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## 1. Introduction

In classical potential theory one encounters the notions of polar set and complete polar set. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called polar if there exists a subharmonic $u$ on a neighborhood of $E$ such that $E \subset\{x: u(x)=-\infty\} ; E$ is called complete polar if one actually has $E=\{x: u(x)=-\infty\}$. (The function identically equal to $-\infty$ is not considered to be subharmonic.) It is well known that we may take $u$ to be defined on all of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and also that $E$ is complete polar if and only if $E$ is polar and a $G_{\delta}$ (cf. [5]).

In pluripotential theory the situation is more complicated. A set $E$ in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called pluripolar in $D$ if there exists a plurisubharmonic function $u$ on $D$ such that $E \subset\{z: u(z)=-\infty\} ; E$ is called complete pluripolar in $D$ if, for some plurisubharmonic function $u$ on $D$, we have $E=\{z: u(z)=-\infty\}$. Although Josefson's theorem [4] asserts that $E$ being pluripolar in $D$ implies that $E$ is pluripolar in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the corresponding assertion is false in the complete pluripolar setting. Also, a pluripolar $G_{\delta}$ need not be complete: the open unit disk $\Delta$ in the complex line $z_{2}=0$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is a $G_{\delta}$ but is not complete in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. In fact, every plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ that equals $-\infty$ on $\Delta$ must equal $-\infty$ on the line $z_{2}=0$. Thus, it is reasonable to introduce the pluripolar hull of a pluripolar set $E \subset D$ as

$$
E_{D}^{*}=\left\{z \in D:\left.u\right|_{E}=-\infty \Longrightarrow u(z)=-\infty \forall u \in \operatorname{PSH}(D)\right\},
$$

where $\operatorname{PSH}(D)$ denotes the set of all plurisubharmonic functions on $D$. We also have use for the negative pluripolar hull,

$$
E_{D}^{-}=\left\{z \in D:\left.u\right|_{E}=-\infty \Longrightarrow u(z)=-\infty \forall u \in \operatorname{PSH}(D), u \leq 0\right\}
$$

If $E$ is complete pluripolar in $D$ then clearly $E$ is a $G_{\delta}$ and $E_{D}^{*}=E$. A partial converse is Zeriahi's theorem [11].

Theorem 1. Let E be a pluripolar subset of a pseudoconvex domain $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If $E_{D}^{*}=E$ and $E$ is $a G_{\delta}$ as well as an $F_{\sigma}$, then $E$ is complete pluripolar in $D$.

Completeness and hulls of various pluripolar sets have been studied in [6;7;9; 10].

In [9], Sadullaev posed the following questions. Consider the sets

$$
E_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: y=x^{\alpha}, x \in(0,1)\right\}
$$

with $\alpha$ irrational, and

$$
E_{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: y=e^{-1 / x}, x \in(0,1)\right\}
$$

Sadullaev asked if there exists a plurisubharmonic function $h_{j}$ on a neighborhood $V$ of $\overline{E_{j}}$ such that

$$
h_{j}(0)>\limsup _{\substack{(x, y) \rightarrow 0 \\(x, y) \in E_{j}}} h_{j}(x, y)
$$

(see also Bedford's survey [1]). In [7], Levenberg and Poletsky gave a positive answer for $E_{1}$; in [10], the author gave a positive answer for $E_{2}$. In fact, it was shown in both cases that the pluripolar hulls $E_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{*}$ are equal to the graphs of the maximal analytic extension of $y=x^{\alpha}$ (respectively, $y=e^{-1 / x}$ ).

In the present paper we generalize the results of [10] as follows.
Theorem 2. Suppose that $D$ is a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and that $A$ is a sequence of points in $D$ without density point in $D$. Let $f$ be holomorphic on $D \backslash A$, and let $E$ denote the graph of $f$ in $(D \backslash A) \times \mathbb{C}$. Then $E$ is complete pluripolar in $D \times \mathbb{C}$.

Necessary preliminaries are dealt with in Section 2. After some preparations, we give in Section 3 the proof of the theorem. Surprisingly, it is more elementary than the special cases that were treated in [10]; part of it resembles proofs in [6] and [9].
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## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Pluripolar Hulls

We will need some facts about the pluripolar hulls $E_{D}^{*}$ and $E_{D}^{-}$, which were defined in Section 1. Of course, $E_{D}^{*} \subset E_{D}^{-}$. Moreover, these hulls are related as follows (see [7]),

