Turk J Math
(2014) 38: $87-109$
(c) TÜBITTAK
doi:10.3906/mat-1212-15

# Global existence, uniform decay, and exponential growth of solutions for a system of viscoelastic Petrovsky equations 

Faramarz TAHAMTANI*, Amir PEYRAVI<br>Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz, 71454, Iran

Received: 12.12.2012 • Accepted: 19.06.2013 • Published Online: 09.12.2013 • Printed: 20.01 .2014


#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the initial-boundary value problem for a system of nonlinear viscoelastic Petrovsky equations. Introducing suitable perturbed energy functionals and using the potential well method we prove uniform decay of solution energy under some restrictions on the initial data and the relaxation functions. Moreover, we establish a growth result for certain solutions with positive initial energy.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the following initial-boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u_{t t}-\left(g_{1} * \Delta^{2} u\right)(t)-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t}=f_{1}(u, v), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times[0, T),  \tag{1.1}\\
\left|v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t t}+\Delta^{2} v-\Delta v_{t t}-\left(g_{2} * \Delta^{2} v\right)(t)-\Delta v_{t}+\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t}=f_{2}(u, v), \quad(x, t), \in \Omega \times[0, T), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), \quad v_{t}(x, 0)=v_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
u(x, t)=\partial_{\nu} u(x, t)=0, \quad v(x, t)=\partial_{\nu} v(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times[0, T),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\rho>0, p, q \geq 1, T>0, \Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathrm{R}^{n}(n=1,2,3)$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ so that the divergence theorem can be applied, $\nu$ denotes the outward normal derivative, $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are positive functions satisfying some conditions to be specified later, and

$$
\left(g_{i} * \phi\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g_{i}(t-\tau) \phi(\tau) d \tau, \quad i=1,2
$$

By taking

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(u, v)=(r+1)\left[a|u+v|^{r-1}(u+v)+b|u|^{\frac{r-3}{2}}|v|^{\frac{r+1}{2}} u\right] \\
& f_{2}(u, v)=(r+1)\left[a|u+v|^{r-1}(u+v)+b|v|^{\frac{r-3}{2}}|u|^{\frac{r+1}{2}} v\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a>1, b>0$, and $r \geq 3$, one can easily verify

$$
u f_{1}(u, v)+v f_{2}(u, v)=(r+1) F(u, v), \quad \forall(u, v) \in R^{2}
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u, v)=a|u+v|^{r+1}+2 b|u v|^{\frac{r+1}{2}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In [6] Cavalcanti et al. studied the following nonlinear viscoelastic problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t t}-\Delta u-\Delta u_{t t}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau-\gamma \Delta u_{t}=0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0  \tag{1.4}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is open bounded in $R^{n}, n \geq 1$. Under the assumptions $0<\rho \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$ or $\rho>0$ if $n=1,2$ and $g(t)$ decays exponentially, they obtained the global existence of weak solutions for $\gamma \geq 0$ and the uniform exponential decay rates of the energy for $\gamma>0$. In the presence of a nonlinear source term, the decay result has been extended by [23]. In the case of $\gamma=0$ when a source term competes with the dissipation induced by the viscoelastic term, Messaoudi and Tatar [24] studied the equation

$$
\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t t}-\Delta u-\Delta u_{t t}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau=b|u|^{p-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0
$$

with the initial and boundary conditions $(1.4)_{2}$ and $(1.4)_{3}$. They used the potential well method to show that the damping induced by the viscoelastic term is enough to ensure global existence and uniform decay of solutions provided that the initial data are in some stable set. Han and Wang [12], investigated a related problem with linear damping

$$
\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t t}-\Delta u-\Delta u_{t t}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau+u_{t}=0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0
$$

Using the Faedo-Galerkin method, they showed the global existence of weak solutions and obtained uniform exponential decay of solutions by introducing a perturbed energy functional. Recently, these results have been extended by $\mathrm{Wu}[34]$ to a more general case where a source term and a nonlinear damping term are present

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t t}-\Delta u-\Delta u_{t t}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p} u_{t}=|u|^{r} u, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the initial and boundary conditions are as $(1.4)_{2}$ and $(1.4)_{3}$. In the case of $\rho=0$, and in the absence of a dispersive term, there is a substantial number of papers dealing with equation (1.5). For example, we may recall the work by Cavalcanti et al. [7] in which the following equation:

$$
u_{t t}-k_{0} \Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{div}[a(x) g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau)] d \tau+b(x) h\left(u_{t}\right)+f(u)=0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0,
$$

has been considered. Under some conditions on the relaxation function $g$ and for $a(x)+b(x) \geq \rho>0$, they improved the results of [8] by establishing stability for exponential decay function $g$ and linear function $h$, and polynomial stability for polynomial decay function $g$ and nonlinear function $h$. For some other related papers in connection with the existence, finite time blow-up, and asymptotic properties of solutions of nonlinear wave equations, we refer the reader to $[4,5,9,10,13,18,19,20,35,37,38]$ and references therein.

