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#### Abstract

A straight line grid embedding of a plane graph $G$ is a drawing of $G$ such that the vertices are drawn at grid points and the edges are drawn as non-intersecting straight line segments. In this paper, we show that, if a 4 -connected plane graph $G$ has at least 4 vertices on its exterior face, then $G$ can be embedded on a grid of size $W \times H$ such that $W+H \leq n, W \leq(n+3) / 2$ and $H \leq 2(n-1) / 3$, where $n$ is the number of vertices of $G$. Such an embedding can be computed in linear time.


## 1 Introduction

A straight line grid embedding of a $n$-vertex planar graph is a drawing where the vertices are located at distinct grid points, and the edges are represented by straight line segments. Such embeddings on reasonably small grids are very useful in visualizing planar graphs on graphic screens [5]. Wagner [19], Fáry [6], and Stein [17] independently showed that every planar graph has a straight line embedding. Since then, many embedding algorithms have been reported (e.g. $[18,1]$ ). The earlier algorithms all suffer two serious drawbacks, as noted in [7]. First, they require high-precision real arithmetic, and therefore cannot be used even for a graph of moderate size. Second, in the drawings produced by these algorithms, the ratio of the largest distance to the smallest distance between vertices are so large (exponential in $n$ ) that it is very difficult to view those drawings on graphic screens. In view of these drawbacks, Rosenstiehl and Tarjan [12] posed the problem of computing a straight line embedding on a grid of polynomial size. Schnyder [14] proved that every planar graph has a straight line embedding on a $(2 n-4) \times(2 n-4)$ grid. Independently, de Fraysseix, Pach, and Pollack showed that every planar graph has an embedding on a $(2 n-4) \times$ $(n-2)$ grid, which can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time [7]. The running time of their algorithm was improved to $O(n)$ [4]. Schnyder proved the existence of an embedding on an $(n-2) \times(n-2)$ grid [13] and gave an $O(n)$ time algorithm to compute such an embedding [15]. Schnyder's algorithm can be implemented in parallel in $O(\log n \log \log n)$ time with $n / \log n$ processors on a PRAM [8]. It was shown in [9] that every 3 -connected planar graph $G$ can be embedded on a $(2 n-4) \times(n-2)$ grid such that all internal faces of $G$ are convex. The grid size of such embedding is reduced to $(n-2) \times(n-2)$ in $[2,16]$.

There exists a plane graph $G$ such that, for any straight line grid embedding of $G$, each dimension of the grid needs to be at least $\lfloor 2(n-1) / 3\rfloor$ even if the other dimension is allowed to be unbounded $[7,3]$. It has been conjectured that every planar graph can be embedded on a $2 n / 3 \times 2 n / 3$ grid. This conjecture remains
open. Chrobak and Nakano showed that every planar graph has a straight line embedding on a $(\lfloor 2(n-1) / 3\rfloor) \times(4\lfloor 2(n-1) / 3\rfloor-1) \operatorname{grid}[3]$.

For the grid embedding problem, we can assume that all internal faces of $G$ are triangles. (If not, we can triangulate $G$ and remove the added edges after an embedding is obtained.) If all internal faces of $G$ are triangles, it is an internally triangulated plane graph. If the external face of $G$ is also a triangle, then $G$ is a triangulated plane graph. A non-empty triangle of $G$ is a triangle containing some vertices in its interior. In this paper we show that if $G$ is 4 -connected and has at least 4 vertices on its external face, then the above mentioned ( $2 n / 3-$ 1) $\times(2 n / 3-1)$ lower bound on grid size does not hold. We will prove:

Theorem 1. Every $n$ vertex 4-connected plane graph $G$ with at least 4 vertices on the external face has a straight line embedding on a $W \times H$ grid such that $W+H \leq n, W \leq(n+3) / 2$ and $H \leq 2(n-1) / 3$. Such an embedding can be computed in linear time.

In Theorem 1, we assume $G$ is given by its adjacency list representation, where the neighbors of each vertex are given in clockwise order of embedding. The 4 -connectivity of $G$ can be checked in linear time [10]. Every such a graph $G$ can be internally triangulated so that it has no non-empty triangles. From now on, we only consider such internally triangulated plane graphs. The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some definitions and describe a generic shift algorithm in [3], which is the basis of our algorithm. In Section 3, we present our algorithm. In section 4, we prove Theorem 1.

## 2 Preliminaries

The embedding algorithms in [7, 4, 3, 9] are based on the following concept [7].
Definition 2. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a triangulated plane graph and $\pi=v_{1}, v_{2} \ldots v_{n}$ an ordering of $V$ such that the edge ( $v_{1}, v_{2}$ ) is on the external face of $G$. Let $G_{k}$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ and $C_{k}$ be the external face of $G_{k}$. $\pi$ is called a canonical ordering of $G$ if the following hold for $k=3, \ldots, n$ :
(co1) $G_{k}$ is 2 -connected and all internal faces of $G_{k}$ are triangles.
(co2) $C_{k}$ contains the edge ( $v_{1}, v_{2}$ ).
(co3) If $k<n$, then $v_{k+1}$ is in the external face of $G_{k}$ and all neighbors of $v_{k+1}$ in $G_{k}$ belongs to $C_{k}$.
(co4) If $k<n$, then $v_{k}$ has at least one neighbor $v_{j}$ with $j>k$.
Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical ordering [7]. We will use $\prec$ to denote the linear order of the canonical ordering. Fig 1 shows a canonical ordering of $G$. By the contour of $G_{k}$ we mean its external face $C_{k}=\left(w_{1}=\right.$ $\left.v_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}=v_{2}\right)$. For a given $k(3 \leq k \leq n-1)$, let $w_{p}, \ldots, w_{q}$ be the neighbors of $v=v_{k+1}$ in $C_{k}$. When we add $v$ to $G_{k}$, the edges ( $w_{p}, v$ ) and ( $v, w_{q}$ ) become contour edges. We call $\left(w_{p}, v\right)$ a forward edge and $\left(v, w_{q}\right)$ a backward edge. All vertices and edges that disappear from the contour when we add $v$ are said to be covered by $v$. We denote $\operatorname{ind}_{v}\left(w_{i}\right)=i-p+1$ and call it the index of $w_{i}$
with respect to $v$. The in-degree $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)$ of $v$ is the number of children of $v$ in $C_{k}$, that is, $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)=q-p+1$.

