# Nitrous acid is a global driver of photochemistry in fresh wildfire plumes

- 4 N. Theys<sup>1\*</sup>, R. Volkamer<sup>2,3,4\*\*</sup>, J.-F. Müller<sup>1</sup>, K. J. Zarzana<sup>2</sup>, N. Kille<sup>3,4</sup>, L. Clarisse<sup>5</sup>, I. De Smedt<sup>1</sup>, C.
- 5 Lerot<sup>1</sup>, H. Finkenzeller<sup>2,3</sup>, F. Hendrick<sup>1</sup>, T. K. Koenig<sup>2,3</sup>, C. F. Lee<sup>2,3</sup>, C. Knote<sup>6</sup>, H. Yu<sup>1</sup>, M. Van
- 6 Roozendael<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB), Brussels, Belgium.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA.
- <sup>3</sup> Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), Boulder, CO, USA.
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA.
- <sup>5</sup> Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Spectroscopy, Quantum Chemistry and Atmospheric Remote
- 12 Sensing (SQUARES), C. P. 160/09, Brussels, Belgium.
- <sup>6</sup>Meteorological Institute, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany.
- 14 \*Corresponding author: N. Theys, Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, Brussels, Belgium
- 15 (<u>theys@aeronomie.be</u>).
- 16 \*\*Corresponding author: R. Volkamer, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA
- 17 (<u>rainer.volkamer@colorado.edu</u>).
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- ....
- 23

24 Nitrous acid (HONO) is an important precursor of the hydroxyl radical (OH), which controls the degradation of pollutants, greenhouse gases, and contributes to photochemical smog. Due to 25 lack of wide scale measurements, pyrogenic HONO emissions are uncertain, and currently are 26 missing in most global models. Exploiting observations of the TROPOMI/Sentinel-5 Precursor 27 satellite sounder, we provide here the first global survey of atmospheric HONO that directly 28 addresses the need for wide scale measurements above and downwind of wildfires. Large, 29 30 unambiguous enhancements of HONO are consistently found in fresh wildfire plumes from 31 major ecosystems worldwide (savanna, grasslands, shrubland, tropical- and extratropical forests). We derive averaged HONO/NO<sub>2</sub> enhancement ratios and show that these exhibit a 32 strong and systematic dependence on biome type. Supported by aircraft measurements, we 33 demonstrate that even the most recent assessments generally underestimate pyrogenic HONO 34 emissions by a factor of two to four across all ecosystem types. At the observed levels, HONO 35 36 photolysis accelerates oxidative plume chemistry that forms ozone and secondary organic aerosol, and is responsible for two-thirds of OH production in fresh wildfire plumes worldwide. 37 38 Global model calculations indicate a potential substantial impact of pyrogenic HONO emissions 39 on atmospheric composition, enhancing ozone levels by up to 7ppbv regionally.

40

As primary oxidant of the atmosphere, OH radicals control the degradation of pollutants and
 greenhouse gases, and contribute to photochemical smog and ozone formation<sup>1</sup>. HONO is a key
 constituent of the tropospheric photochemistry, primarily as a source of OH. However, the HONO
 budget remains poorly constrained<sup>2</sup>, despite recent scientific progress<sup>3-7</sup>.

Biomass burning (BB) plays an important role in the global emission budget of reactive gases in the atmosphere<sup>8</sup>. Fires can cause large perturbations to the chemistry and composition of the atmosphere, affecting climate and leading to adverse effects on human health. Atmospheric chemical transport models provide an ideal means of assessing these impacts, but require an accurate representation of the chemistry in fire plumes as well as reliable ecosystem dependent emission estimates that are usually based on fire activity data together with estimated emission factors obtained from laboratory and in situ measurements<sup>9,10</sup>.

Global models that assess the importance of HONO as OH precursor on the global scale<sup>11</sup> typically 52 53 neglect HONO from wildfires and thus provide lower limit estimates. Known formation mechanisms of HONO in fire plumes include direct emissions<sup>12</sup>, heterogeneous conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> 54 on organic aerosols<sup>4,13</sup> and on soot<sup>3,14</sup>, photolysis of particulate nitrate<sup>15</sup>, hydrolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> 55 dimers boosted in the presence of ammonia<sup>16</sup>. However, the relative importance of these 56 processes in fires and on the HONO to  $NO_x$  (NO+NO<sub>2</sub>) emission factors have proven difficult to 57 58 quantify, especially on large scales, due to the lack of representativeness of measurements performed in smoke chambers<sup>17,18</sup> or collected in situ<sup>19-24</sup>, and also because of the short 59 atmospheric lifetime of HONO. Global satellite sounders have revolutionized our knowledge on 60 the emission mechanisms and global distributions of many short-lived gaseous pollutants<sup>25-27</sup>. 61

- 62 HONO however, has hitherto been detected only once from space, namely in an exceptionally
- 63 large stratospheric smoke plume<sup>28</sup> using the Infrared Atmospheric Sounder Interferometer (IASI).
- 64 Here we show that the new satellite TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI), launched
- in 2017 onboard Sentinel-5 Precursor, is capable of observing HONO consistently in freshly
- 66 emitted wildfire plumes, providing information that is otherwise unavailable. To achieve this,
- 67 TROPOMI has two decisive advantages over its predecessors (such as the Ozone Monitoring
- 68 Instrument, OMI), namely a high spatial resolution (3.5x7 km<sup>2</sup>) and a higher signal-to-noise ratio.
- 69 Compared to infrared sounders, TROPOMI measures in the ultraviolet-visible domain and has a
- 70 much better sensitivity to HONO in the lowermost atmosphere.

# 71 Global pyrogenic HONO probed from space

Daily global HONO slant column densities (SCD, or the integrated concentration along the light 72 path) were retrieved from TROPOMI radiance spectra (Methods) using Differential Optical 73 Absorption Spectroscopy<sup>29,30</sup> (DOAS). A typical example is shown in Fig. 1a for wildfires in British 74 75 Columbia (Canada), along with unambiguous spectroscopic evidence for the presence of HONO in the selected TROPOMI observation (inset in Fig. 1a). Inspection of the daily HONO maps reveals 76 77 consistent large local enhancements near the fire sources similar to enhancements of nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) SCD that are co-retrieved in the same wavelength range (Supplementary Fig. S1a). 78 This suggests that HONO is either directly emitted from fires or very rapidly formed by pyrogenic 79 80 precursors. The geographical extent of the observed HONO plumes is generally limited to a few tens of kilometers downwind of the fires, reflecting the short atmospheric lifetime of HONO 81 (order of 15 minutes in clear air<sup>31</sup>, longer in smoke plumes) and the rapidly changing conditions 82 83 as the plumes age and dilute. We have analyzed one full year of global measurements between 84 May 2018 and April 2019. Based on conservative selection criteria (Methods), we isolated a total 85 of 5093 TROPOMI pixels with unambiguous HONO detection, all coinciding with wildfire plumes, as readily confirmed by TROPOMI-retrieved distribution of other pyrogenic compounds including 86 87 carbon monoxide (CO), NO<sub>2</sub>, formaldehyde (HCHO), glyoxal (CHOCHO), and aerosols (see examples in Supplementary Fig. S1). These HONO observations (Fig. 1b) are located over 88 89 dominant BB regions, as evidenced from the data of GFED (Global Fire Emissions Database<sup>32</sup>; Supplementary Fig. S2a), allowing the first meaningful assessment of HONO emissions from fires 90 91 in a global context.

# 92 Comparison with aircraft observations

The TROPOMI HONO measurements are corroborated by comparisons with aircraft HONO column observations acquired during the Biomass Burning Fluxes of Trace Gases and Aerosols (BB-FLUX) field study conducted in the US Pacific Northwest during the summer of 2018 wildfire season (Methods). Here we use measurements of the wildfire plume from the Rabbit Foot Fire, Idaho, on August 12, 2018. The aircraft, equipped with a zenith-sky DOAS instrument, flew under

the smoke layer and performed two traverses of the plume, nearly synchronized with TROPOMI. 98 99 HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> are retrieved using identical fit settings as used by the satellite. The HONO SCDs 100 derived from the aircraft measurements provide independent corroborating evidence for the 101 presence of HONO in large amounts at the same location as the satellite observations (Fig. 2a). Notably, the aircraft measurement integrates HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> over the entire wildfire plume, 102 while the satellite measurement primarily samples the top layer of the plume (Supplementary 103 Fig. S3). The higher HONO SCD measured from the aircraft reflects the fact that all photons that 104 105 reach the plane have traversed the entire plume. For comparison with the satellite, we compare the enhancement ratios of the measured HONO SCD to the NO<sub>2</sub> SCD (RHN) to cancel differences 106 in Air Mass Factors (AMFs) that arise from the different sampling geometries. The RHN 107 cancellation of AMFs is justified because both gases are retrieved in the same wavelength range 108 (Methods), as long as the HONO and NO2 profile shapes in the plume are similar (see 109 Supplement). Similar profile shapes are expected since both are photolabile species. 110 Furthermore, the RHN is independent of the trace gas dilution during transport, and a useful 111 metric<sup>33,18</sup> of the photochemical production rate of HONO. 112

Comparisons of TROPOMI and aircraft RHNs are presented in Figs. 2b and 2c. The satellite RHNs 113 (0.33-0.54) agree very well with the aircraft values (0.29-0.54) after the data are aligned along a 114 115 common plume age axis (Methods). There is no satellite bias discernible within the low (<10%) measurement error of the aircraft data. Two additional BB-FLUX research flights (Supplementary 116 117 Fig. S4) show similarly good agreement over a wider range of RHN values, further supporting the assumption of similar HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> profile shapes inside the plume. The aircraft data reveals 118 119 variability in RHN on fine spatial (few 100m) and temporal scales (seconds to minutes) that cannot be resolved from space. Notably, comparing aircraft column observations with columns 120 121 from space actively bridges the different spatial scales to the best degree possible, though atmospheric variability remains a limiting factor. Overall, Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. S4 122 123 illustrates that the aircraft data approximate the satellite measured RHN best when near 124 synchronous observations of similar plume ages are compared.

### 125 Uncertainties in HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> SCDs and RHN

126 No statistically significant bias in the satellite RHN is observed (6±8 %, Supplementary Table S1), reflecting identical HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> retrieval settings and cross-section spectra used for both 127 128 aircraft and satellite (known to better 6% and 5%, Supplementary Table S1). However, a common 129 systematic error cannot be fully excluded either. For TROPOMI global observations, the RHN 130 systematic uncertainty is of ±25%. Contribution of SCDs random errors to biome averaged RHN values (as in Table 1 below) is negligible due to the large number of HONO detections. 131 Furthermore, the RHN variability within a satellite ground pixel is found to be highly significant 132 in the aircraft data, and similar to the variability between nearby satellite detections (Fig. 2c, and 133 134 Supplementary Fig. S4). Interestingly, the satellite bias is insignificant also within the ±6.9%

- uncertainty of the aircraft data (accuracy in RHN, excluding cross-sections errors). This suggests
- 136 that plume heterogeneity is not limiting the comparison between both remote sensing platforms,
- and reflects the benefits of more direct comparison by sampling over extended spatial scales.

