

Global Regularity for the Two-Dimensional Anisotropic Boussinesq Equations with Vertical Dissipation

CHONGSHENG CAO & JIAHONG WU

Communicated by F. LIN

Abstract

This paper establishes the global in time existence of classical solutions to the two-dimensional anisotropic Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation. When only vertical dissipation is present, there is no direct control on the horizontal derivatives and the global regularity problem is very challenging. To solve this problem, we bound the derivatives in terms of the L^∞ -norm of the vertical velocity v and prove that $\|v\|_{L^r}$ with $2 \leq r < \infty$ does not grow faster than $\sqrt{r \log r}$ at any time as r increases. A delicate interpolation inequality connecting $\|v\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|v\|_{L^r}$ then yields the desired global regularity.

1. Introduction

The Boussinesq equations model many geophysical flows, such as atmospheric fronts and ocean circulations (see, for example, [22, 27]). Mathematically the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations serve as a lower-dimensional model of the three-dimensional hydrodynamics equations. In fact, the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations retain some key features of the three-dimensional Euler and Navier–Stokes equations such as the vortex stretching mechanism and, as pointed out in [23], the inviscid two-dimensional Boussinesq equations are identical to the Euler equations for three-dimensional axisymmetric swirling flows. The fundamental issue of whether classical solutions to the three-dimensional Euler and Navier–Stokes equations can develop finite time singularities remains outstandingly open; the study of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations may shed light on this extremely challenging problem.

This paper addresses the global regularity problem concerning the two-dimensional anisotropic Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + vv_y = -p_x + \nu u_{yy}, \\ v_t + uv_x + vv_y = -p_y + \nu v_{yy} + \theta, \\ u_x + v_y = 0, \\ \theta_t + u\theta_x + v\theta_y = \kappa \theta_{yy}, \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \quad v(x, y, 0) = v_0(x, y), \quad \theta(x, y, 0) = \theta_0(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where u, v, p and θ are scalar functions of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t \geq 0$. Physically, (u, v) denotes the two-dimensional velocity field, p the pressure, θ the temperature in the content of thermal convection and the density in the modeling of geophysical fluids, $\nu > 0$ the viscosity and $\kappa > 0$ the thermal diffusivity. Equation (1.1) is useful in modeling dynamics of geophysical flows in which the vertical dissipation dominates, such as in the large-time dynamics of certain strongly stratified flows (see [24] and the references therein).

The two-dimensional anisotropic Boussinesq system with vertical diffusion is a special case of the general two-dimensional Boussinesq equations

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + vv_y = -p_x + \nu_1 u_{xx} + \nu_2 u_{yy}, \\ v_t + uv_x + vv_y = -p_y + \nu_1 v_{xx} + \nu_2 v_{yy} + \theta, \\ u_x + v_y = 0, \\ \theta_t + u\theta_x + v\theta_y = \kappa_1 \theta_{xx} + \kappa_2 \theta_{yy}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where ν_1, ν_2, κ_1 and κ_2 are real parameters. Equation (1.2) with $\nu_1 = \kappa_1 = 0$ reduces to (1.1). When all four parameters are positive, (1.2) is fully dissipative and the global regularity has been obtained (see, for example, [5]). On the other hand, if all parameters are zero, (1.2) reduces to the inviscid Boussinesq equations. As mentioned before, the inviscid Boussinesq equations can be identified with the three-dimensional axisymmetric Euler equations, and whether or not their solutions can develop any finite-time singularity remains elusive. Several analytic and numerical results on the inviscid Boussinesq equations are available in [8, 15]. The intermediate cases that occur when at least one of four parameters in (1.2) is zero have attracted considerable attention in the last few years, and important progress has been made (see, for example, [1–3, 7, 11–13, 16–21, 25]). The global regularity for the case when $\nu_1 = \nu_2 > 0$ and $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0$ was proven by CHAE [7] and by HOU and LI [20]. The case when $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 0$ and $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 > 0$ was dealt with by CHAE [7]. Their results successfully resolved one of the open problems proposed by MOFFATT [26]. Further progress on these two cases was recently made by Hmidi, Keraani and Rousset, who were able to establish the global regularity even when the full Laplacian dissipation is replaced by the critical dissipation represented in terms of the operator $\sqrt{-\Delta}$ [18, 19]. In addition, MIAO and XUE obtained the global regularity of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations with fractional dissipation and thermal diffusion when the fractional powers obey certain conditions [25]. The global well-posedness for the anisotropic Boussinesq equations with horizontal dissipation or thermal diffusion, namely (1.2) with only $\nu_1 > 0$ or $\kappa_1 > 0$, was first studied by DANCHIN and PAICU [13]. Recently LARIOS et al.

[21] further investigated the Boussinesq equations with horizontal dissipation via more elementary approaches and re-established the results of DANCHIN and PAICU under milder assumptions. Other interesting recent results on the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations can be found in [1–3, 11, 12, 16, 17, 25].

This paper singles out the anisotropic Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation (1.1) for study. Why is the global regularity problem for this case difficult? Although the global (in time) L^2 -bound follows from an easy energy estimate, it appears impossible to directly obtain a global H^1 -bound. There is a simple explanation. The equation satisfied by the vorticity $\omega = v_x - u_y$ is given by

$$\omega_t + u\omega_x + v\omega_y = \nu_1\omega_{yy} + \theta_x,$$

and the mismatch between the vertical dissipation ω_{yy} and the x -derivative θ_x essentially makes the vertical dissipation useless. In two recent papers in collaboration with ADHIKARI [2, 3], we attempted to overcome this difficulty and obtained partial results. This paper completely solves the global regularity problem for (1.1). Our major result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the initial-value problem for the anisotropic Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation (1.1). Let $\nu > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$. Let $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, for any $T > 0$, (1.1) has a unique classical solution (u, v, θ) on $[0, T]$ satisfying*

$$(u, v, \theta) \in C([0, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

This global regularity result may be a little bit surprising. Equation (1.1) and the Prandtl boundary layer equation bear some similarities, but there are smooth initial data such that the corresponding solution of the Prandtl equation does not exist globally [14].