Theorem 3. Let $D$ be pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ and let $E \subset D$ be pluripolar. Suppose $D=\bigcup_{j} D_{j}$, where the $D_{j}$ form an increasing sequence of relatively compact pseudoconvex subdomains of $D$. Then

$$
E_{D}^{*}=\bigcup_{j}\left(E \cap D_{j}\right)_{D_{j}}^{-}
$$

Moreover, if $D$ is hyperconvex (i.e., if $D$ admits a bounded plurisubharmonic exhaustion function) then

$$
E_{D}^{-}=\bigcup_{j}\left(E \cap D_{j}\right)_{D_{j}}^{-}
$$

### 2.2. Pluriharmonic Measure

The notion of pluriharmonic measure was introduced in [2;9]. Let $E$ be a subset of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$. The pluriharmonic measure at $z \in D$ of $E$ relative to $D$ is the number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z, E, D)=-\sup \left\{u(z): u \in \operatorname{PSH}(D) \text { and } u \leq-\chi_{E}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\chi_{E}$ is the characteristic function of $E$ on $D$.
Notice that we do not regularize the supremum in (2.1). Doing so would yield 0 if $E$ were pluripolar (the case we will consider), and all information would be lost. For $n=1, E$ compact in $D$, and $z \in D \backslash E$, this notion boils down to the usual concept of harmonic measure at $z$ of the boundary of $E$ in the domain $D \backslash E$.

The relation of pluriharmonic measure with pluripolar hulls is given by the following proposition. The proof may be found in [7].

Proposition 4. Let $D$ be a hyperconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ and let $E \subset D$ be pluripolar. Then

$$
E_{D}^{-}=\{z \in D: \omega(z, E, D)>0\} .
$$

The following observation will be used in the proof of Theorem 2. Let $E$ be the graph of some holomorphic function $f$ on a domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$, and let $B$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$. Next, let $K$ be a closed disk of positive radius in $G$ such that $f(K) \subset$ $B$, and let $E_{K}$ denote the graph of $f$ over $K$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{K}\right)_{G \times B}^{*}=(E \cap(G \times B))_{G \times B}^{*}, \quad\left(E_{K}\right)_{G \times B}^{-}=(E \cap(G \times B))_{G \times B}^{-} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may simply observe that a plurisubharmonic function on $G \times B$ that equals $-\infty$ on $E_{K}$ equals $-\infty$ on $E \cap(G \times B)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

### 3.1. Construction of a Plurisubharmonic Function

Our isolated singularities will of course be poles or essential singularities. The principal part at such a singularity will be considered as an infinite Laurent series, which may consist of only finitely many nonzero terms.

Proposition 5. Let $f$ be holomorphic on a bounded domain $D$ in $\mathbb{C}$ except for finitely many isolated singularities at $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in D$. Let $E$ denote the graph of $f$ in $D \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \times B$, where $B$ is a disk about the origin. Then there is a negative plurisubharmonic function on $D \times B$ that equals $-\infty$ precisely at $E \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{(z, w) \in D \times B: z=a_{i}\right\}$ and is continuous outside its $-\infty$ locus. In particular, $E \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{(z, w) \in D \times B: z=a_{i}\right\}$ is complete pluripolar in $D \times B$.

Proof. We write down the Mittag-Leffler decomposition of $f$ as

$$
f=f_{0}+f_{1}+\cdots+f_{n}
$$

where $f_{0}$ is holomorphic on $D$ while, for $j=1, \ldots, n$, the function $f_{j}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{a_{j}\right\}$, vanishes at $\infty$, and has an isolated singularity at $a_{j}$. The functions $f_{j}$ have the expansion

$$
f_{j}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{j m}\left(z-a_{j}\right)^{-m}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{j m}\right|^{1 / m} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

After introducing the new coordinates

$$
w^{\prime}=w-f_{0}(z) \quad \text { and } \quad z^{\prime}=z
$$

we may assume that $f_{0}=0$.
Let $N$ be a positive integer, which later will be chosen sufficiently large to suit our purposes. Let

$$
P_{N}(z, w)=\left(w-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{N} c_{j m}\left(z-a_{j}\right)^{-m}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-a_{j}\right)^{N}
$$