### 138 Enhanced HONO relative to NO<sub>2</sub> surveyed worldwide

139 In Figs. 2b and 2c, it is noteworthy that TROPOMI RHN significantly increases (to values as high 140 as 1.2) for pixels near the fire sources. This feature is commonly observed for the fires globally, and is directly the result of elevated HONO SCDs (rather than low NO<sub>2</sub> SCDs) near the fires 141 (Supplementary Fig. S5). This suggests that HONO primary emissions either dominate over 142 secondary sources, or cannot easily be separated from fast secondary formation close to the 143 fires. From the global detections, distinct spatial patterns of RHN clearly emerge (Fig. 3a) that are 144 attributable to the distribution of ecosystems. Observed RHNs (10<sup>th</sup>-90<sup>th</sup> percentile) tend to be 145 lower (0.14-0.61) over regions dominated by fires from grassland, savannas and open shrubland 146 (Kazakhstan, most of Africa and Australia), intermediate values (0.23-0.62) are found over 147 tropical deciduous forest fires (Central and South America, mainland Southeast Asia, the eastern 148 coast of Australia, and east of Madagascar), and the highest values (0.32 -0.78) correspond to 149 150 extra-tropical evergreen forest burns (USA, Canada and far Eastern Russia). This dependence of RHN on vegetation type matches findings of earlier studies (Table 1). However, the TROPOMI 151 RHN is a factor of 2-4 higher compared to most values found in the current literature<sup>10</sup>, regardless 152 of the vegetation type. This is highly significant, and well outside the measurement uncertainty. 153 Because of its detection limit, satellite data is more representative of large wildfires 154 (Supplementary Fig. S2b) but the finding of high RHNs is robust over the complete range of fire 155 156 emissions covered by TROPOMI HONO measurements (Supplementary Fig. S2c). For savanna 157 fires, a statistically significant anti-correlation between the fire strength and RHN is observed, 158 consistent with strong savanna fires emitting larger amounts of NO<sub>x</sub>. Our results suggest that global HONO production from fires has been significantly underestimated, and we present 159 160 several reasons for this. First, the published RHN estimates from field studies (see Supplementary Table S2) are based on in situ measurements most often for modest burns, optically thin BB 161 plumes (thus very different than the fire emissions sampled by TROPOMI and BB-FLUX), and 162 sampled away from the core of fire plumes. This is supported by recent in situ observations of 163 high RHN in fresh plumes from large fires<sup>35</sup>. Second, the highly polluted conditions in sizeable fire 164 plumes likely favor the conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to HONO through heterogeneous processes<sup>3,13,16</sup> as 165 well as NO<sub>2</sub> loss, for instance from peroxyacetyl nitrates (PANs) formation. These effects would 166 be seen more in the distal rather than the proximal part of the plume, so that such a potential 167 bias can only explain part of the observed discrepancy. Third, the higher RHNs derived from 168 TROPOMI observations likely reflect the ability to sample freshly emitted, almost undiluted 169 170 plumes inside the zone surrounding fires that is hardly accessible to research flights, because of 171 fire-induced atmospheric turbulence, and/or due to temporary flight restriction (TFR) zones. A

clear decrease is observed for RHN, HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> with increasing distance from the fires 172 173 (Supplementary Fig. S5). This presents direct evidence for the difficulty of establishing emission factors for highly reactive species from field measurements downwind of fires in rapidly evolving 174 175 plumes, whose composition changes on short temporal and spatial scales. Fourth, emission factors determined from smoke chamber experiments (Table 1) are obtained under specific 176 atmospheric mixing and photochemical conditions that prevail in the laboratory settings, and 177 that are likely different from the conditions found in actual wildfires (in terms of fuel 178 heterogeneity, wind fields, humidity gradients, fire strength, fuel consumption rates, pyrolysis 179 contributions, etc.). Consistent with this hypothesis, our evidence that RHN depends on fire 180 strength of savanna fires (Supplementary Fig. S2c) corroborates previous findings<sup>36</sup> that burn 181 conditions modify fire emissions. 182

#### 183 HONO as the main source of OH in fresh smokeplumes

The importance of HONO for atmospheric chemistry in fire plumes is further demonstrated by 184 contrasting the calculated production rates of OH due to HONO photolysis with the 185 corresponding estimated production rates due to other known pyrogenic sources of OH, 186 187 including the photolysis of ozone in presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, the photolysis of 16 oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOCs), and the ozonolysis of 12 alkenes (Methods). Constrained by 188 TROPOMI measurements, we estimate the relative contributions of the most important 189 precursory compounds to the total OH production in fresh wildfire plumes worldwide, leveraging 190 the fact that relative contributions are independent of assumptions about aerosol optical 191 properties and plume optical thickness to first order (Methods). On average for all wildfire 192 193 plumes detected by TROPOMI, the photolysis of HONO accounts for 63±16% of the total OH production, and more than 80% locally (Fig. 3b); the error bar accounts for uncertainties in the 194 195 abundances of the contributing compounds and in their less rates and OH yields. The photolysis of all OVOCs accounts collectively for 35% (HCHO: 18%, CHOCHO: 4%, other OVOCs: 13%), and 196 197 other sources for 3% (ozone photolysis: 1%, alkenes ozonolysis: 2%) of the total OH production 198 from fires. The daytime contribution of HONO obtained here is much higher than previously reported (12-34%), for urban conditions<sup>37, 38</sup> and forest canopy<sup>39</sup>. This makes HONO the main 199 precursor of OH in fresh fire plumes; this finding is supported on a local scale, by reported large 200 OH production due to HONO from western US wildfires<sup>35</sup>. Under the simplifying assumption that 201 pyrogenic HONO is directly emitted, its impact on other atmospheric compounds is also 202 203 substantial. We estimate concentration enhancements of up to 30% for OH and 10% for O<sub>3</sub> with global model simulations (Supplementary Fig. S6). Pyrogenic HONO emissions also represent a 204 significant input to the global NOx budget, estimated at ca. 1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. 205

We have demonstrated the first global HONO observations from space. The detailed study of further HONO observations – including from geostationary platforms – in connection with models and additional atmospheric and fire activity data, holds largely unexplored potential to 209 provide valuable insights into the still poorly understood formation mechanisms of HONO, and

- 210 its importance for atmospheric chemistry and climate in the global context of wildfires and
- 211 possibly also urban air.

# 212 References

- 213
- 214 1. Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Pitts, J. N. Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere: Theory, Experiments and Applications (Academic Press, San Diego, 2000), first ed. 215 216 2. Spataro, F., Ianniello, A. Sources of atmospheric nitrous acid: State of the science, current research needs, and future prospects. Journal of the Air & Waste Management 217 Association. 64:11, 1232-1250 (2014). 218 3. Ammann, M. et al., Heterogeneous production of nitrous acid on soot in polluted air 219 masses. Nature. 395, 157-160 (1998). 220 221 4. Stemmler, K., Ammann, M., Donders, C., Kleffmann, J., George, C. Photosensitized 222 reduction of nitrogen dioxide on humic acid as a source of nitrous acid. Nature. 440, 195-223 198 (2006). 224 5. Zhou, X. et al. Nitric acid photolysis on forest canopy surface as a source for tropospheric 225 nitrous acid. Nat. Geosci. 4, 440–443 (2011). 6. Oswald, R. et al. HONO emissions from soil bacteria as a major source of atmospheric 226 reactive nitrogen. Science. 341, 1233-1235 (2013). 227 7. VandenBoer, T. C. et al. Nocturnal loss and daytime source of nitrous acid through 228 reactive uptake and displacement. Nat. Geosci. 8, 55-60 (2015). 229 8. Andreae, M. O., Merlet, P. Emissions of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning. 230 Global Biogeochem. Cycles. 15, 955-966 (2001). 231 9. Akagi, S. K. et al. Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in 232 233 atmospheric models. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 4039-4072 (2011). 234 10. Andreae, M. O. Emissions of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning – An updated assessment. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 19, 8523-8546 (2019). 235 11. Elshorbany, Y. F. et al. Global and regional impacts of HONO on the chemical composition 236 of clouds and aerosols. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14, 1167-1184 (2014). 237 12. Veres, P. et al. Measurements of gas-phase inorganic and organic acids from biomass fires 238 by negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry. J. Geophys. Res. 239 115, D23302 (2010). 240 13. Nie, W. et al. Influence of biomass burning plumes on HONO chemistry in eastern China. 241 Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 1147-1159 (2015). 242 14. Monge, M. E. et al. Light changes the atmospheric reactivity of soot. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. 243 USA. 107, 6605–6609 (2010). 244 15. Ye, C., Zhang, N., Gao, H., Zhou, X. Photolysis of particulate nitrate as a source of HONO 245 and NOx. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51 (12), 6849-6856 (2017). 246

# 247 16. Li, L. et al. Formation of HONO from the NH<sub>3</sub>-promoted hydrolysis of NO<sub>2</sub>-dimers in the 248 atmosphere. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. **115** (28), 7236-7241 (2018).

249 250	17.	Keene, W. C. et al. Emissions of major gaseous and particulate species during experimental burns of southern African biomass. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> <b>111</b> , D04301(2006).
251 <i>252</i>	18.	Burling, I. R. et al. Laboratory measurements of trace gas emissions from biomass burning of fuel types from the southeastern and southwestern United States. <i>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</i>
253		<b>10</b> , 11115-11130 (2010).
254 255	19.	Trentmann, J. et al. An analysis of the chemical processes in the smoke plume from a savanna fire. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> <b>110</b> , D12301 (2005).
256	20.	Yokelson, R. J. et al. The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment: overview and
257		airborne fire emission factor measurements. <i>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</i> 7, 5175-5196(2007).
258	21.	Yokelson, R. J. et al. Emissions from biomass burning in the Yucatan. Atmos. Chem. Phys.
259		<b>9</b> , 5785–5812 (2009).
260	22.	Akagi, S. K. et al. Measurements of reactive trace gases and variable O <sub>3</sub> formation rates in
261		some South Carolina biomass burning plumes. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 1141-1165 (2013).
262	23.	Müller. M. et al. In situ measurements and modeling of reactive trace gases in a small
263		biomass burning plume. Atmos. Chem. Phys. <b>16</b> , 3813-3824 (2016).
264	24.	Neuman, J. A. et al. HONO emission and production determined from airborne
265		measurements over the Southeast U.S. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 121, 9237–9250(2016).
266	25.	Beirle, S., Boersma, K. F., Platt, U., Lawrence, M. G., Wagner, T. Megacity emissions and
267		lifetime of nitrogen oxides probed from space. <i>Science</i> , <b>333</b> , 1737-1739 (2011).
268	26.	McLinden, C. A. et al. Space-based detection of missing sulfur dioxide sources of global air
269	-	pollution. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i> <b>9</b> . 496–500 (2016).
270	27.	Van Damme, M. et al. Industrial and agricultural ammonia point sources exposed. <i>Nature</i> .
271		<b>564</b> , 99-103 (2018).
272	28.	Clarisse, L., R'Honi, Y., Coheur, PF., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C. Thermal infrared nadir
273		observations of 24 atmospheric gases. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> <b>38</b> , L10802 (2011).
274	29.	Platt, U., Perner, D., Harris, G. W., Winer, A. M., Pitts, J. N. Observations of nitrous acidin
275		an urban atmosphere by differential optical absorption. <i>Nature</i> . <b>285</b> , 312-314(1980).
276	30.	Platt, U., Stutz, J. Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS), Principle and
277		Applications. ISBN 3-340-21193-4, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg (2008).
278	31.	Hendrick, F. et al. Four years of ground-based MAX-DOAS observations of HONO and NO <sub>2</sub>
279		in the Beijing area. Atmos. Chem. Phys., <b>14</b> , 765–781 (2014).
280	32.	van der Werf, G. R. et al. Global fire emissions estimates during 1997-2016. <i>Earth System</i>
281		Science Data. <b>9</b> , 697-720 (2017).
282	33.	Kleffmann, J. Daytime sources of nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmospheric boundary layer.
283		Chem. Phys. Chem. <b>8</b> , 1137–1144 (2007).
284	34.	Friedl, M. A. et al. MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: algorithm refinements and
285		characterization of new datasets. <i>Remote Sens. Environ.</i> <b>114</b> , 168–182 (2010).
286	35.	Peng. Q., et al. HONO Emissions from Western U.S. Wildfires Provide Dominant Radical
287		Source in Fresh Wildfire Smoke. Environ. Sci. Technol. <b>54</b> . 5954-5963 (2020).
288	36.	Liu, X. et al. Airborne measurements of western U.S. wildfire emissions: Comparison with
289		prescribed burning and air quality implications. J. Geophys. ResAtmos. 112. 6108-6129
290		(2017).
		· · ·

