The CoRoT space mission: early results

# Ground-based observations of the $\beta$ Cephei CoRoT main target HD 180 642: abundance analysis and mode identification<sup>\*</sup>

M. Briquet<sup>1</sup>, K. Uytterhoeven<sup>2,3,\*\*</sup>, T. Morel<sup>1,4</sup>, C. Aerts<sup>1,5</sup>, P. De Cat<sup>6</sup>, P. Mathias<sup>7</sup>, K. Lefever<sup>1,8</sup>, A. Miglio<sup>4</sup>, E. Poretti<sup>2</sup>, S. Martín-Ruiz<sup>9</sup>, M. Paparó<sup>10</sup>, M. Rainer<sup>2</sup>, F. Carrier<sup>1</sup>, J. Gutiérrez-Soto<sup>11</sup>, J. C. Valtier<sup>7</sup>, J. M. Benkő<sup>10</sup>, Zs. Bognár<sup>10</sup>, E. Niemczura<sup>1,12</sup>, P. J. Amado<sup>9</sup>, J. C. Suárez<sup>9</sup>, A. Moya<sup>9</sup>, C. Rodríguez-López<sup>9,13,14</sup>, and R. Garrido<sup>9</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Instituut voor Sterrenkunde, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200 D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium e-mail: maryline@ster.kuleuven.be
- <sup>2</sup> INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via E. Bianchi 46, 23807 Merate, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Calle via Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, TF, Spain
- <sup>4</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique et de Géophysique de l'Université de Liège, Allée du 6 Août 17, 4000 Liège, Belgium
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Astrophysics, University of Nijmegen, IMAPP, PO Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- <sup>6</sup> Koninklijke Sterrenwacht van België, Ringlaan 3, 1180 Brussel, Belgium
- <sup>7</sup> UMR 6525 H. Fizeau, UNS, CNRS, OCA, Campus Valrose, 06108 Nice Cedex 2, France
- <sup>8</sup> BIRA-IASB, Ringlaan 3, 1180 Brussel, Belgium
- <sup>9</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC), Apdo. 3004, 18080 Granada, Spain
- <sup>10</sup> Konkoly Observatory, PO Box 67, 1525 Budapest, Hungary
- <sup>11</sup> GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, place Jules Janssen, 92195 Meudon Cedex, France
- <sup>12</sup> Instytut Astronomiczny Wrocławski, Kopernika 11, 51–622 Wrocław, Poland

<sup>13</sup> Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Toulouse-Tarbes, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 31400 Toulouse, France

<sup>14</sup> Universidade de Vigo, Departamento de Física Aplicada, Campus Lagoas-Marcosende, 36310 Vigo, Spain

Received 10 March 2009 / Accepted 17 May 2009

## ABSTRACT

The known  $\beta$  Cephei star HD 180 642 was observed by the CoRoT satellite in 2007. From the very high-precision light curve, its pulsation frequency spectrum could be derived for the first time (Degroote and collaborators). In this paper, we obtain additional constraints for forthcoming asteroseismic modeling of the target. Our results are based on both extensive ground-based multicolour photometry and high-resolution spectroscopy. We determine  $T_{\text{eff}} = 24500 \pm 1000$  K and  $\log g = 3.45 \pm 0.15$  dex from spectroscopy. The derived chemical abundances are consistent with those for B stars in the solar neighbourhood, except for a mild nitrogen excess. A metallicity  $Z = 0.0099 \pm 0.0016$  is obtained. Three modes are detected in photometry. The degree  $\ell$  is unambiguously identified for two of them:  $\ell = 0$  and  $\ell = 3$  for the frequencies  $5.48694 \, d^{-1}$  and  $0.30818 \, d^{-1}$ , respectively. The radial mode is non-linear and highly dominant with an amplitude in the U-filter about 15 times larger than the strongest of the other modes. For the third frequency of 7.36673  $d^{-1}$  found in photometry, two possibilities remain:  $\ell = 0$  or 3. In the radial velocities, the dominant radial mode presents a so-called stillstand but no clear evidence of the existence of shocks is observed. Four low-amplitude modes are found in spectroscopy and one of them, with frequency  $8.4079 \, d^{-1}$ , is identified as  $(\ell, m) = (3, 2)$ . Based on this mode identification, we finally deduce an equatorial rotational velocity of  $38 \pm 15 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ .

Key words. stars: abundances - stars: early-type - stars: oscillations - stars: individual: HD 180 642

# 1. Introduction

The  $\beta$  Cephei stars are a homogeneous group of oscillating B0–B3 stars that have been studied as a class for more than a century. Stankov & Handler (2005) compiled an overview of the

observational properties of this group of stars. The oscillations of  $\beta$  Cephei stars are explained in terms of the  $\kappa$  mechanism that operates on iron-peak elements (Dziembowski & Pamyatnykh 1993; Gautschy & Saio 1993). Given that mainly low-degree low-order pressure and gravity modes are observed, these stars are good potential targets for in-depth asteroseismic studies of the interior structure of massive stars.

In principle, these studies would allow us to calibrate the evolutionary models across the entire main sequence, although most  $\beta$  Cephei stars seem to be observed while in the second part of their main-sequence lifetime (Stankov & Handler 2005). Moreover, theory predicts the occurrence of stellar oscillations in B stars after the main sequence (Saio et al. 2006) and oscillations in such stars have indeed been found (Lefever et al. 2007).

Asteroseismic studies have been accomplished for 5  $\beta$  Cephei stars only (see Aerts 2008, for a review). These studies

<sup>\*</sup> Based on data gathered with the 1.2m Mercator telescope Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma, the 90cm telescope at Sierra Nevada Observatory, Spain, the 1.5 m telescope at San Pedro Mártir Observatory, Mexico, the 1m RCC and 50 cm telescope at the Piszkéstető Mountain Station of Konkoly Observatory, Hungary, the 2.2 m ESO telescope (ESO Programme 077.D-0311; ESO Large Programme 178.D-0361) at La Silla, Chile, the 1.93 m and 1.52 m telescopes at the Haute-Provence Observatory, France.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Current address: Laboratoire AIM, CEA/DSM-CNRS-Université Paris Diderot; CEA, IRFU, SAp, centre de Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

were based on intensive ground-based observing campaigns and led, for the first time, to constraints on the core overshoot and internal rotation of massive B-type stars. Despite these successes, we clearly need to increase the number of asteroseismically determined models for these types of stars. This will become especially important in the near future to fully exploit the data becoming available from ongoing dedicated ground-based observing campaigns (see Uytterhoeven 2009 for a review) as well as ongoing space missions such as MOST, CoRoT and Kepler.

The B 1.5 II-III star HD 180 642 (V1449 Aql, HIP 94 793, V = 8.29 mag) was discovered as a candidate  $\beta$  Cephei star during the Hipparcos mission by Waelkens et al. (1998). Afterwards, Aerts (2000) used Geneva photometry to identify its dominant mode as a radial one, confirming it to be a  $\beta$  Cephei star. Moreover, HD 180 642 is among known  $\beta$  Cephei stars of the highest amplitude. Its peak-to-peak V amplitude in the Geneva filter is 0.078 mag. For comparison, the mean value for the  $\beta$  Cephei stars in the catalog of Stankov & Handler (2005) is ~0.025 mag, for visual filters.

Our studied target was observed by the CoRoT satellite during a long run (156 days) between May and October 2007. We note that it is the only known  $\beta$  Cephei star in CoRoT's core programme. A detailed modeling and interpretation of the CoRoT data is presented in Degroote et al. (2009). These latter authors modelled this very high-precision light curve with 11 independent frequencies and 22 low-order sum and difference combination frequencies. Nine of the independent frequencies are in the range expected for  $\beta$  Cephei stars, between 5 and 9 d<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover, 5 of the 33 frequencies are in the regime of high-order g modes with frequencies below 2 d<sup>-1</sup>.

To complement the space white-light data, we conducted a project to collect ground-based multicolour photometry and high-resolution spectroscopy for selected primary and secondary CoRoT targets, and we refer to Poretti et al. (2007) and Uytterhoeven et al. (2008) for a description of this campaign. In this paper, we present the results of the analysis of the groundbased datasets for HD 180 642. We aim to determine the fundamental parameters and chemical abundances of the object and to derive the wavenumbers  $(\ell, m)$  of its low-amplitude modes, which are also detected in our ground-based measurements.

