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#### Abstract

Purpose. This study intends to analyse game situations from the recovery of the ball to goal achievement in the Portuguese first league professional male and female soccer players. Methods. The goals (total 58) of women's (29) and men's (29) first league Portuguese professional soccer teams were observed. The coding of the actions was performed with the Lince computer program, on the basis of a goal observation system in soccer. Descriptive analysis and comparisons were carried out with the chi-square test by Monte Carlo simulation. Results. The women's team recovered the ball preferably by interception, in the middle central offensive zone, with the last pass stepwise more frequent in the central zone and offensive right, through the fast attack and finalization with the right foot. The men's team recorded more occurrences of ball recovery through disarming, in the middle central defensive and offensive zone, and the goals were obtained as a result of the last pass in the right offensive zone and without pass for finalization because of fast attacks, and predominantly with the right foot. Statistically significant differences were observed between the male and female teams in the technical-tactical action of interception for ball recovery. Conclusions. The soccer game dynamics and goal achievement by the male and female first league professional Portuguese teams present differences that should be assumed in training and competition preparation.
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## Introduction

Soccer is a team sport, involving many players. It requires an efficient collective organization and, simultaneously, specific development of each player with the consideration of individual and group perspective. Traditional research in this sport divides players into four groups: goalkeepers, defenders, midfielders, and forwards [1, 2].

Tactical, physical, and technical skills [3, 4] successfully discriminate soccer players by competitive level and position. It has previously been demonstrated that the behaviour of players can be influenced by the scoreline [5, 6].

Soccer is characterized by a successive alternation of states of order and disorder, stability and instability, uniformity and variety [7]. To enhance a team's goal scoring ability, coaches and players aim to define and implement attacking strategies that are more likely to result in a goal outcome [8]. These attacking strategies are often specific to the position on the field where possession of the ball is gained and are intended to position the ball in the attacking quarter of the field to promote shots at goal [9].

Although there is much information on the technical aspects of the sport [10], the scarcity of data on the tactical aspects is evident, especially in women's soccer. Its inclusion in the program of the Olympic
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Games in Atlanta in 1996 should be regarded as a turning point in the development of women's soccer, although it is worth mentioning that, as exemplified in Iran, it still faces great difficulties [11].

Actually, it is unanimous that the moments of changing ball possession involve behaviours which are important to the match. Ball recovery, the foremost aim of the defensive phase [12], is a brief or even instantaneous action, and it is simultaneously the first stage of the attack. Successful ball recovery depends on good defensive performance, although it may also result from attacking errors [13]. After ball recovery, teams compete to get advantage in time and space, developing individual and collective behaviours to improve their own organization levels and to decrease the opponent team's balance [14]. It therefore seems essential to be aware of the constraints that affect ball recovery patterns, that is, how and where the ball is regained and the influence this has on subsequent patterns of attacking play.

Ball recovery patterns have been studied to a minor extent, with a common focus on the zones where the ball is recovered and how they relate to the efficacy of any subsequent attack [15]. Thus, the influence of the zone in which the ball is recovered and its relationship to attack efficacy has been determined [16-18].

Some studies have concluded that ball recovery in offensive zones during open play rarely results in goals [19], the latter occurring primarily owing to ball recovery in the middle defensive sector. In relation to the attacking configuration which follows ball recovery, research has shown that a fast shift from defence to attack, with an almost instantaneous exploitation of the ball, benefits the efficacy of the attack [20].

Previously, it was stated that attacks starting in offensive zones of the pitch were more likely to result in a goal or a goal-scoring opportunity [21, 22]. Consequently, attacking success is associated with fast recovery of the ball in offensive zones [23].

The attacking strategies that have been shown to be effective in men's soccer may not be appropriate when applied to women's game. Accordingly, the performance analysis of effective attacking strategies in women's game is warranted.

However, in reviewing the literature, it is noted that scarce attention has been paid to research that considers gender difference in the tactical aspects of soccer. This study intends to analyse game situations from the recovery of the ball to goal achievement in Portuguese first league professional male and female soccer players.