291 292 293	<ol> <li>Alicke, B., Platt, U., Stutz, J. Impact of nitrous acid photolysis on the total hydroxyl radical budget during the Limitation of Oxidant Production/Pianura Padana Produzione di Ozono study in Milan. J. Geophys. ResAtmos. 107, 8196 (2002).</li> <li>Volkamer, B., Shaehy, B., Malina, J. T., Malina, M. L. Ovidative capacity of the Maxima City.</li> </ol>
294 295 296	atmosphere – Part 1: A radical source perspective. <i>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</i> <b>10</b> , 6969-2991 (2010).
297 298 299 300 301 302	39. Kleffmann, J. et al. Daytime formation of nitrous acid: A major source of OH radicals in a forest. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> <b>32</b> , L05818 (2005).
303	
304	
305	
306	
307	
308	
309	
310	
311	
312	
313	
314	
315	
316	
317	
318	
319	
320	
321	
322	

### 323 METHODS

324

### 325 TROPOMI retrieval of HONO and NO<sub>2</sub>

326 TROPOMI<sup>40</sup> is the single-payload of the Sentinel-5 Precursor platform launched in October2017. It is a nadir-viewing remote sensing instrument measuring the solar radiation reflected by the 327 Earth and backscattered by its atmosphere. TROPOMI has heritage from OMI<sup>41</sup> and the SCanning 328 Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CartograpHY<sup>42</sup> (SCIAMACHY). The instrument 329 is a push-broom imaging spectrometer with eight spectral bands covering ultraviolet to 330 shortwave infrared wavelengths with a spectral resolution of 0.25–1 nm and a spectral sampling 331 332 ratio of 2.5–6.7. Flying in a low-Earth early afternoon polar orbit with a wide swath of 2600 km resulting in daily global coverage, it delivers vertically integrated abundances of key atmospheric 333 constituents (O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO, CHOCHO, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>) complemented by cloud and aerosol 334 information, at the resolution of 3.5x5.5 km<sup>2</sup> (from 6 August 2019) or 3.5x7 km<sup>2</sup> (this study, 335 compared to 13x24 km<sup>2</sup> and 30x60 km<sup>2</sup>, for OMI and SCIAMACHY, respectively). 336

For this work, we analyze ultraviolet-visible spectral data measured between 1 May 2018 and 30 337 April 2019, and solar zenith angles lower than 65°. The HONO slant column density (SCD, in 338 molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>) is retrieved from each recorded radiance spectrum using the established 339 technique of Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy<sup>30</sup> (DOAS). In brief, the measured 340 spectrum is modeled using a reference spectrum, absorption cross-sections of relevant trace 341 342 gases, and radiance closure terms. The fitted parameters for the trace gases are the SCDs and represent the corresponding concentrations integrated along the mean optical light path in the 343 344 atmosphere. Here the retrieval scheme is an adapted version of the TROPOMI HCHO algorithm<sup>43</sup>. We have followed recommended settings<sup>44</sup> and used a wavelength interval of 337–375 nm 345 346 optimized for HONO (see details in Supplementary Table S3). In the same spectral fitting step, the slant column of NO<sub>2</sub> is retrieved. Given the relatively low peak-to-peak absorption of NO<sub>2</sub> in 347 the ultraviolet, the noise on retrieved NO<sub>2</sub> SCDs is larger than in the visible range<sup>45</sup>. However, the 348 signal is such that there is no difficulty to detect NO<sub>2</sub> in wildfire plumes. To determine enhanced 349 NO<sub>2</sub> SCD for the fire plumes, a stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> correction is applied, and is estimated using 350 351 measurements at the same latitude from over a sector (see Supplementary Table S3) with negligible tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> contribution<sup>46</sup>. 352

Determination of the vertical column density (VCD, vertically integrated concentration) from the measured SCD requires radiative transfer simulations to derive air mass factors (AMF, where AMF=SCD/VCD). The AMF simulates the trace gas concentration integrated along the complex photon path in the plume, relative to the vertical path. Here, the AMF can be formulated<sup>47</sup> by:

357  $AMF = \int bAMF(z) \cdot N(z) \cdot dz$ 

(1)

358 where N(z) is the normalized concentration profile of the trace gas of interest and bAMF is the 359 height-resolved AMF (referred as box-AMF) that contains all dependences to parameters 360 (wavelength, geometry, surface reflectance, clouds, aerosols, etc.) influencing the vertical 361 sensitivity of the measurement, except N(z). In case of HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> from fires, a general AMF 362 computation applicable globally is difficult because crucial input parameters are poorly known, 363 notably the trace gases vertical distribution and the aerosol optical properties and extinction 364 vertical profile (impacting bAMF).

We have calculated the ratio of HONO to NO<sub>2</sub>, a proxy for HONO production, independent of the dilution of the trace gases during transport. Since NO<sub>2</sub> and HONO are jointly retrieved in the same spectral region, the box-AMFs can be considered identical for both species. Under the assumption that HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> have the same profile shapes (which is supported by our validation results, Fig. 2c), in first approximation, the AMFs of HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> are the same (Eq. 1). We can therefore formulate RHN independently of the error-prone AMFs:

371  $RHN = VCD_{HONO}/VCD_{NO2,corr} \approx SCD_{HONO}/SCD_{NO2,corr}$  (2)

372 where SCD<sub>HONO</sub> is the HONO SCD, SCD<sub>NO2,corr</sub>=SCD<sub>NO2</sub>–SCD<sub>NO2,strato</sub> is the retrieved NO<sub>2</sub> SCD

(SCD<sub>NO2</sub>) corrected for its stratospheric contribution (SCD<sub>NO2,strato</sub>). An error budget on SCDs and
 RHN is given in Supplementary Table S1. In particular, the estimated RHN error from imperfect

cancellation of AMFs (due to wavelength dependence) is less than ~1%.

For a quantitative comparison of the TROPOMI RHN, nearly synchronized aircraft remote-sensing observations of HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> SCDs were used (see below). Conversely, the comparison of TROPOMI column-based RHN with aircraft in situ concentration RHN measurements is not considered here, as it is unlikely to carry much meaning, given the inhomogeneity of HONO and the fundamental difference in air mass sampling of the two techniques.

To identify global HONO plumes and study source regions in a systematic and consistent way, a 381 set of criteria was formulated that can be satisfactorily applied globally without the need for 382 external fire data sources. Cloud information is not used either as it could lead to statistical bias, 383 for example by filtering pyrocumulus clouds. After removing obvious outliers (based on fitting 384 residuals), a detection test is applied: first, only satellite data over land are considered. Secondly, 385 386 satellite data are kept when SCD<sub>HONO</sub>>3xSCDE<sub>HONO</sub> (where SCDE<sub>HONO</sub> is the HONO SCD uncertainty 387 from the fit) and if at least one neighboring pixel satisfies the same criterion. An additional 388 selection of the pixels with SCD<sub>NO2,corr</sub>>3xSCDE<sub>NO2</sub> (where SCDE<sub>NO2</sub> is the NO<sub>2</sub> SCD uncertainty from the fit) is also applied, to improve the selectivity of wildfire plumes and to stabilize RHN. 389 390 However, the resulting pixels selection leads to elevated false HONO detections over regions with large anthropogenic NO<sub>2</sub> signal. Therefore, a final and conservative selection criterion on 391 SCD<sub>HONO</sub>>4x10<sup>15</sup> molecules.cm<sup>-2</sup> is used to retain only the unambiguous pyrogenic HONO 392 detections. Applying these rather strict criteria to one year of TROPOMI data yields a set of 5093 393

usable HONO ground pixel detections, all located over biomass burning areas, giving additionalconfidence in the selection approach.

### 396 Aircraft measurements

The Biomass Burning Fluxes of Trace Gases and Aerosol (BB-FLUX) field campaign took place 397 398 during the summer of 2018 wildfire season in the Pacific Northwest around Boise, ID, USA. The 399 University of Wyoming King Air research aircraft was equipped with remote sensing and in situ instruments, including the University of Colorado Zenith Sky DOAS (CU ZS-DOAS) instrument, 400 which performed measurements of NO<sub>2</sub>, HONO, HCHO and CHOCHO using scattered solar 401 photons in the zenith geometry. The aircraft was deployed underneath, inside and above the 402 403 wildfire plumes to measure column enhancements, wind speed, and plume vertical structure. HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> differential SCDs were retrieved using the same fit settings as TROPOMI 404 (Supplementary Table S3). The background on either side of the plume was linearly interpolated, 405 and subtracted to derive trace gas SCD enhancements inside the plume. A total of 37 research 406 407 flights (RFs) were conducted, studying wildfires in Idaho, California, Oregon, Nevada, Utah and Washington. A small subset of the BB-FLUX flights actively coordinated locating the plane 408 409 below/near wildfire plumes at the TROPOMI overpass times. In particular, BB-FLUX RF11 on 12 August 2018 targeted the Rabbit Foot Fire in central Idaho close in time to the S5P overpass 410 (~20:45 UTC). The aircraft conducted two plume underpasses between 20:00 and 20:15 UTC 50 411 km downwind of the fire. Two additional flights were used for comparison with TROPOMI, BB-412 FLUX RF13 on 15 August 2018 for the same Rabbit Foot Fire, and BB-FLUX RF15 on 19 August 413 2019 over the Watson Creek Fire (Oregon). For the two flights, the aircraft traverses sampled the 414 415 wildfire plume at the S5P overpass time ±15 minutes.