A contour vertex $w_{i}(1<i<m)$ is called a valley vertex, if $w_{i-1} \succ w_{i} \prec w_{i+1}$; a peak vertex, if $w_{i-1} \prec w_{i} \succ w_{i+1}$. A vertex $v_{k+1} \neq v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ is called: forwardoriented, if $w_{p} \prec w_{p+1} \prec \ldots \prec w_{q-1} \prec w_{q}$; backward-oriented, if $w_{p} \succ w_{p+1} \succ$ $\ldots \succ w_{q-1} \succ w_{q} ;$ crossing-valley, if it covers a valley vertex $w_{r}(p<r<q)$; crossing-peak, if it covers a peak vertex $w_{r}(p<r<q)$.

We next describe a generic shift algorithm in [3] which is the basis of the algorithms in $[2,3,4,7,9]$ and our algorithm. Given $G$ with canonical ordering $\pi$, the algorithm works as follows: We add vertices one at a time according to $\pi$. At each step, the contour $C_{k}$ satisfies certain contour invariants that involve restrictions on the slopes of contour edges. When adding a vertex $v_{k+1}$, we determine its location in the grid and, if necessary, shift some vertices of $G_{k}$ to the right in order to preserve the contour invariants. We maintain a set $U(v)$ of vertices for each $v \in V . U(v)$ contains the vertices located "under" $v$ that need to be shifted whenever $v$ is shifted. The shift operation on a contour vertex $w_{j}$, denoted by shift $\left(w_{j}\right)$, is achieved by increasing the $x$-coordinate of each $u \in \cup_{i=j}^{m} U\left(w_{i}\right)$ by 1 .

## Generic Shift Algorithm:

Initially, place $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ at the points $(0,0),(2,0),(1,1)$, respectively. Let $U\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{v_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$.

Suppose $G_{k}(3 \leq k \leq n-1)$ has been embedded, and we are about to add $v=$ $v_{k+1}$. Let $w_{p}, \ldots, w_{q}$ be the children of $v$ in the contour $C_{k}$. Define: $U\left(v_{k+1}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{k+1}\right\} \cup_{i=p+1}^{q-1} U\left(w_{i}\right)$. Apply shift $\left(w_{i}\right)$ to some of $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$ (possibly none), so that afterwards there exists at least one point $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions, and that placing $v$ at ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) preserves the contour invariants.

## Definition 3. Generic shift conditions:

(gs1) $x\left(w_{p}\right) \leq x^{\prime} \leq x\left(w_{q}\right)$;
(gs2) $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is above $C_{k}$, i.e. the half line $\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \mid z \geq y^{\prime}\right\}$ does not intersect $C_{k}$; (gs3) all vertices $w_{p}, \ldots, w_{q}$ are visible from $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$.

Place $v$ at an arbitrary point ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) satisfying these conditions.
Theorem 4. [3] For all choices of shift operations and vertex coordinates, as long as (gs1), (gs2), (gs3) are satisfied, the Generic Shift Algorithm produces a correct straight line grid embedding.

## 3 Drawing Algorithm

Our algorithm crucially depends on the following theorem (proved in [10]).
Theorem 5. Let $G$ be a triangulated plane graph whose external face $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{n}\right\}$ is the only non-empty triangle. Then $G$ has a canonical ordering $\pi$ satisfying the conditions (co1), (co2), (co3), and the following:
( $\left.\operatorname{co4}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ Each $v_{k}(k \leq n-2)$ has at least two neighbors $v_{j}$ with $v_{j} \succ v_{k} ; v_{n-1}$ has one neighbor $v_{n}$ with $v_{n} \succ v_{n-1}$.

Moreover, $\pi$ can be computed in linear time.
Lemma 6. $v_{n}$ is the only crossing-peak vertex of $G$ with respect to $\pi$.
Proof. Toward a contradiction, suppose $v_{k+1}(k+1<n)$ is a crossing-peak vertex with respect to $\pi$. Let $w_{p}, \ldots, w_{q}$ be the children of $v_{k+1}$ in $C_{k}$. Then $v_{k+1}$ covers a peak vertex $w_{r}(p<r<q)$. So $v_{k+1}$ is the only neighbor of $w_{r}$ with $w_{r} \prec v_{k+1}$. This contradicts the condition (co4').

Let $G$ be an $(n-1)$ vertex internally triangulated plane graph with no nonempty triangles (obtained from triangulating a 4 -connected plane graph). In order to apply Theorem 5 to $G$, we add a new vertex $v_{n}$ in the external face $F$ and connect $v_{n}$ to all vertices on $F$ such that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{n}\right\}$ is the external face. The resulting graph $G^{+}$is called the extended graph of $G$. Since $G$ is 4 -connected, the only non-empty triangle of $G^{+}$is $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{n}\right\}$. In the following, we will discuss the embedding of $G^{+}$by using the canonical ordering $\pi$ of $G^{+}$satisfying Theorem 5 , with the understanding that $v_{n}$ needs not be embedded.