The following initial boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t t}-\Delta u-\Delta u_{t t}+\left(g_{1} * \Delta u\right)(t)-\gamma_{1} \Delta u_{t}+a_{1}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t}=f_{1}(u, v), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0  \tag{1.6}\\
\left|v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t t}-\Delta v-\Delta v_{t t}+\left(g_{2} * \Delta v\right)(t)-\gamma_{2} \Delta v_{t}+a_{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t}=f_{2}(u, v), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), \quad v_{t}(x, 0)=v_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
u(x, t)=v(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t>0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

has been investigated by many people. When $\rho=0$ and there are no dispersion terms, in the absence of strong damping $\left(\gamma_{i}=0\right)$, the system has been investigated by several authors and results concerning existence, decay, and blow-up were obtained $[1,2,15,22,25,26,28,29,32,36]$. In the case of $g_{i}=0$, Agre and Rammaha [1] proved several results on local and global existence of weak solutions with the nonlinear functions $f_{1}(u, v)$ and $f_{2}(u, v)$ as given in (1.2). The strong nonlinearities on $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ allowed them to prove the local existence result only for $n \leq 3$. Involving the Nehari Manifold and under some conditions on the parameters in the same system, the authors in [2] obtained several results on the global existence, uniform decay rates, and blow-up of solutions in finite time when the initial energy is nonnegative. Recently, based on the potential well method and a lemma by Nakao [28], Said-Houari [29] established global existence, and polynomial and exponential decay rates for the energy of the same problem with different nonlinear source terms. In [36], Wu studied the following nonlinear wave equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t}+m_{1}^{2} u=f_{1}(u, v)  \tag{1.7}\\
v_{t t}-\Delta v+\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t}+m_{2}^{2} v=f_{2}(u, v)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $r=3$, in (1.2), and initial-boundary values $(1.6)_{3}-(1.6)_{5}$. Wu discussed the blow-up properties of (1.7) in 2 cases. In the first case, $p=q=1$, the main result contains the estimates of the upper bound of the blow-up time. In the second case, $1<p, q<3$, the nonexistence of global solutions is proved and estimates for the blow-up time are also given. This work improved the work [15], in which similar results have been established for (1.7) in the absence of damping terms.

In the presence of the viscoelastic terms $\left(g_{i} \neq 0\right)$, Said-Houari et al. [32] obtained global existence and a uniform decay rate result under some restrictions on initial data and for some classes of kernels $g_{i}$. They showed that the decay rate of the energy depends on those of the relaxation functions. Their result improved the one in [25] in which only the exponential and polynomial decay rates are obtained. In another work [26], they obtained a global nonexistence result for the same system when the initial energy is considered to be positive. In the weak damping case $(p=1, q=1)$, Ma et al. [22] showed the solutions with arbitrarily positive initial energy blow-up in finite time with the following nonlinear functions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}(u, v)=a_{1}|v|^{s+1}|u|^{r-1} v \\
f_{2}(u, v)=a_{1}|u|^{r+1}|v|^{s-1} v
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r, s>1$. They used the concavity method, which is based on defining a positive function $\eta(t)$ and showing that $\eta(t)^{-\alpha}$ is a concave function for some $\alpha>0$. In the presence of strong damping terms $\left(\gamma_{i} \neq 0\right)$ as well as absence of nonlinear damping terms $\left(a_{i}=0\right)$, another coupled system was investigated in [14]. The authors proved that, under suitable assumptions on the functions $g_{i}, f_{i}$ and certain initial data in the stable set, the decay rate of the solution energy is exponential. They also showed that, for certain initial data in the unstable set, there are solutions with positive initial energy that blow-up in finite time.

In [21], Liu studied the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t t}-\Delta u-\Delta u_{t t}+\left(g_{1} * \Delta u\right)(t)+f_{1}(u, v)=0  \tag{1.8}\\
\left|v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t t}-\Delta v-\Delta v_{t t}+\left(g_{2} * \Delta v\right)(t)+f_{2}(u, v)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|f_{1}(u, v)\right| \leq d \min \left\{\left(|u|^{\beta_{1}}+|v|^{\beta_{2}}\right),|u|^{\beta-1}|v|^{\beta}\right\} \\
\left|f_{2}(u, v)\right| \leq d \min \left\{\left(|u|^{\beta_{3}}+|v|^{\beta_{4}}\right),|u|^{\beta}|v|^{\beta-1}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some constant $d>0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{i} \geq 1, \quad(n-2) \beta_{i} \leq n, \quad i=1,2,3,4 \\
\beta>1 \quad \text { if } n=1,2 ; \quad 1<\beta \leq \frac{n-1}{n-2} \quad \text { if } n \geq 3
\end{gathered}
$$

The author used the perturbed energy method to prove an exponential decay result if both $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are decaying exponentially and a polynomial decay result if both $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are decaying polynomially. This is an extension of the result obtained by Messaoudi and Tatar [25] for the system (1.8) with $\rho=0$ and in the absence of dispersive terms. Recently, motivated by the works [30, 33], Said-Houari [31] studied (1.6) with $\gamma_{i}=0$. He proved that the energy associated with the system grows as an exponential function as time goes to infinity, provided that the initial data are large enough.

In recent years, these results have been extended to Petrovsky equations. The single Petrovsky wave equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u+h\left(u_{t}\right)=f(u), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary and initial conditions $(1.1)_{3}-(1.1)_{5}$, has been widely investigated. For $f=-q(x) u(x, t)$, equation (1.9) has been considered by Guesmia [11], where $q$ is a positive function in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $h$ is a continuous and increasing function that satisfies $h(0)=0$. When $h\left(u_{t}\right)=a u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-2}$ and $f(u)=b u|u|^{r-2}$ where $a, b>0$ and $r, p>2$, Messaoudi [27] established an existence result when $p \geq r$ with arbitrary initial data. He also showed that the solution blows up if $p<r$ and the initial energy is negative. In [3], Amroun and Benaissa obtained the global solvability of (1.9) subject to the same boundary and initial conditions as $(1.1)_{3}-(1.1)_{5}$, where $f(u)=b u|u|^{r-2}$ and $h$ satisfies

$$
c_{1}|s| \leq|h(s)| \leq c_{2}|s|^{m}, \quad|s| \geq 1, \quad c_{1}, c_{2}>0
$$

where

$$
1 \leq m \leq \infty \quad \text { if } \quad n=1,2,3,4 \quad \text { or } \quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{n+4}{n-4} \quad \text { if } \quad n \geq 5
$$

