

Macrosomic fetuses in diabetic pregnancies develop a unique pattern of overgrowth, involving the central deposition of subcutaneous fat in the abdominal and interscapular areas

Ann Nutr Metab 2015;66(suppl 2):14–20

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Macrosomia: A Literature Review by Kamana KC et al.

Key insights


Fetal macrosomia is a term used to define newborns who are significantly larger than average (birth weight $\geq 4,000$ g). Between 15 and 45% of newborns of mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) are macrosomic (in comparison to 12% of newborns of normal mothers). Macrosomic infants of women with GDM have a higher risk of becoming overweight or obese at a young age and are more likely to develop type II diabetes later in life. The findings from several studies suggest that epigenetic alterations in different fetal genes could result in the transmission of GDM and type II diabetes from mothers to their offspring.

Current knowledge

In mothers with GDM, the higher levels of blood glucose pass through the placenta into the fetal circulation. From the second trimester onwards, the fetal pancreas responds to the hyperglycemia by secreting insulin, resulting in hyperinsulinemia. This combination of hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia leads to an increase in the fat and protein stores of the fetus, resulting in macrosomia. Many studies have explored the impact of GDM and fetal macrosomia and shown the effects on maternal and fetal health.

Practical implications

For the infant, macrosomia increases the risk of shoulder dystocia, clavicle fractures and brachial plexus injury and increases the need for admission to neonatal intensive care units. For the mother, the risks associated with macrosomia are caesarean delivery, postpartum hemorrhage and vaginal lacerations. There are several recommendations for the management of macrosomia to prevent maternal and fetal birth trauma, including induction of labor and elective caesarean section. Strict regulation of maternal blood glucose levels can limit perinatal adverse outcomes. Newborns of GDM mothers should undergo full physical examination including evaluation for congenital anomalies, hypoglycemia, polycythemia, hyperbilirubinemia and electrolyte abnormalities.



The modified Pedersen's hypothesis explaining the pathophysiology of macrosomia. When maternal glycemic control is impaired and the maternal serum glucose level is high, glucose crosses the placenta – but insulin does not. In the second trimester, the fetal pancreas responds to hyperglycemia and secretes insulin in an autonomous manner (hyperinsulinemia). The combination of hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia leads to an increase in protein and fat stores in the fetus, resulting in macrosomia.

Recommended reading

Ding GL, Wang FF, Shu J, et al: Transgenerational glucose intolerance with Igf2/H19 epigenetic alterations in mouse islet induced by intrauterine hyperglycemia. *Diabetes* 2012;61:1133–1142.

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Macrosomia: A Literature Review

Kamana KC^{a, b} Sumisti Shakya^c Hua Zhang^{a, b}

^aDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, ^bCanada-China-New Zealand Joint Laboratory of Maternal and Fetal Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, and ^cDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, PR China

Key Messages

- Fetal macrosomia, resulting from fetal hyperinsulinemia in response to maternal diabetes, might be a predictor of later glucose intolerance.
- Maternal diabetes during pregnancy can lead to a transgenerational transmission of diabetes risk.
- Fetuses of obese women with gestational diabetes mellitus have a higher risk of developing macrosomia than those of nonobese women with gestational diabetes mellitus.

Key Words

Gestational diabetes mellitus · Large for gestational age · Macrosomia · Hyperglycemia · Obesity · Pregnancy · Epigenetics

Abstract

Background: Fetal macrosomia, defined as a birth weight $\geq 4,000$ g, may affect 12% of newborns of normal women and 15–45% of newborns of women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). The increased risk of macrosomia in GDM is mainly due to the increased insulin resistance of the mother. In GDM, a higher amount of blood glucose passes through the placenta into the fetal circulation. As a result, extra glu-

cose in the fetus is stored as body fat causing macrosomia, which is also called 'large for gestational age'. This paper reviews studies that explored the impact of GDM and fetal macrosomia as well as macrosomia-related complications on birth outcomes and offers an evaluation of maternal and fetal health. **Summary:** Fetal macrosomia is a common adverse infant outcome of GDM if unrecognized and untreated in time. For the infant, macrosomia increases the risk of shoulder dystocia, clavicle fractures and brachial plexus injury and increases the rate of admissions to the neonatal intensive care unit. For the mother, the risks associated with macrosomia are cesarean delivery, postpartum hemorrhage and vaginal lacerations. Infants of women with GDM are at an increased risk of becoming overweight or obese at a young age (during adolescence) and are more likely to develop type II diabetes later in life. Besides, the findings of several studies that epigenetic alterations of different genes of the fetus of a GDM mother in utero could result in the transgenerational transmission of GDM and type II diabetes are of concern.

