

Gravitational black hole hair from event horizon supertranslations

Artem Averin,^{a,b} Gia Dvali,^{a,b,c} Cesar Gomez^d and Dieter Lüst^{a,b}

^aArnold-Sommerfeld-Center for Theoretical Physics,
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, 80333 München, Germany

^bMax-Planck-Institut für Physik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut,
80805 München, Germany

^cCenter for Cosmology and Particle Physics, Department of Physics, New York University,
4 Washington Place, New York, NY 10003, U.S.A.

^dInstituto de Física Teórica UAM-CSIC, C-XVI, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,
Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

E-mail: artem.averin@campus.lmu.de, gd23@nyu.edu, cesar.gomez@uam.es,
dieter.luest@lmu.de

ABSTRACT: We discuss BMS supertranslations both at null-infinity BMS^- and on the horizon $BMS^{\mathcal{H}}$ for the case of the Schwarzschild black hole. We show that both kinds of supertranslations lead to infinitely many gapless physical excitations. On this basis we construct a quotient algebra $\mathcal{A} \equiv BMS^{\mathcal{H}}/BMS^-$ using suited superpositions of both kinds of transformations which cannot be compensated by an ordinary BMS-supertranslation and therefore are intrinsically due to the presence of an event horizon. We show that transformations in \mathcal{A} are physical and generate gapless excitations on the horizon that can account for the gravitational hair as well as for the black hole entropy. We identify the physics of these modes as associated with Bogoliubov-Goldstone modes due to quantum criticality. Classically the number of these gapless modes is infinite. However, we show that due to quantum criticality the actual amount of information-carriers becomes finite and consistent with Bekenstein entropy. Although we only consider the case of Schwarzschild geometry, the arguments are extendable to arbitrary space-times containing event horizons.

KEYWORDS: Black Holes, Classical Theories of Gravity, Models of Quantum Gravity

ARXIV EPRINT: [1601.03725](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.03725)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Supertranslations on event horizon of a Schwarzschild-black hole	5
2.1	The standard BMS transformations on null infinity	5
2.2	The supertranslations on the black hole horizon	7
2.3	BMS-supertranslations of the Schwarzschild metric on \mathcal{I}^-	9
3	Bogoliubov-modes as classical black hole hair	10
3.1	Microstates of Schwarzschild black hole	10
3.2	Gaplessness of \mathcal{A} -modes — Quantum criticality	12
4	Information resolution and entropy counting	13
5	Crucial role of quantum criticality in entropy scaling	14
6	Gravitational vacua, graviton condensates and Goldstone modes	16
6.1	Minkowski vacua	16
6.2	Black hole vacua	17
6.3	Some remarks on the relation to gravitational scattering amplitudes	18
7	Conclusions	19
A	Minkowski space as the near horizon geometry of the Schwarzschild metric	21

1 Introduction

For many decades a commonly accepted view was that a purely gravitational hair of a black hole contains no information besides its mass M and an angular momentum. This view is closely connected to another statement that the Hawking spectrum [1, 2] of black hole evaporation is *exactly* thermal. Both statements are based on semi-classical analysis and in order to understand when and how they go wrong, we have to define various regimes rigorously.

In black hole physics the two important length-scales are: the gravitational (Schwarzschild) radius, $r_S \equiv 2G_N M$, and the Planck length, $L_P^2 \equiv \hbar G_N$, where G_N and \hbar are Newton's and Planck's constants respectively. In this notations, the quantum gravitational coupling of gravitons of wavelength R is given by $\alpha \equiv \frac{L_P^2}{R^2}$.

Then, the semi-classical black hole regime corresponds to the following double-scaling limit,

$$\hbar = \text{finite}, \quad r_S = \text{finite}, \quad G_N \rightarrow 0, \quad M \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

In this limit, any quantum back-reaction on the geometry can be safely ignored. This is also apparent from the fact that in the above limit quantum gravitational coupling vanishes, $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

The equation (1.1) describes the limit in which Hawking’s famous calculation [1, 2] has been done and it reveals that the spectrum of emitted particles is exactly thermal. However, regarding the question of a potential loss of information, this analysis is inconclusive, because exactly in this limit the black hole is *eternal*. In order to conclude whether there is any information puzzle, we need to know what happens in the case of finite M and G_N .

In [3–9] it was concluded that gravitational hair of a black hole is capable of carrying its entire quantum information. This conclusion was reached within a particular microscopic theory, but the result that we shall use, are very general. Namely, a black hole represents a system at the *quantum critical point*, characterized by existence of many nearly-gapless collective modes, the so-called Bogoliubov modes. These modes are the carriers of the black hole quantum information and they exhibit the following features:

- 1) Number of distinct modes scales as Bekenstein entropy,

$$N = \frac{r_S^2}{L_P^2}; \tag{1.2}$$

- 2) The energy gap for exciting each mode scales as,

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\hbar}{r_S}; \tag{1.3}$$

- 3) The gravitational coupling, evaluated for wave-length r_S , is $\alpha = \frac{1}{N}$.

- 4) Deviations from thermal spectrum in Hawking radiation are of order $\frac{1}{N}$.¹

Correspondingly, the hair-resolution time scales as $t_{\text{hair}} \sim Nr_S$ and is of the order of the black hole half life time. Notice that, both in classical as well as in semi-classical limits, we have $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, according to [3–9] the purely gravitational hair of a (semi)classical black hole in fact contains an *infinite* amount of information. However, the time-scale for resolving this information is also infinite. This fact makes it clear that the exact thermality of Hawking spectrum in semi-classical theory is no ground for the information puzzle. The determining factor is the relation between the relative deviations from the thermal spectrum (i.e., the strength of black hole hair) and the black hole life-time. The deviations of order $\frac{1}{N}$ per emission time are fully enough both for storing the entire black hole information as well as for its consistent recovery [3–9].

What is very important is to appreciate that the above features are completely independent of a particular microscopic theory and represent a parameterization of black hole properties obtained by superimposing well-accepted facts, such as Bekenstein entropy [10], on quantum mechanics (see last reference in [9]; [11–15]).

This is the approach we shall adopt in the present work. We shall not make any particular assumption about the microscopic theory, but firmly demand that it exists and

¹This property can be proven in very general terms [16].

is compatible with the rules of quantum mechanics. From the existence of Bekenstein entropy, it then directly follows that black hole information-carrying degrees of freedom must satisfy the properties 1)–3) [11–15]. Indeed, in order to match the entropy, the number of such degrees of freedom must scale as N .² Moreover, the energy needed for exciting individual modes, ΔE , is fixed by the two constraints. First, the energy of bringing all the modes simultaneously into excited states should not exceed the energy of a single Hawking quantum, i.e., $N\Delta E \lesssim \frac{\hbar}{r_S}$. Secondly, the minimal time-scale for resolving the state of individual modes, from quantum uncertainty is $t_{\text{hair}} \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E}$. By consistency, the latter time-scale should not be much longer than the black hole life-time. These two constraints uniquely fix the gap as given by (1.3). Finally, the relevant coupling is simply an ordinary gravitational coupling evaluated for the wavelength r_S , which gives $\alpha = \frac{1}{N}$.

Notice, that since $\alpha N = 1$ the quantum criticality comes out as an inevitable property, rather than an assumption. Because of this universal property, despite the fact that we abstract from a particular microscopic picture, we shall keep the terminology applicable to critical Bose-Einstein substances. Namely, we shall continue to refer to the information-carrying degrees of freedom as Bogoliubov modes and to the equations that these modes satisfy as Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation. The classical geometric description is obtained as a double-scaling limit in which $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha N = 1$.

The question that we shall investigate in the present paper is the following: what is the geometric description of the black hole quantum hair? The answer to this question was already suggested in [17] where it was conjectured that the geometric description of Bogoliubov modes is in terms of Goldstone bosons of spontaneously broken BMS-type symmetry [18, 19] acting on the black hole horizon.

In the present paper we shall try to explicitly derive the broken symmetry transformations that are responsible for carrying black hole information and entropy. As a possible candidate we identify the part of BMS symmetries that act as the area-preserving diffeomorphisms on the black hole event horizon. The corresponding Goldstone bosons then are naturally identified as the geometric limit of quantum Bogoliubov modes. The correspondence between quantum and geometric descriptions is summarized in table 1.