Let $n_{f}$ and $n_{b}$ denote the number of forward- and backward-oriented vertices in $G^{+}$, among $v_{4}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Since $v_{n}$ is a peak vertex, we have $n_{f}+n_{b} \leq n-4$. Without loss of generality, we assume $n_{f} \leq n_{b}$. (If not, we vertically "flip" $G^{+}$ and swap $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. A forward-oriented vertex in $G^{+}$is a backward-oriented vertex in the flipped graph and vice versa).

Direct each edge $e=(u, v)$ of $G^{+}$from $u$ to $v$, if $u \prec v$. Denote the resulting directed graph by $\overline{G^{+}}$. Our algorithm needs a special canonical ordering $\pi_{l e f t}$ of $G^{+}$obtained as follows. The first three vertices of $\pi_{l e f t}$ are $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$. Suppose the vertex $v_{k}$ of $\pi_{l e f t}$ has been defined. Consider a vertex $v$ not in $G_{k}^{+}$. If all incoming neighbors of $v$ are in the contour $C_{k}, v$ is called a candidate vertex. The incoming neighbors of a candidate vertex form a contiguous interval in $C_{k}$. Define $v_{k+1}$ of $\pi_{l e f t}$ to be the candidate vertex whose interval is the leftmost in $C_{k}$. This completes the description of $\pi_{l e f t}$. Clearly, $\pi_{l e f t}$ is a canonical ordering of $G^{+}$, which is called the leftmost canonical ordering. We use $\prec_{l e f t}$ to denote the order defined by $\pi_{l e f t}$. (Fig 1 shows the leftmost canonical ordering.)

We need the following concepts introduced in [3]. Each vertex $v\left(v \neq v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ of $G^{+}$is classified as either stable or unstable. With each $v$, we associate a sequence $D C(v)$ of vertices called its domino chain, and a vertex $\operatorname{dom}(v)$ called its dominator. They are defined as follows. For $v_{n}, D C\left(v_{n}\right)=\left(v_{n}\right)$, $\operatorname{dom}\left(v_{n}\right)$ is undefined, and $v_{n}$ is stable. Consider $v=v_{k+1}(2 \leq k \leq n-2)$. Let $u$ be the leftmost child of $v$ in $C_{k}$. Let $z$ be the vertex that covers the edge $(u, v)$. Then:

## Definition 7. Domino chain and dominator:

(dc1) If $\operatorname{ind}_{z}(v)=2$, then $D C(v)=(v), \operatorname{dom}(v)=z$ and $v$ is unstable.
(dc2) If $i n d_{z}(v) \geq 4$, then $D C(v)=(v), \operatorname{dom}(v)=z$ and $v$ is stable.
(dc3) If ind $d_{z}(v)=3$ and $D C(z)=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{i}, z\right)$, then $D C(v)=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{i}, z, v\right)$ and $\operatorname{dom}(v)=\operatorname{dom}(z)$. Also, $v$ is stable if and only if $z$ is stable.


Fig. 1. The leftmost canonical ordering and the grid embedding of $G$.

As in [3], an unstable vertex of in-degree 2 is called a room-shift vertex. The intuition is that a stable vertex can be placed above its leftmost child, while an unstable vertex must be placed at least one $x$-coordinate to the right of its leftmost child. If $v$ is a room-shift vertex, this can result in putting $v$ directly above its rightmost child $w$ and violating the contour invariants. In this case, we have to shift $w$ to the right in order to "make room" for $v$.

Example: In Fig 1, $D C(14)=(18,14)$, $\operatorname{dom}(14)=19 . D C(9)=(10,9)$; $\operatorname{dom}(9)=11 . D C(3)=(3) ; \operatorname{dom}(3)=4$.

The slope of an contour edge $e=\left(w_{i}, w_{i+1}\right)$ is denoted by slope(e). If slope $(e)=0$, then $e$ is horizontal; If $0<\operatorname{slope}(e)<+\infty$, then $e$ is upward; If slope $(e)=+\infty$ or $-\infty$, then $e$ is vertical; If $-\infty<\operatorname{slope}(e)<0$, then $e$ is downward. We are now ready to describe our algorithm. It is a version of the generic shift algorithm. Our contour invariants are as follows:

## Definition 8. Contour invariants:

(ci1) $x\left(w_{1}\right) \leq x\left(w_{2}\right) \leq \ldots \leq x\left(w_{m-1}\right) \leq x\left(w_{m}\right)$.
(ci2) Each forward edge ( $w_{i-1}, w_{i}$ ) is either horizontal, or upward, or vertical. If $w_{i}$ is unstable, then ( $w_{i-1}, w_{i}$ ) must be horizontal or upward.
(ci3) Each backward edge $\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)$ is either downward, or horizontal.
Lemma 9. Let $w_{p}, \ldots, w_{q}$ be the children of $v=v_{k+1}$ in the contour $C_{k}$. Assume the contour invariants hold. Then $x\left(w_{p}\right)<x\left(w_{p+1}\right)$. If $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)>2$ and $v$ is unstable, then $x\left(w_{p+1}\right)<x\left(w_{p+2}\right)$.