© 2015 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as glucose intolerance of variable degrees with an onset, or first recognized, during pregnancy. About 15–45% of babies born to diabetic mothers can have macrosomia, which is

a 3-fold higher rate when compared to normoglycemic controls. Macrosomia is typically defined as a birth weight above the 90th percentile for gestational age or >4,000 g.

Unlike maternal hyperglycemia, maternal obesity has a strong and independent effect on fetal macrosomia [1]. Gestational age at delivery, maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), pregnancy weight gain, maternal height, hypertension and cigarette smoking also have a significant impact. When obese women were compared to normal-weight women, the newborns of obese women had more than double the risk of macrosomia compared to those of women with normal weight [2].

The newborns of obese women had more than double the risk of macrosomia compared to those of women with normal weight.

Data from the Diabetes in Early Pregnancy Study indicate that fetal birth weight correlates best with second- and third-trimester postprandial blood sugar levels and not with fasting or mean glucose levels [3]. When postprandial glucose values average 120 mg/dl or less, approximately 20% of infants can be expected to be macrosomic, and if the glucose values are as high as 160 mg/dl, the rate of macrosomia can reach up to 35%.

Macrosomic fetuses in diabetic pregnancies develop a unique pattern of overgrowth, involving the central deposition of subcutaneous fat in the abdominal and interscapular areas [4]. They have larger shoulder and extremity circumferences, a decreased head-to-shoulder ratio, significantly higher body fat and thicker upper-extremity skinfolds. Because fetal head size is not increased, but shoulder and abdominal girth can be markedly augmented, the risk of Erb's palsy, shoulder dystocia and brachial plexus trauma is more common. However, skeletal growth is largely unaffected.

Data from the Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in Pregnant Women (ACHOIS) demonstrated a positive relationship between the severity of maternal fasting hyperglycemia and the risk of shoulder dystocia, with a 1-mmol increase in fasting glucose leading to a 2.09 relative risk for shoulder dystocia [5].

Macrosomia is associated with excessive rates of neonatal morbidity. Macrosomic neonates have 5-fold higher rates of severe hypoglycemia and a doubled increase in neonatal jaundice in comparison with the infants of mothers without diabetes [6].

In addition, there appears to be a role for excessive fetal insulin levels in causing accelerated fetal growth. In a study which compared umbilical cord sera in infants of diabetic mothers and controls, the heavier, fatter babies from diabetic pregnancies were also hyperinsulinemic [7].

Pathophysiology of GDM

The exact mechanisms behind GDM still remain unclear. The following maternal and fetal-placental factors are interrelated and act in an integrated manner in the development of insulin resistance and GDM.

The Role of the Fetal-Placental Unit in the Development of GDM

During pregnancy, as gestational age progresses, the size of the placenta increases. There is a rise in the levels of pregnancy-associated hormones like estrogen, progesterone, cortisol and placental lactogen in the maternal circulation [8, 9] accompanied by an increasing insulin resistance. This usually begins between 20 and 24 weeks of gestation. As the mother goes through parturition and delivers the fetus, the placental hormone production stops, and so does the illness of GDM, which strongly suggests that these hormones cause GDM [10].

Human placental lactogen raises approximately 10-fold in the second half of the pregnancy. It stimulates lipolysis, which leads to an increase in free fatty acids in order to provide a different fuel to the mother and to conserve glucose and amino acids for the fetus. In turn, the increase in free fatty acid levels directly interferes with the insulin-directed entry of glucose into cells. Therefore, human placental lactogen is considered as a potent antagonist of insulin action during pregnancy.

The Role of Adipose Tissue in the Development of GDM

Adipose tissue produces adipocytokines, including leptin, adiponectin, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and interleukin-6, as well as the newly discovered resistin, visfatin and apelin [11, 12]. The roles of adipocytokines and elevated lipid concentrations in pregnancy have also been associated with the changes in insulin sensitivity in non-pregnant women [13] as well as in pregnant women [14]. Evidence suggests that one or more of these adipokines might impair insulin signaling and cause insulin resistance [12]. Specifically, TNF- α has a potential role in decreasing insulin sensitivity [15].




