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#### Abstract

Symonds' proof of Benson's regularity conjecture implies that the regularity of the cohomology of a fusion system and that of the Hochschild cohomology of a $p$-block of a finite group is at most zero. Using results of Benson, Greenlees, and Symonds, we show that in both cases the regularity is equal to zero.


Let $p$ be a prime and $k$ an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p$. Given a finite group $G$, a block algebra of $k G$ is an indecomposable direct factor $B$ of $k G$ as a $k$-algebra. A defect group of of a block algebra $B$ of $k G$ is a minimal subgroup $P$ of $G$ such that $B$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $B \otimes_{k P} B$ as a $B$ - $B$-bimodule. The defect groups of $B$ form a $G$-conjugacy class of $p$-subgroups of $G$. The Hochschild cohomology of $B$ is the algebra $H H^{*}(B)=\operatorname{Ext}_{B \otimes_{k} B^{\text {op }}}(B)$, where $B^{\mathrm{op}}$ is the opposite algebra of $B$, and where $B$ is regarded as a $B \otimes_{k} B^{\mathrm{op}_{-}}$ module via left and right multiplication. By a result of Gerstenhaber, the algebra $H H^{*}(B)$ is graded-commutative; that is, for homogeneous elements $\zeta \in H H^{m}(B)$ and $\eta \in H H^{m}(B)$ we have $\eta \zeta=(-1)^{n m} \zeta \eta$, where $m, n$ are nonnegative integers. In particular, if $p=2$, then $H H^{*}(B)$ is commutative, and if $p$ is odd, then the even part $H H^{\text {ev }}(B)=\oplus_{n \geq 0} H H^{2 n}(B)$ is commutative and all homogeneous elements in odd degrees square to zero. The extension of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity to graded-commutative rings with generators in arbitrary positive degrees is due to Benson [2, §4]. We follow the notational conventions in Symonds [18]. In particular, if $p$ is odd and $T=\oplus_{n \geq 0} T^{n}$ is a finitely generated graded-commutative $k$-algebra and $M$ a finitely generated graded $T$-module, we denote by $\operatorname{reg}(T, M)$ the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $M$ as a graded $T^{\mathrm{ev}}$-module, where $T^{\mathrm{ev}}=$ $\oplus_{n \geq 0} T^{2 n}$ is the even part of $T$. We set $\operatorname{reg}(T)=\operatorname{reg}(T, T)$; that is, $\operatorname{reg}(T)$ is the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $T$ as a graded $T^{\mathrm{ev}}$-module. See also [3] and [8] for more background material and references. We note that Benson's definition of regularity uses the ring $T$ instead of $T^{\mathrm{ev}}$, but the two definitions are equivalent. This can be seen by noting that [18, Proposition 1.1] also holds for finitely generated graded commutative $k$-algebras.

Theorem 0.1 Let $G$ be a finite group and $B$ a block algebra of $k G$. We have $\operatorname{reg}\left(H H^{*}(B)\right)=0$.

This will be shown as a consequence of a statement on Scott modules. Given a finite group $G$ and a $p$-subgroup $P$ of $G$, there is up to isomorphism a unique
indecomposable $k G$-module $S c(G ; P)$ with vertex $P$ and trivial source having a quotient (or equivalently, a submodule) isomorphic to the trivial $k G$-module $k$. The module $S c(G ; P)$ is called the Scott module of $k G$ with vertex $P$. It is constructed as follows: Frobenius reciprocity implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{k G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(k), k\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{k P}(k, k) \cong$ $k$, and hence $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(k)$ has up to isomorphism a unique direct summand $S c(G ; P)$ having $k$ as a quotient. Since $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(k)$ is selfdual, the uniqueness of $S c(G ; P)$ implies that $S c(G ; P)$ is also selfdual, and hence $S c(G ; P)$ can also be characterised as the unique summand, up to isomorphism, of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(k)$ having a nonzero trivial submodule. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that $S c(G ; P)$ has $P$ has a vertex. See [7] for more details on Scott modules, as well as [11] for connections between Scott modules and fusion systems. For a finitely generated graded module $X$ over $H^{*}(G ; k)$ we denote by $H_{m}^{*, *}(X)$ the local cohomology with respect to the maximal ideal of $H^{*}(G ; k)$ generated by all elements in positive degree. The first grading is here the local cohomological grading, and the second is induced by the grading of $X$.

Theorem 0.2 Let $G$ be a finite group and $P$ a p-subgroup of $G$. We have

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}(G ; S c(G ; P))\right)=0 .
$$

Remark 0.3 Using Benson's reinterpretation in [1, §4], of the 'last survivor' from [5, §7], applied to the Scott module instead of the trivial module, one can show more precisely that

$$
H_{m}^{r,-r}\left(H^{*}(G ; S c(G, P))\right) \neq\{0\},
$$

where $r$ is the rank of $P$. It is not clear whether this property, or even the property of having cohomology with regularity zero, characterises Scott modules amongst trivial source modules.