In order to prove this theorem, we first discover that the norms of the vertical velocity v in Lebesgue spaces play a crucial role in controlling the Sobolev-norms of the solutions. In fact, it is shown in [3] that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v, \theta)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\omega^2 + |\nabla\theta|^2\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\nu, \kappa, T, u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \\ &\times \exp\left(\int_0^t \|v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 d\tau\right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

We remark that (1.3) involves the estimates of (u, v, θ) in L^4 and $W^{1,4}$, which serves as a bridge to the H^2 -estimate. It does not appear to be plausible to directly show that

$$\int_0^t \|v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 d\tau < \infty.$$

A natural idea is then to estimate $\|v\|_{L^q}$ for $q < \infty$ and obtain upper bounds that are as sharp as possible for large q . It was shown in [2] that $\|v\|_{L^q}$ remains finite for all time with an upper bound depending exponentially on q . This upper

bound was improved to a linear function of q in [3]. We are able to obtain a further improvement in this paper and prove that, for any $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q} \leq B(t)\sqrt{q \log q}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $B(t)$ is an explicit integrable function independent of q . In order to bound $\|v\|_{L^\infty}$ in terms of the L^q -bound in (1.4), we prove the following interpolation inequality:

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|f\|_r}{\sqrt{r \log r}} \left(\log(e + \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}) \log \log(e + \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.5}$$

This delicate inequality, together with (1.3) and (1.4), yields the desired global bound for $\|(u, v, \theta)\|_{H^2}$. The global bound combined with local existence theory (see, for example, [9]) leads to the global regularity result stated in Theorem 1.1.

Our major effort is devoted to proving the upper bound (1.4). Key ingredients of the proof are the global bounds on the pressure p ,

$$\|p(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq C, \quad \|p(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4} \leq C, \quad \int_0^t \|\nabla p(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C,$$

where $C = C(v, \kappa, t, u_0, v_0, \theta_0)$ is a smooth function of t that depends on the parameters v, κ and the initial norm $\|(u_0, v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^2}$. These bounds for the pressure, in turn, require suitable estimates for (u, v, θ) in L^4 and L^8 . Another crucial technique is the decomposition of the pressure into low frequency and high frequency parts, which are bounded differently. The separation of the low and high frequencies appears to be necessary in securing a bound of the form in (1.4). The proof of the interpolation inequality (1.5) involves the Littlewood–Paley decomposition and Besov space tools.

The rest of the paper is divided into three sections and two appendices. The second section proves the interpolation inequality (1.5), an inequality for a triple product and several estimates for the low and high frequency parts of an H^1 -function. The third section establishes several global bounds for the pressure. They rely on the L^4 and L^8 bounds of the solution. The last section presents the proof of Theorem 1.1. The key is the global a priori bound (1.4), whose detailed proof is also provided in this section. Appendix A contains the description of the Littlewood–Paley decomposition, the Besov spaces, the Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and related facts used in the previous sections. Appendix B provides the technical proof for an inequality presented in the second section. Throughout the rest of this paper, $\|f\|_{L^r}$, or simply $\|f\|_r$, denotes the norm in the Lebesgue space L^r , while $\|f\|_{H^s}$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}$ denote the norms in the Sobolev space H^s and the homogeneous Sobolev space \dot{H}^s , respectively.

2. Interpolation Inequality and Other Tools

This section presents several inequalities to be used in the subsequent sections. They include the interpolation inequality stated in (1.5), an inequality for a triple product and suitable bounds for the low and high frequency parts of an H^1 -function. Some of the proofs involve the Littlewood–Paley decomposition, Besov spaces, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and related techniques, which are described in Appendix A.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s > 1$ and $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Assume that*

$$\sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|f\|_r}{\sqrt{r \log r}} < \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant C depending only on s such that

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \max \left\{ C, C \sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|f\|_r}{\sqrt{r \log r}} (\log(e + \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}) \log \log(e + \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}))^{1/2} \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

When $s = 2$, Eqn. (2.1) reduces to (1.5). The proof of this lemma involves the Littlewood–Paley decomposition, Bernstein’s inequality and the identification of the inhomogeneous Besov space $B_{2,2}^s$ with H^s .

Proof of Lemma 2.1. By the Littlewood–Paley decomposition, we can write

$$f = S_{N+1}f + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \Delta_j f,$$

where Δ_j denotes the Fourier localization operator and

$$S_{N+1} = \sum_{j=-1}^N \Delta_j.$$

The definitions of Δ_j and S_N are now standard and can be found in several books and many papers (see, for example, [4, 10, 28, 29]). For the reader’s convenience, they are provided in Appendix A. Therefore,

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq \|S_{N+1}f\|_\infty + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \|\Delta_j f\|_\infty.$$

We denote the terms on the right by I and II . By Bernstein’s inequality (see Appendix A), for any $q \geq 2$,

$$|I| \leq 2^{\frac{2N}{q}} \|S_{N+1}f\|_q \leq 2^{\frac{2N}{q}} \|f\|_q.$$

Taking $q = N$, we have

$$|I| \leq 4\|f\|_N \leq 4\sqrt{N \log N} \sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|f\|_r}{\sqrt{r \log r}}.$$