The paper is divided into two parts. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to photometry and spectroscopy, respectively. In both sections, we first describe our observations and data reduction. Afterwards, we describe our frequency analysis followed by our mode identification. In the spectroscopic part, we also present an abundance analysis. We end the paper with a summary of our results in Sect. 4.

## 2. Photometry

#### 2.1. Data description

The star was monitored with the 1.2 m Mercator telescope (MER) at La Palma in the framework of a long-term photometric monitoring programme dedicated to pulsating stars. For our target, we completed observing campaings of Geneva seven-colour (UB<sub>1</sub>BB<sub>2</sub>V<sub>1</sub>VG) high-precision photometry during two seasons (2002, 2005) with 80-day and 57-day durations, respectively. The star was observed a few times every clear night. In total, we used 171 7-colour Geneva measurements with a time span of 1184 days. Aerts (2000) had already obtained 20 datapoints of the star with the same instrument attached to the 0.7 m Swiss telescope at La Silla.

With the goal of gathering additional measurements with a higher sampling per night, HD 180642 was observed with the twin Danish six-channel  $uvby\beta$  photometers attached to the 90cm telescope at Sierra Nevada Observatory (SNO), Spain, and at the 1.5 m telescope at San Pedro Mártir Observatory (SPMO), Mexico. All the data were collected in the four Strömgren uvby filters. At SNO, a total of 222 high quality datapoints were collected during 1 night in July 2004, 5 nights in June 2005, and 6 clear nights in July 2005. At SPMO, our target was observed during 4 nights in July 2004, resulting in a total of 113 data points.

Johnson V measurements were also obtained with the 50 cm telescope at the Piszkéstető Mountain Station of Konkoly Observatory (KO) during 2 nights in September 2004 and 3 nights in July 2005. Since the V data showed many scattered points, we removed all data deviating by more than 0.05 mag from the fit with the dominant frequency (see Sect. 2.2). We retained a total of 84 datapoints for the analysis. The comparison star used at all sites was HD 181 414 (V = 7.068 mag, A2).

During a total of 5 nights in July and August 2006, HD 180 642 was also observed in Johnson V filter with a Princeton Instruments VersArray 1300B CCD detector attached to the 1m RCC telescope (f/13.5) at KO. The data were binned such that the total integration time of the binned datapoints was about 3 min. In total, we retained 261 datapoints. Since the same comparison stars were monitored not every night in the CCD frame, we reconstructed relative magnitude differences using common bright targets.

Finally, we used the Johnson V ASAS publically available photometry from Pigulski & Pojmański (2008). We do not include the Hipparcos photomety in our analysis because the frequency value of the dominant mode (5.48709(3) d<sup>-1</sup>) in these earlier observations, differs significantly from the values derived in the other photometric measurements (see Sect. 2.2). A summary of the datasets used is listed in Table 1.

#### 2.2. Frequency analysis

We first searched for frequencies in all filters of the individual datasets, using the **SCARGLE** (Scargle 1982) method and the leastsquares power spectrum method (Vaníček 1971). These methods produced identical results within their respective uncertainties, so we list only the outcome obtained for the **SCARGLE** periodograms. In all datasets and filters, we confirm the dominant frequency. The value of  $f_1$  found in each separate dataset is given in the last column of Table 1, where the uncertainty in the last digit is given in parenthese. This frequency uncertainty was calculated to be  $\sigma_f = \sqrt{(6)}\sigma_{\text{std}}/\pi \sqrt{(N)}A_f\Delta T$  (Montgomery & O'Donoghue 1999), where  $\sigma_{\text{std}}$  is the standard deviation of the final residuals,  $A_f$  the amplitude of the frequency f, and  $\Delta T$  the total timespan of the observations.

To increase the frequency precision, we combined datasets for given filters whenever possible. We merged the SPMO and SNO Strömgren data (termed Strömgren hereafter), and constructed ultraviolet, blue, and visual ground-based light curves (U, B, V hereafter) by merging the Geneva U and Strömgren u, B and v, and V and y data, respectively. To combine the data, we calculated the preliminary frequency solution of the individual subsets, checked that the amplitudes of the dominant mode in the Geneva/Strömgren U/u, B/v and V/y are the same within the errors, and realigned the subsets at the same mean brightness level. For a description of the procedure, we refer to Uytterhoeven et al. (2008, their Sect. 2.1). We note that in the SNO dataset it was also necessary to align the data obtained in 2004 with the data taken in 2005.

We also constructed a more extensive visual band light curve (termed V+ hereafter) by also adding the KO Johnson V data

Table 1. Logbook of the photometric observations of HD 180 642.

Observatory	Ν	$\Delta T$	HJD begin	HJD end	Filter(s)	$f_1$
La Silla	20	186.7	50 562.8	50749.6	$UB_1BB_2V_1VG$	5.4870(2)
La Palma	171	11 83.8	52 421.6	53605.4	$UB_1BB_2V_1VG$	5.48693(1)
SPMO	113	5.3	53 192.7	53 198.0	u, v, b, y	5.494(3)
SNO	222	359.2	53 205.4	53 564.6	u, v, b, y	5.48693(3)
KO	84	319.2	53 260.3	53 579.5	Johnson V	5.49(1)
KO CCD	261	26.1	53 921.4	53 947.5	Johnson V	5.4858(3)
ASAS	363	2797.6	51 979.9	54777.5	Johnson V	5.486915(7)
Strömgren	335	371.9	53 192.7	53564.6	u, v, b, y	5.48693(2)
U	526	3042.6	50 562.8	53 605.4	U, <i>u</i>	5.48695(2)
В	526	3042.6	50 562.8	53 605.4	B, <i>v</i>	5.486947(2)
V	526	3042.6	50 562.8	53 605.4	V, <i>y</i>	5.486944(2)
V+	1234	4214.7	50 562.8	54777.5	V, <i>y</i> , <i>V</i>	5.486938(5)

The number N of datapoints retained for the analysis, the total timespan  $\Delta T$  (in days) and the available filters are given. The last column gives the value of the dominant frequency (see Sect. 2.2) expressed in d<sup>-1</sup> with its error between brackets. The HJD is given with respect to  $HJD_0 = 2400\,000$ . Strömgren refers to merged SPMO and SNO Strömgren data. The merged Geneva U and Strömgren u, B and v, and V and y data, are denoted as U, B, V data, respectively. The V+ dataset corresponds to the V data to which the KO Johnson V data and ASAS Johnson V data are also added.



Fig. 1. Phase diagrams for the ultraviolet, blue, and visual merged datasets U, B, V+ (dots) of HD 180 642 folded according to the dominant frequency  $f_1 = 5.48694 \,d^{-1}$ . The full gray lines are leastsquares fits including  $f_1$ ,  $2f_1$  and  $3f_1$ .

and the ASAS Johnson V data to the Geneva V and Strömgren y data. The results for the dominant frequency of these merged sets are also listed in Table 1. The refined value of the dominant frequency for each of U, B, V, and V+ is  $f_1 = 5.48694 \text{ d}^{-1}$ .

A harmonic fit to the merged U, B, V light curves is shown in Fig. 1 and infers the prominent presence of harmonics of  $f_1$ . The harmonics of  $f_1$  were also derived by a frequency analysis of the residual light curves after prewhitening with  $f_1$ . We found that  $4f_1$  no longer has a significant amplitude.

In the periodograms of the residuals of the individual and merged datasets, the highest power occurs at low frequency between 5 and 9 d<sup>-1</sup>. In the individual datasets, none of the candidate frequencies reaches a high enough amplitude to be significant, where we adopt the criterion derived empirically by Breger et al. (1993) and studied further by Kuschnig et al. (1997), who studied the variability of HST guide-stars. They concluded that a peak reaching at least 4 times the noise level in the periodograms has only a 0.1% chance of having been produced by noise. These results were confirmed by De Cat & Cuypers (2003) for the case of g-mode pulsations studied with single-site data. The noise level in Fourier space must be computed at each prewhitening stage, after checking how the noise level had decreased because of prewhitening.