Fig. 1. Results of maternal hyperglycemia modified according to Pedersen's hypothesis.

Modified Pedersen's Hypothesis

The pathophysiology of macrosomia can be explained based on Pedersen's hypothesis of maternal hyperglycemia leading to fetal hyperinsulinemia and increased utilization of glucose and, hence, increased fetal adipose tissue. When maternal glycemic control is impaired and the maternal serum glucose level is high, the glucose crosses the placenta. However, the maternal-derived or exogenously administered insulin does not cross the placenta. As a result, in the second trimester, the fetal pancreas, which is now capable of secreting insulin, starts to respond to hyperglycemia and secrete insulin in an autonomous fashion regardless of glucose stimulation. This combination of hyperinsulinemia (insulin being a major anabolic hormone) and hyperglycemia (glucose being a major anabolic fuel) leads to an increase in the fat and protein stores of the fetus, resulting in macrosomia (fig. 1).

Macrosomia-Related Complications

Maternal Complications

If the baby is atypically large, vaginal birth will be more complicated. There is a risk of prolonged labor in which the fetus might be stuck in the birth canal, instrumental delivery (with forceps or vacuum) may be needed, and even unplanned or emergency cesarean section may be necessary. During birth, there is a greater risk of laceration and tear of the vaginal tissue than when the baby is of normal size, and the muscle between the vagina and the anus might tear (perineal tear).

There is also a high chance of uterine atony. The uterus muscle may not properly contract, resulting in heavy bleeding and postpartum hemorrhage. The risk of postpartum bleeding and genital tract injury was about 3–5 times higher in macrosomic deliveries [16]. Besides, if the mother has had a previous cesarean section, there is a higher chance of uterus tear along the scar line of the previous surgery.

Fetal Complications

Immediate Complications

Premature Birth. Due to early induction of labor before 39 weeks of gestation and/or premature rupture of membranes, there is a risk of preterm delivery. Although all the necessary precautions are undertaken prior to induction of early labor, newborns are still under the risk of complications associated with prematurity, including difficulties in respiration and feeding, infection, jaundice, neonatal intensive care unit admission and perinatal death.

Shoulder Dystocia and Erb's Palsy. One of the most serious complications of vaginal delivery in macrosomic babies is shoulder dystocia which is associated with birth trauma. Newborns with a birth weight of 4,500 g or more carry a 6 times higher risk of birth trauma [17], and the risk of brachial plexus injury is approximately 20 times higher when the birth weight is above 4,500 g [18].

Hypoglycemia at Birth. One of the most common metabolic disorders of the neonate of a GDM mother is hypoglycemia. It occurs due to the hyperinsulinemia of the fetus in response to the maternal hyperglycemia in utero. Hypoglycemia can lead to more serious complications like severe central nervous system and cardiopulmonary disturbances. Major long-term sequelae include neurologic damage resulting in mental retardation, recurrent seizure activity, developmental delay and personality disorders.

Neonatal Jaundice. Factors which may account for jaundice are prematurity, impaired hepatic conjugation of bilirubin and increased enterohepatic circulation of bilirubin resulting from poor feeding. In macrosomia, neonates have a high oxygen demand causing increased erythropoiesis and, ultimately, polycythemia. Therefore, when these cells break down, bilirubin (a byproduct of red blood cells) increases resulting in neonatal jaundice.

Congenital Anomalies. Heart defects and neural tube defects, such as spina bifida, are the most common types of birth defects. The high blood sugar level of women with GDM can damage the developing organs of the fetus, leading to congenital anomalies.

Later Complications

Childhood Obesity and Metabolic Syndrome. Many studies suggest that one of the reasons of childhood obesity is GDM. There has been evidence of fetal programming of later adiposity amongst offspring exposed to existing diabetes in utero. The offspring of Pima Indian women with preexisting type II diabetes and GDM were larger for gestational age at birth and, after approximately 5 years of age, were heavier than the offspring of prediabetic or nondiabetic women [19]. The Exploring Perinatal Outcomes among Children (EPOCH) study found that exposure to maternal GDM was associated with a higher BMI, a greater waist circumference, more visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue and a more centralized fat distribution pattern in 6- to 13-year-old multiethnic youth [20]. Moreover, youth exposed to maternal GDM in utero had an overall higher average BMI growth from 27 months through 13 years of age and a higher BMI growth velocity starting at age 10–13 years [21]. These findings suggest that the long-term effects of in utero GDM exposure are not always evident in early childhood, but rather emerge during puberty, another sensitive period for the development of obesity. Offspring of diabetic mothers is also susceptible to the onset of metabolic syndromes such as increased blood pressure, hyperglycemia, obesity and abnormal cholesterol levels that occur together and increase the risk of heart disease, stroke and diabetes.

