

## GROUP ALGEBRAS WITH UNITS SATISFYING A GROUP IDENTITY II

CHIA-HSIN LIU AND D. S. PASSMAN

(Communicated by Ken Goodearl)

ABSTRACT. We classify group algebras of torsion groups over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  with units satisfying a group identity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A group  $U$  is said to satisfy a group identity if there exists a nontrivial word  $w = w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in the free group generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $w(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 1$  for all  $u_i \in U$ . In early 1980s, Brian Hartley made the conjecture that if the units of the group algebra of a torsion group  $G$  over a field  $K$  satisfy a group identity, then the group algebra  $K[G]$  satisfies a polynomial identity. This was settled recently for group algebras over infinite fields in [GSV97], and completely solved in [Liu]. Some natural questions we can ask are: “If the group algebra satisfies a polynomial identity, does the unit group satisfy a group identity? If not, what additional conditions are required to make it true?” After [GSV97] appeared, these questions were answered in [Pas97] for group algebras over infinite fields. Indeed, the paper showed that, for the group algebra  $K[G]$  of a torsion group  $G$  over an infinite field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , the unit group satisfies a group identity if and only if  $K[G]$  satisfies a polynomial identity and  $G'$  is a  $p$ -group of bounded period. The proof given in [Pas97] uses two facts: [GJV94, Proposition 1] and [GSV97, Lemma 2.3]. [GJV94, Proposition 1] basically says that if units of an algebra over an infinite field satisfy a group identity, then the product of any two square zero elements is nilpotent of bounded degree. This proposition was modified and extended to algebras over an arbitrary field in [Liu, Lemmas 3.1, 3.2], and thus it is natural to expect that the results in [Pas97] can be extended to group algebras over finite fields. On the other hand, [GSV97, Lemma 2.3] asserts that for any nonabelian finite group  $G$  and any infinite field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , if the units of the group algebra  $K[G]$  satisfy a group identity, then  $G'$  must be a finite  $p$ -group. This is no longer true when  $K$  is finite. Actually, if  $G'$  is a  $p$ -group, then we do obtain the same result as in [Pas97].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K[G]$  be the group algebra of a torsion group  $G$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $U(K[G])$  be the group of units of  $K[G]$ . If  $G'$  is a  $p$ -group, then the following are equivalent.*

---

Received by the editors May 15, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S34.

*Key words and phrases.* Group algebras, units, group identities.

1.  $U(K[G])$  satisfies a group identity.
2.  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -abelian subgroup of finite index, and  $G'$  has bounded period.
3.  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $(x, y)^{p^k} = 1$  for some integer  $k \geq 0$ .

Surprisingly, if  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, then not only can the period of  $G'$  be bounded, but also the period of the whole group  $G$  can be bounded.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $K[G]$  be the group algebra of a torsion group  $G$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $U(K[G])$  be the group of units of  $K[G]$ . If  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, then the following are equivalent.*

1.  $U(K[G])$  satisfies a group identity.
2.  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -abelian subgroup of finite index,  $G$  has bounded period and  $K$  is finite.
3.  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $x^n = 1$  for some integer  $n$ .

## 2. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

The implications  $3 \Rightarrow 1$  are trivial. The implication  $2 \Rightarrow 3$  in Theorem 1.1 has been proved by [Pas97, Section 3] whether the field  $K$  is infinite or finite. The implication  $2 \Rightarrow 3$  in Theorem 1.2 can be obtained from the proof of [Coe82, Theorem A]. So we need to prove  $1 \Rightarrow 2$  in both theorems.

We assume that  $G$  is a torsion group and that  $K$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Also, we assume that the group of units  $U(K[G])$  of the group algebra  $K[G]$  satisfies the group identity  $w = 1$ . In view of [Liu, Theorem 1.1] and [Pas85, Corollary 5.3.10],  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -abelian subgroup  $A$  of finite index. In particular,  $G$  is locally finite.

