

# Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals

Terry P. Hughes<sup>1</sup>, James T. Kerry<sup>1</sup>, Mariana Álvarez-Noriega<sup>1,2</sup>, Jorge G. Álvarez-Romero<sup>1</sup>,  
Kristen D. Anderson<sup>1</sup>, Andrew H. Baird<sup>1</sup>, Russell C. Babcock<sup>3</sup>, Maria Beger<sup>4</sup>, David R.  
Bellwood<sup>1,2</sup>, Ray Berkelmans<sup>5</sup>, Tom C. Bridge<sup>1,6</sup>, Ian Butler<sup>7</sup>, Maria Byrne<sup>8</sup>, Neal E. Cantin<sup>9</sup>,  
Steve Comeau<sup>10</sup>, Sean R. Connolly<sup>1,2</sup>, Graeme S. Cumming<sup>1</sup>, Steven J. Dalton<sup>11</sup>, Guillermo  
Diaz-Pulido<sup>12</sup>, C. Mark Eakin<sup>13</sup>, Will F. Figueira<sup>15</sup>, James P. Gilmour<sup>16</sup>, Hugo B. Harrison<sup>1</sup>,  
Scott F. Heron<sup>13, 14, 17</sup>, Andrew S. Hoey<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Paul A. Hobbs<sup>18</sup>, Mia O. Hoogenboom<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Emma V. Kennedy<sup>12</sup>, Chao-yang Kuo<sup>1</sup>, Janice M. Lough<sup>1,9</sup>, Ryan J. Lowe<sup>10</sup>, Gang Liu<sup>13, 14</sup>,  
Malcolm T. McCulloch<sup>10</sup>, Hamish A. Malcolm<sup>11</sup>, Michael J. McWilliam<sup>1</sup>, John M. Pandolfi<sup>7</sup>,  
Rachel J. Pears<sup>19</sup>, Morgan S. Pratchett<sup>1</sup>, Verena Schoepf<sup>10</sup>, Tristan Simpson<sup>20</sup>, William J.  
Skirving<sup>13, 14</sup>, Brigitte Sommer<sup>7</sup>, Gergely Torda<sup>1,9</sup>, David R. Wachenfeld<sup>19</sup>, Bette L. Willis<sup>1,2</sup>  
and Shaun K. Wilson<sup>21</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook  
University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia

<sup>2</sup>College of Marine & Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville,  
Queensland 4811, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Commonwealth Science and Industry Research Organization, GPO Box 2583 Brisbane, Qld  
4001, Australia

<sup>4</sup>School of Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>5</sup>24 Hanwood Court, Gilston QLD 4211

<sup>6</sup>Queensland Museum, 70-102 Flinders St, Townsville, QLD, 4810, Australia

<sup>7</sup>Australian Research Council, Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, School of  
Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

25 <sup>8</sup>School of Medical Sciences, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

26 <sup>9</sup>Australian Institute of Marine Science, PMB 3, Townsville, Queensland 4810, Australia

27 <sup>10</sup>Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Coral Reef Studies, Oceans Institute  
28 and School of Earth and Environment, University of Western Australia, Western Australia  
29 6009, Australia

30 <sup>11</sup>Fisheries Research, Department of Primary Industries, PO Box 4291, Coffs Harbour, NSW  
31 2450, Australia

32 <sup>12</sup>School of Environment, and Australian Rivers Institute, Griffith University, Brisbane, QLD  
33 4111, Australia

34 <sup>13</sup>Coral Reef Watch, U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, College Park,  
35 MD 20740, USA

36 <sup>14</sup>Global Science & Technology, Inc., Greenbelt, MD 20770, USA

37 <sup>15</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

38 <sup>16</sup>Australian Institute of Marine Science, Indian Oceans Marine Research Centre, UWA,  
39 Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

40 <sup>17</sup>Marine Geophysical Laboratory, College of Science, Technology and Engineering, James  
41 Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia

42 <sup>18</sup>Department of Environment and Agriculture, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6845, Australia

43 <sup>19</sup>Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, PO Box 1379, Townsville, QLD 4810, Australia

44 <sup>20</sup>Torres Strait Regional Authority, PO Box 261, Thursday Island, QLD 4875, Australia

45 <sup>21</sup>Department of Parks and Wildlife, Kensington, Perth, WA 6151, Australia

46

47 **In 2015-2016, record temperatures triggered a pan-tropical episode of coral bleaching,**  
48 **the third global-scale event since mass bleaching was first documented in the 1980s.**  
49 **Here we examine how and why the severity of recurrent major bleaching events has**  
50 **varied at multiple scales, using aerial and underwater surveys of Australian reefs**  
51 **combined with satellite-derived sea surface temperatures. The distinctive geographic**  
52 **footprints of recurrent bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef in 1998, 2002 and 2016 were**  
53 **determined by the spatial pattern of sea temperatures in each year. Water quality and**  
54 **fishing pressure had minimal effect on the unprecedented bleaching in 2016, suggesting**  
55 **that local protection of reefs affords little or no resistance to extreme heat. Similarly,**  
56 **past exposure to bleaching in 1998 and 2002 did not lessen the severity of bleaching in**  
57 **2016. Consequently, immediate global action to curb future warming is essential to**  
58 **secure a future for coral reefs.**

