} G \\
& \leqslant c+4 \sup _{D\left(r_{0}\right)} G \\
& \leqslant c .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $q \in M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$, then $f(q)-2 \geqslant \frac{1}{2} f(q)$. By (3.7), $\psi(q) R \leqslant 6$. This shows that $f(q) \geqslant R-6$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \sup _{D(R / 2)}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}-c\right) & \leqslant \sup _{D(R / 2)} G \\
& \leqslant G(q) \\
& \leqslant \frac{36}{R^{2}} \sup _{D(R)}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{R},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used (2.18) and that $S f \geqslant c>0$, by [CLY11].
In conclusion, we have proved that if $G(q)>0$, then

$$
\sup _{D(R / 2)}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}\right) \leqslant c
$$
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On the other hand, if at the maximum point $q$ of $G$ we have $G(q) \leqslant 0$, then $w$ is nonpositive on $D(R)$, which again implies

$$
\sup _{D(R / 2)}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-1}\right) \leqslant c
$$

This proves the proposition.
We now wish to establish a gradient estimate for the scalar curvature. This will be improved later.

Lemma 3.4. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
|\nabla \ln S|^{2} \leqslant c \ln (f+2) \quad \text { on } M
$$

Proof. We adopt an argument in [MW11a]. Let $h:=(1 / \epsilon) S^{\epsilon}$ with $\epsilon>0$ small, to be determined later. Then a direct computation gives

$$
\Delta_{f} h=\epsilon h-2 \epsilon|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-1} h+(\epsilon-1) S^{\epsilon-2}|\nabla S|^{2}
$$

Let us define $\sigma:=|\nabla h|^{2}=S^{2 \epsilon-2}|\nabla S|^{2}$. The Bochner formula asserts that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f} \sigma & =|\operatorname{Hess}(h)|^{2}+\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(\Delta_{f} h\right)\right\rangle+\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(\nabla h, \nabla h) \\
& \geqslant\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(\Delta_{f} h\right)\right\rangle \\
& \geqslant(\epsilon-1)\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(S^{\epsilon-2}|\nabla S|^{2}\right)\right\rangle-2 \epsilon\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-1} h\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(S^{\epsilon-2}|\nabla S|^{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(S^{-\epsilon} \sigma\right)\right\rangle \\
& =-\epsilon\langle\nabla h, \nabla S\rangle S^{-\epsilon-1} \sigma+S^{-\epsilon}\langle\nabla h, \nabla \sigma\rangle \\
& =-\epsilon|\nabla h|^{2} S^{-2 \epsilon} \sigma+S^{-\epsilon}\langle\nabla h, \nabla \sigma\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\epsilon\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-1} h\right)\right\rangle & \geqslant-2 \epsilon|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}||\operatorname{Ric}| h|\nabla h| S^{-1}-\epsilon|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-1}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& \geqslant-c \epsilon h|\nabla h|-c \epsilon \sigma \\
& \geqslant-c-c \sigma,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the second line we used Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 to bound $|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}||\operatorname{Ric}| S^{-1} \leqslant c$, and in the last line we used $\epsilon h=S^{\epsilon} \leqslant c$.

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f} \sigma \geqslant \epsilon(1-\epsilon) S^{-2 \epsilon} \sigma^{2}+(\epsilon-1) S^{-\epsilon}\langle\nabla h, \nabla \sigma\rangle-c \sigma-c . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi$ be a smooth nonnegative function defined on the real line so that $\phi(t)=1$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant R$ and $\phi(t)=0$ for $t \geqslant 2 R$. We may choose $\phi$ so that

$$
t^{2}\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}(t)+\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|(t)\right) \leqslant c
$$

We use $\phi(f(x))$ as a cutoff function with support in $D(2 R)$. Note that we have $|\nabla \phi| \leqslant c / \sqrt{R}$ and $\left|\Delta_{f} \phi\right| \leqslant c$ for a universal constant $c>0$.
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Let $G:=\phi^{2} \sigma$. From (3.8), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \phi^{2} \Delta_{f} G= & \frac{1}{2} \phi^{4}\left(\Delta_{f} \sigma\right)+\frac{1}{2} G\left(\Delta_{f} \phi^{2}\right)+\phi^{2}\left\langle\nabla \phi^{2}, \nabla \sigma\right\rangle \\
& \geqslant \epsilon(1-\epsilon) S^{-2 \epsilon} G^{2}+(\epsilon-1) S^{-\epsilon}\langle\nabla h, \nabla G\rangle \phi^{2}-(\epsilon-1) S^{-\epsilon}\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle G \\
& -c G-c+\left\langle\nabla \phi^{2}, \nabla G\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

At the maximum point of $G$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon G^{2} & \leqslant c G^{3 / 2}|\nabla \phi| S^{\epsilon}+c G+c \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{\sqrt{R}} G^{3 / 2}+c G+c . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We now choose $\epsilon:=(\ln R)^{-1}$. It is easy to see that (3.9) implies

$$
\sup _{M} G \leqslant \frac{c}{\epsilon}=c \ln R .
$$

This proves that

$$
\sup _{D(R)}\left(S^{2 \epsilon}|\nabla \ln S|^{2}\right) \leqslant c \ln R .
$$