By Bernstein’s inequality again, for any $s > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &\leq \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} 2^j \|\Delta_j f\|_2 = \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(s-1)} 2^{sj} \|\Delta_j f\|_2 \\ &= C 2^{-(N+1)(s-1)} \|f\|_{B_{2,2}^s}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending only on s . By identifying $B_{2,2}^s$ with H^s , we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 4\sqrt{N \log N} \sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|f\|_r}{\sqrt{r \log r}} + C 2^{-(N+1)(s-1)} \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

We obtain the desired inequality (2.1) by taking

$$N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{s-1} \log_2(e + \|f\|_{H^s}) \right\rceil,$$

where $[a]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to a . Indeed, the first term gives the desired bound while the second term is bounded by a constant. \square

The next lemma bounds the triple product in terms of the Lebesgue norms of the functions and their directional derivatives.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $q \in [2, \infty)$. Assume that $f, g, g_y, h_x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $h \in L^{2(q-1)}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f g h| \, dx \, dy \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|g_y\|_2^{\frac{1}{q}} \|h\|_{2(q-1)}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|h_x\|_2^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where C is a constant depending only on q . Two special cases of (2.2) are

$$\iint |f g h| \, dx \, dy \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|g_y\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|h\|_4^{\frac{2}{3}} \|h_x\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\iint |f g h| \, dx \, dy \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g_y\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h_x\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

This lemma generalizes an inequality in [6]. It is clear from (2.2) that, as q increases, $\|h\|_{2(q-1)}$ absorbs a higher power while the power of $\|h_x\|_2$ decreases. This generalization allows us to select suitable q values to obtain our desired estimates. The two particular special cases in (2.3) and (2.4) will be very useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. The proof of Lemma 2.2 will be provided in Appendix B.

The next two lemmas bound the norms of the low and high frequency parts of an H^1 function in Lebesgue spaces. We recall the definition of the Fourier and the inverse Fourier transforms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}f(\xi) &= \widehat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) \, dx, \\ \mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) &= \check{f}(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(\xi) \, d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $R > 0$. Denote by $B(0, R)$ the ball centered at zero with radius R and by $\chi_{B(0,R)}$ the characteristic function on $B(0, R)$. Write*

$$f = \bar{f} + \tilde{f} \text{ with } \bar{f} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{B(0,R)}\mathcal{F}f) \text{ and } \tilde{f} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 - \chi_{B(0,R)})\mathcal{F}f). \tag{2.5}$$

Then we have the following estimates for \bar{f} and \tilde{f} .

1. There exists a pure constant C independent of f and R such that

$$\|\bar{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \sqrt{\log R} \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{2.6}$$

2. For any $2 \leq q < \infty$, there is a constant independent of q , R and f such that

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{C_q}{R^{\frac{2}{q}}} \|\tilde{f}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{C_q}{R^{\frac{2}{q}}} \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{2.7}$$

In particular, for $q = 4$,

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{R}} \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Proof. The proof of (2.6) is very easy.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{f}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\widehat{\bar{f}}\|_{L^1} = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} |\widehat{\bar{f}}(\xi)| \, d\xi \\ &= \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{\bar{f}}(\xi)| \, d\xi \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\log R} \|f\|_{H^1}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (2.7), we first recall the embedding relations: for any $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\mathring{B}_{q, \min\{q, 2\}}^0 \hookrightarrow L^q \hookrightarrow \mathring{B}_{q, \max\{q, 2\}}^0,$$

where $\mathring{B}_{q,r}^s$ denotes the homogenous Besov space (see Appendix A). In particular, for $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^q} \leq C_q \|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathring{B}_{q,2}^0} = C_q \left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\Delta_j \tilde{f}\|_{L^q}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where C is a constant independent of q . By definition,

$$\widehat{\Delta_j \tilde{f}}(\xi) = \widehat{\Phi_j}(\xi) \widehat{\tilde{f}}(\xi), \quad \text{supp} \widehat{\Phi_j} \subset \{\xi : 2^{j-1} < |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}.$$

Since $\text{supp} \widehat{\tilde{f}} \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \geq R\}$, $\Delta_j \tilde{f} \equiv 0$ for $j \leq j_0 \equiv [\log_2 R] - 1$. By Bernstein's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^q} &\leq C_q \left[\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \|\Delta_j \tilde{f}\|_{L^q}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_q \left[\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} 2^{4j(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \|\Delta_j \tilde{f}\|_{L^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_q 2^{-\frac{2j_0}{q}} \|\tilde{f}\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{C_q}{R^{\frac{2}{q}}} \|\tilde{f}\|_{H^1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Let $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let \tilde{f} be defined as in (2.5). Then, for a constant C depending only on q ,*

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Proof. For any $1 < q < \infty$, we have the equivalence relation $L^q \sim F_{q,2}^0$, where $F_{q,r}^s$ denotes the Triebel-Lizorkin space containing tempered distributions f such that

$$\|f\|_{F_{q,r}^s} \equiv \|2^{sj} |\Delta_j f|\|_{L^q(r)} = \left\| \left[\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{sjr} |\Delta_j f|^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^q} < \infty.$$

More information on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces can be found in Appendix A and some books (see, for example, [28,29]). Therefore, for two constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on q ,

$$C_1 \|f\|_{F_{p,2}^0} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{F_{p,2}^0}. \tag{2.8}$$

By the definition of \tilde{f} , $\Delta_j \tilde{f} = 0$ for $j \leq j_0 = [\log R] - 1$. Applying (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p} &\leq C_2 \|\tilde{f}\|_{F_{p,2}^0} = C_2 \left\| \left[\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{2sj} |\Delta_j \tilde{f}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C_2 \left\| \left[\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} 2^{2sj} |\Delta_j f|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C_2 \left\| \left[\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{2sj} |\Delta_j f|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \square