59

60 The world's tropical reef ecosystems, and the people who depend on them, are increasingly  
61 impacted by climate change<sup>1-7</sup>. Since the 1980s, rising sea surface temperatures due to global  
62 warming have triggered unprecedented mass bleaching of corals, including three pan-tropical  
63 events in 1998, 2010 and 2015/16<sup>1</sup>. Thermal stress during marine heatwaves disrupts the  
64 symbiotic relationship between corals and their algal symbionts (*Symbiodinium* spp.),  
65 causing the corals to lose their color<sup>2-3</sup>. Bleached corals are physiologically damaged, and  
66 prolonged bleaching often leads to high levels of mortality<sup>5-8</sup>. Increasingly, individual reefs  
67 are experiencing multiple bouts of bleaching, as well the impacts of more chronic local  
68 stressors such as pollution and overfishing<sup>1-4</sup>. Our study represents a fundamental shift away  
69 from viewing bleaching events as individual disturbances to reefs, by focussing on three  
70 recurrent bleachings over the past 18 years along the 2,300 km length of the Great Barrier  
71 Reef, as well as the potential influence of water quality and fishing pressure on the severity of  
72 bleaching.

73 The geographic footprints of mass bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef have varied  
74 strikingly during three major events in 1998, 2002 and 2016 (Fig. 1a). In 1998, bleaching was  
75 primarily coastal and most severe in the central and southern regions. In 2002, bleaching was  
76 more widespread, and affected offshore reefs in the central region that had escaped in 1998<sup>8</sup>.  
77 In 2016, bleaching was even more extensive and much more severe, especially in the  
78 northern, and to a lesser extent the central regions, where many coastal, mid-shelf and  
79 offshore reefs were affected (Fig. 1a, b). In 2016, the proportion of reefs experiencing  
80 extreme bleaching (>60% of corals bleached) was over four times higher compared to 1998  
81 or 2002 (Fig. 1f). Conversely, in 2016, only 8.9% of 1,156 surveyed reefs escaped with no  
82 bleaching, compared to 42.4% of 631 reefs in 2002 and 44.7% of 638 in 1998. The  
83 cumulative, combined footprint of all three major bleaching events now covers almost the

84 entire Great Barrier Reef Marine Park, with the exception of southern, offshore reefs (Fig.  
85 1d).

86

### 87 **Explaining spatial patterns**

88         The severity and distinctive geographic footprints of bleaching in each of the three  
89 years can be explained by differences in the magnitude and spatial distribution of sea-surface  
90 temperature anomalies (Fig. 1a, b and Extended Data Table 1). In each year, 61-63% of reefs  
91 experienced four or more Degree Heating Weeks (DHW, °C-weeks). In 1998, heat stress was  
92 relatively constrained, ranging from 1-8 DHWs (Fig. 1c). In 2002, the distribution of DHW  
93 was broader, and 14% of reefs encountered 8-10 DHWs. In 2016, the spectrum of DHWs  
94 expanded further still, with 31% of reefs experiencing 8-16 DHWs (Fig. 1c). The largest heat  
95 stress occurred in the northern 1000 km-long section of the Great Barrier Reef. Consequently,  
96 the geographic pattern of severe bleaching in 2016 matched the strong north-south gradient in  
97 heat stress. In contrast, in 1998 and 2002, heat stress extremes and severe bleaching were  
98 both prominent further south (Fig. 1a, b). In 2016, severe bleaching (defined as an aerial  
99 score of >30% of corals bleached) was correctly predicted by satellite-derived DHW in a  
100 statistical model, in 75% of cases (Extended Data Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1), similar  
101 to the amount of spatial variation in bleaching explained by temperature stress in 1998 and  
102 2002<sup>8</sup>.

103         The geographic pattern of bleaching also demonstrates how marine heatwaves can be  
104 ameliorated by local weather<sup>9</sup>, even during a global bleaching event. Arguably, southern reefs  
105 of the Great Barrier Reef would also have bleached in 2016 if wind, cloud cover, and rain  
106 from ex-Tropical Cyclone Winston had not rescued them<sup>10</sup>. Winston passed over Fiji on  
107 February 20<sup>th</sup>, when the southern Great Barrier Reef was only 1°C cooler than the north. By  
108 March 6<sup>th</sup>, this disparity increased to 4°C (Extended Data Fig. 2). Corals in the south that had

109 begun to pale in February regained their colour in the south in March, whereas bleaching  
110 continued to progress in central and northern sectors (Fig. 2a). Similarly, in western Australia  
111 in 2016, Tropical Cyclone Stan cooled down mid-coast regions in early February<sup>11</sup>, and the  
112 Leeuwin Current (which transports warm tropical water southwards) was also weakened due  
113 to El Niño conditions<sup>12</sup>. Consequently, both sides of tropical and sub-tropical Australia,  
114 including offshore atolls in the Coral Sea and Indian Ocean, exhibited continental-scale  
115 latitudinal gradients in bleaching (Fig. 1g).