Using the bound in [CLY11] that $S \geqslant c / R$ on $D(R)$, one easily concludes that $S^{2 \epsilon} \geqslant c>0$. Thus,

$$
\sup _{\Sigma(R)}|\nabla \ln S|^{2} \leqslant c \ln (f+2)
$$

and the result follows.
To prove Theorem 1.1 in the introduction, we need to improve the Ricci curvature estimate from Proposition 3.1. Let us first establish a parallel version of Lemma 2.2.
Lemma 3.5. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then the function $u:=|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Delta_{F} u \geqslant 3 u^{2} S-c u S,
$$

where $F:=f-2 \ln S$.
Proof. Note that by Propositions 2.1 and 3.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{Rm}| & \leqslant c\left(\frac{|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}|}{\sqrt{f}}+\frac{|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2}+1}{f}+\mid \text { Ric } \mid\right) \\
& \leqslant c\left(\frac{\sqrt{S}}{\sqrt{f}}+\frac{1}{f}+\mid \text { Ric } \mid\right) \\
& \leqslant c(S+\mid \text { Ric } \mid) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last line we have used the fact that $S \geqslant c / f$ on $M$. Since $S \leqslant 2|\operatorname{Ric}|$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathrm{Rm}| \leqslant c|\mathrm{Ric}| . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} & \geqslant 2|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2}+2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}-c|\operatorname{Rm}||\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \\
& \geqslant 2|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2}+2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}-c \mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f}\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}\right)= & \left.S^{-2} \Delta_{f}\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}\right)+|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \Delta_{f}\left(S^{-2}\right)+\left.2\left\langle\nabla S^{-2}, \nabla\right| \operatorname{Ric}\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
\geqslant & \left.2|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}+2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}-c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{3} S^{-2}+\left.2\left\langle\nabla S^{-2}, \nabla\right| \operatorname{Ric}\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
& +|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2}\left(-2 S^{-2}+4|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-3}+6|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-4}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.2\left\langle\nabla S^{-2}, \nabla\right| \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2}\right\rangle= & S^{2}\left\langle\nabla S^{-2}, \nabla\left(\mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2} S^{-2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \left.-\left\langle\nabla S^{-2}, \nabla S^{-2}\right\rangle \mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2} S^{2}+\left\langle\nabla S^{-2}, \nabla\right| \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
\geqslant & -2\left\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla\left(\mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2} S^{-2}\right)\right\rangle-4|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-4} \mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2} \\
& -4|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}||\nabla S| S^{-3} \mid \text { Ric } \mid \\
\geqslant & -2\left\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla\left(\mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2} S^{-2}\right)\right\rangle-6|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-4} \mid \text { Ric }\left.\right|^{2} \\
& -2|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this into (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{F}\left(|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}\right) & \geqslant 4|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4} S^{-3}-c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{3} S^{-2} \\
& \geqslant 3|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4} S^{-3}-c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-1} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{3} S^{-2} \leqslant|\operatorname{Ric}|^{4} S^{-3}+c|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-1}
$$

in the last line. This proves the result.
We are ready to prove the following result which was stated as Theorem 1.1 in the introduction.
Theorem 3.6. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{M} \frac{|\mathrm{Rm}|}{S} \leqslant c \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (3.10) it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{M} \frac{|\operatorname{Ric}|}{S} \leqslant c \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.5, the function $u:=|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{F} u \geqslant 3 u^{2} S-c u S \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi(t)=(R-t) / R$ on $[0, R]$ and let $\psi=0$ for $t \geqslant R$. Using $\psi(f)$ as a cutoff function on $M$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\nabla \psi| & =\frac{1}{R}|\nabla f| \\
\Delta_{f} \psi & =\frac{1}{R}(f-2) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3.4,
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{F} \psi & =\Delta_{f} \psi+2\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla \psi\rangle \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{R}(f-2)-\frac{2}{R}|\nabla \ln S||\nabla f| \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{R}(f-2)-\frac{c}{R} \sqrt{f} \ln (f+2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant $c>0$ in the above estimate depends on $A$ and $D\left(r_{0}\right)$. Therefore, there exists $t_{0}>0$, depending on $A$ and $D\left(r_{0}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{F} \psi \geqslant 0 \quad \text { on } D(R) \backslash D\left(t_{0}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.15) and (3.17), for the function $G:=\psi^{2} u$ we have that on $M \backslash D\left(t_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{2} \Delta_{F} G & \geqslant 3 G^{2} S-c G S+G \Delta_{F} \psi^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \psi^{2}\right\rangle \psi^{2} \\
& \geqslant 3 G^{2} S-c G S+2\left\langle\nabla\left(G \psi^{-2}\right), \nabla \psi^{2}\right\rangle \psi^{2} \\
& =3 G^{2} S-c G S+2\left\langle\nabla G, \nabla \psi^{2}\right\rangle-8|\nabla \psi|^{2} G . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.16) and the estimate $S f \geqslant c>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nabla \psi|^{2} G & \leqslant \frac{1}{R} G \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{c} S G
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (3.18) becomes

$$
\psi^{2} \Delta_{F} G \geqslant\left(3 G^{2}-c G\right) S+2\left\langle\nabla G, \nabla \psi^{2}\right\rangle .
$$

Now the maximum principle implies that $G$ must be bounded on $M \backslash D\left(t_{0}\right)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.4, there exists $c>0$ such that $|\mathrm{Rm}| \leqslant c$ on $D\left(t_{0}\right) \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$. Since $S \geqslant c / f \geqslant c / t_{0}$ on $D\left(t_{0}\right) \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$, this proves (3.14) and hence the theorem.