3. Global Bounds for the Pressure

This section establishes several global a priori bounds for the pressure. These bounds are crucial in the proof of the global bound (1.4), which, in turn, is one of the major components in the proof of Theorem 1.1. These bounds for the pressure are simultaneously obtained with the L^4 and L^8 bounds of (u, v, θ) . We state these bounds in two propositions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let (u, v, θ) be the corresponding classical solution of (1.1). Then*

$$\|(u(t), v(t))\|_4^4 + \nu \int_0^t \|(u_y(\tau), v_y(\tau))\| \|(u(\tau), v(\tau))\|_2^2 \, d\tau \leq M_1(t), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\|p(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq M_2(t), \quad \int_0^t \|\nabla p(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 \, d\tau \leq M_3(t), \tag{3.2}$$

where M_1, M_2 and M_3 are explicit smooth functions of $t \in [0, \infty)$ that depend on ν, κ and the initial norm $\|(u_0, v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^2}$.

The estimates in Proposition 3.1 have been partially obtained in [3]. To be self-contained, we include these estimates with a simplified proof.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let (u, v, θ) be the corresponding classical solution of (1.1). Then*

$$\|v(t)\|_8 \leq M_4(t), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\|u_y(t)\|_2^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|u_{yy}(\tau)\|_2^2 \, d\tau \leq M_5(t), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|p(t)\|_4 \leq M_6(t), \tag{3.5}$$

where M_4, M_5 and M_6 are explicit smooth functions of $t \in [0, \infty)$ that depend on ν, κ and the initial norm $\|(u_0, v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^2}$.

To prove the propositions, we first recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let (u, v, θ) be the corresponding classical solution of (1.1). Then*

$$\|(u(t), v(t))\|_2^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|(u_y(\tau), v_y(\tau))\|_2^2 \, d\tau = (\|(u_0, v_0)\|_2 + t \|\theta_0\|_2)^2 \tag{3.6}$$

and, for any $q > 1$,

$$\|\theta(t)\|_q^q + \kappa q (q - 1) \int_0^t \|\theta_y |\theta|^{\frac{q-2}{2}}(\tau)\|_2^2 \, d\tau = \|\theta_0\|_q^q. \tag{3.7}$$

In particular, for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$\|\theta(t)\|_q \leq \|\theta_0\|_q. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Taking the inner product of the first equation in (1.1) with $u(|u|^2 + |v|^2)$ and the second equation in (1.1) with $v(|u|^2 + |v|^2)$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)^2 + v \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)(|u_y|^2 + |v_y|^2) + 2v \int (uu_y + vv_y)^2 \\ & = - \int p_x u (|u|^2 + |v|^2) - \int p_y v (|u|^2 + |v|^2) + \int \theta v (|u|^2 + |v|^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\left| \int \theta v (|u|^2 + |v|^2) \right| \leq C \|\theta\|_4 \| |u|^2 + |v|^2 \|_2^{3/2}.$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$\left| \int p_x u (|u|^2 + |v|^2) \right| \leq C \|p_x\|_2 \|u\|_2^{1/2} \|u_x\|_2^{1/2} \| |u|^2 + |v|^2 \|_2^{1/2} \|uu_y + vv_y\|_2^{1/2}.$$

Taking the divergence of the first two equations in (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p & = -(uu_x + vv_y)_x - (uv_x + vv_y)_y + \theta_y \\ & = -2(vu_y)_x - 2(vv_y)_y + \theta_y. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we write $p = p_1 + p_2$ with

$$\Delta p_1 = -(uu_x + vv_y)_x - (uv_x + vv_y)_y, \quad \Delta p_2 = \theta_y.$$

By standard bounds for the Riesz transforms, we have

$$\|p_1\|_2 \leq C \left(\| |u|^2 + |v|^2 \|_2 \right), \quad \|\nabla p_2\|_4 \leq C \|\theta\|_4, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\|\nabla p\|_2 \leq C (\|vu_y\|_2 + \|vv_y\|_2 + \|\theta\|_2). \tag{3.10}$$

By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int p_y v (|u|^2 + |v|^2) & = \int p_1 v_y (|u|^2 + |v|^2) + 2 \int p_1 v (uu_y + vv_y) \\ & \quad - \int p_{2y} v (|u|^2 + |v|^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\left| \int p_1 v_y (|u|^2 + |v|^2) \right| \leq C \|p_1\|_2^{1/2} \|p_{1x}\|_2^{1/2} \|v_y\|_2 \| |u|^2 + |v|^2 \|_2^{1/2} \|uu_y + vv_y\|_2^{1/2},$$

$$2 \left| \int p_1 v (uu_y + vv_y) \right| \leq C \|p_1\|_2^{1/2} \|p_{1x}\|_2^{1/2} \|v\|_2^{1/2} \|v_y\|_2^{1/2} \|uu_y + vv_y\|_2,$$