In the combined Strömgren and ultraviolet datasets, however, we found evidence of two additional significant frequencies. In the Strömgren dataset, we detect  $0.2965 \pm 1 d^{-1}$ and  $7.3697 d^{-1}$ , while the ultraviolet data infer the frequencies 1.30818 ± 1 d<sup>-1</sup> and 7.36673 d<sup>-1</sup>. Similar frequencies are also present in the blue and visual datasets, but are of low amplitude. The results from the spectroscopic analysis (see Sect. 3.3) enable us to identify the correct alias peak, and we accept the following additonal frequencies from the photometric data of HD 180 642:  $f_2^p = 0.30818(5) d^{-1}$  and  $f_3^p = 7.36673(7) d^{-1}$ . Figure 2 shows the **SCARGLE** periodograms calculated from the combined Geneva U and Strömgren *u* data (ultraviolet). The residual data (bottom part Fig. 2) still show signs of frequencies at low amplitude, but to detect them we would need highaccuracy data of longer time span. The U, B, and V datasets, after prewhitening with  $f_1, 2f_1$ , and  $3f_1$ , folded with  $f_2^p$  and  $f_3^p$  are shown in Fig. 1. The amplitudes and phases for  $f_1, 2f_1, 3f_1, f_2^p$ , and  $f_3^p$  of the leastsquares fit to the merged U, B, and V+ light curves of HD 180 642 are listed in Table 2.

Within their estimated errors, neither  $f_2^p$  nor  $f_3^p$  is detected in the CoRoT light curve. The frequency values found in the space photometry are  $f_2^{c_1} = 0.29917(9)d^{-1}$  and  $f_3^{c_1} = 7.3586(2)d^{-1}$ (Degroote et al. 2009). In addition, after prewhitening the main peaks, the frequencies  $f_2^{c_2} = 0.3204(3) d^{-1}$  and  $f_3^{c_2} = 7.3744(3)d^{-1}$  are significant in the CoRoT periodogram. We point out that  $f_2^p \sim (f_2^{c_1} + f_2^{c_2})/2$  and  $f_3^p \sim (f_3^{c_1} + f_3^{c_2})/2$ . Both sets of three close frequencies cannot be interpreted as rotational multiplets since it would require a configuration such that the central peak is seen in the ground-based data and not in the space data. We also exclude the possibility of them

Freq.	U & <i>u</i>		B & v		V & y & V	
$(d^{-1})$	Ampl	Phase	Ampl	Phase	Ampl	Phase
	(mmag)	(rad)	(mmag)	(rad)	(mmag)	(rad)
$f_1 = 5.48694$	82.7(7)	2.884(9)	48.1(5)	2.88(1)	38.1(5)	2.86(1)
$2f_1 = 10.97388$	8.2(7)	1.48(9)	6.6(5)	1.52(8)	5.3(5)	1.4(1)
$3f_1 = 16.46082$	4.3(7)	2.0(2)	4.3(5)	2.1(1)	3.6(5)	1.9(2)
$f_2^p = 0.30818$	4.9(7)	0.9(2)	3.1(5)	1.1(2)	1.6(5)	0.9(4)
$f_3^p = 7.36673$	4.5(7)	5.4(2)	3.1(5)	5.4(2)	2.2(5)	5.7(3)
Var. Red.	96.	.6%	95.	3%	81.8	8%
Residual rms (mmag)	11	1.4	7.	.9	12	.9

Table 2. Amplitudes and phases of the leastsquares fit to the merged U, B and V+ light curves of HD 180 642.

Errors in units of the last digit are given in brackets. The amplitude is expressed in millimag, and the phase is given in radians. The adopted reference epoch for  $\phi = 0.0$  corresponds to HJD 2 447 963.2564. For each combined dataset the total variance reduction of the quintu-periodic model and the root mean square (rms) of the residuals is reported.



**Fig. 2. SCARGLE** periodograms calculated from the combined Geneva *U* and Strömgren *u* data. The uppermost panel shows the spectral window of the data. All subsequent panels show the periodograms at different stages of prewhitening. *From top to bottom*: periodogram of the observed light variations, of the data prewhitened with  $f_1$  and its two harmonics  $2f_1$  and  $3f_1$ , of the data subsequently prewhitened with  $f_2^p$ , and of the data subsequently prewhitened with  $f_2^p$ . The three frequencies found are indicated by dashed gray lines. The light gray lines indicate the 4 signal-to-noise (S/N) level. It is computed as the average amplitude over a frequency interval with a width of 2 d<sup>-1</sup> in an oversampled **SCARGLE** periodogram obtained after final prewhitening. The highest peak in the third panel corresponds to a one-day alias  $(1 + f_2^p)$  of the true frequency  $f_2^p$  (see text).

being independent frequencies coincidentally close to each other with a largely varying beat phenomenon because it is unlikely to happen twice. Another possibility is that these close frequencies are attributed to one and the same mode. This interpretation is only plausible if both the error estimates of frequencies are largely underestimated and the assumption of constant amplitude and phase during prewhitening of  $f_2^{c_1}$  and  $f_3^{c_1}$  from the CoRoT light curve produces an artificial additional frequency peak close by. The analysis of the very high-precision CoRoT light curve indeed showed that the amplitudes, the phases, and even the frequencies themselves,  $f_2^{c_1}$  and  $f_3^{c_1}$ , are not necessarily constant in time (Degroote et al. 2009), while constant phases and amplitudes for each frequency are traditionally assumed to estimate the values of these quantities and their errors as well as to prewhiten. It is unclear if this variability in the amplitudes, phases, and/or frequencies could be linked to the changing position of the satellite with respect to the Sun in its 5-month orbit or modes of truly time-variable behaviour. In any case, our groundbased photometry is not accurate enough to observe any amplitude and phase variations with time. Its time base (3043 days) is much longer than the time base of the CoRoT observations (156 days). We consequently interpret the two triple frequency sets each being caused by a single oscillation mode, and we keep the ground-based frequency values to perform the mode identification from these data.

# 2.3. Mode identification

To identify the modes, we applied the usual method of photometric amplitude ratios, using the formalism of Dupret et al. (2003). This technique has already been successfully applied to the pressure modes in  $\beta$  Cephei stars (e.g. Aerts et al. 2006) and to the gravity modes in slowly pulsating B stars (De Cat et al. 2007).

We computed the non-adiabatic eigenfunctions and eigenfrequencies required for modes with  $\ell \leq 4$  by using the code called MAD (Dupret et al. 2001). Ground-based data are currently not precise enough to detect higher degree modes since cancellation effects leave a very tight signal that is below the detection threshold. The non-adiabatic computations were performed on two grids of theoretical models for which the adopted input physics were different, as described below. All the models were computed with the Code Liégeois d'Évolution Stellaire (CLÉS, Scuflaire et al. 2008).

The first grid (grid 1 hereafter) is adopted from De Cat et al. (2007) and we refer to this paper for details of the input physics. The second grid (grid 2 hereafter) was computed using different opacity tables and different atmosphere models. For grid 2, OP opacity tables (Seaton 2005), assuming Asplund et al. (2005) metal mixtures, were chosen instead of OPAL opacity tables (Iglesias & Rogers 1996). Grey atmosphere models were used instead of Kurucz atmosphere models. No overshooting was assumed in grid 1 whereas different values of the overshooting parameter (between 0 and 0.5 local pressure scale heights) were considered in grid 2. Finally, grid 2 covers higher masses (up to 18  $M_{\odot}$ ) than grid 1 (up to 15  $M_{\odot}$ ).

The computation of grid 2 was motivated by the following reasons. Since the paper by De Cat et al. (2007), work on the



**Fig. 3.** Results of the photometric mode identification for  $f_1(top)$ ,  $f_2^p$  (*middle*) and  $f_3^p$  (*bottom*). The vertical lines indicate the observed amplitude ratios computed from the merged U, B, V+ datasets (see Table 2), with their standard error. The theoretical amplitude ratios are represented for modes of different  $\ell$ -values.

modeling of  $\beta$  Cephei stars pointed out that OP opacity tables can account for the excitation of modes observed in studied objects, while OPAL opacity tables fail (Miglio et al. 2007; Briquet et al. 2007; Dziembowski & Pamyatnykh 2008). In addition, closer agreement between theory and observations is also achieved if overshooting is present (Briquet et al. 2007; Aerts 2008).

We obtained the same conclusions regardless of the grid considered. In the following, we present our mode identification outcome for grid 2. We used the models whose stellar parameters are compatible, within a  $3\sigma$  error, with those determined from spectroscopy in Sect. 3.2:  $T_{\rm eff} = 24500 \pm 1000$  K and log  $g = 3.45 \pm 0.15$ .