We can now improve the covariant derivative estimate in Proposition 3.3 as well.
Theorem 3.7. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}| \leqslant c S \quad \text { on } M .
$$

In particular,

$$
\sup _{M}|\nabla \ln S| \leqslant c .
$$

Proof. Using (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}\right) \geqslant & S^{-2}\left(2\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}+3|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}-c|\mathrm{Rm}||\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}\right) \\
& +|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}\left(-2 S^{-2}+4|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2} S^{-3}+6|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-4}\right) \\
& \left.+\left.2\langle\nabla| \nabla \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}, \nabla S^{-2}\right\rangle . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.2\langle\nabla| \nabla \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}, \nabla S^{-2}\right\rangle= & \left.\left\langle\nabla\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}\right) S^{2}, \nabla S^{-2}\right\rangle+\left.\langle\nabla| \nabla \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2}, \nabla S^{-2}\right\rangle \\
\geqslant & \left\langle\nabla\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}\right), \nabla S^{-2}\right\rangle S^{2}+|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}\left\langle\nabla S^{2}, \nabla S^{-2}\right\rangle \\
& -4\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right||\nabla S||\nabla \mathrm{Rm}| S^{-3} \\
\geqslant & -2\left\langle\nabla\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}\right), \nabla \ln S\right\rangle-6|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2}|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-4} \\
& -2\left|\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Rm}\right|^{2} S^{-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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It now follows from (3.19) and Theorem 3.6 that the function $w:=|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{F} w & \geqslant w-c|\operatorname{Rm}| w \\
& \geqslant w(1-c S), \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F:=f-2 \ln S$. We now show that a function $w \geqslant 0$ satisfying (3.20) must be bounded. Let $\psi(t)=(R-t) / R$ on $[0, R]$ and $\psi=0$ for $t \geqslant R$. We view $\psi(f)$ as a cutoff function on $D(R)$.

For $G:=\psi^{2} w$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{F} G \geqslant G(1-c S)+2 \psi^{-1}\left(\Delta_{F} \psi\right) G-6 \psi^{-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2} G+2 \psi^{-2}\left\langle\nabla G, \nabla \psi^{2}\right\rangle \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q \in D(R)$ be the maximum point of $G$. If $S(q)>1 / c$, where $c>0$ is the constant in (3.21), then from the definition of $w$ and Theorem 2.4 one sees that $G \leqslant G(q) \leqslant C$ on $D(R)$. This proves that $w$ is bounded on $D(R / 2)$. So we may assume in (3.21) that $1-c S(q) \geqslant 0$. Now the maximum principle implies that at $q$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geqslant \psi^{-1}\left(\Delta_{F} \psi\right)-3 \psi^{-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{F} \psi & =-\frac{1}{R} \Delta_{f}(f)+\frac{2}{R}\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla f\rangle \\
& \geqslant \frac{f-2}{R}-\frac{2}{R}|\nabla \ln S| \sqrt{f} \\
& \geqslant \frac{f-c \sqrt{f} \ln (f+2)-2}{R},
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.4, there exists $t_{0}$ depending on $A$ and $D\left(r_{0}\right)$ such that $\Delta_{F} \psi \geqslant f /(2 R)$ on $M \backslash D\left(t_{0}\right)$. If $q \in D\left(t_{0}\right)$, then it follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 that $w \leqslant c$ on $D(R / 2)$. So without loss of generality we may assume $q \in D(R) \backslash D\left(t_{0}\right)$, and hence

$$
\Delta_{F} \psi \geqslant \frac{f}{2 R} \quad \text { at } q .
$$

Therefore, (3.22) implies that at $q$,

$$
\frac{f}{R} \psi \leqslant 6|\nabla \psi|^{2} \leqslant 6 \frac{1}{R^{2}} f .
$$

This means $f(q) \geqslant R-6$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \sup _{D(R / 2)}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}\right) & \leqslant \sup _{D(R / 2)} G \\
& \leqslant G(q) \\
& \leqslant \frac{36}{R^{2}} \sup _{D(R)}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used Proposition 3.3 and $S f \geqslant c>0$. This again proves that $|\nabla \mathrm{Rm}|^{2} S^{-2}$ is bounded. In conclusion, we have proved that

$$
\sup _{D(R / 2)}\left(|\nabla \operatorname{Rm}| S^{-1}\right) \leqslant c .
$$

Since $R$ is arbitrary, this proves the theorem.