Transgenerational Transmission of GDM and Epigenetics

In GDM, the abnormal metabolic intrauterine environment affects the development of the fetus by inducing changes in gene expression by epigenetic mechanisms of susceptible cells, leading to the development of diabetes in adulthood. Offspring (F1 generation) of severely and mildly hyperglycemic mothers develops GDM and other metabolic disorders in later life, affecting the second generation (F2 generation) as well. Thus, GDM gives rise to a vicious cycle in which mothers with GDM have babies with epigenetic changes who are prone to develop metabolic disease later in life, which will give rise to a new generation of mothers with GDM. This trend of passing a disease from one generation to another through epigenetic changes is known as transgenerational transmission (fig. 2).

It is now widely accepted that an adverse preconceptual and intrauterine environment is associated with epigenetic malprogramming of the fetal metabolism and predisposition to chronic, and in particular, metabolic disorders later in life [22, 23].

Epigenetics is the study of heritable changes in gene expression that occur without changes in the DNA sequence [24]. DNA methylation and histone modifications are two major epigenetic regulators in mammalian cells, which are functionally linked in transcription and may provide a mechanism for the stable propagation of gene activity from one generation of cells to the next [25]. Biochemical changes, i.e. in the form of DNA methylation and histone modifications, control the spatial, temporal and parent-specific highly coordinated gene expression patterns. As exogenous influences can induce epigenetic modifications, epigenetic variation among individuals may be genetically or environmentally determined [26]. Many different studies have made the obser-

Early adverse conditions are associated with diabetes and metabolic dysfunction later in life.

vation that early adverse conditions are associated with diabetes and metabolic dysfunction later in life.

Although the mechanisms involved in the epigenetic modifications that lead to the possibility of transgenerational transmission are still unclear, evidence suggests that methylation in germ cells might be responsible [27]. Others suggested that the hyperglycemic uterine environment during pregnancy affects multiple loci in the fetal epigenome initiating metabolic programming, leading not only to transgenerational transmission of GDM but also of several other metabolic diseases [28, 29].

In one study [30], in both F1 and F2 offspring of GDM mothers in a rat model, the expression of imprinted genes *Igf2* and *H19* was downregulated in pancreatic islets, which was caused by the abnormal methylation status of the differentially methylated region, which may be one of the mechanisms for impaired islet ultrastructure and function. Furthermore, in the same study, altered *Igf2* and *H19* gene expression was found in sperm of adult F1 GDM offspring, indicating that changes of epigenetics in germ cells contributed to transgenerational transmission.

In another study [28], to test the effects of GDM on the epigenome of the next generation, cord blood and the placenta of mothers with GDM were tested. Here, the maternally imprinted *MEST* gene, the nonimprinted glucocorticoid receptor *NR3C1* gene and interspersed *ALU* repeats showed significantly decreased methylation levels in GDM groups compared to controls. Significantly de-




Fig. 2. Vicious cycle of transgenerational transmission of GDM.

creased blood MEST methylation was also observed in adults with morbid obesity compared to normal-weight controls, suggesting that epigenetic malprogramming of MEST may contribute to obesity predisposition throughout life.

Several studies on different genes were carried out in order to understand the epigenetic mechanisms of GDM and transgenerational transformation. All of these studies found epigenetic alterations in the respective genes which had been studied [28, 31–34]. Therefore, from these studies, we can conclude that epigenetic mechanisms predispose offspring to developing type II diabetes, GDM and other metabolic diseases in later stages of life. An increased need for insulin by the fetus to deal with high levels of glucose caused by GDM is an environmental circumstance which probably triggers epigenetic changes in the early stage of life, involving genes critical to pancreatic development and B-cell function, peripheral glucose uptake and insulin resistance.