Although the satellite and aircraft HONO SCDs presented in this study agree well qualitatively, a 416 thorough comparison is not straightforward because of differences in spatial-temporal sampling 417 of the air masses sounded by the two instruments, which have very different fields of view. 418 Furthermore, because of different observation geometries, the retrievals have different 419 sensitivity (light penetration) in the respective atmospheric vertical layers, in particular for large 420 aerosol optical depths. We have estimated this effect using the radiative transfer model LIDORT<sup>48</sup> 421 422 version 2.7. A set of AMFs were calculated at a wavelength of 355 nm for typical BB plumes. 423 Because HONO (or NO<sub>2</sub>) and aerosols are co-emitted, their vertical profiles were assumed to have the same shape modelled by a Gaussian peaking at 2km above the surface, with full width at half 424 425 maximum of 0.5km. Biomass burning aerosols were represented by a bi-modal log-normal particles size distribution<sup>49</sup>, with a single scattering albedo between 0.8 and 0.9, indicative of 426 427 fresh to aged BB aerosols. Supplementary Fig. S3 shows an example of the dependence of the AMFs with aerosol optical depths (AOD). It illustrates the expected change in measurement 428 sensitivity due to aerosols<sup>50</sup> and the inherent differences of AMF between aircraft and satellite 429 measurements. 430

To evaluate the TROPOMI RHN estimates, we have calculated RHN from the aircraft HONO and 431 NO<sub>2</sub> SCDs data, for NO<sub>2</sub> background corrected SCDs larger than 5x10<sup>15</sup> molecules.cm<sup>-2</sup>. An error 432 analysis of SCDs and RHN is given in Supplementary Table S1. The advantages of comparing RHNs 433 434 are that they do not vary with the AMFs, and that RHN is independent of the horizontal resolution. However, a time difference exists between aircraft and TROPOMI measurements (30-435 45 minutes in case of RF11, less than 15 minutes for RF13 and RF15), and a time adjustment is 436 needed when comparing the aircraft and satellite RHNs. For this, we have estimated the age of 437 the plume separately for the aircraft and TROPOMI measurements, using trajectory calculations 438 made with the FLEXPART-WRF<sup>51</sup> model. The Global Fire Assimilation System of the Copernicus 439 Atmosphere Monitoring Service<sup>52</sup> (CAMS GFAS) was used for fire locations, plume injection 440 heights and emitted amounts. We released around 1x10<sup>5</sup> 'particles' from each fire location and 441 followed each particle on its path through the atmosphere, tracking the time since its release. 442 Processes like turbulence were allowed to act stochastically on each particle to modify its 443 trajectory. Our dispersion calculations result in plume age spectra for each model grid box, which 444 we then sampled along the flight path of the aircraft and the locations of the TROPOMI 445 measurements. The mass-weighted mean of the age spectrum is used as best-guess for plume 446 age; its standard deviation allows to identify contamination by the Goldstone fire downwind (Fig. 447 448 2), and the corresponding data were removed from the analysis. At the edges of the plume, the estimated plume age was sometimes found unrealistic due to very low particles concentrations, 449 and the respective data were not considered in the comparison. In total, seven TROPOMI pixels 450 451 (out of 40) were filtered out in Fig. 2c.

### 452 Calculation of OH production rates

453 The OH production rates were calculated for every TROPOMI pixel where HONO was detected, 454 using model-estimated photolysis rates. The sources of OH considered include photolysis of HONO, O<sub>3</sub> and oxygenated VOCs, and ozonolysis of alkenes. HONO, HCHO and CHOCHO mixing 455 456 ratios were based on TROPOMI retrievals while concentrations of other VOCs were estimated from TROPOMI-derived HCHO and enhancement ratios ( $\Delta$ (VOC)/ $\Delta$ (HCHO)) based on field data. 457 The calculation of concentrations requires assumptions on vertical profiles of the constituents 458 459 and AMFs (see below). Since these parameters are very uncertain (Supplementary Fig. S3), large 460 uncertainties in OH production rates are expected; however, these assumptions have little impact on the relative contribution of HONO to the total OH production rate presented here, as 461 462 the vertical profiles of HONO and pyrogenic VOCs are expected to be very similar in the BB plumes. 463

The concentrations of HONO, HCHO and CHOCHO at the assumed plume peak altitude of 2km were estimated from TROPOMI measurements of these compounds, using the fixed vertical profile described above. The corresponding HCHO and CHOCHO SCDs (see example maps in Supplementary Fig. S1) are extracted from the TROPOMI HCHO offline product<sup>43</sup> and the

scientific TROPOMI CHOCHO product (adapted from GOME-2 CHOCHO retrievals<sup>53</sup>). Details on 468 469 the DOAS settings are provided in Supplementary Table S3. The calculations were performed for an assumed AMF of 0.5 for HONO and HCHO. An AMF of 1 is used for CHOCHO as radiative 470 471 transfer test simulations (described above) demonstrated twice the measurement sensitivity in 472 the visible part of the spectrum (where glyoxal is retrieved) than in the ultraviolet. The estimated 473 uncertainty on HCHO concentrations (relative to those of HONO) is ca. 27%, resulting from an SCD uncertainty<sup>43</sup> of 10% and AMF errors related to differences in vertical profiles (20%) and in 474 the wavelength ranges of the retrievals (15%), based on radiative transfer model sensitivity 475 476 calculations. The CHOCHO concentration uncertainty (30%) is assumed to be similar to that of 477 HCHO.

- The photolysis rates were obtained from the MAGRITTE chemistry-transport model<sup>54,55</sup>, which 478 relies on the TUV photorate calculation package<sup>56</sup> with meteorological and other fields (pressure, 479 temperature, surface downward solar radiation, total ozone columns) derived from ECMWF ERA-480 481 Interim reanalyses<sup>57</sup>. Potential misrepresentation of the effects of clouds and aerosols might lead to significant uncertainties in the photorates, but with little influence on their relative 482 magnitudes and therefore on the relative contributions of the different photolytic processes. The 483 484 uncertainty of the relative contribution of non-photolytic processes (alkene ozonolysis) is 485 increased due to these effects, by an assumed factor of 2, with very little impact on the overall uncertainty of HONO contribution. 486
- 487 A constant ozone mixing ratio of 50 ppbv was assumed, whereas  $H_2O$  and temperature were 488 obtained from ECMWF. Uncertainties in  $O_3$  and  $H_2O$  are significant but have little bearing on the 489 conclusions, as  $O_3$  photolysis and alkene ozonolysis contribute for only a few percent of the total 490 OH source.
- Besides OH formation due to HONO and O<sub>3</sub> photolysis, the photolysis of organic compounds 491 (primarily carbonyls) and the ozonolysis of alkenes generate OH radicals as well as HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub> 492 radicals which are partially converted to OH, depending on photochemical conditions<sup>38</sup>. Biomass 493 burning plumes are usually characterized by high NOx levels, typically in the ppbv range<sup>58</sup>. In the 494 TROPOMI pixels where HONO has been detected and quantified, the estimated median NO<sub>2</sub> 495 496 volume mixing ratio is ~25 ppbv at the peak of the plume, when assuming an AMF of 0.5. Adopting an NO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 0.2, based on airborne measurements in BB plumes during the 497 ARCTAS campaign over boreal forests<sup>59,60</sup>, the resulting NO concentrations (~5 ppbv) are such 498 499 that HO<sub>2</sub> radicals produced in the plume are almost completely (>99%) converted to OH. The same applies to non-acyl RO<sub>2</sub> radicals, converted to HO<sub>2</sub> and then OH. For acylperoxy radicals 500 501 (ACO3), however, formation of PAN-like compounds through ACO3+NO<sub>2</sub> is dominant, and only ~30% of these radicals are converted to OH, based on reported rates<sup>61</sup> for ACO3+NO and 502 503 ACO3+NO<sub>2</sub> (for lower tropospheric conditions and NO/NO<sub>2</sub>=0.2).

The VOC photolysis processes considered here are listed in Supplementary Table S4 along with their corresponding OH yield, assuming conversion efficiencies of 100% for HO<sub>2</sub> and for non-acyl peroxys, and 30% for acylperoxy radicals. These yields are based on the Master Chemical Mechanism<sup>62,63</sup> (MCM) v3.3.1 (http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM). The photorates (relative from that of HCHO) were estimated using TUV, with photolysis parameters from MCM. For furfural, the OH yield is assumed, and the photorate was calculated using published absorption cross sections<sup>64</sup> and a quantum yield<sup>65</sup> of 0.01, of the same order as for methacrolein and methylvinylketone<sup>66</sup>.

511 The alkene ozonolysis processes considered here are listed in Supplementary Table S5 along with 512 their reaction rate and estimated OH yield, under the same assumptions as above. The reaction 513 rates and products are obtained from MCM v3.3.1. Monoterpenes are speciated as 25%  $\alpha$ -pinene 514 and 75%  $\beta$ -pinene based on measurements in BB plumes during ARCTAS<sup>59</sup>. The Supplementary 515 Table S5 also provides the estimated OH production due to the reaction ( $P_{OH}(VOC+O_3)$ ) for a given 516 amount of the VOC (1 ppbv) and 50 ppbv O<sub>3</sub>.

517 As noted above, the HCHO and CHOCHO concentrations were constrained by TROPOMI observations. The concentrations of the other pyrogenic VOCs were estimated using VOC/HCHO 518 519 enhancement ratios (Supplementary Table S6) obtained from the review of emission factors<sup>10</sup>. The enhancement ratio of two compounds is defined as the ratio of the excess concentrations of 520 the two compounds in the fire plume, relative to their ambient background concentrations. 521 Enhancement ratios relative to CO or CO<sub>2</sub> are routinely used to estimate biomass burning 522 emission factors<sup>8</sup> (in g per kg dry matter). Here the molar enhancement ratios relative to HCHO 523 are calculated as  $ER(VOC) = (EF(VOC)/MW_{VOC})/(EF(HCHO)/MW_{HCHO})$ , where EF denotes the 524 525 emission factor (g,kg<sup>-1</sup>) and MW denotes molecular weight (g,mole<sup>-1</sup>). Note that the natural 526 variability of emission rates and the role of secondary chemistry (chemical production and losses 527 within the plume) imply a large variability of enhancement ratios; in particular, the ratios depend on plume age and photochemical conditions. Furthermore, many minor oxygenated VOCs are 528 529 either not measured or have so far unknown impacts due to lack of mechanistic information (e.g. furfurals). 530

531 Supplementary Table S7 gives the estimated contributions of VOC photolysis reactions to the 532 production of OH, relative to the contribution of HCHO, as well as their estimated uncertainties. 533 Those relative contributions are calculated as:

534  $P_{OH}(VOC)/P_{OH}(HCHO) = (\Delta VOC/\Delta HCHO) \times J_{VOC}/J_{HCHO} \times Y_{OH}(VOC)/Y_{OH}(HCHO)(3)$ 

535 where the enhancement ratios ( $\Delta VOC/\Delta HCHO$ ) are given in Supplementary Table S6, and the 536 photolysis rates and OH yields are given in Supplementary Table S5. Although there is some 537 variation between VOC enhancement ratios according to fire type (extratropical forest, 538 temperate forest, tropical forest, savanna), the combined contribution of all VOC+hv reactions 539 (besides HCHO+hv) is relatively uniform across fire types, and is of the same order as that of

- 540 HCHO. When excluding the contribution of HCHO and CHOCHO (for which TROPOMI retrievals 541 are available), the contribution of VOC+hv can be approximated as 75±66% of the HCHO+hv 542 contribution. The main contributing species are, besides HCHO and CHOCHO, methylglyoxal and
- 543 biacetyl. Each of those two compounds contributes ~15-40% relative to formaldehyde.

Supplementary Table S8 provides the contribution of alkene ozonolysis to OH production, for given levels of O<sub>3</sub> and HCHO in the plume, calculated as the product of the enhancement ratio (Supplementary Table S6) by P<sub>OH</sub> (Supplementary Table S5). The total production of OH due to alkene ozonolysis is highest for temperate and boreal forest fires, due to their high emissions of monoterpenes. Based on these results, the OH production rate per ppbv of HCHO is taken equal to  $23\pm17$  pptv.h<sup>-1</sup> in Tropical regions, and  $42\pm62$  pptv.h<sup>-1</sup> elsewhere, in the calculation of the overall OH production rate in TROPOMI pixels.