116 The local (individual reef) scale pattern of recurrent bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef also  
117 reveals the trend of increasing severity, and the erosion of potential spatial refugia. Of the  
118 171 individual reefs that were aerial-surveyed three times, 43% bleached in 1998, 56% in  
119 2002, and 85% in 2016. Knowing the bleaching-history of these well-studied reefs allows us  
120 to investigate why they have bleached zero, one, two or three times. Only 9% of these  
121 repeatedly surveyed reefs have never bleached, in most cases because they are located near  
122 the southern, offshore end of the Great Barrier Reef (Fig. 1e), where they have experienced  
123 relatively low temperature anomalies during each event. A further 26% of repeatedly-  
124 surveyed reefs have bleached only once - ten reefs in 1998, eight in 2002, and 32 for the first  
125 time in 2016. The latter were primarily in the northern sector of the Great Barrier Reef, which  
126 largely escaped bleaching in the two earlier events (Fig. 1a). Thirty-five percent of the reefs  
127 have bleached twice, but only one reef bleached in both 1998 and 2002, compared to 58 reefs  
128 that bleached either in 1998 or 2002 and for a second time in the severe 2016 event. Finally,  
129 29% of the repeatedly censused reefs bleached for a third time in 2016, primarily in central  
130 areas of the Great Barrier Reef, because they experienced anomalously warm temperatures  
131 during all three events (Fig. 1b, e). We conclude that the overlap of disparate geographic  
132 footprints of heat stress explains why different reefs have bleached 0-3 times, i.e. the repeated  
133 exposure to unusually hot conditions is the primary driver of the likelihood of recurrent

134 bleaching at the scale of both individual reefs and the entire Great Barrier Reef (Fig. 1a, b).  
135 We found a similar strong relationship between the amount of bleaching measured  
136 underwater, and the satellite-based estimates of heat exposure on individual reefs (Fig. 3).  
137 Low levels of bleaching was observed at some locations when DHW values were only 2-3  
138 °C-weeks. Typically, 30-40% of corals bleached on reefs exposed to 4 °C-weeks, whereas an  
139 average of 70-90% of corals bleached on reefs that experience 8 °C-weeks or more (Fig. 3).

#### 140 **Resistance and adaptation to bleaching**

141         Once we account for the amount of heat stress experienced on each reef, adding  
142 chlorophyll-a, a proxy for water quality, to our statistical model yielded no support for the  
143 hypothesis that good water quality confers resistance to bleaching<sup>13</sup>. Rather, the estimated  
144 effect of chlorophyll-a was to significantly reduce the DHW threshold for bleaching  
145 (Extended Data Table 1). However, despite the statistical significance, the effect in real terms  
146 beyond heat stress alone is very small (Extended Data Fig. 1). Similarly, we found no effect  
147 of the level of protection (in fished or protected zones) on bleaching ( $P > 0.1$ : Extended Data  
148 Table 1). These results are consistent with the broad-scale pattern of severe bleaching in the  
149 northern Great Barrier Reef, which affected hundreds of reefs across inshore-offshore  
150 gradients in water quality, and regardless of their zoning (protection) status (Fig. 1a, b).

151         Similarly, we find no evidence for a protective effect of past bleaching (e.g. from  
152 acclimation or adaptation): reefs with higher bleaching scores in 1998 or 2002 did not  
153 experience less severe bleaching in 2016, after accounting for the relationship between the  
154 2016 temperature stress and bleaching propensity ( $P > 0.9$  in all cases; Extended Data Figure  
155 3). Thus, while several studies have indicated that prior exposure can influence the  
156 subsequent bleaching responses of corals<sup>14-17</sup>, our comprehensive analysis of 171 repeatedly

157 censused reefs indicates that any such historical effects on the Great Barrier Reef were  
158 masked by the severity of bleaching in 2016 (Fig. 2).

### 159 **Winners and losers**

160 Individual coral taxa bleached to different extents, especially on less affected reefs, creating  
161 both winners and losers, but the disparity among species diminished in the worst affected,  
162 northern regions. (Fig. 4). At the population and assemblage level, when and where bleaching  
163 is severe, even century-old corals can bleach (Fig. 2b-d). In contrast, where bleaching is less  
164 intense, it is highly selective, with a broad spectrum of responses shown by resistant corals  
165 (so-called winners) versus susceptible species (losers); winners by definition bleach less and  
166 have higher survivorship<sup>18-21</sup>. On lightly and moderately bleached reefs (<10% or 10-30% of  
167 corals affected), predominantly in the southern Great Barrier Reef, many of the more robust  
168 coral taxa escaped with little or no bleaching in 2016. In contrast, on extremely bleached  
169 reefs in the north (60-80% or >80% overall bleaching), we found far fewer lightly-bleached  
170 winners (Fig. 4). The rank order of winners versus losers also changed as the severity of  
171 bleaching increased (Extended Data Table 2), reflecting disparate responses by each taxon to  
172 the range of bleaching intensities. Thus, even species that are winners on relatively mildly  
173 bleached reefs joined the ranks of losers where bleaching was more intense (Fig. 4), creating  
174 a latitudinal gradient in the response of the coral assemblages.

175 The recovery time for coral species that are good colonizers and fast growers is 10-15 years<sup>22-</sup>  
176 <sup>24</sup>, but when long-lived corals die from bleaching their replacement will necessarily take  
177 many decades. Recovery for long-lived species requires the sustained absence of another  
178 severe bleaching event (or other significant disturbance), which is no longer realistic while  
179 global temperatures continue to rise<sup>25</sup>. Therefore, the assemblage structure of corals is now  
180 likely to be permanently shifted at severely bleached locations in the northern Great Barrier  
181 Reef.