Maternal Obesity, GDM and Macrosomia

The majority of mothers with GDM are obese, and a significant proportion of those who are obese have GDM [35]. One meta-analysis showed that the risk of developing GDM was 2.14-fold higher in overweight pregnant women, 3.56-fold higher in obese pregnant women and 8.56-fold higher in severely obese pregnant women compared to pregnant women with normal weight [36]. An analysis of data from more than 23,000 women in the HAPO (Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Out-

comes) study [37] showed that the prevalence of macrosomia among 17,244 nonobese women without GDM was 6.7% compared to 10.2% in 2,791 nonobese women with GDM and 20.2% in 935 obese women with GDM.

A study has shown that maternal obesity is a stronger predictor of a large-for-gestational-age infant than maternal hyperglycemia [38]. In the HAPO study [37], the investigators found that the frequency of macrosomia in GDM was increased by 50% compared to non-GDM in both the nonobese and obese groups. Obesity was associated with a 2-fold higher frequency of macrosomia whether in the non-GDM or GDM group. Macrosomia in GDM only was present in 26%, in GDM plus obesity in 33% and in obesity only in 41%. A large prospective study from Spain found that the upper quartile of maternal BMI was responsible for 23% of macrosomia, while GDM accounted for 3.8% [39]. Women who did not have GDM but who were obese had a 13.6% increased risk of macrosomia (defined as a child weighing 4,000 g or more at birth) than nonobese women [37].

From this, we can conclude that although GDM and maternal obesity are independently associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, the combination of both GDM and maternal obesity has a greater effect on macrosomia.

Management of Macrosomia

There are various recommendations for the management of macrosomia varying from expectant management and elective induction of labor before term to elective cesarean section for an estimated fetal weight of $\geq 4,250$ g [40] or $>4,500$ g [41] depending on the study.

Studies have shown that the chance of vaginal delivery is higher when spontaneous labor occurs than when labor is induced [42]. However, waiting for spontaneous labor to begin is an option limited by gestational age. As the gestational age exceeds 41 weeks of gestation, maternal morbidity and perinatal morbidity and mortality increase. Hence, timely action to induct delivery is needed.

Early Induction of Labor

Given that after 37 weeks of gestation the fetus continues to grow at a rate of 230 g/week [43], elective induction of labor before or near term has been proposed to prevent macrosomia and its complications [44]. However, there are two factors necessary for the induction of labor: the first is fetal lung maturation. Fetuses with a diabetic mother have been shown to have delayed lung maturity. Normally, the pulmonary maturation takes place at a

mean gestational age of 34–35 weeks. By 37 weeks, 99% of fetuses are matured. However, in the fetus of a diabetic mother, the lung may not be mature until 38.5 weeks. The second important point is that the patient who is going to undergo induction must have a ripe cervix with a Bishop score of ≥ 6 ; otherwise, there is an increased chance of failure of induction, which ultimately leads to a cesarean section [45]. In one study [46], the outcomes of suspected macrosomic infants of mothers who had expectant management of pregnancy versus elective induction of labor were compared. The rate of cesarean sections was found to be very high (57 vs. 31%) in those who were assigned to the electively induced group. In some studies, elective induction of labor for macrosomia was found to increase the rate of cesarean delivery without improvement in perinatal outcomes [42, 47].

In some studies, elective induction of labor for macrosomia was found to increase the rate of cesarean delivery without improvement in perinatal outcomes.

Elective Cesarean Section

Many studies suggest offering a cesarean section to patients who are suspected of expecting a macrosomic infant, especially to those with GDM, insulin-dependent diabetes and a previous high-birth-weight infant, so as to

prevent maternal and fetal birth trauma. Unfortunately, measures to calculate the weight of the fetus are inaccurate [48]. In one study, it was claimed that, in a general population, it is unreasonable to perform an elective cesarean section to prevent brachial plexopathy [49].

Management of the Neonate

Large-for-gestational-age neonates do not only include postterm infants, but also term or even preterm infants. This should be kept in mind as the management and the main concerns in treatment could differ. A strictly regulated maternal blood sugar level decreases the perinatal adverse outcomes.

Neonates with a diabetic mother should undergo a full physical examination from head to toe, congenital anomalies (congenital heart defects, tracheoesophageal fistula and central nervous system abnormalities) and birth trauma being of more concern. They should receive intensive observation and care and should be evaluated for hypoglycemia, polycythemia, hyperbilirubinemia and electrolyte abnormalities.