$$\left| \int p_{2y} v (|u|^2 + |v|^2) \right| \leq C \|\theta\|_4 \| |u|^2 + |v|^2 \|_2^{3/2}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)^2 + v \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)(|u_y|^2 + |v_y|^2) + 2v \int (u u_y + v v_y)^2 \\ & \leq C (\|v u_y\|_2 + \|v v_y\|_2 + \|\theta\|_2) \|u\|_2^{1/2} \|v_y\|_2^{1/2} \|u^2 + v^2\|_2^{1/2} \|u u_y + v v_y\|_2^{1/2} \\ & \quad + C (\|u^2 + v^2\|_2)^{1/2} (\|v u_y\|_2 + \|v v_y\|_2 + \|\theta\|_2)^{1/2} \|v_y\|_2 \\ & \quad \times \| |u|^2 + |v|^2 \|_2^{1/2} \|u u_y + v v_y\|_2^{1/2} \\ & \quad + C (\|u^2 + v^2\|_2)^{1/2} (\|v u_y\|_2 + \|v v_y\|_2 + \|\theta\|_2)^{1/2} \|v\|_2^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \|v_y\|_2^{1/2} \|u u_y + v v_y\|_2 + C \|\theta\|_4 \| |u|^2 + |v|^2 \|_2^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Young’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)^2 + v \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)(|u_y|^2 + |v_y|^2) + v \int (u u_y + v v_y)^2 \\ & \leq C(1 + \|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2)(1 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2) \|(u^2 + v^2)\|_2^2 + C\|\theta\|_2^2 \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \\ & \quad + C\|\theta\|_4^4 + C\|\theta\|_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall’s inequality and applying Lemma 3.3, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)^2 + v \int_0^t \int (|u|^2 + |v|^2)(|u_y|^2 + |v_y|^2) + v \int_0^t \int (u u_y + v v_y)^2 \\ & \leq e^{C(\int_0^t \|u\|_{H^1}^2 d\tau)} \left(\| |u_0|^2 + |v_0|^2 \|_2^2 + C\|\theta_0\|_2^2 \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^1}^2 d\tau + C\|\theta_0\|_4^4 t \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $u_x = -v_y$ and Lemma 3.3, we see that $\|u\|_{H^1}^2$ is time integrable and the inequality above verifies (3.1). In particular, by (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain (3.2). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Taking the inner product of the second equation in (1.1) with $v|v|^6$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{8} \frac{d}{dt} \int |v|^8 + 7v \int |v|^6 |v_y|^2 = 7 \int p v_y |v|^6 + \int \theta v |v|^6.$$

The terms on the right can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} 7 \int p |v_y| |v|^6 + \int \theta |v|^7 & \leq C \|p\|_8 \|v\|_8^3 \|v^3 v_y\|_2 + \|\theta\|_8 \|v\|_8^7 \\ & \leq C \|p\|^{1/4} \|p\|_{H^1}^{3/4} \|v\|_8^3 \|v^3 v_y\|_2 + \|\theta\|_8 \|v\|_8^7. \end{aligned}$$

By Young’s inequality, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_8^8 + v \int |v|^6 |v_y|^2 \leq C \|p\|_2^{1/2} \|p\|_{H^1}^{3/2} \|v\|_8^6 + \|\theta\|_8 \|v\|_8^7.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_8^2 \leq C \|p\|^{1/2} \|p\|_{H^1}^{3/2} + \|\theta\|_8^2 + \|v\|_8^2.$$

Applying Gronwall’s inequality then yields (3.3). We now prove (3.4). Taking the inner product of the first equation in (1.1) with $-u_{yy}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_y\|_2^2 + v \|u_{yy}\|_2^2 = - \int p_x u_{yy}.$$

Applying Hölder’s and Young’s inequalities, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_y\|_2^2 + v \|u_{yy}\|_2^2 \leq 2 \|p_x\|_2^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_y\|_2^2 + v \int_0^t \|u_{yy}\|_2^2 d\tau \leq C \int_0^t \|p\|_{H^1}^2 d\tau + \|u_{0y}\|_2^2. \tag{3.11}$$

To prove (3.5), we recall that

$$\Delta p = -2(vu_y)_x - (v^2)_{yy} + \theta_y.$$

By the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality and standard bounds for the Riesz transforms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(t)\|_4 &\leq C \left(\|(-\Delta)^{-1}(vu_y)_x\|_4 + \|(-\Delta)^{-1}(v^2)_{yy}\|_4 + \|(-\Delta)^{-1}\theta_y\|_4 \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|vu_y\|_{4/3} + \|v\|_8^2 + \|\theta\|_{4/3} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|v\|_4 \|u_y\|_2 + \|v\|_8^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{4/3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.5) holds. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1. A major component of the proof is the global bound (1.4). A precise statement of this inequality is contained in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let (u, v, θ) be the corresponding classical solution of (1.1). Then,*

$$\sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|v(t)\|_{L^{2r}}}{\sqrt{r \log r}} \leq \sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|v_0\|_{L^{2r}}}{\sqrt{r \log r}} + B(t), \tag{4.1}$$

where $B(t)$ is an explicit integrable function of $t \in [0, \infty)$ that depends on v, κ and the initial norm $\|(u_0, v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^2}$.

With this global bound at our disposal, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from Proposition 5.3 of [3] that the quantity

$$Y(t) = \|\omega\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\omega^2 + |\nabla\theta|^2\|_2^2$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} Y(t) + \|\omega_y\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta_y\|_{H^2}^2 + \int (\omega^2 + |\nabla\theta|^2) (\omega_y^2 + |\nabla\theta_y|^2) + \int (\omega\omega_y + \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\theta_y)^2 \\ \leq C (1 + \|\theta_0\|_\infty^2 + \|v\|_\infty^2 + \|u_y\|_2^2 + (1 + \|u\|_2^2)\|v_y\|_2^2) Y(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq \left\{ C, C \sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|v\|_r}{\sqrt{r \log r}} (\log(e + \|v\|_{H^2}) \log \log(e + \|v\|_{H^2}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 and using the simple fact that $\|v\|_{H^2}^2 \leq \|\omega\|_{H^1}^2 \leq Y(t)$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} Y(t) \leq A(t)Y(t) + C B^2(t) Y(t) \log(e + Y(t)) \log \log(e + Y(t)),$$