## 182 **Implications for reef management**

183 Our analysis has important implications for the management and conservation of coral reefs.  
184 We found that local management of coral reef fisheries and water-quality affords little if any  
185 resistance to recurrent severe bleaching events: even the most highly protected reefs and  
186 near-pristine areas are highly susceptible to severe heat stress. On the remote northern Great  
187 Barrier Reef, hundreds of individual reefs were severely bleached in 2016 regardless of  
188 whether they were zoned as no-entry, no-fishing, or open to fishing, and irrespective of  
189 inshore-offshore differences in water quality (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1). However,  
190 local protection of fish stocks and improved water quality may, given enough time, improve  
191 the prospects for recovery<sup>3,4,26-29</sup>. A key issue for all coral reefs is the frequency, or return  
192 time, of recurrent disturbance events, and whether there is sufficient time between successive  
193 bleachings for the re-assembly of mature coral assemblages. The chances of the northern  
194 Great Barrier Reef returning to its pre-bleaching assemblage structure are slim given the scale  
195 of damage that occurred in 2016 and the likelihood of a fourth bleaching event occurring  
196 within the next decade or two as global temperatures continue to rise.

197 Identifying and protecting spatial refugia is a common strategy for conservation of threatened  
198 species and ecosystems, including coral reefs<sup>30</sup>. However, our analyses indicate that the  
199 cumulative footprint of recurrent bleachings is expanding, and the number of potential  
200 refugia on the Great Barrier Reef is rapidly diminishing. Indeed, the remote northern region  
201 escaped serious damage in 1998 and 2002, but bore the brunt of extreme bleaching in 2016.  
202 Rather than relying on the premise of refugia, our results highlight the growing importance of  
203 promoting the recovery of reefs to recurrent bleaching events through local management of  
204 marine parks and water quality. However, bolstering resilience will become more challenging  
205 and less effective in coming decades because local interventions have had no discernible  
206 effect on resistance of corals to extreme heat stress, and, with increasing frequency of severe

207 bleaching events, the time for recovery is diminishing. Securing a future for coral reefs,  
208 including intensively managed ones such as the Great Barrier Reef, ultimately requires urgent  
209 and rapid action to reduce global warming.

210

211 **References**

- 212 1. Heron, S. F., Maynard, J. A., van Hooidonk, R. & Eakin, C. M. Warming trends and  
213 bleaching stress of the World's coral reefs 1985-2012. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 38402  
214 (2016)
- 215 2. Spalding, M. D. & Brown, B. E. Warm-water coral reefs and climate change. *Science*  
216 **350**, 769-771 (2015).
- 217 3. Baker, A. C., Glynn, P. W. & Riegl, B. Climate change and coral reef bleaching: An  
218 ecological assessment of long-term impacts, recovery trends and future outlook.  
219 *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **80**, 435-471 (2008).
- 220 4. Hughes, T. P. et al. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs.  
221 *Science* **301**, 929-933 (2003).
- 222 5. Glynn, P. W. Widespread coral mortality and the 1982-83 El Nino Warming Events.  
223 *Environ. Conser.* **11**, 133-146 (1984).
- 224 6. Oliver, J. K., Berkelmans, R. & Eakin, C. M. in *Ecological Studies: Analysis and*  
225 *Synthesis* (M. J. H. van Oppen & J. M. Lough eds.) 21-39 (2009).
- 226 7. Eakin, C. M. et al. Global Coral Bleaching 2014-2017. *Reef Currents* **31**, 1 (2016).
- 227 8. Berkelmans, R., De'ath, G., Kininmonth, S. & Skirving, W. J. A comparison of the  
228 1998 and 2002 coral bleaching events on the Great Barrier Reef: spatial correlation,  
229 patterns, and predictions. *Coral Reefs* **23**, 74-83 (2004).
- 230 9. Carrigan, A. D. & Puotinen, M. Tropical cyclone cooling combats region-wide coral  
231 bleaching. *Global Change Biol.* **20**, 1604-1613 (2014).
- 232 10. Leahy, S. M., Kingsford, M. J., & Steinberg, C. R. Do clouds save the Great Barrier  
233 Reef? Satellite imagery elucidates the cloud-SST relationship at the local scale. *Plos*  
234 *One* **8**, e70400 (2013).

- 235 11. Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BOM). Tropical Cyclone Stan Track.  
236 [http://www.australiasevereweather.com/cyclones/2016/bom/tropical\\_cyclone\\_stan.png](http://www.australiasevereweather.com/cyclones/2016/bom/tropical_cyclone_stan.png)  
237 (2016).
- 238 12. Feng, M., Meyeres, G., Pearce, A. & Wijffels, S. Annual and interannual variations of  
239 the Leeuwin Current at 32°S. *J. Geophys. Res.* **108**, 2156-2202 (2003).
- 240 13. Wooldridge, S. A., et al. Excess seawater nutrients, enlarged algal symbiont densities  
241 and bleaching sensitive reef locations: 2. A regional-scale predictive model for the  
242 Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.045  
243 (2016).
- 244 14. Carilli, J., Donner, S. D. & Hartmann, A. C. Historical temperature variability affects  
245 coral response to heat stress. *PloS One* **7**, e34418 (2012).
- 246 15. Guest, J. R. et al. Contrasting patterns of coral bleaching susceptibility in 2010  
247 suggest an adaptive response to thermal stress. *PLoS One* **7**, e33353 (2012).
- 248 16. Pratchett, M. S., McCowan, D., Maynard, J. A. & Heron, S. F. Changes in bleaching  
249 susceptibility among corals subject to ocean warming and recurrent bleaching in  
250 Moorea, French Polynesia. *PLoS One* **8**, e70443 (2013).
- 251 17. Ainsworth, T. D. et al. Climate change disables coral bleaching protection on the  
252 Great Barrier Reef. *Science* **352**, 338-342 (2016).
- 253 18. Loya, Y. et al. Coral bleaching: the winners and the losers. *Ecol. Lett.* **4**, 122-131  
254 (2001).
- 255 19. Swain, T. D. et al. Coral bleaching response index: a new tool to standardize and  
256 compare susceptibility to thermal bleaching. *Glob. Chan. Biol.* **22**, 2475-2488 (2016).
- 257 20. Baird, A. H. & Marshall, P. A. Mortality, growth and reproduction in scleractinian  
258 corals following bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **237**, 133-  
259 141 (2002).

