Greenhouse gases in intensive agriculture: contributions of individual gases to the radiative forcing of the atmosphere.

G. Philip Robertson, Eldor A. Paul, Richard R. Harwood

Institutions: Michigan State University

Published on: 15 Sep 2000 - Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science)

Topics: Greenhouse gas, Carbon sequestration, Radiative forcing, Greenhouse effect and Carbon cycle

Related papers:

- The potential to mitigate global warming with no-tillage management is only realized when practised in the long term
- Soil organic carbon sequestration rates by tillage and crop rotation: A global data analysis
- A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States
- Net Global Warming Potential and Greenhouse Gas Intensity in Irrigated Cropping Systems in Northeastern Colorado
- Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security.

Share this paper: 

View more about this paper here: https://typeset.io/papers/greenhouse-gases-in-intensive-agriculture-contributions-of-27mz8ps2gv
Greenhouse Gases in Intensive Agriculture: Contributions of Individual Gases to the Radiative Forcing of the Atmosphere

G. Philip Robertson,1,4 Eldor A. Paul,2 Richard R. Harwood2

Agriculture plays a major role in the global fluxes of the greenhouse gases carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, and methane. From 1991 to 1999, we measured gas fluxes and other sources of global warming potential (GWP) in cropped and nearby unmanaged ecosystems. Net GWP (grams of carbon dioxide equivalents per square meter per year) ranged from 110 in our conventional tillage systems to -211 in early successional communities. None of the annual cropping systems provided net mitigation, although soil carbon accumulation in no-till systems came closest to mitigating all other sources of GWP. In all but one ecosystem, nitrous oxide production was the single greatest source of GWP. In the late successional system, GWP was neutral because of significant methane oxidation. These results suggest additional opportunities for lesening the GWP of agronomic systems.

Radiative forcing of Earth’s atmosphere is increasing at unprecedented rates, largely because of increases in the greenhouse gases CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O (1). Agriculture plays a major role in the global fluxes of each of these gases and has been promoted as a partial means for slowing further increases in radiative forcing through the potential for soil C sequestration in cropping systems under reduced tillage (2, 3) and organic (4) management regimes. Crop management also affects N₂O and CH₄ fluxes (5), and changes to these fluxes can affect the impact of agriculture on radiative forcing (6), as can the use of CO₂-producing crop subsidies such as fertilizer (7), lime, and fuel. A complete understanding of agriculture’s impact on radiative forcing requires field-level analysis of all contributors to the net global warming potential (GWP) of these systems.

During 1991–99, we measured N₂O production, CH₄ oxidation, and soil C sequestration in a replicated series of cropped and unmanaged ecosystems in the Midwest United States (8). We studied four corn-wheat-soybean rotations managed (i) with conventional chemical inputs and tillage, (ii) with conventional inputs and no tillage, (iii) with reduced chemical inputs, and (iv) organically with no chemical inputs (9). The latter two treatments included a winter legume cover crop following the corn and wheat portions of the rotations to provide nitrogen and mechanical cultivation to control weeds.

We also examined two perennial crops—alfalfa (Medicago sativa) and poplar (Populus sp.) trees—and four types of unmanaged vegetation, including a set of early successional ecosystems abandoned from agriculture in 1989, two types of mid-successional systems, and a set of late successional forested sites. One of the two mid-successional types was abandoned from agriculture around 1950, and another was established in 1959 by converting a small never-tilled woodlot to an annually mowed grassland (10). Productivity and soil properties of all sites are consistent with their management histories (Table 1).

With the exception of our conventionally managed system, all of our cropping systems accumulated soil C over the decade since their establishment. The no-till system accumulated 30 g C m⁻² year⁻¹, which is an average value for no-till rotations in the Midwest United States (11), and the organic-based systems accumulated 8 to 11 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ (Table 1). Reduced tillage is the likely cause of C sequestration in the no-till system (12); in the low-input systems, both of which were plowed and cultivated as part of their normal management regime, sequestered C is likely the result of a winter cover crop that in two of three rotation years added an additional 1 to 2 megagrams ha⁻¹ of unharvested plant biomass to soil.

The poplar and alfalfa systems added 32 to 44 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ to the soil C pool, similar to that added by the no-till system, and 60 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ was sequestered in the early successional community abandoned from agricultural practice in 1989 (Table 1). We detected minor soil C changes in the mid-successional old field (about 0.9 g C m⁻² year⁻¹) and no changes in the never-tilled successional or late successional forest communities.