Proof. If $e_{1}=\left(w_{p}, w_{p+1}\right)$ is a backward edge, then $e_{1}$ cannot be vertical. If $e_{1}$ is a forward edge, then $w_{p+1}$ is unstable and $e_{1}$ cannot be vertical. In either case, we have $x\left(w_{p}\right)<x\left(w_{p+1}\right)$. Suppose $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)>2$ and $v$ is unstable. If $e_{2}=\left(w_{p+1}, w_{p+2}\right)$ is a backward edge, then $e_{2}$ cannot be vertical. If $e_{2}$ is a forward edge, then since $v$ is unstable and $w_{p+2}$ is the third child of $v, w_{p+2}$ is unstable. Hence $e_{2}$ cannot be vertical. In either case, $x\left(w_{p+1}\right)<x\left(w_{p+2}\right)$.

Suppose we are about to add $v=v_{k+1}(3 \leq k \leq n-2)$. Our algorithm must perform shift operation on some contour vertex (if necessary), and place
$v$ at a point $(x(v), y(v))$ satisfying the generic shift conditions and the contour invariants. This is ensured if $(x(v), y(v))$ satisfies the following:

## Definition 10. Placement requirements:

(pr1) $x(v)<x\left(w_{q}\right)$.
(pr2) $x(v) \geq x\left(w_{p}\right)$, if $v$ is stable. $x(v) \geq x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$, if $v$ is unstable.
$(\mathrm{pr} 3) y(v) \geq \max \left\{y\left(w_{p}\right), y\left(w_{q}\right)\right\}$.
(pr4) $(x(v), y(v))$ is located above $C_{k}$, as defined in (gs2) of Definition 3.
(pr5) all vertices $w_{p}, \ldots, w_{q}$ are visible from $(x(v), y(v))$.
The placement rules for $v$ depend on: (a) the in-degree of $v$; (b) $v$ is stable or unstable; (c) $v$ is forward-oriented, backward-oriented, or crossing-valley; (d) the slope of the edges covered by $v$. Let $W$ and $H$ denote the width and the height of the current grid. First consider the case $\mathrm{deg}^{-}(v)=2$.

Case (a1): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is unstable and forward-oriented, $\left(w_{p}, w_{q}\right)$ is horizontal. $v$ is placed as in Fig 2 (a1): $x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1$. If $x(v)=x\left(w_{q}\right)$ perform shift $\left(w_{q}\right) . W$ is increased by $\leq 1 . H$ is increased by $\leq 1$.

Case (a2): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is unstable and forward-oriented, $\left(w_{p}, w_{q}\right)$ is upward. $v$ is placed as in Fig 2 (a2): $\boldsymbol{x}(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{q}\right)$. If $x(v)=x\left(w_{q}\right)$ then perform $\operatorname{shift}\left(w_{q}\right) . W$ is increased by $\leq 1 . H$ is unchanged.

Case (a3): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is unstable and backward-oriented, the edge $\left(w_{p}, w_{q}\right)$ is horizontal. $v$ is placed as in Fig $2(\mathrm{a} 3): x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1$. If $x(v)=x\left(w_{q}\right)$ then perform $\operatorname{shift}\left(w_{q}\right) . W$ is increased by at most $1 . H$ is increased by at most 1 .

Case (a4a): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is unstable and backward-oriented, $\left(w_{p}, w_{q}\right)$ is downward. $v$ is placed as in Fig 2 (a4a): $x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)$. If $x(v)=x\left(w_{q}\right)$ perform $\operatorname{shift}\left(w_{q}\right) . W$ is increased by $\leq 1 . H$ is unchanged.

Case (a4b): Same as (a4a). Fig 2 (a4b) shows an alternative rule: $x(v)=$ $x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1$. If $x(v)=x\left(w_{q}\right)$ then perform $\operatorname{shift}\left(w_{q}\right) . W$ is increased by at most $1 . H$ is increased by at most 1 .

Case (a5): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is stable and forward-oriented, $\left(w_{p}, w_{q}\right)$ is horizontal. $v$ is placed as in Fig $2(\mathrm{a} 5): x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1 . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is increased by at most 1 .

Case (a6): $\mathrm{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is stable and forward-oriented, the edge ( $w_{p}, w_{q}$ ) is upward. $v$ is placed as in Fig $2(\mathrm{a} 6): x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{q}\right) . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is unchanged.

Case (a7): $\mathrm{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is stable and backward-oriented, $\left(w_{p}, w_{q}\right)$ is horizontal. $v$ is placed as in Fig $2(a 7): x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1 . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is increased by at most 1.

Case (a8a): $\mathrm{deg}^{-}(v)=2, v$ is stable and backward-oriented, $\left(w_{p}, w_{q}\right)$ is downward. $v$ is placed as in Fig 2 (a8a): $x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)$. If $x(v)=x\left(w_{q}\right)$ then perform shift $\left(w_{q}\right) . W$ is increased by $\leq 1 . H$ is unchanged.

Case (a8b): Same as (a8a). Fig 2 (a8b) is an alternative placement of $v$ : $x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)$ and $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1 . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is increased by $\leq 1$.