We shall now introduce the BMS side of the story. Over many years, asymptotic symmetries of certain space-time geometries play an important role in general relativity. So far asymptotic symmetries are constructed at the boundaries of certain space-time geometries. In particular they play an important role on the AdS boundary in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence and holography. E.g. three-dimensional AdS space possesses an infinite-dimensional, asymptotic W -symmetry [20], which is holographically realized as symmetry group of the two-dimensional conformal field theory that lives on the boundary of the AdS space. In four space-time dimensions, the BMS supertranslations were already introduced in 1962 by Bondi, van der Burg, Metzner and Sachs [18, 19] (for more work on asymptotic symmetries in gravity see [21–24]). These infinite-dimensional BMS trans-

²Attributing all 2^N states to a single (or few) oscillators inevitably runs us into the problem. In this case the only label that distinguishes states is energy. Then because of high degeneracy the resolution time would be exponentially large. So, information *must* be stored in distinct degrees of freedom, in which case the states carry an extra label of oscillator species and everything is consistent.

<i>Quantum Picture: $N = \text{finite}$</i>	<i>Geometric Picture: $N \rightarrow \infty$</i>
Quantum Criticality	Existence of the Horizon
Bogoliubov modes	$\mathcal{A} \equiv \text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$ Goldstones
Bogoliubov- de Gennes equation	Equation for small metric deformations
Number of modes $N = \text{finite}$	Number of modes $N = \infty$
Coupling $\alpha = \frac{1}{N} = \text{finite}$	Coupling $\alpha = \frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 0$
Hair resolution time $t_{\text{hair}} = NR$	Hair resolution time $t_{\text{hair}} \rightarrow \infty$
Energy gap $\Delta E = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\hbar}{r_S}$	Energy gap $\Delta E \rightarrow 0$

Table 1. Correspondence between the quantum and the classical-geometric pictures. The latter corresponds to the double scaling limit with $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha N = 1$.

formations describe the symmetries of asymptotically-flat space-times at future or past null-infinity, denoted by \mathcal{I}^+ and \mathcal{I}^- respectively. Hence the BMS groups BMS^\pm describe the symmetries on \mathcal{I}^+ and \mathcal{I}^- , but in general not on the interior of four-dimensional space-time. Furthermore, if one considers a gravitational scattering process (an S-matrix in Quantum Field Theory) on asymptotically flat spaces, there is a non-trivial intertwining between BMS^+ and BMS^- (see first reference in [21–24]).

However it is tempting to expect that holography using black holes is independent of asymptotic boundaries but originates from considerations of the black hole horizon. This suggests that a more general set up should be possible, extending the concept of symmetries also to the horizon of black hole. Recently it was conjectured [25] (for other recent work on BMS symmetries, gravitational memory and the relation to soft theorems in scattering amplitudes see [26–29]) that the BMS symmetry will play an important role in resolving the so called black hole information paradox by providing an (infinite-dimensional) hair, i.e. charges to the black hole, that carry the information about the collapsing matter before the black hole is formed. Moreover it was argued [30, 31] that the BMS group can be extended as symmetry group $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ to the horizon \mathcal{H} of a Schwarzschild black hole. However the relation between $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and the standard BMS groups BMS^\pm is still rather unclear. Also what is the action of $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ on the mass of the black hole was so far not yet discussed.

In this paper we will explicitly construct the supertranslations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ on the event horizon \mathcal{H} of a Schwarzschild black hole with metric written in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates³ In addition there are still the standard BMS transformation BMS^- acting on the past null infinity surface \mathcal{I}^- of the black hole geometry. Working out the relation between $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and BMS^- , it is possible to entangle the transformations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and BMS^- in a non-trivial way. Namely we will show that the horizon supertranslations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ contain a part that cannot be compensated by BMS^- transformations. This part of supertranslations on \mathcal{H} , which can be formally denoted by $\mathcal{A} \equiv \text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$, act only on the horizon of the black hole and take the form of area-preserving diffeomorphisms on the

³For additional recent work on infinite (BMS-like) symmetries on black hole horizon see [32–37].

black hole event horizon \mathcal{H} . We will show that these \mathcal{A} -supertranslations leave the ADM mass of the black hole invariant. Using this information we can explicitly construct the Bogoliubov/Goldstone-type modes that correspond to the $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$ transformations on the Schwarzschild metric, and show in this way that these modes are classically gapless.

As we shall argue, the transformations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$ are those that give raise to the microstates of the black hole that are relevant for the black entropy and eventually also for the solution of the black hole information puzzle. Hence, the supertranslations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$ provide a gravitational hair on the black hole horizon. Note that, as we will discuss, for infinite radius r_S of the event horizon, the groups $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and BMS^- become identical to each other; in this limit we are dealing just with the BMS transformations of four-dimensional Minkowski space, since for $r_S \rightarrow \infty$ approaches the Minkowski metric (see the appendix of this paper).

In the second part of the paper, we shall address the question of quantum information counting that is encoded in states created by the transformations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$. Classically, these transformations connect the different vacuum states of the black hole into each other and the corresponding classical Goldstone field is exactly gapless. Thus, classically the amount of entropy carried by these modes is *infinite*. This nicely matches the fact originating from the microscopic theory [3–8] that in classical theory N is infinite and so must be the amount of information carried by the black hole hair. However, as we shall argue, in quantum theory the Goldstone field of $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$ -transformation is no longer gapless and contains only a finite number of Bogoliubov modes that can contribute into the entropy. We shall show that the number of the eligible modes scales as N and thus gives the correct scaling of black hole entropy.

We thus provide a crucial missing link between the geometric description of the pure gravitational hair of a black hole and its quantum entropy. This result is in full agreement with the idea of [17] about the possible geometric interpretation of Bogoliubov modes, but now we have an explicit candidate for it.

Finally, we devote a section in explaining the crucial role of quantum criticality in obtaining the correct entropy counting, once we go from classical to quantum regimes. We explain, why naively dividing the horizon area into Planck-size pixels does not work for understanding the black hole entropy, and why one needs an input from quantum criticality [9, 11–15] for quantifying the amount of information carried by the black hole hair in the quantum world.

2 Supertranslations on event horizon of a Schwarzschild-black hole

2.1 The standard BMS transformations on null infinity

First let us review the classical BMS transformations [18, 19, 26–29] in more detail. As usual, in this context we perform a coordinate transformation to Bondi coordinates by introducing a retarded or advanced time u and spherical coordinates r, ϑ, φ :

$$\begin{aligned} u &= t \mp r, \\ r \cos \vartheta &= x_1, \\ r \sin \vartheta e^{i\varphi} &= x_3 + ix_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Instead of ϑ and φ one can also use complex coordinates z, \bar{z} on the (conformal) sphere S^2 :

$$z = \cot\left(\frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)e^{i\varphi}. \quad (2.2)$$

In this coordinate system, future or past null infinity, denoted by \mathcal{I}^+ or by \mathcal{I}^- respectively, are the two null surfaces at spatial infinity:

$$\mathcal{I}^\pm : R_{r=\infty, u}^1 \otimes S_{\varphi, \vartheta}^2. \quad (2.3)$$

The future (past) boundaries of \mathcal{I}^\pm ($r = \infty, u = \pm\infty, z, \bar{z}$) are denoted by \mathcal{I}_\pm^\pm .

Asymptotically flat metrics in retarded (advanced) time coordinates have an expansion around \mathcal{I}^\pm with the following few terms:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -du^2 \mp dudr + 2r^2\gamma_{z\bar{z}}dzd\bar{z} \\ & + \frac{2m_B}{r}du^2 + rC_{zz}^\pm dz^2 + rC_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}^\pm d\bar{z}^2 - 2U_z^\pm dudz - 2U_{\bar{z}}^\pm dud\bar{z} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here $\gamma_{z\bar{z}}$ is the round metric of the unit S^2 and

$$U_z^\pm = -\frac{1}{2}D^z C_{zz}^\pm. \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore, m_B is the Bondi mass for gravitational radiation, the C_{zz}^\pm are in general functions of z, \bar{z}, u and the Bondi news N_{zz}^\pm are characterizing outgoing (ingoing) gravitational waves:

$$N_{zz}^+ = \partial_u C_{zz}^+. \quad (2.6)$$

Gravitational vacua with zero radiation have $N_{zz}^\pm = 0$.