### 551 Modelled global impact of pyrogenic HONO

552

553 MAGRITTE v1.1<sup>55</sup> was run at 1°×1° resolution to estimate the impact of pyrogenic HONO on global 554 composition during summer 2018. Meteorology is obtained from ECMWF ERA-Interim. The 555 model includes a detailed representation of biogenic and pyrogenic VOC oxidation<sup>67,55</sup>. The 556 photolysis rate calculation relies on TUV, with daily aerosol optical depth distributions from CAMS 557 (https://ads.atmosphere.copernicus.eu). Biomass burning emissions are calculated based on 558 GFED4<sup>32</sup> (van der Werf, 2017) with emission factors from recent assessment<sup>10</sup>.

559 Two 6-month runs (March-August) were conducted, with and without pyrogenic HONO. Gas-560 phase HONO formation and loss are included in both simulations. The pyrogenic HONO emission 561 factors are 1.10, 1.36, 2.29 g per kg of dry matter for savanna, tropical forest and extra-tropical 562 forest fires, respectively, based on the RHN from Table 1 and NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors<sup>10</sup>, the NO/NO<sub>2</sub> 563 ratio being taken equal to 0.2 (see above).

- 565 566
- 567
- 568
- 569
- 570
- 571
- 572
- 573 574
- 575
- 576

# 577 **References**

578

- 40. Veefkind, J. P. et al. TROPOMI on the ESA Sentinel-5 Precursor: A GMES mission for global
  observations of the atmospheric composition for climate, air quality and ozone layer
  applications. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. **120**, 70-83 (2012).
- 582 41. Levelt, P. F. et al. The Ozone Monitoring Instrument. *IEEE Trans. Geo. Rem. Sens.* 44(5),
  583 1093-1101 (2006).
- 42. Bovensmann, H. et al. SCIAMACHY: Mission objectives and measurement modes. *Journal* of the Atmospheric Sciences. 56(2), 127–150 (1999).
- 43. De Smedt, I. et al. Algorithm Theoretical Baseline for formaldehyde retrievals from S5P
  TROPOMI and from the QA4ECV project. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **11**, 2395-2426 (2018).
- 44. Wang, Y. et al. MAX-DOAS measurements of HONO slant column densities during the
   MAD-CAT campaign: inter-comparison, sensitivity studies on spectral analysis settings,
   and error budget. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **10**, 3719-3742 (2017).
- 59145. Behrens, L. K. et al. GOME-2A retrievals of tropospheric NO2 in different spectral ranges592- influence of penetration depth. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 11, 2769-2795 (2018).
- 46. Richter, A., Burrows, J. P. Retrieval of tropospheric NO2 from GOME measurements. *Adv. Space Res.* 29, 1673–1683 (2002).
- 47. Palmer, P. I. et al. Air mass factor formulation for spectroscopic measurements from
   satellites: Application to formaldehyde retrievals from the Global Ozone Monitoring
   Experiment. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 14539–14550 (2001).
- 598 48. Spurr, R. J. VLIDORT: A linearized pseudo-spherical vector discrete ordinate radiative
   599 transfer code for forward model and retrieval studies in multilayer multiple scattering
   600 media. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Rad. 102, 316–342 (2006).
- 49. Wang, P., Tuinder, O. N. E., Tilstra, L.G., de Graaf, M., Stammes, P. Interpretation of
  FRESCO cloud retrievals in case of absorbing aerosol events. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 12, 90579077 (2012).
- 60450. Leitão, J. et al. On the improvement of NO2 satellite retrievals aerosol impact on the605airmass factors. Atmos. Meas. Tech. **3**, 475-493 (2010).
- 51. Brioude, J. et al. The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART-WRF version 3.1.
   *Geosci. Model Dev.* 6, 1889–1904 (2013).
- 60852. Kaiser, J. W. et al. Biomass burning emissions estimated with a global fire assimilation609system based on observed fire radiative power. *Biogeosciences* **9**, 527–554 (2012).
- 53. Lerot, C., Stavrakou, T., De Smedt, I., Müller, J.-F., Van Roozendael, M. Glyoxal vertical
  columns from GOME-2 backscattered light measurements and comparisons with a global
  model. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 12059-12072 (2010).
- 54. Stavrakou, T. et al. Impact of short-term climate variability on volatile organic compounds
  emissions assessed using OMI satellite formaldehyde observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*45, 1621-1629 (2018).

# 55. Müller, J.-F., Stavrakou, T., Peeters, J. Chemistry and deposition in the Model of Atmospheric composition at Global and Regional scales using Inversion Techniques for Trace gas Emissions (MAGRITTEv1.0) - Part 1: Chemical mechanism. *Geosci. Model Dev.*12, 2307-2356 (2019).

- 56. Madronich, S. UV radiation in the natural and perturbed atmosphere, in Environmental
  Effects of Ultraviolet Radiation, edited by: Tevini, M., 17-69, Lewis, Boca Raton, Florida
  (1993).
- 57. Dee, D. P. et al. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **137**, 553-597 (2011).
- 58. Trentmann, J., Andreae, M. O., Graf, H.-F. Chemical processes in a young biomass-burning
  plume. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, 4705 (2003).
- 59. Jacob, D. J. et al. The Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft
  and Satellites (ARCTAS) mission: design, execution, and first results. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*10, 5191-5212 (2010).
- 630 60. Simpson, I. J. et al. Boreal forest fire emissions in fresh Canadian smoke plumes: C1-C10
  631 volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, HCN and CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Atmos. Chem.
  632 Phys. 11, 6445-6463 (2011).
- 633 61. Atkinson R., et al. Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry:
  634 Volume II gas phase reactions of organic species. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6, 3625-4055
  635 (2006).
- 636 62. Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., Pilling, M. J. Protocol for the development
  637 of the Master Chemical Mechanis, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non638 aromatic volatile organic compounds. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 161-180 (2003).
- 639 63. Jenkin, M. E., Young, J. C., Rickard, A. R. The MCM v3.3.1 degradation scheme for isoprene.
   640 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 11433-11459 (2015).
- 641 64. Colmenar, I. et al. UV absorption cross sections between 290 and 280 of a series of 642 furanaldehydes: Estimation of their photolysis lifetimes. *Atmos. Environ.* **103**, 1-6 (2015).
- 643 65. Gandini, A., Parsons, J. M., Back, R. A. The photochemistry of 2-furaldehyde vapour. II.
   644 Photodecomposition: direct photolysis at 253.7 and 313 nm and Hg(<sup>3</sup>P<sub>1</sub>)-sensitized
   645 decomposition. *Can. J. Chem.* 54, 3095-3101 (1976).
- 646 66. Burkholder, J. B. et al. Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric
  647 Studies. Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,
  648 California. http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov (2015).
- 649 67. Stavrakou, T. et al. Evaluating the performance of pyrogenic and biogenic emission
  650 inventories against one decade of space-based formaldehyde columns. *Atmos. Chem.*651 *Phys.* 9, 1037-1060 (2009).
- 652

# 653 Acknowledgments

This work has been performed in the frame of the TROPOMI and the BB-FLUX projects. We 654 acknowledge financial support from ESA S5P MPC (4000117151/16/I-LG), Belgium Prodex TRACE-655 656 S5P (PEA 4000105598) projects. The BB-FLUX project is supported by the US National Science 657 Foundation award AGS-1754019 (PI: R.V.). C.F.L. received summer support from the Department 658 of Chemistry, CU Boulder. H.F. is recipient of a NASA graduate fellowship. L.C. is a research 659 associate supported by the Belgian F.R.S.-FNRS. This paper contains modified Copernicus data (2018/2019) processed by BIRA-IASB. We wish to thank Trissevgeni Stavrakou for her advices on 660 the model simulations. We acknowledge the open access policy of the GFED4 database. We thank 661

662 the entire BB-FLUX science team, the pilots, and UW Flight center staff. The plume age estimates 663 contain modified Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service Information 2019. Neither the 664 European Commission nor ECMWF is responsible for any use that may be made of the 665 information it contains.

666

# 667 Author contributions

668 N.T., R.V., J.-F. M. designed research, M.V. supervised the work. N.T, I.D., C.L., H.Y., M.V. 669 developed the satellite algorithms and processed the data. K.J.Z., N.K., H.F., T.K.K., C.F.L., R.V 670 performed aircraft measurements and data analysis. J.-F. M., C.K. performed model calculations. 671 All authors contributed to the text and interpretation of the results. N.T. analyzed and 672 interpreted the TROPOMI HONO data, with the help of R.V. and K.J.Z. N.T. prepared all figures 673 and wrote the manuscript, with input from all coauthors.

# 674 Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

# 676 Additional information

677 **Supplementary information** accompanies this paper.

# 678 Data availability

- The global data and validation data that support the findings of this study are available in the BIRA-IASB Data Repository (http://repository.aeronomie.be) with the identifier doi (tbd).
- The TROPOMI HONO dataset used in this study is available from the corresponding author uponrequest.

The BB-FLUX dataset is available on request and should be cited with the following reference: 684 Rainer Volkamer, Natalie Kille, Christopher F. Lee, Kyle J. Zarzana, Theodore K. Koenig, Benjamin 685 Howard, Christoph Knote, Teresa Campos, Larry Oolman, Dave Plummer, Min Deng, Zhien Wang, 686 Ravan Ahmadov, Brad Pierce, Florian Obersteiner, and Andreas Zahn, 2019; BB-FLUX: Biomass 687 Burning Fluxes of Trace Gases and Aerosols. University of Wyoming - Research Flight Center, 688 Laramie, WY. <u>http://flights.uwyo.edu/projects/bbflux18/</u>

689

# 690 Code availability

- The DOAS code used to generate the satellite and aircraft data can be accessed at: http://uv-
- 692 vis.aeronomie.be/software/QDOAS/index.php
- The chemical mechanism of the MAGRITTE model used in this study can be obtained at:
- 694 https://tropo.aeronomie.be/index.php/models/magritte



**Fig.1 | Detection of HONO in wildfire plumes by TROPOMI**. **a,** Example map of HONO slant column densities for wildfires in British Columbia on 21 August 2018. The background layer is a composite of true-color RGB image with fires detection and thermal anomalies product (black points) from VIIRS/Suomi-NPP instrument (source: https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/), showing smoke aerosols and the fires source locations. The inset figure is the spectral proof of 701 the HONO detection in the satellite pixel centered at 59.45°N, 127.82°W, illustrating the presence

- of the HONO differential absorption in the measured spectrum. **b**, Global map of HONO SCD
- 703 detections (see Methods) for one year of TROPOMI measurements.



Plume age (minutes)

711 Fig. 2 | Comparison between TROPOMI and aircraft (CU-DOAS) measurements of the Rabbit 712 Foot Fire (Idaho, USA) on August 12, 2018. a, HONO slant columns from TROPOMI (rectangles) 713 and aircraft CU-DOAS (dots). The color scales best represent the ranges of observed values. b, 714 RHN for measurements with unambiguous detection of HONO and NO<sub>2</sub>. The background image 715 for (a-b) shows biomass burning aerosols and the fires source locations (same data source as Fig. 716 1a). c, Comparison between TROPOMI and aircraft RHN as function of plume age (Methods). The 717 two aircraft traverses of the plume are plotted separately. The ranges of RHN from TROPOMI and 718 CU-DOAS are given over the intersection plume age interval (double arrow), for the traverse 719 closest in time to the satellite. Error bars correspond to systematic uncertainties on RHNs. 720

### 721 **Table 1.** RHNs reported in the literature (Supplementary Table S2) and in this study, for different

types of biomass burning. The TROPOMI results are classified using MODIS land cover type<sup>34</sup>.