## Geometry of shrinking Ricci solitons

## 4. Curvature lower bound

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. The argument relies on the results from the previous sections and uses ideas of Hamilton and Ivey on the curvature pinching estimate for threedimensional Ricci flows. We first establish the following result, which improves Proposition 2.1.
Lemma 4.1. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{a b c d}= & \left(R_{a c} g_{b d}-R_{a d} g_{b c}+R_{b d} g_{a c}-R_{b c} g_{a d}\right) \\
& -\frac{S}{2}\left(g_{a c} g_{b d}-g_{a d} g_{b c}\right)+O\left(S f^{-1 / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Following the proof of Proposition 2.1 we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{a b c d}= & R_{a b c d}^{\Sigma}-h_{a c} h_{b d}+h_{a d} h_{b c} \\
= & \left(R_{a c}^{\Sigma} g_{b d}-R_{a d}^{\Sigma} g_{b c}+R_{b d}^{\Sigma} g_{a c}-R_{b c}^{\Sigma} g_{a d}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} S^{\Sigma}\left(g_{a c} g_{b d}-g_{a d} g_{b c}\right) \\
& -h_{a c} h_{b d}+h_{a d} h_{b c} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall also that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{a c}^{\Sigma} & =R_{a c}-R_{a 4 c 4}+H h_{a c}-h_{a b} h_{b c} \\
S^{\Sigma} & =S-2 R_{44}+H^{2}-|h|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 3.7 we can estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{i j k 4}\right| \leqslant c \frac{|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}|}{\sqrt{f}} \leqslant c S f^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (4.1) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{a b c d}= & \left(R_{a c} g_{b d}-R_{a d} g_{b c}+R_{b d} g_{a c}-R_{b c} g_{a d}\right) \\
& -\frac{S}{2}\left(g_{a c} g_{b d}-g_{a d} g_{b c}\right)+\mathcal{E}+O\left(S f^{-1 / 2}\right), \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}= & \left(H h_{a c}-h_{a e} h_{e c}\right) g_{b d}-\left(H h_{a d}-h_{a e} h_{e d}\right) g_{b c} \\
& +\left(H h_{b d}-h_{b e} h_{e d}\right) g_{a c}-\left(H h_{b c}-h_{b e} h_{e c}\right) g_{a d} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(H^{2}-|h|^{2}\right)\left(g_{a c} g_{b d}-g_{a d} g_{b c}\right) \\
& -h_{a c} h_{b d}+h_{a d} h_{b c} . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Note also that by Theorem 3.6,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a b}=\frac{f_{a b}}{|\nabla f|}=\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} f^{-1 / 2}+O\left(S f^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging (4.5) into (4.4) and simplifying, we immediately obtain

$$
|\mathcal{E}| \leqslant O\left(S f^{-1}\right)
$$

By (4.3), this proves the lemma.
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We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.2. For convenience, we restate it here.
Theorem 4.2. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with bounded scalar curvature $S \leqslant A$. Then the curvature operator is bounded below by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Rm} \geqslant-\left(\frac{c}{\ln (r+1)}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $c>0$ depending only on $A$ and $D\left(r_{0}\right)$, where $r_{0}$ depends only on $A$.
Proof. By (4.2), to establish (4.6) for the curvature operator of $M$, it is enough to do so for its restriction to the subspace $\wedge^{2}(T \Sigma)$. Restrict the Ricci curvature of $M$ to $\Sigma$ and let $\lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \lambda_{3}$ be the eigenvalues of the resulting operator. Our goal is to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \geqslant-\left(\frac{c}{\ln f}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\nu:=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3} .
$$

In view of Lemma 4.1, it is clear that (4.7) implies (4.6).
We also define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda:=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2} \\
& \mu:=\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1} . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\nu \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu$.
We now prove (4.7). Using Lemma 4.1 it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} R_{a c} & =R_{a c}-2 R_{a i c j} R_{i j} \\
& =R_{a c}-2 R_{a b c d} R_{b d}+O\left(S f^{-1}\right) \\
& =R_{a c}-3 \bar{S} R_{a c}+\bar{S}^{2} g_{a c}+4 R_{a d} R_{d c}-2\left|R_{c d}\right|^{2} g_{a c}+O\left(S f^{-1 / 2}\right), \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{S}=g^{a c} R_{a c}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}=\nu+\lambda+\mu .
$$

Observe that by (4.2), $|S-\bar{S}| \leqslant c S f^{-1 / 2}$. Also, note that (4.9) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} \bar{S}=\bar{S}-2\left|R_{a c}\right|^{2}+O\left(S f^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use (4.9) to obtain, in the sense of barrier,

$$
\Delta_{f} \lambda_{3} \geqslant \lambda_{3}-3 \bar{S} \lambda_{3}+\bar{S}^{2}+4 \lambda_{3}^{2}-2\left|R_{a b}\right|^{2}-c S f^{-1 / 2}
$$

Since $\nu=\bar{S}-2 \lambda_{3}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} \nu \leqslant \nu+6 \bar{S} \lambda_{3}-2 \bar{S}^{2}-8 \lambda_{3}^{2}+2\left|R_{a b}\right|^{2}+c S f^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.8), we can express the right side of (4.11) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 \bar{S} \lambda_{3}-2 \bar{S}^{2}-8 \lambda_{3}^{2}+2\left|R_{a b}\right|^{2}= & 3(\lambda+\mu+\nu)(\lambda+\mu) \\
& -2(\lambda+\mu+\nu)^{2}-2(\lambda+\mu)^{2} \\
& +\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+\nu^{2}+\lambda \mu+\mu \nu+\nu \lambda\right) \\
= & -\nu^{2}-\lambda \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (4.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} \nu \leqslant \nu-\nu^{2}-\lambda \mu+c S f^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Modulo the error term $S f^{-1 / 2}$, this is the inequality one gets for three-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci solitons.