The key point to their proof is the use of the stable set method combined with the Faedo-Galerkin procedure. In the presence of strong damping, we mention also the work by Li et al. [16] in which they considered the following Petrovsky equation:

$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u_{t}+u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1}=u|u|^{r-1}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0
$$

with the boundary and initial conditions $(1.1)_{3}-(1.1)_{5}$. The authors obtained the global existence and uniform decay of solutions if the initial data are in some stable set without any interaction between the damping
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mechanism $u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1}$ and the source term $u|u|^{r-1}$. Moreover, they established the blow-up properties of the local solution if $r>p$ and the initial energy is less than the potential well depth. In [17], inspiring the work [36], they also considered the following Petrovsky equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u+\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t}=f_{1}(u, v), \\
v_{t t}+\Delta^{2} v+\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t}=f_{2}(u, v),
\end{array}\right.
$$

in a bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq R^{n},(n=1,2,3)$ with nonlinear functions $f_{1}(u, v)$ and $f_{2}(u, v)$ given in (1.2). They proved the global existence of solutions and established the uniform decay rates by means of Nakao's inequality. Improving on the method of [36], they showed the blow-up of solutions and the lifespan estimates when $p=1, q=1$. In the case $1<p, q<3$, they also obtained a blow-up result when the initial energy is negative or nonnegative at less than the mountain pass level value.

Motivated by the above studies, we consider the decay and growth propositions of the solution for problem (1.1). We prove the global existence and uniform decay of solutions by using the potential well method and introducing a perturbed energy function. We also prove that the energy will grow provided that the initial data are large enough.

The outline of our paper is as follows. In section 2 we present some notations, assumptions, and lemmas needed later and state the main results of this article. Section 3 is devoted to proving the global existence and uniform decay of solutions: Theorem 2.2. The growth result of solutions, Theorem 2.3, is proved in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries and main results

In this section, we introduce some notations and lemmas needed in the proof of our main results. Throughout this paper, we use the standard Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\Omega)$, the Sobolev spaces $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with their usual scalar products and norms. First, we give the following Sobolev-Poincaré inequality, which will be used frequently in this paper.

Lemma 2.1 (Sobolev-Poincaré inequality). Let $q$ be a number with $2 \leq q<+\infty ; n \leq 3$; then for $u \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ there is a constant $C_{*}=C(\Omega, q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{q} \leq C_{*}\|\Delta u\|_{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel by $c_{i}$ or $C_{i}$, we denote various positive constants, which may be different at different occurrences. For nonlinear terms we assume
(G1) $p, q \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& r \geq 3 \text { if } n=1,2 ; \quad r=3 \text { if } n=3, \\
& \rho>0 \text { if } n=1,2 ; \quad \rho=2 \text { if } n=3 . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For the relaxation functions we present the following assumptions
(G2) $g_{i}: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}, i=1,2$, are nonincreasing bounded $C^{1}-$ functions such that

$$
g_{i}(0)>0, \quad 1-\int_{0}^{\infty} g_{i}(\tau) d \tau=l_{i}>0, \quad i=1,2 .
$$
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(G3) There exist 2 positive nonincreasing differentiable functions $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ such that

$$
g_{i}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi_{i}(t) g_{i}(t), \quad i=1,2, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

where

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \xi_{i}(t) d t=+\infty, \quad i=1,2
$$

Similar to in $[2,17]$, we consider that
(G4) There exist constants $c_{0}, c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
c_{0}\left(|u|^{r+1}+|v|^{r+1}\right) \leq F(u, v) \leq c_{1}\left(|u|^{r+1}+|v|^{r+1}\right) .
$$

Remark 2.1 The assumption (G1) is needed to guarantee the local existence of weak solutions for the initialboundary value problem (1.1). The condition $(G 2)$ guarantees the hyperbolicity of the system (1.1). There is a wide class of functions satisfying (G2) and (G3). Examples can be found in [32].

Remark 2.2 It is easy to see that $F(u, v) \leq c_{1}\left(|u|^{r+1}+|v|^{r+1}\right)$, for all $(u, v) \in R^{2}$, where $c_{1}=2^{r} a+b$. Moreover, for a fixed $a, r>1$, there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that $F(u, v) \geq c_{0}\left(|u|^{r+1}+|v|^{r+1}\right)$ provided $b$ is chosen large enough.

Next, for the problem (1.1), we consider the following functionals:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(t)=I(u, v)=\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \\
&+\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)-(r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x  \tag{2.3}\\
& J(t)=J(u, v)= \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)-\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x  \tag{2.4}\\
& E(t)=\frac{1}{\rho+2}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+J(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

defined on $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$, where

$$
\left(g_{i} \circ \phi\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g_{i}(t-\tau)\|\phi(t)-\phi(\tau)\|_{2}^{2} d \tau, \quad i=1,2
$$

Lemma 2.2 $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function for $t \geq 0$ and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(g_{1}^{\prime} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2}^{\prime} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{1}(t)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+g_{2}(t)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}-\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \leq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by $u_{t}$ and the second by $v_{t}$, integrating over $\Omega$, using the boundary conditions and (2.5), we obtain (2.6).

