## GROUP RINGS SATISFYING A POLYNOMIAL IDENTITY. III

## D. S. PASSMAN

ABSTRACT. Let K[G] denote the group ring of G over the field K and let  $\Delta$  denote the F.C. subgroup of G. In this paper we show that if K[G] satisfies a polynomial identity of degree n, then  $[G:\Delta] \leq n/2$ . Moreover this bound is best possible.

If K[G] satisfies a polynomial identity of degree *n*, then it is known that  $[G:\Delta] < \infty$ . In fact if K[G] is prime or if K has characteristic 0 then  $[G:\Delta] \leq (n/2)^2$  by the results of [4]. In general we have  $[G:\Delta] \leq n!$  by the results of [1]. Thus the goal of this paper is to sharpen these to obtain the best possible bound, namely  $[G:\Delta] \leq n/2$ . We follow the notation of [3].

1. The abelian case. Throughout this section we assume that  $[G:\Delta] < \infty$  and that  $\Delta$  is abelian. Let  $x_1 = 1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_m$  be a complete set of  $m = [G:\Delta]$  coset representatives for  $\Delta$  in G.

LEMMA 1.1. There exists a K-monomorphism  $\rho: K[G] \to K[\Delta]_m$ , where the latter is the ring of  $m \times m$  matrices over  $K[\Delta]$ , satisfying

(i) for  $a \in \Delta$ ,  $\rho(a) = diag(a^{x_1}, a^{x_2}, \cdots, a^{x_m})$ ,

(ii)  $\rho(x_i)e_{11} = e_{i1}, e_{11}\rho(x_i^{-1}) = e_{1i},$ 

where  $\{e_{ij}\}$  is the set of matrix units in  $K[\Delta]_m$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\Delta$  is normal in G,  $\{x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}\}$  is also a complete set of coset representatives for  $\Delta$  in G. Set V = K[G]. Then clearly V is a left  $K[\Delta]$ -module with free basis  $\{x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}\}$ . Now V is also a right K[G]-module and as such it is faithful. Since right and left multiplication commute as operators on V, it follows that K[G] is a set of  $K[\Delta]$ -linear transformations on an *m*-dimensional free  $K[\Delta]$ -module V. Thus there exists a K-monomorphism  $\rho$  with  $\rho(K[G]) \subseteq K[\Delta]_m$ .

Let  $a \in \Delta$ . Then  $x_i^{-1}a = (x_i^{-1}ax_i)x_i^{-1} = a^{x_i}x_i^{-1}$ ; so clearly  $\rho(a) = \text{diag}(a^{x_1}, a^{x_2}, \dots, a^{x_m})$ .

Now to compute  $e_{11}\rho(x_i^{-1})$  we need only consider the first row of the matrix  $\rho(x_i^{-1})$ . Since  $x_1x_i^{-1} = x_i^{-1}$  we see that this first row is precisely  $e_{1i}$ ; so  $e_{11}\rho(x_i^{-1}) = e_{11}e_{1i} = e_{1i}$ .

C American Mathematical Society 1972

Received by the editors February 18, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 16A26; Secondary 16A38.

Key words and phrases. Group ring, polynomial identity, F.C. subgroup.

Finally to compute  $\rho(x_i)e_{11}$  we need only look at the first column of the matrix  $\rho(x_i)$ . Since  $x_j^{-1}x_i \notin \Delta$  for  $j \neq i$  and since  $x_j^{-1}x_i = 1 = x_1$  for j = i we see that this first column is precisely  $e_{i1}$ . Thus  $\rho(x_i)e_{11} = e_{i1}e_{11} = e_{i1}$  and the result follows.

Let  $K[\Delta]$  be embedded naturally in  $K[\Delta]_m$  as the set of scalar matrices. Since  $\Delta$  is abelian this is a central subring of  $K[\Delta]_m$ . Let  $R = K[\Delta]$  $\cdot \rho(K[G])$  be the subring of  $K[\Delta]_m$  generated by  $K[\Delta]$  and  $\rho(K[G])$ . We will show below that R is in some sense a large subring of  $K[\Delta]_m$ .

LEMMA 1.2. For each  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$ , set

$$H_i = \{(a, x_i) = a^{-1} x_i^{-1} a x_i \mid a \in \Delta\}.$$

Then  $H_i$  is an infinite subgroup of  $\Delta$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\Delta$  is a normal abelian subgroup of G, the map  $\eta_i: \Delta \to \Delta$ given by  $a \to a^{-1}a^{x_i}$  is an endomorphism. Clearly  $H_i$  is the image of  $\eta_i$  so  $H_i$  is a subgroup of  $\Delta$  and  $C_{\Delta}(x_i)$  is the kernel of  $\eta_i$ . Thus  $[\Delta: C_{\Delta}(x_i)] =$  $|H_i|$ . If  $|H_i| < \infty$ , then  $[\Delta: C_{\Delta}(x_i)] < \infty$  and since  $[G:\Delta] < \infty$  we would have  $[G: C_G(x_i)] < \infty$  and  $x_i \in \Delta$ , a contradiction. Thus  $H_i$  is infinite.