Let

$$
F:=f-2 \ln S
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{F}\left(\nu S^{-1}\right)= & \left(\Delta_{f} \nu\right) S^{-1}+\nu\left(\Delta_{f} S^{-1}\right)+2\left\langle\nabla \nu, \nabla S^{-1}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla\left(\nu S^{-1}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leqslant & \left(\nu-\nu^{2}-\lambda \mu+c S f^{-1 / 2}\right) S^{-1}+2\left\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla\left(\nu S^{-1}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\nu\left(-S^{-1}+2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2}+2|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-3}\right) \\
& +2\left\langle\nabla\left(\nu S^{-1}\right), \nabla S^{-1}\right\rangle S+2\left\langle\nabla S, \nabla S^{-1}\right\rangle\left(\nu S^{-1}\right) \\
= & -S^{-2}\left(\left(\nu^{2}+\lambda \mu\right) S-2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \nu\right)+c f^{-1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nu^{2}+\lambda \mu\right) S-2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \nu= & \left(\nu^{2}+\lambda \mu\right)(\nu+\lambda+\mu) \\
& -\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+\nu^{2}+\lambda \mu+\mu \nu+\nu \lambda\right) \nu+O\left(S^{2} f^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
= & \lambda^{2}(\mu-\nu)+\mu^{2}(\lambda-\nu)+O\left(S^{2} f^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So the function

$$
u:=\frac{\nu}{S}
$$

satisfies, in the sense of barrier, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{F} u \leqslant-S^{-2}\left(\lambda^{2}(\mu-\nu)+\mu^{2}(\lambda-\nu)\right)+c f^{-1 / 2} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that a function similar to $u$ was used to classify locally conformally flat shrinking Ricci solitons of arbitrary dimension in [ELM08]. This function also appears in the Hamilton-Ivey curvature pinching estimate for three-dimensional ancient solutions [CLN06].

We want to prove a lower bound for the function $u$ based on (4.13). For this, let $R>r_{0}$ be large enough so that

$$
R_{1}:=\ln R \geqslant r_{0} .
$$

According to Theorem 3.6, there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u>-c_{0} \quad \text { on } M \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function

$$
w:=u+k f^{-\varepsilon}+\varepsilon S^{-1},
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon=\frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{1}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln R}} \\
& k=c_{0}\left(R_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon} . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The constant $c_{0}>0$ in (4.15) is the same as that in (4.14). The choice of $k$ guarantees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w>0 \quad \text { on } \partial D\left(R_{1}\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$
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On $M \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{F} f^{-\varepsilon} & =-\varepsilon\left(\Delta_{f}(f)\right) f^{-\varepsilon-1}+\varepsilon(\varepsilon+1)|\nabla f|^{2} f^{-\varepsilon-2}-2 \varepsilon\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla f\rangle f^{-\varepsilon-1} \\
& \leqslant \varepsilon(f-2) f^{-\varepsilon-1}+\varepsilon(\varepsilon+1) f^{-\varepsilon-1}+2 \varepsilon|\nabla \ln S| f^{-\varepsilon-1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant 2 \varepsilon f^{-\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used Theorem 3.7.
Next, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{F} S^{-1} & =\Delta_{f} S^{-1}+2\left\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla S^{-1}\right\rangle \\
& =-\left(\Delta_{f} S\right) S^{-2}+2|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-3}-2|\nabla S|^{2} S^{-3} \\
& =-S^{-1}+2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} S^{-2} \\
& \leqslant-S^{-1}+c,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used Theorem 3.6.
Hence, on $M \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{F} w \leqslant-S^{-2}\left(\lambda^{2}(\mu-\nu)+\mu^{2}(\lambda-\nu)\right)+2 \varepsilon k f^{-\varepsilon}-\varepsilon S^{-1}+c \varepsilon, \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $c f^{-1 / 2} \leqslant c\left(R_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2}=c \varepsilon$ on $M \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$.
Let $\phi(t)=(R-t) / R$ on $[0, R]$ and consider the cutoff function $\phi(f)$ on $D(R)$. On $D(R) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\nabla \phi| & =\frac{|\nabla f|}{R} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} \\
\Delta_{F} \phi & =\Delta_{f} \phi+2\langle\nabla \ln S, \nabla \phi\rangle \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{R}(f-2)-\frac{c}{R} \sqrt{f} \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2 R} f . \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

In the second line above we have used Theorem 3.7.
Now define the function $G:=\phi^{2} w$ on $M \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$, which is positive on $\partial D\left(R_{1}\right)$ by (4.16) and zero on $M \backslash D(R)$. Let us first assume that $G$ is negative somewhere in $D(R) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$. Then there exists an interior point $q$ of $D(R) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$ at which $G$ achieves its minimum. In particular, $G(q)<0$ and $\nu(q)<0$.