The following lemmas play critical roles in the proof of our main results.
Lemma 2.3 Assume that $(2.2)_{1}$ holds. Then there exists $\eta>0$ such that for any $(u, v) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \leq \eta\left(l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u+v\|_{r+1}^{2} \leq 2\left(\|u\|_{r+1}^{2}+\|v\|_{r+1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by Hölder's inequality, (2.1) and Young's inequality we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u v\|_{\frac{r+1}{2}} & \leq\|u\|_{r+1}\|v\|_{r+1} \leq C_{*}^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2} \\
& \leq C_{*}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq c\left(l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constant $c$. Combining (1.3), (2.8), and (2.9) and using the embedding $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r+1}(\Omega)$, we obtain (2.7).

Lemma 2.4 There exist 2 positive constants $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|f_{i}(u, v)\right|^{2} d x \leq \mu_{i}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{r}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Proof. Clearly we have

$$
\left|f_{1}(u, v)\right| \leq C_{1}\left(|u+v|^{r}+|u|^{\frac{r-1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\right) \leq C_{2}\left(|u|^{r}+|v|^{r}+|u|^{\frac{r-1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\right)
$$

Using Young's inequality we obtain

$$
|u|^{\frac{r-1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \leq\left(C_{3}|u|^{r}+C_{4}|v|^{r}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|f_{1}(u, v)\right| \leq C_{5}\left(|u|^{r}+|v|^{r}\right)
$$

Thus, by lemma 2.1 we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|f_{1}(u, v)\right|^{2} d x \leq C_{6}\left(\|u\|_{2 r}^{2 r}+\|v\|_{2 r}^{2 r}\right) \leq \mu_{1}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{r}
$$

The same way can be followed to obtain a similar inequality for $f_{2}$.
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We define

$$
d(t)=\inf _{(u, v) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\Omega),(u, v) \neq(0,0)} \sup _{\lambda \geq 0} J(\lambda u, \lambda v), \quad t \geq 0
$$

Then we obtain the following result.
Lemma 2.5 For $t \geq 0$ we have

$$
0<d_{1} \leq d(t) \leq d_{2}(u, v)=\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} J(\lambda u, \lambda v)
$$

where

$$
d_{1}:=\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)}\left(\frac{1}{\eta(r+1)}\right)^{\frac{2}{r-1}}
$$

and

$$
d_{2}(u, v):=\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)}\left[\frac{(\Gamma(t))^{\frac{r+1}{r-1}}}{\left((r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x\right)^{\frac{2}{r-1}}}\right]
$$

where

$$
\Gamma(t):=\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)
$$

Proof For fixed $(u, v) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \backslash(0,0)$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(\lambda)=J(\lambda u, \lambda v) & =\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2}\left\{\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)-2 \lambda^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=0 \text { where } \lambda_{1}=\left(\frac{\Gamma(t)}{(r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{G}(\lambda)=\mathcal{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=d_{2}(u, v) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.10) the desired result can be obtained by using the inequality (2.7) and the fact that 1 $\int_{0}^{t} g_{i}(\tau) d \tau>l_{i}, i=1,2$.

Now we state a local existence theorem for solutions of the system (1.1) that can be established by combining the arguments in $[1,6,27]$.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that $(G 1)$ holds. Let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be given. Then there exists a unique weak solution $(u, v)$ of (1.1) such that

$$
u, v \in C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

$$
u_{t} \in C\left([0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega \times(0, T)), \quad v_{t} \in C\left([0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega \times(0, T))
$$

for some $T>0$.

We state our results as follows.
Theorem 2.2 Suppose that $(G 1)-(G 3)$ hold. Assume that $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0)>0 ; \quad E(0)<d_{1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for each $t_{0}>0$, there exist 2 positive constants $K$ and $\kappa$ such that the solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq K e^{-\kappa \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \xi(s) d s}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3 Suppose that (G1), (G2), and (G4) hold and $r+1>\max (\rho+2, p+1, q+1)$. For any fixed number $0<\delta<1$, assume that $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0)<0, \quad E(0)<\delta d_{1} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume further that there exists a fixed number

$$
2<\theta<\frac{r+1}{1+(r-1)(\delta / 2)}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau, \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)<\frac{(\theta / 2)-1}{(\theta / 2)-1+1 /(2 \theta)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the norm $\|(u, v)\|_{r+1}$ of solutions grows exponentially where

$$
\|(u, v)\|_{r+1}:=\|u\|_{r+1}+\|v\|_{r+1}
$$

## 3. Global existence and energy decay

Lemma 3.1 Suppose that (2.2) holds. Let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfy $(2.11)$. Then $I(t)>0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Since $I(0)>0$, then by continuity, there exists $T_{*} \leq T$ such that $I(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{*}\right)$. Using the fact that $1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{i}(\tau) d \tau>l_{i}$, for any $t \in\left[0, T_{*}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J(t)= & \frac{r-1}{2(r+1)}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)}\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right)+\frac{1}{r+1} I(u, v) \\
& \geq \frac{r-1}{2(r+1)}\left(l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (2.5), (2.6), and (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2(r+1)}{r-1} J(t) \leq \frac{2(r+1)}{r-1} E(t) \leq \frac{2(r+1)}{r-1} E(0) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.11), (3.2), and lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(r+1) & \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \leq \eta(r+1)\left(l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \\
& \leq \eta(r+1)\left(\frac{2(r+1)}{r-1} E(0)\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}\left(l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& <\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $I(t)>0$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{*}\right)$. By repeating this procedure, $T_{*}$ can be extended to $T$.
Lemma 3.2 Suppose that (2.2) and (2.11) hold. If $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then the solution of (1.1) is global and bounded.