- 260 21. Marshall, P. A. & Baird, A. H. Bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef:  
261 differential susceptibilities among taxa. *Coral Reefs*. **19**, 155-163 (2000).
- 262 22. Connell, J. H., Hughes, T. P. & Wallace, C. C. A 30-year study of coral community  
263 dynamics: Influence of disturbance and recruitment on abundance, at several scales in  
264 space and time. *Ecol. Monogr.* **67**, 461-488 (1997).
- 265 23. Kayanne, H., Harii, S., Ide, Y. & Akimoto, F. Recovery of coral populations after the  
266 1998 bleaching on Shiraho Reef, in the southern Ryukyus, NW Pacific. *Mar. Ecol.*  
267 *Prog. Ser.* **239**, 93-103 (2002).
- 268 24. Gilmour J. P., Smith, L. D., Heyward, A. J., Baird, A. H. & Pratchett, M. S. Recovery  
269 of an isolated coral reef system following severe disturbance. *Science* **340**, 69-71  
270 (2013).
- 271 25. van Hooidonk, R. et al. Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and implications  
272 of the Paris Agreement. *Scientific Reports* (in press).
- 273 26. Scheffer, M. et al. Creating a safe operating space for iconic ecosystems. *Science* **347**,  
274 1317-1319 (2015).
- 275 27. van de Leemput, I. A., Hughes, T. P., van Nes, E. H. & Scheffer, M. Multiple  
276 feedbacks and the prevalence of alternate stable states on coral reefs. *Coral Reefs* **35**,  
277 857-865 (2016).
- 278 28. Hughes, T. P., Graham, N. A. J., Jackson, J. B. C., Mumby, P. J. & Steneck, R. S.  
279 Rising to the challenge of sustaining coral reef resilience. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **25**, 633-  
280 642 (2010).
- 281 29. Graham, N., Jennings, S., Macneil, M. A., & Mouillot, D. Predicting climate-driven  
282 regime shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. *Nature* **518**, 94-97 (2015).
- 283 30. West, J. M. & Salm, R. V. Resistance and resilience to coral bleaching: implications  
284 for coral reef conservation and management. *Conserv. Biol.* **17**, 956-967 (2003).

285 **Acknowledgements** The authors acknowledge the 23 institutions that supported this  
286 research, in Australia, Canada, UK, Saudi Arabia and the USA. Twenty-six of the authors are  
287 supported by funding from the Australian Research Council's Centre of Excellence Program.  
288 Other funding support includes the Australian Commonwealth Government, the European  
289 Union, the USA National Oceanographic & Atmospheric Administration, and USA National  
290 Science Foundation. The contents in this manuscript are solely the opinions of the authors  
291 and do not constitute a statement of policy, decision or position on behalf of NOAA or the  
292 U.S. Government. We thank 43 colleagues who provided coral bleaching records for their  
293 field sites, and 30 student volunteers who participated in field and laboratory studies in 2016.

294 **Author contributions** The study was conceptualized by TPH who wrote the first draft of the  
295 paper. All authors contributed to writing subsequent drafts. JTK coordinated data  
296 compilation, analysis and graphics. Aerial bleaching surveys in 2016 of the Great Barrier  
297 Reef and Torres Strait were executed by JTK, TPH and TS, and in 1998 and 2002 by RB and  
298 DRW. Underwater bleaching censuses in 2016 were undertaken on the Great Barrier Reef by  
299 MAN, AHB, DRB, MB, NEC, CYK, GDP, ASH, MOH, EVK, MMcW, RJP, MSP, GT and  
300 BLW, in the coral Sea by TCB and HBH, in subtropical Queensland and New South Wales  
301 by MB, IRB, RCB, SJD, WFF, HAM, JMP, and BS, off western Australia by RCB, SC, JPG,  
302 JPH, MMcC, VS and SKW. JGA-R, SRC, CME, SFH, GL, JML, and WJS undertook the  
303 analysis matching satellite data to the bleaching footprints on the Great Barrier Reef

304 **Author information** Reprints and permissions information is available at  
305 [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints). The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are  
306 welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for  
307 materials should be addressed to T.P.H. ([terry.hughes@jcu.edu.au](mailto:terry.hughes@jcu.edu.au))