723

	Savanna, grassland, shrubland	Tropical forest	Extra-tropical forest
Field measurements	0.05 - 0.10	0.17 – 0.22	$0.06 - 0.41 (0.86^{\delta})$
Laboratory experiments	0.05 - 0.19	0.17	0.13 - 0.20
This study*: average ± error*	0.34±0.08	0.41±0.09	0.54±0.12

724  $\delta$  Fresh smoke from large wildfires<sup>35</sup>.

725 \* Mean values for satellite HONO detections, mostly representative of fires with carbon emissions of

726 0.5 - 500 gC/m<sup>2</sup>/month (Supplementary Fig S2b).

727 \*\* Systematic uncertainty



730 Fig. 3 | Satellite-derived RHN and relative production rate of OH due to HONO photolysis for 731 fires emissions. a, RHN for one year of TROPOMI measurements (May 2018 - April 2019). The 732 coloured symbols correspond to individual HONO detections (same as Fig. 1b). b, Satellite 733 estimates of OH production (in percent) from HONO fire emissions. The OH production is 734 calculated from HONO concentrations derived from the satellite detections (as (a)), assuming a 735 single air mass factor and a fixed HONO concentration profile shape (Methods).

736

738 Supplementary Information contains Figures S1-S6, Tables S1-S8, two data files 739 in the form excel sheets for global compilation of HONO detections for one year 740 of TROPOMI measurements, and TROPOMI and aircraft data for the Rabbit Foot 741 fire validation case, and supplementary references.





**Supplementary Fig. 1** | **Examples of TROPOMI observations of nitrogen dioxide, absorbing** 744 **aerosol index, formaldehyde and glyoxal. a**, NO<sub>2</sub> slant column densities (corrected for 745 stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> absorption), for British Columbia on 21 August 2018 (same as Fig. 1). NO<sub>2</sub> SCDs 746 are retrieved in the same wavelength interval as HONO SCDs. **b**, Absorbing aerosol index 747 (dimensionless) using the wavelength pair 354/388 nm. **c-d**, Formaldehyde and glyoxal slant 748 column densities, respectively. **b-d** are for the same scene as (**a**). The background layers are true-749 color RGB images with fires detection and thermal anomalies product (black points) from 750 VIIRS/Suomi-NPP instrument (source: https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/), showing smoke 751 aerosols and the fires source locations.



788 **Supplementary Fig. 2** | Global biomass burning carbon emissions, and statistical analysis of 789 **TROPOMI HONO detections and HONO/NO<sub>2</sub> with fire activity data. a**, Yearly averaged biomass 790 burning carbon emissions from GFED (May 2018-April 2019). **b**, HONO detection frequency 791 multiplied by fire frequency, per bin of carbon emission (monthly averages at 1°x1°), for savanna, 792 forests and both biomes merged, based TROPOMI one-year measurements. HONO detections 793 are mainly found for emissions of 0.5-500 g C/m<sup>2</sup>/month; small agriculture fires are not observed. 794 The HONO detection frequency (in orange, for all vegetation types) is estimated, by the fraction 795 of the grids with at least one HONO detection, for a particulate BB carbon emission rate. The pale 796 yellow rectangle gives an indicative range of carbon emissions for the fires during the BB-FLUX 797 campaign. **c**, Whisker plot of RHN for bins of carbon emissions (same as (**b**)), for savanna and 798 forest fires.



800 Supplementary Fig. 3 | Illustration of measurement sensitivity change for varying aerosol 801 optical depth for a smoke plume peaking at 2km. a, Height-resolved contribution to the total 802 AMF (Methods) for satellite nadir and aircraft (at 1km flying altitude) zenith-sky viewing 803 geometries, as function of AOD. Satellite and aircraft total AMFs are in the legend. For the 804 satellite geometry, the reduction of sensitivity and shift towards the upper-part of the plume for 805 increasing AOD is clearly visible. Calculations are for clear-sky standard atmosphere, 30° solar 806 zenith angle, 0.05 surface albedo, and typical fresh biomass burning absorbing aerosols with 807 single scattering albedo (SSA) of 0.8. **b**, AMFs for satellite nadir and aircraft zenith-sky viewing 808 geometries, for the same conditions as (**a**), with SSA of 0.8-0.9.

- 809 810 811 812 813 814
- 815
- 816



Supplementary Fig. 4 | Comparison between TROPOMI and aircraft (CU DOAS) measurements 819 of the Rabbit Foot (Idaho) and Watson Creek (Oregon) fires on August 15 and 19, 2018. a-b, 820 HONO slant columns from TROPOMI (rectangles) and nearly synchronized (± 15 minutes) aircraft 821 CU-DOAS (dots), for Rabbit Foot fire (a) and Watson Creek fire (b). The fires source locations are 822 indicated by the red points (source: https://firms.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/). c-d, Comparison 823 between TROPOMI and aircraft RHN as function of plume age (Methods), respectively for (a-b). 824 TROPOMI pixels delineated by grey lines in (a-b) are used for the comparison, and correspond to 825 unambiguous detections of both HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> with SCDs>2xretrieval uncertainty (other pixels 826 are considered not suitable for comparison). The two aircraft traverses of the plumes are plotted 827 separately. Aircraft measurements more than 5 km away from the satellite pixels are not 828 considered. Error bars correspond to systematic uncertainties on RHNs. 829



**Supplementary Fig. 5** | **RHN and normalized slant columns dependence with distance from HONO maximum. a**, Averages of RHN for extra-tropical forest and savanna ecosystems, as a 834 function of the distance from the measured HONO maxima for the 100 largest measured HONO 835 SCDs. Error bars are RHN standard deviations; numbers are the total pixels per distance bin. RHN 836 peaks are found within the 5-12 km radius of temporary flight restriction (TFR) zone or affected 837 by fire-induced turbulence. The TROPOMI results are classified using MODIS land cover type<sup>1</sup>. 838 The inset colored bars indicate the range (mean ± standard deviation) of RHN found in the

839	lite	rature	e (S	upple	emei	ntary	Table S2,	exc	cluding	fresh	plumes	from	large	wildfires <sup>2</sup> )	. <b>b</b> , s	same a	ıs ( <b>a</b> )
	-																

- $840 \qquad \mbox{for the HONO and NO}_2 \mbox{slant column densities (normalized)}.$



**Supplementary Fig. 6** | Modelled impact of pyrogenic HONO. Calculated enhancement in the 865 near-surface mixing ratios of HONO (a), OH (b) and  $O_3$  (c-d) due to the inclusion of pyrogenic 866 HONO emissions, for the month of August 2018.

882 Supplementary Table 1. Summary error budget of NO<sub>2</sub> SCD, HONO SCD and RHN, for satellite and

883 aircraft measurements\* used in this study.

	Satellite	Aircraft	Evaluation method - reference
NO <sub>2</sub>			
Random error (molec/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.8x10 <sup>15</sup>	0.6x10 <sup>15</sup>	Data scatter (1-σ) in clean regions
Random error (%)	12	3	
Absorption cross-section			
Uncertainty (%)	3	3	Vandaele et al. (1998)
Temperature $^{\downarrow}$ dep. $\pm$ 20K (%)	4	≤4	Behrens et al. (2018)
Systematic error <sup>§</sup> (molec/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.3x10 <sup>15</sup>	0.9x10 <sup>15</sup>	Sensitivity tests
Systematic error (%)	9	4	
HONO			
Random error (molec/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.7x10 <sup>15</sup>	0.2x10 <sup>15</sup>	Data scatter (1-σ) in clean regions
Random error (%)	9	2	
Absorption cross-section (%)	6	6	Stutz et al. (2000), Gratien et al. (2009)
Systematic error <sup>§</sup> (molec/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.8x10 <sup>15</sup>	0.3x10 <sup>15</sup>	Sensitivity tests
Systematic error (%)	11	3	
RHN			
Random error ** (%)	21.2 (40)	5.1	Precision of single measurement
Systematic error ** (%)	26.7 (25)	10.0	Accuracy for comparison with literature
AMF cancellation (%)	1	0.2	AMF calculations over DOAS fit interval $^{\delta}$
Comparison total error (%)	25.5	6.9	Accuracy excluding cross-sections errors
Mean relative difference (%)	+6	± 8	Figure 2c and Supplementary Figure S4
(satellite – aircraft) ± statistical error			over plume age intersection

\* SCD percent errors given for typical HONO SCDs of 0.75 and 1.0 x10<sup>16</sup> molec/cm<sup>2</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub> SCDs of 1.5 and 2.25 x10<sup>16</sup>

885 molec/cm<sup>2</sup>, for satellite and aircraft observations, respectively.

\*\* Satellite RHN errors vary depending on the observation conditions and retrieved SCDs of HONO and NO<sub>2</sub>. An upper limit RHN random error is of 40% for low SCDs. A global estimate of RHN systematic error is of about 25% 888 when accounting for the range of observed SCDs including the conditions with high NO<sub>2</sub> (savanna fires).

<sup>6</sup> Radiative transfer simulations for varying aerosol optical depth and single scattering albedo (as in Supplementary
 Fig. S3). Vertical profile shapes of HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> taken identical.

4 Difference between plume mean temperature and 294K used in DOAS spectral fitting. The effective plume
 temperature of the Rabbit Foot fire plume was 277 +- 2 K, and the <4% error is an upper limit.</li>

<sup>§</sup> Mean values derived by varying the settings of the DOAS analysis and SCD background corrections.

**Supplementary Table 2.** RHNs reported in the literature and in this study, for different types of 896 biomass burning. For each literature study, the results are given as means. The TROPOMI results 897 are classified using MODIS land cover type<sup>1</sup>.

	Savanna, grassland,	Tropical forest	Extra-tropical forest
	shrubland		
Field measurements	0.05 - 0.10	0.17 - 0.22	0.06 – 0.41 (0.86 <sup>δ</sup> )
Trentman et al. (2005)	0.05	-	-
Burling et al. (2011)	0.10	-	0.14
	(oak savanna)		
Akagi et al. (2012)	-	-	0.17
			(chaparral)
Akagi et al. (2013)	-	-	0.27
Bytnerowicz et al. (2016)	-	-	0.06
Müller et al. (2016)	-	-	0.21
Weise et al. (2015)	-	-	0.19
Yokelson et al. (2007)	-	0.17	-
Yokelson et al. (2009)	-	0.22	-
Yokelson et al. (2013)	-	-	0.41
			(coniferous canopy)
Peng et al. (2020)	-	-	0.86
Laboratory experiments <sup>§</sup>	0.05 - 0.19	0.17	0.13 - 0.20
Burling et al. (2010)	0.05	-	0.13
Keene et al. (2006)	-	0.17	-
Selimovic et al. (2018)	-	-	0.19
Stockwell et al. (2015)	0.07	-	0.20
Yokelson et al. (2013)	0.19	-	-
	(semiarid shrubland)		
This study: average ± error*	0.34±0.08	0.41±0.09	0.54±0.12
(10 <sup>th</sup> - 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	(0.14 - 0.61)	(0.23 - 0.62)	(0.32 - 0.78)

900 <sup>§</sup> NO<sub>2</sub> is assumed in a non-photostationary state for control burns. HONO/NO<sub>2</sub> values are calculated for 901 HONO/NO<sub>x</sub> molar emission ratios, adapted from reported emission factors (g kg<sup>-1</sup> of dry matter) of HONO 902 and NO<sub>x</sub> (as NO). A fixed NO<sub>x</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 1.2 is applied to estimate HONO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratios representative of 903 atmospheric measurements of biomass burning plumes<sup>21</sup>. \* Systematic uncertainty 

<sup>899 &</sup>lt;sup> $\delta$ </sup> Fresh smoke from large wildfires.