The outcome for  $f_1$  is displayed in the top panel of Fig. 3. It confirms that the dominant mode is a radial one as already ascertained by Aerts (2000). To identify the  $\ell$ -values of the two observed low-amplitude modes, we then considered models only in the  $3\sigma$  error box that also fit  $f_1$  as a radial mode. The amplitude ratios of  $f_2^p$  and  $f_3^p$  are plotted in the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 3, respectively. We conclude that  $f_2^p$  is unambiguously identified as a g-mode pulsation with  $\ell = 3$ . Moreover,  $f_3^p$ corresponds to  $\ell = 0$  or 3, with a preference for  $\ell = 0$ .

It is noteworthy that a low frequency such as  $0.30818 d^{-1}$ , which corresponds to a high-order g mode, is not yet a common feature observed for most of the  $\beta$  Cephei stars. However, it is certainly not exceptional. For several of the  $\beta$  Cephei stars that have indeed been the subject of intensive observing campaigns, low frequencies have been found, e.g., in 19 Mon (Balona et al. 2002:  $0.17019 d^{-1}$ ),  $\nu$  Eri (Handler et al. 2004:  $0.43218 d^{-1}$ ), and 12 Lac (Handler et al. 2006:  $0.35529 d^{-1}$ ).

## 3. Spectroscopy

#### 3.1. Data description

In addition to the photometric datasets, we have at our disposal 262 useful (S/N > 80) high-resolution spectra gathered with three instruments. HD 180 642 was observed during 15 nights (25 June–4 July; 16–20 July 2007) with FEROS at the 2.2 m ESO/MPI telescope at La Silla and during 12 nights (13–24 June 2007) with SOPHIE at the 1.93 m telescope at OHP in the framework of the CoRoT ground-based Large Programme (Uytterhoeven & Poretti 2007; Uytterhoeven et al. 2008). Additionally, 22 Aurélie spectra at the 1.52 m telescope (OHP) and 11 FEROS spectra were already taken in 2005 and 2006 (14, 17, 19, and 22 June 2005 at OHP; 25–26 May 2006 at ESO). An overview and logbook of the spectroscopic observations are given in Table 3.

We carefully calculated the integration times that we selected to ensure a S/N ratio of about 200 near the wavelength 4550 Å, which is the position of a prominent Si III triplet well suited to our line-profile variability study (Aerts & De Cat 2003). These silicon lines are indeed sufficiently strong without being much affected by blending. Moreover, they are dominated by temperature broadening, such that the intrinsic profile can be modelled by a Gaussian. This simplifies the modeling of the line-profile variations for mode identification purposes (De Ridder et al. 2002).

We reduced the FEROS spectra using an improved version of the standard FEROS pipeline, written in MIDAS, developed by Rainer (2003). The main improvements of this pipeline concern the blaze and flat-field correction of the spectra, by using an accurate definition of the blaze function extracted from a well-exposed spectrum of a hot star. The SOPHIE spectra were

**Table 3.** Logbook of the spectroscopic observations of HD 180 642 obtained between June 2005 and July 2007 (HJD 2 453 536.4–2 454 302.8;  $\Delta T = 967.5$  days).

Instrument	Ν	HJD begin	HJD end	$\Delta T$	$\langle S/N \rangle$	S/N-range	$T_{\rm exp}$	Resolution	Wavelength range
FEROS	224	2 453 881	2 4 5 4 3 0 2	967.5	186	[100, 300]	[300, 1200]	48 000	[3570, 9215]
Sophie	24	2 454 265	2454275	10.1	106	[80, 140]	[1400, 1500]	40 000	[3873, 6945]
Aurélie	14	2 453 536	2 453 544	8.1	93	[80, 105]	900	25 000	[4530, 4673]

For each instrument the number of high-quality spectra N (i.e., spectra with a S/N-ratio > 80 calculated in the region near 4550 Å), the HJD, the time-span (in days), the average S/N-ratio, the range of S/N-ratios, the typical exposure times (in seconds), the resolution of the spectrograph and the wavelength range (in Å) are given.

extracted and automatically reduced in real-time by a reduction package adapted from HARPS. Since there is no pipeline reduction available for the Aurélie spectrograph, we used standard reduction procedures with IRAF. After a correction to the heliocentric frame, the spectra were manually normalised using a cubic spline fit.

## 3.2. Abundance analysis

The non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) abundances of helium and the dominant metals have been calculated using the latest versions of the line-formation codes DETAIL/SURFACE (Butler & Giddings 1985; Giddings 1981) and plane-parallel, fully line-blanketed atmospheric models with a solar helium abundance (Kurucz 1993). Our analysis is based on a mean FEROS spectrum created by coadding 11 individual exposures obtained in May 2006. All exposures were transferred to the laboratory rest frame prior to this operation. Curve-ofgrowth techniques were used to determine the abundances using the equivalent widths of a set of unblended lines. The reader is referred to Morel et al. (2006) for complete details of the methodology used to derive the elemental abundances.

#### 3.2.1. Atmospheric parameters

A standard, iterative scheme was used to self-consistently derive the atmospheric parameters solely by spectroscopic means:  $T_{\rm eff}$  was determined from the Si II/III/IV ionisation balance, log g from fitting the collisionally-broadened wings of the Balmer lines, and the microturbulent velocity,  $\xi$ , by requiring that the abundances corresponding to the OII features were independent of the line strength. We obtain:  $T_{\rm eff} = 24500 \pm 1000$  K,  $\log g = 3.45 \pm 0.15$  dex and  $\xi = 12 \pm 3$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. As can be seen in Fig. 4, satisfactory fits are obtained for all Balmer lines considered. Within the uncertainties, identical values are obtained when analysing these data with the unified code FASTWIND (Puls et al. 2005) and (semi-)automatic line-profile fitting techniques (Lefever et al. 2009):  $T_{\text{eff}} = 24\,000 \pm 1000$  K,  $\log g = 3.4 \pm 0.1$  dex, and  $\xi = 13 \pm 2$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. Support for our  $T_{\text{eff}}$  estimate is provided by the ionisation balance of neon, which suggests an identical value:  $T_{\rm eff} = 24\,000 \pm 1000$  K (Morel & Butler 2008). Only a single C III, N III, or S III line could be measured, but good agreement with the abundances yielded by the far more numerous transitions of lower ionisation ions (namely CII, NII, and SII) is also found in each case. The fulfillment of ionisation balance for all species under investigation indicates that the effective temperature of HD 180642 is well constrained.

Given the large amplitude of the radial mode, we investigated the amplitude of the variation in atmospheric parameters over the pulsation cycle by analysing separately the average of 20 FEROS exposures corresponding to minimum and



**Fig. 4.** Comparison between the synthetic (*dashed line*;  $T_{\text{eff}} = 24500$  K and log g = 3.45 [cgs]) and observed (*solid line*) Balmer line profiles. The synthetic spectra have been convolved with a rotational profile with v = 44 km s<sup>-1</sup>. No attempts have been made to fit the other spectral lines.

maximum EWs of the Si III lines, and obtained  $\Delta T_{\text{eff}} \sim 1000 \text{ K}$ and  $\Delta \log g \sim 0.1$  dex. The same result was already obtained for the  $\beta$  Cephei star  $\xi^1$  CMa, which resembles HD 180 642 from the viewpoint of the dominant mode (Morel et al. 2006). Finally, we infer a total amount of line broadening arising from pulsations and rotation,  $v_T = 44 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , by comparing the profiles of a set of isolated O II lines with a grid of rotationally-broadened synthetic spectra. The stellar parameters suggest that HD 180 642 is an object close to the end of the core-hydrogen burning phase. We refer to a forthcoming paper on modeling (Thoul et al., in prep.) for an in-depth discussion of its evolutionary status.

### 3.2.2. Elemental abundances

The abundances computed for  $T_{\rm eff} = 24500$  K,  $\log g = 3.45$  dex, and  $\xi = 12$  km s<sup>-1</sup> are given in Table 4, and compared with the standard solar mixture of Grevesse & Sauval (1998) and values derived from time-dependent, three-dimensional hydrodynamical models (Asplund et al. 2005). The quoted uncertainties take into account both the line-to-line scatter and the errors arising from the uncertainties in the atmospheric parameters. We note that the strong, numerous N II features can also be used to

**Table 4.** Mean NLTE abundances (on the scale in which  $\log \epsilon[H] = 12$ ) and total 1- $\sigma$  uncertainties (in brackets), in units of the last digits.