BMS $^\pm$ transformations are defined as the subgroup of diffeomorphisms that acts non-trivially on the metric around \mathcal{I}^\pm , but still preserve the asymptotic structure of the metric defined in eq. (2.4). They include Lorentz transformations and an infinite family of supertranslations, which are generated by an infinite number of functions $g^\pm(z, \bar{z})$. Specifically the BMS $^\pm$ transformations act on the Bondi coordinates in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} u & \rightarrow u - g^\pm(z, \bar{z}), \\ z & \rightarrow z + \frac{1}{r}\gamma^{z\bar{z}}\partial_{\bar{z}}g^\pm(z, \bar{z}), \\ \bar{z} & \rightarrow \bar{z} + \frac{1}{r}\gamma^{z\bar{z}}\partial_zg^\pm(z, \bar{z}), \\ r & \rightarrow r - D^z D_z g^\pm(z, \bar{z}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

One can introduce vector fields ξ , which generate infinitesimal BMS $^\pm$ supertranslations:

$$\text{BMS}^\pm : \xi_g = g^\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + D^z D_z g^\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \left(D^2 g^\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \text{h.c.} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Then one can show that the supertranslations act on the Bondi mass and on C_{zz}^\pm as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\xi_g} m_B & = g^\pm \partial_u m_B, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\xi_g} C_{zz}^+ & = g^\pm \partial_u C_{zz}^+ - 2D_z^2 g^\pm = g^\pm N_{zz}^+ - 2D_z^2 g^\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

To each of these BMS transformation one can associate corresponding generators $T(g^\pm)$. These supertranslation generators act on the data around \mathcal{I}^\pm as

$$\begin{aligned} \{T(g^\pm), N_{zz}^\pm\} &= g^\pm \partial_u N_{zz}^\pm, \\ \{T(g^\pm), C_{zz}^\pm\} &= g^\pm \partial_u C_{zz}^\pm - 2D_z^2 g^\pm. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Among themselves they build an infinite dimensional algebra, which is in fact closely related to the Virasoro algebra.

It is important to emphasize that the BMS transformations are only asymptotic symmetries in the sense that the generators $T(g^\pm)$ correspond to global symmetries only on the asymptotic null surfaces \mathcal{I}^\pm . In the interior of space-time the BMS transformations have to be viewed as local gauge transformations, i.e., here they just act as local diffeomorphism on the space-time metric. Hence the globally-conserved BMS charges only exist on \mathcal{I}^\pm but not on the entire space-time.

Eq. (2.9) also means that super translations are spontaneously broken in the vacuum. In field-theoretic language their action on the ground-state of the system leads to the generation of a Goldstone boson, namely the soft graviton. One can also view this action as the transition between different BMS-vacua, which are separated from each other by a radiation pulse. The initial and final states precisely differ by a BMS supertranslation, which is also known as the *gravitational memory effect*. This is also in agreement with the fact that the BMS transformations on \mathcal{I}^\pm are global symmetries of the field theory S-matrix that describes the scattering of soft gravitons.

We can specialize the BMS transformations to Minkowski space-time. In terms of the Bondi coordinates, the Minkowski metric takes the following form:

$$ds^2 = -du^2 \mp dudr + 2r^2 \gamma_{z\bar{z}} dz d\bar{z}. \tag{2.11}$$

As seen from eq. (2.9), the super translations on Minkowski space do not generate a Bondi mass. However, even starting with a trivial metric with $C_{zz}^\pm = 0$, the supertranslations in general generate a nontrivial C_{zz}^\pm :

$$C_{zz}^\pm \rightarrow C_{zz}^\pm - 2D_z^2 g^\pm. \tag{2.12}$$

So the supertranslations do not act trivially on Minkowski space-time, but instead generate an infinite family of Minkowski metrics which are all flat, i.e., all describe Minkowski space-time:

$$ds^2 = -du^2 - dudr + 2r^2 \gamma_{z\bar{z}} dz d\bar{z} - 2r D_z^2 g^\pm dz^2 - 2r D_{\bar{z}}^2 g^\pm d\bar{z}^2. \tag{2.13}$$

The BMS charges $T(g^\pm)$ are again localized only on \mathcal{I}^\pm of Minkowski space, and not in its interior.

2.2 The supertranslations on the black hole horizon

A review on the geometry and physics of null infinity can be found in [38]. There, the BMS-group is defined intrinsically on (future or past) null infinity in a coordinate-independent

manner. Since the null infinity in a conformal completion shares many similarities with a black hole event horizon, it is tempting to extend this definition to the case of an event horizon. Indeed, in [30, 31], there was made the proposal that the group of supertranslations on the event horizon plays an important role in the resolution of the black hole information paradox. In this note, we wish to identify the right supertranslation group, responsible for black hole information and show that the corresponding Goldstone modes are indeed gapless and have the right properties to match the critical Bogoliubov modes of quantum theory.

We show that existence of these classically-gapless modes is intrinsically linked with the existence of the event horizon (and the associated supertranslation group). We hence establish the mapping between the existence of the horizon in the classical description and an underlying quantum critical state, as it was explained in the introduction.

Although the reasoning is extendable to general horizons, for concreteness and simplicity, we shall consider the case of an eternal Schwarzschild black hole.

Consider the Schwarzschild-metric in (infalling) Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates $(v, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ given by the coordinate-transformation

$$v = t + r^* \tag{2.14}$$

with

$$dr^* = \left(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}\right)^{-1} dr. \tag{2.15}$$

This choice of Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates covers the exterior and the future-interior of a Schwarzschild black hole and the metric gets the form

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}\right)dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2d\Omega^2. \tag{2.16}$$

On the event horizon \mathcal{H} with $r = r_S$ we have a nullvector $n^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ with $g_{\mu\nu}n^\mu n^\nu = 0$. We define the group of supertranslations on the horizon \mathcal{H} by its Lie-Algebra in analogy with the way the group of supertranslations is defined on null-infinity (see the definition in [38]). This Lie-algebra consists of vector fields ζ^μ on the entire space-time, which on the horizon are supposed to satisfy the condition $\zeta^\mu|_{r=r_S} = fn^\mu$, where f is a real function on the horizon with the Lie-derivative in null-direction vanishing, i.e., $L_n f = 0$. The vector fields with $\zeta^\mu|_{r=r_S} = 0$ are divided out. In Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates representatives in the Lie algebra have therefore the form

$$\zeta^\mu = (f, A, B, C), \tag{2.17}$$

with the components satisfying the boundary conditions

$$f|_{r=r_S} = f(\vartheta, \varphi), \tag{2.18}$$

$$A|_{r=r_S} = B|_{r=r_S} = C|_{r=r_S} = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

We now choose the representatives of the equivalence classes in the Lie algebra by specifying four coordinate conditions for the metrics we will consider in a moment

$$g_{10} = 1, \tag{2.20}$$

$$g_{1i} = 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Remember that in a Hamiltonian formulation of the Einstein-Hilbert action, the coordinate conditions have to be imposed to fix the gauge-freedom. The coordinate conditions help in eliminating redundant canonical coordinates/momenta in order to leave a minimal set of two pairs of canonically-conjugated coordinates and momenta (two helicities of the graviton). For a review of the Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity, see [39].

By choosing these coordinate conditions we specify the coordinate-system under the consideration. We require that coordinate transformations induced by the concrete representatives ζ do not leave this particular choice of coordinates, i.e., we require

$$\delta_\zeta g_{1\mu} = 0. \tag{2.22}$$

This requirement ensures that the induced field excitation $\delta_\zeta g_{\mu\nu}$ is physical. It is a shift in the phase space spanned by the minimal set of four canonically conjugated variables. For an observer in this coordinate conditions, $\delta_\zeta g_{\mu\nu}$ is a measurable excitation of the metric field rather than a redundancy. This observation is crucial in the argument showing that black holes do carry classical hair. Furthermore, precisely this requirement fixes the representative of each equivalence class in the Lie algebra. eq. (2.22) yields the first order differential equations for the components

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial r} = 0, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 B) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta} - 2rB = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)C) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} - 2r \sin^2(\vartheta)C = 0. \tag{2.26}$$

Together with the boundary conditions (2.18), (2.19) this fixes the representatives uniquely, and the supertranslations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ on the horizon \mathcal{H} are generated by the following vector fields ζ^μ , which can be labeled by function $f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$ on a two-sphere S^2 :

$$\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}} : \quad \zeta_f^\mu = \left(f(\vartheta, \varphi), 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_S} \right), \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_S} \right) \right). \tag{2.27}$$

2.3 BMS-supertranslations of the Schwarzschild metric on \mathcal{I}^-

Taking the limit $r_S \rightarrow \infty$ takes the future horizon $r = r_S$ to past null infinity \mathcal{I}^- . Therefore, taking this limit in (2.27) yields the BMS-supertranslations at null infinity \mathcal{I}^- with respect to the particular coordinate conditions used in the previous section:

$$\eta_g^\mu = \left(g(\vartheta, \varphi), 0, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \vartheta} \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \varphi} \frac{1}{r} \right) \tag{2.28}$$

Therefore, in addition to the supertranslations eq. (2.27) on the event horizon \mathcal{H} labeled by $f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$, there exist (for all isolated systems) the standard BMS^- -supertranslations at null infinity (2.28) labeled by an independent function $g = g(\vartheta, \varphi)$.