We made N₂O and CH₄ flux measurements using a static chamber approach (13, 14) at weekly to monthly intervals during portions of the year when soils were not frozen. N₂O fluxes were, on average, three times higher from the annual crop and alfalfa sites than from any of the unmanaged Successional sites (3.5 ± 0.21 compared with 1.1 ± 0.05 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹; N₂O-N; Fig. 1). N₂O fluxes from the organic system (3.49 ± 0.44 g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹) were not significantly different from either the low-input (3.73 ± 0.61) or the two conventionally fertilized systems (3.35 ± 0.30 g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹). Nor did the conventionally fertilized systems differ.

Fluxes of N₂O from the no-till systems were similar or slightly higher than those under conventional tillage management (3.22 ± 0.45 compared with 3.48 ± 0.39 g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹). Spring fluxes in the fertilizer-based systems peaked after fertilization, which occurred for corn both at planting (25% of total fertilizer input) and 3 to 4 weeks later (the remaining 75%). In the low-input and organic systems, there was a less pronounced but longer lived flux associated with the decomposition of the winter-legume cover crop, similar in pattern to seasonal differences in soil nitrogen availability among these systems (16).

Rates of CH₄ oxidation did not differ among any of the cropped sites (~1.80 ± 0.06 g CH₄-C ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ on average), but were substantially different from fluxes in the forest sites (~9.17 ± 0.25 g CH₄-C ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ on average; Fig. 1). CH₄ oxidation recovered slowly after the onset of succession. Early successional sites had rates as low as the cropped sites, and rates of oxidation in mid-successional systems were only 60% of those in forest sites 50 years after abandonment.

That N₂O fluxes were similar among all annual cropping systems suggests that it is neither fertilizer per se nor tillage that leads to accelerated N₂O fluxes from cropping systems, but rather high soil nitrogen availability. This notion is corroborated by results from the alfalfa and poplar systems. Although alfalfa receives no N fertilizer nor is tilled—high productivity is maintained by internal N₂ fixation rather than fertilizer inputs—N₂O fluxes were equivalent to those from the annual crops (Fig. 1). N₂O fluxes from the poplar sites, on the other hand, were the lowest of any system measured, despite the fact that average productivity was among the highest (Table 1). In this case, low fluxes were likely the result of very conservative soil nitrogen cycling—low average, nitrate and mineral nitrogen in the fluxes.
eralizable N were 3 to 10 times lower in the poplar sites than in any of the high-N$_2$O sites (Table 1).

The difference in N$_2$O production between cropped and successional systems provides an estimate of direct background fluxes in agriculture now missing from current global flux estimates. The current Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) methodology for assessing direct N$_2$O emissions from agricultural fields (17) multiplies total N inputs (from synthetic fertilizer, manure, legumes, and crop residue) by an N$_2$O emission factor calculated as the difference between N$_2$O flux from fertilized versus unfertilized experimental plots plus a background flux equivalent to that of the unfertilized plot. The difference between the estimated background flux and the actual preagricultural flux is missing (18). In our site, the N$_2$O flux difference between the unfertilized early successional site and the late successional forest (15) would add 40% to estimates of background N$_2$O emissions, or about 20% to estimates of annual crop emissions based on IPCC emission factors alone (19). The magnitude of this increase further underscores the importance of contemporary agriculture, as suggested in recent revisions of the global N$_2$O budget (18). A 20% increase in the total flux attributed to cultivated soils in the most recent IPCC assessment (1) adds 0.7 Tg N year$^{-1}$ to the global N$_2$O flux.

We used current IPCC factors (20) to estimate the GWP for each of these systems based on contributions of individual gases. GWP provides a measure of the cumulative radiative forcing of various greenhouse gases relative to some reference gas, usually CO$_2$, over a specific time horizon, here 20 years (21). We calculated net CO$_2$ flux on the basis of changes in soil organic matter and the CO$_2$ cost of agronomic inputs—N fertilizer, lime, and fuel. Changes in soil organic matter reflect the difference between net C uptake by plants and losses of carbon from crop harvest and from the microbial oxidation of crop residues and soil organic matter (22).

The conventional tillage system exhibited a net GWP of 114 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ (Table 2). About half of this potential was contributed by N$_2$O production (52 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$), with an equivalent amount (50 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$) contributed by the combined effects of fertilizer and lime. The CO$_2$ cost of fuel use was also significant but less than that of either lime or fertilizer. No soil C accumulated in this system, nor did CH$_4$ oxidation significantly offset any GWP sources.