308 **Figure legends**

309 **Figure 1.** Geographic extent and severity of recurrent coral bleaching at a regional scale,  
 310 Australia. (a) The footprint of bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef in 1998, 2002 and 2016,  
 311 measured by extensive aerial surveys: dark green (<1% of corals bleached), light green (1-  
 312 10%), yellow (10-30%), orange (30-60%), red (>60%). The number of reefs surveyed in each  
 313 year was 638 (1998), 631 (2002), and 1,156 (2016). (b) Spatial pattern of heat stress (Degree  
 314 Heating Weeks, DHWs, °C-weeks) during each mass bleaching event. (c) Frequency  
 315 distribution of maximum DHWs on the Great Barrier Reef, in 1998, 2002 and 2016. White  
 316 bars indicate 0-4 °C-weeks, grey bars 4-8 °C-weeks, black bars >8 °C-weeks. (d) Locations  
 317 of individual reefs that bleached (by >10% or more) in 1998, 2002 and/or 2016, showing the  
 318 most severe bleaching score for reefs that were censused more than once. Yellow (10-30%  
 319 bleaching), Orange (30-60%), Red (>60%). (e) Location of reefs that were censused in all  
 320 three years that bleached zero (white), one (light grey), two (dark grey) or three times (black).  
 321 (f) Frequency distribution of aerial bleaching scores for reefs surveyed in 1998 (left bar),  
 322 2002 (middle), and 2016 (right). Colour bleaching scores as in (a). (g) Bleaching severity  
 323 during March to early April 2016 on both sides of Australia, including the Coral Sea and the  
 324 eastern Indian Ocean. Colour bleaching scores as in (a). Bar graphs show mean sea-surface  
 325 temperatures during March for each year from 1980 to 2016 for northern and southern  
 326 latitudes on either side of Australia. The red bar highlights the north-south disparity in 2016.

327 **Figure 2.** Recurrent severe coral bleaching. (a) Aerial view of severe bleaching in Princess  
 328 Charlotte Bay, NE Australia, March 2016. Close to 100% of corals are bleached on the reef  
 329 flat and crest. Bleaching occurs when algal symbionts (*Symbiodinium* spp.) in a coral host are  
 330 killed by environmental stress, revealing the white underlying skeleton of the coral. (b)  
 331 Severe bleaching in 2016 on the northern Great Barrier Reef affected even the largest and  
 332 oldest corals, such as this slow-growing *Porites* colony. (c) Large, old beds of clonal staghorn

333 corals, *Acropora pulchra*, on Orpheus Island, Queensland photographed in 1997 were killed  
334 by the first major bleaching event on the Great Barrier Reef in 1998. (d) Eighteen years later  
335 in May 2016, corals at this site have never recovered, with the original assemblages still  
336 visible as dead, unconsolidated and muddy rubble that is unsuitable for successful  
337 colonization by coral larvae. (e-f) Mature stands of clonal staghorn corals were extirpated by  
338 heat stress and colonized by algae over a period of just a few weeks in 2016 on Lizard Island,  
339 Great Barrier Reef. Before (e) and after (f) photographs were taken on February 26<sup>th</sup> and  
340 April 19<sup>th</sup> 2016. Photo credits: (a) JTK, (b) J. Marshall, (c) BW, (d) AHB, (e-f) R. Streit.

341 **Figure 3.** The relationship between heat exposure (satellite-based Degree Heating Weeks in  
342 2016) and the amount of bleaching measured underwater (percent of corals bleached) in  
343 March/April. Each data point represents an individual reef ( $n = 69$ ). The fitted line is  $y =$   
344  $48.6\ln(x) - 21.6$ ,  $R^2 = 0.545$ .

345 **Figure 4.** Spectrum of bleaching responses by coral taxa on the Great Barrier Reef in 2016,  
346 with relative winners on the right, and losers on the left. Species or genera (58,414 colonies)  
347 are plotted in rank descending order along the x-axis from high to low levels of impact, for  
348 reefs that are lightly bleached (bottom spectrum) or more severely bleached (top). Reef-scale  
349 bleaching severities are (blue) 1-10% of all corals bleached, (green) 10-30%, (yellow) 30-  
350 60%, (orange) 60-80%, and (red) >80% bleached. See Extended Data Table 2 for taxonomic  
351 details.

352



## 353 **Methods**

### 354 **Recurrent bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef**

355 For 2016, comprehensive aerial surveys of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park and Torres  
356 Strait reported in Fig. 1a were conducted on ten days between 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2016 and 17<sup>th</sup> April  
357 2016 when bleaching was highly visible. We used light aircraft and a helicopter, flying at an  
358 elevation of approximately 150 m. A total of 1,156 individual reefs from the coast to the edge  
359 of the continental shelf were assessed along 14° of latitude (Extended Data Fig. 4). Each reef  
360 was assigned by visual assessment to one of five categories of bleaching severity, using the  
361 same protocols as earlier aerial surveys conducted in 1998 and 2002 by RB<sup>8</sup>: (0) less than 1%  
362 of corals bleached, (1) 1-10%, (2) 10-30%, (3) 30-60%, and (4) more than 60% of corals  
363 bleached. The accuracy of the scores was assessed by underwater ground-truthing (see next  
364 section). The aerial scores are presented in Fig. 1a as heat-maps (Stretch type: Minimum-  
365 Maximum) using inverse distance weighting (IDW; Power: 2, Cell Size: 1000, Search  
366 Radius: variable, 100 points) in ArcGIS 10.2.1.