Using (4.17) and (4.18) it follows that at $q$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leqslant \phi^{2} \Delta_{F} G \\
= & \phi^{4} \Delta_{F} w+G \Delta_{F} \phi^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla w, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle \phi^{2} \\
\leqslant & -S^{-2}\left(\lambda^{2}(\mu-\nu)+\mu^{2}(\lambda-\nu)\right) \phi^{4}+\left(2 k \varepsilon f^{-\varepsilon}-\varepsilon S^{-1}+c \varepsilon\right) \phi^{4} \\
& +\left(\phi \frac{f}{R}-6|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right) G \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used

$$
2 \phi\left(\Delta_{F} \phi\right) G \leqslant \frac{1}{R} \phi f G \quad \text { at } q .
$$

We now discuss two cases.
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Case 1. Suppose first that at $q$ we have

$$
\left(\phi \frac{f}{R}-6|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right) G \leqslant 0
$$

Then, we see from (4.19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{-2}\left(\lambda^{2}(\mu-\nu)+\mu^{2}(\lambda-\nu)\right) \leqslant 2 k \varepsilon f^{-\varepsilon}-\varepsilon S^{-1}+c \varepsilon . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, (4.20) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon S^{-1} & \leqslant 2 k \varepsilon f^{-\varepsilon}+c \varepsilon \\
& \leqslant 2 k \varepsilon\left(R_{1}\right)^{-\varepsilon}+c \varepsilon \\
& =\left(2 c_{0}+c\right) \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the definition of $k$ in (4.15). This shows that there exists a constant $c_{1}:=$ $2 c_{0}+c>0$ such that $S(q) \geqslant 1 / c_{1}>0$. Now (4.20) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{2}(\mu-\nu)+\mu^{2}(\lambda-\nu) & \leqslant c\left(2 k \varepsilon f^{-\varepsilon}+c \varepsilon\right) \\
& \leqslant c \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{2}(\mu-\nu) \leqslant c \varepsilon \\
& \mu^{2}(\lambda-\nu) \leqslant c \varepsilon \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we know that $\bar{S}(q)=\mu+\lambda+\nu \geqslant 1 /\left(2 c_{1}\right)>0$, which implies that $\mu \geqslant 1 /\left(4 c_{1}\right)$. Therefore, one concludes from the first inequality in (4.21) (recall $\nu(q)<0)$ that $|\lambda| \leqslant c_{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Using this in the second inequality of (4.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nu-c_{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon} & \leqslant \lambda-\nu \\
& \leqslant \frac{c \varepsilon}{\mu^{2}} \\
& \leqslant c \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $-\nu(q) \leqslant c \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and

$$
G(q) \geqslant-c \sqrt{\varepsilon}
$$

as $S(q) \geqslant 1 / c_{1}>0$. In conclusion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{D(R / 2) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)} w \geqslant 4 G(q) \geqslant-c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. Suppose now that at $q$ we have

$$
\left(\phi \frac{f}{R}-6|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right) G>0
$$

Since $G(q)<0$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{R-f}{R} \frac{f}{R} & \leqslant 6|\nabla \phi|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{6}{R^{2}} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $f(q) \geqslant R-6$ and $\phi(q) \leqslant 6 / R$. We now conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{D(R / 2) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)} G & \geqslant G(q) \\
& =w(q) \phi^{2}(q) \\
& \geqslant-\frac{c}{R^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$
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where the last line follows from $w \geqslant u>-c_{0}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{D(R / 2) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)} w \geqslant-\frac{c}{R^{2}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.22) and (4.23) we conclude that if $G$ is negative somewhere in $D(R) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$, then on $D(R / 2) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nu}{S} \geqslant-\frac{k}{f^{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varepsilon}{S}-c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Certainly, the same conclusion holds true if $G$ is nonnegative on $D(R) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$. Therefore, from (4.15) and (4.24) we see that on $D(R / 2) \backslash D\left(R_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \geqslant-c\left(\frac{R_{1}}{f}\right)^{\varepsilon}-c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\varepsilon=1 / \sqrt{\ln R}$. So on $\Sigma(R / 2)=\partial D(R / 2)$, we get from (4.25) that

$$
\nu \geqslant-\left(\frac{c}{\ln R}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

The constant $c$ depends only on $A$ and $D\left(r_{0}\right)$. Since $R$ is arbitrary, this proves the result.

## 5. Conical structure

Our goal in this section is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a complete four-dimensional shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with scalar curvature converging to zero at infinity. Then there exists a cone $E_{0}$ such that ( $M, g$ ) is $C^{k}$ asymptotic to $E_{0}$ for all $k$.