Proof. Using (2.6) and lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(0) & \geq E(t)=\frac{1}{\rho+2}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+J(t) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\rho+2}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)}\left(l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \leq C E(0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant that depends only on $\rho, l_{1}, l_{2}$, and $r$.
Remark 3.1 When, in (1.2), $a \leq 0$ and $b \leq 0$, then any solution of (1.1) with $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is global in time and lemma 3.2 and Theorem 2.2 hold without condition (2.11).

To prove Theorem 2.2 we need to define the following perturbed energy functional:

$$
G(t)=M E(t)+\varepsilon \psi(t)+\chi(t)
$$

where $M$ and $\varepsilon$ are positive constants that will be specified later and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi(t)= \frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t} u d x+\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t} v d x \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}+\nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{t}\right) d x  \tag{3.4}\\
& \chi(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta u+\Delta u_{t}-\frac{\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t}}{\rho+1}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
+\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta v+\Delta v_{t}-\frac{\left|v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t}}{\rho+1}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-\tau)(v(t)-v(\tau)) d \tau d x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to see that $G(t)$ and $E(t)$ are equivalent in the sense that there exist 2 positive constants $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, depending on $\varepsilon$ and $M$, such that for $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1} E(t) \leq G(t) \leq \beta_{2} E(t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3 Suppose that (G1) and (G2) hold. Let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfy (2.11). Then any solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{1}{\rho+1}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\left(l_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+l_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+k_{1}\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+k_{2}\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t) \\
& +k_{3}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}+k_{4}\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1}+(r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constants $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$, and $k_{4}$.

Proof By taking the time derivative of (3.4) and using problem (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{\rho+1}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)-\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -\int_{\Omega} u u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} d x-\int_{\Omega} v v_{t}\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} d x+(r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(t) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau d x+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-\tau) \Delta v(t) \Delta v(\tau) d \tau d x \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Young's inequality, (2.1), and (3.3), for $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|-\int_{\Omega} u u_{t}\right| u_{t}\right|^{p-1} d x \mid & \leq \gamma_{1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}+c\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& \leq \gamma_{1} c_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+c\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}=C_{*}^{p+1}(C E(0))^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|-\int_{\Omega} v v_{t}\right| v_{t}\right|^{q-1} d x \mid & \leq \gamma_{2}\|v\|_{q+1}^{q+1}+c\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \\
& \leq \gamma_{2} c_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}+c\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{2}=C_{*}^{q+1}(C E(0))^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$. For the last 2 terms in the right-hand side of (3.8) we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(t) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau d x\right|
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)|\Delta u(\tau)-\Delta u(t) \| \Delta u(t)| d \tau\right) d x+\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1+\gamma_{1}\right)\left(1-l_{1}\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma_{1}}\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-\tau) \Delta v(t) \Delta v(\tau) d \tau d x\right| \leq\left(1+\gamma_{2}\right)\left(1-l_{2}\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma_{2}}\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (3.9)-(3.12) into (3.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(t) & \leq \frac{1}{\rho+1}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)+(r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x+\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left(\gamma_{1} c_{1}+\left(1+\gamma_{1}\right)\left(1-l_{1}\right)-1\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\gamma_{2} c_{2}+\left(1+\gamma_{2}\right)\left(1-l_{2}\right)-1\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \gamma_{1}}\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\frac{1}{4 \gamma_{2}}\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)+c\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}+c\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\gamma_{1}=\frac{2 l_{1}}{3\left(c_{1}+1-l_{1}\right)}$ and $\gamma_{2}=\frac{2 l_{2}}{3\left(c_{2}+1-l_{2}\right)}$, the estimate (3.7) follows.
Lemma 3.4 Suppose that $(G 1)$ and $(G 2)$ hold. Let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfying (2.11). Then there exist positive constants $k_{5}, k_{6}, k_{7}$, and $k_{8}$ such that the functional

$$
\chi_{1}(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta u+\Delta u_{t}-\frac{\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t}}{\rho+1}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x
$$

satisfies, for all $\gamma>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq & \gamma\left(k_{5}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+k_{6}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varphi_{1}(\gamma)\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \\
& +\gamma\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} \\
& -\frac{k_{7}}{4 \gamma}\left(g_{1}^{\prime} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left[k_{8}\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right]\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}(\gamma)$ is a positive function of $\gamma$, which will be given in the proof.
Proof. By the first equation in (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{1}^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau) d \tau)\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{t} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(u, v)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x \\
& -\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{\rho+1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau+\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \Delta u d x \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate the terms in the right-hand side of (3.14). First, using Young's inequality for $\gamma>0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& \quad \leq \gamma\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau)) d \tau\right)^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq \gamma\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For the second term we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau))\right) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq \gamma \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau))\right)^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq \gamma \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The first integral in the right-hand side of (3.16) can be estimated in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(|\Delta u(\tau)-\Delta u(t)|+|\Delta u(t)|) d \tau\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)|\Delta u(\tau)-\Delta u(t)| d \tau\right)^{2}+2 \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)|\Delta u(t)| d \tau\right)^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq 2\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+2\left(1-l_{2}\right)^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.17), for the inequality (3.16) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|-\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau))\right) d x\right| \\
\leq\left(2 \gamma+\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\right)\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+2 \gamma\left(1-l_{1}\right)^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