The net GWP of the no-till system (14 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$) was substantially lower than that of the conventional tillage system, mostly because of increased C storage in no-till soils. Slightly lower fuel costs were offset by somewhat higher lime inputs and N$_2$O fluxes. Intermediate to

---

**Table 1.** Patterns of aboveground net primary production (ANPP), soil nitrogen availability, and soil organic carbon (30) among study sites (10). Values are means (±SE) of annual ecosystem averages ($n = 8$ years), except that organic C values are 1999 means.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem management</th>
<th>ANPP (MT ha$^{-1}$·year$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>NO$_3$-N$^*$ (µg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>N mineralization potential (µg g$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Organic C$^*$ (% C)</th>
<th>Organic C$^*$ (kg m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>ΔC (g m$^{-2}$·year$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual crops (Corn-soybean-wheat rotation)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional tillage</td>
<td>9.24 (1.41)</td>
<td>6.54 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No till</td>
<td>9.19 (1.48)</td>
<td>4.74 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.06)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low input with legume cover</td>
<td>8.84 (1.39)</td>
<td>4.34 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.01)</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic with legume cover</td>
<td>7.79 (1.11)</td>
<td>3.83 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.04)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perennial crops</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa</td>
<td>8.18 (1.67)</td>
<td>2.53 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.08)</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poplar</td>
<td>10.17 (4.00)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.14)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.11)</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Successional communities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early successional</td>
<td>4.24 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.06)</td>
<td>1.54 (0.05)</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsuccessional (HT)*</td>
<td>2.60 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.61 (0.19)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsuccessional (NT)*</td>
<td>4.93 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>3.63 (0.28)</td>
<td>2.84 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late successional forest</td>
<td>5.26 (0.11)</td>
<td>1.84 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.03)</td>
<td>2.93 (0.47)</td>
<td>2.29 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HT, historically tilled; NT, never tilled. †To- 25-cm depth. ‡To- 7.5-cm depth.
the conventional and no-till systems were the low-input and organic-based systems. These latter systems also sequestered C, but soil C gains were not sufficient to offset the substantial GWP of N$_2$O production, even accounting for the lower CO$_2$ cost of N fertilizer and lime inputs in these systems. Thus, the low-input and organic-based systems had a total GWP of 41 to 63 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, which is about half the GWP of the conventional tillage system.

In contrast to the annual cropping systems, all of the perennial crops and successional communities had a net negative or neutral GWP (Table 2). The 10-year-old early successional community had the highest mitigation potential (−211 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$) owing to a high rate of soil C storage, no CO$_2$-producing agronomic activities, and very low rates of N$_2$O production. However, these rates of soil C storage did not appear to persist beyond a few decades—in our 50-year-old midsuccessional sites, soil C storage rates were about 15% of rates in the early successional sites, yielding a net mitigation potential of only −31 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$. Neither the midsuccessional never-tilled sites nor the late successional communities stored detectable amounts of soil C; in both cases, the GWP of N$_2$O production was largely offset by higher rates of CH$_4$ oxidation, yielding net GWPs close to zero.

The mitigation potential of perennial crops differed mainly as a result of agronomic inputs and N$_2$O fluxes. Alfalfa stored substantial amounts of soil C (161 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$), but almost all of these gains were offset by CO$_2$ released from lime and by N$_2$O production, leaving a net GWP of −20 g CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$. Poplars stored less soil C but in contrast to alfalfa received no lime and had very low N$_2$O fluxes, yielding a mitigation potential more than five times that of alfalfa and about half that of our early successional sites.

If all U.S. cropland (186 X 10$^6$ ha) (23) had a net GWP similar to that of our conventional tillage system, the annual CO$_2$ cost would total 0.06 Pg C equivalents. Over the most recent 6-year period for which data are available, U.S. fossil fuel emissions of 1.4 Pg C year$^{-1}$ grew at a rate of 0.02 Pg year$^{-1}$ (24). Agriculture thus plays a minor role in the GWP economy of the U.S., yet net mitigation of agricultural fluxes could offset the current annual increase in fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions.

Whole-system GWP analysis reveals a number of management options for mitigation. In our annual crop systems, the high net GWP of conventional tillage was largely neutralized by soil C storage during no-till management. C was also sequestered by the use of cover crops: Despite intensive cultivation, soil C storage in our low input and organic systems provided about one-third the mitigation benefit of no till.

But soil C storage is only half the story: In our no-till system, other GWP sources more than offset mitigation gains from soil C, suggesting other mitigation potentials. By substituting biological N$_2$ fixation for synthetic fertilizer use, for example, our organic system saved an amount of CO$_2$ equivalent to about 25% of that mitigated by no-till soil C capture. A lower need for lime in these systems doubled this effect. In cropping systems where N fertilizer use is less conservative [e.g., (25)], mitigation gains could be even greater. Efforts to minimize N$_2$O release and maximize CH$_4$ oxidation could also substantially lower the net GWP of annual cropping systems.