where $A(t) = C (1 + \|\theta_0\|_\infty^2 + \|u_y\|_2^2 + (1 + \|u\|_2^2)\|v_y\|_2^2)$. An application of Gronwall’s inequality then concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Finally, we prove Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Taking the inner product of the second equation in (1.1) with $v|v|^{2r-2}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2r} \frac{d}{dt} \int |v|^{2r} + v(2r - 1) \int v_y^2 |v|^{2r-2} \\ = (2r - 1) \int p v_y |v|^{2r-2} + \int \theta v |v|^{2r-2} \\ = (2r - 1) \int \bar{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} + (2r - 1) \int \tilde{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} + \int \theta v |v|^{2r-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \theta v |v|^{2r-2} &\leq \|\theta\|_{2r} \|v\|_{2r}^{2r-1}, \\ \int \bar{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} &\leq \|\bar{p}\|_\infty \|v^{r-1}\|_2 \|v_y v^{r-1}\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int \tilde{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} \leq C \|\tilde{p}\|_4^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\tilde{p}_x\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v^{r-1}\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|(r - 1)v_y v^{r-2}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_y v^{r-1}\|_2.$$

Furthermore, by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \| |v|^{r-1} \|_2 &= \|v\|_{2(r-1)}^{r-1} \leq \|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \|v\|_{2r}^{\frac{r(r-2)}{r-1}}, \\ \| |v|^{r-2} v_y \|_2^2 &= \int |v|^{2(r-2)} v_y^2 = \int |v|^{2(r-2)} v_y^{\frac{2(r-2)}{r-1}} v_y^{\frac{2}{r-1}} \leq \|v_y\|_2^{\frac{2}{r-1}} \|v_y |v|^{r-1} \|_2^{\frac{2(r-2)}{r-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \bar{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} &\leq C \|\bar{p}\|_\infty \|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \|v\|_{2r}^{\frac{r(r-2)}{r-1}} \|v_y v^{r-1}\|_2, \\ \int \tilde{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} &\leq C (r-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\tilde{p}\|_4^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\tilde{p}_x\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v\|_2^{\frac{2}{3(r-1)}} \|v\|_{2r}^{\frac{2r(r-2)}{3(r-1)}} \\ &\quad \times \|v_y\|_2^{\frac{1}{3(r-1)}} \| |v|^{r-1} \|_2^{1+\frac{(r-2)}{3(r-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Young’s inequality and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} (2r-1) \int \bar{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} &\leq \frac{\nu}{4} (2r-1) \|v_y v^{r-1}\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + C(2r-1)(\log R) \|p\|_{H^1}^2 \|v\|_2^{\frac{2}{r-1}} \|v\|_{2r}^{2r-2-\frac{2}{r-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

By Young’s inequality and Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} (2r-1) \int \tilde{p} v_y |v|^{2r-2} &\leq \frac{\nu}{4} (2r-1) \|v_y v^{r-1}\|_2^2 + C(2r-1)(r-1)^{\frac{2r-2}{2r-1}} \\ &\quad \times \|\tilde{p}\|_4^{\frac{4(r-1)}{2r-1}} \|\tilde{p}_x\|_2^{\frac{2(r-1)}{2r-1}} \|v_y\|_2^{\frac{2}{2r-1}} \|v\|_2^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \|v\|_{2r}^{2r-2-\frac{2(r+1)}{2r-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{4} (2r-1) \|v_y v^{r-1}\|_2^2 + C(2r-1)(r-1)^{\frac{2r-2}{2r-1}} R^{-\frac{r-1}{2r-1}} \\ &\quad \times \|p\|_{L^4}^{\frac{2r-2}{2r-1}} \|p\|_{H^1}^{\frac{4r-4}{2r-1}} \|v_y\|_2^{\frac{2}{2r-1}} \|v\|_2^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \|v\|_{2r}^{2r-3-\frac{3}{2r-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\|v\|_{2r} \geq 1$. Inserting (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) in (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2r} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{L^{2r}}^{2r} + \frac{\nu}{2} (2r-1) \int v_y^2 |v|^{2r-2} dx \\ \leq C(2r-1)(\log R) \|p\|_{H^1}^2 \|v\|_2^{\frac{2}{r-1}} \|v\|_{2r}^{2r-2} \\ + C(2r-1)(r-1)^{\frac{2r-2}{2r-1}} R^{-\frac{r-1}{2r-1}} \|p\|_{L^4}^{\frac{2r-2}{2r-1}} \|p\|_{H^1}^{\frac{4r-4}{2r-1}} \|v_y\|_2^{\frac{2}{2r-1}} \|v\|_2^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \|v\|_{2r}^{2r-2} \\ + \|\theta\|_{L^{2r}} \|v\|_{L^{2r}}^{2r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Especially,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{L^{2r}}^2 &\leq C(2r - 1)(\log R) \|p\|_{H^1}^2 \|v\|_2^{\frac{2}{r-1}} \\ &\quad + C(2r - 1)(r - 1)^{\frac{2r-2}{2r-1}} R^{-\frac{r-1}{2r-1}} \|p\|_{L^4}^{\frac{2r-2}{2r-1}} \left(\|p\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v_y\|_2^2 \right) \|v\|_2^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \\ &\quad + \|\theta\|_{L^{2r}}^2 + \|v\|_{L^{2r}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $R = (2r - 1)^{\frac{2r-1}{2r-2}} (r - 1)^2$, integrating in time and applying Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^{2r}}^2 \leq \|v_0\|_{L^{2r}}^2 + B_1(t)r \log r + B_2(t),$$

where B_1 and B_2 are explicit integrable functions. Therefore,

$$\sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|v(t)\|_{L^{2r}}^2}{r \log r} \leq \sup_{r \geq 2} \frac{\|v_0\|_{L^{2r}}^2}{r \log r} + (B_1(t) + B_2(t)).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. \square

Acknowledgements. CHONGSHENG CAO is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0709228 and the FIU foundation. JIAHONG WU is partially supported by NSF grants DMS 0907913 and DMS1209153, and by the Southwestern Bell Foundation at OSU.