Let us record some lemmas we need. The following is from [Liu, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R = K[H]$  be the group algebra of a locally finite group  $H$  and assume that the group of units  $U(R)$  satisfies  $w = 1$ . If  $S$  is any subalgebra of  $R$  or  $\bar{R}$  is any homomorphic image of  $R$ , then  $U(S)$  and  $U(\bar{R})$  also satisfy  $w = 1$ .*

The following lemma is from [Liu, Lemma 3.2]. Note that this result is an analogue of [GJV94, Proposition 1] for algebras over arbitrary fields and plays a crucial role in our proofs.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be an algebra over a field  $K$  and suppose  $U(R)$  satisfies  $w = 1$ . Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $a^2 = b^2 = 0$ . If  $ab$  is nilpotent, then  $(ab)^d = 0$  for some integer  $d$  determined by  $w$ .*

For the rest of the paper, we fix notation so that  $d$  will be as in the above lemma. If  $M_n(F)$  is the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix algebra over a field  $F$  and  $U(M_n(F))$  satisfies  $w = 1$ , then we have the following bounds on the size of the field and the degree  $n$  as shown in [Liu, Lemma 3.3].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $F$  be any field. If  $U(M_n(F))$  satisfies  $w = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then*

1.  $|F| \leq d$  and hence  $F$  is a finite field.
2.  $n < 2 \log_{|F|} d + 2 \leq 2 \log_2 d + 2$ .

Let  $m$  be the smallest integer not less than  $2 \log_2 d + 2$  and define

$$N = \prod_{|F| \leq d} |U(M_m(F))|.$$

Certainly,  $N$  is finite and determined by  $d$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $x$  be a nonidentity  $p'$ -element in  $G'$ , and let  $y$  be a nonidentity  $p'$ -element in a normal  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$ , then  $y^N = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose by way of contradiction that  $y^N \neq 1$ . Since  $x \in G'$ , we can write

$$x = (x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \cdots (x_n, y_n) \neq 1.$$

Note that  $x^{-1}y^N$  is a  $p'$ -element since  $y$  is in a normal  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $x \neq y^N$ , let  $\alpha = (1 - x^{-1})(1 - y^N)$ , then  $\alpha$  is not a nilpotent by [Pas85, Lemma 2.3.3]. If  $x = y^N$ , let  $\alpha = 1 - x$ , so that  $\alpha$  is also not nilpotent in this case. Observe that  $H = \langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n, y \rangle$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$  since  $G$  is locally finite. If  $K$  is infinite, then  $G'$  is a  $p$ -group by [Pas97, Theorem 1.1]. Thus  $K$  is finite here. Let  $J = J(K[H])$ , the Jacobson radical of  $K[H]$ , and now write  $K[H]/J = \bigoplus \sum_i M_{n_i}(F_i)$  where the  $F_i$  are fields since  $K$  is finite. Now  $\alpha$  is not nilpotent, so  $\alpha + J$  is not zero in  $K[H]/J$ . Hence there exists a natural map

$$\theta : K[H]/J \rightarrow M_{n_j}(F_j)$$

for some  $j$  with  $\theta(\alpha + J) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $\theta(1 - x^{-1} + J) \neq 0$  and  $\theta(1 - x + J) \neq 0$ . If  $n_j = 1$ , then

$$\theta(x + J) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta((x_i, y_i) + J) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\theta(x_i + J), \theta(y_i + J)) = 1$$

since  $F_j$  is commutative. But  $\theta(1 - x^{-1} + J) \neq 0$ , and hence  $n_j \geq 2$ . Also  $U(M_{n_j}(F_j))$  satisfies  $w = 1$  by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $n_j \leq m$  and  $|F_j| \leq d$  by Lemma 2.3. So we get  $\theta(y^N + J) = \theta(y + J)^N = 1$  since  $\theta(y + J) \in U(M_{n_j}(F_j)) \hookrightarrow U(M_m(F_j))$ . This implies that  $\theta(\alpha + J) = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $y^N = 1$ . □

The following is an analogue of [Pas97, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $G = \langle A, t \rangle$  where  $A$  is a normal abelian  $p$ -subgroup and  $t$  has finite order  $q$ . If  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$ , then  $G'$  has finite period.*

*Proof.* The proof given in [Pas97, Lemma 2.3] basically works here. First, [Pas97, Lemma 2.1] holds for group algebras over arbitrary fields by Lemma 2.1. The argument given in the proof of [Pas97, Lemma 2.3] shows that we can assume  $G$  is the semidirect product of  $A$  by  $\langle t \rangle$  and that  $t$  has prime order  $q$ . So the only concern now is how we use Lemma 2.2, an analogue of [GJV94, Proposition 1].