## Material and methods

## Sample

The sample consisted of goals in the Portuguese professional senior male $(n=29)$ and female $(n=29)$ first league, the total of 58 goals. The goals relate to the 2017-2018 season in single professional male and female soccer teams. The games were chosen by convenience to analyse the same number of goals by gender. The observation and analysis of the goals was performed from the recovery of the ball to the finalization, goal achievement.

## Instruments

The instrument used to encode the game actions that culminated in goal was a goal observation system in soccer (Table 1), previously validated [24] and applied in research with the same objective [25].

## Procedures

The goals were collected through the Wyscout platform and the Portuguese Football Federation, as well as through the official channel of the first league soccer team. The observation and coding of the goals was performed with the Lince ${ }^{\circledR}$ computer program [26].

## Reliability

In order to guarantee the quality of the data, we previously carried out the study of intra- and inter-observer reliability [27]. In this way, we followed the procedures defined for the training of observers and the study of reliability [28]. The reliability values were obtained with the $\kappa$-Cohen agreement [29], and the values were collected for all the criteria above the 0.96 .

## Data analysis

In data processing, the IBM SPSS Statistics ${ }^{\circledR}$ computer program was used. Descriptive analysis was performed, and the occurrence of each behaviour depending on gender was determined with the chi-square test. Since one of the conditions for the strict application of the chi-square test was not verified ( $n>20$ ), we used the chi-square test by Monte Carlo simulation [30] with the level of significance of $p<0.01$.

Table 1. Goal watching system in soccer

| Criteria | Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Form to recover the ball | Goalkeeper <br> Intercept <br> Aerial duel <br> Disarm <br> Lost ball <br> Fault <br> Ball output through the end lines of the field <br> Ball output by the side lines of the field <br> Ball output in midfield <br> Fair-play behaviour |  |  |  |  |
| Ball recovery zone | Left defensive zone (ZDE) <br> Central defensive zone (ZDC) <br> Right defensive zone (ZDD) <br> Middle left defensive zone (ZMDE) <br> Middle central defensive zone (ZMDC) <br> Middle right defensive zone (ZMDD) <br> Middle left offensive zone (ZMOE) <br> Middle central offensive zone (ZMOC) <br> Middle right offensive zone (ZMOD) <br> Left offensive zone (ZOE) <br> Central offensive zone (ZOC) <br> Right offensive zone (ZOD) <br> Side line <br> Finish line |  | ZMDE <br> ZMDC <br> ZMDD | ZMOE <br> ZMOC <br> ZMOD |  |
| Last pass zone for finalization | Left defensive zone (ZDE) <br> Central defensive zone (ZDC) <br> Right defensive zone (ZDD) <br> Middle left defensive zone (ZMDE) <br> Middle central defensive zone (ZMDC) <br> Middle right defensive zone (ZMDD) <br> Middle left offensive zone (ZMOE) <br> Middle central offensive zone (ZMOC) <br> Middle right offensive zone (ZMOD) <br> Left offensive zone (ZOE) <br> Central offensive zone (ZOC) <br> Right offensive zone (ZOD) <br> No pass for finalization | $\square$ | ZMDE <br> ZMDC <br>  <br> ZMDD | ZMOE <br> zmoc <br> , <br> ZMOD |  |
| Method for obtaining the goal | Counterattack <br> Fast attack <br> Positional attack <br> Penalty <br> Direct free <br> Indirect free <br> Lateral line posting <br> Long corner <br> Short corner <br> Goal kick <br> Ball output |  |  |  |  |
| Form to obtain the finalization | Right foot <br> Left foot <br> Head <br> Chest <br> Other <br> Auto goal |  |  |  |  |
| Finishing zone |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Ethical approval

The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

## Results

The presented results are related to goals scored by a male and a female team of professional soccer first league. The results depict the way that the two teams reached the finalization of created situations since the recovery of the ball.

Table 2 presents the analysis of the form of ball recovery before goal achievement among both male and female soccer players. The difference between genders is clear and refers to the criteria of 'intercept,' 'disarm,' 'fault,' and 'ball output through the end lines of the
field'. However, only in 'intercept' was the difference between genders statistically significant.

Also, the analysis of the ball recovery zone before goal achievement (Table 3) reveals a tendency in male soccer to utilize the central sector of the ground before success in finalization, contrarily to the female team, where the recovery of the ball took place in the most advanced zones of the playing field, namely the offensive zone.