Next consider the case $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v) \geq 3$. By Lemma 6 and the contour invariants, $v$ 's children are embedded as a $V$-shaped polygonal line $P$. The vertex among $w_{p}$ and $w_{q}$ with larger $y$-coordinate is called the high-end vertex of $v$. Let ( $w_{i}, w_{i+1}$ )
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Fig. 2. The placement rules.
be the last downward edge in $P$. (If no such edge exists, let $w_{i}=w_{p}$ ). Let $\left(w_{j-1}, w_{j}\right)$ be the first upward or vertical edge in $P$. (If no such edge exists, let $w_{j}=w_{q}$ ). Consider any point $(x(v), y(v))$ such that:
(i) $x\left(w_{i}\right) \leq x(v)<x\left(w_{j}\right)$, if either $\left(w_{j-1}, w_{j}\right)$ or $\left(w_{j}, w_{j+1}\right)$ is vertical; $x\left(w_{i}\right) \leq$ $x(v) \leq x\left(w_{j}\right)$, if neither $\left(w_{j-1}, w_{j}\right)$ nor $\left(w_{j}, w_{j+1}\right)$ is vertical.
(ii) $y(v) \geq \max \left\{y\left(w_{p}\right), y\left(w_{q}\right)\right\}+1$, if the edge adjacent to the high-end vertex of $v$ is horizontal; $y(v) \geq \max \left\{y\left(w_{p}\right), y\left(w_{q}\right)\right\}$, if the edge adjacent to the high-end vertex of $v$ is not horizontal.

It is easy to see that such a point satisfies (pr3), (pr4), and (pr5). In the following placement rules, the coordinate $x(v), y(v)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (pr1) and (pr2). Note that no shift operation is needed for any contour vertex.

Case (b1): $d e g^{-}(v) \geq 3$, the edge covered by $v$ and adjacent to the high-end vertex of $v$ is horizontal. $v$ is placed as in Fig 2 (b1) (two examples are shown): Let $y(v)=\max \left\{y\left(w_{p}\right), y\left(w_{q}\right)\right\}+1$ and determine $x(v)$ as follows. (a) $v$ is stable: Let $x(v)=x\left(w_{i}\right)$. (b) $v$ is unstable: If $w_{i}=w_{p}$, let $x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right)+1$. If $w_{i} \neq w_{p}$, let $x(v)=x\left(w_{i}\right) . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is increased by at most 1 .

Case (b2a): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v) \geq 3$; the edge covered by $v$ and adjacent to the highend vertex of $v$ is not horizontal; $w_{p}$ is the high-end vertex of $v$; and $x\left(w_{j}\right) \geq$
$x\left(w_{p}\right)+2 . v$ is placed as in Fig $2(\mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{a})$ : Let $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)$ and $x(v)=\max \left\{x\left(w_{p}\right)+\right.$ $\left.1, x\left(w_{i}\right)\right\} . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is unchanged.

Case (b2b): Same as in (b2a). Fig 2 (b2b) shows an alternative rule: Let $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1$, and $x(v)=\max \left\{x\left(w_{p}\right)+1, x\left(w_{i}\right)\right\} . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is increased by at most 1 .

Case (b3): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v) \geq 3$; the edge covered by $v$ and adjacent to the highend vertex of $v$ is not horizontal; $w_{p}$ is the high-end vertex of $v$; and $x\left(w_{j}\right)=$ $x\left(w_{p}\right)+1 . v$ is placed as in Fig 2 (b3). In this case, the first edge ( $w_{p}, w_{p+1}$ ) covered by $v$ is downward and all other edges covered by $v$ are vertical. So $v$ must be stable. Let $y(v)=y\left(w_{p}\right)+1$ and $x(v)=x\left(w_{p}\right) . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is increased by at most 1 .

Case (b4): $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v) \geq 3$; the edge covered by $v$ and adjacent to the high-end vertex of $v$ is not horizontal; $w_{q}$ is the only high-end vertex of $v . v$ is placed as in Fig 2 (b4): Let $y(v)=y\left(w_{q}\right)$. If $v$ is stable, let $x(v)=x\left(w_{i}\right)$. If $v$ is unstable, let $x(v)=\max \left\{x\left(w_{p}\right)+1, x\left(w_{i}\right)\right\} . W$ is unchanged. $H$ is unchanged.

In the cases (a4), (a8), and (b2), two alternatives are given. They are chosen as follows: Suppose that $v=v_{k+1}(k+1<n-1)$ satisfies the conditions of the rule (a4) (or (a8) or (b2), resp.) Let $u$ be the vertex that covers the edge ( $w_{p}, v$ ). Let $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ be the first, second, and third child of $u$. If the following conditions hold, we must place $v$ by using the rule (a4b), (or (a8b) or (b2b), resp.)

## Definition 11. Avoid-horizontal-forward-edge conditions:

(1) $\mathrm{deg}^{-}(u) \geq 3$;
(2) ( $w_{p}, v$ ) is the last edge covered by $u$;
(3) either of the following two conditions hold: (3a) the edge ( $z_{1}, z_{2}$ ) is upward; or (3b) $z_{3} \neq v$, the edge $\left(z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ is upward, and $y\left(z_{1}\right) \leq y\left(w_{p}\right)$.

Remark 1: The rules (a4a), (a8a) and (b2a) are the only rules that create horizontal forward edges. By using the rules (a4b), (a8b) and (b2b), this can be avoided. More precisely, if $v$ is placed by using the rules (a4a), (a8a) or (b2a), the edge $\left(w_{p}, v\right)$ is horizontal and $v$ is not a peak point (see Fig 3(1)). If $v$ is placed by using the rules ( a 4 b ), ( a 8 b ) or ( b 2 b ), the edge $\left(w_{p}, v\right)$ is either upward or vertical, and $v$ is a peak point (Fig 3 (2)).

(1)

(2)

Fig. 3. The avoid-horizoantal-forward-edge conditions.

Remark 2: By the definition of $\pi_{l e f t}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ are embedded before $v$. So the avoid-horizontal-forward-edge conditions can be checked when $v$ is embedded.

