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## Introduce a stronger computation model

- Previous models and history.
- Our approach: Group Input Machine.
- Examples and results.
- Technical details.


## Problem: Finite state machines are too primitive

$$
\left(\Sigma, S, s_{0}, \delta, F\right)
$$

- Actions are deterministic.
- Finite memory (number of states).
- Actions on input are limited.
- Input structure is too simple.


## Solutions: Finite state machines are too primitive

## Actions are not deterministic

- Non-detereministic automata.
- Probabilistic automata.


## Infinite memory

- Pushdown automata.
- Turing machines.


## Extended action set

- Two-way automata.

Flexible input structure

- Storage modification machines.


## The Idea

- Replace linear tapes by structured input area.



## Group Input Machine

- Group elements = data cells.
- Generating set of the group $=$ movements.
- Special \$ symbol = movement restriction.



## Group Input Machine: Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (a) } G & =(\mathbf{Z},+), D=\{1\} . \\
\text { (b) } G & =(\mathbf{C},+), D=\{1, i\} . \\
\text { (c) } G & =(\mathbf{C},+) . \\
D & =\{1, i, 1+i, 1-i\} . \\
\text { (d) } D & =\{a, b, c\} . \\
\text { (e) } G & =<\{a\}>, a^{n}=a .
\end{aligned}
$$





(c)

${\underset{\text { (d) }}{\text { a }}}_{\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}} \stackrel{\bar{b}}{\frac{b}{c}} \stackrel{\bar{c}}{c}$


## Group Input Machine: Examples




Figure: Free group

Figure: Braid group

## Definition

## Word

Let $G$ be a group, $A$ is a finite set, and $w$ is a function $G \rightarrow A$. Then we say that $w$ is the word over group $G$ and $A$ is the word alphabet.

## Automaton

Finite deterministic group automaton (FDGA) is a halting automaton with transition function $f: Q_{0} \times A \rightarrow Q \times\left(D \cup D^{-1}\right)$, where $D^{-1}=\left\{d \mid d^{-1} \in D\right\}$.

## Definition

## Configuration

Let $w$ be a word over group $(G, \bullet)$, and $M$ is an arbitrary FDGA. Let define computation of $M$ on $w$ as a tuple $(K, \xrightarrow{t})$, where $K$ is set of configurations and $\xrightarrow{t}$ is a transition relation. Configuration is a tuple $(q, c)$, where $q \in Q$ and $c \in G$. We say that configuration is terminal if $q \in\left\{q_{a}, q_{d}\right\}$. We define that $(q, c) \xrightarrow{t}\left(q^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ if $c^{\prime}=c \bullet d$ and $f(q, w(c))=\left(q^{\prime}, d\right)$, where $d \in D \cup D^{-1}$.

## Execution

Let say that $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}$ is execution of $M$ on $w$ if $\forall i: k_{i} \xrightarrow{t} k_{i+1}$, $k_{0}=\left(q_{0}, e\right)$, where $e$ is a neutral element of $G$, and $k_{n}$ is a terminal configuration.

## Examples: Free Group

- Let say that $G$ is a free group if there exists such $S \subseteq G$ that every element $x \in G$ could be written as $s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{n}$ in one and only one way, where $s_{i} \in S \cup S^{-1}$ and $\forall i: s_{i} \neq s_{i+1}^{-1}$.

- Simple structure: no additional dependencies.


## Free Group: 1-based languages

- $\left(L_{1}\right)$ How to find if area size is even?
- Follow wall, remember state.
- Deterministic algorithm.



## Free Group: 1-based languages

- ( $L_{2}$ ) How to find if root tree branches have the same size?
- Deterministic algorithm is impossible.
- Does not have enough memory to remember and compare sizes.
- Probabilistic algorithm exists.
- Use probabilistic nature of automata as additional memory (R.Freivalds, 1981).



## Free Group: 1-based languages

- ( $L_{3}$ ) How to find if all root tree branches are exactly the same?
- Both deterministic and probabilistic algorithms are not possible.
- Uses The Markov Chain Tree theorem (F.T.Leighton, R.L.Rivest, 1983).



## Free Group: 1-based languages, details

## Problem

- $\left(L_{2}\right)$ How to find if root tree branches have the same size?
- Deterministic algorithm is impossible.



## Free Group: 1-based languages, details

## Proof

- Each subtree - one fixed size square result matrix. Each (row, column) pair coresponds to (input state, output state). For automaton with $n$ states there will be $n \times n$ matrix.
- Such matrix fully define everything particular input subtree does to the state of automaton.
- Get contradiction on large enough branches.

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \exists i, k \in \mathbf{N}, k \leq n!+2: d_{i}^{k}=x, \\
\$, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases} \\
w_{1}(z)= \begin{cases}w_{0}(z), & z=d_{i}^{k}, \text { where } d_{i} \neq d \\
1, & \exists i, k \in \mathbf{N}, k \leq n!+2-(|y|-|x|): d^{k}=x, \\
\$, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Free Group: 1-based languages, details

## Problem

- $\left(L_{2}\right)$ How to find if root tree branches have the same size?
- Probabilistic algorithm exists.



## Free Group: 1-based languages, details

## Solution

(1) Let define $D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$.
(2) For each $i$ from 1 to $n-1$ repeat:
(1) $k_{1}:=0 ; k_{2}:=0$;
(2) Repeat $t$ times:
(1) Walk around $d_{i}$ branch. On each visited element break with probability $1-c$.
(2) Walk around $d_{i+1}$ branch. On each visited element break with probability $1-c$.
(3) If $d_{i}$ branch was visited fully then $k_{1}:=k_{1}+1$.
(4) If $d_{i+1}$ branch was visited fully then $k_{2}:=k_{2}+1$.
(5) If both were not visited fully, repeat iteration.
(3) If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ then decline the word.
(3) If all iterations finished accept the word.

## Conclusion

## Summary

- Group Input Machine definition.
- Working examples of the machine.
- Results related to free groups.


## Future

- More resuts on other groups, more generic results.
- Relationship between group properties and algorithm properties.


## Questions?

## Why use groups?

- Groups are simple.
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