For $\mathcal{F}$ a saturated fusion system on a finite $p$-group $P$, we denote by $H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$ the graded subalgebra of $H^{*}(P ; k)$ consisting of all elements $\zeta$ satisfying $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}^{P}(\zeta)=$ $\operatorname{Res}_{\varphi}(\zeta)$ for any subgroup $Q$ of $P$ and any morphism $\varphi: Q \rightarrow P$ in $\mathcal{F}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the fusion system of a finite group $G$ on one of its Sylow- $p$-subgroups $P$, then $H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to $H^{*}(G ; k)$ through the restriction map $\operatorname{Res}_{P}^{G}$, by the characterisation of $H^{*}(G ; k)$ in terms of stable elements due to Cartan and Eilenberg. In that case we have $\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}\right)=0$ by [18, Corollary 0.2]. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the fusion system of a block algebra $B$ of $k G$ on a defect group $P$, then $H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the block cohomology $H^{*}(B)$ as defined in [14, Definition 5.1]. It is not known whether all block fusion systems arise as fusion systems of finite groups. There are examples of fusion systems which arise neither from finite groups nor from blocks; see [10], [13].

Theorem 0.4 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system on a finite p-group $P$. We have

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}\right)=0
$$

The key ingredients for proving the above results are Greenlees' local cohomology spectral sequence [9, Theorem 2.1], results and techniques in work of Benson [1], [2], [4], and Symonds' proof in [18] of Benson's regularity conjecture. We use the properties of the regularity from [18, §1] and $[19, \S 2]$.

Lemma 0.5 Let $G$ be a finite group and $V$ an indecomposable trivial source $k G$ module. Then $\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}(G ; V)\right) \leq 0$.

Proof Since $V$ is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(k)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}(G ; V)\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}\left(G ; \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(k)\right) .\right.
$$

By [12, Lemma 4], the right side is equal to $\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(P ; k)\right)$, hence zero by [18, Corollary 0.2].

Lemma 0.6 Let $G$ be a finite group and $V$ a finitely generated $k G$-module. If $H_{0}(G ; V) \neq\{0\}$, then $\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}(G ; V)\right) \geq 0$.

Proof It follows from the assumption $H_{0}(G ; V) \neq\{0\}$ and Greenlees' spectral sequence [9, Theorem 2.1] that there is an integer $s$ such that $H_{m}^{s,-s}\left(H^{*}(G ; V)\right) \neq$ $\{0\}$, which implies the result.

Proof of Theorem 0.2 Set $V=S c(G ; P)$. By Lemma 0.5 we have

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; \operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{*}(k ; V)\right) \leq 0
$$

Since $V$ has a nonzero trivial submodule, we have $H_{0}(G ; V) \neq\{0\}$, and hence the other inequality follows from Lemma 0.6.

Theorem 0.1 will be a consequence of Theorem 0.2 and the following well-known observation (for which we include a proof for the convenience of the reader; the block theoretic background material can be found in [20]).

Lemma 0.7 Let $G$ be a finite group, $B$ a block algebra of $k G$ and $P$ a defect group of $B$. As a module over $k G$ with respect to the conjugation action of $G$ on $B$, the $k G$-module $B$ has an indecomposable direct summand isomorphic to the Scott module $S c(G ; P)$.

Proof Since the conjugation action of $G$ on $B$ induces the trivial action on $Z(B)$ and since $Z(B) \neq\{0\}$, it follows that the $k G$-module $B$ has a nonzero trivial submodule. Moreover, $B$ is a direct summand of $k G$, hence $B$ is a $p$-permutation $k G$-module, and the vertices of the indecomposable direct summands of $B$ are conjugate to subgroups of $P$. Thus $B$ has a Scott module with a vertex contained in $P$ as a direct summand. Since $Z(B)$ is not contained in the kernel of the Brauer homomorphism $\mathrm{Br}_{P}$, it follows that $B$ has a direct summand isomorphic to the Scott module $S c(G ; P)$.