	HD 180 642	B stars	Sun 1-D	Sun 3-D
He/H(4)	0.088(18)	~0.089	0.085(1)	0.085(2)
$\log \epsilon(C)(9)$	8.21(10)	~8.20	8.52(6)	8.39(5)
$\log \epsilon(N)$ (21)	8.00(19)	~7.79	7.92(6)	7.78(6)
$\log \epsilon(O)$ (25)	8.53(14)	$\sim 8.58$	8.83(6)	8.66(5)
$\log \epsilon(\text{Ne})$ (6)	7.86(16) <sup>a</sup>	$\sim 8.07$	8.08(6)	7.84(6)
$\log \epsilon(Mg)(1)$	7.34(20)	~7.48	7.58(5)	7.53(9)
$\log \epsilon(Al)(3)$	6.22(15)	~6.08	6.47(7)	6.37(6)
$\log \epsilon(Si)(7)$	7.19(19)	~7.20	7.55(5)	7.51(4)
$\log \epsilon(S)(4)$	7.10(34)	~7.19	7.33(11)	7.14(5)
$\log \epsilon$ (Fe) (21)	7.34(21)	~7.36	7.50(5)	7.45(5)
[N/C]	-0.21(22)	~-0.41	-0.60(9)	-0.61(8)
[N/O]	-0.53(24)	~-0.79	-0.91(9)	-0.88(8)
Ζ	0.0099(16)	~0.0108	0.0172(12)	0.0124(7)

The number of spectral lines used is given in brackets in the first column. For comparison purposes, we provide typical values found for early B dwarfs in the solar neighbourhood (Morel 2009, and references therein; B stars), the standard solar composition of Grevesse & Sauval (1998, Sun 1-D), and updated values derived from three-dimensional hydrodynamical models (Asplund et al. 2005, Sun 3-D). We define [N/C] and [N/O] as  $\log[\epsilon(N)/\epsilon(C)]$  and  $\log[\epsilon(N)/\epsilon(O)]$ , respectively. The metallicity, Z, is given in the last row. To compute this quantity, we assumed the abundances of Grevesse & Sauval (1998) for the trace elements not under study.

<sup>*a*</sup> A negligible difference amounting to 0.01 dex is obtained when using the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  derived from the Ne ionisation balance (Morel & Butler 2008).

constrain the microturbulence, and suggest a significantly lower value than the O II lines:  $\xi = 7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (see, e.g., Trundle et al. 2004 for a general discussion of this problem). Adopting this microturbulent velocity would lead to a slight ( $\Delta \log \epsilon \leq 0.2 \text{ dex}$ ) upward revision of the abundances and metallicity (see Table 5) bringing them into closer agreement with the probably more reliable solar abundances of Asplund et al. (2005).

The logarithmic ratios of the CNO abundances [N/C] to [N/O] point towards a mild nitrogen overabundance, as unexpectedly observed in other slowly-rotating  $\beta$  Cephei stars (Morel et al. 2006). For HD 180 642, however, this result cannot be firmly established owing to the relatively large error bars and the lack of boron data, which could in principle be used to corroborate (or refute) the existence of such an N excess (see Morel et al. 2008). The abundances of the other metals are fully compatible with literature values for nearby main-sequence B stars (e.g., Daflon & Cunha 2004; Gummersbach et al. 1998; Kilian-Montenbruck et al. 1994). We note that the global metallicity,  $Z = 0.0099 \pm 0.0016$ , is very well constrained, because the species analysed account for up to 97% of the total metal content.

#### 3.3. Frequency analysis

To increase the S/N of the spectra and improve the detection of the line-profile variations, we combined several line profiles as follows. First, cross-correlated profiles were computed using the leastsquares deconvolution (LSD) method (Donati et al. 1999) by means of a mask consisting of lines from the VALD database (Piskunov et al. 1995; Ryabchikova et al. 1999; Kupka et al. 1999) for  $T_{\rm eff} = 24\ 000$  K and  $\log g = 3.50$ . All elements were taken into account, except He and H. This resulted in combining

**Table 5.** Abundance and metallicity differences when adopting the microturbulent velocity yielded by the N II lines ( $\xi = 7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), instead of the adopted value estimated from the O II features ( $\xi = 12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ).

Δξ	From 12 to 7 km s <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
ΔHe/H	+0.025
$\Delta \log \epsilon(\mathbf{C})$	+0.04
$\Delta \log \epsilon(N)$	+0.13
$\Delta \log \epsilon(O)$	+0.16
$\Delta \log \epsilon(\text{Ne})$	+0.03
$\Delta \log \epsilon(Mg)$	+0.15
$\Delta \log \epsilon(Al)$	+0.11
$\Delta \log \epsilon(Si)$	+0.10
$\Delta \log \epsilon(S)$	+0.02
$\Delta \log \epsilon(\text{Fe})$	+0.06
[N/C]	+0.09
[N/O]	-0.03
Ζ	+0.0027

about 570 lines for the FEROS and SOPHIE spectra and only 78 lines for the Aurélie spectra, leading to average S/N ratios of 600, 400, and 90, respectively.

Second, to avoid the effects of the different behaviour of the individual lines during the pulsation cycle, which was ignored in the first method, we computed mean spectra of sufficiently strong and unblended lines originating from the same element and ionisation state. The averaged spectrum was computed for He I lines, N II lines, O II lines, and Si III lines. The use of LSD profiles or different elements did not reveal additional periodicities. In what follows, we describe only our frequency analysis of the combination of the two deepest silicon lines of the Si III triplet around 4567 Å, of an average *S*/*N* ratio of 350.

To perform our frequency analysis, we used the software package FAMIAS<sup>1</sup> (Zima 2008). We first examined the first three moments  $\langle v^1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle v^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle v^3 \rangle$  (see Aerts et al. 1992, for a definition) of the combined silicon lines. Since the line profiles move significantly because of pulsation, the integration limits for computing the moments were dynamically chosen by sigma clipping to avoid the noisy continuum (see Zima 2008).

Harmonics up to the 5th of the dominant frequency are of significant amplitude in  $\langle v^1 \rangle$ . After removing them, we do find four additional significant frequencies in the residuals of  $\langle v^1 \rangle$ . All frequencies retained, except the last one, have an amplitude larger than the 4 S/N-level, computed in the residuals, in a 2 d<sup>-1</sup> interval centered on the frequency of interest. The reason for retaining the last peak is that it is a one-day-alias of a combination frequency. In our frequency solution, we use the value of the combination frequency. The detected frequency values and their corresponding amplitudes and phases of the leastsquares sine fits to  $\langle v^1 \rangle$  are listed in Table 6. These frequencies were also found in the CoRoT light curve (Degroote et al. 2009), albeit not with exactly the same values. We already discussed a probable explanation of these differences in Sect. 2.2. When comparing with our ground-based photometry, we note that the low-frequency mode is also found, while the photometric frequency at  $\sim 7.36 \,\mathrm{d}^{-1}$  is not detected. Moreover, we detect additional periodicities in the spectroscopic dataset. A frequency analysis in  $\langle v^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle v^3 \rangle$  did not detect additional periodicities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FAMIAS has been developed in the framework of the FP6 European Coordination Action HELAS – http://www.helas-eu.org/

 Table 6. Frequencies, amplitudes, and phases of the leastsquares sine fits to the observed first moment.

ID	Frequency	Amplitude	Phase
	$(d^{-1})$	$({\rm km}~{\rm s}^{-1})$	(rad)
$f_1$	5.4869(1)	38.8(2)	3.139(6)
$2f_1$	10.9738(1)	3.0(2)	5.45(8)
$3f_1$	16.4607(1)	4.7(2)	3.30(5)
$4f_1$	21.9476(1)	3.6(2)	5.30(7)
$5f_1$	27.4345(2)	1.7(2)	0.8(1)
$f_2^s$	8.4079(2)	1.5(2)	4.2(1)
$f_3^{s}$	0.3046(2)	1.1(2)	2.0(2)
$f_4^s$	7.1037(3)	1.0(2)	2.7(2)
$f_1 + f_2^s$	13.8948(3)	0.8(2)	6.3(3)

The error estimates in units of the last digit are given in brackets. The adopted reference epoch for  $\phi = 0.0$  corresponds to HJD 2 447 963.2564.