Proof. We first prove that there exist constants $c, C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leqslant S f \leqslant C \quad \text { on } M \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower bound was established in [CLY11]. We now establish the upper bound.
By Theorem 3.6 we see that there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ for which

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} S & =S-2|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2} \\
& \geqslant S-c_{0} S^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using that $\Delta_{f}(f)=2-f$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}\left(f^{-1}\right) & =-\Delta_{f}(f) f^{-2}+2|\nabla f|^{2} f^{-3} \\
& \leqslant(f-2) f^{-2}+2 f^{-2} \\
& =f^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $r_{0} \geqslant 1$ large enough so that on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S<\frac{1}{4 c_{0}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c_{0}>0$ the constant in (5.2) and also so that $6|\nabla f|^{2} \geqslant 4 f$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}\left(f^{-2}\right) & =2(f-2) f^{-3}+6|\nabla f|^{2} f^{-4} \\
& \geqslant 2 f^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u:=S-a f^{-1}+c_{0} a^{2} f^{-2}, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a:=\frac{r_{0}}{2 c_{0}} .
$$

By the choice of $a$ and (5.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u<0 \quad \text { on } \partial D\left(r_{0}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, on $\partial D\left(r_{0}\right)$ we have that

$$
S-a f^{-1}+2 c_{0} a^{2} f^{-2}<\frac{1}{4 c_{0}}-\frac{1}{2 c_{0}}+\frac{1}{4 c_{0}}=0 .
$$

Now note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f} u & \geqslant S-c_{0} S^{2}-a f^{-1}+2 c_{0} a^{2} f^{-2} \\
& =u-c_{0} S^{2}+c_{0} a^{2} f^{-2} \\
& =u-c_{0}\left(S-a f^{-1}\right)\left(S+a f^{-1}\right) \\
& \geqslant u-c_{0} u\left(S+a f^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} u \geqslant u\left(1-c_{0} S-c_{0} a f^{-1}\right) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leqslant c f^{-2} \quad \text { on } M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this claim, let $\psi(t)=(R-t) / R$ on $[0, R]$ and let $\psi=0$ for $t \geqslant R$. Define $G:=\psi^{2} u$ and compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} G= & \psi^{2} \Delta_{f} u+u \Delta_{f} \psi^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \psi^{2}\right\rangle \\
\geqslant & G\left(1-c_{0} S-c_{0} a f^{-1}\right) \\
& +2 \psi^{-1}\left(\Delta_{f} \psi\right) G-6 \psi^{-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2} G+2 \psi^{-2}\left\langle\nabla G, \nabla \psi^{2}\right\rangle . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $q$ be the maximum point of $G$ on $D(R) \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$. If $G(q) \leqslant 0$, then $u \leqslant 0$ and the claim (5.7) is true. So we may assume $G(q)>0$. In this case, (5.5) implies that $q$ is an interior point of $D(R) \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$. At $q$, by the maximum principle and (5.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geqslant 1-c_{0} S-c_{0} a f^{-1}+2 \psi^{-1}\left(\Delta_{f} \psi\right)-6 \psi^{-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \\
& >2 \psi^{-1}\left(\Delta_{f} \psi\right)-6 \psi^{-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2} . \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\Delta_{f} \psi=\frac{f-2}{R} \geqslant \frac{f}{2 R},
$$

it follows from (5.9) that

$$
\frac{f}{R} \psi \leqslant 6|\nabla \psi|^{2} \leqslant 6 \frac{1}{R^{2}} f .
$$

This means that $\psi(q) \leqslant 6 / R$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(q) & =u(q) \psi^{2}(q) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{R^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \sup _{D(R / 2) \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)} u & \leqslant \sup _{D(R / 2) \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)} G \\
& \leqslant G(q) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{R^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R$ is arbitrary, this again proves the claim (5.7).
In conclusion, on $M \backslash D\left(r_{0}\right)$,

$$
S-a f^{-1}+c_{0} a^{2} f^{-2} \leqslant c f^{-2}
$$

or $S f \leqslant a+c$. This proves (5.1).
Note that the preceding upper bound of $S$ together with Theorem 1.1 implies that the curvature of $M$ decays quadratically. As indicated in [KW15], this fact alone suffices to show that $M$ must be asymptotically conical. We omit the details here and refer the reader to [KW15].

## 6. Diameter estimate

In this section, we work with compact shrinking gradient Ricci solitons of arbitrary dimension and establish a diameter estimate from above. We are partially motivated by the work in [FMZ08], which implies that a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton with nonnegative Ricci curvature and injectivity radius bounded away from zero must have finite topological type. Indeed, under these assumptions, a second variation argument shows that all critical points of $f$ must be contained in a compact set of $M$, whose size depends only on the injectivity radius bound.

A more careful examination of this technique allows us to establish the following theorem.
Theorem 6.1. Let $(M, g, f)$ be a compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton of dimension $n$. Assume the injectivity radius of $(M, g)$ is bounded below by $\operatorname{inj}(M) \geqslant \delta>0$. Then the diameter of $(M, g)$ has an upper bound of the form

$$
\operatorname{diam}(M) \leqslant c(n)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $M$ is compact, the potential $f$ assumes a maximum and a minimum value. Let us fix

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(p) & =\min _{M} f \\
f(q) & =\max _{M} f
\end{aligned}
$$