Proof of Theorem 0.1 By [12, Proposition 5] we have $\operatorname{reg}\left(H H^{*}(B)\right) \leq 0$. Recall that $H H^{*}(k G)$ is an $H^{*}(G ; k)$-module via the diagonal induction map, and we have a canonical graded isomorphism $H H^{*}(B) \cong H^{*}(G ; B)$ as $H^{*}(G ; B)$-modules where $G$ acts on $B$ by conjugation; see e. g. [17, (3.2)]. It follows from [12, Lemma 4] that

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(H H^{*}(B)\right)=\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}(G ; B)\right) .
$$
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By Lemma 0.7 , the $k G$-module $B$ has a direct summand isomorphic to $V=$ $S c(G ; P)$, where $P$ is a defect group of $B$. Thus as an $H^{*}(G ; k)$-module, $H^{*}(G ; B)$ has a direct summand isomorphic to $H^{*}(G ; V)$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(H H^{*}(B)\right) \geq \operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}(G ; V)\right)=0
$$

where the last equality is from Theorem 0.2 . This completes the proof of Theorem 0.1 .

Remark 0.8 The above proof can be adapted to show that the regularity of the stable quotient $\overline{H H^{*}}(B)$ of $H H^{*}(B)$ also equals zero. Recall that $\overline{H H^{*}}(B)$ is the quotient of $H H^{*}(B)$ by the ideal $Z^{\operatorname{pr}}(B)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{G}(B)$ of $Z(B) \cong H H^{0}(B)$. Note that $Z^{\operatorname{pr}}(B)$ is concentrated in degree 0 . Alternatively, $\overline{H H^{*}}(B)$ may be defined as the non-negative part of the Tate Hochschild cohomology of $B$. Our interest in $\overline{H H^{*}}(B)$ comes from the fact that Tate Hochschild cohomology of symmetric algebras is an invariant of stable equivalence of Morita type. We briefly indicate how the regularity of $\overline{H H^{*}}(B)$ may be calculated. Let $B=\oplus_{i} M_{i}$ be a decomposition of $B$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k G$-modules $M_{i}$, where $G$ acts by conjugation on $B$. The canonical graded $H^{*}(G ; k)$-module isomorphism $H H^{*}(B) \cong H^{*}(G ; B)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
H H^{0}(B) \cong H^{0}(G ; B)=\oplus_{i} H^{0}\left(G ; M_{i}\right)
$$

in degree zero. Composing this with the the canonical isomorphisms $Z(B) \cong$ $H H^{0}(B)$ and $H^{0}\left(G ; M_{i}\right) \cong M_{i}^{G}$, it is easy to check that the image of $Z^{\mathrm{pr}}(B)$ in $\oplus_{i} M_{i}^{G}$ is $\oplus_{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{G}\left(M_{i}\right)$. Since $B$ is a $p$-permutation $k G$-module, $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{G}\left(M_{i}\right)$ is non-zero precisely if $M_{i}$ is isomorphic to the Scott module $S c(G ; 1)$ (which is a projective cover of the trivial $k G$-module). Let $M^{\prime}$ denote the sum of all $M_{i}$ 's in the above decomposition which are isomorphic to $S c(G, 1)$ and let $M^{\prime \prime}$ be the complement of $M^{\prime}$ in $B$ with respect to the above decomposition. Since $Z^{p r}(B)$ is concentrated in degree zero, we have a direct sum decomposition $H H^{*}(B) \cong \oplus H^{*}\left(G ; M^{\prime \prime}\right) \oplus Z^{\operatorname{pr}}(B)$ as $H^{*}(G ; k)$-modules. In particular,
$\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H H^{*}(B)\right)=\max \left\{\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}\left(G ; M^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), \operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; Z^{p r}(B)\right)\right\}$.
We may assume that a defect group $P$ of $B$ is non-trivial. By Lemma 0.7, $M^{\prime \prime}$ contains a direct summand isomorphic to $S c(G ; P)$. Hence by Theorem 0.2 $\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(G ; k) ; H^{*}\left(G ; M^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \geq 0$. It follows from Theorem 0.1 and the above displayed equation that $\overline{H H^{*}}(B) \cong H^{*}\left(G ; M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has regularity zero.