For a vertex $v$ satisfying the conditions of the rule (a4) (or (b2), respectively), if the avoid-horizontal-forward-edge conditions do not hold, then we place $v$ by using the rule (a4a) (or (b2a), respectively). For a vertex $v$ satisfying the conditions of the rule (a8), if the avoid-horizontal-forward-edge conditions do not hold, $v$ is called a free-lance vertex. A free-lance vertex can be placed by using either the rule (a8a) or (a8b). We use this freedom to adjust the height and the width of the embedding as follows. Let $n_{r}$ be the number of room-shift vertices. Let $d=(n / 2-1)-n_{r}$. We place the first (at most) $d$ free-lance vertices by using the rule (a8a). All other (if any) free-lance vertices are placed by using the rule (a8b). This completes the description of our algorithm.

Example: The graph $G$ in Fig 1 is embedded by using our algorithm. (Recall $v_{n}=19$ is not embedded). The rule used for each vertex is as indicated. The freelance vertex 9 is placed by using the rule (a8a). The vertex 11 is placed by using the rule (b2b) since it satisfies the avoid-horizontal-forward-edge conditions.

## 4 Bounding the Grid Size

Let $W$ and $H$ be the width and the height of the final grid. If $v$ is placed by using the rule (ai) $(i=1,2,3,4 a, 4 b, 5,6,7,8 a, 8 b)$ or the rule (bi) $(i=1,2 a, 2 b, 3,4)$, we call $v$ an (ai) or a (bi) vertex. Let $a_{i}$ be the number of (ai) vertices and $b_{i}$ be the number of ( $\mathrm{b} i$ ) vertices. A vertex that is the first one reaching a new $y$-coordinate is called a height-increasing vertex. A height-increasing vertex must be either an (ai) vertex (for $i=1,3,4 b, 5,7,8 b$ ), or a (bi) vertex (for $i=1,2 b, 3$ ). A height-increasing vertex of type (ai) or (bi) is called an (a'i) or a (b'i) vertex. Let $a_{i}^{\prime}$ and $b_{i}^{\prime}$ be the number of ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} i$ ) and ( $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} i$ ) vertices, respectively. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=1+a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime}+a_{5}^{\prime}+a_{7}^{\prime}+a_{8 b}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime}+b_{2 b}^{\prime}+b_{3}^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the placement of $v$ increases $W, v$ is a width-increasing vertex. A widthincreasing vertex must be an (ai) vertex for $i=1,2,3,4 a, 4 b, 8 a$. Let $a_{i}^{\prime \prime}(i=$ $1,2,3,4 a, 4 b, 8 a$ ) be the number of (ai) vertices that are not width-increasing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=2+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4 a}+a_{4 b}+a_{8 a}\right)-\left(a_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{3}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 a}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime \prime}+a_{8 a}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ are neither ( $\mathrm{a} i$ ) nor ( $\mathrm{b} i$ ) vertices and $v_{n}$ is not embedded, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4 a}+a_{4 b}+a_{5}+a_{6}+a_{7}+a_{8 a}+a_{8 b}+b_{1}+b_{2 a}+b_{2 b}+b_{3}+b_{4}=n-4 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bound on $H+W$ : From equations (1), (2), and (3), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
W+H= & 3+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4 a}+a_{4 b}+a_{5}^{\prime}+a_{6}+a_{7}^{\prime}+a_{8 a}+a_{8 b}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime}+b_{2 a}\right. \\
& \left.+b_{2 b}^{\prime}+b_{3}^{\prime}+b_{4}\right)+\left(a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime}\right)-\left(a_{6}+b_{2 a}+b_{4}\right)- \\
& \left(a_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{3}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 a}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime \prime}+a_{8 a}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\leq & (n-1)+\left(a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime}\right)-\left(a_{6}+b_{2 a}+b_{4}\right)- \\
& \left(a_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{3}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 a}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime \prime}+a_{8 a}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $D$ denote the set of (a1), (a3), (a4b) vertices; $D^{\prime}$ the set of (a'1), (a'3), ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} 4 \mathrm{~b}$ ) vertices; and $J$ the set of (a6), (b2a), (b4) vertices. Thus $\left|D^{\prime}\right|=a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime}$
and $|J|=a_{6}+b_{2 a}+b_{4}$. A vertex in $D^{\prime}$ may increase both $W$ and $H$ by 1. A vertex in $J$ increases neither $W$ nor $H$. We will show $\left|D^{\prime}\right| \leq|J|$. Define:
$K=\left\{v \mid v\right.$ is unstable and $\left(w_{p}, v\right)$ is upward, where $w_{p}$ is the leftmost child of $\left.v\right\}$
Note that $D^{\prime} \subseteq D \subseteq K$. For each $v \in K$, we define a sequence of vertices $S(v)=\left(x_{0}=v, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, u\right)$ (possibly $k=0$ ) such that:
(1) For each $i(1 \leq i \leq k), x_{i}$ is an (a2) vertex and covers the edge ( $w_{p}, x_{i-1}$ ).
(2) $u$ is either an (a6), (b4), (b2a), or (b2b) vertex and covers the edge ( $w_{p}, x_{k}$ ).
$S(v)$ is defined as follows. Start with $S=\left(x_{0}=v\right)$. Suppose that $x_{i}$ has been defined. Let $u$ be the vertex that covers the edge ( $w_{p}, x_{i}$ ), where $w_{p}$ is the leftmost child of $x_{i}$. There are three cases:

Case 1: $\mathrm{deg}^{-}(u)=2$ and $u$ is unstable. Define $x_{i+1}=u$, and continue. Note that ( $w_{p}, x_{i}$ ) is upward. By our rules, $x_{i+1}$ must be an (a2) vertex.