Table 4 present data related to the last pass zone for finalization. We found differences in the total value in men's and women's soccer. It is clearly observable that in women's soccer, the last pass is predominantly in the offensive zone, and in men's soccer, there is a better balance between the middle and offensive zone.

In none of the genders, goals scored with a previous

Table 2. Analysis of the form of ball recovery

| Criterion | Female team |  | Male team |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Sum |  | $\%$ | Sum | $\%$ |
| Goalkeeper | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Intercept | 11 | 37.93 | 0 | 0 | $0.001^{*}$ |
| Aerial duel | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Disarm | 7 | 24.14 | 12 | 41.38 | 0.443 |
| Lost ball | 5 | 17.24 | 6 | 20.69 | 0.418 |
| Fault | 2 | 6.90 | 6 | 20.69 | 0.302 |
| Ball output through the end lines of the field | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13.79 | 0.074 |
| Ball output by the side lines of the field | 1 | 3.45 | 1 | 3.45 | 0.696 |
| Ball output in midfield | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Fair-play behaviour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |

* significant differences for $p<0.01$ with the chi-square test by Monte Carlo simulation
a - statistics not calculated owing to variable being constant

Table 3. Analysis of the ball recovery zone

| Criterion | Female team |  | Male team |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sum | $\%$ | Sum | $\%$ | $p$ |
| Left defensive zone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Central defensive zone | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Right defensive zone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |
| Middle left defensive zone | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Middle central defensive zone | 1 | 3.45 | 6 | 20.69 | 0.091 |
| Middle right defensive zone | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.90 | 0.242 |
| Middle left offensive zone | 1 | 3.45 | 5 | 17.24 | 0.182 |
| Middle central offensive zone | 8 | 27.59 | 6 | 20.69 | 0.084 |
| Middle right offensive zone | 2 | 6.90 | 4 | 13.79 | 0.790 |
| Left offensive zone | 5 | 17.24 | 1 | 3.45 | 0.055 |
| Central offensive zone | 6 | 20.69 | 1 | 3.45 | 0.234 |
| Right offensive zone | 3 | 10.34 | 1 | 3.45 | 0.074 |
| Side line | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.45 | 0.424 |
| Finish line | 1 | 3.45 | 2 | 6.90 | 0.869 |

[^1]Table 4. Analysis of last pass zone for finalization

| Criterion | Female team |  | Male team |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sum | $\%$ | Sum | $\%$ | $p$ |
| Left defensive zone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Central defensive zone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Right defensive zone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Middle left defensive zone | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Middle central defensive zone | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Middle right defensive zone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Middle left offensive zone | 1 | 3.45 | 4 | 13.33 | 0.330 |
| Middle central offensive zone | 3 | 10.34 | 2 | 6.67 | 0.210 |
| Middle right offensive zone | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.67 | 0.242 |
| Left offensive zone | 4 | 13.79 | 4 | 13.33 | 0.411 |
| Central offensive zone | 7 | 24.14 | 3 | 10 | 0.236 |
| Right offensive zone | 7 | 24.14 | 6 | 20 | 0.484 |
| No pass for finalization | 5 | 17.24 | 9 | 30 | 0.834 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ statistics not calculated owing to variable being constant

Table 5. Analysis of the methods for goal achievement

| Criterion | Female team |  |  | Male team |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sum |  | $\%$ | Sum | $\%$ |
| Counterattack | 1 | 3.45 | 3 | 10.34 | 0.551 |
| Fast attack | 15 | 51.72 | 14 | 48.28 | 0.464 |
| Positional attack | 10 | 34.48 | 7 | 24.14 | 0.080 |
| Penalty | 2 | 6.90 | 2 | 6.90 | 0.551 |
| Direct free | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.90 | 0.242 |
| Indirect free | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |
| Lateral line posting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |
| Long corner | 1 | 3.45 | 1 | 3.45 | 0.696 |
| Short corner | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |
| Goal kick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |
| Ball output | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |

a - statistics not calculated owing to variable being constant
pass from the defensive zone were noted. Another evidence is that in males, there are more goals without a pass before the finish than those obtained after passing in the middle zone; in females, the number of goals obtained without a pass before is also considerable.