We continue to normalize $f$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S+|\nabla f|^{2}-f=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the scalar curvature. Recall again that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2} d(p, x)+c_{1}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for all } x \in M \\
& f(x) \geqslant \frac{1}{4} d^{2}(p, x)-c_{1} d(p, x) \quad \text { for all } x \in M \backslash B_{p}\left(c_{2}\right) . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ depend only on the dimension $n$. Since $S \geqslant 0$, we see that (6.2) provides a uniform upper bound estimate for $|\nabla f|$ as well. Indeed, $|\nabla f|^{2} \leqslant f$.
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Consider now a minimizing normal geodesic $\sigma$ joining $p$ and $q$, parametrized so that $\sigma(0)=p$ and $\sigma(R)=q$. We apply the second variation formula of arc length to $\sigma(s), 0 \leqslant s \leqslant R$, and obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{R} \operatorname{Ric}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s), \sigma^{\prime}(s)\right) \phi^{2}(s) d s \leqslant(n-1) \int_{0}^{R}\left(\phi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s
$$

for any Lipschitz function $\phi$ with compact support in $[0, R]$. Using the fact that

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s), \sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)+f^{\prime \prime}(s)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{R} \phi^{2}(s) d s \leqslant(n-1) \int_{0}^{R}\left(\phi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s-2 \int_{0}^{R} f^{\prime}(s) \phi(s) \phi^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f(s):=f(\sigma(s))
$$

For any $R-\frac{1}{2} \delta \leqslant t \leqslant R-\frac{1}{4} \delta$, let us take

$$
\phi(s):= \begin{cases}s & \text { for } 0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1 \\ 1 & \text { for } 1 \leqslant s \leqslant t \\ \frac{R-s}{R-t} & \text { for } t \leqslant s \leqslant R\end{cases}
$$

Then we get from (6.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(t-1) & \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{R} \phi^{2}(s) d s \\
& \leqslant(n-1) \int_{0}^{R}\left(\phi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s-2 \int_{0}^{R} f^{\prime}(s) \phi(s) \phi^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& =(n-1)\left(1+\frac{1}{R-t}\right)-2 \int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(s) s d s+\frac{2}{(R-t)^{2}} \int_{t}^{R} f^{\prime}(s)(R-s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

By (6.2) and the subsequent comments, it is easy to see that

$$
\sup _{B_{p}(1)}|\nabla f| \leqslant c(n) .
$$

This implies that

$$
\int_{t}^{R} f^{\prime}(s)(R-s) d s \geqslant \frac{\delta^{2}}{64}\left(R-c(n)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)
$$

Integration by parts then yields

$$
-(R-t) f(t)+\int_{t}^{R} f(s) d s \geqslant \frac{\delta^{2}}{64}\left(R-c(n)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $f(s) \leqslant f(R)=\max f$ and $\frac{1}{4} \delta \leqslant R-t \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta$, we see that

$$
-(R-t) f(t)+\int_{t}^{R} f(s) d s \leqslant(R-t)(f(R)-f(t))
$$
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$$
\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta(f(R)-f(t))
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(R)-f(t) \geqslant \frac{\delta}{32}\left(R-c(n)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $R-\frac{1}{2} \delta \leqslant t \leqslant R-\frac{1}{4} \delta$.
Now the assumption that $\operatorname{inj}(M) \geqslant \delta$ implies that the geodesic $\sigma(s), R-\frac{1}{2} \delta \leqslant s \leqslant R$, can be extended into a minimizing normal geodesic over $R-\frac{1}{2} \delta \leqslant s \leqslant R+\frac{1}{2} \delta$.

We consider the cutoff function $\psi$ on $\left[R-\frac{1}{2} \delta, R+\frac{1}{2} \delta\right]$ defined by

$$
\psi(t):= \begin{cases}t-\left(R-\frac{1}{2} \delta\right) & \text { for } R-\frac{1}{2} \delta \leqslant t \leqslant R, \\ \left(R+\frac{1}{2} \delta\right)-t & \text { for } R \leqslant t \leqslant R+\frac{1}{2} \delta .\end{cases}
$$

Applying the second variation formula to $\sigma(t)$ for $R-\frac{1}{2} \delta \leqslant t \leqslant R+\frac{1}{2} \delta$, we have that (see (6.3))

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R+(1 / 2) \delta} \psi^{2}(t) d t \leqslant(n-1) \int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R+(1 / 2) \delta}\left(\psi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t-2 \int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R+(1 / 2) \delta} f^{\prime}(t) \psi(t) \psi^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

This implies

$$
\int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R} f^{\prime}(t) \psi(t) d t-\int_{R}^{R+(1 / 2) \delta} f^{\prime}(t) \psi(t) d t \leqslant c(n) \delta
$$

After integrating by parts, this can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta f(R) \leqslant \int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R+(1 / 2) \delta} f(t) d t+c(n) \delta . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f(R)=f(q)=\max f$. So

$$
\int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R+(1 / 2) \delta} f(t) d t \leqslant \int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R-(1 / 4) \delta} f(t) d t+\frac{3}{4} \delta f(R) .
$$

By (6.5), this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \delta f(R) \leqslant \int_{R-(1 / 2) \delta}^{R-(1 / 4) \delta} f(t) d t+c(n) \delta \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.4), we conclude $d(p, q)=R \leqslant c(n)(1+(1 / \delta))$. Therefore, by (6.2),

$$
\max _{M} f \leqslant c(n)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{2}
$$

Now the lower bound of $f$ from (6.2) implies $d(p, x) \leqslant c(n)(1+(1 / \delta))$, for all $x \in M$. By the triangle inequality, one immediately sees that

$$
\operatorname{diam}(M) \leqslant c(n)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)
$$

This proves the theorem.
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