If  $q \neq p$ , we take two square zero elements  $\alpha = \tau a^{-1}(1 - t^{-1})$  and  $\beta = (qa - tr(a))\tau$  as in the proof of [Pas97, Lemma 2.3]. Notice that  $qa - tr(a)$  has augmentation 0 hence is in the augmentation ideal  $\omega(K[A])$ . But now  $A$  is a locally finite normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  of finite index, so we have  $\omega(K[A]) = J(K[A])$  and  $J(K[A])K[G] \subseteq J(K[G])$  by [Pas85, Lemma 8.1.17] and [Pas85, Theorem 7.2.7]. This implies that  $\beta$  and hence  $\alpha\beta$  are in  $J(K[G])$ . Also,  $J(K[G])$  is nil since  $G$  is locally finite and we see that  $\alpha\beta$  is nilpotent. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 2.2 to conclude that  $(\alpha\beta)^d = 0$  for some integer  $d$  depending on the group identity.

If  $q = p$ , both  $\tau$  and  $a^{-1}\tau a$  have square 0 and augmentation 0, so the product  $\tau a^{-1}\tau a$  is in  $\omega(K[G])$ . But now  $G$  is a locally finite  $p$ -group, so  $\omega(K[G])$  is nil and Lemma 2.2 implies that  $(\tau a^{-1}\tau a)^d = 0$ .

Therefore, the proof of [Pas97, Lemma 2.3] applies here and we deduce that  $G'$  has finite period. □

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a normal abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  of finite index. If  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$ , then  $G'$  has finite period.*

*Proof.* Use Lemma 2.5 and the proof of [Pas97, Lemma 2.4].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *If  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$  and  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, then the  $p'$ -elements of  $G$  have finite period.*

*Proof.* Since  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$ , [Liu, Theorem 1.1] and [Pas85, Corollary 5.3.10] imply that  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -abelian subgroup  $A$  of finite index. Note that  $A'$  is a finite normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(G/A)'$  is not a  $p$ -group, and  $U(K[G/A'])$  satisfies  $w = 1$  by Lemma 2.1. Thus it suffices to consider  $G/A'$ , or equivalently, we may assume that  $A$  is abelian. Write  $A = P \times Q$  where  $P$  is the set of  $p$ -elements of  $A$  and  $Q$  is the set of  $p'$ -elements of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ ,  $P$  and  $Q$  are normal subgroups of  $G$ . Also,  $A$  is a subgroup of  $G$  of finite index, so it suffices to bound the period of  $Q$ . Now since  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, there exists a nonidentity  $p'$ -element  $x$  in  $G'$ . For any nonidentity  $y$  in  $Q$ , we have  $y^N = 1$  by Lemma 2.4. This shows that  $Q$  has finite period and hence the  $p'$ -elements of  $G$  have finite period.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$ , then  $G'$  has finite period.*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we can assume that  $A$  is abelian and write  $A = P \times Q$ . If  $G'$  is a  $p$ -group, it suffices to consider  $G/Q$  since  $Q$  is a  $p'$ -group. If  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, Lemma 2.7 implies that  $Q$  has finite period, hence it still suffices to consider  $G/Q$  in this case. We can now assume that  $A$  is a  $p$ -group. Therefore  $G'$  has finite period by Lemma 2.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$  and  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, then the  $p$ -elements of  $G$  have bounded period.*

*Proof.* As usual, we can assume that  $A$  is abelian and write  $A = P \times Q$ . If  $B = (P, G)$ , then  $B$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $P \cap G'$ . Thus  $B$  is a  $p$ -group of finite period by Lemma 2.8. Therefore, it suffices to consider  $G/B$ , or equivalently we can assume that  $P$  is central in  $G$ . Now, notice that  $A$  has finite index in  $G$ , hence it suffices to bound the period of  $P$ .