and let

$$
h_{N}(z, w)=\frac{1}{N} \log \left|P_{N}(z, w)\right|
$$

Then $h_{N}$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $D \times \mathbb{C}$.
We make some estimates of $h_{N}$. Let $\varepsilon_{m}=\max _{j=1, \ldots, n ; k \geq m}\left|c_{j k}\right|^{1 / k}$. Then $\varepsilon_{m}$ decreases to 0 according to (3.1). Fix $\delta>0$, and let $K$ be the compact set consisting of those $z \in D$ with distance at least $\delta$ to the boundary of $D \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$. Let $M$ be the diameter of $D$. On $(K \times B) \cap E$ we have, for $\varepsilon_{N}<\delta / 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{N}(z, f(z)) & =\frac{1}{N} \log \left|f(z)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{N} c_{j m}\left(z-a_{j}\right)^{-m}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left|z-a_{j}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \log \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} c_{j m}\left(z-a_{j}\right)^{-m}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left|z-a_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{N} \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{N}^{m}\left|z-a_{j}\right|^{-m}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left|z-a_{j}\right| \\
& \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{N}\right) \log \varepsilon_{N}+\log 2 n-\left(1+\frac{1}{N}\right) \log \delta+n \log M \\
& \leq \log \varepsilon_{N}+C, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\delta, M, n$ but not on $\varepsilon_{N}$. Next, let $A$ be positive. For $z \in K$ and $|w-f(z)|>A>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{N}(z, w) & =\frac{1}{N} \log \left|w-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{N} c_{j m}\left(z-a_{j}\right)^{-m}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left|z-a_{j}\right| \\
& \left.\geq \frac{1}{N} \log | | w-f(z)\left|-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{N}^{m}\right| z-\left.a_{j}\right|^{-m}|+n \log | \delta \right\rvert\, \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N} \log \left(A-2 n\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{N}}{\delta}\right)^{N+1}\right)+n \log |\delta| \geq-C^{\prime}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constant $C^{\prime}$, if $N$ is sufficiently large.
The final estimate is that, for large enough $C_{0}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N}(z, w)<\frac{C_{0}}{N} \log (|w|+1)+C_{0} \leq C^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $D \times B$.
Now we introduce the negative plurisubharmonic functions

$$
u_{N}=\max \left(h_{N}-C^{\prime \prime}, \log \varepsilon_{N}\right)
$$

Choose a sequence of positive integers $N_{i}$ and a sequence of positive numbers $d_{i}$ with the following property: $\sum d_{i}$ converges, but $\sum d_{i} \log \varepsilon_{N_{i}}$ diverges to $-\infty$. This is possible since $\varepsilon_{N} \downarrow 0$. We form the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z, w)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{i} u_{N_{i}}(z, w) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is, on $D \times B$, a limit of a decreasing sequence of plurisubharmonic functions. On $E \cap(K \times B)$ we use (3.2) and find that $u=-\infty$ on $E \cap(K \times B)$ and hence also on $E$. By (3.3) we obtain that $u>-\infty$ if $w \neq f(z)$. The convergence properties of (3.5) are independent of $\delta$; we conclude that $u$ represents a negative plurisubharmonic function on $D \times B$ that satisfies

$$
E \subset\{(z, w): u(z, w)=-\infty\} \subset E \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n}\left\{z=a_{j}\right\}
$$

Moreover, the convergence of (3.5) is uniform on compact sets in the complement of $E \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n}\left\{z=a_{j}\right\}$. Hence, $u$ is continuous in this complement. Finally, the function

$$
u(z, w)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left|z-a_{i}\right|-n \log M
$$

satisfies all our conditions.

### 3.2. Estimates for Harmonic Measure

The next one-variable proposition will allow us to estimate pluriharmonic measure in the proof of the main theorem. The proposition is a small variation on a classical result concerning the existence of barriers (cf. [3]). We will denote classical harmonic measure by $\omega_{0}$.

Proposition 6. Let $G$ be a Dirichlet domain in $\mathbb{C}$, let $K$ be a closed disk contained in $G$, and let a be a point in the boundary of $G$. Assume that there is an arc $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ contained in the complement of $G$ with $\gamma(0)=a$. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a $\delta>0$ and a negative subharmonic function $h$ on $G_{\delta}=$ $G \cup\{z:|z-a|<\delta\}$ such that $\left.h\right|_{K}=-1$ and $h(a) \geq-\varepsilon$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can take $a=0$. Applying a Möbius transformation if necessary, we can assume that $\infty=\gamma(1)$. For every $0<\delta<1$ we let $\gamma_{\delta}$ be the component of $\gamma \backslash B(0, \delta)$ that contains $\infty$. Abusing notation, let $\gamma_{\delta}(\delta)$ denote the other endpoint of $\gamma_{\delta}$, so that $\left|\gamma_{\delta}(\delta)\right|=\delta$.