Case 2: $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(u)=2$ and $u$ is stable. Let $k=i$ and $u$ be the last vertex of $S(v)$ and we are done. (Since ( $w_{p}, x_{k}$ ) is upward, $u$ must be an (a6) vertex).

Case 3: $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(u) \geq 3$. Let $k=i$ and $u$ be the last vertex of $S(v)$ and we are done. Since $u$ covers the edge ( $w_{p}, x_{k}$ ) and $x_{k}$ is unstable, there are two subcases:

Case 3A: $\operatorname{ind} d_{u}\left(x_{k}\right)=2$. Since the edge ( $w_{p}, x_{k}$ ) is upward, $u$ is forwardoriented (Fig 4(1)). By the avoid-horizontal-forward-edge conditions, the last edge covered by $u$ is either upward or vertical. So $u$ is a (b4) vertex.

Case 3B: $i n d_{u}\left(x_{k}\right)=3$ and $u$ is unstable. Let $e_{1}=\left(t_{1}, w_{p}\right)$ and $e_{2}=\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ be the first and the last edge covered by $u$ (see Fig 4(2)).
(i) Suppose $\mathrm{deg}^{-}(u)>3$ and $y\left(t_{1}\right) \leq y\left(t_{2}\right)$. By the avoid-horizontal-forwardedge conditions, $e_{2}$ is upward or vertical and $t_{3}$ is the only high-end vertex of $u$. So $u$ is placed as a (b4) vertex.
(ii) Suppose $d e g^{-}(u)>3$ and $y\left(t_{1}\right)>y\left(t_{2}\right)$. If $e_{2}$ is horizontal, then $t_{1}$ is the only high-end vertex of $u$ and $e_{1}$ is not horizontal. If $e_{2}$ is upward, then neither $e_{1}$ nor $e_{2}$ is horizontal. So $u$ is either a (b2a), or (b2b), or (b4) vertex.
(iii) Suppose $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(u)=3$. Then $\left(w_{p}, x_{k}\right)=\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$. Depending on which of $t_{1}$ and $t_{3}$ is the high-end vertex of $u, u$ is either a (b2a), (b2b) or a (b4) vertex.


Fig. 4. The last vertex $u$ in the sequence $S(v)$.

Note that in $S(v)=\left\{x_{0}=v, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, u\right\}$, the vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ (if any) are uniquely determined by $v$. On the other hand, a vertex $u$ can be the last vertex of $S\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $S\left(v_{2}\right)$ for two distinct vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in K$, where $u$ satisfies Case 3A for $S\left(v_{1}\right)$ and Case 3B for $S\left(v_{2}\right)$. (See Fig 4(3)).

We construct a directed forest $F$ such that the following hold: (a) The node set of $F$ is a subset of the vertices of $G^{+}$; (b) The set of leaf nodes in $F$ is the set $D$; (c) Each non-root internal node of $F$ is a (b4) vertex, and has exactly two children; (d) Each root node of $F$ is either a (b2b) vertex or in $J$, and has exactly one child.

The forest $F$ is constructed as follows. We keep a set $Q \subseteq K$. For each $v \in Q$, we find the sequence $S(v)$ and define parent $(v)$ to be the last vertex $u$ of $S(v)$. When a vertex $v$ is put in $Q$, parent $(v)$ is identified. At any moment, for each vertex $v \in Q$, parent $(v)$ is the root of a tree. Initially, let $Q=D$.

By the remark above, at most two vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$ in $Q$ can have the same parent $u$. In this case, $u$ must be unstable and the edge ( $w_{p}, u$ ) (where $w_{p}$ is the leftmost child of $u$ ) is upward. (See Fig 4 (3)). So $u$ is a (b4) vertex and is also in $K$. In this case, we remove $v_{1}, v_{2}$ from $Q$ and put $u$ into $Q$.

Repeat this until all vertices in $Q$ have distinct parents. Now the parents of the vertices in $Q$ are the roots of the trees of the forest $F$ to be constructed. It is easy to check that $F$ satisfies all above conditions.

Consider a tree $T$ in $F$. Let $\operatorname{Leaf}(T)$ and $\operatorname{Int}(T)$ be the number of leaf nodes and internal nodes in $T$, respectively. Clearly, Leaf $(T)=\operatorname{Int}(T)$. Let $D(T), D^{\prime}(T)$, and $J(T)$ be the number of nodes in $T$ that are in $D, D^{\prime}$, and $J$, respectively. We will show $D^{\prime}(T) \leq J(T)$.

If the root of $T$ is in $J$, then $D^{\prime}(T) \leq D(T)=\operatorname{Leaf}(T)=\operatorname{Int}(T)=J(T)$. Suppose the root of $T$ is a (b2b) vertex. Let $v$ be the leaf node in $T$ that has the smallest $y$-coordinate among all leaf nodes in $T$. Then $v$ is not a heightincreasing vertex. Thus $v$ is in $D$ but not in $D^{\prime}$. Hence, $D^{\prime}(T) \leq D(T)-1=$ $\operatorname{Leaf}(T)-1=\operatorname{Int}(T)-1=J(T)$.