Phase diagrams of  $\langle v^1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle v^2 \rangle$ , and  $\langle v^3 \rangle$  for the dominant mode are shown in Fig. 5. Several characteristics are noticeable in  $\langle v^1 \rangle$ . As already indicated by the photometry, the dominant mode does not behave sinusoidally at all and  $\langle v^1 \rangle$  has a peak-to-peak amplitude of about 90 km s<sup>-1</sup>. This makes HD 180 642 the  $\beta$  Cephei star with the third largest peak-to-peak radial-velocity amplitude, BW Vul having ~180 km s<sup>-1</sup> (Crowe & Gillet 1989; Aerts et al. 1995; Mathias et al. 1998) and  $\sigma$  Sco having ~110 km s<sup>-1</sup> (Mathias et al. 1991). More unusual is the presence of a so-called stillstand, i.e., a plateau at half the descending branch of the radial velocity curve. In the ground-based photometry, this stillstand phenomenon is well observed at certain epochs but is not clearly visible in the phased light curves of the merged datasets (Fig. 1). Such a stillstand is also encountered in BW Vul and  $\sigma$  Sco. These common characteristics of the three targets suggest a behaviour of the atmospheric pulsation of HD 180 642 similar to that of BW Vul and  $\sigma$  Sco. For the latter stars, the picture requires the passage of two shocks per pulsation period, the stillstand corresponding to a relaxation phase (Mathias et al. 1998).

A first indicator of the shock propagation is the evolution in the line profile, which exhibits a doubling phenomenon when the front shock enters the line forming region, following the Schwarzschild mechanism (Schwarzschild 1952). The second indicator is a phase lag between line forming regions, due to the finite shock front velocity, which is present in progressive waves. For HD 180 642, no line-doubling behaviour is seen in the profiles, but it could be hidden by the presence of the lowamplitude modes. No phase lag is also observed between variations associated with different ions. We therefore conclude that the presence of shocks in HD 180 642 is not established, nor can be rejected. The observation of the star during a few consecutive cycles would allow us to prevent the beat phenomenon with the non-radial modes and identify the same behaviour as observed for BW Vul and  $\sigma$  Sco.

The behaviour of  $\langle v^2 \rangle$  (see middle panel of Fig. 5) also deserves some comments. It clearly cannot be described by a double sine, as linear theory predicts for radial pulsators (Aerts et al. 1992). In addition, the maxima (minima) at phases 0.05 and 0.45 (at phases 0.25 and 0.80) are different. This difference cannot be attributed to a non-linear velocity at the stellar surface only but it reflects that temperature variations also take place. By taking into account temperature effects,  $\langle v^2 \rangle$  can be satisfactorily modelled, as shown in Sect. 3.4. This is because the second moment depends on the thermal width of the local profile, which varies



**Fig. 5.** Phase diagram of the equivalent width of the Si III 4552 Å line, and of the first three velocity moments, for  $f_1 = 5.4869 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . The dashed lines represent our best model for the moment variations (see Sect. 3.4 for more explanations).

with temperature. Similar behaviour, but less prominent, was already observed for  $\delta$  Ceti (Aerts et al. 1992) and  $\xi^1$  CMa (Saesen et al. 2006).

The temperature variations caused by the large-amplitude radial mode are also revealed in the equivalent width of the spectral lines. As shown in Fig. 5, the equivalent width of the Si III 4552 Å line varies with the dominant frequency and two significant harmonics. We point out that this strong EW variability is not common among pulsating B-type stars. We refer to both De Ridder et al. (2002) and De Cat (2002) for typical examples of  $\beta$  Cephei stars and slowly pulsating B stars, respectively. However, this behaviour is certainly not unique, and is observed in several stars with a high-amplitude mode. For  $\beta$  Cephei stars, it is also the case for BW Vul (Mathias et al. 1998),  $\sigma$  Sco (Mathias et al. 1991),  $\delta$  Ceti (Aerts et al. 1992),  $\nu$  Eridani (Aerts et al. 2004), and the prototype  $\beta$  Cephei itself (Telting et al. 1997;



**Fig. 6.** Amplitude and phase distributions (points with error bars) across the combination of the two deepest silicon lines of the Si III triplet around 4567 Å, for the dominant radial mode. *From left to right and top to bottom*, we have the frequencies  $f_1 = 5.4869 d^{-1}$ ,  $2f_1$ ,  $3f_1$ ,  $4f_1$ ,  $5f_1$ , and  $6f_1$ . The bestfit model is represented by dashed lines. The amplitudes are expressed in units of continuum and the phases in  $\pi$  radians.

Catanzaro & Leone 2008). All these targets are monoperiodic radial pulsators or multiperiodic pulsators with a highly dominant radial mode, as is the case for HD 180 642. A different case concerns the  $\beta$  Cephei star 12 Lac (Desmet et al. 2009). This latter object, which also is of relatively high amplitude, shows an equivalent width that varies with its two dominant modes but the highest amplitude mode is identified as an ( $\ell$ , m) = (1, 1).

A frequency search was carried out for the spectra by means of a two-dimensional Fourier analysis available in FAMIAS. Once the frequencies are selected, a non-linear multi-periodic leastsquares fit of a sum of sinusoidals is computed with the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. This fitting is applied for every bin of the spectrum separately according to the formula  $Z+\sum_i A_i \sin[2\pi(f_it+\phi_i)]$ , where Z is the zeropoint, and  $A_i$ ,  $f_i$ , and  $\phi_i$  are the amplitude, frequency, and phase of the *i*th frequency, respectively. The amplitude and phase distributions across the combined silicon lines are shown, in Fig. 6, for the dominant radial mode and, in Fig. 7, for the other frequencies detected.

We emphasize that the 2D frequency analysis detected one additional frequency, which was also observed in the CoRoT

photometry ( $f_5^s = 6.3248 \,\mathrm{d}^{-1}$ ), compared to the 1D analysis of the moments, which are integrated quantities. Our Fourier analysis detected a one-day-alias of  $f_5^s$  and we needed the CoRoT data to pinpoint the true periodicity. Additional frequencies were also discovered by a 2D frequency search in the case of several other  $\beta$  Cephei stars (see Telting et al. 1997, for  $\beta$  Cephei; Schrijvers et al. 2004, for  $\epsilon$  Centauri; Briquet et al. 2005, for  $\theta$  Ophiuchi), pointing out the necessity of performing this kind of analysis, in addition to a frequency search in the radial velocity or higherorder moments.

#### 3.4. Mode identification

The moment method (Briquet & Aerts 2003) and the Fourier parameter fit (FPF) method (Zima 2006) are spectroscopic mode identification techniques appropriate to identifying both the degree  $\ell$  and the azimuthal order *m* of the oscillations of main-sequence pulsators hotter than the Sun. Several successful applications, in particular to  $\beta$  Cephei stars, are available in the literature (e.g. Briquet et al. 2005, for  $\theta$  Oph; Mazumdar et al. 2006, for  $\beta$  CMa; Desmet et al. 2009 for 12 Lac).



**Fig. 7.** Amplitude and phase distributions (points with error bars) across the combination of the two deepest silicon lines of the Si III triplet around 4567 Å, for the frequencies  $8.4079 d^{-1}$ ,  $6.3248 d^{-1}$ ,  $0.3046 d^{-1}$  and  $7.1037 d^{-1}$ . The amplitudes are expressed in units of continuum and the phases in  $\pi$  radians.

As explained and illustrated in Zima (2006), the FPF technique, based on mono-mode line profiles, cannot be applied in case of a large pulsation velocity relative to the projected rotational velocity, i.e., if the radial velocity amplitude is above  $0.2 v \sin i$ , as for HD 180 642. Instead of using amplitude and phase distributions for mono-mode line profiles, we thus considered the same quantities but computed them for a huge grid of multiperiodic line profile time series. We fixed the dominant mode as radial and its velocity field at the stellar surface was modelled as the superposition of the velocity fields of five linear radial modes.

To model the local temperature and surface gravity variations, we adopted the following empirical approach. At each time of observation, the equivalent width (EW) and the width  $\sigma$ of an intrinsic Gaussian profile were chosen to match the observed EW variations and second moment variations. We point out that this model assumes that the temperature and gravity variations are due solely to the dominant mode, which is a reasonable assumption in view of the very low amplitudes of the other modes. The theoretical moment variations in this simple model are shown in Fig. 5. The amplitude and phase distributions are displayed in Fig. 6. Our modeling of the non-linear radial mode reproduces the observed behaviour quite well, especially for the first four harmonics.