For each  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$ , let  $S_i$  be the augmentation ideal of  $K[H_i]$ . Thus

$$S_i = \{ \sum k_g g \in K[H_i] \mid \sum k_g = 0 \}.$$

Then  $S_i$  is a K-algebra (without 1) which has as a K-basis the elements 1 - g with  $g \in H_i, g \neq 1$ .

Now  $S_i \subseteq K[\Delta]$  and  $K[\Delta]$  is commutative. We define  $S = S_2S_3 \cdots S_m$  to be the set of all finite K-linear sums of products  $s_2s_3 \cdots s_m$  with  $s_i \in S_i$ . Since  $K[\Delta]$  is commutative, S is a K-subalgebra (without 1) of  $K[\Delta]$ .

LEMMA 1.3. S is not a nilpotent ring.

**PROOF.** It clearly suffices to show that for each  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$  and  $\alpha \in K[\Delta]$  that  $S_i \alpha = 0$  implies  $\alpha = 0$ . Suppose  $S_i \alpha = 0$  and let  $g \in H_i$ . Then  $1 - g \in S_i$  so  $(1 - g)\alpha = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha = g\alpha$  and  $(\text{Supp } \alpha) = g(\text{Supp } \alpha)$ . Therefore  $H_i$  permutes by left multiplication the finite set  $\text{Supp } \alpha \subseteq \Delta$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$  then  $\text{Supp } \alpha \neq \emptyset$  and this would imply easily that  $H_i$  is finite, a contradiction by Lemma 1.2. Thus  $\alpha = 0$  and the result follows.

LEMMA 1.4. With the above notation we have  $R \supseteq (S)_m$ , the ring of  $m \times m$  matrices over S.

**PROOF.** Recall that  $K[\Delta]$  is contained in  $K[\Delta]_m$  as scalar matrices and that  $R = K[\Delta] \cdot \rho(K[G])$ . Let  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ , or *m* and let  $a \in \Delta$ . Then

$$a^{-1}(\rho(a) - a^{x_i}) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The above matrix is diagonal and we will consider the 1st and *i*th entries. The *i*th entry is  $a^{-1}(a^{x_i} - a^{x_i}) = 0$  by Lemma 1.1(i) and the 1st entry is

$$a^{-1}(a - a^{x_i}) = 1 - a^{-1}a^{x_i} = 1 - (a, x_i).$$

Thus for any element  $g \in H_i$ , R contains a matrix of the form

where the 0 is in the *i*th position. Since R is a K-algebra and since every element of  $S_i$  is a K-linear sum of such terms 1 - g we see that for each  $s_i \in S_i$ , R contains a matrix of the form  $\alpha_i = \text{diag } (s_i, *, 0, *)$ .

Now choose  $s_i \in S_i$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$  and let  $\alpha_i$  be as above. Then  $\alpha = \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_m \in R$  and

$$\alpha = \operatorname{diag} \left( s_2 s_3 \cdots s_m, 0, 0, \cdots, 0 \right) = s_2 s_3 \cdots s_m e_{11}$$

where  $\{e_{jk}\}$  is the usual set of matrix units. This clearly implies that  $R \supseteq Se_{11}$ .

Finally let  $e_{jk}$  be any matrix unit. Then, by Lemma 1.1(ii),  $R \supseteq \rho(x_j)(Se_{11})\rho(x_k^{-1}) = Se_{jk}$  and  $R \supseteq (S)_m$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.5.** Let K[G] satisfy a polynomial identity of degree n and suppose further that  $[G:\Delta] < \infty$  and  $\Delta$  is abelian. Then  $[G:\Delta] \leq n/2$ .

**PROOF.** By Lemma 5.3 of [1], K[G] satisfies an identity of the form

$$f(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \cdots, \zeta_n) = \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_n + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_n; \sigma \neq 1} k_\sigma \zeta_{\sigma(1)} \zeta_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \zeta_{\sigma(n)}.$$

Then of course  $\rho(K[G])$  also satisfies f. Since f is multilinear and  $K[\Delta]$  is central in  $K[\Delta]_m$ , it then follows easily that  $R = K[\Delta] \cdot \rho(K[G])$  satisfies f. By Lemma 1.4,  $R \supseteq (S)_m$ , so  $(S)_m$  also satisfies f.