We use Young's inequality, (2.1), and (3.3) to estimate the third term as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)\left(\gamma\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}+c(\gamma)\|u(t)-u(\tau)\|_{p+1}^{p+1}\right) d \tau \\
& \leq \gamma\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}+c(\gamma) C_{*}^{p+1} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)\|\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(\tau)\|_{2}^{p+1} d \tau \\
& \leq \gamma\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}+c(\gamma) c_{3}\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{3}=C_{*}^{p+1}(2 C E(0))^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. Concerning the fourth term we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \leq \gamma\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 \gamma} g_{1}(0) C_{*}^{2}\left(g_{1}^{\prime} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Young and Poincaré inequalities we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq \gamma\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right)^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq \gamma\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{g_{1}(0)}{4 \gamma} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)|^{2} d \tau d x \\
& \quad \leq \gamma\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{g_{1}(0)}{4 \gamma} \lambda^{-1}\left(g_{1}^{\prime} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ denotes the Poincaré constant. To estimate the sixth term, we use Young's inequality, the Sobolev embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2(\rho+1)}(\Omega)$ with $\rho$ satisfying $(2.2)_{2}$, and the inequality (3.3) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\gamma}{\rho+1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2(\rho+1)}^{2(\rho+1)}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma(\rho+1)} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}^{\prime}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq \gamma c_{4}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{c_{5}}{4 \gamma}\left(g_{1}^{\prime} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$
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where $c_{4}=\frac{c_{s}(C E(0))^{\rho}}{\rho+1}$ and $c_{5}=\frac{g_{1}(0) C_{*}^{2}}{\rho+1}$, where $c_{s}$ is the embedding constant. Using Young's inequality again and the lemma 2.4 and (3.3), for the seventh term in the right-hand side of (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(u, v)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq \gamma \mu_{1}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{r}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\left(1-l_{1}\right) C_{*}^{2}\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \\
& \quad \leq \gamma c_{6}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\left(1-l_{1}\right) C_{*}^{2}\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{6}=\mu_{1}(C E(0))^{r-1}$. Finally, exploiting the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \Delta u d x \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau \leq \gamma\left(1-l_{1}\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{4 \gamma}\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the Poincaré constant. Combining (3.14),(3.15), (3.18)-(3.24), the estimate (3.13) follows with $k_{5}=2\left(1+\left(1-l_{1}\right)^{2}\right)+c_{6}+1-l_{1}, k_{6}=c_{6}, k_{7}=g_{1}(0)\left(C_{*}^{2}+\lambda^{-1}\right)+c_{5}, k_{8}=\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{4}\left(1-l_{1}\right)+c_{4}+1$ and

$$
\varphi_{1}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\left(\left(1-l_{1}\right)\left(2+C_{*}^{2}+8 \gamma^{2}\right)+4 \gamma c(\gamma) c_{3}\right)
$$

Repeating the same discussion in lemma 3.4, we have the following result.
Lemma 3.5 Suppose that (G1) and (G2) hold. Let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfying (2.11). Then there exist positive constants $k_{9}, k_{10}, k_{11}$, and $k_{12}$ such that the functional

$$
\chi_{2}(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta v+\Delta v_{t}-\frac{\left|v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t}}{\rho+1}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-\tau)(v(t)-v(\tau)) d \tau d x
$$

satisfies, for all $\gamma>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq & \gamma\left(k_{9}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+k_{10}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varphi_{2}(\gamma)\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t) \\
& +\gamma\left(1-l_{2}\right)\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1}-\left(\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} \\
& -\frac{k_{11}}{4 \gamma}\left(g_{2}^{\prime} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)+\left[k_{12}\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right]\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{2}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\left(\left(1-l_{2}\right)\left(2+C_{*}^{2}+8 \gamma^{2}\right)+4 \gamma c(\gamma) c_{7}\right)
$$

in which $c_{7}=C_{*}^{q+1}(2 C E(0))^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$.

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 2.2.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. The assumption (G2) guarantees that for any $t_{0}>0$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{t} g_{i}(\tau) d \tau \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g_{i}(\tau) d \tau=: \hat{g}_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$

By using the definition of the function $G(t)$ and lemmas 3.3-3.5 we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left(\frac{\varepsilon l_{1}}{3}-\gamma\left(k_{5}+k_{9}\right)\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{\varepsilon l_{2}}{3}-\gamma\left(k_{6}+k_{10}\right)\right)\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \\
+ & \left(\frac{M}{2}-\frac{k_{7}}{4 \gamma}\right)\left(g_{1}^{\prime} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(\frac{M}{2}-\frac{k_{11}}{4 \gamma}\right)\left(g_{2}^{\prime} \circ \Delta v\right)(t) \\
- & \left(M-k_{8}\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)+\hat{g}_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left(M-k_{12}\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)+\hat{g}_{2}-\varepsilon\right)\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
- & \left(M-\varepsilon k_{3}-\gamma\left(1-l_{1}\right)\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}-\left(M-\varepsilon k_{4}-\gamma\left(1-l_{2}\right)\right)\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \\
- & \left(\frac{\hat{g}_{1}-\varepsilon}{\rho+1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}-\left(\frac{\hat{g}_{2}-\varepsilon}{\rho+1}\right)\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\varepsilon(r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& +\left(\varepsilon k_{1}+\varphi_{1}(\gamma)\right)\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(\varepsilon k_{2}+\varphi_{2}(\gamma)\right)\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma$ small enough such that $\varepsilon<\min \left(\hat{g}_{1}, \hat{g}_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\gamma<\min \left\{\frac{\varepsilon l_{1}}{3\left(k_{5}+k_{9}\right)}, \frac{\varepsilon l_{2}}{3\left(k_{6}+k_{10}\right)}\right\} .
$$