Let us remark that taking the limit $r_S \rightarrow \infty$ we are not led to consider the standard BMS transformations on \mathcal{I}^+ . So for the black hole metric, we are still considering two *a priori* independent supertranslations, namely, $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ on the horizon and BMS^- on past null infinity \mathcal{I}^- . Hence instead of the standard supertranslations BMS^+ we are dealing in case of the black hole with $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$, whereas the group BMS^- still provides the asymptotic symmetries of the black hole at \mathcal{I}^- . In other words, the dynamics of black hole formation is associated with the relation between the two BMS groups we are dealing with, namely BMS^- , describing the collapsing body before black hole formation, and $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ associated with the horizon of the black hole.

3 Bogoliubov-modes as classical black hole hair

Associated to the supertranslations (2.27) we can calculate the Bogoliubov-modes $\delta_\zeta g_{\mu\nu}$. Note that any representative of an equivalence class in the Lie algebra of supertranslations, i.e., any vector field satisfying the boundary conditions (2.18), (2.19), would give rise to solutions $\delta_\zeta g_{\mu\nu}$ of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations. However, for an observer in a concrete coordinate system we have to specify the additional coordinate conditions (i.e. fix the gauge-freedom). Bogoliubov modes which do not fulfill the coordinate conditions (called ghosts) are not the observable excitations for an observer in this particular coordinate system. In that particular gauge, ghost do not correspond to shifts in the phase space spanned by the gauge-fixed minimal set of canonical variables and are therefore unphysical. The supertranslations derived by us (both on null infinity as well as on the event horizon), by-construction, induce physical excitations (see also the discussion in the last section). For our coordinate conditions the supertranslations $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$ have the form (2.27) and the induced physical Bogoliubov-excitations have the form

$$\delta_{\zeta_f} g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta} & -(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 2r^2 (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_S}) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta^2} & 2r^2 (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_S}) (\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta \partial \varphi} - \cot \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}) \\ * & * & * & 2r^2 (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_S}) (\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi^2} + \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, the Bogoliubov excitations with respect to the standard BMS^- -supertranslations in eq. (2.28) of the Schwarzschild-metric in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates are given by

$$\delta_{\eta_g} g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \vartheta} & -(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \varphi} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 2r \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \vartheta^2} & 2r (\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \vartheta \partial \varphi} - \cot \vartheta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \varphi}) \\ * & * & * & 2r (\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \varphi^2} + \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \vartheta}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

3.1 Microstates of Schwarzschild black hole

Comparing the Bogoliubov-excitations due to supertranslations on the event horizon (2.27) with the excitations due to ordinary BMS-supertranslations (2.28), we see that the hori-

zon supertranslations contain a part which cannot be compensated by standard BMS-supertranslations. The horizon supertranslations therefore provide new Bogoliubov-excitations which are intrinsically due to the presence of an event horizon. In order to factor-out the part of event horizon supertranslations, which is not due to standard BMS-supertranslations, we define “disentangled” supertranslations with respect to the quotient space $\mathcal{A} \equiv \text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$. These are defined by the vector field which can be formally seen as the difference of the two vector fields, ζ and η , where we set the functions g and f equal each to each other. In this way we obtain the vector field χ as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^- : \quad \chi_f^\mu &= \zeta_f^\mu - \eta_f^\mu \\ &= \left(0, 0, -\frac{1}{r_S} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta}, -\frac{1}{r_S \sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for an arbitrary real function $f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$. The corresponding Bogoliubov excitations are

$$\delta_{\chi_f} g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta^2} & -2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta \partial \varphi} - \cot \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\ * & * & * & -2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi^2} + \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta} \right). \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.4}$$

Note that in the limit $r_S \rightarrow \infty$ the vector fields χ^μ tend to zero and the horizon supertranslations become indistinguishable from the ordinary BMS-supertranslations. We shall call these additional Bogoliubov-modes (3.4) and (3.3), which are due to the group \mathcal{A} , as \mathcal{A} -modes. Since the BMS-Bogoliubov modes, i.e., the modes due to BMS-supertranslations at null infinity \mathcal{I}^- , are physical, they provide, already at the classical level, a hair to the black hole. In order to determine the point in phase space corresponding to the black hole state, the function g in (3.2) has to be specified. However, this BMS-degeneracy is present for all isolated systems. Therefore, it is not to expect that these BMS-Bogoliubov modes contribute to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. However, there are additional physical excitations due to the \mathcal{A} -modes. It is highly expected that they contribute to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and should be identified with the black hole micro-states, as the presence of \mathcal{A} is intrinsically due to the presence of the event horizon. In the next section, we will show explicitly that the \mathcal{A} -modes are classically-gapless, i.e., they do not change the value of the ADM-mass.⁴ The group \mathcal{A} provides additional hair to the black hole. One has to specify (independently of g) an additional function f in (3.4) in order to determine the exact position of the black hole state in phase space. Therefore, we have two degeneracies providing classical black hole hair, which consistently collapse to ordinary BMS-degeneracy in the limit $r_S \rightarrow \infty$ in which the group \mathcal{A} disappears.

⁴That the BMS-Bogoliubov-modes are gapless is well-known and follows from the fact that (3.2) decays as $O(\frac{1}{r})$ in cartesian-coordinates [39].

3.2 Gaplessness of \mathcal{A} -modes — Quantum criticality

In order to see that the Bogoliubov-excitations (3.4) are gapless, we translate them via $t = v - r^*$ to Schwarzschild coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$, which yields

$$g_{\mu\nu} + \delta_{\chi_f} g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -(1-\frac{r_S}{r}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\frac{r_S}{r})^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 - 2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta^2} & -2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} (\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta \partial \varphi} - \cot \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}) \\ 0 & 0 & * & r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - 2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} (\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi^2} + \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

Next we compute the ADM-mass (in units where $G_N = 1$) via a version of the Brown-York formula due to Brewin [40]

$$M_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \lim_{S \rightarrow i^0} \left(\frac{dA}{ds} \right)_+ - \left(\frac{dA}{ds} \right)_-, \quad (3.6)$$

where S is the sphere $t = \text{const.}$, $r = \text{const.}$ and r is taken to infinity. In the expression above, outside the sphere (+) the space is taken to be flat and inside (-) the space is given by the actual metric. A refers to the area of the sphere and s to the proper length as measured with the line element. The induced metric on $S(r)$ is given by

$$s_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} r^2 - 2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta^2} & -2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} (\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \vartheta \partial \varphi} - \cot \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}) \\ * & r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - 2r^2 \frac{1}{r_S} (\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi^2} + \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

Already from the form of χ^μ it is clear that one can do a reparametrization in (ϑ, φ) such that one obtains the line element of an Euclidean S^2 . One has therefore

$$A_+(r) = A_-(r) = 4\pi r^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Furthermore, one has

$$ds_+ = dr, \quad (3.9)$$

$$ds_- = \left(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr = \left(1 + \frac{r_S}{2r}\right) dr + O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right). \quad (3.10)$$

Altogether this yields

$$M_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{r_S}{2} = M. \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, for every Bogoliubov-excitation (3.4) given by a function $f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$ the ADM-mass is equal to the Schwarzschild-mass and therefore these excitations are gapless. It might look strange that the Bogoliubov excitations look as angular reparametrizations. However, the important point is that precisely those angular reparametrizations which are of the form given by χ^μ are the physical Bogoliubov excitations. Although, arbitrary angular reparametrizations solve the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations, they are in general unobservable. Indeed, they also solve the coordinate condition we have imposed. But, since in our coordinate conditions we have fixed a time-component of the metric, one of our coordinate conditions fixes only a Lagrange-parameter of the ADM-formulation of

general relativity. This Lagrange-parameter restricts one of the other parameters, but does not help in getting rid of one degree of freedom. However, the argument can be repaired by using coordinate conditions which fix four degrees of freedom, in such a way that the remaining gauge freedom is really due to physical excitation of the canonical variables. One then carries out the same argument (with more involved equations), transforms back to our coordinate conditions and arrives to the same result that precisely the Bogoliubov excitations given above correspond to the physical gapless excitations. The presence of the physical gapless angular reparametrizations is due to the presence of the black hole event horizon.