The most common methods for goal achievement were 'fast attack' and 'positional attack,' as observed in Table 5.

Goals were predominantly obtained with the right foot, and only 2 with the head in females versus 5 in male soccer players (Table 6), an aspect to be considered in the specific and tactical preparation of competition.

Table 7 presents the finishing zones. We observed a large difference in zone I (central zone outside the area), with 5 goals in males and 2 for female players. Zones C, F, and I, the central zones of the attack, were

Table 6. Analysis of the way to obtain the goal

| Criterion | Female team |  |  | Male team |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sum | $\%$ | Sum | $\%$ | $p$ |
| Right foot | 20 | 68.97 | 19 | 65.52 | 0.464 |
| Left foot | 6 | 20.69 | 5 | 17.24 | 0.560 |
| Head | 2 | 6.90 | 5 | 17.24 | 0.328 |
| Chest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Auto goal | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |

a - statistics not calculated owing to variable being constant

## HUMAN MOVEMENT

M. Espada, C. Fernandes, C. Martins, H. Leitao, T. Figueiredo, F. Santos, Goal characterization in soccer

Table 7. Analysis of the finalization zone

| Criterion | Female team |  | Male team |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Sum | $\%$ | Sum | $\%$ |  |
| Zone A | 2 | 6.90 | 3 | 10.34 | 0.411 |
| Zone C | 6 | 20.69 | 2 | 6.90 | 0.238 |
| Zone B | 1 | 3.45 | 1 | 3.45 | 0.696 |
| Zone D | 5 | 17.24 | 5 | 17.24 | 0.491 |
| Zone F | 7 | 24.14 | 9 | 31.03 | 0.701 |
| Zone E | 1 | 3.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 |
| Zone G | 2 | 6.90 | 2 | 6.90 | 0.551 |
| Zone H | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a |
| Zone I | 2 | 6.90 | 5 | 17.24 | 0.726 |
| Zone J | 3 | 10.34 | 2 | 6.90 | 0.359 |

a - statistics not calculated owing to variable being constant
areas in which more goals were obtained in total and in both genders. Despite this, no statistically significant differences were observed between genders.

In zone $C$, the closest to the goal, a greater number of goals were obtained by females as compared with male soccer players.

## Discussion

This study intends to analyse game situations from the recovery of the ball to goal achievement in Portuguese first league professional male and female soccer players. Soccer performance research is broadly developed and implemented [31], contributing to a rapid and continuous enhancement of players' performance in the recent few years [16]. Soccer is a multifaceted sport, in which success depends on several physical, technical, and tactical factors [32].

The overall tactics of soccer entail a permanent interrelationship between the patterns of attacking and defensive play [33]. Previously, it was observed that transitions could be trained to improve the patterns of play and probably reduce the game uncertainty and increase this attitude-changing efficacy [34, 35].

In this decade, it has been indicated that the imbalances of the opposition team resulting from ball losses in the process of defence-attack transition, because of the pressing performed in the offensive midfield, are among the most common causes of goal [17]. More recently it has been observed that teams tend to recover the ball directly in the central middle defensive zone, and less frequently in the defensive and middle offensive zones, which corroborates the findings of a study in Euro 2008 [14].

A similar trend was reported by the analysis of Portuguese and European teams; it was found that ball possession was most often regained in the central strip of the midfield sector [21]. In relation to findings such as these, a study suggested that elite teams conventionally regarded ball recovery in middle defensive zones as fundamental because it enabled the ideal defensive organization to be preserved [7].

In a study carried out in order to analyse goals obtained by elite teams belonging to the European leagues, it was verified that goals resulted from ball recoveries in zones of the offensive midfield [24]. In the present study, male soccer players tended to recover the ball in the central sector of the ground before goal achievement, contrary to the female players, who recovered the ball in the most advanced zones of the playing field.

These facts clearly reveal different strategies in the pressure on the ball bearer and in the team's collective movement according to gender, aspects that apparently must be assumed in the dynamics of the daily training process. We also observed differences between men and women regarding the recovery of the ball, namely statistically significant differences in 'intercept,' but also different values in 'disarm,' 'fault,' and 'ball output through the end lines of the field'.