Many of these alternative mitigation strategies are related to tightening the nitrogen cycle of cropped ecosystems, a nontrivial challenge in light of the importance of N to crop yields. N fertilizer is not now used to sequester soil C, so reducing fertilizer use to provide greenhouse gas mitigation will require careful management of cover crops, residues, and the microbial and physical processes that regulate soil N availability (26) if high yields are to be maintained. The use of N fertilizer to sequester soil C is unlikely to result in net mitigation.

Maximum mitigation is provided by removing land from production. The strong mitigation potential of our early successional system will persist into midsuccession as carbon is also allowed to accumulate in unharvested wood (27). This option may be practical for marginal lands such as those in the U.S. Conservation Reserve Program; for producing cropland that must meet the food needs of a burgeoning global population, mitigation of agricultural impacts will better depend on policies that address multiple sources of GWP in cropping systems.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem management</th>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>N fertilizer</th>
<th>Lime</th>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>N$_2$O</th>
<th>CH$_4$</th>
<th>Net GWP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional tillage</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>No till</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>14</td>
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Although much progress has been made over the past few years regarding the involvement of the scrapie prion protein (PrPsc) in trans-
missible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) (1), the biological function of the cellular, nonpathogenic isoform of PrP, PrPc,
still remains enigmatic. PrP is an ubiquitous glycoprotein expressed strongly in neurons (2). PrP-deficient mice are viable and develop
normally, but they display minor defects that differ according to the null strain (3). In contrast, mice expressing an NH2-terminally
truncated version of PrPc in a null back-
ground show neuronal degeneration soon af-
ter birth, suggesting that PrPc may play an important role in the maintenance and/or reg-
ulation of neuronal functions (4). Recent data have focused on the copper-binding ability of PrPc (5), and an involvement of PrPc in the
regulation of the presynaptic copper concen-
tration and of synaptic transmission has been
proposed (6). The attachment of PrPc to the plasma membrane through a glycosylphos-
phatidylinositol (GPI) anchor may also be
consistent with a role in cell-surface signaling
or cell adhesion. Indeed, the 37-KD laminin
receptor binds PrPc (7).

Because PrPc may act as a cell-surface receptor,
we investigated whether signal transduction pathways coupled to PrPc after antibody-mediated cross-linking (8). Our experi-
mental strategy relies on the neuronal differentiation model 1C11 (9). The 1C11
clone is a committed neuroectodermal pro-
genitor with an epithelial morphology that lacks neuron-associated functions (9). Upon induction, 1C11 cells develop a neu-
ronal-like morphology, acquire neuronal
markers, and convert into either 1C11*5-HT
(serotonic) cells or 1C11**5-HT (norad-
rennergic) cells. The choice between the two
differentiation pathways depends on the set of inducers used (9). Within 4 days, 1C11* 5-HT cells implement a complete serotonerg-
ic phenotype, including 5-HT synthesis,
storage, transport, catabolism, as well as
three functional serotonergic receptors of the
5-HT1A, 5-HT2A, and 5-HT2B subtypes (9). The noradrenergic phenotype of
1C11**5-HT cells is complete within 12
days, with a progressive onset of catechol-
amine synthesis, storage, transport, catabo-
lim and an α1A-adrenoceptor (9). The dif-
ferentiation events involve almost 100% of
the cells and follow a well-characterized
time course.

PrPc is constitutively expressed in the
1C11 progenitor and throughout differentia-
tion (10). Thus, the 1C11 cell line offers the
opportunity to study PrPc in relation to the
onset of neuronal functions and within an
integrated neuronal context. The effects of
antibody-mediated ligation of PrPc at the cell
surface of the 1C11 progenitor or its fully
differentiated 1C11*5-HT and 1C11**5-HT
progenies were followed. PrPc cross-linking
did not induce any specific phosphoinositide
(PI) hydrolysis or nitric oxide production, nor
did it promote the activation of p21ras or
phospholipase A2 in the 1C11 cell line within
30 min of cross-linking (11). In contrast, ligation of PrPc with specific antibodies in-
duced a marked decrease in the phospholyl-
ation level of the tyrosine kinase Fyn in
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The cellular prion protein PrPc is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored cell-
surface protein whose biological function is unclear. We used the murine 1C11
neuronal differentiation model to search for PrPc-dependent signal transduction
through antibody-mediated cross-linking. A caveolin-1–dependent coupling of
PrPc to the tyrosine kinase Fyn was observed. Clathrin might also contribute to
this coupling. The ability of the 1C11 cell line to trigger PrPc-dependent Fyn
activation was restricted to its fully differentiated serotonergic or noradren-
ergenic progenies. Moreover, the signaling activity of PrPc occurred mainly at
neurites. Thus, PrPc may be a signal transduction protein.

Signal Transduction Through Prion Protein