Thus, we have shown that the \mathcal{A} -modes are gapless. The presence of these additional gapless excitations is a highly non-trivial phenomenon and is the classical sign of the underlying quantum criticality of the Schwarzschild geometry. This phenomenon has several important consequences. In particular it is the key to the information storage capacity of the black hole and respectively to the existence of black hole hair and entropy. We shall quantify some of these properties in the next section.

4 Information resolution and entropy counting

We have identified the black hole hair carried by the Bogoliubov excitation (3.4) given by a function $f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$. We have shown that for this form of excitations the ADM-mass is equal to the Schwarzschild-mass and therefore these excitations are classically-gapless.

We now need to understand how much information can be encoded in these excitations. Notice, that up until now, our analysis was purely classical. That is, we have been working with finite M and G_N , but zero \hbar . This is equivalent to saying that we were working with $L_P = 0$ and thus, $N = \infty$. The results obtained in this limit pass the first consistency check that we are on the right track of understanding the origin of black hole entropy, since as we saw, in this limit an *arbitrary* deformation of the form $f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$ is exactly gapless. Thus, we can store an *infinite* amount of information in such deformations. We have thus correctly identified the source of infinite entropy in the limit of $\hbar = 0$.

We now need to understand the effects of finite L_P (i.e., finite N). For this we need to clarify the meaning that the transformation $f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$ acquires in the quantum theory. For this let us perform expansion of this function in spherical harmonics,

$$f(\vartheta, \varphi) = \sum_{l=0}^{l=\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{m=+l} b_{lm} Y_{lm}(\vartheta, \varphi), \tag{4.1}$$

where b_{ml} are expansion coefficients. Classically, these coefficients are just c -numbers, but in quantum theory they acquire the meaning of (expectation values of) the occupation numbers of spherical momentum modes, \hat{b}_{lm} . Notice that, since the only scale entering in (3.3) and (3.4) is r_S , it is natural to think of the modes b_{lm} as living on a sphere of radius r_S and correspondingly to measure the momenta in units of $\frac{\hbar}{r_S}$.

Thus, by promoting the expansion coefficients b_{lm} into the expectation values of operators, we establish connection between the classical and quantum pictures. In classical theory, by performing BMS^H/BMS^- transformation, parameterized by the function

$f = f(\vartheta, \varphi)$, we excite the classical Goldstone field. In quantum theory, the same transformation is equivalent of populating system with different occupation numbers of \hat{b}_{ml} -modes.

An each momentum mode in quantum theory acts as a qubit that can store information in its occupation number, $n_{lm} \equiv \langle \hat{b}_{lm}^+ \hat{b}_{lm} \rangle$. These quantum modes are nothing but the Bogoliubov modes appearing at the quantum critical point. The amount of the information carried by the black hole hair is determined by the information-storage capacity of these modes. In order to measure it we need to answer the following question:

How many independent angular Bogoliubov qubits must be counted as the legitimate information carriers?

Let l_{\max} be a maximal spherical harmonic number up to which we include modes in entropy-counting. Then, the total number of included modes scales as $\sim l_{\max}^2$ and the major contribution into this number comes from the highest harmonics, i.e., modes with $l \sim l_{\max}$. The value of l_{\max} can be estimated from the requirement that the energy gap, ΔE , in any given mode contributing to the entropy-counting must be bounded by [9],

$$\Delta E \lesssim \frac{1}{N} \frac{\hbar}{r_S}. \tag{4.2}$$

The energy gap generated by the quantum effects in a given mode of momentum $l \frac{\hbar}{r_S}$ is proportional to the strength of gravitational self-coupling $\alpha(l) \equiv l^2 \frac{L_P^2}{r_S^2}$ as well as to the departure of the background from criticality, i.e., $(1 - \alpha(r_S)N)$. Exactly at criticality we have $\alpha(r_S) = \frac{1}{N}$. However, the quantum fluctuations in occupation number cause small departure from the critical relation. The effect can be estimated as $(1 - \alpha(r_S)N) \sim \frac{1}{N}$ (see also [9]). We thus arrive to the following estimate, $\Delta E \sim \frac{\hbar}{r_S} \alpha(l) (1 - \alpha(r_S)N) \sim \frac{l^2}{N^2} \frac{\hbar}{r_S}$. Inserting this into (4.2) we get the estimate $l_{\max} \lesssim \sqrt{N}$. Thus, the total number of Bogoliubov qubits contributing into the entropy scales as $\sim l_{\max}^2 \sim N$. As discussed in the introduction, this is exactly the number of information carriers needed for accounting for Bekenstein entropy!

We thus conclude, that in quantum theory, the amount of information carried by the black hole hair generated by $BMS^{\mathcal{H}}/BMS^-$ transformations is finite and is given by N .

We would like to stress the striking similarity between the counting obtained above and the similar counting obtained using the so-called Stückelberg approach for accounting the quantum information in the presence of a horizon [41]. This is probably not surprising, since the vector field χ_μ and the corresponding Goldstone field $f(\vartheta, \varphi)$ can be thought to be related with the Stückelberg field, discussed in [41], needed for maintaining the gauge invariance from the point of view of the external observer in the presence of the information boundary. The role of the latter in the present case is played by the two-sphere that is subjected to \mathcal{A} -transformations.

5 Crucial role of quantum criticality in entropy scaling

Although this is already clear from our previous analysis, we would like to explain why quantum criticality is absolutely crucial for obtaining the correct scaling of entropy, when

we go from the classical ($\hbar = 0$, $L_P = 0$) to the quantum ($\hbar \neq 0$, $L_P \neq 0$) description. In particular, we would like to explain why explaining entropy by a simple division of the system into the Planck size pixels does not work.

As we have seen, in the classical theory the gapless excitation is given by a Goldstone field $f(\vartheta, \varphi)$. Since f is an arbitrary continuous function of spherical coordinates, we can encode an *infinite* amount of information in it at *zero* energy cost. Indeed, in classical theory we can encode a message by giving different values to f for set of coordinates, say, ϑ_1, φ_1 and ϑ_2, φ_2 that can be *arbitrarily* close. This is because in classical theory the arbitrarily small coordinate differences (i.e., $\Delta\vartheta = \vartheta_2 - \vartheta_1$, $\Delta\varphi = \varphi_2 - \varphi_1$) are resolvable at zero energy cost. This is why the ground-state of any classical system with a gapless field $f(\vartheta, \varphi)$ has infinite entropy, from the information point of view.

In quantum theory, there is no longer a free lunch, because the coordinate-resolution costs finite energy. The amount of entropy carried by the same system, once \hbar is set to be non-zero, crucially depends on the energy-cost of the coordinate-resolution. This is why, *a priori* it is not obvious what shall remain out of the infinite classical entropy in the quantum world.

Without having a microscopic quantum theory that could tell us what is the energy-cost of coordinate-resolution, we can only make some guesses. For example, we can take a naive root and put a Planck-scale resolution cutoff on the coordinate-separation, demanding $r_S \Delta\vartheta > L_P$, $r_S \Delta\varphi > L_P$. That is, we decide to use in information-count only the values of the function f in the set of points that are separated by distance of Planck length or larger. This way of entropy-counting is equivalent to dividing the area of the sphere in Planck-area pixels, each housing a single quantum degree of freedom (i.e., a qubit). Since the total number of pixels is $N = R^2/L_P^2$, it is obvious that the scaling of entropy obtained in this way shall match the Bekenstein entropy. This is essentially the approach originally introduced by 't Hooft [42] and also adopted recently in [43].

The problem, however, is that such a method, despite being an interesting prescription, cannot be considered, without an additional microscopic input, to be an explanation of entropy scaling, because of the reason that we shall now explain.