The blood glucose level should be examined within 1 h of life, then every hour for the next 6–8 h and then as needed. Oral feeding, ideally breast feeding, is recommended as soon as possible, and if oral feeding is insufficient, an intravenous infusion of glucose should be started.

Disclosure Statement

None of the authors has any conflicts of interest in connection with this study.

References

- 1 Ehrenberg HM, Mercer BM, Catalano PM: The influence of obesity and diabetes on the prevalence of macrosomia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;191:964–968.
- 2 Yogev Y, Langer O: Pregnancy outcome in obese and morbidly obese gestational diabetic women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2008;137:21–26.
- 3 Jovanovic-Peterson L, Peterson CM, Reed GF, Metzger BE, Mills JL, Knopp RH, et al: Maternal postprandial glucose levels and infant birth weight: the Diabetes in Early Pregnancy Study. The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development-Diabetes in Early Pregnancy Study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1991;164:103–111.
- 4 McFarland MB, Trylovich CG, Langer O: Anthropometric differences in macrosomic infants of diabetic and nondiabetic mothers. *J Matern Fetal Med* 1998;7:292–295.
- 5 Athukorala C, Crowther CA, Willson K: Women with gestational diabetes mellitus in the ACHOIS trial: risk factors for shoulder dystocia. *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol* 2007;47:37–41.
- 6 Hunter DJ, Burrows RF, Mohide PT, Whyte RK: Influence of maternal insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus on neonatal morbidity. *CMAJ* 1993;149:47–52.
- 7 Simmons D: Interrelation between umbilical cord serum sex hormones, sex hormone-binding globulin, insulin-like growth factor I, and insulin in neonates from normal pregnancies and pregnancies complicated by diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1995;80:2217–2221.
- 8 Ryan EA, Enns L: Role of gestational hormones in the induction of insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998;67:341–347.
- 9 Ahmed SA, Shalayer MH: Role of cortisol in the deterioration of glucose tolerance in Sudanese pregnant women. *East Afr Med J* 1999;76:465–467.
- 10 Buchanan TA, Xiang AH: Gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Invest* 2005;115:485–491.
- 11 Catalano PM: Obesity, insulin resistance, and pregnancy outcome. *Reproduction* 2010;140:365–371.