To identify the wavenumbers  $(\ell, m)$  of the low-amplitude modes, we added their pulsational velocity field to the one of the radial mode modelled as described above, and, using a  $\chi^2$  value as in Zima (2006), we searched for the solutions that fit the observed amplitude and phase distributions computed from multi-mode line profiles best. Unfortunately, no good fit could be achieved for any of the modes. Next, we used the moment method for multiperiodic stars (version of Briquet & Aerts 2003) also adapted to adequately model the dominant radial mode, but, again, the outcome was inconclusive.

Therefore, we tried to identify the modes individually, following the version of the moment method of Aerts (1996). Since the width  $\sigma$  of the intrinsic profile is not constant in time, in contrast to the assumption in Aerts (1996), we defined a slightly different discriminant, in which the amplitudes involving  $\sigma$  are not taken into account. We also omitted the constant term of the second moment because it contains the contribution of all the modes. Therefore, the discriminant used is the one of

**Table 7.** The bestfit solutions of the spectroscopic mode identification for the mode with frequency 8.4079 d<sup>-1</sup> determined by the adapted discriminant  $\Sigma$ , based on the definition in Aerts (1996).

$(\ell, m )$	i	v sin i	$A_{\rm p}$	v <sub>r,max</sub>	$v_{t,max}$	Σ
(3,2)	81.5	38.5	77.35	30.4	3.0	0.93
(3,1)	30.5	39.5	25.69	11.4	1.3	4.13
(1,1)	14.5	29.9	31.73	11.0	0.4	4.41
(2,1)	14.0	25.2	25.14	9.7	0.7	4.42
(2,2)	47.5	38.4	21.71	8.4	0.6	4.48
(3,3)	66.5	23.8	35.52	14.8	1.7	4.59
(3,0)	23.0	1	26.90	20.0	1.7	35.63
(1,0)	83.5	1	49.63	24.2	1.0	35.65
(2,0)	63.0	1	37.88	23.8	1.4	35.65
(0,0)	90.0	1	7.72	2.2	0.0	36.45
(4,0)	3.0	1	101.88	86.0	9.1	49.94

The inclination angle *i* is expressed in degrees;  $v \sin i$  is the projected rotational velocity, expressed in km s<sup>-1</sup>;  $A_p$  is the amplitude of the radial part of the pulsation velocity, expressed in km s<sup>-1</sup>; and  $v_{r,max}$  and  $v_{t,max}$  are, respectively, the maximum radial and tangential surface velocity due to the mode, expressed in km s<sup>-1</sup>.

Aerts (1996) but only with the amplitudes denoted by C, D, F, and G (see Aerts 1996) being taken into account.

We varied the free parameter of the projected rotation velocity,  $v \sin i$ , from 1 to 40 km s<sup>-1</sup> with a step 0.1 km s<sup>-1</sup>, and the inclination angle of the star, *i*, from 3 to 90° with a step 0.5°. For each combination ( $\ell$ , *m*, *i*), the amplitude of the mode  $A_p$  (given by definition of Briquet & Aerts 2003) was not a free parameter but was determined to fit the observed amplitude of the first moment, within its error. For the low-frequency mode, we fixed  $\ell$  to be 3, as derived from the photometry. Finally, the criterion in Sect. 2.5 in Briquet & Aerts (2003) excluded  $\ell \ge 4$ , except ( $\ell$ , *m*) = (4, 0), for the mode with frequency 8.4079 d<sup>-1</sup>. For the other modes, this criterion limited neither  $\ell$  nor *m*.

We obtained a safe mode identification for the mode with frequency 8.4079 d<sup>-1</sup> only. The discriminant values for the other modes turned out to be too high for us to be confident about their outcome. The discriminant values for the identified mode are given in Table 7. It does not allow us to determine the sign of *m*. However, prograde and retrograde modes can be distinguished by means of a blue-to-red and red-to-blue respectively descent of the phase in the profile. From Fig. 7, we deduce that the mode is prograde and denote it with a positive *m*-value. From Table 7, we conclude that the mode is unambiguously identified as  $(\ell, m) = (3, 2)$ .

By using the discriminant  $\Sigma$  as a weight, we constructed histograms for *i*, *v* sin *i*, and the equatorial rotational velocity  $v_{eq}$ , as in Mazumdar et al. (2006). We computed them by considering only solutions with  $(\ell, m) = (3, 2)$ . For *i* and *v* sin *i*, the distributions are almost flat. However,  $v_{eq}$  can be constrained (see Fig. 8). By calculating a weighted mean and standard deviation, we obtained  $v_{eq} = 38 \pm 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

#### 4. Summary

The  $\beta$ Cephei star HD 180 642 was observed by the CoRoT satellite during a run of 156 days in 2007. The space whitelight photometry revealed the rich frequency spectrum of the star (Degroote et al. 2009). In the present study, we have provided additional information about the target, based on both ground-based multi-colour photometry and high-resolution



Fig. 8. Histogram for the equatorial rotational velocity of HD 180 642 derived from the moment method outcome, using the discriminant as a weight.

spectroscopy. We placed our object in the  $(T_{\text{eff}}, \log g)$  diagram. In addition, we derived the chemical abundances of several elements as well as the metallicity of HD 180 642. Finally, we placed constraints on the identification of some modes.

From the spectroscopic data, we determined  $T_{\rm eff} = 24500 \pm 1000$  K and  $\log g = 3.45 \pm 0.15$  dex. In addition, a detailed NLTE abundance analysis showed that the considered abundance values are compatible with values found for B stars in the solar neighbourhood, except for a mild nitrogen excess. This nitrogen overabundance was also discovered for several other  $\beta$  Cephei stars (Morel et al. 2006, 2008). The deduced metallicity for HD 180 642 is  $Z = 0.0099 \pm 0.0016$  (or  $0.0126 \pm 0.0016$  depending on the choice of microturbulence).

In the photometry, three pulsation frequencies were found to be significant:  $5.48694 d^{-1}$ ,  $0.30818 d^{-1}$ , and  $7.36673 d^{-1}$ . The first mode is highly dominant with an amplitude in the U-filter about 15 times larger than that of the other modes. By means of the method of photometric amplitude ratios, we unambiguously identified the degree of the first two modes as  $\ell = 0$  and  $\ell = 3$ . For the frequency  $7.36673 d^{-1}$ , two possibilities remained, namely  $\ell = 0$  or 3.

In the radial velocity measurements, the dominant radial mode is highly non-linear, presents a so-called stillstand and has a peak-to-peak amplitude of ~90 km s<sup>-1</sup>. This behaviour is typical of the presence of shocks. However, our dataset did not allow us to prove (or definitely refute) their existence in HD 180 642.

We attempted mode identification for several low-amplitude modes found in the spectroscopy. In our first trials, we used multiperiodic versions of the moment method and FPF method. Both techniques were adapted and implemented to adequately model the dominant radial mode but we failed for the low-amplitude modes. However, a successful outcome for one of the modes was achieved by means of the discriminant of Aerts (1996), which we adapted slightly to our case. This discriminant identifies the modes independently. In the presence of a highly dominant mode, it is thus more robust than the multiperiodic discriminant of Briquet & Aerts (2003). We found the frequency 8.4079 d<sup>-1</sup> to correspond to a ( $\ell$ , m) = (3, 2). Finally, we derived a value for the equatorial rotational velocity of  $v_{eq} = 38 \pm 15$  km s<sup>-1</sup>.

All these observational constraints, together with the CoRoT results, will be used to compute stellar models of HD 180 642. This will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgements. We thank our colleagues from the Institute of Astronomy of Leuven University who contributed to the gathering of the photometric data. The FEROS data were obtained as part of the ESO programme 077.D-0311 (PI: K. Uytterhoeven) as well as as part of the ESO Large Programme 178.D-0361 (PI: E. Poretti). This work was supported by the Hungarian ESA PECS project No. 98022, by the Research Council of K.U. Leuven under grant GOA/2008/04 and by the European Helio- and Asteroseismology Network (HELAS), a major international collaboration funded by the European Commission's Sixth Framework Programme. K.U. acknowledges financial support from a European Community Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship, contract number MEIF-CT-2006-024476. EP and MR acknowledge financial support from the Italian ASI-ESS project, contract ASI/INAF I/015/07/0, WP 03170. J.C.S. acknowledges support by the "Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas" by an I3P contract financed by the European Social Fund and from the Spanish "Plan Nacional del Espacio" under project ESP2007-65480-C02-01. S.M.R. acknowledges a "Retorno de Doctores" contract of the Junta de Andalucía and IAA for carrying out photometry campaigns for CoRoT targets at Sierra Nevada Observatory. E.N. acknowledges financial support of the N N203 302635 grant from the MNiSW. M.B. and F.C. are Postdoctoral Fellows of the Fund for Scientific Research, Flanders. A.M. is Postdoctoral Researcher, Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS, Belgium. We also would like to thank K. Butler for making the NLTE line-formation codes DETAIL/SURFACE available to us.