In a generic quantum system, housing a degree of freedom (say a two-level quantum system) in a Planck-size pixel would cost the energy gap $\Delta E \sim \frac{\hbar}{L_P}$. Since the total number of pixels, is N , the resulting number of states, 2^N , would naively account for Bekenstein entropy. However, these states span an enormous total energy gap

$$\Delta E_{\text{total}} \sim N \frac{\hbar}{L_P}, \tag{5.1}$$

which is by a factor \sqrt{N} larger than the black hole mass! This, of course, shows that such states cannot exist and cannot be counted in entropy.

So, in order to account for the entropy-counting, we must explain how come the energy gap per pixel, instead of being $\Delta E \sim \frac{\hbar}{L_P}$, is

$$\Delta E \sim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\hbar}{L_P}. \tag{5.2}$$

The additional suppression factor $N^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ is enormous even for relatively-small black holes. For example, for a black hole of earth’s mass this factor would be $N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sim 10^{-99}$.

This enormous suppression is precisely what quantum criticality delivers, as explained in series of papers [9, 11–15] and as outlined in the previous section. For a detailed review of the role of quantum criticality for obtaining such cheap qubits, we refer the reader to [11–15] and references therein.

6 Gravitational vacua, graviton condensates and Goldstone modes

6.1 Minkowski vacua

The action of BMS transformations on some gravitational metric G reveals the existence of different gravitational vacua we can denote by $|g; G\rangle$ for g being the BMS function. The BMS transformations ξ_g normally act on the null surfaces of asymptotically flat metrics at spacial infinity. This applies also to Minkowski space time, and hence there exist *infinitely many* distinct Minkowski vacua, $|g^-; Minkowski\rangle$, which correspond to the family of BMS-transformed metrics as given by equation (2.13).

In [17] we have explained that this degeneracy of Minkowski vacua can be understood by describing Minkowski as a coherent state of $N = \infty$ gravitons of *infinite* wavelength. This behavior naturally emerges in the framework of the black hole quantum N-portrait [3–8], in which a black hole is described as a state of N soft gravitons (coherent state or a Bose-Einstein condensate) at a quantum critical point, $\alpha N = 1$.

On the other hand, one can show (see the appendix) that the *near horizon geometry* in the infinite mass limit of a Schwarzschild black hole is a Minkowski space. The value of this comment lies in relating the infinite number of Minkowski vacua we can define on null infinity with the limit of the black hole entropy in the limit of infinite mass. This is the limit in which the moduli of Minkowski vacua generated by asymptotic symmetries can match the expected entropy of an infinitely massive black hole. Namely, in this limit the horizon becomes the null infinity and both asymptotic symmetries BMS^- and $BMS^{\mathcal{H}}$ become isomorphic.

As also discussed in [17] the supertranslations are spontaneously broken in the gravitational vacuum of the theory, in particular by the vacuum state that corresponds to Minkowski space. So acting with the BMS^- supertranslations on infinite- N graviton state, that corresponds to Minkowski space, the Goldstone equation has the following form:

$$BMS^- : \quad T(g^-)|Minkowski\rangle = |g^-; Minkowski\rangle. \quad (6.1)$$

The corresponding Goldstone modes precisely correspond to the Bogoliubov excitations eq. (3.2) with $r_S \rightarrow \infty$ that are given with respect to the standard BMS^- transformations on \mathcal{I}^- . Equation (6.1) means that Minkowski space is infinitely degenerate and is described by the infinite family of Minkowski metrics as given in eq. (2.13). The states $|Minkowski\rangle$ and $|g^-; Minkowski\rangle$ correspond to two Minkowski metrics that are related by a BMS^- transformation and the difference among the two metrics can be accounted by having two different functions C_{zz}^\pm , which are related by the BMS^- transformation on C_{zz}^\pm , as shown in eq. (2.12).

Note that what we learn from the classical analysis of the asymptotic symmetries is the existence of many classical vacua interpreted as connections defined by C functions that are total derivatives $D_z^2 g$. In quantum theory the manifold of classically-inequivalent vacua corresponds to different quantum vacuum states. Each of these states is characterized by a BMS function g . Quantum mechanically these vacua for different g 's are orthogonal. Moreover, action by a broken symmetry transformation that connects two vacua is equivalent to creation of soft gravitons that play the role of the Goldstone bosons for the large diffeos defining the asymptotic symmetry. When we talk about a quantum resolution of these vacuum states we really mean a coherent state representation of them in terms of quanta with infinite wavelength. In the case of Minkowski vacua this quantum resolution because of infinite- N and infinite wavelength is automatically consistent with quantum mechanical stability. This is not the case for the metrics which in quantum picture give finite N , such as the finite mass black holes. Quantum mechanically, such states are not necessarily stable.

6.2 Black hole vacua

As we discussed, since the black hole horizon is also a null surface, we can extend the rules of asymptotic symmetries, vacua and Goldstone modes to this case too. The first important thing to be clarified is what is playing the role of manifold of *vacua*. Following our previous discussion, it is very natural to identify this manifold with the space of states generated by the action of $\mathcal{A} \equiv \text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$.

In the quantum portrait the black hole state $|BH\rangle$ is given in terms of the state of N gravitons at criticality, $\alpha N = 1$. This criticality manifests in the appearance of classically-gapless Bogoliubov modes that we have identified with the gapless modes obtained by acting with \mathcal{A} .

The degeneracy of $|BH\rangle$ originates from the action of the supertranslations \mathcal{A} on the horizon \mathcal{H} , where we have factored out the standard BMS transformations on \mathcal{S}^- . These supertranslations, are defined in eq. (3.3) and act as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} : \quad T(f)|BH\rangle = |f; BH\rangle. \tag{6.2}$$

The corresponding Bogoliubov modes in (3.4) are shown to be classically-gapless and preserve the ADM mass of the black hole. Hence, we propose to identify the family of black hole vacua $|f; BH\rangle$ with the family of \mathcal{A} -transformed black hole metrics, as given in eq. (3.5), with the gapless Bogoliubov modes corresponding to the Goldstone field.

For infinite N , which corresponds to classical limit, the Goldstone field is exactly gapless. However, as discussed above, for finite N the energy gap $\Delta E \sim \frac{1}{N}$ is generated. Moreover, the effective number of modes that counts in the entropy is finite and also set by N .

Therefore we would like to stress that there are effects, captured by the quantum N -portrait, that are expected not to be visible in the classical BMS picture and their account requires the finite- N resolution. In particular, we expect that because of the interaction among different modes, at finite N the different would-be vacua obtained by action of \mathcal{A} are no longer orthogonal. This overlap is a quantum $\frac{1}{N}$ effect and is linked with black hole evaporation.

6.3 Some remarks on the relation to gravitational scattering amplitudes

It has been known from the early days of quantum field theory that in theories with long-range forces, such as QED or gravity, the asymptotic states needed to define an IR-finite S-matrix are not the ones diagonalizing the free part of the hamiltonian, but instead the dressed states with infinite number of soft quanta. Generically, these dressed states are coherent states. This phenomenon underlines the standard recipes, such as the Bloch Nordsieck theorem in QED, for dealing with IR divergences. A nice way for understanding the role of asymptotic symmetries in Minkowski space-time is simply in terms of symmetries governing the kinematics of these asymptotic dressed states. This is in essence the meaning of the relation between soft quanta theorems and asymptotic symmetries.

In the case of gravity we can consider an ultra-Planckian scattering with large center of mass energy \sqrt{s} at small impact parameter. In such conditions we expect to form a black hole in the final state (ignoring the subsequent evaporation). Within the classicalization scheme described in [44, 45], the final state of such scattering process can be formally represented as some quanta of matter (e.g., the ultra-Planckian scattering of two electrons) gravitationally dressed by N soft gravitons with $N \sim sL_P^2$, i.e.,

$$|f; \text{coh}(N)\rangle. \tag{6.3}$$

In other words the final state is gravitationally-dressed by N soft gravitons of typical wave length $r_S \sim \sqrt{s}L_P^2$. These N gravitons are organized in the form of a coherent state that we have denoted $|f; \text{coh}(N)\rangle$, where f denotes the soft graviton part. The in-state picture was thought from the point of view of the standard kinematics, defining the S-matrix in an asymptotically flat space, and therefore, the relevant kinematic asymptotic symmetry was $\text{BMS}(\pm)$. However, if a black hole is formed, the gravitational dressing of the final state should be modified in order to take into the account the degeneracy of final states obtained by the action of \mathcal{A} . That is, the S-matrix element must be schematically replaced by,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |\langle in | \hat{S}t | f; \text{coh}(N) \rangle|^2, \tag{6.4}$$

where the integral is taken over the quotient space $\mathcal{A} \equiv \text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$ and t representing the action of this quotient space on the IR gravitationally dressed state. This integral formally compensates the suppression factor e^{-N} of the gravitational $2 \rightarrow N$ part of the ultra-Planckian scattering.