- 12 Briana DD, Malamitsi-Puchner A: Reviews: adipocytokines in normal and complicated pregnancies. *Reprod Sci* 2009;16:921–937.
- 13 Hotamisligil GS, Murray DL, Choy LN, Spiegelman BM: Tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibits signaling from the insulin receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994;91:4854–4858.
- 14 Hotamisligil GS, Peraldi P, Budavari A, et al: IRS-1-mediated inhibition of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity in TNF- α and obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Science* 1996;271:665–668.
- 15 Al-Noaemi M, Shalayer MHF: Adiponectin. *Sudan J Med Sci* 2009;4:297–305.
- 16 Lazer S, Biale Y, Mazor M et al: Complications associated with the macrosomic fetus. *J Reprod Med* 1986;31:501–505.
- 17 Haji-Ebrahim-Tehrani F, Kazemi H, Kordi M: Prevalence and outcome of the macrosomic infants. *Acta Med Iranica* 2007;45:505–509.
- 18 McFarland LV, Raskin M, Daling JR, Benedetti TJ: Erb/Duchenne's palsy: a consequence of fetal macrosomia and method of delivery. *Obstet Gynecol* 1986;68:784–788.
- 19 Pettitt DJ, Nelson RG, Saad MF, Bennett PH, Knowler WC: Diabetes and obesity in the offspring of Pima Indian women with diabetes during pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 1993;16:310–314.
- 20 Crume TL, Ogden L, West NA, et al: Association of exposure to diabetes in utero with adiposity and fat distribution in a multiethnic population of youth: the Exploring Perinatal Outcomes among Children (EPOCH) study. *Diabetologia* 2011;54:87–92.
- 21 Crume TL, Ogden L, Daniels S, Hamman RF, Norris JM, Dabelea D: The impact of in utero exposure to diabetes on childhood body mass index growth trajectories: the EPOCH study. *J Pediatr* 2011;158:941–946.
- 22 Barker DJ, Eriksson JG, Forsén T, Osmond C: Fetal origins of adult disease: strength of effects and biological basis. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002;31:1235–1239.
- 23 Gillman MW: Developmental origins of health and disease. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:1848–1850.
- 24 Wolffe AP, Guschin D: Review: chromatin structural features and targets that regulate transcription. *J Struct Biol* 2000;129:102–122.
- 25 Handel AE, Ebers GC, Ramagopalan SV: Epigenetics: molecular mechanisms and implications for disease. *Trends Mol Med* 2010;16:7–16.
- 26 Bollati V, Baccarelli A: Environmental epigenetics. *Heredity* 2010;105:105–112.
- 27 Smallwood SA, Kelsey G: De novo DNA methylation: a germ cell perspective. *Trends Genet* 2012;28:33–42.
- 28 El Hajj N, Pliushch G, Schneider E, Dittrich M, Müller T, Korenkov M, Aretz M, Zechner U, Lehnen H, Haaf T: Metabolic programming of MEST DNA methylation by intrauterine exposure to gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes* 2013;62:1320–1328.
- 29 Moore TR: Fetal exposure to gestational diabetes contributes to subsequent adult metabolic syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010;202:643–649.
- 30 Ding GL, Wang FF, Shu J, et al: Transgenerational glucose intolerance with Igf2/H19 epigenetic alterations in mouse islet induced by intrauterine hyperglycemia. *Diabetes* 2012;61:1133–1142.
- 31 Bouchard L, Hivert M-F, Guay S-P, et al: Placental adiponectin gene DNA methylation levels are associated with mothers' blood glucose concentration. *Diabetes* 2012;61:1272–1280.
- 32 Bouchard L, Thibault S, Guay SP, et al: Leptin gene epigenetic adaptation to impaired glucose metabolism during pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:2436–2441.
- 33 Uzelac PS, Li X, Lin J, et al: Dysregulation of leptin and testosterone production and their receptor expression in the human placenta with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Placenta* 2010;31:581–588.
- 34 Houde A-A, Hivert M-F, Bouchard L: Fetal epigenetic programming of adipokines. *Adipocyte* 2013;2:41–46.
- 35 Simmons D: Diabetes and obesity in pregnancy. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2011;25:25–36.
- 36 Chu SY, Callaghan WM, Kim SY, et al: Maternal obesity and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:2070–2076.
- 37 Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, et al: The Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study: associations of higher levels of maternal glucose and BMI with macrosomia: an example of diabetes. *ADA 70th Scientific Session*, 2010. Abstract No. 154-OR. http://professional.diabetes.org/Abstracts_Display.aspx?TYP=1&CID=79099.
- 38 Ryan EA: Diagnosing gestational diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2011;54:480–486.
- 39 Ricart W, Lopez J, Mozas J, et al: Body mass index has a greater impact on pregnancy outcomes than gestational hyperglycaemia. *Diabetologia* 2005;48:1736–1742.
- 40 Conway DL, Langer O: Elective delivery of infants with macrosomia in diabetic women: reduce shoulder dystocia versus increase cesarean deliveries. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;178:922–925.
- 41 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Practice Bulletins – Obstetrics: ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician-gynecologists. Number 30, September 2001 (replaces Technical Bulletin Number 200, December 1994). *Gestational diabetes*. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;98:525–538.
- 42 Sanchez-Ramos L, Bernstein S, Kaunitz AM: Expectant management versus labor induction for suspected fetal macrosomia: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol* 2002;100:997–1002.
- 43 Ott WJ: The diagnosis of altered fetal growth. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 1988;15:237–263.
- 44 Cheng YW, Sparks TN, Laros RK, Nicholson JM, Caughey AB: Impending macrosomia: will induction of labour modify the risk of caesarean delivery? *BJOG* 2012;119:402–409.
- 45 Friesen CD, Miller AM, Rayburn WF: Influence of spontaneous or induced labor on delivering the macrosomic fetus. *Am J Perinatol* 1995;12:63–66.
- 46 Combs CA, Singh NB, Khoury JC: Elective induction versus spontaneous labor after sonographic diagnosis of fetal macrosomia. *Obstet Gynecol* 1993;81:492–496.
- 47 Horrigan TJ: Physicians who induced labor for fetal macrosomia do not reduce cesarean delivery rates. *J Perinatol* 2001;21:91–96.
- 48 Hall MH: Guessing the weight of the baby. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1996;103:734–736.
- 49 Chauhan SP, Grobman WA, Gherman RA, et al: Suspicion and treatment of the macrosomic fetus: a review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193:332–346.