With $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma$ fixed, we take $M$ sufficiently large such that

$$
M>\max \left\{\frac{k_{7}}{2 \gamma}, \frac{k_{11}}{2 \gamma},\left(k_{8}+k_{12}\right)\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right), \varepsilon k_{3}+\gamma\left(1-l_{1}\right), \varepsilon k_{4}+\gamma\left(1-l_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Therefore, there exist positive constants $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ such that for all $t \geq t_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(t) \leq-\kappa_{1} E(t)+\kappa_{2}\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.26) by $\xi(t)=\min \left(\xi_{1}(t), \xi_{2}(t)\right)$, using the condition (G3) and (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(t) G^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\kappa_{1} \xi(t) E(t)+\kappa_{2} \xi(t)\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right) \\
& \leq-\kappa_{1} \xi(t) E(t)-\kappa_{2}\left(\left(g_{1}^{\prime} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2}^{\prime} \circ \Delta v\right)\right)(t) \\
& \leq-\kappa_{1} \xi(t) E(t)-2 \kappa_{2} E^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, for all $t \geq t_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\xi(t) G(t)+2 \kappa_{2} E(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq \xi^{\prime}(t) G(t)-\kappa_{1} \xi(t) E(t) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(t)=\xi(t) G(t)+2 \kappa_{2} E(t) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\xi(t)$ is a positive nonincreasing function, we have $\xi(t)<\xi(0)$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. Then, by (3.6), it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is equivalent to $E(t)$. Therefore, by (3.27) and (3.28), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(t) \leq \beta_{2} \xi^{\prime}(t) E(t)-\kappa_{1} \xi(t) E(t) \leq-\kappa_{1} \xi(t) E(t) \leq-\kappa \xi(t) \mathcal{E}(t) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $\kappa$. Integrating (3.30) over $\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ gives the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\kappa \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \xi(s) d s}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by using (3.6), (3.28), and (3.30), the estimate (2.12) follows.

## 4. Exponential growth

In this section we prove an unboundedness result, Theorem 2.3, for certain solutions of (1.1) with positive initial energy. For this purpose, we first give a lemma that will be used later.

Lemma 4.1 Suppose that $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfy $(2.13)$. Then $I(t)<0$ for $0 \leq t<T$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}<\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)} \Gamma(t)<\frac{r-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x, \quad \forall t, \quad 0 \leq t<T \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $I(0)<0$; we have to prove that $I(t)<0$ for all $t \in[0, T)$. This can be shown by contradiction. Suppose that there exists $t^{*}>0$ such that $I\left(t^{*}\right)=0$ and $I(t)<0$ for $t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\Gamma(t)<(r+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right)
$$

Then, by the use of lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$
d_{1}<\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)} \Gamma(t), \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
d_{1}<\frac{r-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right)
$$

Since $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x$ is continuous, we have $\int_{\Omega} F\left(u\left(t^{*}\right), v\left(t^{*}\right)\right) d x \neq 0$. In view of lemma 2.5 and (2.4), we have

$$
d_{1} \leq \frac{r-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u\left(t^{*}\right), v\left(t^{*}\right)\right) d x=\frac{r-1}{2(r+1)} \Gamma\left(t^{*}\right)=J\left(u\left(t^{*}\right), v\left(t^{*}\right)\right)
$$

which is impossible, since $J\left(u\left(t^{*}\right), v\left(t^{*}\right)\right) \leq E\left(t^{*}\right)<d_{1}$. The inequality (4.1) can be obtained by using lemma 2.5 again. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Since $E(0)<\delta d_{1}$, then $E(0)<d_{1}$. Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=\delta d_{1}-E(t) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an increasing function by (2.6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}(t) \geq\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \geq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
H(t) \geq H(0)=\delta d_{1}-E(0)>0
$$

and

$$
H(t) \leq \delta d_{1}+\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \leq\left[\delta\left(\frac{r-1}{2}\right)+1\right] \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x, \quad \forall t \in[0, T)
$$

We consider the following functional

$$
\mathcal{L}(t)=H(t)+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t} u+\left|v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t} v\right) d x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u . \nabla u_{t}+\nabla v . \nabla v_{t}\right)
$$

for small $\varepsilon>0$ to be specified later. By taking the time derivative of the function $\mathcal{L}(t)$, using problem (1.1), performing several integration by parts, and using the relation

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x=H(t)-\delta d_{1}+\frac{1}{\rho+2}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(t)
$$

we get, for $2<\theta<r+1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t)= & H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\rho+1}+\frac{\theta}{\rho+2}\right)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon(r+1-\theta) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u+\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t} v\right) d x+\frac{\varepsilon \theta}{2}\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right) \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1\right)-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right]\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon \theta H(t) \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1\right)-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right]\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon \theta \delta d_{1} \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-\tau)(\Delta u(\tau)-\Delta u(t)) \Delta u(t) d \tau d x \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-\tau)(\Delta v(\tau)-\Delta v(t)) \Delta v(t) d \tau d x \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By Young's inequality, from (4.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \geq & H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\rho+1}+\frac{\theta}{\rho+2}\right)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)+\varepsilon(r+1-\theta) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u+\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t} v\right) d x+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\eta\right)\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon \theta H(t)-\varepsilon \theta \delta d_{1} \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1\right)-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1+\frac{1}{4 \eta}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right]\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1\right)-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1+\frac{1}{4 \eta}\right) \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right]\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $0<\eta<\frac{\theta}{2}$ and using (2.14), the inequality (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \geq & H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\rho+1}+\frac{\theta}{\rho+2}\right)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon(r+1-\theta) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& +\varepsilon \alpha_{1}\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right)+\varepsilon \alpha_{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \theta H(t)-\varepsilon \theta \delta d_{1}-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u+\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t} v\right) d x, \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{\theta}{2}-\eta>0, \quad \alpha_{2}=\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1\right)-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-1+\frac{1}{4 \eta}\right) \max \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g_{1}(\tau) d \tau, \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)>0 .
$$