A rapid attack results from a rapid defence-attack transition; however, the creation of finishing situations occurs with the opposing team organized in its defensive method [36]. Several previous studies have shown that despite the teams' attempts to elaborate their attack (positional attack), it is through the counterattack that more opportunities and goal achievements take place [24, 37-39]. The results in the present study in relation to the goal-scoring method point to very close values between genders, with a higher incidence of fast attack and positional attack.

The decreasing number of free kicks occurring with reduced distance to the opponents' goal can be explained by the fact that these zones cannot be reached as often [40]. Another reason could be that fouls are risked less when defending players are closer to their own goal. This, in turn, can result from the weighing of the danger of a goal from a possible free kick against the consequences of losing a challenge for the ball [41].

As shown in the existing literature, goals are obtained, from the highest frequency of occurrence, with the right foot, left foot, and head [24, 42]. This was also found in this study, were goals predominantly occurred with right foot, and only 2 with head in females versus 5 in males. These results are supported by physiological studies that prove that in female players, the activation of the iliacus muscle in the kick-
ing leg presents lower values than in male players [43].
In addition, the foot velocity at the time of ball impact and the ball velocity have been reported to be lower in female than in male soccer players [44, 45]. Moreover, it has been shown that the majority of goals scored in women's soccer are the result of open play as opposed to set plays [46]. This aspect should be considered in the specific and tactical preparation of games.

Analysis of World Cups from years 1982-2014 indicates that 25-35\% of goals result from free kicks or corner kicks [47-50], and it has been reported that during the 2007 Women's World Cup there was an increased scoring rate for direct free kicks if these were taken within a $7-\mathrm{m}$ radius of the penalty spot [51].

It was previously proved that crosses directed towards the centre of the penalty area or in the zones in front of the goalposts increased the chances of scoring [19], whereas another study could not find any correlation between position and scoring [52]. It was also suggested that corner kicks were more successful when played near the 6-yard line [53].

We found differences in total values in men's and women's soccer also with regard to the last pass before goal. It was clearly observable that in female soccer, the last pass was predominantly in the offensive zone, and in male players, there was a better balance between the intermediate (middle) and offensive zone.

Another evident finding in this study was that in males, there were more goals without a pass before the finish than those obtained after passing in the midfield. In females, the number of goal achievements without a pass before was also considerable. In none of the genders, goals scored with a previous pass from the defensive zone were observable.

It has been verified that the effectiveness of the offensive action increases with respect to the proximity of the ball's recovery in relation to the opposing goal [37]. With decreasing distance from the goal, the chance of scoring increases. This was to be expected and is consistent with the results of a previous study [54]. Potentially, this can be explained by a shorter amount of time that the goalkeeper has to react and/or a higher target accuracy [51].

With respect to the finishing zone, we observed a large difference in zone I (central zone outside the area), with 5 goals for male and 2 for female players. Zones C, F, and I, the central zones of the attack, were areas in which more goals were obtained in total and in both genders. Despite this, no statistically significant differences were observed between genders.

In zone C , the closest to the goal, a greater number of goals were obtained by female as compared with
male soccer players, possibly owing to some physical characteristics, which should be further studied.

The limitation of this study relates to the fact that the results reflect the characteristics of the specific investigated professional team, not the patterns of women's and men's soccer in general.

In the future, research related to this topic could be extended to 2 or more seasons and involve teams of different levels, as well as some specifications such as age, playing position, physical characteristics, and also the effectiveness of the offensive phase of a soccer game after ball recovery (either successful or unsuccessful).

## Conclusions

The presented study raises the relevance of a scientific approach to goal characterization after ball recovery, a specific aspect of a soccer game, directly related to the main objective of scoring and not conceding goals.

It is clearly evident that some differences exist between genders related to ball recovery and environmental goal achievement. This should be assumed in the daily training process at various levels: tactical, physical, and even psychological or emotional.

Even so, the approximation between dynamics related to goal accomplishment in male and female soccer players is evident and brings new challenges for research and sport, namely, to better organize the training process and improve performance.

Another relevant aspect is the obvious pertinence of an increasingly individualized preparation in soccer, observable in this study in both genders from ball recovery to goal achievement.
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