Suppose by way of contradiction that  $m = [G:\Delta] > n/2$ . Since S is not nilpotent by Lemma 1.3 we can choose  $s^{(1)}, s^{(2)}, \dots, s^{(n)} \in S$  with  $s^{(1)}s^{(2)}\cdots s^{(n)} \neq 0$ . Since n < 2m we may set  $\zeta_1 = s^{(1)}e_{11}, \zeta_2 = s^{(2)}e_{12},$  $\zeta_3 = s^{(3)}e_{22}, \zeta_4 = s^{(4)}e_{23}, \zeta_5 = s^{(5)}e_{33}, \cdots$ . Then  $\zeta_1\zeta_2\cdots \zeta_n$  evaluated at these values is  $s^{(1)}s^{(2)}\cdots s^{(n)}e_{1j}\neq 0$  where j = [n/2] + 1. On the other hand for all  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_n, \sigma \neq 1, \zeta_{\sigma(1)}\zeta_{\sigma(2)}\cdots \zeta_{\sigma(n)}$  evaluated at these values is zero. Thus  $(S)_m$  does not satisfy f, a contradiction. Therefore  $m \leq n/2$  and the result follows.

## 2. The general case. Let $\Delta_k(G)$ be defined as in [3].

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose there exists an integer k with  $[G:\Delta_k(G)] < \infty$ . Then  $[G:\Delta] < \infty$  and  $|\Delta'| < \infty$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\Delta \supseteq \Delta_k$  and  $[G:\Delta_k] < \infty$  we have  $[G:\Delta] < \infty$ . Now  $\Delta$  is a subgroup of G so every right translate of  $\Delta_k$  in G is either entirely contained in  $\Delta$  or is disjoint from it. This implies that  $[\Delta:\Delta_k] < \infty$  and say  $\Delta = \Delta_k y_1 \cup \Delta_k y_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_k y_r$ .

Since each  $y_i \in \Delta$  we can set  $u = \max_i [G: C(y_i)] < \infty$ . If  $x \in \Delta$  then  $x \in \Delta_k y_i$  for some *i* and this implies easily that  $[G: C(x)] \leq uk$ . Thus  $[\Delta: C_{\Delta}(x)] \leq uk$  and by Theorem 4.4(ii) of [3],  $|\Delta'| < \infty$ .

We now come to the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 2.2. Let K[G] satisfy a polynomial identity of degree n. Then  $[G:\Delta(G)] \leq n/2$  and  $|\Delta(G)'| < \infty$ .

**PROOF.** Set  $k = (n!)^2$ . Then by Theorem 3.4 of [3],  $[G:\Delta_k(G)] < \infty$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1,  $[G:\Delta(G)] < \infty$  and  $|\Delta(G)'| < \infty$ . Set  $H = \Delta(G)'$ and consider  $\bar{G} = G/H$ . If  $x \in \Delta(G)$  then clearly  $\bar{x}$ , its image in  $\bar{G}$ , has only finitely many conjugates and  $\bar{x} \in \Delta(\bar{G})$ . Conversely suppose  $\bar{x} \in \Delta(\bar{G})$ . Then conjugates of x are contained in only finitely many cosets of H. Since H is finite, x has only finitely many conjugates and  $x \in \Delta(G)$ . Thus  $\Delta(\bar{G}) = \Delta(G)/H$ .

Consider  $K[\bar{G}]$ . Since  $K[\bar{G}]$  is an epimorphic image of K[G] we see that  $K[\bar{G}]$  satisfies a polynomial identity of degree *n*. Since  $\Delta(\bar{G}) = \Delta(G)/H$  and  $H = \Delta(G)'$  we see that  $\Delta(\bar{G})$  is abelian and  $[\bar{G}:\Delta(\bar{G})] < \infty$ . By Proposition 1.5 we have finally  $[G:\Delta(G)] = [\bar{G}:\Delta(\bar{G})] \leq n/2$  and the result follows.

The following corollary shows that the above bound n/2 is best possible. The result is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.1(i) and 1.3(i) of [3] and Theorem 2.2.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let n be a positive integer and suppose that G is a group with  $\Delta(G)$  abelian. Then  $[G:\Delta(G)] \leq n/2$  if and only if K[G] satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $\leq n$ .

On the other hand, there is no fixed bound for the size of  $\Delta(G)'$ . For example, let A be a finite abelian group of odd order and let G be the extension of A by an element x of order 2 which acts in a dihedral manner on A (that is,  $a^x = a^{-1}$  for all  $a \in A$ ). Then G is finite so  $G = \Delta(G)$  and A = G' can be made arbitrarily large. Since G has an abelian subgroup of index 2, K[G] satisfies a polynomial identity of degree 4 and this is independent of the size of A = G'.

Finally we remark that Theorem 2.2 answers in the affirmative Problem 4(i) of [2].

## References

1. D. S. Passman, Linear identities in group rings, Pacific J. Math. 36 (1971), 457-484.

2. \_\_\_\_, Infinite group rings, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971.

3. \_\_\_\_, Group rings satisfying a polynomial identity, J. Algebra (to appear).

4. M. Smith, On group algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 780-782.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706