### 367 **Underwater surveys of eastern and western Australia**

368 To ground-truth the accuracy of aerial scores of bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef (Fig. 1a),  
369 we conducted in-water surveys on 104 reefs during March and April 2016 (Extended Data  
370 Fig. 5). We also measured differential species responses (winners-losers; Fig. 4) on 83 reefs,  
371 spanning the 1200 km long central and northern Great Barrier Reef, from 10-19°S. We  
372 surveyed two sites per reef, using five 10 x 1 m belt transects placed on the reef crest at a  
373 depth of 2 m at each site. Observers identified and counted each coral colony and recorded a  
374 categorical bleaching score for each individual: (1) no bleaching, (2) pale, (3) 1-50%  
375 bleached, (4) 51-99% bleached, (5) 100% bleached, (6) bleached and recently dead. The site-  
376 level amount of bleaching for each taxon in Figure 4 is the sum of categories 2-5. The

377 number of colonies assessed was 58,414. A similar standardised protocol was used to  
378 measure amounts of bleaching for the Coral Sea, on sub-tropical reefs south of the Great  
379 Barrier Reef, and across 18 degrees of latitude along the west coast of Australia (Fig. 1g).

### 380 **Temperature and Thermal Stress**

381 The spatial pattern of thermal stress on the Great Barrier Reef during each of the three major  
382 bleaching events (1998, 2002 and 2016; Fig. 1b, c) was quantified using the well-established  
383 Degree Heating Week (DHW) metric<sup>31</sup>. The DHW values were calculated using the  
384 Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST)<sup>32</sup>, because it provides a consistent  
385 measure of thermal stress for all three major bleaching events on the Great Barrier Reef. The  
386 baseline climatology for the DHW metric was calculated for 1985-2012, following Heron et  
387 al.<sup>33</sup>. DHW values are presented in Fig. 2b as heat-maps (Stretch type: Minimum-Maximum)  
388 using inverse distance weighting (IDW; Power: 2, Cell Size: 1000, Search Radius: variable,  
389 100 points) in ArcGIS 10.2.1. For Fig. 2g, March temperatures were compiled from  
390 HadISST1<sup>34</sup> from 1980-2016 for four regions: northwest Australia, 10.5-20.5°S; mid-west  
391 20.5-30.5°S; northern Great Barrier Reef (10.5°S-16.5°S), and southern Great Barrier Reef  
392 (21.5°S-24.5°S).

### 393 **Water Quality Metrics**

394 We considered remotely-sensed chlorophyll-a and secchi depth proxies as water quality  
395 metrics, measured for the Great Barrier Reef<sup>35</sup> over different averaging windows.  
396 Specifically, we used four averaging windows with respect to 2016 (1, 2, or 4 years prior to  
397 bleaching, and a long term 1997-2016 average), and two different time periods (summer  
398 months only [December through May] and the entire year [June through May]). We also  
399 considered derived quantities from these estimates: the proportion of time that reefs exceeded  
400 an estimated water quality chlorophyll-a threshold of 0.45µg/L<sup>13</sup> and secchi depth exposure,

401 again for four different averaging windows, and for the full year and for summer only. All of  
402 these metrics were significantly correlated with one another. In particular, long-term (1997-  
403 2016) average chlorophyll-a concentration was very highly correlated with all other metrics  
404 (absolute value of Spearman's rank correlation coefficient averaged  $r=0.81$ , and was never  
405 lower than 0.7). Therefore, to minimize the risk of Type I error, we used it as the water  
406 quality proxy in our analyses of bleaching, log-transformed to obtain a symmetric distribution  
407 of values.

### 408 **Analysis of spatial patterns, resistance and adaptation**

409 To model the factors affecting bleaching in 2016, we used aerial bleaching scores as a  
410 response variable; whether a reef was severely bleached (57% of reefs had a bleaching score  
411 of 3-4) or not (the remaining 43% of reefs had a bleaching score of 0-2), for all surveyed  
412 reefs in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. We considered temperature stress (measured as  
413 DHW, described above), water quality (measured as the natural logarithm of long-term  
414 chlorophyll-a concentration), and marine protection status. Reefs in three zones classified as  
415 Marine National Park, Preservation, Scientific Research, and Buffer were considered to be  
416 Protected in the model, whereas all other zones were Fished. We repeated our test using other  
417 splits of bleaching scores (0 versus 1-4, 0-1 versus 2-4, and 0-3 versus 4), although these led  
418 to more uneven splits of the data. Regardless of how the bleaching scores were binned, the  
419 severity of bleaching was significantly correlated with DHW, while the additional variables  
420 had effects that were similar to our original analysis: small in magnitude or statistically non-  
421 significant.

422 To calibrate the relationship between temperature and bleaching, we fit a generalized linear  
423 model (GLM) with binomial error structure, using Degree Heating Weeks (DHW) as the  
424 explanatory variable. To test the hypothesis that high water quality confers bleaching

425 resistance<sup>13</sup>, we fit a model including both DHW and chlorophyll-a as explanatory variables,  
426 and asked whether the effect of chlorophyll-a concentration was significantly positive (that  
427 is, if reefs with higher chlorophyll-a concentrations had a higher probability of bleaching).  
428 Similarly, to test the hypothesis that fishing increases bleaching resistance, we fit a model  
429 including DHW and protection status as explanatory variables, and asked whether the effect  
430 of protection was significantly negative (Protected reefs had a lower probability of bleaching,  
431 at a given level of temperature stress, than Fished reefs, see Extended Data Fig. 1 and  
432 Extended Data Table 1).