Using (4.1) and taking $\sigma=(r+1)-\theta(1+(r-1)(\delta / 2))>0$, the estimate (4.6) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \geq H^{\prime}(t) & +\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\rho+1}+\frac{\theta}{\rho+2}\right)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon \sigma \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& +\varepsilon \alpha_{1}\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right)+\varepsilon \alpha_{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \theta H(t)-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u+\left|v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t} v\right) d x . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $2 \leq p+1<r+1$, using the Hölder inequality and the standard interpolation inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u d x \mid \leq\|u(t)\|_{p+1}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{p+1}^{p} \leq\|u(t)\|_{2}^{k}\|u(t)\|_{r+1}^{1-k}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{p+1}^{p}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1-k}{r+1}=\frac{1}{p+1}$, which gives $k=\frac{2(r-p)}{(p+1)(r-1)}>0$. From the condition (G4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}\left(\|u\|_{r+1}^{r+1}+\|v\|_{r+1}^{r+1}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}(t)=\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x, \quad \forall t \in[0,+\infty) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Using lemma 4.1, since $I(t)<0$, from (2.3) we obtain

$$
\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \leq c_{1} \mathcal{F}(t), \quad \forall t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

Therefore, an application of (2.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\|v(t)\|_{2}^{2} \quad \leq c_{2} \mathcal{F}(t), \quad \forall t \in[0,+\infty) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.8)-(4.10) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u d x \left\lvert\, \leq c_{3} \mathcal{F}^{\frac{k}{2}}(t) \mathcal{F}^{\frac{1-k}{r+1}}(t)\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{p+1}^{p} \leq c_{3} \mathcal{F}^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(t)\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{p+1}^{p}\right. \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by using Young's inequality, from (4.11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u d x \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\delta_{1}^{p+1}}{p+1} \mathcal{F}(t)+\frac{p}{p+1} \delta_{1}^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}\right. \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| v_{t}\right|^{q-1} v_{t} v d x \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\delta_{2}^{q+1}}{q+1} \mathcal{F}(t)+\frac{q}{q+1} \delta_{2}^{-\frac{q+1}{q}}\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{q+1}^{q+1}\right. \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}>0$ will be chosen later. We use (4.7), (4.12), (4.13), and (4.3) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \geq & {\left[1-\varepsilon\left(\frac{p}{p+1} \delta_{1}^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}+\frac{q}{q+1} \delta_{2}^{-\frac{q+1}{q}}\right)\right] H^{\prime}(t) } \\
+ & \varepsilon\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta_{1}^{p+1}}{p+1}-\frac{\delta_{2}^{q+1}}{q+1}\right) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x+\varepsilon \theta H(t) \\
+ & \varepsilon \alpha_{1}\left(\left(g_{1} \circ \Delta u\right)(t)+\left(g_{2} \circ \Delta v\right)(t)\right)+\varepsilon \alpha_{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\rho+1}+\frac{\theta}{\rho+2}\right)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right)+\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$, and $\varepsilon$ so small such that

$$
\sigma-\frac{\delta_{1}^{p+1}}{p+1}-\frac{\delta_{2}^{q+1}}{q+1}>0, \quad 1-\varepsilon\left(\frac{p}{p+1} \delta_{1}^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}+\frac{q}{q+1} \delta_{2}^{-\frac{q+1}{q}}\right)>0
$$

then there exists $\Lambda_{1}>0$ such that (4.14) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \geq \Lambda_{1}\left(H(t)+\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x+\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}(t) \geq \mathcal{L}(0)>0, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

106
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(0)=H(0) & +\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{\rho} u_{1} u_{0}+\left|v_{1}\right|^{\rho} v_{1} v_{0}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}\right) d x+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{0} \cdot \nabla u_{1}+\nabla v_{0} \cdot \nabla v_{1}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $2<\rho+2<r+1$, we use the standard interpolation inequality again to get

$$
\|u(t)\|_{\rho+2} \leq\|u(t)\|_{2}^{k}\|u(t)\|_{r+1}^{1-k},
$$

where $\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1-k}{r+1}=\frac{1}{\rho+2}$, which gives $k=\frac{2(r-(\rho+1))}{(\rho+2)(r-1)}>0$. Thus, with the same way followed to obtain the inequalities (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{\rho} u_{t} u d x \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\delta_{3}^{\rho+2}}{\rho+2} \mathcal{F}(t)+\frac{\rho+1}{\rho+2} \delta_{3}^{-\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right. \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| v_{t}\right|^{\rho} v_{t} v d x \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\delta_{3}^{\rho+2}}{\rho+2} \mathcal{F}(t)+\frac{\rho+1}{\rho+2} \delta_{3}^{-\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}}\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}\right., \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{3}>0$ is an arbitrary constant. Using Young's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}+\nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{t}\right) d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2}\right) d x . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of the Poincaré inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x \leq \lambda^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}+|\Delta v|^{2}\right) d x \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the Poincaré constant. Consequently, from (4.16)-(4.19), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \Lambda_{2}\left(H(t)+\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x+\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\Lambda_{2}>0$. Combining (4.15) and (4.20), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \geq \kappa_{0} \mathcal{L}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{0}$ is a positive constant. A simple integration of (4.21) over $(0, t)$ then gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(t) \geq \mathcal{L}(0) e^{\kappa_{0} t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.2), (4.16)-(4.19), and the condition (G4), for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \widetilde{\kappa}_{0}\left(\|u\|_{r+1}^{r+1}+\|v\|_{r+1}^{r+1}\right), \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\widetilde{\kappa}_{0}>0$. A combination of (4.22) and (4.23) completes the proof.
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