# 928 Supplementary Table 3. DOAS settings used in this study for TROPOMI retrievals of HONO, NO<sub>2</sub>,

- 929 HCHO and CHOCHO.
- 930

HONO and NO <sub>2</sub>	
Fitting interval	337-375 nm (TROPOMI band 3)
Cross-sections	HONO 296K (Stutz et al., 2010), NO $_2$ 294K (Vandaele et al., 1998), water
	vapor (Polyansky et al., 2018), $O_2$ - $O_2$ 293K (Thalman and Volkamer, 2013),
	$O_3$ 223K and 243K (Serdyuchenko et al., 2014), BrO 223K (Fleischmann et
	al., 2004), HCHO 298K (Meller and Moortgat, 2000), Ring (Chance and
	Spurr, 1997)
Polynomial	5 <sup>th</sup> order
Intensity offset	Linear, 1 <sup>st</sup> order
Wavelength shift	1 <sup>st</sup> order
Reference spectrum	Daily radiance (averaged per across-track position) in equatorial Pacific
	sector (150°E-110°W, 5°S-5°N)
Post-processing	NO <sub>2</sub> SCD stratospheric correction:
	Latitudinal parameterization (per across-track position) in clean Pacific
	sector (160°E-150°W)
НСНО	
Fitting interval	328.5-359 nm (TROPOMI band 3)
Cross-sections	HCHO 298K (Meller and Moortgat, 2000), NO <sub>2</sub> 220K (Vandaele et al., 1998),
	$O_2$ - $O_2$ 293K (Thalman and Volkamer, 2013), BrO 223K (Fleischmann et al.,
	2004), Ring (Chance and Spurr, 1997), O $_3$ 223K and 243K (Serdyuchenko et
	al., 2014) + Non-linear O <sub>3</sub> absorption effect: 2 pseudo-cross sections from
	the Taylor expansion of the wavelength and the $O_3$ optical depth (Pukīte et
	al., 2010).
Polynomial	5 <sup>th</sup> order
Intensity offset	Linear, 1 <sup>st</sup> order
Wavelength shift	1 <sup>st</sup> order
Reference spectrum	Daily radiance (averaged per across-track position) in equatorial Pacific
	sector (150°E-110°W, 5°S-5°N)
СНОСНО	
Fitting interval	435-460 nm (TROPOMI band 4)

Cross-sections	CHOCHO (Volkamer et al., 2005), NO $_{2}$ 220K and 294K (Vandaele et al.,						
	1998), water vapor (Rothman et al., 2013), $O_2\text{-}O_2$ 293K (Thalman and						
	Volkamer, 2013), O $_3$ 243K (Serdyuchenko et al., 2014), H $_2$ O liquid (Mason						
	et al., 2016), Ring (Chance and Spurr, 1997)						
Polynomial	3 <sup>rd</sup> order						
Intensity offset	Linear, 1 <sup>st</sup> order						
Wavelength shift	1 <sup>st</sup> order						
Reference spectrum	Daily radiance (averaged per across-track position) in equatorial Pacific						
	sector (180°E-120°W, 15°S-15°N)						

**Supplementary Table S4.** VOC photolysis reactions considered as radical sources; OH yield  $Y_{OH}$ (assuming NO/NO<sub>2</sub> = 0.2 in biomass burning plumes); ratio of their photolysis rates (radical 954 channel only) relative to that of HCHO, calculated at 30° zenith angle for 300 DU ozone; and 955 relative uncertainty (%) on the product  $Y_{OH} \times J_{VOC}$ , accounting for uncertainties on the photolysis 956 parameters, based on compilations of experimental data from JPL<sup>32</sup> and IUPAC<sup>33</sup>, and on the yield 957 of OH, assuming a 50% error in the conversion of acylperoxy radicals into OH radicals (resulting 958 from a factor of 2 error in the NO to NO<sub>2</sub> ratio).

Reactions	Y <sub>OH</sub> (VOC)	Ј <sub>VOC</sub> /Ј <sub>НСНО</sub>	σ(Y <sub>OH</sub> ×J <sub>VOC</sub> )	
		(s⁻¹ s)	(%)	
нсно → н+нсо	2	1.00	15	
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO → CH <sub>3</sub> +CHO	2	0.146	15	
$C_2H_5CHO \rightarrow C_2H_5+CHO$	2	0.232	20	
$C_3H_7CHO \rightarrow C_3H_7+CHO$	2	1.094	30	
$CH_3COCH_3 \rightarrow CH_3CO+CH_3$	1.3	0.0162	27	
$C_2H_5COCH_3 \rightarrow CH_3CO+C_2H_5$	1.3	0.080	60	
acrolein $\rightarrow$ products	0.39	0.030	30	
crotonaldehyde $ ightarrow$ products	2	0.042	30	
methacrolein $\rightarrow$ products	1.51	0.061	100	
methylvinylketone $\rightarrow$ CH <sub>3</sub> CO+CH <sub>2</sub> =CH	1.3	0.066	60	
HOCH <sub>2</sub> CHO → CHO+CH <sub>2</sub> OH	2	0.346	30	
$HOCH_2COCH_3 \rightarrow CH_3CO+CH_2OH$	1.3	0.056	50	
СНОСНО → 2 СНО	2	2.23	60	
CH <sub>3</sub> COCHO → CH <sub>3</sub> CO+CHO	1.3	4.13	60	
$CH_3COCOCH_3 \rightarrow 2 CH_3CO$	0.6	8.42	100	
2-furfural $\rightarrow$ products	2	0.23	100	

959

960

962 **Supplementary Table S5.** Alkene ozonolysis reactions considered as radical sources; OH yield; 963 reaction rate constant at 286 K; OH production for 1 ppbv VOC at 286 K, with 50 ppbv O<sub>3</sub> and 964 [M]=1.9×10<sup>19</sup> molec. cm<sup>-3</sup>, calculated as  $P_{OH}(VOC+O_3$ , in pptv h<sup>-1</sup>) =  $k(VOC+O_3) \times [O_3$  in molec. cm<sup>-</sup> 965 <sup>3</sup>] × (OH yield) × 3600×10<sup>3</sup>; and relative uncertainty (%) on OH production, accounting for 966 uncertainties in the OH yield (assumed equal to 20%) and in the reaction rate constant<sup>34</sup>. OH 967 production from monoterpenes + O<sub>3</sub> depends on speciation (see text). The large uncertainty for 968 monoterpenes  $\sigma(P_{OH}(VOC+O_3))$  allows for a factor of 3 error on  $\alpha$ - to  $\beta$ -pinene ratio in fire plumes.

969

Reactions	eactions OH yield		P <sub>OH</sub> (VOC+O <sub>3</sub> )	σ( <i>P</i> <sub>OH</sub> (VOC+O <sub>3</sub> ))
		(10 <sup>-18</sup> molec. <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	(pptv h⁻¹)	(%)
ethene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.26	1.1	1	45
propene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.92	7.7	24	55
1-butene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.92	8.0	25	40
i-butene + O <sub>3</sub>	1.64	9.0	50	35
trans-2-butene + O <sub>3</sub>	1.39	160	758	45
cis-2-butene + O <sub>3</sub>	1.39	110	521	45
butadiene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.29	4.6	46	55
1-pentene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.92	10	31	55
1-hexene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.92	11	34	55
isoprene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.56	9.6	18	35
$\alpha$ -pinene + O <sub>3</sub>	1.60	86	469	55
$\beta$ -pinene + O <sub>3</sub>	0.70	16	38	55
monoterpenes + O <sub>3</sub>			146	200

970

971

972

973

**Supplementary Table 6.** Molar enhancement ratios in biomass burning plumes relative to 976 HCHO<sup>35</sup>. The standard error is given between parentheses, accounting for the standard deviation 977 and number of measurements for each VOC. Those errors might be overestimated given the 978 expected (but not quantified) correlation between  $\Delta$ (VOC) and  $\Delta$ (HCHO).

	savanna	tropical forests	temperate forests	boreal forests
CH₃CHO	0.488(0.126)	0.673(0.476)	0.367(0.059)	0.316(0.045)
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CHO	0.022(0.016)	0.022(0.022)	0.022(0.005)	0.071(0.071)
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> CHO	0.037(0.033)	0.023(0.016)	0.022(0.006)	0.038(0.038)
CH <sub>3</sub> COCH <sub>3</sub>	0.198(0.029)	0.136(0.136)	0.144(0.031)	0.470(0.183)
$C_2H_5COCH_3$	0.044(0.014)	0.087(0.087)	0.046(0.014)	0.032(0.00)
acrolein	0.209(0.044)	0.145(0.145)	0.088(0.033)	0.101(0.101)
crotonaldehyde	0.087(0.087)	0.043(0.043)	0.082(0.082)	0.105(0.105)
methacrolein	0.045(0.045à	0.025(0.025)	0.027(0.016)	0.024(0.015)
methylvinylketone	0.080(0.080)	0.070(0.070)	0.034(0.010)	0.024(0.017)
HOCH₂CHO	0.088(0.034)	0.091(0.091)	0.097(0.097)	0.137(0.137)
HOCH <sub>2</sub> COCH <sub>3</sub>	0.185(0.057)	0.230(0.230)	0.220(0.220)	0.161(0.161)
СНОСНО	0.168(0.168)	0.129(0.129)	0.162(0.162)	0.204(0.204)
CH₃COCHO	0.136(0.096)	0.090(0.090)	0.054(0.054)	0.145(0.145)
CH₃COCOCH₃	0.099(0.028)	0.106(0.106)	0.149(0.064)	0.068(0.068)
2-Furfural	0.185(0.108)	0.102(0.102)	0.078(0.046)	0.109(0.109)
Ethane	0.740(0.066)	0.496(0.048)	0.577(0.035)	0.943(0.153)
propene	0.267(0.051)	0.256(0.213)	0.216(0.146)	0.273(0.254)
1-butene	0.036(0.006)	0.016(0.011)	0.031(0.005)	0.049(0.022)
i-butene	0.018(0.003)	0.024(0.024)	0.022(0.006)	0.016(0.006)
trans-2-butene	0.009(0.002)	0.007(0.005)	0.010(0.003)	0.009(0.003)
cis-2-butene	0.007(0.001)	0.007(0.005)	0.010(0.003)	0.007(0.003)

### $\Delta(VOC)/\Delta(HCHO)$ (mol/mol)

	butadiene	0.043(0.007)	0.035(0.035)	0.033(0.005)	0.028(0.005)
	1-pentene	0.008(0.001)	0.010(0.010)	0.010(0.002)	0.011(0.003)
	1-hexene	0.012(0.002)	0.010(0.010)	0.014(0.002)	0.022(0.022)
	isoprene	0.036(0.018)	0.040(0.028)	0.021(0.00)	0.019(0.019)
	monoterpenes	0.019(0.008)	0.014(0.014)	0.124(0.069)	0.139(0.139)
979					
980					
981					
982					
983					
984					
985					
986					
987					
988					
989					
990					
991					
992					
993					
994					
995					
996					
997					

Supplementary Table 7. Contribution of VOC photolysis reactions to the production of OH
 radicals, relative to that of HCHO, based on the yields and rates of Supplementary Table 4 and on
 the enhancement ratios of Supplementary Table 6. The estimated uncertainty is given within
 parentheses.