Since  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, we can find a  $p'$ -element in  $G'$  with

$$x = (x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \cdots (x_n, y_n) \neq 1.$$

Let  $H = \langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n \rangle$ ; then  $x \in H'$  and  $H$  is finite since  $G$  is locally finite. If  $C = H \cap P$ , then  $C$  is a finite normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  since  $P$  is central. It suffices to consider  $G/C$ , or equivalently we can assume  $H \cap P = 1$ .  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, so  $K$  is finite by [Pas97, Theorem 1.1]. Let  $J = J(K[H])$  and write  $K[H]/J = \bigoplus \sum_i M_{n_i}(F_i)$  where  $F_i$  are fields since  $K$  is finite. If all  $n_i = 1$ , then  $K[H]/J$  is commutative and  $x + J = 1 + J$ . Since  $J$  is nil, we get that  $x$  is a  $p$ -element, a contradiction. Therefore, there exists some  $n_j \geq 2$ . Since finite fields are perfect, by Wedderburn's Principal Theorem [Row91, Theorem 2.5.37],  $K[H]$  contains a copy of  $K[H]/J$  and hence it contains a copy of  $M_2(K)$ . Note that  $P \times H \cong PH$  since  $P$  is central and  $H \cap P = 1$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(K[P]) &\cong K[P] \otimes_K M_2(K) \hookrightarrow K[P] \otimes_K K[H] \\ &\cong K[P \times H] \cong K[PH] \subseteq K[G]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $U(K[G])$  satisfies  $w = 1$ ,  $U(M_2(K[P]))$  also satisfies  $w = 1$ . If  $y$  is any element in  $P$ , then  $1 - y$  is nilpotent since  $P$  is a  $p$ -group. Let  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 - y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $a, b \in M_2(K[P])$  and  $ab = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is nilpotent since  $1 - y$  is. Lemma 2.2 now implies that  $(ab)^d = 0$ . Fix an integer  $k$  so that  $p^k \geq d$ . Then  $(ab)^{p^k} = 0$ , so we get  $(1 - y)^{p^k} = 0$  and  $y^{p^k} = 1$ . Hence  $P$  has finite period dividing  $p^k$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.**  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ .

*Proof.* [Pas85, Corollary 5.3.10] and [Liu, Theorem 1.1] imply that  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -abelian subgroup of finite index.

If  $G'$  is a  $p$ -group, then Lemma 2.8 implies that  $G'$  has finite period.

If  $G'$  is not a  $p$ -group, [Pas97, Theorem 1.1] implies that  $K$  must be finite. Since  $G$  is a torsion group, Lemma 2.7 and 2.9 imply that the whole group  $G$  has finite period.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Coe82] Sônia P. Coelho, *Group rings with units of bounded exponent over the center*, Can. J. Math. **34** (1982), no. 6, 1349–1364. MR **84c**:16014
- [GJV94] A. Giambruno, E. Jespers, and A. Valenti, *Group identities on units of rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **63** (1994), no. 4, 291–296. MR **95h**:16044
- [GSV97] A. Giambruno, S. Sehgal, and A. Valenti, *Group algebras whose units satisfy a group identity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 3, 629–634. MR **97f**:16055
- [Liu] Chia-Hsin Liu, *Group algebras with units satisfying a group identity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (this issue) CMP 98:03
- [Pas85] D. S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, Robert E. Krieger, Malabar, 1985. MR **86j**:16001
- [Pas97] D. S. Passman, *Group algebras whose units satisfy a group identity II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 3, 657–662. CMP 97:05
- [Row91] L. H. Rowen, *Ring theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1991. MR **94e**:16001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706

*E-mail address:* `chliu@math.wisc.edu`

*E-mail address:* `passman@math.wisc.edu`