#### References

- Aerts, C. 1996, A&A, 314, 115
- Aerts, C. 2000, A&A, 361, 245 Aerts, C. 2008, in Massive Stars as Cosmic Engines, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, IAU Symp., 250, 237
- Aerts, C., & De Cat, P. 2003, SSRv, 105, 453
- Aerts, C., De Pauw, M., & Waelkens, C. 1992, A&A, 266, 294
- Aerts, C., Mathias, P., Van Hoolst, T., et al. 1995, A&A, 301, 781
- Aerts, C., De Cat, P., Handler, G., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 347, 463
- Aerts, C., De Cat, P., De Ridder, J., et al. 2006, A&A, 449, 305
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., & Sauval, A. J. 2005, in Cosmic abundances as records of stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis, ed. T. G. Barnes III, F. N. Bash, ASP Conf. Ser., 336, 25
- Balona, L. A., James, D. J., Motsoasele, P., et al. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 952
- Breger, M., Stich, J., Garrido, R., et al. 1993, A&A, 271, 482
- Briquet, M., & Aerts, C. 2003, A&A, 398, 687
- Briquet, M., Lefever, K., Uytterhoeven, K., & Aerts, C. 2005, MNRAS, 362, 619
- Briquet, M., Morel, T., Thoul, A., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 381, 1482
- Butler, K., & Giddings, J. R. 1985, in Newsletter of Analysis of Astronomical Spectra, No. 9 (Univ. London)
- Catanzaro, G., & Leone, F. 2008, MNRAS, 389, 1414
- Crowe, R., & Gillet, D. 1989, A&A, 211, 365
- Daflon, S., & Cunha, K. 2004, ApJ, 617, 1115
- De Cat, P. 2002, in Radial and Nonradial Pulsations as Probes of Stellar Physics, ed. C. Aerts, T. R. Bedding, & J. Christensen-Dalsgaard, ASP Conf. Ser., 259, 196
- De Cat, P., & Cuypers, J., in Interplay of Periodic, Cyclic and Stochastic Variability in Selected Areas of the H-R Diagram, ed. C. Sterken, San Francisco, ASP Conf. Ser., 292, 377
- De Cat, P., Briquet, M., Aerts, C., et al. 2007, A&A, 463, 243
- Degroote, P., Briquet, M., Catala, C., et al. 2009, A&A, 506, 111
- De Ridder, J., Dupret, M.-A., Neuforge, C., & Aerts, C. 2002 A&A, 385, 572
- Desmet, M., Briquet, M., Thoul, A., et al. 2009, MNRAS, in press [arXiv:0903.5477]
- Donati, J.-F., Semel, M., Carter, B. D., Rees, D. E., & Collier Cameron, A. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 658
- Dupret, M.-A. 2001, A&A, 366, 166

- Dupret, M.-A., De Ridder, J., De Cat, P., et al. 2003, A&A, 398, 677
- Dziembowski, W. A., & Pamyatnykh, A. A. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 204
- Dziembowski, W. A., & Pamyatnykh, A. A. 2008, MNRAS, 385, 2061
- Gautschy, A., & Saio, H. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 213
- Giddings, J. R. 1981, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London
- Grevesse, N., & Sauval, A. J. 1998, Space Sci. Rev., 85, 161
- Gummersbach, C. A., Kaufer, A., Schäfer, D. R., Szeifert, T., & Wolf, B. 1998, A&A, 338, 881
- Handler, G., Shobbrook, R. R., Jerzykiewicz, M., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 347, 454
- Handler, G., Jerzykiewicz, M., Rodríguez, E., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 365, 327
- Iglesias, C. A., & Rogers, F. J. 1996, ApJ, 464, 943
- Kilian-Montenbruck, J., Gehren, T., & Nissen, P. E. 1994, A&A, 291, 757
- Kupka, F., Piskunov, N. E., Ryabchikova, T. A., Stempels, H. C., & Weiss, W. W. 1999, A&ASS, 138, 119
- Kurucz, R. L. 1993, ATLAS9 Stellar Atmosphere Programs and 2 km s<sup>-1</sup> grid, Kurucz CD-ROM, Cambridge, Mass.: Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, 13
- Kuschnig, R., Weiss, W. W., Gruber, R., Bely, P. Y., & Jenkner, H. 1997, A&A, 328, 544
- Lefever, K. 2007, Ph.D. Thesis, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- Lefever, K., Puls, J., Morel, T., et al. 2009, A&A, submitted
- Mathias, P., Gillet, D., & Crowe, R. 1991, A&A, 252, 245
- Mathias, P., Gillet, D., Fokin, A. B., & Cambon, T. 1998, A&A, 339, 525
- Mazumdar, A., Briquet, M., Desmet, M., & Aerts, C. 2006, A&A, 459, 589
- Miglio, A., Montalbán, J., & Dupret, M.-A. 2007, MNRAS 375, 21
- Montgomery, M. H., & O'Donoghue, D. 1999, DSSN, 13, 28
- Morel, T. 2009, in Evolution and Pulsation of Massive Stars on the Main Sequence and Close to it, CoAst, in press [arXiv:0811.4114]
- Morel, T., & Butler, K. 2008, A&A, 487, 307
- Morel, T., Butler, K., Aerts, C., Neiner, C., & Briquet, M. 2006, A&A, 457, 651
- Morel, T., Hubrig, S., & Briquet, M. 2008, A&A, 481, 453
- Pamyatnykh, A. A. 1999, Acta Astr., 49, 119
- Pigulski, A., & Pojmański, G. 2008, A&A, 477, 917
- Piskunov, N. E., Kupka, F., Ryabchikova, T. A, Weiss, W. W., & Jeffery, C. S. 1995, A&ASS, 112, 525
- Poretti, E., Rainer, M., Uytterhoeven, K., et al. 2007, MmSAI, 78, 624
- Puls, J., Urbaneja, M. A., Venero, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 669
- Rainer, M. 2003, Analisi spettrofotometriche di stelle da usare come targets per la missione spaziale CoRoT, Laurea Thesis, Universitá degli Studi di Milano
- Ryabchikova, T. A., Piskunov, N. E., Stempels, H. C., Kupka, F., & Weiss, W. W. 1999, Phys. Scr., 83, 162
- Saesen, S., Briquet, M., & Aerts, C. 2006, CoAst, 147, 109
- Saio, H., Kuschnig, R., & Gautschy, A. 2006, ApJ, 650, 1111
- Scargle, J. D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 835
- Schrijvers, C., Telting, J. H., & Aerts, C. 2004, A&A, 416, 1069
- Schwarzschild, M. 1952, Transactions of the IAU VIII, ed. P. Th. Oosterhoff
- (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 811 Scuflaire, R., Théado, S., Montalbán, J., et al. 2008, Ap&SS, 316, 83
- Seaton, M. J. 2005, MNRAS, 362, 1
- Stankov, A., & Handler, G. 2005, ApJS, 158, 193 Telting, J. H., Aerts, C., & Mathias, P. 1997, A&A, 322, 493
- Trundle, C., Lennon, D. J., Puls, J., & Dufton, P. L. 2004, A&A, 417, 217
- Vaníček, P. 1971, Ap&SS, 12, 10
- Uytterhoeven, K. 2009, CoAst, 158, in press
- Uytterhoeven, K., Poretti, E., & the CoRoT SGBOWG, 2007, CoAst, 150, 371
- Uytterhoeven, K., Poretti, E., Rainer, M., et al. 2008, in HelasII international conference: Helioseismology, Asteroseismology and MHD Connections, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. (IOP Publishing), 118, 2077
- Waelkens, C., Aerts, C., Kestens, E., Grenon, M., & Eyer, L. 1998, A&A, 330, 215
- Zima, W. 2006, A&A, 455, 227
- Zima, W. 2008, CoAst, 157, 387