# P<sub>OH</sub>(VOC)/P<sub>OH</sub>(HCHO) (pptv h<sup>-1</sup> pptv<sup>-1</sup> h)

-	savanna	tropical forests	temperate forests	boreal forests
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO	0.071(0.029)	0.098(0.084)	0.054(0.017)	0.046(0.013)
C₂H₅CHO	0.005(0.005)	0.005(0.006)	0.005(0.002)	0.016(0.019)
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> CHO	0.040(0.048)	0.025(0.025)	0.024(0.014)	0.042(0.055)
CH <sub>3</sub> COCH <sub>3</sub>	0.002(0.001)	0.001(0.001)	0.001(0.001)	0.004(0.003)
$C_2H_5COCH_3$	0.002(0.002)	0.004(0.006)	0.002(0.002)	0.002(0.001)
acrolein	0.001(0.001)	0.001(0.001)	0.001(0.001)	0.001(0.001)
crotonaldehyde	0.004(0.005)	0.002(0.003)	0.003(0.004)	0.004(0.005)
methacrolein	0.002(0.004)	0.001(0.002)	0.001(0.002)	0.001(0.002)
methylvinylketone	0.003(0.005)	0.003(0.005)	0.001(0.001)	0.001(0.001)
HOCH₂CHO	0.030(0.021)	0.031(0.040)	0.034(0.044)	0.047(0.061)
HOCH <sub>2</sub> COCH <sub>3</sub>	0.007(0.006)	0.008(0.012)	0.008(0.012)	0.006(0.009)
СНОСНО	0.375(0.600)	0.288(0.461)	0.361(0.578)	0.455(0.728)
CH <sub>3</sub> COCHO	0.365(0.32)	0.242(0.387)	0.145(0.232)	0.389(0.622)
CH <sub>3</sub> COCOCH <sub>3</sub>	0.250(0.321)	0.268(0.536)	0.376(0.538)	0.172(0.344)
2-Furfural	0.043(0.068)	0.023(0.046)	0.018(0.029)	0.025(0.050)
ALL except CHOCHO	0.825(0.48)	0.71(0.67)	0.67(0.59)	0.76(0.72)

**Supplementary Table 8.** Contribution of VOC ozonolysis reactions to the production of OH radicals calculated for 50 ppbv  $O_3$  at 286 K, based on the molar enhancement ratios of Supplementary Table 6, for 1 ppbv HCHO and [M]=1.9  $10^{19}$  molec. cm<sup>-3</sup>. The uncertainties (accounting for uncertainties in ozonolysis rates and yields, and in the molar enhancements in fire plumes) are given within parentheses.

P<sub>OH</sub>(VOC) (pptv h<sup>-1</sup>)

	savanna	tropical forests	temperate	boreal forests
			Torests	
ethene	0.72(0.39)	0.49(0.27)	0.57(0.29)	0.93(0.57)
propene	6.44(.77)	6.18(8.54)	5.21(6.39)	6.59(9.76)
1-butene	0.91(0.52)	0.40(0.44)	0.78(0.44)	1.23(1.04)
i-butene	0.45(0.03)	0.61(0.82)	0.55(0.3)	0.40(0.29)
trans-2-butene	6.82(4.58)	5.31(6.18)	7.58(5.69)	6.82(5.34)
cis-2-butene	3.66(2.17)	3.66(4.26)	5.21(3.91)	3.66(3.22)
butadiene	1.95(1.39)	1.59(2.46)	1.50(1.05)	1.27(0.93)
1-pentene	0.36(0.24)	0.45(0.70)	0.45(0.34)	0.49(0.40)
1-hexene	0.28(0.20)	0.34(0.53)	0.49(0.34)	0.76(1.18)
isoprene	0.66(0.69)	0.74(0.93)	0.38(0.28)	0.34(0.53)
monoterpenes	2.80(6.78)	2.05(6.15)	18.1(46.2)	20.3(60.9)
ALL	25.1(9.9)	21.8(13.3)	40.8(47.2)	42.8(62.1)

#### 1021 Supplementary references

- 1023 1. Friedl, M. A. et al. MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: algorithm refinements and 1024 characterization of new datasets. Remote Sens. Environ. 114, 168–182 (2010). 2. Peng, Q., et al. HONO Emissions from Western U.S. Wildfires Provide Dominant Radical 1025 1026 Source in Fresh Wildfire Smoke. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 5954-5963 (2020). 1027 3. Vandaele, A. C. et al. Measurements of the NO2 absorption cross section from 42 1028 000cm-1 to 10 000cm-1 (238-1000 nm) at 220K and 294 K. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra. 59, 1029 171-184 (1998). 1030 4. Behrens, L. K. et al. GOME-2A retrievals of tropospheric NO2 in different spectral ranges 1031 - influence of penetration depth. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 11, 2769-2795 (2018). 1032 5. Stutz, J. et al. UV-vis Absorption Cross-Section of Nitrous Acid. J. Geophys. Res. 105, 1033 14585–14592 (2000). 6. Gratien, A. et al. Experimental intercomparison of the absorption cross-sections of the 1034 nitrous acid (HONO) in the ultraviolet and mid-infrared spectral regions. Journal of 1035 1036 *Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer.* **110**, 256-263 (2009). 1037 7. Trentmann, J. et al. An analysis of the chemical processes in the smoke plume from a savanna fire. J. Geophys. Res. 110, D12301 (2005). 1038 1039 8. Burling, I. R. et al. Airborne and ground-based measurements of the trace gases and particles emitted by prescribed fires in the United States. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 12,197– 1040 12,216 (2011). 1041 1042 9. Akagi, S. K. et al. Evolution of trace gases and particles emitted by a chaparral fire in 1043 California. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 1397-1421 (2012). 1044 10. Akagi, S. K. et al. Measurements of reactive trace gases and variable O<sub>3</sub> formation rates in some South Carolina biomass burning plumes. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 1141-1165 (2013). 1045 1046 11. Bytnerowicz, A. et al. Ground-level air pollution changes during a boreal wildland mega-1047 fire. J. Sci. tot. Environ. 572, 755-769 (2016). 1048 12. Müller, M. et al. In situ measurements and modeling of reactive trace gases in a small biomass burning plume. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 16, 3813-3824 (2016). 1049 13. Weise, D. R., Johnson, T. J., Reardon, J. Particulate and trace gas emissions from 1050 1051 prescribed burns in southeastern U.S. fuel types: Summary of a 5-year project. *Fire Safety* Journal. 74, 71-81 (2015). 1052 1053 14. Yokelson, R. J. et al. The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment: overview and airborne fire emission factor measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 7, 5175-5196 (2007). 1054 15. Yokelson, R. J. et al. Emissions from biomass burning in the Yucatan. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 1055 1056 **9**, 5785–5812 (2009). 1057 16. Yokelson, R. J. et al. Coupling field and laboratory measurements to estimate the emission 1058 factors of identified and unidentified trace gases for prescribed fires. Atmos. Chem. Phys.
- 1059 **13**, 89-116 (2013).

1060 17. Burling, I. R. et al. Laboratory measurements of trace gas emissions from biomass burning
 1061 of fuel types from the southeastern and southwestern United States. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 1062 10, 11115-11130 (2010).

106318. Keene, W. C. et al. Emissions of major gaseous and particulate species during1064experimental burns of southern African biomass. J. Geophys. Res. 111, D04301 (2006).

- 1065 19. Selimovic, V. et al. Aerosol optical properties and trace gas emissions by PAX and OP-FTIR
   1066 for laboratory-simulated western US wildfires during FIREX. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 18, 2929 1067 2948 (2018).
- 20. Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Williams, J., Yokelson, R. J. Characterization of biomass
   burning emissions from cooking fires, peat, crop residue, and other fuels with high resolution proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15, 845-865 (2015).
- 1072 21. Simpson, I. J. et al. Boreal forest fire emissions in fresh Canadian smoke plumes: C1-C10
   1073 volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, HCN and CH<sub>3</sub>CN. *Atmos. Chem.* 1074 *Phys.* **11**, 6445-6463 (2011).
- 107522. Polyansky, O. L. et al. Exomol molecular line lists XXVI: a complete high accuracy line list1076for water. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 480(2), 2597–2608 (2018).
- 1077 23. Thalman, R. M., Volkamer, R. Temperature Dependent Absorption Cross-Sections of O<sub>2</sub> 1078 O<sub>2</sub> collision pairs be- tween 340 and 630nm and at atmospherically relevant pressure.
   1079 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 15371–15381 (2013).
- Serdyuchenko, A., Gorshelev, V., Weber, M., Chehade, W., Burrows, J. P. High spectral
   resolution ozone absorption cross-sections Part 2: Temperature dependence. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 7, 625–636 (2014).
- 108325. Fleischmann, O. C., Hartmann, M., Burrows, J.P., Orphal, J. New ultraviolet absorption1084cross-sections of BrO at atmospheric temperatures measured by time-windowing Fourier1085transform spectroscopy. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A. 168, 117–132 (2004).
- 108626. Meller, R., Moortgat, G. K. Temperature dependence of the absorption cross section of1087HCHO between 223 and 323 K in the wavelength range 225–375 nm. J. Geophys. Res. 105,10887089–7102 (2000).
- 27. Chance, K., Spurr, R. J. Ring effect studies: Rayleigh scattering including molecular
   parameters for rotational Raman scattering, and the Fraunhofer spectrum. *Appl. Optics*.
   36, 5224–5230 (1997).
- 28. Puķīte, J., Kühl, S., Deutschmann, T., Platt, U., Wagner, T. Extending differential optical
  absorption spectroscopy for limb measurements in the UV. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **3**, 631–
  653 (2010).
- 29. Volkamer, R., Spietz, P., Burrows, J.P., Platt, U. High-resolution absorption cross-section
   of glyoxal in the UV-vis and IR spectral ranges. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chemistry.
   1097 172(1), 35–46 (2005).
- 109830. Rothman, L. S. et al. The HITRAN 2012 molecular spectroscopic database. Journal of1099Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer. 130, 4–50 (2013).
- 110031. Mason, J. D., Cone, M. T., Fry, E. S. Ultraviolet (250–550 nm) absorption spectrum of pure1101water. Appl. Optics. Optical Society of America, 55(25), p. 7163. (2016).

- 32. Burkholder, J. B. et al. Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric
   Studies. Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,
   California. http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov (2015).
- 33. Atkinson, R. et al. Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry:
   volume I Gas phase reactions of O<sub>x</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and SO<sub>x</sub> species. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 4,
   1461-1738 (2004). http://iupac.pole-ether.fr/),
- 34. Atkinson, R. et al. Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry:
   Volume II gas phase reactions of organic species. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6, 3625-4055
   (2006).
- 35. Andreae, M. O. Emissions of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning An updated
  assessment. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 8523-8546 (2019).
- 1113