433 To test for evidence of acclimation or adaptation, we extracted the residuals from our DHW-  
434 only generalized linear model (Extended Data Table 1), and we tested for a negative  
435 correlation between the residuals and the aerial bleaching scores recorded during prior events:  
436 1998, 2002, or the higher of the two earlier scores (Extended Data Fig. 1). That is, we tested  
437 the hypothesis that reefs that bleached more severely in prior events were less likely to bleach  
438 at a given temperature stress in 2016, compared to reefs that bleached less in prior events.  
439 Because bleaching score is ordered and categorical, we tested this hypothesis with Kendall's  
440 tau.

#### 441 **Methods References**

- 442 31. Eakin, C. M. et al. Caribbean Corals in Crisis: Record Thermal Stress, Bleaching, and  
443 Mortality in 2005. *PloS One* **5**, e13969 (2010).
- 444 32. Reynolds, R. W. et al. Daily high-resolution-blended analyses for sea surface  
445 temperature. *J. Clim.* **20**, 5473-5496 (2007).
- 446 33. Heron, S.F., et al. Climatology development for NOAA Coral Reef Watch's 5-km  
447 product suite. 30 (NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 145. NOAA/NESDIS.  
448 doi:10.7289/V59C6VBS, 2014).

- 449 34. Rayner, N. et al. Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine  
450 air temperature since the late nineteenth century. *J. Geophys. Res. D* **108**, 4407  
451 (2003).
- 452 35. Globcolour. Remotely-sensed chlorophyll concentration ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ ) and Secchi Disk  
453 depth (m) based on Sea-Viewing Wide Field of View Sensor (SeaWiFS) imagery.  
454 <http://hermes.acri.fr/n> (2016).

455 **Data and code availability**

456 Data and code available on request from the authors.

457

458 **Extended Data Figure Legends**

459 **Extended Data Figure 1.** A General Linear Model to explain the severity of coral bleaching.  
460 Curves show the estimated relationships between probability of severe bleaching (>30%) on  
461 individual reefs of the Great Barrier Reef in 2016 and three explanatory variables (Degree  
462 Heating Weeks, chlorophyll-a, and Reef Zoning, see Extended Data Table 1): The DHW-  
463 only model is shown in black. For the DHW plus chlorophyll-a model, the blue threshold  
464 shows the estimated relationship between probability of severe bleaching and DHW for the  
465 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of chlorophyll-a, and the brown threshold shows the same for the 75<sup>th</sup>  
466 percentile of chlorophyll-a. For the DHW plus Reef Zoning model, the red threshold, shows  
467 the relationship for fished reefs, and the green for unfished reefs. Water quality metrics and  
468 level of reef protection make little if any difference.

469 **Extended Data Figure 2.** Difference in daily sea surface temperatures between the northern  
470 and southern Great Barrier Reef, before and after ex-Tropical Cyclone Winston. The  
471 disparity between Lizard Island (14.67°S) and Heron Island (23.44°S) increased from 1°C in  
472 late February to 4°C in early March, 2016.

473 **Extended Data Figure 3.** A test for the effect of past bleaching experience on the severity of  
474 bleaching in 2016. The relationship between previous bleaching scores (in 1998 or 2002,  
475 whichever was higher) and the residuals from the DHW generalized linear model (Extended  
476 Data Table 1). Each data point represents an individual reef that was scored repeatedly. There  
477 is no negative relationship to support acclimation or adaptation.

478 **Extended Data Figure 4.** Flight tracks of aerial surveys of coral bleaching, conducted along  
479 and across the Great Barrier Reef and Torres Strait in March and April 2016.

480 **Extended Data Figure 5.** Ground-truthing comparisons of aerial and underwater bleaching  
481 scores. Aerial scores are: 0 (<1% of colonies bleached), 1 (1-10%), 2 (10-30%), 3 (30-60%)

482 and 4 (60-100%) on the Great Barrier Reef in 2016 (Fig. 1a). Continuous (0-100%)  
483 underwater scores are based on in situ observations from 259 sites (104 reefs). Error bars  
484 indicate two standard errors above and below the median underwater score, separately for  
485 each aerial category. The dashed horizontal grey lines show the upper and lower boundaries  
486 of each bleaching category.

487 **Extended Data Table 1.** A test for the causes of coral bleaching. Generalized linear models  
488 (GLM) show the relationship between severe bleaching of reefs (>30%) in 2016 on the Great  
489 Barrier Reef and three explanatory variables. Explanatory variables were (A) Degree Heating  
490 Weeks (DHW), (B) DHW plus water quality (natural logarithm of chlorophyll-a  
491 concentration), and (C) DHW plus reef zoning (Protected or Fished). Note that the estimated  
492 effect of chlorophyll-a is negative, contrary to the hypothesis that good water quality confers  
493 resistance to bleaching.

494 **Extended Data Table 2.**  
495  
496 Winners and losers. Rank order of taxa, from most bleached to least bleached, for different  
497 severities of bleaching. See Fig. 4.