Appendix A. Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces

This appendix provides the definitions of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and some related facts used in the previous sections. Materials presented in this appendix can be found in several books and papers (see, for example, [4, 10, 28, 29]). We start with some notation. \mathcal{S} denotes the usual Schwarz class and \mathcal{S}' its dual, the space of tempered distributions. \mathcal{S}_0 denotes a subspace of \mathcal{S} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{S} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) x^\gamma dx = 0, |\gamma| = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

and \mathcal{S}'_0 denotes its dual. \mathcal{S}'_0 can be identified as

$$\mathcal{S}'_0 = \mathcal{S}' / \mathcal{S}_0^\perp = \mathcal{S}' / \mathcal{P},$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the space of multinomials.

To introduce the Littlewood–Paley decomposition, we write for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$A_j = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| < 2^{j+1} \right\}. \tag{A.1}$$

The Littlewood–Paley decomposition asserts the existence of a sequence of functions $\{\Phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\text{supp } \widehat{\Phi}_j \subset A_j, \quad \widehat{\Phi}_j(\xi) = \widehat{\Phi}_0(2^{-j}\xi) \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi_j(x) = 2^{jd} \Phi_0(2^j x),$$

and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\Phi}_j(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for a general function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\Phi}_j(\xi) \widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

In addition, if $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0$, then

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\Phi}_j(\xi) \widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

That is, for $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0$,

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_j * \psi = \psi$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_j * f = f, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'_0,$$

in the sense of weak-* topology of \mathcal{S}'_0 . For notational convenience, we define

$$\Delta_j f = \Phi_j * f, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{A.2}$$

Definition A.1. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the homogeneous Besov space $\mathring{B}^s_{p,q}$ consists of $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathring{B}^s_{p,q}} \equiv \|2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}\|_{l^q} < \infty.$$

We now choose $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\xi) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Phi}_j(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\Psi * \psi + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi_j * \psi = \psi,$$

and hence

$$\Psi * f + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi_j * f = f \tag{A.3}$$

in S' for any $f \in S'$. To define the inhomogeneous Besov space, we set

$$\Delta'_j f = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \leq -2, \\ \Psi * f, & \text{if } j = -1, \\ \Phi_j * f, & \text{if } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \tag{A.4}$$

Definition A.2. The inhomogeneous Besov space $B^s_{p,q}$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ consists of functions $f \in S'$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}} \equiv \|2^{js} \|\Delta'_j f\|_{L^p}\|_{l^q} < \infty.$$

Many frequently used function spaces are special cases of Besov spaces. The following proposition lists some useful equivalence and embedding relations.

Proposition A.3. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathring{H}^s \sim \mathring{B}^s_{2,2}, \quad H^s \sim B^s_{2,2}.$$

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < q < \infty$,

$$\mathring{B}^s_{q,\min\{q,2\}} \hookrightarrow \mathring{W}^s_q \hookrightarrow \mathring{B}^s_{q,\max\{q,2\}}.$$

In particular, $\mathring{B}^0_{q,\min\{q,2\}} \hookrightarrow L^q \hookrightarrow \mathring{B}^0_{q,\max\{q,2\}}$.

For notational convenience, we write Δ_j for Δ'_j . There will be no confusion if we keep in mind that Δ_j 's associated with the homogeneous Besov spaces are defined in (A.2), while those associated with the inhomogeneous Besov spaces are defined in (A.4). Besides the Fourier localization operators Δ_j , the partial sum S_j is also a useful notation. For an integer j ,

$$S_j \equiv \sum_{k=-1}^{j-1} \Delta_k,$$

where Δ_k is given by (A.4). For any $f \in S'$, the Fourier transform of $S_j f$ is supported on the ball of radius 2^j .

Bernstein's inequalities are useful tools on Fourier localized functions, as these inequalities trade integrability for derivatives. The following proposition provides Bernstein type inequalities for fractional derivatives.

Proposition A.4. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$.

1. If f satisfies

$$\text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \leq K2^j\},$$

for some integer j and a constant $K > 0$, then

$$\|(-\Delta)^\alpha f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_1 2^{2\alpha j + jd(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

2. If f satisfies

$$\text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : K_1 2^j \leq |\xi| \leq K_2 2^j\}$$

for some integer j and constants $0 < K_1 \leq K_2$, then

$$C_1 2^{2\alpha j} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|(-\Delta)^\alpha f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_2 2^{2\alpha j + jd(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants depending only on α , p and q .

Triebel-Lizorkin space is a direct generalization of Lebesgue spaces and Sobolev spaces.

Definition A.5. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, the Triebel-Lizorkin space $F_{p,q}^s$ is defined by

$$F_{p,q}^s = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}' : \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} \equiv \left\| \|2^{sj} \Delta_j f\|_{L^q} \right\|_{L^p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Some ‘‘classical’’ function spaces are special cases of the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Proposition A.6. We have the following equivalence relations.

1. For any $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p \sim F_{p,2}^0$;
2. For any $1 < p < \infty$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$, $W_p^m \sim F_{p,2}^m$;
3. For any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $s \neq \text{integers}$, $W_p^s \sim F_{p,p}^s$.