Proof of Theorem 0.4 By [18, Proposition 6.1] we have $\operatorname{reg}\left(H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}\right) \leq 0$. For the other inequality we follow the arguments in $[1, \S 3, \S 4]$, applied to transfer maps using fusion stable bisets. For $Q$ a subgroup of $P$ and $\varphi: Q \rightarrow P$ an injective group homomorphism, we denote by $P \times_{(Q, \varphi)} P$ the $P$ - $P$-biset of equivalence classes in $P \times P$ with respect to the relation $(u w, v) \sim(u, \varphi(w) v)$, where $u, v \in P$, and $w \in$ $Q$. The $k P$ - $k P$-bimodule having $P \times_{(Q, \varphi)} P$ as a $k$-basis is canonically isomorphic to $k P \otimes_{k Q}\left({ }_{\varphi} k P\right)$. This biset gives rise to a transfer map $\operatorname{tr}_{P \times_{(Q, \varphi)} P}$ on $H^{*}(P ; k)$
obtained by composing the restriction map $\operatorname{res}_{\varphi(Q)}^{P}: H^{*}(P ; k) \rightarrow H^{*}(\varphi(Q) ; k)$, the isomorphism $H^{*}(\varphi(Q) ; k) \cong H^{*}(Q ; k)$ induced by $\varphi$, and the transfer map $\operatorname{tr}_{Q}^{P}$ : $H^{*}(Q ; k) \rightarrow H^{*}(P ; k)$. Let $X$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-stable $P$ - $P$-biset satisfying the conclusions of [6, Proposition 5.5]. That is, every transitive subbiset of $X$ is isomorphic to $P \times_{(Q, \varphi)} P$ for some subgroup $Q$ of $P$ and some group homomorphism $\varphi: Q \rightarrow P$ belonging to $\mathcal{F}$, the integer $|X| /|P|$ is prime to $p$, and for any subgroup $Q$ of $P$ and any group homomorphism $\varphi: Q \rightarrow P$ in $\mathcal{F}$, the $Q$ - $P$-bisets ${ }_{\varphi} X$ and ${ }_{Q} X$ (resp. the $P$ - $Q$-bisets $X_{Q}$ and $X_{\varphi}$ ) are isomorphic. By taking the sum, over the transitive subbisets $P \times{ }_{(Q, \varphi)} P$, of the transfer maps $\operatorname{tr}_{P \times{ }_{(Q, \varphi)} P}$, we obtain a transfer map $\operatorname{tr}_{X}$ on $H^{*}(P ; k)$. Following [15, Proposition 3.2], the map $\operatorname{tr}_{X}$ acts as multiplication by $\frac{X \mid}{|P|}$ on $H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$, hence $\operatorname{Im}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{X}\right)=H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$, and we have a direct sum decomposition

$$
H^{*}(P ; k)=H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}} \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{X}\right)
$$

as $H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$-modules. A similar decomposition holds for Tate cohomology, and for homology (using either the canonical duality $H_{n}(P ; k) \cong H^{n}(P ; k)^{\vee}$ or the isomorphism $H_{n}(P ; k) \cong \hat{H}^{-n-1}(P ; k)$ obtained from composing the previous duality with Tate duality). By [1, Equation (4.1)], the transfer map $\operatorname{tr}_{Q}^{P}$ induces a homomorphism of Greenlees' local cohomology spectral sequences

where $\left(\operatorname{tr}_{Q}^{P}\right)_{*}$ and $\left(\operatorname{res}_{Q}^{P}\right)_{*}$ are the maps induced by $\operatorname{tr}_{Q}^{P}$ and the inclusion $Q \rightarrow P$, respectively. The isomorphism $\varphi: Q \rightarrow \varphi(Q)$ induces an obvious isomorphism of spectral sequences


Restriction and transfer on Tate cohomology are dual to each other under Tate duality, and hence the dual version of [1, Equation (4.1)] implies that the restriction $\operatorname{res}_{\varphi(Q)}^{P}$ induces a homomorphism of spectral sequences


Composing the three diagrams above yields a homomorphism induced by $\operatorname{tr}_{P \times(Q, \varphi)} P$ on the spectral sequence for $P$, and taking the sum over all transitive subbisets of
$X$ yields a homomorphism of spectral sequences

where $X^{\vee}$ is the $P$ - $P$-biset $X$ with the opposite action $u \cdot x \cdot v=v^{-1} x u^{-1}$ for all $u, v \in P$ and $x \in X$. One easily checks that $X^{\vee}$ is isomorphic to a dual basis of $X$ in the dual bimodule $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(k X, k)$. By [6, Proposition 5.2], $H^{*}(P ; k)$ is finitely generated as a module over $H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$. Thus the local cohomology spaces $H_{m}^{i, j} H^{*}(P ; k)$ can be calculated using for $m$ the maximal ideal of positive degree elements in $H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}}$ instead of $H^{*}(P ; k)$. It follows that $\operatorname{tr}_{X}$ induces a homomorphism of spectral sequences


For $i=-j=r$, where $r$ is the rank of $P$, the edge homomorphism yields a commutative diagram of the form

where the right vertical map is multiplication on $k$ by $\frac{|X|}{|P|}$. By [1, Theorem 4.1], the map $\gamma_{P}$ is surjective, and hence so is the map $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}$. In particular, $H_{m}^{r,-r} H^{*}(P ; k)^{\mathcal{F}} \neq$ $\{0\}$, whence the result.

Remark 0.9 The fact that transfer and restriction on Tate cohomology are dual to each other under Tate duality can be deduced from a more general duality for transfer maps on Tate-Hochschild cohomology of symmetric algebras induced by bimodules which are finitely generated projective as left and right modules (cf. [16]).
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