This formal picture provides a nice understanding of black hole formation in ultra-Planckian scattering [46] in the following sense. First of all, the UV/IR non-Wilsonian structure of gravity leads us to a gravitational dressing by N soft quanta. The suppression factor is however compensated by the difference between the asymptotic group BMS^- and the $\text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}$. From the microscopic point of view defined by the N -portrait this *enhancement* of asymptotic symmetries simply reflects the quantum criticality of the many body graviton system.

Of course, the previous discussion simply intends to grasp the underlying structure. The key point is how the integral over \mathcal{A} precisely compensates the suppression factor e^{-N} ,

or in other words, how do we get the right black hole entropy. This was explained above, by showing that at finite- N the number of relevant Bogoliubov modes contributing into the entropy scales precisely as N . This fact shall ensure that for finite N the integral over \mathcal{A} gets reduced to a discrete sum over $\sim 2^N$ final micro-states. This provides the needed compensation for the suppression factor e^{-N} .

7 Conclusions

The goal of this paper was to explicitly identify a candidate symmetry that in the geometric description can account for the existence of the degenerated black hole (micro)states, which give rise to black hole entropy. The information stored in these states is a manifestation of the black hole hair. From the microscopic quantum theory the existence of such a hair was concluded some time ago [3–9], but its precise geometric description has not been found so far.

In [17], using the view of Minkowski space as of infinite-mass limit of a black hole, this symmetry was identified with BMS symmetry of Minkowski space. Minkowski space was described as a coherent state with infinite occupation number of infinite-wavelength gravitons. The symmetry that connects the inequivalent Minkowski vacua changes the occupation number of these soft gravitons in the coherent state. These gravitons play the role of Goldstone bosons that carry infinite entropy of Minkowski space.

In this paper, we have suggested that the right candidate for such a symmetry for a finite-size black hole is the transformation corresponding to the quotient $\mathcal{A} \equiv \text{BMS}^{\mathcal{H}}/\text{BMS}^-$. Geometrically, we have identified the supertranslations on the black hole horizon as being area-preserving transformations on the two-sphere. We have performed various consistency checks showing that this symmetry indeed passes all the tests for describing the geometric limit of an underlying quantum critical degeneracy of black hole micro-states.

In particular, we showed that the variations of the black hole metric, $\delta_{\chi}g_{\mu\nu}$, induced by \mathcal{A} , are classically gapless, as they do not change the ADM mass of the metric. Classically, these gapless excitations can be interpreted as the Goldstone field (parameterized by an arbitrary function $f(\vartheta, \varphi)$) that connects the degenerate black hole ground-states. In the quantum language, these excitations correspond to the Bogoliubov excitations that give rise to the black hole micro-states. In short, we have identified some clear hints for the quantum criticality of the Schwarzschild-geometry.

In the classical limit, the hair and the entropy carried by these gapless modes is infinite and information cannot be resolved during any finite time. Thus, for the information-recovery the quantum effects are crucial.

We have then showed, confirming the suggestion of the previous work [17], that in quantum theory, the gap is necessarily generated due to $\frac{1}{N}$ -effects. Simultaneously, the number of independent modes that contribute into the entropy counting becomes finite and scales as N . This matches the black hole entropy scaling.

We have argued that in gravity boundary null-surfaces are equipped with data that qualify as classical ground-states. In quantum picture these ground-states can be described

as coherent states composed out of soft background modes and are related by the action of the asymptotic symmetry groups. Once the non-zero interaction among the background quanta is taken into the account, the degeneracy is expected to be lifted and the majority of the ground-states becomes unstable. This will manifest in radiation coming from the null boundary in a perfectly unitary form. Of course, as argued in [17], this effect is absent for Minkowski space, since the constituent quanta have infinite wave-lengths, and correspondingly, they have vanishing quantum gravitational coupling.

Finally, let us make a comment that should help the reader to catch the essence of the transformation \mathcal{A} and the key difference of our result from the recent analysis in [43]. For this note that the analog of \mathcal{A} in case of $U(1)$ -gauge theory would be trivial. In this case one can easily observe that any large gauge transformation on \mathcal{H} can be compensated by the corresponding one on \mathcal{S}^- , leading to a trivial \mathcal{A} , i.e., equal to the identity. The obvious reason for this is that in the gauge case, contrary to what we have shown is happening in the case of gravity, the large gauge transformations have no explicit dependence on the geometrical data defining the horizon. In other words, in the gauge case the Goldstone bosons associated with large gauge transformations are always S -matrix soft photons and the only possibility to use them as some form of horizon hair is by implementing (or implanting in the sense of [43]) soft photons on the horizon. This is due to the fact that for the case of electro-magnetic large gauge transformation \mathcal{A} is trivial.

For gravity and its BMS transformations the situation is dramatically different in two important senses. First, the quotient between the transformations on \mathcal{S}^- and \mathcal{H} is already non-trivial on the basis of the geometry and secondly this quotient defines physical transformations. Note again the important difference with the gauge case where, if we use two different gauge shift functions (denoted in [43] by ϵ) on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{S}^- , the difference becomes a *gauge redundancy*.

As we have lengthly discussed along the paper, the non-triviality of \mathcal{A} in the case of gravity is what allows us to identify the purely-gravitational candidates to account for the black hole entropy, or equivalently, the purely-gravitational hair. A hair that is soft, but relative not to a notion of S -matrix softness, but to the intrinsic notion of softness (existence of gapless modes) determined by the black hole criticality. In this sense, the \mathcal{A} -Goldstones (generated by the vector χ_μ) can be viewed as the Stückelberg fields [41] that manifest physicality of this transformation. Note that in the case of charged black holes the explicit dependence of \mathcal{A} on the charge (or charges) of the black hole appears through the geometric dependence of \mathcal{A} on the gravitational radius.

A potentially-important general lesson we can extract from our findings is that what characterizes the ability of a null-surface \mathcal{H} to work as holographic screen, is the “rank” of the corresponding $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ioannis Bakas and Alexander Gußmann for many discussions. We also thank Nico Wintergerst and Sebastian Zell for discussions on related topics. The work of G.D. was supported by Humboldt Foundation under Alexander von Humboldt Professorship,

by European Commission under ERC Advanced Grant 339169 “Selfcompletion” and by TRR 33 “The Dark Universe”. The work of C.G. was supported in part by Humboldt Foundation and by Grants: FPA 2009-07908, CPAN (CSD2007-00042) and by the ERC Advanced Grant 339169 “Selfcompletion”. The work of D.L. was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant 32004 “Strings and Gravity” and also by TRR 33.

A Minkowski space as the near horizon geometry of the Schwarzschild metric

Let us derive the near horizon limit of the Schwarzschild black hole. The well-known black hole metric has the form

$$ds^2 = -(1 - r_S/r)dt^2 + (1 - r_S/r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2. \tag{A.1}$$

We now introduce the coordinate $\epsilon = r - r_S$ and for small ϵ we obtain the metric in the near horizon limit

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\epsilon}{r_S}dt^2 + \frac{r_S}{\epsilon}dr^2 + r_S^2d\Omega^2. \tag{A.2}$$

Next we introduce two new coordinates,

$$\rho = \sqrt{r_S\epsilon}, \quad \omega = \frac{t}{r_S}, \tag{A.3}$$

and we take the large N limit

$$N \rightarrow \infty, \quad r_S \rightarrow \infty, \quad M \rightarrow \infty. \tag{A.4}$$

such that ρ and ω are finite for small ϵ reps. for large t . In this limit the entropy as well as mass and horizon become infinitely large.