Let $\Omega_{0}=\mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash \gamma_{\delta}$. Choose an analytic branch $f_{\delta}$ of $\log \left(z-\gamma_{\delta}(\delta)\right)$ on $\Omega_{0}$. Let $B_{\delta}$ be the disk $\left\{z:\left|z-\gamma_{\delta}(\delta)\right|<1\right\}$ and $C_{\delta}$ its boundary. The image of $G_{\delta} \cap B_{\delta}$ under $f_{\delta}$ is contained in the half-plane $H=\{\Re w<0\}$ and $X$, the intersection of its boundary with the imaginary axis, has length $<2 \pi$. Set $u(z)=-\omega_{0}(z, X, H)$. Then $u \circ f_{\delta}$ is a negative harmonic function on $G_{\delta} \cap B_{\delta}$ that equals -1 on $G_{\delta} \cap C_{\delta}$. Moreover, $u\left(f_{\delta}(0)\right) \geq 2 / \log \delta$. Now let $h(z)=-\omega_{0}\left(z, K, G_{\delta}\right)$. Then $h(z)>$ -1 on $G_{\delta} \cap C_{\delta}$ and $h(z)=0$ on $\partial G_{\delta} \cap B_{\delta}$. Hence $h(z) \geq u(z)$ on $\partial\left(G_{\delta} \cap B_{\delta}\right)$. Therefore, $h(0) \geq u(0) \geq 2 / \log \delta$, so that $h(0)>-\varepsilon$ if $\delta$ is sufficiently small. Also, $h$ is negative subharmonic on $G_{\delta}$ and $h=-1$ on $K$.

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $D^{\prime \prime} \subset D^{\prime}$ be subdomains of $D$ with $D^{\prime \prime} \subset \subset D^{\prime} \subset \subset D$. Assume also that $D^{\prime}$ is a Dirichlet domain. Let $K$ be a (small) closed disk in $D^{\prime}$ that does not meet $A$, and denote by $E_{K}$ the graph of $f$ over $K$. Let $M$ be the maximum of $|f|$ on $K$ and let $B^{\prime \prime} \subset B^{\prime}$ be disks about the origin with different radii, each bigger than $M$. Let $\Omega^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \times B^{\prime}$ and $\Omega^{\prime \prime}=D^{\prime \prime} \times B^{\prime \prime}$. We put $Z=E \cup\{(z, w): z \in A\}$.

By Proposition 5, there exists a negative continuous plurisubharmonic function $u$ on $\Omega^{\prime}$ such that $u$ equals $-\infty$ precisely on $\Omega^{\prime} \cap Z$. It follows that

$$
\omega\left((z, w), E_{K}, \Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { for }(z, w) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime} \backslash Z
$$

We next estimate $\omega\left((a, w), E_{K}, \Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for $a \in A \cap D^{\prime \prime}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $G$ denote the projection of $E \cap \Omega^{\prime}$ on the first coordinate. If $a$ is a pole of $f$, then $a$ is an interior point of the complement of $G$. The function $h$ defined by -1 on $G$ and $-\varepsilon$ on a small neighborhood of $a$ is harmonic on $G \cup B(a, \delta)$ for sufficiently small $\delta$. If $a$ is an essential singularity, then $a$ is a boundary point of $G$. Since there exists a curve ending in $a$ along which $f$ tends to $\infty$, it is clear that the conditions of Proposition 6 are met. We thus find a small $\delta>0$ and a negative subharmonic function $h$ on $G \cup B(a, \delta)$ with $h(a)>-\varepsilon$ and $\left.h\right|_{K}=-1$.

In either case we view the function $h$ as a plurisubharmonic function on $(G \cup B(a, \delta)) \times B^{\prime}$. It is plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of $\left\{(z, w) \in \Omega^{\prime}:\right.$ $u(z, w)=-\infty\}$. There exists an $\eta>0$ such that this neighborhood contains $X=$ $\left\{(z, w) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}: \operatorname{dist}((z, w), Z)=\eta\right\}$. Now the supremum of $h$ on $X$ is negative and $u$ is continuous. Thus, for sufficiently small (positive) $\lambda$ we find that $\lambda u>h$ on $X$.

The function $h$ can be extended to a negative plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ as follows. Set

$$
\tilde{h}(z, w)= \begin{cases}\max \{(h(z, w), \lambda u(z, w)\} & \text { if } \operatorname{dist}((z, w), Z)<\eta, \\ \lambda u(z, w) & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

The function $\tilde{h}$ competes for the supremum in the definition of $\omega\left((a, w), E_{K}, \Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We conclude that $\omega\left((a, w), E_{K}, \Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$.

It now follows from Proposition 4 that $\left(E_{K}\right)_{\Omega^{\prime \prime}}^{-}=E \cap \Omega^{\prime \prime}$. Application of (2.2) and Theorem 3 shows that

$$
E_{D \times \mathbb{C}}^{*}=\left(E_{K}\right)_{D \times \mathbb{C}}^{*}=E .
$$

Finally, observing that $E$ is a $G_{\delta}$ as well as an $F_{\sigma}$, we infer from Theorem 1 that $E$ is complete pluripolar in $D \times \mathbb{C}$.
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