Appendix B. Proof of Lemma 2.2

This appendix provides the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We first recall that, for any $q \geq 2$,

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sqrt[q]{q} \|f\|_{L^{2(q-1)}(\mathbb{R})}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|f_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Therefore, by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f g h| \, dx \, dy \\ & \leq C \int \left[\left(\int |f|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |g|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} h \right) \right] dy \\ & \leq C \int \left[\left(\int |f|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |g|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |h|^{2(q-1)} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \left(\int |h_x|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \right] dy \\ & \leq C \|f\|_2 \left(\int \left(\int |g|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} dy \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|h\|_{2(q-1)}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|h_x\|_2^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

By Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int \left(\int |g|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} dy \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} &\leq \left(\int \left(\int |g|^{\frac{2q}{q-2}} dy \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int \left(\int g^2 dy \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int g_y^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|g\|_2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|g_y\|_2^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Inserting (B.2) in (B.1) yields the desired inequality. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. \square

References

1. ABIDI, H., HMIDI, T.: On the global well-posedness for Boussinesq system. *J. Differ. Equ.* **233**, 199–220 (2007)
2. ADHIKARI, D., CAO, C., WU, J.: The 2D Boussinesq equations with vertical viscosity and vertical diffusivity. *J. Differ. Equ.* **249**, 1078–1088 (2010)
3. ADHIKARI, D., CAO, C., WU, J.: Global regularity results for the 2D Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **251**, 1637–1655 (2011)
4. BERGH, J., LÖFSTRÖM, J.: *Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976
5. CANNON, J.R., DiBENEDETTO, E.: The initial value problem for the Boussinesq equations with data in L^p . *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 771. Springer, Berlin, pp. 129–144, 1980
6. CAO, C., WU, J.: Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion. *Adv. Math.* **226**, 1803–1822 (2011)
7. CHAE, D.: Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms. *Adv. Math.* **203**, 497–513 (2006)
8. CHAE, D., KIM, S.-K., NAM, H.-S.: Local existence and blow-up criterion of Hölder continuous solutions of the Boussinesq equations. *Nagoya Math. J.* **155**, 55–80 (1999)
9. CHAE, D., NAM, H.-S.: Local existence and blow-up criterion for the Boussinesq equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **127**, 935–946 (1997)
10. CHEMIN, J.-Y.: Perfect incompressible Fluids. *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 14. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, 1998
11. DANCHIN, R., PAICU, M.: Existence and uniqueness results for the Boussinesq system with data in Lorentz spaces. *Phys. D* **237**, 1444–1460 (2008)
12. DANCHIN, R., PAICU, M.: Global well-posedness issues for the inviscid Boussinesq system with Yudovich's type data. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **290**, 1–14 (2009)
13. DANCHIN, R., PAICU, M.: Global existence results for the anisotropic Boussinesq system in dimension two. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **21**, 421–457 (2011)
14. E, W., ENGQUIST, B.: Blowup of solutions of the unsteady Prandtl's equation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **L**, 1287–1293 (1997)
15. E, W., SHU, C.: Small-scale structures in Boussinesq convection. *Phys. Fluids* **6**, 49–58 (1994)
16. HMIDI, T., KERAANI, S.: On the global well-posedness of the two-dimensional Boussinesq system with a zero diffusivity. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **12**, 461–480 (2007)
17. HMIDI, T., KERAANI, S.: On the global well-posedness of the Boussinesq system with zero viscosity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **58**, 1591–1618 (2009)

18. HMIDI, T., KERAANI, S., ROUSSET, F.: Global well-posedness for a Boussinesq-Navier-Stokes system with critical dissipation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **249**, 2147–2174 (2010)
19. HMIDI, T., KERAANI, S., ROUSSET, F.: Global well-posedness for Euler-Boussinesq system with critical dissipation. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **36**, 420–445 (2011)
20. HOU, T., LI, C.: Global well-posedness of the viscous Boussinesq equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **12**, 1–12 (2005)
21. LARIOS, A., LUNASIN, E., TITI, E.S.: Global well-posedness for the 2D Boussinesq system without heat diffusion and with either anisotropic viscosity or inviscid Voigt- α regularization. arXiv:1010.5024v1 [math.AP]. 25 Oct 2010
22. MAJDA, A.J.: Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean. *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 9. AMS/CIMS, 2003
23. MAJDA, A.J., BERTOZZI, A.L.: *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001
24. MAJDA, A.J., GROTE, M.J.: Model dynamics and vertical collapse in decaying strongly stratified flows. *Phys. Fluids* **9**, 2932–2940 (1997)
25. MIAO, C., XUE, L.: On the global well-posedness of a class of Boussinesq-Navier-Stokes systems. *NoDEA*. doi:[10.1007/s00030-011-0114-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00030-011-0114-5)
26. MOFFATT, H.K.: Some remarks on topological fluid mechanics. In: Ricca, R.L. (ed.) *An Introduction to the Geometry and Topology of Fluid Flows*, pp. 3–10. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001
27. PEDLOSKY, J.: *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Springer, New York, 1987
28. RUNST, T., SICKEL, W.: *Sobolev Spaces of Fractional Order, Nemytskij Operators and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1996
29. TRIEBEL, H.: *Theory of Function Spaces II*. Birkhauser Verlag, 1992

Department of Mathematics
Florida International University
Miami
FL 33199
USA.
e-mail: caoc@fiu.edu

and

Department of Mathematics
Oklahoma State University
401 Mathematical Sciences
Stillwater
OK 74078
USA.
e-mail: jiahong@math.okstate.edu

(Received February 3, 2012 / Accepted January 2, 2013)

Published online March 13, 2013 – © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2013)