Then the near horizon metric finally becomes:

$$ds^2 = -\rho^2d\omega^2 + d\rho^2 + \sum_{i=2,3} dx^i dx_i. \tag{A.5}$$

This is the metric of $M^{1,1} \times R^2$, where $M^{1,1}$ is the 2-dimensional Minkowski space in Rindler coordinates ω and ρ . These are related to the flat Euclidean coordinates as

$$t = \rho \sinh \omega, \quad x_1 = \rho \cosh \omega. \tag{A.6}$$

In Rindler space the horizon \mathcal{H} of the black hole is at $\rho = 0$, called the Rindler horizon, which corresponds to the two light cones, $x_1 = \pm t$, of $M^{1,1}$:

$$\mathcal{H} : R_\omega^1 \otimes R_{x_2, x_3}^2 = R_{x_1 = \pm t}^1 \otimes R_{x_2, x_3}^2. \tag{A.7}$$

In summary, in its Rindler version Minkowski space-time is just the near horizon geometry of a black hole with

$$N = M = r_S = \infty. \tag{A.8}$$

As discussed before, in this limit the black hole horizon \mathcal{H} agrees with \mathcal{I}^- . Note that the Rindler space with its horizon \mathcal{H} radiates, it is a thermal space with some Rindler temperature. However, the Rindler entropy is infinite, just as the entropy of a infinitely-massive black hole.

In summary, we are obtaining here the picture, which is in close analogy with the emergence of AdS-geometry via the branes in superstring or M-theory: four-dimensional Minkowski space $M^{1,3}$, written as $M^{1,1} \times R^2$, arises as the near horizon geometry of a Schwarzschild black hole. In analogy to the N coincident D3-branes of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ geometry, the microscopic picture of $M^{1,1} \times R^2$ is the bound state (sort of a Bose-Einstein condensate) of N graviton particles. If we push this picture, we must note that since the Schwarzschild black hole is a non-extremal object, we are really dealing with a bound state, created by the attractive gravitational force among the N graviton particles. This is in contrast to the BPS branes, which are just coincident, since the gravitational and the p -form forces among the branes are opposite and cancel each other.

This picture finally raises the question, if there exist a one-dimensional dual conformal theory living on the holographic screen of Rindler space, namely the one-dimensional Rindler cone of $M^{1,1}$, in analogy to the $SU(N)$ gauge theory on the boundary of AdS_5 . This conformal theory should be closely related to the bound states of the N -gravitons at the quantum critical point, which is also expected to be described by sort of a conformal theory of gapless modes.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking, *Black hole explosions*, *Nature* **248** (1974) 30 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] S.W. Hawking, *Particle Creation by Black Holes*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199 [*Erratum ibid.* **46** (1976) 206] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black hole's quantum N-portrait*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **61** (2013) 742 [[arXiv:1112.3359](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black hole's 1/N hair*, *Phys. Lett. B* **719** (2013) 419 [[arXiv:1203.6575](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Quantum compositeness of gravity: black holes, AdS and inflation*, *JCAP* **01** (2014) 023 [[arXiv:1312.4795](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black hole macro-quantumness*, [arXiv:1212.0765](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] G. Dvali, C. Gomez and D. Lüster, *Black hole quantum mechanics in the presence of species*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **61** (2013) 768 [[arXiv:1206.2365](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] F. Kühnel and M. Sandstad, *Baryon number conservation in Bose-Einstein condensate black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 124028 [[arXiv:1506.08823](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black holes as critical point of quantum phase transition*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2752 [[arXiv:1207.4059](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [10] J.D. Bekenstein, *Black holes and entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 2333 [INSPIRE].
- [11] D. Flassig, A. Pritzel and N. Wintergerst, *Black holes and quantumness on macroscopic scales*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 084007 [arXiv:1212.3344] [INSPIRE].
- [12] G. Dvali, D. Flassig, C. Gomez, A. Pritzel and N. Wintergerst, *Scrambling in the black hole portrait*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 124041 [arXiv:1307.3458] [INSPIRE].
- [13] G. Dvali, A. Franca, C. Gomez and N. Wintergerst, *Nambu-Goldstone effective theory of information at quantum criticality*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 125002 [arXiv:1507.02948] [INSPIRE].
- [14] G. Dvali and M. Panchenko, *Black hole type quantum computing in critical Bose-Einstein systems*, arXiv:1507.08952 [INSPIRE].
- [15] G. Dvali and M. Panchenko, *Black hole based quantum computing in labs and in the sky*, arXiv:1601.01329 [INSPIRE].
- [16] G. Dvali, *Non-thermal corrections to Hawking radiation versus the information paradox*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **64** (2016) 106 [arXiv:1509.04645] [INSPIRE].
- [17] G. Dvali, C. Gomez and D. Lüst, *Classical limit of black hole quantum N-portrait and BMS symmetry*, *Phys. Lett. B* **753** (2016) 173 [arXiv:1509.02114] [INSPIRE].
- [18] H. Bondi, M.G.J. van der Burg and A.W.K. Metzner, *Gravitational waves in general relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **269** (1962) 21 [INSPIRE].
- [19] R.K. Sachs, *Gravitational waves in general relativity. 8. Waves in asymptotically flat space-times*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **270** (1962) 103 [INSPIRE].
- [20] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207 [INSPIRE].
- [21] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, U.S.A. (1993).
- [22] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) F15 [gr-qc/0610130] [INSPIRE].
- [23] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Symmetries of asymptotically flat 4 dimensional spacetimes at null infinity revisited*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 111103 [arXiv:0909.2617] [INSPIRE].
- [24] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *BMS charge algebra*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 105 [arXiv:1106.0213] [INSPIRE].
- [25] A. Strominger, *On BMS invariance of gravitational scattering*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 152 [arXiv:1312.2229] [INSPIRE].
- [26] T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra and A. Strominger, *BMS supertranslations and Weinberg's soft graviton theorem*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 151 [arXiv:1401.7026] [INSPIRE].
- [27] F. Cachazo and A. Strominger, *Evidence for a new soft graviton theorem*, arXiv:1404.4091 [INSPIRE].
- [28] D. Kapec, V. Lysov, S. Pasterski and A. Strominger, *Semiclassical virasoro symmetry of the quantum gravity S-matrix*, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 058 [arXiv:1406.3312] [INSPIRE].

- [29] A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, *Gravitational memory, BMS supertranslations and soft theorems*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 086 [[arXiv:1411.5745](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] S.W. Hawking, *The information paradox for black holes*, [arXiv:1509.01147](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] M. Perry, *On resolution of information loss paradox*, talk delivered at the Nordic Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stockholm, Sweden (2015).
- [32] T. Banks, *The super BMS algebra, scattering and holography*, [arXiv:1403.3420](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] E.E. Flanagan and D.A. Nichols, *Conserved charges of the extended Bondi-Metzner-Sachs algebra*, [arXiv:1510.03386](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] S.G. Avery and B.U.W. Schwab, *Burg-Metzner-Sachs symmetry, string theory and soft theorems*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 026003 [[arXiv:1506.05789](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos and D.V. Nanopoulos, *Information retention by stringy black holes*, *PoS(PLANCK 2015)089* [[arXiv:1511.01825](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] L. Donnay, G. Giribet, H.A. Gonzalez and M. Pino, *Supertranslations and superrotations at the black hole horizon*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 091101 [[arXiv:1511.08687](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] M. Blau and M. O’Loughlin, *Horizon shells and BMS-like soldering transformations*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 029 [[arXiv:1512.02858](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] A. Ashtekar, *Geometry and physics of null infinity*, [arXiv:1409.1800](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] R.L. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, *The dynamics of general relativity*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40** (2008) 1997 [[gr-qc/0405109](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] L. Brewin, *A simple expression for the ADM mass*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **39** (2007) 521 [[gr-qc/0609079](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] G. Dvali, C. Gomez and N. Wintergerst, *Stückelberg formulation of holography*, [arXiv:1511.03525](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] G. ’t Hooft, *Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity*, [gr-qc/9310026](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] S.W. Hawking, M.J. Perry and A. Strominger, *Soft hair on black holes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 231301 [[arXiv:1601.00921](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] G. Dvali, G.F. Giudice, C. Gomez and A. Kehagias, *UV-completion by classicalization*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 108 [[arXiv:1010.1415](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] G. Dvali, C. Gomez and A. Kehagias, *Classicalization of gravitons and goldstones*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 070 [[arXiv:1103.5963](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] G. Dvali, C. Gomez, R.S. Isermann, D. Lüst and S. Stieberger, *Black hole formation and classicalization in ultra-Planckian $2 \rightarrow N$ scattering*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **893** (2015) 187 [[arXiv:1409.7405](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].