Since each vertex in $D^{\prime}$ corresponds to a distinct leaf node in $F$ and $D^{\prime}(T) \leq$ $J(T)$ holds for every tree $T$ in $F$, we have: $|D|^{\prime} \leq|J|$. By (4), this gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W+H \leq(n-1)-\left(a_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{3}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 a}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime \prime}+a_{8 a}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq(n-1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bound on the width $W$ : We first bound $n_{r}$ (the number of room-shift vertices). Each room-shift vertex $v$ is associated with a vertex $\operatorname{dom}(v)$. It was shown in [3] that, for two distinct room-shift vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}, \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{1}\right) \neq \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{2}\right)$. By the definition of the dominator, only the forward-oriented vertices and $v_{n}$ can be dominators of room-shift vertices. By our assumption on canonical ordering, we have $n_{f} \leq n_{b}$. Hence:

$$
n_{r}=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4 a}+a_{4 b} \leq n_{f}+1 \leq(n-4) / 2+1=n / 2-1
$$

Thus $d=(n / 2-1)-n_{r} \geq 0$. Our algorithm places at most $d$ free-lance vertices by using the rule (a8a). So $a_{8 a} \leq d$. From equation (2), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \leq 2+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4 a}+a_{4 b}\right)+a_{8 a} \leq 2+n_{r}+(n / 2-1)-n_{r}=n / 2+1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bound on the heigh $H$ : First suppose the number of free-lance vertices is at least $d=(n / 2-1)-n_{r}$. Then our algorithm places $a_{8 a}=d$ of them by using
the rule (a8a). So $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4 a}+a_{4 b}+a_{8 a}=n_{r}+d=n / 2-1$. By (2) and (5), we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H \leq(n-1)-\left(a_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{3}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 a}^{\prime \prime}+a_{4 b}^{\prime \prime}+a_{8 a}^{\prime \prime}\right)-W=(n-1)-\left(2+a_{1}+a_{2}+\right. \\
\left.a_{3}+a_{4 a}+a_{4 b}+a 8 a\right)=(n-1)-(n / 2+1)<2(n-2) / 3 \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next suppose the number of free-lance vertices is less than $d$. Then no freelance vertices are placed by using the rule (a8b). So all (a8b) vertices satisfy the avoid-horizontal-forward-edge conditions.

Let $A$ denote the set of ( $a^{\prime} 1$ ), (a'3), (a'5), (a'7), and ( $b^{\prime} 1$ ) vertices; $B$ the set of ( $\left.a^{\prime} 4 b\right),\left(a^{\prime} 8 b\right),\left(b^{\prime} 2 b\right)$ vertices; and $C$ the set of ( $b^{\prime} 3$ ) vertices. From equation (1), we have: $H=1+|A|+|B|+|C|$. For each $v \in A \cup B \cup C$, we define a vertex mate $(v)$ as follows. Consider a vertex $v \in A$. $v$ covers a horizontal edge $(x, y)$ with $y$-coordinate $y(v)-1$. (See Fig 5(1)). Define mate $(v)$ to be the vertex among $x$ and $y$ that is not a height-increasing vertex.


Fig. 5. The definition of the mate vertices.

Consider a vertex $v \in B$. Let $y$ be the first child of $v$. Let $x$ be the vertex that covers the edge $(y, v)$ (Fig $5(2)) . v$ is a peak point and $(x, v)$ is horizontal. So $x$ is not height-increasing. Define mate $(v)=x$.

Consider a vertex $v \in C$. Let $w_{p}$ and $w_{q}$ be the leftmost and the rightmost child of $v$, respectively (Fig $5(3)$ ). By the rule (b3), we have $y\left(w_{p}\right) \geq y\left(w_{q}\right)$. By the definition of $\pi_{l e f t}$, we have $w_{p}<_{l e f t} w_{q}$. Thus there is a vertex $z$ such that $z \prec_{l e f t} w_{p} \prec_{l e f t} w_{q}$ and $y(z)=y\left(w_{q}\right)$. So $w_{q}$ is not height-increasing. Define $\operatorname{mate}(v)=w_{q}$.

Define: Mate $=\{\operatorname{mate}(v) \mid v \in A \cup B \cup C\}$. From the definition, it is easy to check that: (i) If $x \in$ Mate, then $x$ is not in $A \cup B \cup C$. (ii) If $x=m a t e(v)$ for a vertex $v \in C$, then $x$ cannot be mate ( $v^{\prime}$ ) for any $v^{\prime} \neq v$. (iii) A vertex $x$ can be the mate vertex for at most two vertices: one $v \in A$ and another $v^{\prime} \in B$. Hence each vertex in Mate corresponds to at most two vertices in $A \cup B \cup C$. Thus:

$$
|A|+|B|+|C| \leq 2|M a t e|
$$

The vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{n}$ are neither in $A \cup B \cup C$ nor in Mate. Thus: $|A|+|B|+$ $|C|+|M a t e| \leq(n-4)$. So $|A|+|B|+|C| \leq 2(n-4) / 3$. From (1), this gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=1+(|A|+|B|+|C|) \leq 1+2(n-4) / 3<2(n-2) / 3 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $G^{+}$has $n$ vertices, and the original graph $G$ has $n-1$ vertices. So the bounds in (5), (6), (7), (8) imply the bounds on size in Theorem 1.
Implementation of the algorithm: The graph $G^{+}$can be constructed from $G$ in linear time. The canonical ordering $\pi$ can be computed in $O(n)$ time [10]. The leftmost canonical ordering $\pi_{l e f t}$ can be computed from $\pi$ in linear time by using the method in [11]. After $\pi_{l e f t}$ is known, the algorithm can be implemented by using the method in [3]. So the algorithm takes linear time. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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